Sergio Garcia Tapia

Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, by Bryant and O'Hallaron

Chapter 3: Machine-Level Representation of Programs March 27, 2024

Practice Problems

Exercise 3.1. Assume the following values are stored at the indicated memory addresses and registers:

Address	Value	Register	Value
0x100	OxFF	%rax	0x100
0x104	OxAB	%rcx	0x1
0x108	0x13	%rdx	0x3
0x10C	0x11		

Fill in the following table showing the values for the indicated operands:

Operand	Value
%rax	
0x104	
\$0x108	
(%rax)	
4(%rax)	
9(%rax, %rdx)	
260(%rcx, %rdx)	
0xFC(,%rcx,4)	
(%rax,%rdx,4)	

Solution: To start, %rax is a 64-bit register conventionally used to store a return value. Its value is 0x100. Next, 0x104 looks like an immediate but it is not preceded by \$, so it is in fact an absolute memory address. Its operand value is 0xAB. Next is \$0x108, which is an immediate since it is preceded by a \$, so its value is 0x108. The operand (%rax) is a an type of memory reference, specifically an indirect one. Therefore, the value 0x100 of %rax is used as an address, yielding 0xFF. The 4(%rax) operand is a memory operand where 4 is an immediate treated as an offset, and %rax is treated as the base. Therefore the address is 4 added to 0x100, yielding 0x104. Accessing the memory value at that address yields OxAB. Next, 9(%rax, %rdx) is an indexed memory reference, where %rax is the base, %rdx is the 64-bit index register (normally used as a 3rd argument for a procedure), and 9 is an immediate offset. The memory address is thus 9 + 0x100 + 0x3. The resulting is memory address 0x10C, and the corresponding value is 0x11. Now 260(%rcx, %rdx), which is similar; the address is 260+0x1+0x3 which is 264 or 0x108, and its value is 0x13. Next OxFC(,%rcx,4), which is a scaled index memory reference. We scale the address in the index register %rcx by 4, so it becomes 0x4, and then add to it the immediate 0xFC to give an address 0x100. The value is now determined to be 0xFF. Finally, (%rax, %rdx,4) is a scaled indexed memory reference, with address 0x100 in the base register %rax and value 0x3 in register %rdx scaled by 4 to give address 0x10C. The value is 0x11.

Operand	Value
%rax	0x100
0x104	OxAB
\$0x108	0x108
(%rax)	OxFF
4(%rax)	OxAB
9(%rax, %rdx)	0x11
260(%rcx, %rdx)	0x13
0xFC(,%rcx,4)	OxFF
(%rax,%rdx,4)	0x11

Exercise 3.2. For each of the following lines of assembly language, determine the appropriate suffix based on the operands. (For example, mov can be rewritten as movb, movw, movl, or movq.)

mov	%eax,	(%rsp)
mov	(%rax),	%dx
mov	<pre>\$0xFF,</pre>	%bl
mov	(%rsp, %rdx, 4),	%dl
mov	(%rdx),	%rax
mov	%dx,	(%rax)

Solution: The **%eax** source register is 32-bit (a double word) and conventionally used as a return value, while the **%rsp** destination register is 64-bit (a quad word) and conventionally used as the stack pointer. Therefore we can use the mov1 instruction, where the 1 suffix indicates we are moving a double word.

The (%rax) is a n indirect memory reference using the address in the 64-bit (quad-word) %rax source register (conventionally used as a return address), and the destination 16-bit (word) register %dx (conventionally the 3rd argument in a procedure). This means we should use movw, since we are moving a single word.

The \$0xFF source operand is an 8-bit immediate, and the destination %bl is an 8-bit (byte) register (conventionally callee-saved). For this we use movb.

The (%rsp%rdx,4) is the source, and it is a scaled index memory reference using the 64-bit (quad word) stack pointer register %rsp as the base address, the 64-bit (quad word) 3rd-argument register as the index register, and the scale factor 4. The destination is %dl, the 8-bit (byte) 3rd argument register. For this we must use movb.

The (%rdx) operand is an indirect memory reference using the 64-bit (quad word) register %rdx (conventionally representing the 3rd argument) as the address. The destination is the 64-bit (quad word) register %rax normally used for the return value. We can use movq in this case.

Finally, we have source operand %dx, the 16-bit (word) third argument, and destination indirect memory reference using the address of the 64-bit (quad word) return value register %rax. We use movw in this case.

movl	%eax,	(%rsp)
movw	(%rax),	%dx
movb	<pre>\$0xFF,</pre>	%bl
movb	(%rsp,%rdx,4),	%dl
movq	(%rdx),	%rax
movw	%dx,	(%rax)

Exercise 3.3. Each of the following lines of code generates an error when we invoke the assembler. Explain what is wrong with each line.

movb	\$0xF,	(%ebx)
movl	%rax,	(%rsp)
movw	(%rax),	4(%rsp)
movb	%al,	%sl
movq	%rax,	\$0x123
movl	%eax,	%dx
movq	%si,	8(%rbp)

Solution: The instruction movb \$0xF, (%ebx) has as a destination operand the indirect memory reference (%ebx), where %ebx is a 32-bit register. When a register is used in a memory addressing mode, its must be 64-bit; see page 181. We could fix the instruction by changing the destination operand to (%rbx).

For movl %rax, (%rsp), we have 64-bit (quad word) operands, but the movl instruction is meant to work with double words (32-bit, as indicated by the suffix 1).

The instruction movw (%rax), 4(%rsp) is meant to work with 16-bit operands, as indicate by the word suffix w. However, its values are both 64-bit operands. Nevertheless, both operands are memory references, which is forbidden by x86-64; see page 183.

The instruction movb %al, %sl has an invalid register %sl. The intention may have been %spl for stack pointer or maybe %sil for the second argument, but it's not clear.

The instruction movq %rax, \$0x123 has an immediate as a destination, which is not allowed; only a register or a memory reference may be used as a destination.

The instruction movl %eax, %dx has a 32-bit source register and a 16-bit destination register. The movl instruction works with double words (32-bit) operands, so the destination register is incompatible. A fix would be use to use movw, where the w suffix indicates a word (16-bits).

The instruction movq %si, 8(%rbp) has an 8-bit (byte) source operand register, which is incompatible with movq which operates on quad words (64-bit).

Exercise 3.4. Assume variables sp and dp are declared with types

```
src_t *sp;
dest_t *dp;
```

where src_t and dest_t are types declared with typedef. We wish to use the appropriate pair of data movement instructions to implement the operation

 $*dp = (dest_t) *sp;$

Assume that the values of sp and dp are stored in registers %rdi and %rsi, respectively. For each entry in the table, show the two instructions that implement the specified data movement. The first instruction in the sequence should read from memory, do the appropriate conversion, and set the appropriate portion of register %rax. The second instruction should then write the appropriate portion of %rax to memory. In both cases, the portions may be %rax, %eax, %ax, or %al, and they may differ from one another. Recall that when performing a cast that involves a size change and a change of "signedness" in C, the operation should change the size first (Section 2.2.6).

$\mathtt{src}_{\mathtt{-}}t$	$\mathtt{dest}_{\mathtt{-}}\mathtt{t}$	Instruction
long	long	movq (%rdi), %rax
		movq %rax, (%rsi)
char	int	
char	unsigned	
unsigned char	long	
int	char	
unsigned	unsigned char	
_	_	
char	short	

Solution: We will take long to be signed and 64 bit (quad word, 8 bytes), int to be signed and 32 bit (double word, 4 bytes), unsigned to be 32-bit and unsigned, char to be signed and 1 byte (8-bit), and unsigned char to be unsigned and 1 byte, and short to be 1 word (2 bytes or 16-bits).

Going from a source char of 1 byte to a destination int of 4 bytes requires using movzbl, since both operands are signed. Since the destination is 4 bytes (two words, 32-bit), we use the 32-bit %eax register.

From signed char of 1 byte to unsigned of 4 bytes requires using movsbl. This is because the operation should change the size first, so since char is signed, we keep its "signness" by using movsbl and not movzbl. Since the destination is 4 bytes, we use movl for the second operation.

