Sergio Garcia Tapia Concrete Mathematics, by Graham, Knuth, and Patashnik Chapter 1: Recurrent Problems March 5, 2024

Warmups

Exercise 1. What does the notation

$$\sum_{k=4}^{0} q_k$$

mean?

Solution: It is the sum of all terms q_k whose index k is an integer between the lower limit of 4 and the upper limit 0, inclusive. The set of numbers satisfying this property is empty, so this is an empty sum with a value fo 0.

Exercise 2. Simplify the expression $x \cdot ([x > 0] - [x < 0])$.

Solution: Here, [x > 0] is the boolean function that is 1 if x > 0 and 0 otherwise; similar for [x < 0]. Suppose that x > 0. Then the [x > 0] = 1 and [x < 0] = 0, so the expression simplifies to $x \cdot (1 - 0) = x$. If x < 0, it simplifies to $x \cdot (0 - 1) = -x$. If x = 0, then it's just 0. Hence

$$x \cdot ([x > 0] - [x < 0]) = |x|.$$

Exercise 3. Demonstrate your understanding of Σ -notation by writing out the sums

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le 5} a_k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{0 \le k^2 \le 5} a_{k^2}$$

in full. (Watch out — the second sum is a bit tricky).

Solution: Recalling that $[0 \le k \le 5]$ is the boolean function that is 1 for the statement

$$P(k): 0 \le k \le 5$$

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le 5} = a_k = \sum_k a_k [0 \le k \le 5] = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5$$

Similarly, the only integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $0 \le k^2 \le 5$ are k = -2, k = -1, k = 0, k = 1, and k = 2, so

$$\sum_{0 \le k^2 \le 5} a_{k^2} = a_{(-2)^2} + a_{(-1)^2} + a_{0^2} + a_{1^2} + a_{2^2} = a_2 + a_1 + a_0 + a_1 + a_4$$

Exercise 4. Express the triple sum

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j < k \le 4} a_{ijk}$$

as a three-fold summation (with three Σ 's),

- (a) summing first on k, then j, then i;
- (b) summing first on i, then j, then k.

Also write your triple sums out in full without Σ -notation, using parentheses to show what is being added together first.

Solution: The expanded sum fro the expression given is

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le 4} a_{ijk} = a_{123} + a_{124} + a_{134} + a_{234}$$

(a) The index condition for the summation can be factored as

$$[1 \le i < j < k \le 4] = [1 \le i < 4][i < j < 4][j \le k \le 4]$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j < k \le 4} a_{ijk} = \sum_{1 \le i < 4} \sum_{i < j < 4} \sum_{j < k \le 4} a_{ijk}$$

$$= (a_{123} + a_{124}) \quad \text{(terms with } i = 1, j = 2)$$

$$+ (a_{134}) \quad \text{(terms with } i = 1, j = 3)$$

$$+ (a_{234}) \quad \text{(terms with } i = 2, j = 3)$$

The parentheses show the inner sum k for each fixed i, j. Note that when i = 3, we require i < j and j < k, which implies $k \ge 5$, and no such terms exist.

(b) A different, but equivalent, factorization is

$$[1 \le i < j < k \le 4] = [1 < k \le 4][1 < j < k][1 \le i < j]$$

The sum then becomes

$$\sum_{1 < k \le 4} \sum_{1 < j < k} \sum_{1 \le i < j} a_{ijk} = (a_{124}) \qquad \text{(terms with } k = 4, \ j = 2)$$

$$+ (a_{134} + a_{234}) \quad \text{(terms with } k = 4, \ j = 3)$$

$$+ (a_{123}) \qquad \text{(term with } k = 3)$$

Exercise 5. What's wrong with the following derivation?

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_k}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_j}{a_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_k}{a_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n = n^2$$

Solution: The second equality is incorrect. In writing

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_j}{a_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_k}{a_k}$$

we are replacing index j with the index k that is already in use, introducing ambiguity about two otherwise independent indices.

Exercise 6. What is the value of $\sum_{k} [1 \le j \le k \le n]$, as a function of j and n?

Solution: Factoring the summand condition, we get

$$\sum_{k} [1 \le j \le k \le n] = \sum_{k} [1 \le j \le n] [j \le k \le n]$$
$$= [1 \le j \le n] \sum_{k=j}^{n}$$
$$= [1 \le j \le n] (n-j+1)$$

because the sum is essentially the count of numbers between j and n for $j \leq n$.

Exercise 7. Let $\nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x-1)$. What is $\nabla (x^{\overline{m}})$?

