

# Spacetime as Polar Optics: A 4D Radial–Angular Geometry for Unifying Gravity and Quantum

Sefunmi Ashiru, Sophia Hart, Muhammad Zeeshan Hanif  
*Theoretical Systems and Quantum Geometry, Poughkeepsie, NY*  
 (Dated: August 2025)

This paper aims to formalize a unification ansatz in which both gravitational dynamics and quantum phenomena inhabit the *same* four-dimensional geometry: time is represented as a radial coordinate  $r = ct$  emanating from a start event, while distinct “versions” (appearances) of that event correspond to different angular perspectives  $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$  of a single history. A universal complex field  $\mathcal{A} = |\mathcal{A}|e^{i\phi}$  models compactified standing-frequency excitations (“light” broadly speaking), whose phase gradients generate stress and whose stress sources curvature through Einstein’s equations. Measurement is recast as selecting frequency/phase within an angular kernel, so Born weights appear as geometric *angular* weights on 4D light-cone slices. We articulate *luck as polar optic mechanics*: apparent luck is angular/phase selection of a deterministic 4D propagation under finite signal speed. We provide a common action, a polar metric adapted to causal flow, a prime-indexed standing-mode ansatz yielding compounded (composite) tension on each “ring of time,” and a mapping between Feynman graphs and 4D tension networks. For each major claim we add a rigorous status assessment vs. modern GR, QFT, LQG, and String Theory, cite peer-reviewed literature, and include short proofs and test proposals.

## I. PRINCIPLE: ONE GEOMETRY, TWO THEORIES

**Hypothesis.** (i) Spacetime  $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$  is the *same* stage for gravity and quantum kinematics; (ii) use a radial–angular chart  $(r, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$  adapted to causality with  $r \equiv ct \geq 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in S^2$  labeling “perspectives” (Bondi–Sachs–type null/angular foliations justify this viewpoint near light cones); (iii) distinct observed “versions” of events are different  $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ -slices of one 4D history; (iv) a universal complex field  $\mathcal{A}$  underlies compactified standing modes.

*[Assessment: Aligned: One 4D Lorentzian manifold for both GR dynamics and quantum kinematics is standard (quantum fields on curved backgrounds) [? ? ]. Using light-cone-adapted angular coordinates is orthodox (Bondi–Sachs framework) [? ? ]. Novel: interpreting Born weights as angular weights and casting “luck” as polar optics. Determinism in GR is subtle (global hyperbolicity, Cauchy horizons) [? ]. Constraint: any hidden-variable determinism must respect Bell tests [? ? ? ]; Bohm’s nonlocal theory is a classic example [? ].]*

## II. RADIAL–ANGULAR METRIC AND CAUSALITY

Adopt a polar-like line element centered on a reference event:

$$ds^2 = c^2 dr^2 - a^2(r, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) d\Omega^2, \quad d\Omega^2 = \gamma_{AB}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) dA dB, \quad (1)$$

with areal factor  $a(r, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$  encoding curvature/anisotropy; in flat space  $a \rightarrow r$ . This is consistent with light-cone/retarded-time (à la Bondi–Sachs) foliations used in gravitational radiation theory [? ? ]. Radial nulls ( $ds^2 = 0$ ) trace ordinary light cones; see also analyses of

null cones in Minkowski backgrounds for GR reconstructions [? ].

*[Assessment: Aligned (as a coordinate choice). Globally, Eq. (??) is not unique nor always regular, but null-foliation/angle charts are standard near null infinity and in wave zones [? ].]*

## III. UNIFIED ACTION AND PHASE TENSION

Let  $\mathcal{A} = |\mathcal{A}|e^{i\phi}$  with action

$$S[\mathcal{A}, g] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{\xi}{2} \nabla_\mu \mathcal{A} \nabla^\mu \mathcal{A}^* - V(|\mathcal{A}|) \right], \quad (2)$$

yielding Einstein  $G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}^{(\mathcal{A})}$  and a curved-space Klein–Gordon equation. Writing  $\mathcal{A} = |\mathcal{A}|e^{i\phi}$  separates phase tension

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi)} = \xi \left( \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\nabla \phi)^2 \right), \quad (3)$$

so phase gradients source curvature (akin to scalar-field stress in GR). String excitations interacting with strong gravitational waves exhibit resonant behavior [? ], supporting the broader wave/tension picture (though not this specific model).