From unsigned char of 1 byte to long which is signed and has 8 bytes (64-bit) requires that we change the size first, maintaining the signness. This suggests we use a move with the z suffix, since the source is unsigned so we should zero extend. Since we want a 64-bit result, we could use movzbq with %rax as the destination register. Then the last move simply uses movq. The book also uses movzbl (%rdi), %eax. This is valid because whenever the destination register of a movl instruction is a register, it also sets the high-order 4 bytes of the register to 0 (see page 183).

From signed int of 4 bytes to signed char of 1 byte, we truncate by using movb to move only the lowest order byte and the 8-bit %al register.

From unsigned of 4 bytes to unsigned char of 1 byte, we truncate again by using movb and the %al register.

Finally, from (signed) char of 1 byte to (signed) short of 2 bytes, we sign-extend and we use movsbw with the %ax register.

src_t	$\mathtt{dest}_{-}t$	Instruction
long	long	movq (%rdi), %rax
		<pre>movq %rax, (%rsi)</pre>
char	int	movsbl (%rdi), %eax
		movl %eax, (%rsi)
char	unsigned	movsbl (%rdi), %eax
		movl %eax %rsi
unsigned char	long	<pre>movzbq (%rdi), %rax</pre>
		<pre>movq %rax, (%rsi)</pre>
int	char	movb (%rdi), %al
		movb %al, (%rsi)
unsigned	unsigned char	movb (%rdi), %al
		movb %al, (%rsi)
char	short	movsbw (%rdi), %ax
		movw %ax, (%rsi)

Exercise 3.5. You are given the following information. A function with prototype

```
void decode1(long *xp, long *yp, long *zp)
   xp in \%rdi,
decode1:
            (%rdi), %r8
   movq
            (%rsi), %rcx
   movq
            (%rdx), %rax
   movq
           %r8,
                   (%rsi)
   movq
           %rcx,
                   (%rdx)
   movq
           %rax,
                   (%rdi)
   movq
   ret
```

Parameters xp, yp, and zp are stored in registers %rdi, %rsi, and %rdx, respectively. Write C code for decode1 that will have an effect equivalent to the assembly code shown.

Solution: The indirect memory reference (%rdi) dereferences xp, yielding its value *xp,and storing it in register %r8, conventionally used as the 5th argument of a procedure. This amounts to storing the value in a local variable t of the same type long. Similarly, (%rsi) is an indirect memory references that effectively dereferences yp, yielding its value *yp and storing it in register %rcx, normally used for a procedure's 4th argument. In C, this might be storing its in a local variable s of type long. The third memory reference (%rdx) serves to dereference zp, placing its value *zp in the %rax register, conventionally used for a return value of a procedure. Now the value stored in register %r8 is stored at the location in memory pointed to by the %rsi register. This is equivalent to the assignment statement *yp = t. Next, the value in register %rcx is moved to the memory location pointed to by %rdx, which is equivalent to the statement *zp = s. Finally, the value in the return register %rax is placed at the memory location pointed to by register %rdi, which is equivalent to setting *xp to the value initially held by *zp.

The program below implements the C equivalent:

```
void decode1(long *xp, long *yp, long *zp) {
    long t = *xp;
    long s = *yp;
    long r = *zp;
    *yp = t;
    *zp = s;
    *xp = r;
    return r;
}
```

Exercise 3.6. Suppose register $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{"rax}}}$ holds value x and $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{"rcx}}}$ holds value y. Fill in the table below with formulas indicating the value that will be stored in register $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{"rdx}}}$ for each of the given assembly-code instructions.

Instruction	Result
leaq 6(%rax), rdx	
leaq (%rax,%rcx), %rdx	
<pre>leaq (%rax,%rcx,4), %rdx</pre>	
leaq 7(%rax,%rax,8), %rdx	
leaq 0xA(,%rcx,4), %rdx	
<pre>leaq 9(%rax, %rcx, 2), %rdx</pre>	

Solution:

For leaq 6(%rax), rdx, the memory address used in the memory reference operand is that stored at %rax, which has value x offset by 6. Therefore, the result is that register %rdx has value x + 6. The rest can be done similarly.

Instruction	Result
leaq 6(%rax), %rdx	x+6
<pre>leaq (%rax,%rcx), %rdx</pre>	x + y
<pre>leaq (%rax,%rcx,4), %rdx</pre>	x + 4y
leaq 7(%rax,%rax,8), %rdx	7 + x + 8x = 9x + 7
leaq 0xA(,%rcx,4), %rdx	10 + 4y
<pre>leaq 9(%rax,%rcx,2), %rdx</pre>	9 + x + 2y

Exercise 3.7. Consider the following code, in which we have omitted the expression being computed:

```
long scale2(long x, long y, long z) {
   long t = ____;
   return t;
}
```

Compiling the actual function with gcc yields the following assembly code:

```
long scale2(long x, long y, long z)
  x in %rdi, y in %rsi, z in %rdx
scale2:
```

```
leaq (%rdi,%rdi,4), %rax
leaq (%rax,%rsi,2), %rax
leaq (%rax,%rdx,8), %rax
```

Solution: The first line places 5x = x + 4x in %rax. The second line places 5x + 2y in %rax. The last line places 5x + 2y + 8z in %rax. The function is therefore as follows:

```
long scale2(long x, long y, long z) {
   long t = 5x + 2y + 8z;
   return t;
}
```

Exercise 3.8. Assume the following values are stored at the indicated memory addresses and registers:

Address	Value	Register	Value
0x100	0xFF	%rax	0x100
0x108	OxAB	%rcx	0x1
0x110	0x13	%rdx	0x3
0x118	0x11		

Fill in the following table showing the effects of the following instructions in terms of both the register or memory location that will be updated and the resulting value:

Instruction	Destination	Value
addq %rcx, (%rax)		
<pre>subq %rdx, 8(%rax)</pre>		
imulq \$16, (%rax,%rdx,8)		
incq 16(%rax)		
decq %rcx		
subq %rdx, %rax		

Solution: The addq %rcx, (%rax) instruction means that we add the quad stored in register %rcx, namely 0x1, to the value store at the memory location whose address is the value 0x100 stored at %rax. This means we add 0x1 and 0xFF, which results in 0x100, and store its value at address 0x100.

The subq %rdx, 8(%rax) instruction means we subtract the quad stored in register %rdx, which is 0x3, from the value stored at the memory location whose address is the value stored at %rax offset by 8. Since %rax has value 0x100, we add 8 to get 0x108, and the value at that location is 0xAB. Subtracting 0x3 results in 0xA8.

The imulq \$16, (%rax,%rdx,8) instruction means we multiply by 16 the value stored at the destination address. Since %rax is 0x100 and %rdx is 3, the destination address is 0x100 + 0x18, which yields 0x118. The value at that address is 0x11, so multiplying by 16 yields 0x110.

The incq 16(%rax) instruction says we increment by 1 the value stored at memory location whose address is that which is stored at %rax offset by 16. The address is 0x100 + 16 or 0x110, so we are incrementing 0x13 by 1 to 0x14.

The decq %rcx instruction decrements the value held in the %rcx register by 1, so %rcx goes from being 0x1 to being 0x0.

The subq %rdx, %rax instruction subtracts the value stored at %rdx from the value at %rax. That is, we subtract 0x3 from 0x100, yielding 0xFD.

Instruction	Destination	Value
addq %rcx, (%rax)	0x100	0x100
<pre>subq %rdx, 8(%rax)</pre>	0x108	0xA8
<pre>imulq \$16, (%rax, %rdx, 8)</pre>	0x118	0x110
incq 16(%rax)	0x110	0x14
decq %rcx	%rcx	0x0
subq %rdx, %rax	%rax	0xFD

Exercise 3.9. Suppose we want to generate assembly code for the following C function:

```
long shift_left4_rightn(long x, long n)
{
    x <<= 4;
    x >>= n;
    return x;
}
```

The code that follows is a portion of the assembly code that performs the actual shifts and leaves the final value in register %rax. Two key instructions have been omitted. Parameters x and n are stored in registers %rdi and %rsi, respectively.

Fill in the missing instructions, following the annotations on the right. The right shift should be performed arithmetically.