Solution: Recall that $x^{\overline{m}}$ is "x to the m rising", or rising power, and it is defined as the m-term product

$$x^{\overline{m}} = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+(m-1))$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \nabla \left(x^{\overline{m}} \right) &= \left(x^{\overline{m}} \right) - (x-1)^{\overline{m}} \\ &= x(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+(m-1)) - (x-1)(x)(x+1) \cdots (x+(m-2)) \\ &= x(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+(m-2)) \cdot [x+(m-1)-(x-1)] \\ &= mx(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+(m-2)) \\ &= m \left(x^{\overline{m-1}} \right) \end{split}$$

Exercise 8. What is the value of $0^{\underline{m}}$, when m is a given integer?

Solution: It is 0 if m = 0. If m > 0, then

$$0^{\underline{m}} = 0 \cdot (0-1)(0-2) \cdots (0-(m-1)) = 0$$

If m < 0, then

$$0^{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{(0+1)(0+2)\cdots(0+(-m))} = \frac{1}{1^{\overline{m}}} = \frac{1}{m!}$$

Exercise 9. What is the law of exponents for rising factorial powers, analogous to (2.52)? Use this to define $x^{-\overline{n}}$.

Solution: Note that

$$x^{\overline{3}} = x(x+1)(x+2)$$

$$x^{\overline{2}} = x(x+1)$$

$$x^{\overline{1}} = x$$

$$x^{\overline{0}} = 1$$

In other words, we divide by (x+2), then by (x+1) then by x. Each time, we divide by x+k, and we decrease k by 1. It would seem that to continue the pattern we would want to next divide by (x-1), then (x-2), and so on, so that

$$x^{-1} = \frac{1}{x-1}$$
$$x^{-2} = \frac{1}{(x-1)(x-2)}$$

and so on. Note that

$$x^{\overline{2}} \cdot (x+2)^{\overline{3}} = x(x+1) \cdot (x+2)(x+3)(x+4) = x^{\overline{m}}$$

In general,

$$x^{\overline{m+n}} = x^{\overline{m}}(x+m)^{\overline{n}}$$

Now we can use this to define x^{-n} by letting m = -n:

$$1 = x^{\overline{0}}$$

$$= x^{\overline{-n+n}}$$

$$= x^{\overline{-n}} (x-n)^{\overline{n}}$$

Hence

$$x^{\overline{-n}} = \frac{1}{(x-n)^{\overline{n}}} = \frac{1}{(x-1)^{\underline{n}}}$$

Exercise 10. The text derives the formula for the difference of a product

$$\Delta(uv) = u\Delta v + Ev\Delta u$$

How can this formula be correct, when the left-hand side is symmetric with respect to u and v but the right-hand side is not?

Solution: In the derivation of $\Delta(uv)$, we added 0 in the form of u(x)v(x+1)-u(x)v(x+1) to simplify the difference. Here, we arbitrarily chose to apply the shift operator to v. However, we could have equivalently applied it to u, to get

$$\Delta(uv) = u(x+1)v(x+1) - u(x)v(x)$$

= $u(x+1)v(x+1) - u(x+1)v(x) + u(x+1)v(x) - u(x)v(x)$
= $Eu\Delta v + v\Delta u$

Therefore, in the text definition, we could certainly switch what the operators are being applied to, and obtain an equivalent expression.

Basics

Exercise 11. The general rule (2.56):

$$\sum u\Delta v = uv - \sum Ev\Delta u$$

for summation by parts is equivalent to

$$\sum_{0 \le k < n} (a_{k+1} - a_k) b_k = a_n b_n - a_0 b_0$$
$$- \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} (b_{k+1} - b_k), \quad \text{for } n \ge 0.$$

Prove this formula directly by using the distributive, pairing, and commutative laws.

Solution: Proof.

$$\sum_{0 \le k < n} (a_{k+1} - a_k) b_k = \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} b_k - \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_k b_k$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} b_k - \left(a_0 b_0 - a_n b_n + \sum_{1 \le k \le n} a_k b_k \right)$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} b_k - \left(a_0 b_0 - a_n b_n + \sum_{1 \le k+1 \le n} a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} b_k - \left(a_0 b_0 - a_n b_n + \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} b_{k+1} \right)$$

$$= a_n b_n - a_0 b_0 + \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} b_k - \sum_{0 \le k < n} a_{k+1} b_{k+1}$$

$$= a_n b_n - a_0 b_0 - \sum_{0 \le k < n} (b_{k+1} - b_k) a_{k+1},$$

where the first equality follows from the pairing law, the second comes from splitting off the sum, which is a consequence of the pairing law and the commutative law (notice $0 \le k < n$ changed to $1 \le k \le n$), the third from the commutative law because we replaced k by k+1, the fourth by simplifying the bounds, the fifth from the distributive law, and the last by the pairing law.

Exercise 12. Show that the function $p(k) = k + (-1)^k c$ is a permutation of the set of all integers, whenever c is an integer.

