Recent Progress on the Gan-Gross-Prasad Conjectures (after Jacquet–Rallis, Waldspurger, W. Zhang, etc.)

Progrès Récents sur les Conjectures de Gan-Gross-Prasad (d'après Jacquet–Rallis, Waldspurger, W. Zhang, etc.)

-

Re-TEXed by Seewoo Lee*

Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis

Last updated: September 2, 2023

Introduction

The Gan-Gross-Prasad [18] conjectures have two aspects: local and global. Locally, these relate to certain branching laws between representations of real or p-adic Lie groups while globally, they characterize the non-vanishing of certain explicit integrals of automorphic forms that are commonly called (automorphic) periods. What makes these predictions interesting is that they involve fine arithmetic invariants: local epsilon factors on the one hand and values of automorphic L-functions at their center of symmetry on the other. These conjectures, which relate to all the classical groups (hermitian or skew-hermitian unitary spaces, symplectic and special orthogonal; this last case had moreover been considered long before by Gross and Prasad [26, 27]), have known many recent advances. More precisely, the local conjecture is now demonstrated in almost all cases after the seminal work of Waldspurger [63, 64, 65, 66] and Mœglin-Waldspurger [46] followed by the author [6, 7, 9, 8], Gan-Ichino [20], Hiraku Atobe [4] and finally Hongyu He [30]. The global conjecture has been established for unitary

groups of hermitian spaces under certain local restrictions in a breakthrough by Wei Zhang [77] following the work of Jacquet-Rallis [36] and Zhiwei Yun [75]. Similar results have been obtained for unitary groups of skew-hermitian spaces by Hang Xue [70] following Yifeng Liu [41]. There is also a refinement of the global conjecture, initially due to Ichino-Ikeda [34] in the case of orthogonal groups then extended to unitary and symplectic groups by Neal Harris [29] and Hang Xue [71, 73], under the form of an identity explicitly linking periods and central values of automorphic *L*-functions. This refinement is now also proven for unitary groups under certain local assumptions after [76], the author [10], and Hang Xue [71, 72].

In this text, we propose the precise statements of these conjectures and the recent results mentioned above as well as to give brief overviews of the proofs that it would be very difficult to fully describe here as the techniques used vary (relative trace formulae, theta correspondence, endoscopy theory...). Moreover, as we have already explained, these conjectures relate to all the types of classical groups each having its own specificities. For reasons of space, we will focus on the case of unitary groups for which the results obtained are the most exhaustive. Finally, we also refer to [17] for a very good introduction to this subject (dating from 2013, this article unfortunately does not mention the most recent advances).

The arithmetic applications of these conjectures will not be discussed here but let us cite recent works [28], [50] as examples of such applications.

We finish this introduction by giving two examples of previous results which are special cases of the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjectures.

Branching law from $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$ *to* $\mathrm{U}(n)$. We begin by giving a classical example of a branching law (due to H. Weyl [69]) constituting a particular case of local conjectures. For any integer $k \geq 1$, we denote

$$\mathrm{U}(k) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C}) : {}^t\bar{g}g = \mathbf{I}_k\}$$

the real compact unitary group of rank k. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integerl. We have a natural embedding

$$\mathrm{U}(n) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{U}(n+1), g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let π be an irreducible complex representation of $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$. Such a representation is necessarily of finite dimension (because $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$ is compact) and we are interested in the restriction of π to $\mathrm{U}(n)$. The explicit description of this restriction, or rather of its decomposition into irreducible representations, what are the consititues is called a branching law. Obviously, any comprehensible answer to this problem requires knowing how to independently parameterize (or

name) the irreducible representations (up to isomorphism) of $U(n)$ and $U(n+1)$. Such a parametrization is precisely provided by the Cartan–Weyl highest weight theory. In the cases that interest us this theory provides natural bijections

$$\text{Irr}(U(n+1)) \simeq \{\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} : \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_{n+1}\}$$

$$\pi_{\underline{\alpha}} \leftrightarrow \underline{\alpha}$$

$$\text{Irr}(U(n)) \simeq \{\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \cdots \geq \beta_n\}$$

$$\sigma_{\underline{\beta}} \leftrightarrow \underline{\beta}$$

where $\text{Irr}(U(n+1))$ and $\text{Irr}(U(n))$ are the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible complex representations of $U(n+1)$ and $U(n)$, respectively. Using these parametrizations, the solution to the initial problem is formulated as follows (see [24] Chap. 8 for example): for all $n+1$-tuple $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ with $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \ldots \alpha_{n+1}$, we have

$$\pi_{\underline{\alpha}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \alpha_1 \geq \beta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq \beta_n \geq \alpha_{n+1}}} \sigma_{\underline{\beta}}.$$

In other words, for any pair of irreducible representations $(\pi_{\underline{\alpha}}, \sigma_{\underline{\beta}}) \in \text{Irr}(U(n+1)) \times \text{Irr}(U(n))$ the space of intertwining maps

$$\text{Hom}_{U(n)}(\pi_{\underline{\alpha}}, \sigma_{\underline{\beta}})$$

has dimension at most 1 and is non-zero if and only if $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\beta}$ satisfy the branching condition $\alpha_1 \geq \beta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \beta_n \geq \alpha_{n+1}$. In this form the local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture generalizes to pairs of real unitary groups $U(p, q) \subset U(p+1, q)$ or p-adic $U(W) \subset U(V)$ or more generally. More precisely, we will see in the section 1.3 that for irreducible representations π and σ (in a sense to be specified) of $U(p+1, q)$ and $U(p, q)$ the intertwining space $\text{Hom}_{U(p,q)}(\pi, \sigma)$ is always of dimension at most one and the same is true if we consider p-adic unitary groups. The local Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture then gives (in almost all cases) a necessary and sufficient condition, generalizing the above branching relation, for this space to be nonzero.

Waldspurger's formula for the Maass forms of level 1. Let us now state a particular case of a result of Waldspurger [61] whose global conjectures give a generalization. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$ be the Poincaré upper half plane and $f : \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ a Maass eigenform of level 1. Let's recall what this means: f is a C^∞ (and even real analytic) which is an eigenvector for the hyperbolic Laplacian $\Delta ::= -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$ with an eigenvalue λ (i.e. $\Delta f = \lambda f$), invariant

3

under the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action (given by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$), has a moderate growth in the sense that $|f(x+iy)| \ll Cy^N$ for some N as $y \to \infty$ and eigenform for all Hecke operators T_p for prime p, defined by

$$(T_p f)(z) = f\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) z\right) + \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} f\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & u \\ & p \end{smallmatrix}\right) z\right).$$

Since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) z = z + 1$, such a function admits a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(x+iy) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n(y) e^{2\pi i n x}, \quad x + iy \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Moreover, the differential equation satisfied by f as well as the moderate growth implies that the functions $a_n(y)$ are, for $n \neq 0$, of the form $a_n(y) = a_n \sqrt{y} K_\nu(2\pi|n|y)$ for $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and K_ν is the Bessel function of second kind with parameter $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\lambda = \frac{1}{4} - \nu^2$. We assume that f is even (i.e. $f(-\bar{z}) = f(z)$) and cuspidal (i.e. $a_0(y) = 0$). We then have $a_{-n} = a_n$ for $n \neq 0$ and we define the complete L-function of f by

$$L(s, f) = \pi^{-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-\nu}{2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}, \quad \mathfrak{R}(s) \gg 1.$$

For a quadratic Dirichlet character χ with $\chi(-1) = -1$ we also define a completed L-function twisted by χ by the following way

$$L(s, f \times \chi) = \pi^{-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1+\nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1-\nu}{2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n) a_n}{n^s}, \quad \mathfrak{R}(s) \gg 1.$$

Then $L(s, f)$ and $L(s, f \times \chi)$ admit analytic continuations to $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfy the functional equations $L(1-s, f) = L(s, f)$ and $L(1-s, f \times \chi) = L(s, f \times \chi)$. Let F be an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with fundamental discriminant d (i.e. if $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_0})$ with d_0 a square-free integer then $d = d_0$ if d_0 is congruent to 1 modulo 4, $4d_0$ otherwise). We call Heegner point (relative to F) the unique root z_d in $\mathbb{H}$ of a quadratic equation of the form $aX^2 + bX + c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $b^2 - 4ac = d$. We then have the following formula, which is a special case of a result of Waldspurger [61]

$$\left(\sum_{z_d / SL_2(\mathbb{Z})} f(z_d)\right)^2 = \frac{\sqrt{|d|}}{2} L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \times \chi_d\right), \tag{1}$$

where the sum is over the set of orbits of Heegner points under $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action and χ_d denotes the unique quadratic Dirichlet character of conductor $|d|$ with $\chi_d(-1) = -1$.