Solution:

```
long shift_left4_rightn(long x, long n)
   x in %rdi, n in %rsi
shift_left4_rightn:
           %rdi,
                  %rax
                         // Get x
   movq
                  %rax
                         // x <<=4
   salq
           $4,
           %esi, %ecx
                         // Get n (4 bytes)
   movl
                     %rax
                             // x \gg n
           %cl.
   sarq
```

Exercise 3.10. In the following variant of the function of Figure 3.11(a), the expressions have been replaced by blanks:

```
long arith2(long x, long y, long z)
{
    long t1 = ____;
    long t2 = ____;
    long t3 = ____;
    long t4 = ____;
    return t4;
}
```

The portion of the generated assembly code implementing these expressions is as follows:

```
long arith2(long x, long y, long z)
   x in %rdi, y in %rsi, z in %rdx
arith2:
   orq
           %rsi,
                   %rdi
                   %rdi
   sarq
           $3,
   notq
           %rdi
           %rdx,
                   %rax
   movq
                   %rax
   subq
           %rdi,
   ret
```

Based on this assembly code, fill in the missing portions of the C code.

Solution: The instruction orq %rsi, %rdi calculates t1 = $x \mid y$. The instruction sarq \$3, %rdi calculates t2 = t1 >> 3, or equivalently t2 = 8 * t1. The instruction notq %rdi calculates t3 = ~t2. The instructions movq %rdx, %rax and subq %rdi, %rax together transform to t4 = z - t3. The resulting C program is below:

```
long arith2(long x, long y, long z)
{
    long t1 = x | y;
    long t2 = 8 * t1;
    long t3 = ~t2;
    long t4 = z - t3;
    return t4;
}
```

Exercise 3.11. It is common to find assembly-code lines of the form

xorq \%rdx \%rdx

in the code that was generated from C where no exclusive OR operations were present.

- (a) Explain the effect of this particular *exclusive-OR* instruction and what useful operation it implements.
- (b) What would be the more straightforward way to express this operation in assembly code?

(c) Compare the number of bytes to encode these two different implementations of the same operation.

Solution:

- (a) Noting that 0^0 and 1^1 are both 0, the resulting operation is to yield a value 0 and place it in %rdx. This is essentially zeroing the register.
- (b) The straightforward way to write this would be imul \$0, %rdx, or movq \$0 %rdx.

(c)

Exercise 3.12. Consider the following function for computing the quotient and remainder of two unsigned 64-bit numbers:

Modify the assembly code shown for signed division to implement this function.

Solution: The text presented an function remdiv that was mostly equivalent to uremdiv, but with arguments of type long instead. In other words, it operated with signed numbers. To achieve it, the following assembly instructions were carried out:

```
void remdiv(long x, long y, long *qp, long *rp)
   x in %rdi, y in %rsi, qp in %rdx, rp in %rcx
remdiv:
           %rdx,
                  %r8
                         // Copy qp
   movq
   movq
           %rdi,
                  %rax
                         // Move x to lower 8 bytes of dividend
                         // Sign-extend to upper 8 bytes of dividend
   cqto
   idivq
          %rsi
                         // Divide by y
           %rax,
                  (%r8) // Store quotient at qp
   movq
                  (%rcx) // Store remainder at rp
           %rdx,
   movq
   ret
```

The key instruction is cqto, which reads the sign bit from %rax and copies it across all of %rdx. For unsigned division, we instead want all zeros in register %rdx, so we replace %cqto with movq \$0, %rdx:

```
moveq $0, %rdx // Zero the register to signify unsigned arithmetic idivq %rsi // Divide by y movq %rax, (%r8) // Store quotient at qp movq %rdx, (%rcx) // Store remainder at rp ret
```

Exercise 3.13. The C code

```
int comp(data_t a, data_t b) {
   return a COMP b;
}
```

shows a general comparison between arguments a and b, where data_t, the data type of the arguments, is defined (via typedef) to be one of the integer types listed in Figure 3.1 (char, short, int, long, or char *) and either signed or unsigned. The comparison COMP is defined via #define.

Suppose a is in some portion of %rdi while b is in some portion of %rsi. For each of the following instruction sequences, determine which data types data_t and which comparisons COMP could cause the compiler to generate this code. (There can be multiple correct answers; you should list them all.)

- (a) cmpl %esi, %edi setl %al
- (b) cmpw %si, %di setge %al
- (c) cmpb %sil, %dil selbe %al
- (d) cmpq %rsi, %rdi selne %al

Solution:

- (a) The registers %esi and %edi are the lower 32-bit portions of %rsi and %rdi, respectively. Therefore, data_t is a 32-bit integer. Moreover, the set1 suggests a signed < comparison. Therefore, COMP is < and data_t is int.
- (b) Here, cmpw deals with 16-bit values, which is consistent with the fact that %si and %di are the lower 16-bit portions of %rsi and %rdi, respectively. Therefore, data_t is short. Also, setge is the signed >= instruction.
- (c) Here, cmpb deals with 8-bit values, and %sil and %dil are the lower 8-bit portions of the %rsi and %rdi registers, respectively. Since setbe is the unsigned <= instruction, it follows that data_t is unsigned char.
- (d) Here selne is the != comparison operator, which applies to both signed and unsigned. Since %rsi and %rdi are 64-bit registers, it follows that data_t can be, signed or unsigned long, or any pointer type.

Exercise 3.14. The C code

```
int test(data_t a) {
   return a TEST 0;
}
```

shows a general comparison between a and 0, where we can set the data type of the argument by declaring data_t with a typedef, and the nature of the comparison by declaring TEST with a #define declaration. The following instruction sequences implement the comparison, where a is held in some portion of registers %rdi. For each sequence, determine which data types data_t and which comparisons TEST could cause the compiler to generate this code. (There can be multiple correct answers; list all correct ones.)

- (a) testq %rdi, %rdi setge %al
- (b) testw %di, %di sete %al
- (c) testb %dil, %dil seta %al
- (d) testl %edi, %edi setle %al

Solution:

- (a) The setge indicates signed comparison, so TEST is >=. The testq suggests we are using a quad (64-bit), so data_t is a long.
- (b) The sete is used for signed or unsigned equality checks, so TEST is =. The testw suggests we are operating on a word (16-bit), so data_t is either short or unsigned short.
- (c) The seta is used for unsigned above comparison, so TEST is >. The testb is used for 8-bit comparison, and %dil is an 8-bit portion of %rdi register, so data_t is an unsigned char.
- (d) The setle is used for signed less than or equal comparison, so TEST is <=. The %edi is the lower 32-bit portion of the %rdi register, so data_t is int.

Exercise 3.15. In the following excerpts from a disassembled binary, some of the information has been replaced by X's. Answer the following questions about the instructions:

(a) What is the target of the je instruction below? (You do not need to know anything about the callq instruction here.)

```
4003fa: 74 02 je XXXXXX
4003fc: ff d0 callq *%rax
```

(b) What is the target of the je instruction below?

```
40042f: 74 f4 je XXXXXX
400431: 5d pop %rbp
```

(c) What is the address of the ja and pop instructions?

```
XXXXXX: 77 02 ja 400547
XXXXXX: 5d pop %rbp
```

(d) In the code that follows, the jump target is encoded in PC-relative form as a 4-byte two's complement number. The bytes are listed from least to most, reflecting the little-endian byte ordering of x86-64. What is the address of the jump target?

```
4005e8: e9 73 ff ff ff jmp XXXXXX
4005ed: 90 nop XXXXXX
```

Solution:

- (a) The 0x02 in 74 02 must be added to 4003fc, the address of the following instruction, to yield 4003fe as the jump target of je.
- (b) The f4 in 74 f4 must be added to 400431, the address of the next instruction. Since f4 is a single byte has decimal value -12 (in two's complement), we subtract 12 from the hex address 400431 and obtain 400425.
- (c) Adding 0x02 from 77 02 to XXXXXX should give 400547, and since 0x02 is decimal 2, we get that the XXXXXX that follows the jump instruction must be 400545. Since the instruction 5d at that location is 2 bytes after the first instruction in the ja line, we subtract 2 bytes to get 400543 for the byte address instruction of the ja line.
- (d) The 73 ff ff ff is written in little-endian (least to most significant) bit, so we can re-write it as ff ff ff 73 (from most to least significant). This is a negative number since the most significant bit is 1, and it is a sign extension of 01 73. Since 01 00 is 256 and 00 73 is 115, this means we have -256 + 115 = -141. Therefore we add -141 to the following address, 4005ed, to get the jump target address which. The ed portion 237, and 237 141 = 96, or 0x60. Therefore the jump target address is 400560. has value

Exercise 3.16. When given the C code

```
void cond(long a, long *p)
{
   if (p && a > &p)
      *p = a;
}
```

gcc generates the following code:

```
void cond(long a, long *p)
a in %rdi, p in %rsi
cond:
  testq %rsi, %rsi
  je .L1
  cmpq %rdi, (%rsi)
```

```
jge .L1
  movq %rdi, (%rsi)
.L1:
  rep; ret
```

- (a) Write a goto version in C that performs the same computation and mimics the control flow of the assembly code, in the style shown in Figure 3.16(b). You might find it helpful to first annotate the assembly code as we have done in our examples.
- (b) Explain why the assembly code contains two conditional branches even though the C code has only one if statement.