Solution: Proof. A permutation of the set of all integers is a 1-1 correspondence. First, we prove that p is 1-1. Suppose that $p(k_1) = p(k_2)$, meaning that

$$k_1 + (-1)^{k_1}c = k_2 + (-1)^{k_2}c \implies k_2 - k_1 = (-1)^{k_1}c - (-1)^{k_2}c$$

Suppose that k_1 is even and k_2 is odd. Then $(-1)^{k_1} = c$ and $(-1)^{k_2} = -$, $k_2 - k_1 = 2c$. But this is impossible because the difference of an odd and even number is odd. Hence, k_1 and k_2 are both even, implying that $k_1 = k_2$.

Next, we show that p is onto. Suppose c is even. Let 2m be any even integer. If we let k = 2m - c, then k is even, so $p(k) = k + (-1)^k c = k + c = (2m - c) + c = 2m$. If 2m + 1 is an odd integer, choose k = 2m + 1 + c. Then k is odd, so $(-1)^k$ is -1, and hence $p(k) = k + (-1)^c m = k - c = (2m + 1 + c) - c = 2m + 1$. Hence, if c is even, then p is onto. A similar argument works when c is odd. Hence, p is onto, and is thus a 1-1 corresponding from \mathbb{Z} onto itself, meaning that it is a permutation.

Exercise 13. Use the repertoire method to find a closed form for $\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k k^2$.

Solution: Let $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k k^2$. To leverage the repertoire method, we express it as a recurrence relation, like so:

$$S_0 = 0;$$

 $S_n = S_{n-1} + (-1)^n n^2.$

Suppose R_n is the more general recurrence

$$R_0 = \alpha;$$

$$R_n = R_{n-1} + (-1)^n \left(\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2\right).$$

Then $R(n) = A(n)\alpha + B(n)\beta + C(n)\gamma + D(n)\lambda$. To solve for the coefficient functions, we choose different solution functions, starting with the simple R(n) = 1. Then the recurrence becomes

$$1 = \alpha;$$

$$1 = 1 + (-1)^n (\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2) \iff 0 = (-1)^n (\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2)$$

Hence $\alpha = 1$. In the second equation, the product is only 0 if the parenthesized expression is 0. This immediately implies that $\beta = \gamma = \lambda = 0$. Hence,

$$1 = R(n) = A(n)$$

Next, we go with $R(n) = (-1)^n$, so the recurrence becomes:

$$1 = \alpha;$$

$$(-1)^n = (-1)^{n-1} + (-1)^n (\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2) \iff 2 = \beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2$$

Hence, $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 2$, and $\gamma = \lambda = 0$. In this case,

$$(-1)^n = R(n) = A(n) + 2B(n)$$

Recalling that A(n) = 1, it follows that

$$B(n) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^n - \frac{1}{2}$$

Next, we consider $R(n) = (-1)^n n$. In this case, the recurrence becomes:

$$0 = \alpha;$$

$$(-1)^n n = (-1)^{n-1} (n-1) + (-1)^n (\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2) \iff 2n - 1 = \beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2$$

Hence, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -1$, $\gamma = 2$, and $\lambda = 0$. This implies that

$$(-1)^n n = R(n) = -B(n) + 2C(n)$$

Since we have already determined B(n), we can solve for C(n):

$$C(n) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^n n + \frac{1}{4}(-1)^n - \frac{1}{4}$$

We need one last equation to determine D(n). Let $R(n) = (-1)n^2$. The recurrence becomes:

$$0 = \alpha;$$

(-1)ⁿn² = (-1)ⁿ⁻¹(n-1)² + (-1)ⁿ(\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^2)

We can simply the second equation by dividing by $(-1)^n$, expanding $(n-1)n^2$, and simplifying:

$$n^{2} = -(n-1)^{2} + (\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^{2})$$

$$n^{2} = (-1 + 2n - n^{2}) + (\beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^{2})$$

$$2n^{2} - 2n + 1 = \beta + \gamma n + \lambda n^{2}$$

Equating coefficients, we conclude that $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = -2$, and $\lambda = 2$. Hence

$$(-1)^n n^2 = R(n) = B(n) - 2C(n) + 2D(n).$$

Substituting our solutions for B(n) and C(n), we get

$$D(n) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^n n^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^n n + \frac{1}{4}(-1)^n - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}(-1)^n + \frac{1}{4}$$
$$= (-1)^n \frac{(n^2 + n)}{2}.$$

Going back to our series $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k k^2$, recall that its corresponding recurrence is

$$S_0 = 0;$$

 $S_n = S_{n-1} + (-1)^n n^2.$

This means $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$, $\gamma = 0$, and $\lambda = 1$. Hence, $S_n = S(n) = D(n)$, so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k k^2 = (-1)^n \frac{(n^2 + n)}{2}$$

Exercise 14. Evaluate $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k2^k$ by rewriting it as the multiple sum $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq n} 2^k$.