Applied to this particular case, the global Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture predicts the equivalence

$$\sum_{z_d / \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} f(z_d) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}, f \times \chi\right) \neq 0,$$

while the refinement of the global conjecture by Ichino and Ikeda makes it possible to derive formula (1) directly.

1 The Local Conjectures

1.1 The groups

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of local fields of characteristic zero. We therefore have either $E/F = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$ or that E and F are finite extensions of the field of p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for a certain prime number p ($\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ by the p-adic absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ defined by $|p^k \frac{a}{b}|_p = p^{-k}$ for a and b integers prime to p). We denote by σ the unique non-trivial element of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ and $\mathrm{sgn}_{E/F}$ the quadratic character of F associated with the extension E/F by the class field theory (it is therefore the unique quadratic character with kernel $\mathrm{N}_{E/F}(E^{\times})$, the image of the norm map). Finally, we will fix two non-trivial additive characters $\psi_0 : F \to \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\psi : E \to \mathbb{S}^1$ with the property that ψ is trivial on F.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space of dimension n over E and $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. We assume V is equipped with a non-degenerate ε-hermitian form

$$\langle -, - \rangle : V \times V \to E.$$

By definition a ε-hermitian form satisfies

$$\langle \lambda v + \mu w, u \rangle = \lambda \langle v, u \rangle + \mu \langle w, u \rangle$$
$$\langle v, u \rangle = \varepsilon \langle u, v \rangle^{\sigma}$$

for all $u, v, w \in V$ and $\lambda, \mu \in E$. Depending on whether $\varepsilon = 1$ or -1 we call it hermitian or skew-hermitian. Let W be a non-detenerate subspace of V with

$$\dim(V) - \dim(W) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varepsilon = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathrm{U}(V) \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and $\mathrm{U}(W) \subset \mathrm{GL}(W)$ be the algebraic subgroups (defined over F) of linear automorphisms of V and W preserving the form $\langle -, - \rangle$. Then $\mathrm{U}(V)$

5

and $U(W)$ are unitary groups and we have a natural embeddign $U(W) \hookrightarrow U(V)$ where $U(W)$ acts trivially on $W^{\perp}$ (which of dimension at most 1). In the following we will (abusively) identify an algebraic group defined on F with the group of F-points corresponding to it.

The following discussion also extends to the case where $E = F \times F$ equipped with the involution $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$, a case which it will be necessary to include anyway when we will deal with the global conjecture. In such a situation, a non-degenerate form $\langle -, - \rangle$ as above identifies V and W to direct sums $V_0 \oplus V_0^{\vee}$ and $W_0 \oplus W_0^{\vee}$ where $W_0 \subset V_0$ are the finite dimensional vector spaces over F and $V_0^{\vee}$, $W_0^{\vee}$ denote their duals. We then have a natural identifications $U(V) \simeq GL(V_0)$ and $U(W) \simeq GL(W_0)$.

In all cases, we put $G = U(W) \times U(V)$, $H = U(W)$ and we embed H into G diagonally. The groups H and G inherit from the field F topologies which make them Lie groups in the archimedean case (i.e. when $F = \mathbb{R}$) and locally profinite groups in the non-archimedean case (i.e. when F is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$; recall that a topological group is locally profinite if it has a basis of neighborhoods of the identity element consist of compact subgroups).

1.2 The restriction problem

Let $(\pi, \mathcal{V})$ be a smooth and irreducible complex representation of G. In the p-adic case, this means that π is a representation of G on a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathcal{V}$ (typically of infinite dimension) all of whose vectors have a open stabilizer, irreducibility is then an algebraic notion (i.e. no non-trivial subspace stable under G). In the archimedean case, this means that $\mathcal{V}$ is a Fréchet space and that π is a smooth representation (in the C^{∞} sense), admissible (i.e. the irreducible representations of a maximal compact subgroup appear with finite multiplicities) on $\mathcal{V}$ satisfying a certain condition of "moderate growth" (which was introduced by Casselman and Wallach, see [11] and [67] Chap. 11); irreducibility is then a topological notion (ie no non-trivial closed subspace stable by G). In any case, such an irreducible representation decomposes as a tensor product $\pi = \pi_W \boxtimes \pi_V$ where π_W and π_V are irreducible (smooth) representations of $U(W)$ and $U(V)$ respectively (and where the tensor product is a topological tensor product in the archimedean case). We will denote as $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ for the set of isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible representations of G.

To define the restriction problem that will interest us, we must also introduce a certain "small" representation ν of H. In the hermitian case (i.e. if $\varepsilon = 1$), ν is

the trivial representation that we will denote as 1 or simply $\mathbb{C}$ in the following. In the skew-hermitian case (i.e. if $\varepsilon = -1$), we have an inclusion

$$U(W) \subset Sp(Res_{E/F}W)$$

where $Res_{E/F}W$ denotes the restriction of the scalars from E to F of W equipped with the symplectic form $Tr_{E/F} \circ \langle -, - \rangle$ and $Sp(Res_{E/F}W)$ denotes the corresponding symplectic group. Let $Mp(Res_{E/F}W)$ be the metaplectic group associated with this symplectic space (it is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-extension of $Sp(Res_{E/F}W)$). The metaplectic covering splits over $U(W)$ but this splitting is not unique (because there are non-trivial characters $U(W) \to \{\pm 1\}$). We can, however, fix such a splitting by choosing a character $\mu : E^\times \to \mathbb{S}^1$ with $\mu|_{F^\times} = sgn_{E/F}$ from now on. Let $\omega_{\psi_0,W}$ be the Weil representation of $Mp(Res_{E/F}W)$ associated to the character ψ_0 (c.f. [43] Chap. 2. II). Then $\nu = \omega_{\psi_0,W,\mu}$ is the restriction of this Weil representation to $U(W)$ via the splitting that we have just fixed.

For every case, the space of intertwining maps which is of our interest is the following

$$Hom_H(\pi, \nu) \tag{2}$$

where implicitly we only consider the continuous maps in the archimedean case (for the underlying Fréchet topologies). We denote $m(\pi)$ for the dimension of this space

$$m(\pi) := \dim Hom_H(\pi, \nu).$$

Note that in the hermitian case we have identifications

$$Hom_H(\pi, \nu) = Hom_{U(W)}(\pi_W \boxtimes \pi_V, \mathbb{C}) = Hom_{U(W)}(\pi_V, \pi_W^\vee)$$

where $\pi_W^\vee$ denotes the (smooth) contragredient representation of π_W.

An element of space (2) is called a Bessel functional if $\varepsilon = 1$ and a Fourier-Jacobi functional if $\varepsilon = -1$. We will then talk in parallel about the Bessel and Fourier-Jacobi cases of the conjecture.