*[Assessment: Aligned: minimally coupled scalar fields sourcing curvature are textbook GR/QFTCS [? ? ]. Novel: treating all matter as one complex “light” field departs from the Standard Model (SM); PDG reviews summarize the established multi-field SM [? ].]*

#### IV. PARTICLES AS COMPACTIFIED STANDING MODES

On an angular loop at fixed  $r$  with length  $L_r = \int \sqrt{a^2 d\Omega^2}$ , standing modes satisfy

$$n \lambda = L_r, \quad k_n = \frac{2\pi n}{L_r}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4)$$

Mode energies  $E_n = \hbar \omega_n$  follow local dispersion set by  $V$  and curvature. As an analogy for spectral selection in structured media, quasiperiodic arrays exhibit localization bands and nontrivial selection rules [? ].

*[Assessment: Aligned as a cavity/potential analogy. Novel if claimed literally for the SM spectrum; empirically, SM particle content is not explained as compactified photon modes [? ].]*

#### V. BORN WEIGHTS AS ANGULAR WEIGHTS; LUCK AS POLAR OPTIC MECHANICS

Define an angularly normalized field on each ring of time

$$\tilde{\Psi}(r, \vartheta) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{\Omega_r}} \mathcal{A}(r, \vartheta), \quad \Omega_r \equiv \int a^2(r, \vartheta) d\Omega. \quad (5)$$

Detection with instrument kernel  $K_\omega$  yields

$$P(\omega, \vartheta | r) \propto \left| \int d\Omega' K_\omega(\vartheta, \vartheta') \tilde{\Psi}(r, \vartheta') \right|^2. \quad (6)$$

*Luck as polar optics:* apparent randomness reflects finite- $c$  access to phases from only part of  $S^2$ ; probability is an angular under-sampling of an underlying interference field.

*[Assessment: Complementary interpretive layer; compatible with decoherence/Born usage in quantum optics [? ]. Constraint: local hidden-variable completions are excluded by loophole-free Bell tests; any deterministic completion must be explicitly nonlocal/contextual [? ? ? ? ].]*

#### VI. PRIME-INDEXED STANDING MODES & COMPOUNDED TENSION ON THE RING OF TIME

Let

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \cos(n\theta + \varphi_n), \quad t(\theta) = \frac{\eta}{2} (\partial_\theta f)^2. \quad (7)$$

If we seed only prime harmonics  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , quadratic mixing and weak nonlinearities generate composite indices via sum/difference identities; see Appendix ?? . A von Mangoldt-weighted “sieve” operator,

$$\mathcal{S}[f](\theta) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Lambda(n) a_n e^{i(n\theta + \varphi_n)}, \quad (8)$$

diagnoses missing primes: adding a missing  $p$  reduces composite-phase error at multiples of  $p$ . Average tension obeys

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{avg}}[t] = \frac{\eta}{4} \sum_{n \geq 1} n^2 a_n^2, \quad (9)$$

so rarity (*begin : math : text*

*sim 1/*

*ln pend : math : text*) vs. energy (*begin : math : text*

*propto p^2 a\_p^2 end : math : text*) *tradeoff(PrimeNumberTheorem backgr*

*[Assessment: Aligned: Fourier analysis and nonlinear wave-mixing (sum/difference frequency generation) are standard in nonlinear optics/fluids [? ]. Novel: using prime seeding as a design ansatz for compounded tension and “prime events”.]*

#### VII. TENSION NETWORKS AND FEYNMAN GRAPHS

Define the phase-tension scalar  $\mathcal{R} = (\nabla \phi)^2$ . High- $\mathcal{R}$  filaments define a graph  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ : edges follow large phase gradients, vertices are compactification knots, sheets are interference membranes. Feynman graphs then serve as bookkeeping shadows of stress/propagation on  $\mathcal{G}$ .

*[Assessment: Heuristic mapping. Aligned in spirit with path-integral/diagrammatics [? ? ]. Novel as a literal identification of spacetime tension filaments with diagrammatic edges.]*

#### VIII. BLACK/WHITE HOLES AS CONDENSATES OF THE SAME JELLY

When phase tension and energy focus,  $a(r, \vartheta)$  shrinks and null congruences converge (black-hole trapping: Kerr etc.) [? ? ]. The Kruskal extension of Schwarzschild includes a white-hole region as the time-reverse of a black hole [? ]. Higher-dimensional analogs (5D black holes/rings) display rich surface geometry [? ].

*[Assessment: Aligned: black holes; white holes exist as time-reversed regions in extended solutions. Novel/speculative: “mutual reopening/repulsion” of nearby white-hole-like sources in nature. No observational support; GR allows white-hole regions mathematically but they are unstable/unobserved.]*

#### IX. RECOVERING LIMITS AND CONFRONTATION WITH DATA

**GR limit.** With weak  $\phi$  gradients,  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi)}$  is a standard scalar source and Einstein’s equations reduce to GR with known tests (perihelion advance, Shapiro delay, frame dragging, gravitational waves) [? ? ? ? ].