Solution: Recall that

$$[1 \le j \le n][j \le k \le n] = [1 \le j \le k \le n] = [1 \le k \le n][1 \le j \le k].$$

We can use this to re-write the sum

$$\sum_{1 \le k \le n} k 2^k = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} k 2^k \cdot \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} 1$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} k 2^k \cdot \frac{1}{k}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le k \le n} 2^k$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{j \le k \le n} 2^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sum_{j \le k + j \le n} 2^{k+j}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le n} 2^j \sum_{0 \le k \le n - j} 2^k$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le n} 2^j (2^{n-j+1} - 1)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le n} 2^{n+1} - \sum_{1 \le j \le n} 2^j$$

$$= n \cdot 2^{n+1} - \sum_{0 \le j \le n - 1} 2^{j+1}$$

$$= n \cdot 2^{n+1} - 2^{n+1} + 2$$

Exercise 15. Evaluate $\square_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k^3$ by using the text's Method 5 (Expand and Contract) as follows: First write $\square_n + \square_n = 2 \sum_{1 \le j \le k \le n} jk$; then apply Equation 1, which is (2.33) in the book, given below:

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k \le n} a_j a_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right) \tag{1}$$

Solution: Recall that

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k} j = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$$

We use this equation below:

$$\square_n + \square_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k^3 + \sum_{k=1}^n k^2
= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} k^2 (k+1)
= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} k^2 (k+1) \cdot \frac{2}{(k+1)k} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} j
= 2 \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} j k
= 2 \sum_{1 \le j \le k \le n} j k$$

Now applying Equation 1 (2.33 in book) with $a_j = j$ and $a_k = k$:

$$\square_n + \square_n = 2 \sum_{1 \le j \le k \le n} jk$$

$$= \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^n k \right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n k^2 \right)$$

$$= \left(\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{(n+1)(n+\frac{1}{2})n}{3} \right)$$

$$= \left(\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right)^2 + \square_n \right)$$

Subtracting \square_n on both sides results in

$$\mathfrak{D}_n = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^2$$

Exercise 16. Prove that $x^{\underline{m}}/(x-n)^{\underline{m}} = x^{\underline{n}}/(x-m)^{\underline{n}}$, unless one of the denominators is zero.

Solution: Proof. If m = n, then the statement is trivially true. Suppose, without loss generality, that m > n. Then

$$\frac{x^{\underline{m}}}{(x-n)^{\underline{m}}} = \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-(n-1))(x-n)(x-(m-1))}{(x-n)(x-n-1)\cdots(x-(m-1))(x-m)\cdots(x-n-(m-1))}$$

$$= \frac{x(x-1)\cdots(x-(n-1))}{(x-m)\cdots(x-m-1)\cdots(x-n-(m-1))}$$

$$= \frac{x^{\underline{n}}}{(x-m)^{\underline{n}}}$$

Exercise 17. Show that the following formulas can be used to convert between rising and falling factorial powers, for all integers m:

$$x^{\overline{m}} = (-1)^m (-x)^{\underline{m}} = (x+m-1)^{\underline{m}} = 1/(x-1)^{-\underline{m}};$$

$$x^{\underline{m}} = (-1)^m (-x)^{\overline{m}} = (x-m+1)^{\overline{m}} = 1/(x+1)^{-\overline{m}}.$$

Solution: Starting with $x^{\overline{m}} = 1/(x-1)^{-m}$:

$$\frac{1}{(x-1)^{\underline{-m}}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{(x-1+1)(x-1+2)\cdots(x-1+m)}}$$
$$= x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+(m-1))$$
$$= x^{\overline{m}}$$

We can prove $x^{\overline{m}} = (x + m - 1)^{\underline{m}}$ by reversing the order of the product:

$$x^{\overline{m}} = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+(m-2))(x+(m-1)) = (x+(m-1))(x+(m-1)-1)\cdots(x+2)(x+1)x$$
$$= (x+m-1)^{\underline{m}}$$

Lastly, we can prove $x^{\overline{m}} = (-1)^m (-x)^{\underline{m}}$ by multiplying by (-1) and changing the additions to subtractions:

$$x^{\overline{m}} = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+(m-1))$$

$$= [(-1)\cdot(-x)][(-1)\cdot(-x-1)]\cdot[(-1)\cdot(-x-2)]\cdots[(-1)[-x-(m-1)]]$$

$$= (-1)^m(-x)(-x-1)(-x-2)\cdots(-x-(m-1))$$

$$= (-1)^m(-x)^{\underline{m}}.$$

We could use the equations we just proved to prove the $x^{\underline{m}}$ equations, but the same arguments work. First, we can prove $x^{\underline{m}} = (-1)^m (-x)^{\overline{m}}$ by multiplying every term by (-1) and reversing the order of the subtraction:

$$x^{\underline{m}} = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-(m-1))$$

$$[(-1)\cdot(-x)]\cdot[(-1)\cdot(-x+1)]\cdot[(-1)(-x+2)]\cdots[(-1)(-x+m-1)]$$

$$= (-1)^{n}(-x)(-x+1)\cdots(-x+(m-1))$$

$$= (-1)^{n}(-x)^{\overline{m}}$$

Next, we can prove $x^{\underline{m}} = (x - m + 1)^{\overline{m}}$ by writing the product in reverse:

$$x^{\underline{m}} = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-(m-2))(x-(m-1))$$

$$= (x-(m-1))(x-(m-2))\cdots(x-2)(x-1)$$

$$= (x-m+1)(x-m+2)\cdots(x-m+1+(m-2))(x-m+1+(m-1))$$

$$= (x-m+1)(x-m+2)\cdots(x-1)x$$

$$= (x-m+1)^{\underline{m}}$$

For the last falling factorial equation, we start from the right-side. From Exercise 9, it follows that

$$x^{\overline{-m}} = \frac{1}{(x-m)^{\overline{m}}} = \frac{1}{(x-1)^{\underline{m}}}$$

Therefore, if we start from the right, replace x with x + 1, and use the reciprocal:

$$x^{\underline{m}} = ((x+1)-1)^{\underline{m}} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{-m}}$$

Exercise 18. Let $\Re z$ and $\Im z$ be the real and imaginary parts of the complex number z. The absolute value |z| is $\sqrt{(\Re z)^2 + (\Im z)^2}$. A sum $\sum_{k \in K} a_k$ of complex terms ak is said to converge absolutely when the real-valued sums $\sum_{k \in K} \Re a_k$ and $\sum_{k \in K} \Im a_k$ both converge absolutely. Prove that $\sum_{k \in K} a_k$ converges absolutely if and only if there is a boundary constant B such that $\sum_{k \in F} |a_k| \leq B$ for all finite subsets $F \subseteq K$.

Solution: Proof. (" \Longrightarrow "): Suppose that $\sum_{k \in K} \Re a_z$ and $\sum_{k \in K} \Im a_z$ both converge absolutely. Recall that

$$\Re a_k = \Re a_k^+ - \Re a_k^-, \quad \Im a_k = \Im a_k^+ - \Im a_k^-,$$

where the operands on the right-hand side are all non-negative. Then the absolute convergence of $\Re a_k$ and $\Im a_k$ imply that the sums

$$\sum_{k \in K} \Re a_k^+, \quad \sum_{k \in K} \Re a_k^-, \quad \sum_{k \in K} \Im a_k^+, \quad \sum_{k \in K} \Im a_k^-,$$

all converge. Therefore, there are constants $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all finite subsets $F \subseteq K$, we have

$$\sum_{k \in F} \Re a_k^+ \le \frac{B_1}{4}, \quad \sum_{k \in F} \Re a_k^- \le \frac{B_2}{4}, \quad \sum_{k \in F} \Im a_k^+ \le \frac{B_3}{4}, \quad \sum_{k \in F} \Im a_k^- \le \frac{B_4}{4},$$

Pick $B = \max\{B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4\}$. Then, by the triangle inequality, Recalling that the triangle inequality says that $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k \in F} |a_k| &= \sum_{k \in F} |\Re a_k + i \Im a_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in F} (|\Re a_k| + |\Im a_k|) \\ &= \sum_{k \in F} |\Re a_k| + \sum_{k \in F} |\Im a_k| \\ &= \sum_{k \in F} |\Re a_k^+ - \Re a_k^-| + \sum_{k \in F} |\Im a_k^+ - \Im a_k^-| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in F} |\Re a_k^+| + \sum_{k \in F} |\Re a_k^-| + \sum_{k \in F} |\Im a_k^+| + \sum_{k \in F} |\Im a_k^-| \\ &\leq \frac{B_1}{4} + \frac{B_2}{4} + \frac{B_3}{4} + \frac{B_4}{4} \\ &\leq \frac{B}{4} + \frac{B}{4} + \frac{B}{4} + \frac{B}{4} \\ &= B \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof of the forward direction.

(" \Leftarrow "): Suppose now that there is $B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{k \in F} |a_k| \leq B$ for all finite subsets $F \subseteq K$. Note that $\Re a_k \leq |\Re a_k| \leq |a_k|$ and $\Im a_k \leq |\Im a_k| \leq |a_k|$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\sum_{k \in F} \Re a_k \le \sum_{k \in F} |\Re a_k| \le \sum_{k \in F} |a_k| \le B \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k \in F} \Im a_k \le \sum_{k \in F} |\Im a_k| \le \sum_{k \in F} |a_k| \le B.$$

which means that $\sum_{k \in F} \Re a_k$ and $\sum_{k \in F} \Im a_k$ both converge absolutely.