1.3 **Multiplicity** 1

The following theorem is due to Aizenbud–Gourevitch–Rallis–Schiffmann [2] and Sun [57] in the *p*-adic case and to Sun–Zhu [58] in the archimedean case.

Theorem 1.1. For any smooth irreducible representations π of G we have

$$m(\pi) \leq 1.$$

The local Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture then essentially provides an answer to the following simple question: when do we have $m(\pi) = 1$? Just as for the law of branching between real compact unitary groups discussed in the introduction, any comprehensible answer to this question requires knowing how to parameterize the (isomorphism classes of) irreducible representations of G. Such a parameterization is precisely the object of the local Langlands correspondence (for unitary groups) whose main properties we now recall.

1.4 Local Langlands correspondence for unitary groups

In this section we consider a hermitian or skew-hermitian space V of finite dimension n over E and we denote by $U(V)$ the corresponding unitary group.

1.4.1 Weil-Deligne group

Let W_F be the Weil group of F. If F is non-archimedean, we have the following commutative diagram where each row are exact

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & I_F & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k_F}/k_F) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & I_F & \longrightarrow & W_F & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
$$

where $\overline{F}$ is an algebraic closure of F, k_F is the residue field of F, the isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k_F}/k_F) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ correspond to the choice of the geometric Frobeinus Frob_F as a topological generator of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k_F}/k_F)$ and I_F is the inertia subgroup (i.e. the kernel of the arrow $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k_F}/k_F)$). We then equip W_F with the topology that mesk I_F as an open subgroup (the topology induced from that of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$). If F is archimedean, we have

$$
W_F = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^\times \cup \mathbb{C}^\times j & \text{if } F = \mathbb{R} \\ \mathbb{C}^\times & \text{if } F = \mathbb{C}, \end{cases}
$$

where $j^2 = -1$ and $jzj^{-1} = \bar{z}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. The Weil-Deligne group WD_F of F is defined by

$$
\mathrm{WD}_F = \begin{cases} W_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) & \text{if } F \text{ is non-archimedean} \\ W_F & \text{if } F \text{ is archimedean.} \end{cases}
$$

1.4 Local Langlands correspondence for unitary groups

1.4.2 Langlands parameters

Langlands associates with $U(V)$, and more generally with any connected reductive group over F, an *L-group* $^{L}U(V)$ that is a semi-direct product of a complex reductive group $\widehat{U(V)}$ with the Weil group W_F: $^{L}U(V) = \widehat{U(V)} \rtimes W_F$. Here, the L-group is explicitly described as follows: we have $\widehat{U(V)} = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the action of W_F factors through $W_F \to W_F/W_E = \mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ with σ acts as $\sigma(g) = J^t g^{-1} J^{-1}$, where

$$
J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & -1 & \\ & \cdot^{\cdot^{\cdot}} & & \\ (-1)^{n-1} & & & \end{pmatrix}.
$$

A Langlands parameter for $U(V)$ is then a $\widehat{U(V)}$-conjugacy class of "admissible" homomorphisms (i.e. satisfying certain properties of continuity, semi-simplicity and algebraicity)

$$
\phi : WD_F \to {}^{L}U(V)
$$

commuting with projections on W_F. We denote $\Phi(U(V))$ the set of Langlands parameters for $U(V)$. For the unitary groups we have the following more explicit description (c.f. [18] Theorem 8.1): the restriction to WD_E induces a bijection between $\Phi(U(V))$ and the set of isomorphism classes of the complex continuous semi-simple and algebraic representations on $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension n of WD_E which are $(-1)^{n+1}$-conjugate dual. Let's recall what this last term means. Fix $c \in W_F \backslash W_E$ maps to σ. A representation $\varphi : WD_E \to GL(M)$ is called *conjugate dual* if there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form

$$
B : M \times M \to \mathbb{C}
$$

satisfying

$$
B(\varphi(\tau)u, \varphi(c\tau c^{-1})v) = B(u, v), \quad \forall u, v \in M, \tau \in WD_E.
$$

It is equivalent to ask if M is isomorphic to $(M^c)^{\vee}$ where M^c is the c-conjugate of M and $(-)^{\vee}$ is the contragredient representation. We further say that $\varphi : WD_E \to GL(M)$ is ε-conjugate-dual, where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, if we can choose a bilinear form satisfying the additional condition

$$
B(u, \varphi(c^2)v) = \varepsilon B(v, u), \quad \forall u, v \in M.
$$

We will call such a form an ε-conjugate-dual form.

To state the Langlands correspondence in its most complete version, it is necessary to introduce for all $\phi \in \Phi(U(V))$ a certain finite group S_ϕ. The latter is defined as the group of connected components of the centralizer in $\widehat{U(V)}$ of the image of ϕ. If we identify ϕ with a $(-1)^{n+1}$-conjugate-dual representation $\varphi : WD_E \to GL(M)$, we have the following more concrete description of S_ϕ. Let B be a conjugate-dual form of sign $(-1)^{n+1}$ as above and denote $\mathrm{Aut}(\varphi, B)$ the group of linear automorphisms of M commutes with the image of φ and preserve the form B. We then have (canonically)

$$S_\phi = \mathrm{Aut}(\varphi, B)/\mathrm{Aut}(\varphi, B)^\circ$$

where we denote as $\mathrm{Aut}(\varphi, B)^\circ$ for the connected component of the identity element. Moreover, this group is always abelian and isomorphic to a product of finitely many copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

1.4.3   Pure inner forms

Following an idea from Vogan [60], the Langlands correspondence should be formulated more simply if we consider several groups at the same time. More precisely, we must take account the pure inner forms of $U(V)$. These forms are naturally parameterized by the Galois cohomology set $H^1(F, U(V))$ and all admit the same L-group as $U(V)$ (so that a Langlands parameter for $U(V)$ can also be considered as Langlands parameter of all its pure inner forms). For unitary groups we know how to describe the pure inner forms explicitly: $H^1(F, U(V))$ naturally classifies the isomorphism classes of (skew-)Hermitian spaces of dimension n and the pure inner forms of $U(V)$ are then the unitary groups of the latter spaces. For a class $\alpha \in H^1(F, U(V))$, we denote V_α the (skew-)hermitian space it determines and $U(V_\alpha)$ the corresponding pure inner form.

In the non-archimedean case, and for $n \neq 0$, there exist exactly two isomorphism classes of (skew-)hermitian spaces of dimension n, which can be distinguished by their discriminants, and therefore as many pure inner forms. In the archimedean case, there are $n + 1$ pure interior forms of $U(V)$ corresponding to $U(p, q)$ for $p + q = n$. Note that two distinct pure inner forms of $U(V)$ can be isomorphic (e.g. $U(p, q) \simeq U(q, p)$) but from the point of view of the Langlands correspondence these must be considered separately.

1.4.4   The correspondence

We can now state the local Langlands correspondence for $U(V)$ (and its pure inner forms) in the following informal way. For all $\alpha \in H^1(F, U(V))$, there should exist a partition

$$\mathrm{Irr}(U(V_\alpha)) = \bigsqcup_{\phi \in \Phi(U(V))} \Pi^{U(V_\alpha)}(\phi)$$

into finite (possibly empty) subsets called *L-packets* and for all $\phi \in \Phi(U(V))$ there should exists a bijection

$$\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in H^1(F, U(V))} \Pi^{U(V_\alpha)}(\phi) \simeq \widehat{S_\phi} \tag{3}$$

$$\pi(\varphi, \chi) \hookleftarrow \chi$$

where $\widehat{S_\phi}$ is the group of characters of the finite abelian group S_ϕ. This data must of course satisfy a certain number of properties. In fact, the famous *endoscopic relations*, which we will not explain here, characterize, if it exists, the local Langlands correspondence for the unitary groups from the known correspondence ([28, 32, 53]), for linear groups. These endoscopic relations depend however on a certain choice corresponding to the normalization of *transfer factors*. The composition of the *L*-packets does not depend on this choice but the bijection (3) depends on it. We will give more details about the choices involved in this normalization in section 1.4.7.