Solution:

(a) The annotated assembly is below:

```
void cond(long a, long *p)
   a in %rdi, p in %rsi
cond:
           %rsi,
                   %rsi
                          // Test p
   testq
   jе
           .L1
                          // If p != NULL (meaning 0) go to done
           %rdi,
                   (%rsi) // comp (*p):a
   cmpq
           .L1
                          // if >= goto done
   jge
   movq
           %rdi,
                   (\%rsi) // *p = a;
.L1:
                          // return
   rep; ret
```

The goto version is below:

```
void goto_cond(long a, long *p)
{
    if (p == 0)
        goto done;
    if (*p >= a)
        goto done;
    *p=a;
    done:
        return;
}
```

(b) There are two conditional branches because the condition expression in the if statement is the AND of two condition expressions.

Exercise 3.17. An alternate rule for translating if statements into goto code is as follows:

```
t = test-expr;
if (t)
```

```
goto true;
else-statement
goto done;
true:
   then-statement
done:
```

- (a) Rewrite the goto version of absdiff_se based on this alternate rule.
- (b) Can you think of any reasons for choosing one rule over the other?

Solution:

```
void gotov2_absdiff_se(long x, long y)
{
    long result;
    if (x < y)
        goto x_le_y;
    ge_cnt++;
    result = x - y;
    goto done;
x_le_y:
    le_cnt++;
    result = y - x;
done:
    return result;
}</pre>
```

(b) I don't know! However the book mention that the first one is preferable when there is no else branch, since it's easier to translate.

Exercise 3.18. Starting with C code of the form

```
long test(long x, long y, long z) {
    long val = _____;
    if (_____) {
        if (_____);
        val = ____;
    else
        val = ____;
} else if (____);
    val = ____;
return val;
}
```

gcc generates the following assembly code:

```
long test(long x, long y, long z)
   x in %rdi, y in %rsi, z in %rdx
test:
   leaq
           (%rdi, %rsi), %rax
           %rdx,
                  %rax
   addq
           $-3,
                   %rdi
   cmpq
   jge
           .L2
           %rdx,
                  %rsi
   cmpq
   jge
           .L3
           %rdi,
   movq
                  %rax
   imulq
           %rsi,
                  %rax
   ret
.L3:
           %rsi,
                   %rax
   movq
           %rdx,
                   %rax
   imulq
   ret
.L2:
                   %rdi
           $2,
   cmpq
           .L4
   jle
           %rdi,
                   %rax
   movq
   imulq %rdx,
                  %rax
.L4:
   rep; ret
```

Fill in the missing expressions in the C code.

Solution: First we can annotate the assembly:

```
long test(long x, long y, long z)
   x in %rdi, y in %rsi, z in %rdx
test:
   leaq
           (%rdi, %rsi), %rax
                                  // long t = x + y;
   addq
           %rdx,
                  %rax
                                  // long val = t + z;
   cmpq
           $-3,
                  %rdi
                                  // Compare x:-3
                                  // if >= go to .L2
   jge
           .L2
   cmpq
           %rdx,
                  %rsi
                                  // Compare y:z
           .L3
                                  // if >= goto .L3
   jge
           %rdi,
                  %rax
                                  // val = x;
   movq
                  %rax
   imulq
           %rsi,
                                  // val *= y;
   ret
                                  // return val;
.L3:
                  %rax
                                  // val = y;
   movq
           %rsi,
   imulq
           %rdx,
                  %rax
                                  // val *= z;
                                  // return val;
   ret
.L2:
   cmpq
           $2,
                  %rdi
                                  // Compare x:2
                                  // if <= goto .L4
   jle
           .L4
           %rdi,
                  %rax
                                  // val = x;
   movq
```

From this, the C code is

```
long test(long x, long y, long z) {
   long val = x + y + z;
   if (x < -3) {
        if (y < z)
            val = x * y;
        else
            val = y * z;
   } else if (x > 2)
        val = x * z;
   return val;
}
```

Exercise 3.19. Running on an older processor model, our code required around 16 cycles when the branching pattern was highly predictable, and around 31 cycles when the pattern was random.

- (a) What is the appropriate miss penalty?
- (b) How many cycles would the function require when the branch was mispredicted?

Solution:

(a) As discussed in the text, if p is the probability of misprediction, T_{OK} is the time to execute the code without misprediction, and T_{MP} is the misprediction penalty, then the average time to execute the code is given by

$$T_{avg}(p) = (1 - p)T_{OK} + p(T_{OK} + T_{MP}) = T_{OK} + pT_{MP}$$
$$T_{MP} = \frac{1}{p} (T_{avg}(p) - T_{OK})$$

We are given $T_{OK} = 16$, and $T_{ran} = T_{avg}(p) = 31$, so

$$T_{MP} = 2(31 - 16) = 30$$

(b) If mispredicted, the function would require $T_{OK} + TMP = 46$ cycles.

Exercise 3.20. In the following C function, we have left the definition of OP incomplete:

```
#define OP _____ /* Unknown operator */
long arith(long x) {
   return x OP 8;
}
```

When compiled, gcc generated the following assembly code:

```
long arith(long x)
  x in %rdi
arith:
  leaq 7(%rdi), %rax
  testq %rdi, %rdi
  cmovns %rdi, %rax
  sarq $3, %rax
  ret
```

- (a) What operation is OP?
- (b) Annotate the code to explain how it works.

Solution:

(a) The book explains that OP is / because dividing by a power of 2 involves first biasing the number so that it rounds towards 0.

```
(b)
       long arith(long x)
       x in %rdi
   arith:
                                // int t = x + 7
       leaq
              7(%rdi),
                         %rax
       testq %rdi,
                         %rdi
                                 // test x
                         %rax
                                // if >=0 then t = x
       cmovns %rdi,
              $3,
                         %rax
                                 // t >>= 3; or equivalently t /= 8;
       sarq
                                 // return t;
       ret
```

Exercise 3.21. Starting with C code of the form

```
long test(long x, long y) {
    long val = _____;
    if (______) {
        if (______);
        val = _____;
    else
        val = _____;
    } else if (_____);
    val = ____;
    return val;
}
```

gcc generates the following assembly code:

```
long test(long x, long y)
  x in %rdi, y in %rsi
test:
  leaq 0(,%rdi,8), %rax
```

```
%rsi
   testq %rsi,
   jle
           .L2
   movq
           %rsi,
                      %rax
           %rdi,
                      %rax
   subq
   movq
           %rdi,
                      %rdx
           %rsi,
                      %rdx
   andq
                      %rdi
   cmpq
           %rsi,
   cmovge %rdx,
                      %rax
   ret
.L2:
                      %rdi
   addq
           %rsi,
   cmpq
           $-2,
                      %rsi
   cmovle %rdi,
                      %rax
   ret
```

Fill in the missing expressions in the C code.

Solution: We can first annotate the assembly:

```
long test(long x, long y)
   x in %rdi, y in %rsi
test:
           0(,\%rdi,8), \%rax // long r = x * 8;
   leaq
           %rsi,
                      %rsi
                             // test y
   testq
                              // if <= 0 goto .L2
   jle
           .L2
                             // r = y;
           %rsi,
   movq
                      %rax
           %rdi,
                      %rax
                             // r -= x;
   subq
                      %rdx
                             // long s = x;
   movq
           %rdi,
                      %rdx
           %rsi,
                             // s &= y;
   andq
           %rsi,
                      %rdi
                             // compare x:y
   cmpq
   cmovge %rdx,
                      %rax
                             // if >= then r = s;
   ret
                              // return r;
.L2:
   addq
           %rsi,
                      %rdi
                             // x += y;
   cmpq
           $-2,
                      %rsi
                              // compare y:-2
   cmovle %rdi,
                      %rax
                              // if y <= -2 then r = x;
   ret
                              // return r;
```

This reveals that the C code is as follows:

```
long test(long x, long y) {
   long val = x * 8;
   if (y > 0) {
      if (x >= y)
        val = x & y;
   else
      val = y - x;
   } else if (y <= -2)
   val = x + y;</pre>
```

```
return val;
}
```

Exercise 3.22.