Homework

Exercise 19. Use a summation factor to solve the recurrence

$$T_0 = 5$$

 $2T_n = nT_{n-1} + 3 \cdot n!$, for $n > 0$.

Solution: Recall that given a sequence of the form

$$a_n T_n = b_n T_{n-1} + c_n, \quad n > 0,$$

we can solve by multiplying by a summation factor s_n , chosen so that

$$s_n b_n = s_{n-1} a_{n-1}.$$

The solution then becomes

$$T_n = \frac{1}{s_n a_n} \left(s_1 b_1 T_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n s_k c_k \right).$$

For the given recurrence, we have $a_n = 2$, and $b_n = n$. Therefore, we want s_n that satisfies

$$s_n \cdot n = s_{n-1} \cdot 2 \quad \iff \quad s_n = \frac{2}{n} s_{n-1}, \quad n > 0$$

By unfolding the recurrence, we see that the appropriate factor is

$$s_n = \frac{2}{n}s_{n-1} = \frac{2^2}{n(n-1)}s_{n-2} = \frac{2^3}{n(n-1)(n-2)}s_{n-3} = \dots = \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!}s_1.$$

We pick $s_1 = 1$. Hence, $s_1b_1 = 1$, and $S_na_n = \frac{2^n}{n!}$, so

$$T = \frac{n!}{2^n} \left(5 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2^{k-1}}{k!} \cdot k! \right)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{2^n} \left(5 + 3 \sum_{1 \le k \le n} 2^{k-1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{2^n} \left(5 + 3 \sum_{1 \le k + 1 \le n} 2^k \right)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{2^n} \left(5 + 3 \sum_{0 \le k \le n - 1} 2^k \right)$$

$$= \frac{n!}{2^n} \left(5 + 3(2^n - 1) \right)$$

$$= n! \cdot (3 + 2^{n-1}).$$

Exercise 20. Try to evaluate $\sum_{k=0}^{n} k\mathcal{H}_k$ by the perturbation method, but deduce the value of $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathcal{H}_k$ instead.

Solution: Recall that if we have a series $S_n = \sum_{0 \le k \le n} a_k$, then we can re-write S_{n+1} two different ways by splitting off the first and last term:

$$S_n + a_{n+1} = S_{n+1}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k \le n+1} a_k$$

$$= a_0 + \sum_{1 \le k \le n+1} a_k$$

$$= a_0 + \sum_{1 \le k+1 \le n+1} a_{k+1}$$

$$= a_0 + \sum_{0 \le k \le n} a_{k+1}.$$

This is the basis of the perturbation method. We apply it to the given series. Let S_n

 $\sum_{k=0}^{n} k\mathcal{H}_k$. Then

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le n} k \mathcal{H}_k + (n+1)\mathcal{H}_{n+1} = \sum_{0 \le k \le n+1} k \mathcal{H}_k$$

$$= 0 \cdot \mathcal{H}_0 + \sum_{1 \le k \le n+1} k \mathcal{H}_k$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le k+1 \le n+1} (k+1)\mathcal{H}_{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k \le n} (k+1)\mathcal{H}_{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k \le n} k \mathcal{H}_{k+1} + \sum_{0 \le k \le n} \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k \le n} k \mathcal{H}_{k+1} + \sum_{1 \le k \le n+1} \mathcal{H}_k$$

$$= \sum_{0 \le k \le n} k \mathcal{H}_{k+1} + \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \mathcal{H}_k + \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$$

Re-arranging, and noting that $\mathcal{H}_0 = 0$, we have:

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le n} \mathcal{H}_k = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \mathcal{H}_k = n\mathcal{H}_{n+1} + \sum_{0 \le k \le n} k(\mathcal{H}_k - \mathcal{H}_{k+1})$$

$$= n\mathcal{H}_{n+1} - \sum_{0 \le k \le n} k \cdot \frac{1}{k+1}$$

$$= n\mathcal{H}_{n+1} - \sum_{0 \le k-1 \le n} (k-1) \cdot \frac{1}{k-1+1}$$

$$= n\mathcal{H}_{n+1} + \sum_{1 \le k \le n+1} \left(\frac{1}{k} - 1\right)$$

$$= n\mathcal{H}_{n+1} + \sum_{1 \le k \le n+1} \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{1 \le k \le n+1} 1$$

$$= n\mathcal{H}_{n+1} + \mathcal{H}_{n+1} - (n+1)$$

$$= (n+1)\mathcal{H}_{n+1} - (n+1).$$

Exercise 21. Evaluate the sums $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k}$, $T_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k}k$, and $U_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k}k^2$ by the perturbation method, assuming that $n \ge 0$.

Solution: First S_n :

$$\sum_{0 \le k \le n} (-1)^{n-k} + (-1)^{n-(n+1)} = T_{n+1}$$

$$= (-1)^{n-0} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-(k+1)}$$

$$= (-1)^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k}.$$

By re-arranging, we get:

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} = \frac{(-1)^n + 1}{2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Next we work with T_n :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k + (-1)^{n-(n+1)} (n+1) = S_{n+1}$$

$$= (-1)^{n-0} \cdot 0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-(k+1)} (k+1)$$

$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k}$$

$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k - S_n.$$

By re-arranging, we get

$$T_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k = \frac{n+1-S_n}{2}.$$

Finally, we evaluate U_n :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k^2 - (n+1)^2 = U_{n+1}$$

$$= 0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-(k+1)} (k+1)^2$$

$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k^2 - 2\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k - \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k}$$

$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} k^2 - 2S_n - T_n.$$

This results in

$$U_n = \frac{(n+1)^2 - 2S_n - T_n}{2}$$

Exercise 22. Prove Lagrange's identity (without using induction):

$$\sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} (a_j b_k - a_k b_j)^2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k\right)^2.$$

Prove, in fact, an identity for the more general double sum

$$\sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \left(a_j b_k - a_k b_j \right) \left(A_j B_k - A_k B_j \right).$$

Solution:

Proof. Let
$$T_n = \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} (a_j b_k - a_k b_j) (A_j B_k - A_k B_j)$$
. Recall that
$$[1 \le j < k \le n] + [1 \le k < j \le n] = [1 \le j, k \le n] - [1 \le j = k \le n].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2T_n &= \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \left(a_j b_k - a_k b_j \right) \left(A_j B_k - A_k B_j \right) + \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} \left(a_k b_j - a_j b_k \right) \left(A_k B_j - A_j B_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(a_j b_k - a_k b_j \right) \left(A_j B_k - A_k B_j \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(a_k b_k - a_k b_k \right) \left(A_k B_k - A_k B_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(a_j A_j b_k B_k - a_j B_j b_k A_k - b_j A_j a_k B_k + b_j B_j a_k A_k \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j A_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k B_k \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j B_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k A_k \right) \\ &- \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j A_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k B_k \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j B_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k A_k \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k A_k \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k B_k \right) - 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n A_k b_k \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k B_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we divide by 2, then

$$T_n = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k A_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k B_k\right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n A_k b_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k B_k\right).$$

Lagrange's identity then follows if we let $a_k = A_k$ and $b_k = B_k$.

,

Exercise 23. Evaluate the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (2k+1)/k(k+1)$ in two ways:

- (a) Replace 1/k(k+1) by the "partial fractions" 1/k 1/(k+1).
- (b) Sum by parts.

Solution:

(a) Since

$$\frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1},$$

we get

$$\sum_{1 \le k \le n} \frac{2k+1}{k(k+1)} = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \frac{2k+1}{k} - \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \frac{2k+1}{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le k \le n} 2 + \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{1 \le k-1 \le n} \frac{2(k-1)+1}{(k-1)+1}$$

$$= 2n + \mathcal{H}_n - \sum_{2 \le k \le n+1} \frac{2k-1}{k}$$

$$= 2n + \mathcal{H}_n - \sum_{2 \le k \le n+1} 2 + \sum_{2 \le k \le n+1} \frac{1}{k}$$

$$= 2n + \mathcal{H}_n - 2n - \frac{1}{1} + \sum_{1 \le k \le n+1} \frac{1}{k}$$

$$= \mathcal{H}_n - 1 + \mathcal{H}_{n+1}$$

$$= 2\mathcal{H}_n - 1 + \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$= 2\mathcal{H}_n - \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

(b) The summation by parts formula is

$$\sum u\Delta v = uv - \sum Ev\Delta u,$$

where E is the shift operator and Δ is the difference operator. That is, Ev(x) = v(x+1), and $\Delta u(x) = u(x+1) - u(x)$. Let

$$u = 2x + 1$$
, $\Delta v = \frac{1}{x(x+1)} = (x-1)^{-2}$
 $\Delta u = \Delta(2x^{1} + 1) = 2$, $v = -(x-1)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{x}$, $Ev = -\frac{1}{x+1}$.