1.4.5   Status

In the archimedean case, the local correspondence was constructed by Langlands himself [39] for all real reductive groups from the results of Harish-Chandra. This correspondence verifies the expected endoscopic relations follows from the work of Shelstad [54, 55, 56] and Mezo [42] (see also [13] for the case of unitary groups).

In the non-archimedean case, the correspondence was obtained much more recently by Mok [47] for quasi-split unitary groups and then by Kaletha–Minguez–Shin–White [37] for all unitary groups following the founding work of Arthur [3] on symplectic and orthogonal groups. Until recently these results were still conditional on the stabilization of the twisted trace formula now established in full generality by Waldspurger and Moeglin–Waldspurger in an impressive series of papers [44].

1.4.6 *L*-functions and ε-factors

For a given Langlands parameter $\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to {}^L\mathrm{U}(V)$ we can associate certain arithmetic invariants with it. More precisely, for any algebraic representation $\rho : {}^L\mathrm{U}(V) \to \mathrm{GL}(M)$ where M is a finite dimensional complex vector space, the composition $\rho \circ \phi$ is a representation of the Weil-Deligne group WD_F to which we can associate a local *L*-function $L(s, \rho \circ \phi) = L(s, \rho, \phi)$ and a local ε factor $\varepsilon(s, \rho \circ \phi, \psi_0) = \varepsilon(s, \phi, \rho, \psi_0)$ which depends on the additive character $\psi_0 : F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. The local *L*-functions are meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ without zero while the local epsilon factors are invertible holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$. In the case where F is non-archimedean and $\rho \circ \phi$ is trivial on the factor $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ the *L*-function is defined by

$$L(s, \phi, \rho) = \frac{1}{\det(1 - q^{-s}(\rho \circ \phi)(\mathrm{Frob}_F)|_{M^{I_F}})},$$

where we denote q for the cardinality of the residue field of F, M^{I_F} the subspace of I_F-invarians and Frob_F a (geometric) Frobenius in W_F. We have an analogous formula in the general case if F is non-archimedean (cf. [59] 4.1.6) and if F is archimedean the local L factors are explicit products of gamma functions and powers of π and 2 (cf. [59] §3). Local epsilon factors are much more subtle invariants. Indeed, these must satisfy a certain number of simple properties characterizing them only but their existence is a difficult theorem due independently to Langlands and Deligne ([14]).

Let us mention here a property of these factors that we will need. Let $\varphi : \mathrm{WD}_E \to \mathrm{GL}(M)$ be a (-1)-conjugate-dual representation of the Weil-Deligne group of E. Then, $\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \varphi, \psi) \in \{\pm 1\}$ where we recall that the character $\psi : E \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is trivial on F. Moreover, this epsilon factor depends only on the $\mathrm{N}(E^\times)$-orbit of ψ and in fact does not depend on ψ at all if $\dim(\varphi)$ is even.

1.4.7 **Whittaker datum and normalization of the correspondence**

As explained in 1.4.4, the bijection (3) depends on a choice allowing to normalize certain transfer factors. According to [38], such a choice can be made by fixing a Whittaker datum of a pure inner form of $\mathrm{U}(V)$. More precisely, we first choose a quasi-split pure inner form $\mathrm{U}(V_\alpha)$ of $\mathrm{U}(V)$ having a Borel subgroup $B \subset \mathrm{U}(V_\alpha)$ defined on F. Such a group exists and even it means that by replacing V by V_α (which does not modify the family of pure inner forms), we can assume that we have chosen $\mathrm{U}(V)$ (which we therefore assume quasi-split). A Whittaker data on

$U(V)$ is then a conjugacy class of pairs (N, θ) where N is the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup $B = TN$ defined over F and $\theta : N \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is a *generic* character whose stabilizer in T equals to the center of $U(V)$. There is only one conjugacy class of Whittaker data if $n = \dim(V)$ is odd while if n is even there are two and one can be fixed from the character $\psi : E/F \to \mathbb{S}^1$ in hermitian case and $\psi_0 : F \to \mathbb{S}^1$ in the skew-hermitian case.

1.4.8 Generic, tempered, and discrete L-packets

A Langlands parameter $\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to {}^L U(V)$ is said to be generic if $L(s, \phi, \mathrm{Ad})$ has no pole at $s = 1$ where Ad denotes the adjoint representation of ${}^L U(V)$ on its Lie algebra. The corresponding L-packet $\Pi^{U(V)}(\phi)$ then contains one and only one representation π admitting a Whittaker model for (N, θ) i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}_N(\pi, \theta) \neq 0$ (we then say that π is *generic* with respect to (N, θ)) and moreover this representation corresponds via the bijection (3) to the trivial character of S_ϕ.

A Langlands parameter $\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to {}^L U(V)$ is *tempered* if the projection of the image of W_F onto $\widehat{U(V)}$ is relatively compact. A tempered parameter is automatically generic and the corresponding L-packet $\Pi^{U(V)}(\phi)$ only contains *tempered* representations, i.e. representations which weakly contained in $L^2(U(V))$ (there is also a characterization of tempered representations by a condition of growth of coefficients). In fact, one can reconstruct the Langlands correspondence for $U(V)$ from the correspondence restricted to the tempered parameters of $U(V)$ and its Levi subgroups. This follows from the Langlands classification which makes it possible to obtain all the irreducible representations of a reductive group from the tempered representations of its Levi subgroups by a classical process called parabolic induction.

Finally, a Langlands parameter $\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to {}^L U(V)$ is said to be *discrete* if the centralizer of its image in $\widehat{U(V)}$ is finite. A discrete parameter is automatically tempered (therefore also generic) and determines an L-packet of representations of the discrete series which appear as submodules of $L^2(U(V))$.

1.5 The conjecture

We return to the situation introduced in 1.1 and 1.2. Let us call *pure inner form* of (G, H) a pair (G_α, H_α) obtained in the following way. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^1(F, H)$ and W_α the corresponding (skew-)hermitian space. We then set $V_\alpha = W_\alpha \oplus L$, where L is a space such as $V = W \oplus L$, $H = U(W_\alpha)$ and $G_\alpha = U(W_\alpha) \times H(V_\alpha)$. We again have an injection $H_\alpha \hookrightarrow G_\alpha$ and we define as in section 1.2 a "small" representation

1.5 The conjecture

v_α of H_α (which depends, like v, in the Fourier-Jacobi case on the choices of ψ_0 and μ) as well as a multiplicity function $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G_\alpha) \mapsto m(\pi)$ by

$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{H_\alpha}(\pi, v_\alpha).$$

Note that G_α is then a pure inner form of G but that in general we do not obtain all the pure inner forms of G in this way. The pure inner forms of G thus obtained will be called *relevant*. There always happens to be a relevant pure inner form which is quasi-split. By changing our initial pair if needed, we will therefore assume that G itself is quasi-split. Then we fix the Langlands correspondence for $U(V)$ and $U(W)$ (and their pure inner forms) as in 1.4.7.