- (a) What is the maximum value of n for which we can represent n! with a 32-bit int?
- (b) What about a 64-bit long?

Solution: I thought to print a table of values, but not how to determine overflow. The solution provided in the book was to use $tmult_ok$ from practice problem 2.35 to check for overflow. I have provided this in ./22-factorial for the case of int, which shows that n=12 is the maximum before overflow, meaning 12! is ok, but 13! overflows.

Exercise 3.23. For the C code

```
long dw_loop(long x) {
   long y = x*x;
   long *p = &x;
   long n = 2*x;
   do {
        x += y;
        (*p)++;
        n--;
   } while (n > 0);
   return x;
}
```

gcc generates the following assembly code:

```
long dw_loop(long x)
   x initially in %rdi
dw_loop:
                           %rax
   movq
           %rdi,
           %rdi,
                           %rcx
   movq
           %rdi,
                           %rcx
   imulq
           (%rdi,%rdi),
   leaq
                           %rdx
.L2:
           1(%rcx,%rax), %rax
   leaq
   subq
           $1,
                           %rdx
                           %rdx
   testq %rdx,
           .L2
   jg
   rep; ret
```

- (a) Which registers are used to hold program values x, y, and n?
- (b) How has the compiler eliminated the need for pointer variable **p** and the pointer dereferencing implied by the expression (*p)++?

(c) Add annotations to the assembly code describing the operation of the program, similar to those shown in Figure 3.19(c).

Solution:

- (a) Initially, x is in %rdi, but then it is placed in %rax since it is to be returned after modification. The variable y is placed in the %rcx register, and n is placed in the %rdx register.
- (b) It has done so through the use of the leaq instruction to both increment x by 1 (the effect of (*p)++) in addition to increment x by y.
- (c) The annotations are below:

```
long dw_loop(long x)
   x initially in %rdi
dw_loop:
           %rdi,
                          %rax
                                 // long result = x;
   movq
                                 // y = x;
   movq
           %rdi,
                          %rcx
          %rdi,
                                 // y *= x;
   imulq
                          %rcx
           (%rdi,%rdi),
                          %rdx
                                 // long n = 2 * x;
   leaq
.L2:
           1(%rcx,%rax), %rax
                                 // result += y + 1;
   leaq
   subq
           $1,
                          %rdx
                                 // n = 1
          %rdx,
                          %rdx
                                 // test n
   testq
                                 // if > 0 goto .L2
           .L2
   jg
                                 // return result
   rep; ret
```

Exercise 3.24. For C code having the general form

```
long loop_while(long a, long b)
{
    long result = _____;
    while (_____) {
        result = _____;
        a = _____;
    }
    return result;
}
```

gcc, run with command-line option -Og, produces the following code:

We can see that the compiler used a jump-to-middle translation using the jmp instruction on line 3 to jump to the test starting with label .L2. Fill int he missing parts of the C code.

Solution: Below is my annotation of the assembly produced by gcc:

```
long loop_while(long a, long b)
   a in %rdi, b in %rsi
loop_while:
                          %eax
                                 // long result = 1;
   movl
           $1,
           .L2
                                 // goto .L2
   jmp
.L3:
           (%rdi,%rsi),
                                // long t = a + b;
                          %rdx
   leaq
   imulq
           %rdx,
                          %rax
                                 // result *= t;
                          %rdi
                                 // a += 1;
   addq
           $1,
.L2:
                          %rdi
                                 // compare a:b
   cmpq
           %rsi,
   jl
           .L3
                                 // if < goto .L3
   rep; ret
```

Based on this, I filled in the C code as shown below:

```
long loop_while(long a, long b)
{
    long result = 1;
    while (a < b) {
        result = result * (a + b);
        a = a + 1;
    }
    return result;
}</pre>
```

Exercise 3.25. For C code having the general form

```
long loop_while2(long a, long b)
{
    long result = _____;
    while (______) {
        result = _____;
        b = _____;
    }
    return result;
}
```

gcc, when run with command-line option -01, produces the following code:

```
a in %rdi, b in %rsi
loop_while2:
   testq
           %rsi,
                   %rsi
   jle
            .L8
   movq
           %rsi,
                   %rax
.L7:
           %rdi,
                   %rax
   imulq
           %rdi,
                   %rsi
   subq
           %rsi,
                   %rsi
   testq
            .L7
    jg
   rep; ret
.L8:
   movq
           %rsi,
                   %rax
   ret
```

We can see that the compiler used a guarded-do translation, using the jle instruction on line 3 to skip over the loop code when the initial test fails. Fill in the missing parts of the C code. Note that the control structure in the assembly code does not exactly match what would be obtained by a direct translation of the C code according to our translation rules. In particular, it has two different ret instructions (lines 10 and 13). However, you can fill out the missing portions of the C code in a way that it will have equivalent behavior to the assembly code.

Solution: First I annotated the assembly like so:

```
a in %rdi, b in %rsi
loop_while2:
   testq
           %rsi,
                  %rsi
                          // test b
           .L8
                          // if <= 0 goto .L8
   jle
   movq
           %rsi,
                  %rax
                          // long result = b;
.L7:
                   %rax
                          // result *= a;
   imulq
           %rdi,
                   %rsi
   subq
           %rdi,
                          // b = a;
           %rsi,
                   %rsi
                          // test b
   testq
           .L7
                          // if > 0 goto .L7
   jg
                          // return result;
   rep; ret
.L8:
   movq
           %rsi,
                   %rax
                          // result = b;
   ret
                          // return result;
```

The corresponding C then becomes:

```
long loop_while2(long a, long b)
{
    long result = result = b;
    while (b > 0) {
        result = result * a;
        b = b - a;
```

```
}
return result;
}
```

Exercise 3.26. A function fun_a has the following overall structure:

The gcc C compiler generates the following assembly code:

```
long fun_a(unsigned long x)
   x in %rdi
fun_a:
   movl
            $0,
                    %eax
            .L5
    jmp
.L6:
                    %rax
           %rdi,
   xorq
           %rdi
                            // Shift right by 1
   shrq
.L5:
           %rdi,
                    %rdi
   testq
            .L6
   jne
   andl
            $1,
                    %eax
   ret
```

Reverse engineer the operation of this code and then do the following:

- (a) Determine what loop translation method was used.
- (b) Use the assembly-code version to fill in the missing parts of the C code.
- (c) Describe in English what this function computes.

Solution: I first annotated the assembly as follows:

```
%rdi
                          // x >>= 1;
   shrq
.L5:
   testq
           %rdi,
                   %rdi
                           // test x
                           // if != 0 goto .L6
   jne
           .L6
   andl
                   %eax
                           // result = result & 1;
           $1,
   ret
                           // return result;
```

- (a) The jmp .L5 instruction and the tests and jump in the lines that proceed label .L5 suggests a jump-to-middle strategy.
- (b) The C code can be filled in as follows:

```
long fun_a(unsigned long x) {
   long val = 0;
   while ( x != 0 ) {
      val = val ^ x;
      x = x >> 1;
   }
   return val & 1;
}
```

(c) Since val is 0, 0 ^ 0 is 0, and 0 ^ 1 is 1, it follows that the initial val ^ x sets val equal to x. Then, shifting x results in the least significant bit of val being XORed with the least significant bit of x after the shift. When the loop ends, the least significant bit of val will have the result of XORing all of the bits in x, and the val & 1 yields that value. Since the x is an unsigned long, which has an even number of bits, and since the XOR of an even number of bits yields 0 if a number has an even number of 1 bits (or no 1 bits at all) and 1 otherwise, it follows that this function returns 1 if x has an odd number of 1 bits, and 0 otherwise.

Exercise 3.27. Write goto code for fact_for based on first transforming it to a while loop and then applying the guarded-do transformation.

