## SOLUTION FOR "AN INTRODUCTION TO AUTOMORPHIC REPRESENTATION"

## SEEWOO LEE

ABSTRACT. This is a solution for the exercises in J. Getz and H. Hahn's book  $An\ Introduction\ to\ Automorphic\ Representation\ with\ a\ view\ toward\ Trace\ Formulae.$ 

## 1. Chapter 1

**Problem 1.1** \*\*\* By Yoneda lemma, the morphism  $\operatorname{Spec}(B) \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$  of affine schemes corresponds to the k-algebra morphism  $\phi: A \to B$ . This induces a map on the underlying topological spaces by sending a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$  to  $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset A$ , which is also prime.

**Problem 1.2** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.3** By Yoneda lemma, we have

$$\operatorname{Mor}(\operatorname{Spec}(B),\operatorname{Spec}(A)) \simeq \operatorname{Nat}(h^B,h^A) \simeq h^B(A) = \operatorname{Hom}_k(A,B)$$

which gives an equivalence between  $\mathbf{AffSch}_{k}^{\mathrm{op}}$  and  $\mathbf{Alg}_{k}$ .

**Problem 1.4** • Nonreduced: Spec( $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^2)$ )

- Reducible:  $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x,y]/(x,y))$
- Reduced and irreducible (i.e. integral):  $Spec(\mathbb{C}[x])$

**Problem 1.5** We can assume that  $Y = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$  and  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A/I)$  for some k-algebra A and an ideal I of A. Then it is enough to show that the map  $\operatorname{Hom}(A/I,R) \to \operatorname{Hom}(A,R)$ , given by composing with the natural map  $\pi: A \to A/I$ , is injective. This follows from the surjectivity of  $\pi$ .

**Problem 1.6** Let  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A), Y = \operatorname{Spec}(B), Z = \operatorname{Spec}(C)$ . Then the statement is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Hom}(A \otimes_B C, R) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(A, R) \times_{\operatorname{Hom}(B, R)} \operatorname{Hom}(C, R).$$

We can define a bijection as follows. First, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\iota_A} & A \otimes_B C \\
 & & & & \iota_C \\
 & & & & & \downarrow_C \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow_C
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\iota_A} & A \otimes_B C \\
 & & & & & \downarrow_C \\
 & & & & & \downarrow_C
\end{array}$$

Using the maps above, we define a map from LHS to RHS as  $\phi \mapsto (\phi \iota_A, \phi \iota_C)$ . Since  $\iota_A \alpha = \iota_C \gamma$ , we have  $\phi \iota_A \alpha = \phi \iota_C \gamma$  and the map is well-defined. For the other direction, for given  $(f,g): A \times C \to R$  with  $f\alpha = g\gamma$ , universal property of the tensor product gives a unique map  $\phi: A \otimes_B C \to R$  with  $f = \phi \iota_A$  and  $g = \phi \iota_C$ . We can check that these maps are inverses for each other.

**Problem 1.7** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.8** \*\*\* We define an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra A as

$$A = \mathbb{R}[(x_{ij}, y_{ij})_{1 \le i, j \le n}]/I$$

where I is an ideal generated by elements of the form

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{ik}^{2} + y_{ik}^{2})\right) - 1,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{ik}x_{jk} - y_{ik}y_{jk}), \quad i \neq j$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{ij}y_{jk} + y_{ik}x_{jk}), \quad i \neq j$$

for  $1 \le i, j \le n$ .

**Problem 1.9** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.10** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.11** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.12** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.13** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.14** \*\*\*

**Problem 1.15** \*\*\*