Let $\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to {}^L U(V)$ and $\phi' : \mathrm{WD}_F \to {}^L U(W)$ be two Langlands parameters identified with complex representations $\varphi : \mathrm{WD}_E \to \mathrm{GL}(M)$ and $\varphi' : \mathrm{WD}_E \to \mathrm{GL}(N)$ of dimensions $d_V = \dim(V)$ and $d_W = \dim(W)$ and which are $(-1)^{d_V+1}$- and $(-1)^{d_W+1}$-conjugate-dual respectively. According to Gan, Gross and Prasad, we define two characteristics

$$\chi_{\phi,\phi'} : S_\phi \to \{\pm 1\} \text{ and } \chi_{\phi',\phi} : S_{\phi'} \to \{\pm 1\}$$

as follows. Fix non-degenerate forms B and B' on M and N which are $(-1)^{d_V+1}$ and $(-1)^{d_W+1}$-conjugate-dual respectively so we have identifications

$$S_\phi = \mathrm{Aut}(\varphi, B)/\mathrm{Aut}(\varphi, B)^\circ \text{ and } S_{\phi'} = \mathrm{Aut}(\varphi', B)/\mathrm{Aut}(\varphi', B)^\circ.$$

Let $s \in S_\phi$ and $s' \in S_{\phi'}$, regarding as elements of $\mathrm{Aut}(\varphi, B)$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(\varphi', B')$ respectively. In the Bessel case (i.e. $\varepsilon = 1$), we set

$$\chi_{\phi,\phi'}(s) = \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \varphi^{s=-1} \otimes \varphi', \psi_{-2\delta}\right) \text{ and } \chi_{\phi',\phi}(s') = \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \varphi \otimes (\varphi')^{s'=-1}, \psi_{-2\delta}\right)$$

where $\varphi^{s=-1}$ (resp. $(\varphi')^{s'=-1}$) denote the subrepresentation of φ (resp. φ') where s (resp. s') acts as -1, δ is the discriminant of the unique odd-dimensional hermidian space in the pair (W, V) and $\psi_{-2\delta}(x) = \psi(-2\delta x)$. In the Fourier-Jacobi case (i.e. $\varepsilon = -1$), we set

$$\chi_{\phi,\phi'}(s) = \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \varphi^{s=-1} \otimes \varphi' \otimes \mu^{-1}, \psi_\lambda\right) \text{ and } \chi_{\phi',\phi}(s) = \varepsilon\left(\frac{1}{2}, \varphi \otimes (\varphi')^{s=-1} \otimes \mu^{-1}, \psi_\lambda\right)$$

where μ is the multiplicative character of $E^\times$ that we fixed to define the representation v, $\lambda = 1$ in the case where $\dim(V)$ is even and λ is the unique element of $F^\times$ such that $\psi(\lambda x) = \psi_0(\mathrm{Tr}_{E/F}(ex))$ for all $x \in E$ with e the discriminant of the skew-hermitian space V in the case where $\dim(V)$ is odd. In any case, we show that the result does not depend on the choices of representatives of s and s' and thus we have defined the characters of S_ϕ and $S_{\phi'}$ ([18] Theorem 6.1).

Conjecture 1.1 (Gan–Gross–Prasad). Let ϕ and ϕ' be generic Langlands parameters. Then

1. We have $\sum_{\alpha \in H^1(F,H)} \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\alpha}(\phi \times \phi')} m(\pi) = 1$.

2. More precisely, for all pair of charaters $(\chi, \chi') \in \widehat{S_\phi} \times \widehat{S_{\phi'}}$ such that $\pi(\phi, \chi) \boxtimes \pi(\phi', \chi')$ is a representation of a pure inner form of G, we have

$$m(\pi(\phi, \chi) \boxtimes \pi(\phi', \chi')) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \chi = \chi_{\phi,\phi'} \text{ and } \chi = \chi_{\phi',\phi}.$$

1.6 Status

1.6.1 Bessel case

In a series of four seminal papers [62, 63, 64, 65], Waldspurger established the analogue of conjecture 1.1 for orthogonal special groups (an analogue which exists only in the Bessel case) when F is p-adic and when ϕ and ϕ' are tempered Langlands parameters. This result was then extended by Moeglin and Waldspurger [46] to all generic parameters. In my thesis [6, 7, 9] I adapted Waldspurger's method in order to prove conjecture 1.1 in the Bessel case and for tempered Langlands parameters of p-adic unitary groups. The extension to all generic L-packets was done by Gan and Ichino in [20] (section 9.3) crucially using a result of Heiermann [31] which generalizes part of the Moeglin–Waldspurger argument. Still following the method initiated by Waldspurger, I established in [8] the property of multiplicity one in L-packets (ie the 1 of conjecture 1.1) still for tempered parameters and in the Bessel case but this time for real unitary groups. The preliminary work carried out in [8] should make it possible to completely adapt Waldspurger's method for archimedean fields and thus to obtain a complete proof of the conjecture in the Bessel case (for unitary groups). Unfortunately, the sequel to [8] has not yet been written. Finally, by a completely different method using theta correspondence and specific to the archimedean case, Hongyu He obtained in [30] a proof of the conjecture in the Bessel case for the discrete Langlands parameters of real unitary groups.

1.6.2 Fourier-Jacobi case

For p-adic fields and shortly after the proof of the conjecture in the Bessel case, Gan and Ichino [20] showed how, by using the local theta correspondence, one could deduce the conjecture in the Fourier–Jacobi case. This method was later adapted by Hiraku Atobe [4] to establish the analogue of conjecture 1.1 for

symplectic/metaplectic groups (analogue that only exists in the Fourier–Jacobi case) over a p-adic field. On the other hand, the Fourier–Jacobi case of the conjecture remains completely open for archimedean fields.

1.7   Brief overview of proofs

1.7.1   The Bessel case

We present here a rapid overview of the method initiated by Waldspurger, and adapted by the author to the case of unitary groups, to prove the local conjecture in the Bessel case for tempered representations. This method is based on an integral formula calculating the multiplicity $m(\pi)$ when π is tempered. Let us first present this formula in the simplest case, i.e. when the groups G and H are compact (this can happen at any rank for the real groups but over the p-adic fields it implies $\dim(V) \leq 2$). The representation π is then of finite dimension and has a character θ_π defined by $\theta_\pi(g) = \mathrm{Tr}(\pi(g))$ for all $g \in G$. By the orthogonality relations between characters we immediately get

$$m(\pi) = \int_H \theta_\pi(h)\mathrm{d}h$$

where $\mathrm{d}h$ is the unique Haar measure on H of total mass 1. By the Weyl's integration formula, this can be written as

$$m(\pi) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(H)} |W(H,T)|^{-1} \int_T D^H(t)\theta_\pi(t)\mathrm{d}t \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{T}(H)$ denotes a set of representatives of the conjugacy classes of maximal tori in H, $W(H,T)$ is the Weyl group $N_H(T)/T$ where $N_H(T)$ is the normalizer of T in H and $D^H(t) = |\det(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(t))_{\mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{t}}|$ is the Weyl discriminant (with $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{t}$ the Lie algebras of H and T respectively). In the case of real compact groups, we know explicit formulas (also due to Weyl) for the characters θ_π and the above formula then makes it possible to find directly the branching law presented in the introduction (note that in this case $\mathcal{T}(H)$ is reduced to one element).

