BLG336E ANALYSIS OF ALGORITHMS 2

Project 1 Report



How does your algorithm work? Write your pseudo-code.

BFS:

Initiate State vector royals to store unique states

Initiate 2D State vector L to contain layers

Create discovered array false in initialization

BFS edge tree empty

Initiate idCounter to 1

Set the layer counter iy=0

Set win condition won to false

While L[iy] is not empty and won is false

İnitiate L[iy+1]

For each State in L[iy]

For each block in State

Check if the block can move then

Check if the end state is not inside the royals then

Push the state to L[iy+1]

Have state parents of its before state and before state is

included

Set the state's id to idCounter

Set discovered[idCounter] true

Increment idCounter

Add the edge of current state to found state to BFS

Check if the found state meets win condition then

Make won true

Break the loop

Add last element in royals to its parent vector

DFS:

dfs edge tree

state vector royalFamily to prevent cycles

state stack waitSide to push possible edges

push initial state to waitSide

bool array explored initiated false

win condition won set to false

idCounter set to 1

state dontWait to save popped states

while waitSide is not empty and won is false

Take the top state from waitSide

if explored[top.id] is false then

Set explored[top.id] to true

Check if state top is not accured before then

Add edge of its parent to it to bfs

For each block in current state

Check if it can move

Check if the next move is not accured before then

Add current state to waitSide

Add next state to waitSide

Set current state to next state's parent

Set next state's id to idCounter

Add next state to royalFamily

Increment idCounter

Check if win condition met then
Set won true

Break the loop

Add an edge consisting of waitSide's top state to itself to bfs

Add waitSide's top state to royalFamily

	BFS	DFS
Number of nodes generated	309	78
Maximum number of nodes	309	142
kept in the memory		
Running time	4.4e-5	8.7e-5

Explain your classes and your methods. What are their purposes?

There are 3 classes in my implementation: State, Block and Edge.

```
class Block //block class
{
public:
    Block(int a, int b, int d, char c)
    { x = a; y = b; direction = c; length = d; };
    Block() {};
    void setX(int a) { x = a; };
    void setY(int a) { y = a; };
    void setDirection(char a) { direction = a; };
    void setLength(int a) { length = a; };
    int getLength() { return length; };
    int getX() { return x; };
    int getY() { return y; };
    char getDirection() { return direction; };
private:
    int x;
    int y;
    int length;
    char direction;
};
```

Block consists of block's properties and its get set methods.

```
class edge { //edge class
public:
    int u;
    int v;
    edge() {};
    edge(int a, int b) { u = a; v = b; };
};
```

Edge consists of u to v edge ids.

```
3class State //state class
 public:
    vector<State> parents;
    vector<Block> blocks;
    int id;
    vector<vector<int > > fullMat;
    void matFill();
     void printState();
    bool isWon();
    State moveLeft(int order);
    State moveUp(int order);
    State moveRight(int order);
    State moveDown(int order);
     bool canLeft(int order);
     bool canRight(int order);
     bool canUp(int order);
     bool canDown(int order);
     bool noPath(State);
    State() {
    };
     State(int a) {
         id = a;
    };
    State operator=(State a) { //
        this->parents = a.parents;
        this->blocks = a.blocks;
        this->fullMat = a.fullMat;
        this->id = a.id;
        return *this;
    };
private:
};
```

State is the class implementation mainly carried out. It contains a game mat visualized in 2d vector, Block vector to keep track on the blocks it has on the mat, an id to specify each state when implementing bfs and dfs lastly, parents state vector to keep on track of the path for dfs and only one parent for the bfs implementation.

```
bool State::canRight(int order) {
   if (blocks[order].getDirection() == 'h')
        return false;
   if (blocks[order].getX() != 5 && (fullMat[blocks[order].getX() + 1][blocks[order].getY()]) == 0)
   {
      return true;
   }
   else
      return false;
}
```

canDirection methods: to check if a block is moveable in sapecific direction.

```
State State::moveUp(int order) {
    State temp = *this;
    int label = temp.fullMat[temp.blocks[order].getX()][temp.blocks[order].getY()];
    temp.fullMat[temp.blocks[order].getX()][temp.blocks[order].getY()] = 0;
    temp.fullMat[temp.blocks[order].getX()][temp.blocks[order].getY() + temp.blocks[order].getLength()] = label;
    temp.blocks[order].setY(temp.blocks[order].getY() + 1);
    return temp;
}
```

moveDirection methods: to move the blocks and update their values.

isWon: to check if the right side of the target block is empty and the win condition met.

noPath: to check if the given state was not accured before in current state's parents.

matFill: to fill the mat of the first state with respect to properties of its Block vector.

maxMoveCalc: to calculate maximum number of moves can be done on the game to initiate dynamic explored and discovered arrays.

```
void printPath(vector<State> arr) { //prints states of the path on console as its requested in txt format|
void printStatePath(vector<State> arr) { //prints mats of the states of given vector on console
void printToFile(string fileName, vector<State> arr) { //prints states of the path on file
void printToFileDFS(string fileName, vector<edge> arr, vector<State> arr2) { //prints states of the path on console
void printStatePathBFS(vector<edge> arr, vector<State> arr2) { //prints states of the path on console
void printStatePathDFS(vector<edge> arr, vector<State> arr2) { //prints states of the path on console
```

There are some print functions implemented as well.

2)

What is the extra complexity that is caused by the cycle search?

My cycle check functions runs everytime a node to be added to bfs or dfs tree, scannning all the before unique states. If any matches it breaks the loop, other wise goes through all of it.

Leaving us an estimation of $\Theta(n^*n)$, n search for nth node.

If you use adjacency list representation, how does the complexity of your algorithm change?

It would be reduces to $\Theta(m+n)$ complexity for both traversing implementations.

This course's Graph slide is used on preparing of this report and the implementation of the codes of the program.