**Quantum limit.** On fixed  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ , small-amplitude  $\mathcal{A}$  obeys the curved-space KG equation [? ? ]; standard interference/Born rule are recovered.

**SM precision.** Any unification must not spoil QED’s precision (electron  $g - 2$  and tenth-order calculations) [? ? ? ]. Our construction stays agnostic about SM gauge content (to be added atop  $\mathcal{A}$  if needed). Historical alternatives like a “neutrino theory of photons” are noted but not compatible with the modern SM [? ? ].

*[Assessment: Aligned: these limits are standard. Constraint: extremely tight QED/GR tests bound any new couplings.]*

## X. PHENOMENOLOGY AND TESTS

**Analog fluids/phononics.** Drive superfluids or optical cavities with prime-indexed phase masks; verify composite lines appear by mixing and that adding a “missing prime” reduces sieve error (nonlinear mixing calibrated by [? ]).

**Interferometry as angular tomography.** Multi-aperture interferometers resolving  $\vartheta$  should exhibit curvature-dependent reweighting of fringe envelopes consistent with  $a(r, \vartheta)$  (a geometric calibration of angular Born weights).

**Hydrodynamic quantum analogs.** Examine whether droplet pilot-wave analogs show prime-seeded stability bands [? ? ].

*[Assessment: Falsifiable lab signatures exist for the prime-sieve/tension story and for angular tomography. Astrophysical deviations from GR lensing would tightly bound any new phase-tension couplings.]*

## XI. STATUS MAP: WHAT ALIGNS, WHAT’S NEW, WHAT’S SKEPTICAL

- **Fully aligned with modern science:** One 4D Lorentzian manifold; null/angle foliations (Bondi–Sachs); GR tests including frame-dragging and gravitational waves; QFT on curved spacetime; QED precision; Kerr/Schwarzschild/Kruskal basics [? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ].
- **New but complementary (conceptual):** Born weights as angular weights; “luck as polar optics”; tension-network interpretation of diagrams; prime-seed design ansatz for compounded tension (intended for analog systems). Must be checked against Bell tests and precision constraints [? ? ? ? ].
- **Far-fetched/ruled-out as stated:** “Everything is compactified light” (conflicts with SM content [? ]); white-hole repulsion in nature (no evidence;

white holes are non-astrophysical regions of extended solutions); any *local* hidden-variable determinism (excluded by loophole-free Bell tests [? ? ? ]). Historical proposals like a neutrino theory of photons are not consistent with current electroweak theory [? ? ].

## XII. CONCLUSION

Assuming one 4D geometry with time as radius and angles as perspectives produces a clean synthesis: the “material” of many apparent worlds is an oscillatory field observed through angular kernels; particles are standing-mode knots (in analogy), and Born weights can be recast as angular weights. We provided a scalar-GR action, a polar metric, a prime-sieve standing-mode mechanism that deterministically compounds into composite tension on each ring of time, and a tests list. The decisive next step is empirical: cavity/superfluid/photonic analogs and angular tomography that can confirm or falsify the prime-sieve and polar-optic predictions.

### Appendix A: Polar Normalization and Perspective Weights

With  $r = ct$  and  $\vartheta \in S^2$  with area element  $a^2(r, \vartheta) d\Omega$ , define  $\tilde{\Psi}$  by Eq. (??). Then

$$\int_{S^2} |\tilde{\Psi}(r, \vartheta)|^2 a^2(r, \vartheta) d\Omega = \frac{1}{\Omega_r} \int_{S^2} |\mathcal{A}(r, \vartheta)|^2 a^2 d\Omega = 1, \quad (\text{A1})$$

so angular detection weights are properly normalized on each radial slice.

### Appendix B: Prime Mixing & Average Tension

Let  $f(\theta) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \cos(n\theta + \varphi_n)$ . Then  $\partial_\theta f = \sum_n (-na_n) \sin(n\theta + \varphi_n)$  and  $\text{begin : math : display}$   
 $\text{taufield(}$   
 $\text{theta)=}$   
 $\text{tfrac}$   
 $\text{eta2(}$   
 $\text{partial}_{\theta} f)^2 = \text{tfrac{eta}2 \sum_{m,n} m n a_m a_n \sin(m\theta + \varphi_m) \sin(n\theta + \varphi_n)}$   
 $\sin u \sin v = \frac{1}{2} [\cos(u-v) - \cos(u+v)]$ , quadratic mixing generates indices  $|m \pm n|$ . If  $f$  is seeded only at primes, composites appear in  $\text{t}$  automatically. Averaging over  $\theta$  kills cross terms, yielding Eq. (??).

### Appendix C: Bell Constraints on “Polar Luck”

Any claim that probabilities arise from inaccessible but *local* angular phases is equivalent to a local hidden-variable model and violates loophole-free Bell inequalities

[? ? ?]. Any deterministic completion must be nonlo-

cal/contextual (or accept retrocausality), which we leave outside scope.

- 
- [1] C.M. Will, “The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment,” *Living Reviews in Relativity* **17**, 4 (2014).
  - [2] B.P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO and Virgo), “Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016).
  - [3] C.W.F. Everitt *et al.*, “Gravity Probe B: Final Results of a Space Experiment to Test General Relativity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 221101 (2011).
  - [4] N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge, 1982).
  - [5] L. Parker and D.J. Toms, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime* (Cambridge, 2009).
  - [6] H. Bondi, M.G.J. van der Burg, and A.W.K. Metzner, “Gravitational Waves in GR. VII. Waves from Axisymmetric Isolated Systems,” *Proc. R. Soc. A* **269**, 21 (1962).
  - [7] R.K. Sachs, “Gravitational waves in asymptotically flat space-times,” *Proc. R. Soc. A* **270**, 103 (1962).
  - [8] Particle Data Group (2024), “Review of Particle Physics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 030001 (2024).
  - [9] D. Hanneke, S. Fogwell, and G. Gabrielse, “New Measurement of the Electron Magnetic Moment and the Fine Structure Constant,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 120801 (2008).
  - [10] T. Aoyama *et al.*, “Tenth-Order QED Contribution to the Electron *begin : math : textg-2end : math : text*,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 111807 (2012).
  - [11] R.H. Parker *et al.*, “Measurement of the fine-structure constant as a test of the Standard Model,” *Science* **360**, 191 (2018).
  - [12] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge, 1995).
  - [13] R.W. Boyd, *Nonlinear Optics*, 3rd ed. (Academic Press, 2008).
  - [14] H.L. Montgomery, “The Pair Correlation of Zeros of the Zeta Function,” *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **27**, 1 (1973).
  - [15] M.V. Berry and J.P. Keating, “The Riemann Zeros and Eigenvalue Asymptotics,” *SIAM Review* **41**, 236 (1999).
  - [16] R.P. Feynman, “Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 367 (1948).
  - [17] F.J. Dyson, “The S-Matrix in Quantum Electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 1736 (1949).
  - [18] R.P. Kerr, “Gravitational Field of a Spinning Mass,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11**, 237 (1963).
  - [19] M.D. Kruskal, “Maximal extension of Schwarzschild metric,” *Phys. Rev.* **119**, 1743 (1960).
  - [20] B. Hensen *et al.*, “Loophole-free Bell inequality violation,” *Nature* **526**, 682 (2015).
  - [21] M. Giustina *et al.*, “Significant-Loophole-Free Test of Bell’s Theorem,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 250401 (2015).
  - [22] L.K. Shalm *et al.*, “Strong Loophole-Free Test of Local Realism,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 250402 (2015).
  - [23] W.G. Unruh, “Experimental black-hole evaporation?,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46**, 1351 (1981).
  - [24] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, “Analogue Gravity,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **14**, 3 (2011).
  - [25] Y. Couder and E. Fort, “Single-particle diffraction and interference at a macroscopic scale,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 154101 (2006).
  - [26] J.W.M. Bush, “Pilot-wave hydrodynamics,” *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **47**, 269 (2015).
  - [27] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, “Background independent quantum gravity: A status report,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **7**, 5 (2004).
  - [28] J.M. Maldacena, “The Large *begin : math : textNend : math : text* limit of SCFT and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998).
  - [29] C. Smeenk and C. Wüthrich, “Determinism and General Relativity,” *Philosophy of Science* **88**, 638–664 (2021).
  - [30] W. Perkins, “Neutrino theory of photons,” *Phys. Rev.* **137**, B1291 (1965).
  - [31] J.B. Pitts and W.C. Schieve, “Null cones and Einstein’s equations in Minkowski spacetime,” *Found. Phys.* **34**, 211–238 (2004).
  - [32] V. Liška and R. von Unge, “Resonant string behavior in a gravitational wave burst,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 044066 (2022).
  - [33] D. Bohm, “A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of ‘hidden’ variables. I,” *Phys. Rev.* **85**, 166 (1952).
  - [34] G. Wahlström and K. Chao, “Electron localization in a quasiperiodic array of potential wells,” *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 11793 (1988).
  - [35] V.P. Frolov and R. Goswami, “Surface geometry of 5D black holes and black rings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 124001 (2007).
  - [36] G.V. Kraniotis, “Frame dragging and bending of light in Kerr and Kerr-(A)dS spacetimes,” *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22**, 4391 (2005).