Waldspurger's method makes it possible to generalize formula (4) to groups that are not necessarily compact. Several difficulties then arise. First of all, the character θ_π no longer has any meaning *a priori* since the representation π is in general of infinite dimension and the operators $\pi(g)$, $g \in G$ are not trace-class. A very deep result of Harish-Chandra nevertheless allows us to define such a character $\theta_\pi(g)$. More precisely, Harish-Chandra first defines a character

1.7 Brief overview of proofs

distribution $f \in C_c^\infty(G) \mapsto \theta_\pi(f) := \mathrm{Tr}(\pi(f))$ where $\pi(f) = \int_G f(g)\pi(g)\mathrm{d}g$ (we show without too much difficulty that these operators are of trace-class; they even have finite rank for p-adic groups) and proves that this distribution is representable by a locally integrable function θ_π on G with nice properties. In particular, this function is smooth (i.e. locally constant in the p-adic case) on the open locus G_{reg} of regular semi-simple elements and Harish-Chandra even described the singularities that θ_π can have in the neighborhood of the singular elements. Since intersections of maximal tori of H with G_{reg} are open and have negligible complements, the formula (4) makes sense in general (modulo convergence issue). However, the Waldspurger's formula differs from (4) by two aspects. First, not all maximal tori contribute to it, but only those that are compact (which essentially settles questions of convergence) Secondly, certain tori are not maximal (but still compact). This last property implies in particular the existence of non-negligible contributions from certain singular conjugacy classes which requires defining a regularization $x \mapsto c_\pi(x)$ of the character θ_π at these points. When $\dim(V) = 2$ (so that $\dim(W) = 1$ and H is itself a torus) but when the group G is not compact the formula has the following form

$$m(\pi) = \int_H \theta_\pi(t)\mathrm{d}t + c_\pi(1). \tag{5}$$

Thus, here the only singular contribution comes from the trivial conjugacy class. We refer to the introductions of [63] (for the case of orthogonal groups) and [9] for more details on the formula in the general case. Now let's explain briefly how we can deduce from formulas (4) and (5) the first part of conjecture 1.1 in the case of $\dim(V) = 2$ and F is p-adic. More precisely, we denote (G_i, H_i) for the unique pure inner form with quasi-split G_i (hence non-compact) and (G_a, H_a) the only other pure inner form which is, in contrary, compact. Let $\phi : \mathrm{WD}_F \to {}^L G_i = {}^L G_a$ be a tempered Langlands parameter. Then each of the L-packets $\Pi^{G_i}(\phi)$ and $\Pi^{G_a}(\phi)$ can contain at most two elements (and $\Pi^{G_a}(\phi)$ can be empty). Let $\theta_{\phi,\natural} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_\natural}(\phi)} \theta_\pi$ for $\natural \in \{i, a\}$. By (4) and (5), we have $\sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_a}(\phi)} m(\pi) = \int_{H_a} \theta_{\phi,a}(h)\mathrm{d}h$ and $\sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_i}(\phi)} m(\pi) = \int_{H_i} \theta_{\phi,i}(h)\mathrm{d}h + c_{\phi,i}(1)$ where $c_{\phi,i}(1)$ is a certain regularization of $\theta_{\phi,i}$ at 1. Since the groups H_i and H_a are unitary groups of rank one, we have a natural isomorphism $H_i \simeq H_a$ and furthermore via this isomorphism we have the equality $\theta_{\phi,i}(h) = -\theta_{\phi,a}(h)$ (this is the simplest example of the famous *endoscopic relations*). By summing the two formulas, we therefore obtain

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Pi^{G_i}(\phi) \cup \Pi^{G_a}(\phi)} m(\pi) = c_{\phi,i}(1).$$

Finally, according to a result of Rodier [52] and the definition of $c_{\phi,i}(1)$ (which we have not given here), this last term counts the number of generic representations in the L-packet $\Pi^{G_i}(\phi)$ corresponding to a certain Whittaker datum. Since ϕ is generic this number becomes 1, which concludes the proof. The same idea (slightly more elaborate) leads to a proof for genera ranks of the first part of conjecture 1.1 from Waldspurger's formula.

To obtain the second part of the conjecture, Waldspurger introduces a second essential ingredient: an integral formula, analogous to the previous one, expressing certain epsilon factors of pairs. In the context of the conjecture for unitary groups, this formula expresses more precisely a factor of the form $\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \pi', \psi)$, where π and π' are tempered irreducible representations of $GL_k(E)$ and $GL_l(E)$ which are conjugate-dual (i.e. $\pi^\sigma \simeq \pi^\vee$ and $(\pi')^\sigma \simeq (\pi')^\vee$) with $k \not\equiv l \bmod 2$ as a function of "twisted" characters associated with π and π' (more precisely the restriction to the connected component of the identity of the extension of characters of π and π' to $GL_i^+(E) = GL_i(E) \rtimes \langle \theta_i \rangle$ where $\theta_i g \theta_i^{-1} = {}^t(g^\sigma)^{-1}$ for $i = k, l$). Here $\varepsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi)$ is a certain epsilon factor defined by Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, and Shalika [35] and which is equal to the Artin's epsilon factor $\varepsilon(s, \phi \otimes \phi', \psi)$ where $\phi : WD_E \to GL_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $\phi' : WD_E \to GL_l(\mathbb{C})$ are the Langlands parameters of π and π' obtained via the local Langlands correspondence for linear groups (proved by Harris-Taylor [28], Henniart [32] and more recently Scholze [53]; this compatibility with the ε factors of pairs is moreover an essential ingredient to characterize this correspondence). We will not give more details on this formula (nor on its proof) and we will simply refer the reader to the introductions of [64] and [6] for more details. This formula for the epsilon factors of pairs has not yet been proved in the archimedean case and is the missing part to finish the proof of conjecture 1.1 in general.

Finally, the last part of the proof for tempered representations [65], [7] consists of relating the two previous formulas, for the multiplicity $m(\pi)$ and for the epsilon factors of pairs, via the *endoscopic relations* between characters. Indeed, these relations which, let us recall, characterize the local correspondence for unitary groups, essentially make it possible to express the character of any tempered representation of G from "twisted" characters on linear groups as above. Then we come across, quite miraculously, an expression of $m(\pi)$, for a tempered representation π of G, in terms of epsilon factors of pairs which is exactly the formula predicted by the Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture.

1.7 Brief overview of proofs

1.7.2 The Fourier–Jacobi case

We explain here the outline of the proof by Gan and Ichino [20] of conjecture 1.1 in the Fourier–Jacobi case. For this, we need to make some reminders about the local theta correspondence for unitary groups. For simplicity, we will restrict ourselves to the case of tempered representations.

Recall that a *reductive dual pair* of a symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{W})$ is a pair (U_1, U_2) of reductive subgroups of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{W})$ which are centralizers of each other. Let W_n be an n-dimensional skew-hermitian space, V_{n+1} an $(n+1)$-dimensional hermitian space, $V_n \subset V_{n+1}$ a non-degenerate hyperplane and V_1 the orthogonal complement of V_n in V_{n+1}. Then in the symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_{n+1})$ we have the following two dual reductive pairs

$$(\mathrm{U}(W_n), \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})) \text{ and } (\mathrm{U}(W_n) \times \mathrm{U}(W_n), \mathrm{U}(V_n) \times \mathrm{U}(V_1))$$

where the action of the first pair on $W_n \otimes V_{n+1}$ is the obvious action while the action of the second pair respects the decomposition $W_n \otimes V_{n+1} = (W_n \otimes V_n) \oplus (W_n \otimes V_1)$. Moreover, we have inclusions $\mathrm{U}(W_n) \subset \mathrm{U}(W_n) \times \mathrm{U}(W_n)$ and $\mathrm{U}(V_n) \times \mathrm{U}(V_1) \subset \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})$. We summarize the situation in the form of the following diagram, called "see-saw" diagram (or *scissor* diagram),

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{U}(W_n) \times \mathrm{U}(W_n) & & \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1}) \\
\big| & \times & \big| \\
\mathrm{U}(W_n) & & \mathrm{U}(V_n) \times \mathrm{U}(V_1).
\end{array}
\tag{6}
$$