Solution: The fact_for function is given below:

```
long fact_for(long n)
{
    long i;
    long result = 1;
    for (i = 2; i <= n; i++)
        result += i;
    return result;
}</pre>
```

The while loop version is below:

```
long fact_while(long n)
{
    long result = 1;
```

```
long i = 2;
while (i <= n) {
    result *= i;
    i++;
}
return result;
}</pre>
```

The guarded-do translation follows:

```
long fact_while_guarded_do(long n)
{
    long result = 1;
    long i = 2;
    if (i > n)
        goto done;
loop:
    result *= i;
    i++;
    if (i <= n)
        goto loop;
done:
    return result;
}</pre>
```

Exercise 3.28. A function fun_b has the following overall structure:

The gcc C compiler generates the following assembly code:

```
long fun_b(unsigned long x)
   x in %rdi
fun_b:
   movl
           $64,
                   %edx
                   %eax
   movl
           $0,
.L10:
                   %rcx
   movq
           %rdi,
   andl
           $1,
                   %ecx
```

Reverse engineer the operation of this code and then do the following:

- (a) Use the assembly-code version to fill in the missing parts of the C code.
- (b) Explain why there is neither an initial test before the loop nor an initial jump to the test portion of the loop.
- (c) Describe in English what this function computes.

Solution: I began by annotating the assembly code as follows:

```
long fun_b(unsigned long x)
x in %rdi
fun_b:
           $64,
                   %edx
                          // unsigned long t = 64;
   movl
                   %eax
                          // long result = 0;
   movl
           $0,
.L10:
           %rdi,
                   %rcx
                          // long v = x;
   movq
                   %ecx
   andl
           $1,
                          // v = v & 1;
           %rax,
                   %rax
                          // result = result + result;
   addq
                   %rax
                          // result = result | v;
   orq
           %rcx,
           %rdi
                          // x = x >> 1;
   shrq
           $1,
                          // t = t - 1;
   subq
                   %rdx
                           // if t != 0 goto .L10
   jne
           .L10
   rep; ret
```

(a) Based on my annotations of the assembly, I deduced the C code to be:

```
long fun_b(unsigned long x) {
    long val = 0;
    long i;
    for ( i = 64 ; i != 0 ; i-- ) {
        long xlsb = x & 1; // Get least significant bit of x
        val = (2 * val) | xlsb;
        x = x >> 1;
    }
    return val;
}
```

(b) Neither test is present because the loop always iterates 64 times.

(c) In the first iteration, the least significant bit of val has the least significant bit of x, and all of its other bits are 0. In the next iteration, multiplying val by 2 shifts all of the bits of val left by 2 while copying in the next-least significant bit of x into the least significant position of val. The apparent effect is that it reverses the bits of x.

Exercise 3.29. Executing a continue statement in C causes the program to jump to the end of the current loop iteration. The stated rule for translating a for loop into a while loop needs some refinement when dealing with continue statements. For example, consider the following code:

```
/* Example of for loop containing a continue statement */
/* Sum even numbers between 0 and 9 */
long sum = 0;
long i;
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
   if (i & 1)
       continue;
   sum += i;
}</pre>
```

- (a) What would we get if we naively applied our rule for translating the for loop into a while loop? What would be wrong with this code?
- (b) How could you replace the **continue** statement with a **goto** statement to ensure that the **while** loop correctly duplicates the behavior of the **for** loop?

Solution:

1. We would get the following if we "naively" translated the for loop:

```
/* Naive translation of for loop with continue statement into while loop */
/* Sum even numbers between 0 and 9 */
long sum = 0;
long i = 0;
while (i < 10) {
    if (i & 1)
        continue;
    sum += i;
    i++;
}</pre>
```

The translation creates an infinite loop. In the first iteration, the test expression in the if statement evaluates to false, so continue is not evaluated, causing i++ to execute, thus increasing i to 1. With this new value, the condition in the if statement now succeeds, causing continue to be executed. As a result, we go back to the top of the while loop, but we never reach the i++ statement thereafter. Thus, the value of i remains at 1 indefinitely.

2. We could replace the continue with a goto next_iter, where next_iter is a label under which the i++; statement is present.

```
/* Translation of for loop with continue statement into while loop */
/* Sum even numbers between 0 and 9 */
long sum = 0;
long i = 0;
while (i < 10) {
   if (i & 1)
      goto next_iter;
   sum += i;
next_iter:
   i++;
}</pre>
```

Exercise 3.30. In the C function that follows, we have omitted the body of the switch statement. In the C code, the case labels did not span a contiguous range, and some cases had multiple labels.

```
void switch2(long x, long *dest) {
   long val = 0;
   switch (x) {
      /* body of switch statement omitted */
   }
   *dest = val;
}
```

In compiling the function gcc generates the assembly code that follows for the initial part of the procedure, with variable x in %rdi:

```
void switch2(long x, long *dest)
  x in %rdi
switch2:
  addq $1, %rdi
  cmpq $8, %rdi
  ja .L2
  jmp *.L4(,%rdi,8)
```

It generates the following code for the jump table:

```
.L4:
    .quad
            .L9
    .quad
            .L5
    .quad
           .L6
    .quad
            .L7
    .quad
           .L2
            .L7
    .quad
    .quad
           .L8
            .L2
    .quad
            .L5
    .quad
```

Based on this information, answer the following questions:

- (a) What were the values of the case labels in the switch statement?
- (b) What cases had multiple labels in the C code?

Solution:

(a) Below I have annotated the initial part of the assembly for the procedure provided:

```
void switch2(long x, long *dest)
   x in %rdi
switch2:
                          // x += 1
   addq
           $1,
                   %rdi
                   %rdi
                          // cmp x:8
           $8,
   cmpq
   ja
           .L2
                          // if > goto .L2 (default case)
           *.L4(,%rdi,8) // Go to *jt[index]
   jmp
```

Based on the annotation, x was adjusted so that it would be an index between 0 and 8, inclusive by adding 1. Hence, x must have been between -1 and 7. Based on this, we can annotate the jump table assembly snippet given:

```
.L4:
       .L9 // case -1
.quad
.quad
       .L5 // case 0
       .L6 // case 1
.quad
       .L7 // case 2
.quad
       .L2 // case 3 (default)
.quad
       .L7 // case 4
.quad
.quad
       .L8 // case 5
       .L2 // case 6
.quad
       .L5 // case 7
.quad
```

The .L2 label is reserved for the default case. The .L4 for the jump table addresses. The only x values with no matching case are when x is 3 or 6, because for those values, switch transfers control to the default branch.

(b) The labels that repeat constitute the cases with multiple labels (except for .L2, corresponding to the default branch) are the cases with multiple labels. These are .L5 with cases 0 or 7, and .L7 with cases 2 or 4.

Exercise 3.31. For a C function switcher with the general structure

```
void switcher(long a, long b, long c, long *dest)
{
    long val;
    switch(a) {
        case _____: /* Case A */
        c = ____;
        /* Fall through */
        case ____: /* Case B */
        val = _____;
}
```

```
break;
       case ____:
                        /* Case C */
                         /* Case D */
       case ____:
           val = ____;
          break;
                        /* Case E */
       case ____:
           val = ____;
          break;
       default:
          val = ____;
   }
   *dest = val;
}
gcc generates the assembly code below:
   void switcher(long a, long b, long c, long *dest)
   a in %rdi, b in %rsi, c in %rdx, d in %rcx
switcher:
           $7,
                         %rdi
   cmpq
           .L2
   ja
           *.L4(,%rdi,8)
   jmp
   .section
                          .rodata
.L7:
           $15,
                         %rsi
   xorq
                         %rdx
   movq
          %rsi,
.L3:
           112(%rdx),
                         %rdi
   leaq
   jmp
           .L6
.L5:
           (%rdx,%rsi),
                         %rdi
   leaq
   salq
           $2,
                         %rdi
           .L6
   jmp
.L2:
   movq
           %rsi,
                         %rdi
.L6:
   movq
           %rdi,
                          (%rcx)
   ret
and it also generates the following jump table:
.L4:
    .quad
           .L3
    .quad
          .L2
    .quad
          .L5
    .quad
          .L2
          .L6
    .quad
    .quad
          .L7
```

```
.quad .L2
```

Fill in the missing parts of the C code. Except for the ordering of case labels C and D, there is only one way to fit the different cases into the template.

