

Peer Critique (wli17)

I found some parts of the project a bit confusing, like the note on the site basic info page that said "if you set this site to keep track of external, don't visit the internal site (aka, don't click the link to the "start tracking visits"); otherwise, it will produce inaccurate data." Clicking on the link twice increased the visit count for the page, which wasn't what I thought would intuitively happen; I thought it would link to the javascript snippet below. I'm not sure what the advantage is to being able to track the internal page by clicking on the "start tracking" link twice, but it was interesting that it dynamically updated the visit info.

Another thing I was confused about was the main page, and what "monitor statistics" meant, and why they didn't relate to site statistics when they seemed to be the site statistics. I think clearly defining what was meant by "internal" and "external" sites would have also helped me understand instructions on the site.

From a developer's perspective, I think in general the functionality is in great order, as you can track sites and individual pages. I think there's a clear structure of sites and pages, which has been well designed. From a user's perspective, clearer explanations would help a lot with the use of the site, and not needing to register every site's page to track it would be easier and more convenient.