The character $\mu : E^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ which we have fixed, and whose restriction to $F^\times$ coincides with $\mathrm{sgn}_{E/F}$, makes it possible to identify all these groups in the metaplectic covering $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_{n+1})$. Let $\omega_{\psi_0, W_n, V_{n+1}}$ be the Weil representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_{n+1})$ associated with the additive character ψ_0. For any irreducible representation σ of $\mathrm{U}(W_n)$, the maximal σ-isotypic quotient of $\omega_{\psi_0, W_n, V_{n+1}}$ is of the form $\sigma \boxtimes \Theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ where $\Theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ is a representation of $\mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})$ which always happens to be of finite length. Moreover, if this representation is non zero then it admits a unique irreducible quotient according to [62] and [16] (this is the famous "Howe's duality conjecture"). Let's denote this irreducible quotient as $\theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ when it exists. Then, the partially defined map $\sigma \mapsto \theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ gives a bijection between a part of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(W_n))$ and a part of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V_{n+1}))$: this is what called the local theta correspondence. We define in the same way a map $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V_n)) \mapsto \Theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi)$ with values in the representations of finite lengths of $\mathrm{U}(W_n)$ and

a partially defined map $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V_n)) \mapsto \theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(W_n))$ by realizing $(\mathrm{U}(V_n), \mathrm{U}(W_n))$ as a dual reductive pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} V_n \otimes W_n)$ (also in the metaplectic cover as before). The restriction of the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi_0, W_n, V_{n+1}}$ to $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_n) \times \mathrm{Mp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_1)$ via the natural morphism $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_n) \times \mathrm{Mp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_1) \to \mathrm{Mp}(\mathrm{Res}_{E/F} W_n \otimes V_{n+1})$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $\omega_{\psi_0, W_n, V_n} \boxtimes \omega_{\psi_0, W_n, V_1}$. It follows by the diagram (6) that there is a natural isomorphism (the "see-saw" identity)

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}(W_n)}(\Theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi) \otimes \omega_{\psi_0, W_n, V_1}, \sigma) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{U}(V_n)}(\Theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma), \pi) \qquad (7)$$

for all representations $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(V_n))$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{U}(W_n))$. The contragredient of the Weil representation $\omega_{\psi_0, W_n, V_1}$ is $\omega_{\psi_0^{-1}, W_n, V_1}$, the space on the left hand side is essentially the space of Fourier–Jacobi functionals on the representation $\Theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi) \boxtimes \sigma^\vee$ of the group $\mathrm{U}(W_n) \times \mathrm{U}(W_n)$ while the space on the right hand side is the space of the Bessel functionals on the representation $\pi^\vee \boxtimes \Theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ of the group $\mathrm{U}(V_n) \times \mathrm{U}(V_{n+1})$. Thus the identity (7) relates the Bessel and Fourier–Jacobi cases of the conjecture and to deduce the Fourier-Jacobi case from the Bessel case, at least for tempered representations, it is roughly sufficient to

– Show that for tempered π and σ the representations $\Theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi)$ and $\Theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ are zero or irreducible (in which case they coincide with $\theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi)$ and $\theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ respectively).

– Explain the local theta correspondences $\pi \mapsto \theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi)$ and $\sigma \mapsto \theta_{W_n, V_{n+1}}(\sigma)$ in terms of the local Langlands correspondence.

– Show that by changing the hermitian space V_n if necessary, the local theta correspondence $\pi \mapsto \theta_{W_n, V_n}(\pi)$ restricted to tempered representations is surjective.

The first and third points had already been established by Gan and Ichino in [19] for another purpose. Moreover, Prasad [48, 49] had stated precise conjectures concerning the second point. These conjectures are demonstrated in [20] by Gan and Ichino by methods that we will not describe here.

References

[1] AIZENBUD, A. A partial analog of the integrability theorem for distributions on p-adic spaces and applications. *Israel Journal of Mathematics 193* (2013), 233–262.

[2] AIZENBUD, A., GOUREVITCH, D., RALLIS, S., AND SCHIFFMANN, G. Multiplicity one theorems. *Annals of Mathematics* (2010), 1407–1434.

[3] ARTHUR, J. *The Endoscopic classification of representations orthogonal and symplectic groups*, vol. 61. American Mathematical Soc., 2013.

[4] ATOBE, H. The local theta correspondence and the local gan–gross–prasad conjecture for the symplectic-metaplectic case. *Mathematische Annalen 371* (2018), 225–295.

[5] BEUZARD-PLESSIS, R. Factorisations de périodes et formules de plancherel. *Peccot lecture series, held at the Collège de France, Paris, April* (2017).

[6] BEUZART-PLESSIS, R. Expression d'un facteur epsilon de paire par une formule intégrale. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics 66*, 5 (2014), 993–1049.

[7] BEUZART-PLESSIS, R. Endoscopie et conjecture locale raffinée de gan–gross–prasad pour les groupes unitaires. *Compositio Mathematica 151*, 7 (2015), 1309–1371.

[8] BEUZART-PLESSIS, R. A local trace formula for the local gan-gross-prasad conjecture for unitary groups: The archimedean case. *preprint* (2015).

[9] BEUZART-PLESSIS, R. La conjecture locale de gross-prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes unitaires. *Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France 149* (2016), 191p.

[10] BEUZART-PLESSIS, R. Comparison of local relative characters and the ichino–ikeda conjecture for unitary groups. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 20*, 6 (2021), 1803–1854.

[11] CASSELMAN, W. Canonical extensions of harish-chandra modules to representations of g. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics 41*, 3 (1989), 385–438.

[12] CHAUDOUARD, P.-H., AND ZYDOR, M. Le transfert singulier pour la formule des traces de jacquet–rallis. *Compositio Mathematica 157*, 2 (2021), 303–434.

[13] CLOZEL, L. Changement de base pour les représentations tempérées des groupes réductifs réels. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure* (1982), vol. 15, pp. 45–115.

[14] DELIGNE, P. Les constantes des équations fonctionnelles des fonctions l. In *Modular Functions of One Variable II: Proceedings International Summer*

School University of Antwerp, RUCA July 17–August 3, 1972 (1973), Springer, pp. 501–597.

[15] FLICKER, Y. Z. Twisted tensors and euler products. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France 116*, 3 (1988), 295–313.

[16] GAN, W., AND TAKEDA, S. On the howe duality conjecture in classical theta correspondence. *Advances in the Theory of Automorphic Forms and Their L-functions* (2016), 105–117.

[17] GAN, W. T. Recent progress on the gross–prasad conjecture. *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica 39* (2014), 11–33.

[18] GAN, W. T., GROSS, B. H., AND PRASAD, D. Symplectic local root numbers, central critical l-values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups. *Astérisque* (2011), No–pp.

[19] GAN, W. T., AND ICHINO, A. Formal degrees and local theta correspondence. *Inventiones mathematicae 195* (2014), 509–672.

[20] GAN, W. T., AND ICHINO, A. The gross–prasad conjecture and local theta correspondence. *Inventiones mathematicae 206* (2016), 705–799.

[21] GINZBURG, D., JIANG, D., AND RALLIS, S. Models for certain residual representations of unitary groups. automorphic forms and l-functions i. global aspects, 125–146. *Contemp. Math 488*.

[22] GINZBURG, D., JIANG, D., AND RALLIS, S. On the nonvanishing of the central value of the rankin-selberg l-functions. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society 17*, 3 (2004), 679–722.

[23] GINZBURG, D., JIANG, D., AND RALLIS, S. On the nonvanishing of the central value of the rankin-selberg l-functions, ii, automorphic representations, l-functions and applications: Progress and prospects, 157-191. *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ 11* (2005).

[24] GOODMAN, R., WALLACH, N. R., ET AL. *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, vol. 255. Springer, 2009.

[25] GROBNER, H., HARRIS, M., AND LIN, J. Deligne's conjecture for automorphic motives over cm-fields. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02958* (2018).

[26] Gross, B. H., and Prasad, D. On the decomposition of a representation of SO_n when restricted to SO_{n-1}. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics 44*, 5 (1992), 974–1002.

[27] Gross, B. H., and Prasad, D. On irreducible representations of $SO_{2n+1} \times SO_{2m}$. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics 46*, 5 (1994), 930–950.

[28] Harris, M., and Taylor, R. *The Geometry and Cohomology of Some Simple Shimura Varieties.(AM-151), Volume 151*, vol. 151. Princeton university press, 2001.

[29] Harris, R. N. The refined gross–prasad conjecture for unitary groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices 2014*, 2 (2014), 303–389.

[30] He, H. On the gan–gross–prasad conjecture for u (p, q). *Inventiones mathematicae 209* (2017), 837–884.

[31] Heiermann, V. A note on standard modules and vogan l-packets. *manuscripta mathematica 150* (2016), 571–583.

[32] Henniart, G. Une preuve simple des conjectures de langlands pour $GL(n)$ sur un corps *p*-adique. *Inventiones mathematicae 139* (2000), 439–455.

[33] Ichino, A. Trilinear forms and the central values of triple product l-functions.

[34] Ichino, A., and Ikeda, T. On the periods of automorphic forms on special orthogonal groups and the gross–prasad conjecture. *Geometric and Functional Analysis 19* (2010), 1378–1425.

[35] Jacquet, H., Piatetskii-Shapiro, I. I., and Shalika, J. A. Rankin-selberg convolutions. *American journal of mathematics 105*, 2 (1983), 367–464.

[36] Jacquet, H., and Rallis, S. On the gross-prasad conjecture for unitary groups. *On certain L-functions 13* (2011), 205–264.

[37] Kaletha, T., Minguez, A., Shin, S. W., and White, P.-J. Endoscopic classification of representations: inner forms of unitary groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.3731* (2014).

[38] Kottwitz, R. E., and Shelstad, D. Foundations of twisted endoscopy. *Astérisque 255* (1999), 1–190.

[39] LANGLANDS, R. P. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups 31* (1989), 101–170.

[40] LAPID, E. M. The relative trace formula and its applications. *Automorphic Forms and Automorphic L-Functions 1468* (2006), 76–87.

[41] LIU, Y. Relative trace formulae toward bessel and fourier–jacobi periods on unitary groups. *Manuscripta Mathematica 145* (2014), 1–69.

[42] MEZO, P. Tempered spectral transfer in the twisted endoscopy of real groups. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 15*, 3 (2016), 569–612.

[43] MŒGLIN, C., VIGNÉRAS, M.-F., AND WALDSPURGER, J.-L. *Correspondances de Howe sur un corps p-adique*, vol. 1291. Springer, 2006.

[44] MOEGLIN, C., AND WALDSPURGER, J.-L. *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue*. Springer.

[45] MŒGLIN, C., AND WALDSPURGER, J.-L. *Décomposition spectrale et séries d'Eisenstein: une paraphrase de l'écriture*, vol. 113. Springer Science & Business Media, 1994.

[46] MŒGLIN, C., AND WALDSPURGER, J.-L. La conjecture locale de gross-prasad pour les groupes spéciaux orthogonaux: Le cas général par. *Astérisque 347* (2012), 167–216.

[47] MOK, C. P. *Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups*, vol. 235. American Mathematical Society, 2015.

[48] PRASAD, D. On the local howe duality correspondence. *International mathematics research notices 1993*, 11 (1993), 279–287.

[49] PRASAD, D. Theta correspondence for unitary groups. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics 194*, 2 (2000), 427–438.

[50] PRASANNA, K. A., AND VENKATESH, A. Automorphic cohomology, motivic cohomology, and the adjoint l-function. *Astérisque 428* (2021).

[51] RAMAKRISHNAN, D. A mild tchebotarev theorem for GL(n). *Journal of Number Theory 146* (2015), 519–533.

[52] RODIER, F. Modèle de whittaker et caractères de représentations. In *Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis: Actes du Colloque d'Analyse Harmonique Non Commutative, Marseille-Luminy, 1 au 5 Juillet 1974* (2006), Springer, pp. 151–171.

[53] SCHOLZE, P. The local langlands correspondence for GL_n over p-adic fields. *Inventiones mathematicae 192* (2013), 663–715.

[54] SHELSTAD, D. L-indistinguishability for real groups. *Mathematische Annalen 259*, 3 (1982), 385–430.

[55] SHELSTAD, D. Tempered endoscopy for real groups. i. geometric transfer with canonical factors. *Representation theory of real reductive Lie groups 472* (2008), 215–246.

[56] SHELSTAD, D. Tempered endoscopy for real groups. ii. spectral transfer factors. *Automorphic forms and the Langlands program 9* (2010), 236–276.

[57] SUN, B. Multiplicity one theorems for fourier-jacobi models. *American Journal of Mathematics 134*, 6 (2012), 1655–1678.

[58] SUN, B., AND ZHU, C.-B. Multiplicity one theorems: the archimedean case. *Annals of Mathematics* (2012), 23–44.

[59] TATE, J. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part* (1979), vol. 2, pp. 3–26.

[60] VOGAN, D. A. The local langlands conjecture. *Representation theory of groups and algebras* (1993), 305–379.

[61] WALDSPURGER, J.-L. Sur les valeurs de certaines fonctions l automorphes en leur centre de symétrie. *Compositio Mathematica 54*, 2 (1985), 173–242.

[62] WALDSPURGER, J.-L. Démonstration d'une conjecture de dualité de howe dans le cas p-adique, $p \neq 2$. *(No Title)* (1990), 267.

[63] WALDSPURGER, J.-L. Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de gross–prasad. *Compositio Mathematica 146*, 5 (2010), 1180–1290.

[64] WALDSPURGER, J.-L. Calcul d'une valeur d'un facteur ε par une formule intégrale par. *Astérisque 347* (2012), 1–102.

[65] WALDSPURGER, J.-L. La conjecture locale de gross-prasad pour les représentations tempérées des groupes spéciaux orthogonaux. *Ast\'{e} risque*, 347 (2012), 103.

[66] WALDSPURGER, J.-L. Une formule intégrale reliée à la conjecture locale de gross-prasad, 2e partie: extension aux représentations tempérées. *Ast\'{e} risque*, 346 (2012), 171.

[67] WALLACH, N. R. Real reductive groups. ii, volume 132 of. *Pure and Applied Mathematics*.

[68] WEIL, A. *Adeles and algebraic groups*, vol. 23. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[69] WEYL, H. *The classical groups: their invariants and representations*, vol. 45. Princeton university press, 1946.

[70] XUE, H. The gan–gross–prasad conjecture for $U(n) \times U(n)$. *Advances in Mathematics 262* (2014), 1130–1191.

[71] XUE, H. Fourier–jacobi periods and the central value of rankin–selberg l-functions. *Israel Journal of Mathematics 212* (2016), 547–633.

[72] XUE, H. Fourier–jacobi periods and local spherical character identities.

[73] XUE, H. Refined global gan–gross–prasad conjecture for fourier–jacobi periods on symplectic groups. *Compositio Mathematica 153*, 1 (2017), 68–131.

[74] XUE, H. On the global gan–gross–prasad conjecture for unitary groups: approximating smooth transfer of jacquet–rallis. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) 2019*, 756 (2019), 65–100.

[75] YUN, Z., AND GORDON, J. The fundamental lemma of jacquet and rallis. *Duke Math. J. 156*, 1 (2011), 167–227.

[76] ZHANG, W. Automorphic period and the central value of rankin-selberg l-function. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society 27*, 2 (2014), 541–612.

[77] ZHANG, W. Fourier transform and the global gan—gross—prasad conjecture for unitary groups. *Annals of Mathematics 180*, 3 (2014), 971–1049.

[78] ZYDOR, M. La variante infinitésimale de la formule des traces de jacquet—rallis pour les groupes unitaires. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics 68*, 6 (2016), 1382–1435.

[79] Zydor, M. La variante infinitésimale de la formule des traces de jacquet-rallis pour les groupes linéaires. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu 17*, 4 (2018), 735–783.

[80] Zydor, M. Les formules des traces relatives de jacquet–rallis grossières. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) 2020*, 762 (2020), 195–259.