Solution: I began by annotating the assembly:

```
void switcher(long a, long b, long c, long *dest)
a in %rdi, b in %rsi, c in %rdx, d in %rcx
switcher:
                           %rdi
                                  // compare a:7
   cmpq
           $7,
                                   // if > 7 goto .L2 (default branch)
           .L2
   ja
           *.L4(,%rdi,8)
                                   // Go to *jt[index]
   jmp
    .section
                           .rodata
.L7:
                                   // Case A
                           %rsi
                                   // b = b ^ 15;
           $15,
   xorq
           %rsi,
                           %rdx
                                   // c = b;
   movq
                                   // fall through
                                   // Case B
.L3:
                                  // a = c + 112;
   leaq
           112(%rdx),
                           %rdi
                                   // break
   jmp
           .L6
.L5:
                                   // Cases C and D
   leaq
           (%rdx, %rsi),
                           %rdi
                                   // a = c + b
                                  // a <<= 2;
           $2,
                           %rdi
   salq
           .L6
                                  // break
   jmp
                                   // default
.L2:
                                  // a = b;
   movq
           %rsi,
                           %rdi
                                   // just after switch stament, or case E
.L6:
                           (%rcx) // *dest = a;
           %rdi,
   movq
   ret
```

It seems there is no case E, from which I deduce that case E actually does the same thing as the last statement pf *dest = val. That is, case E just sets val equal to a. Based on this the case values corresponding to the jump table labels are as follows:

```
.L4:
           .L3 // case 0 (case B)
    .quad
           .L2 // case 1 (default)
    .quad
           .L5 // case 2 (case C)
    .quad
   .quad
           .L2 // case 3 (default)
           .L6 // case 4 (case E)
   .quad
    .quad
           .L7 // case 5 (case A)
           .L2 // case 6 (default)
    .quad
           .L5 // case 7 (case D)
    .quad
```

Based on this, the C code is as follows:

```
void switcher(long a, long b, long c, long *dest)
```

```
{
   long val;
   switch(a) {
       case 5:
                   /* Case A */
           c = b ^ 15:
           /* Fall through */
                   /* Case B */
       case 0:
           val = c + 112;
           break;
       case 2:
                   /* Case C */
       case 7:
                   /* Case D */
           val = (c + b) << 2;
           break;
       case 4:
                  /* Case E */
           val = a;
           break;
       default:
           val = b;
   }
   *dest = val;
}
```

Exercise 3.32. The disassembled code for two functions first and last is shown below, along with the code for a call of first by function main:

```
Disassembly of last(long u, long v)
   u in %rdi, v in %rsi
0000000000400540 <last>:
   400540: 48 89 f8
                                                    // L1: u
                             mov
                                     %rdi, %rax
   400543: 48 Of af c6
                                     %rsi, %rax
                                                    // L2: u*v
                             imul
   400547: c3
                                                    // L3: Return
                             retq
   Disassembly of first(long x)
   x in %rdi
0000000000400548 <last>:
   400548: 48 8d 77 01
                             lea
                                     0x1(%rdi), %rsi // F1: x+1
                                               %rdi // F2: x-1
   40054c: 48 83 ef 01
                             sub
                                     $0x1,
   400550: e8 eb ff ff ff
                                     400540 < last > // F3: Call last(x-1,x+1)
                             callq
                                                    // F4: Return
   400555: f3 c3
                             repz retq
   400560: e8 e3 ff ff ff
                                     400540 <first> // M1: Call first(10)
                             callq
   400565: 48 89 c2
                                     %rax,%rdx
                                                    // M2: Resume
                             mov
```

Each of these instructions is given a label, similar to those in Figure 3.27(a). Starting with the calling of first(10) by main, fill in the following table to trace instruction execution through the point where the program returns back to main.

	Instructi	State values (at beginning)						
Label	PC	Instruction	%rdi	%rsi	%rax	%rsp	*%rsp	Description
M1	0x400560	callq	10	_		0x7fffffffe820		Call first(10)
F1								
F2								
F3								
L1								
L2								
L3								
F4								
M2								

Solution: Each time a function call is made, the address is pushed onto the stack, so the stack pointer is moved down by 8 bytes. (since an address is 64-bit). For example, when main calls first, the return address on top of the stack goes from 0x7fffffffe820 to 0x7fffffffe818, a decrease by 8 bytes. Similarly, when first calls last, it decreases to 0x7fffffffe810.

	Instruction			S	tate val	ues (at beginning)		
Label	PC	Instruction	%rdi	%rsi	%rax	%rsp	*%rsp	Description
M1	0x400560	callq	10			0x7fffffffe820		Call first(10)
F1	0x400548	lea	10	_		0x7fffffffe818	0x400565	Entry of first
F2	0x40054c	sub	10	11		0x7fffffffe818	0x400565	Subtract 1 from x
F3	0x400550	callq	9	11		0x7fffffffe818	0x400565	Call last(9, 11)
L1	0x400540	mov	9	11		0x7fffffffe810	0x400555	Entry of last
L2	0x400543	imul	9	11	9	0x7fffffffe810	0x400555	Multiply u*v
L3	0x400547	retq	9	11	99	0x7fffffffe810	0x400555	Return 99 from last
F4	0x400555	repz retq	9	11	99	0x7fffffffe818	0x400565	Return 99 from first
M2	0x400565	mov	9	116	99	0x7fffffffe820		Resume main

Exercise 3.33. A C function procprob has four arguments u, a, v, and b. Each is either a signed number or a pointer to a signed number, where the numbers have different sizes. The function has the following body:

```
*u += a;
*v += b;
return sizeof(a) + sizeof(b);
```

It compiles to the following x86-64 code:

```
procprob:

movslq %edi, %rdi
addq %rdi, (%rdx)
addb %sil, (%rcx)
movl $6, %eax
ret
```

Determine a valid ordering and types of the four parameters. There are two correct answers.

Solution: The first argument is in %rdi, the second in %rsi, the third in %rdx, and the fourth in %rcx. The addq %rdi, (%rdx) command corresponds to the statement *u += a, so a is the first argument, and u is the third argument. Similarly, the addb %rsi, (%rcx) corresponds to the statement *v += b, and %sil is the lower byte of %rsi, so b is the second argument and v is the 4th argument

We are given that all arguments are signed integers or pointers to signed integers. The moveslq %edi, %rdi sign extends the lower half register of %rdi, in this case from 32-bit to 64-bit. Hence, a must be a 32-bit integer (an int) and v must be a pointer to a 64-bit integer (a long *). Since a is 4 bytes, it must be that b is 2 bytes because %movl \$6, %eax implies the sum of their sizes is 6 bytes, so b is a short. The addb %sil, (%rcx) suggests an 8-bit operand, so v is a pointer to a char (a char *). This means the function signature would be

```
procprob(int a, short b, long *u, char *v);
```

Exercise 3.34. Consider a function P, which generates local values, named a0-a8. It then calls function Q using these generated values as arguments. gcc produces the following code for the first part of P:

```
long P(long x)
   x in %rdi
P:
   pushq
           %r15
   pushq
           %r14
   pushq
           %r13
   pushq
           %r12
   pushq
           %rbp
           %rbx
   pushq
   subq
           $24, %rsp
           %rdi, %rbx
   movq
           1(%rdi), %r15
   leaq
           2(%rdi), %r14
   leaq
           3(%rdi), %r13
   leaq
           4(%rdi), %r12
   leaq
           5(%rdi), %rbp
   leaq
           6(%rdi), %rax
   leaq
           %rax, (%rsp)
   movq
           7(%rdi), %rdx
   leaq
   movq
           %rdx, 8(%rsp)
           $0, %eax
   movl
           Q
   call
```

- (a) Identify which local values get stored in callee-saved registers.
- (b) Identify which local values get stored on the stack.
- (c) Explain why the program could not store all of the local variables in callee-saved registers.

Solution:

- (a) The callee-saved registers are %rbx, %rbp, and %r12 through %r15. After pushing these values onto the stack, P stores x at %rbx, x + 1 in %rx15, x + 2 in %rx14, x + 3 in %rx13, x + 4 in %rx12, and x + 5 in %rbp.
- (b) The value x + 6 is saved at the top of the stack. The value x + 7 is saved at an 8-byte offset of the top-of-stack.
- (c) There are only 6 callee-saved registers, and to store a0 through a8 requires 9 registers. Therefore, P must store the remaining 3 on the stack. This explains the subq \$24, %rsp instruction, which allocates space on the stack for 3 local variables.