Hence

$$\sum \frac{2x+1}{x(x+1)} = \sum u\Delta v$$

$$= uv - \sum Ev\Delta u$$

$$= -\frac{2x+1}{x} + \sum \frac{2}{x+1}$$

$$= -\frac{2x+1}{x} + 2\sum \Delta \mathcal{H}_x$$

$$= -\frac{2x+1}{x} + 2\mathcal{H}_k$$

Now plugging in the bounds of integration:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2k+1}{k(k+1)} = -\frac{2k+1}{k} \Big|_{1}^{n+1} + 2\mathcal{H}_{k} \Big|_{1}^{n+1}$$

$$= -\frac{2n+3}{n+1} + 3 + 2\mathcal{H}_{n+1} - 2$$

$$= -\frac{2n+3}{n+1} + 1 + 2\mathcal{H}_{n} + \frac{2}{n+1}$$

$$= 2\mathcal{H}_{n} + \frac{n+1}{n+1} + \frac{2}{n+1} - \frac{2n+3}{n+1}$$

$$= 2\mathcal{H}_{n} - \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

Exercise 24. What is $\sum_{0 \le k < n} \mathcal{H}_k/(k+1)(k+2)$? *Hint*: Generalize the derivation of (2.57)

Solution: Recall $x^{-2} = \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)}$. Hence, our sum is

$$\sum \mathcal{H}_x x^{-2} \, \delta x$$

With the intent to use summation by parts, let

$$u = \mathcal{H}_x, \quad \Delta v = \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)} = x^{-2}$$

$$\Delta u = x^{-1} = \frac{1}{x+1}, \quad v = -x^{-1}, \quad Ev = -\frac{1}{x+2}.$$

Hence, using summation by parts:

$$\sum \mathcal{H}_x x^{-2} \delta x = -\frac{\mathcal{H}_x}{x+1} + \sum \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)}$$
$$= -\frac{\mathcal{H}_x}{x+1} + \sum x^{-2} \delta x$$

Now substituting the bounds, we get

$$\sum_{0 \le k < n} \frac{\mathcal{H}_k}{(k+1)(k+2)} = -\frac{\mathcal{H}_k}{k+1} \Big|_0^n + (-x^{-1}) \Big|_0^n$$

$$= -\frac{\mathcal{H}_n}{n+1} + 1 - \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{n - \mathcal{H}_n}{n+1}$$

Exercise 25. The notation $\prod_{k \in K} a_k$ means the product of the numbers a_k for all $k \in K$. Assume for simplicity that $a_k \neq 1$ for only finitely many k; hence infinite products need not be defined. What laws does this \prod -notation satisfy, analogous to the distributive, pairing, and commutative laws that hold for \sum ?

Solution: If b_k is another collection of numbers, then

$$\prod_{k \in K} (a_k b_k) = \left(\sum_{k \in K} a_k\right) \left(\prod_{k \in K} b_k\right).$$

The commutative law works in the same way, that is, if p is any permutation of the elements in K, then

$$\prod_{k \in K} a_k = \prod_{p(k) \in K} a_k.$$

Finally,

$$\prod_{k \in K} a_k^c = \left(\prod_{k \in K} a_k\right)^c.$$

Exercise 26. Express the double product $P = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq n} a_j a_k$ in terms of the single product $\prod_{k=1}^n a_k$ by manipulating the \prod -notation. (This exercise gives a product analog of the upper-triangle identity (2.33).)

Solution: Using the identity

$$[1 \le j \le k \le n][1 \le k \le j \le n] = [1 \le j, k \le n][1 \le j = k \le n],$$

we can write

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le j \le k \le n} a_j a_k\right)^2 = \prod_{1 \le k \le n} \prod_{1 \le j \le n} a_j a_k \cdot \prod_{1 \le k \le n} a_k^2,$$

which simplifies to

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le j \le k \le n} a_j a_k\right)^2 = \left(\prod_{1 \le k \le n} a_k\right)^{2n} \cdot \prod_{1 \le k \le n} a_k^2$$
$$= \left(\prod_{1 \le k \le n} a_k\right)^{2n+2}$$

Exercise 27. Compute $\Delta(c^{\underline{x}})$, and use it to deduce the value of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-2)^{\underline{k}}/k$.

Solution: If x is only allowed to be a positive integer, then

$$c^{\underline{x}} = c(c-1)\cdots(c-(x-1)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \Delta(c^{\underline{x}}) &= c^{\underline{x+1}} - c^{\underline{x}} \\ &= c(c-1)\cdots(c-(x-1))(c-x) - c(c-1)\cdots(c-(x-1)) \\ &= c^{\underline{x}}(c-x-1) \\ &= \frac{c^{\underline{x+2}}}{c-x}. \end{split}$$

In our case, c = -2, and

$$\Delta((-2)^{\underline{x-2}}) = \frac{(-2)^{\underline{x}}}{-2 - (x-2)} = -\frac{(-2)^{\underline{x}}}{x}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(-2)^{\underline{k}}}{k} = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta \left((-2)^{\underline{k-2}} \right)$$

$$= -(-2)^{\underline{k-2}} \Big|_{1}^{n+1}$$

$$= (-2)^{-1} - (-2)^{\underline{n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{-2+1} - (-2)(-2-1)(-2-2) \cdots (-2-(n-2))$$

$$= -1 - (-2)(-3)(-4) \cdots (-n)$$

$$= (-1)^{n} n! - 1.$$