Exercise 3.35. For a C function having the general structure

```
long rfun(unsigned long x) {
   if (_____)
        return _____;
   unsigned long nx = _____;
   long rv = rfun(nx);
   return _____;
}
```

gcc generates the following assembly code:

```
long rfun(unsigned long x)
   x in %rdi
rfun:
   pushq
           %rbx
   movq
           %rdi, %rbx
           $0, %eax
   movl
   testq
           %rdi, %rdi
           .L2
   jе
           $2, %rdi
   shrq
           rfun
   call
           %rbx, %rax
   addq
.L2:
   popq
           %rbx
   ret
```

- (a) What value does rfun store in the callee-saved register %rbx?
- (b) Fill in the missing expressions in the C code shown above.

Solution:

(a) First we can annotate the assembly code:

```
long rfun(unsigned long x)
x in %rdi
```

```
rfun:
                          // Save %rbx
           %rbx
   pushq
   movq
           %rdi, %rbx
                          // Store x in callee-saved register.
           $0, %eax
                          // Set return val to 0
   movl
           %rdi, %rdi
                          // Test x
   testq
                          // if == 0 goto .L2
           .L2
   jе
   shrq
           $2, %rdi
                          // unsigned long nx = x >> 2; (logical right shift)
                          // Call rfun(nx)
   call
           rfun
                          // long rv = x + rfun(nx)
           %rbx, %rax
   addq
.L2:
                          // Restore %rbx
   popq
           %rbx
                          // Return
   ret
```

We conclude that rfun stores x, its sole argument, in the callee-saved register %rbx, after saving the existing value on the stack, and restoring it before returning.

(b) The C code is as follows:

```
long rfun(unsigned long x) {
   if (x == 0)
      return 0;
   unsigned long nx = x >> 2;
   long rv = rfun(nx);
   return x + rv;
}
```

Exercise 3.36. Consider the following declarations

```
short S[7];
short *T[3];
short **U[6];
int V[8];
double *W[4];
```

Fill in the following table describing the element size, the total size, and the address of element i for each of these arrays.

Array	Element Size	Total size	Start address	Element i
S			x_S	
T			x_T	
U			x_U	
V			x_V	
W			x_W	

Solution: The sizes in the brackets constitute the number of elements. The element size is given by the type, where **short** is 2 bytes, **int** is 4 bytes, and all pointer types are 8 bytes. From this, the table is as follows:

Array	Element Size	Total size	Start address	Element i
S	2	14	x_S	$x_S + 2i$
T	8	24	x_T	$x_T + 8i$
U	8	48	x_U	$x_U + 8i$
V	4	32	x_V	$x_V + 4i$
W	8	32	x_W	$x_W + 8i$

Exercise 3.37. Suppose x_S , the address of short integer array S, and long integer index i are stored in registers %rdx and %rcx, respectively. For each of the following expressions, give its type, a formula for its value, and an assembly-code implementation. The result should be stored in register %rax if it is a pointer and register element %rax if it has data type short.

Expression	Type	Value	Assembly code
S+1			
S[3]			
&S[i]			
S[4*i+1]			
S+i-5			

Solution: I used the fact that short is 2 bytes, and long is 8 bytes. Since 2 bytes is a "word", we use movw for data movements.

Expression	Type	Value	Assembly code
S+1	short *	$x_S + 2$	leaq 2(%rdx), %rax
S[3]	short	$M[x_S+6]$	movw 6(%rdx), %ax
&S[i]	short *	$x_S + 2i$	<pre>leaq (%rdx,%rcx,2), %rax</pre>
S[4*i+1]	short	$M[x_S + 8i + 2]$	movw 2(%rdx, %rcx, 8), %ax
S+i-5	short *	$x_S + 2i - 10$	leaq -10(%rdx, %rcx,2), %rax

Exercise 3.38. Consider the following source code, where M and N are constants declared with #define:

```
long P[M][N];
long Q[N][M];

long sum_element(long i, long j) {
    return P[i][j] + Q[j][i];
}
```

In compiling this program, gcc generates the following assembly code:

```
addq P(,%rdx,8), %rax ret
```

Use your reverse engineering skills to determine the values M and N based on this assembly code.

Solution: Note that long is of size 8 bytes. The address &P[i][j] is given by $x_P + 8(N \cdot i + j)$, whereas &Q[j][i] is given by $x_Q + 8(M \cdot j + i)$. First we can annotate the assembly:

```
long sum_element(long i, long j)
   i in %rdi, j in %rsi
sum_element:
           0(, %rdi, 8), %rdx
                                  // long a = 8i
   leaq
           %rdi, %rdx
                                  // a = a - i /* now a is 7i */
   subq
           %rsi, %rdx
                                  // a = a + j /* now a is 7i + j */
   addq
   leaq
           (%rsi,%rsi,4), %rax
                                 // long b = j + 4j /* now it is 5j */
                                  // long c = i + b /* c = i + 5j */
           %rax, %rdi
   addq
                                  // \text{ result} = M[Q + 8*c]
           Q(,%rdi,8), %rax
   movq
                                  // result += M[P + 8*a]
           P(,%rdx,8), %rax
   addq
   ret
```

Based on the annotations, &Q[j][i] is $x_Q + 8(5j + i)$, and &P[i][j] is $x_P + 8(7i + j)$. Hence, N = 7, and M = 5.

Exercise 3.39. Use Equation 3.1 to explain how the computations of the initial values for Aptr, Bptr, and Bend in the C code in Figure 3.37(b) (lines 3-5) correctly describe their computations in the assembly code generated for fix_prod_ele (lines 3-5).

Solution: Equation 3.1 says that if T is a data type of size L, then given the array

T D[R][C];

with R rows and C columns, the array element D[i][j] is at memory address

$$\&D[i][j] = x_D + L(C \cdot i + j)$$

where x_D is the address of D. Recall that A and B are of types int[16] [16]. To access A[i] [0], the first element in the *i*-th row, we have L=4 because int takes up 4 bytes, and C is 16, so

$$\&A[i][0] = x_A + 4(16 \cdot i + 0) = x_A + 64i$$

Similarly, to access B[0] [k], the first element in the k-th column we have L=4 because int takes up 4 bytes, and C is 16, so

$$\&B[0][k] = x_B + 4(16 \cdot 0 + k) = x_B + 4k$$

Finally, to access the first element beyond the k-th column, namely, the first (thus marking the end of column k of B), and recalling that N = 16, we have

&
$$B[N][k] = x_B + 4(16 \cdot N + k) = x_B + 4(16 \cdot 16 + k) = x_B + 1024 + 4k$$

Exercise 3.40. The following C code sets the diagonal elements of one of our fixed-sized arrays to val:

```
/* Set all diagonal elements to val */
void fix_set_diag(fix_matrix A, int val) {
   long i;
   for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
        A[i][i] = val;
}</pre>
```

When compiled with optimization level -01, gcc generates the following assembly code:

```
void fix_set_diag(fix_matrix A, int val)
A in %rdi, val in %rsi
fix_set_diag:
   movl $0, %eax
.L13:
   movl %esi, (%rdi,%rax)
   addq $68, %rax
   cmpq $1088, %rax
   jne .L13
   rep; ret
```

Create a C code program fix_set_diag_opt that uses optimizations similar to those in the assembly code, in the same style as the code in Figure 3.37(b). Use expressions involving the parameter N rather than integer constants, so that your code will work correctly if N is redefined.

Solution: First we can annotate the assembly:

```
void fix_set_diag(fix_matrix A, int val)
   A in %rdi, val in %rsi
fix_set_diag:
                             // i = 0
   movl
           $0, %eax
.L13:
           \%esi, (\%rdi,\%rax) // M[A + i] = val
   movl
           $68, %rax
                             // i += 16 * 4 + 4
   addq
           $1088, %rax
                             // compare i:(4*(16*16 + 16)), N = 16
   cmpq
                              // if != go to .L13
   jne
           .L13
                              // Return
   rep; ret
```

Based on the annotations, we can produce the following C code: