Sergio E. Garcia Tapia Algorithms by Sedgewick and Wayne (4th edition) [SW11] October 13th, 2024

2.2: Mergesort

Exercise 1. Give a trace, in the style of the trace given at the beginning of this section, showing how the keys $A \ E \ Q \ S \ U \ Y \ E \ I \ N \ O \ S \ T$ are merged with the abstract in-place merge() method.

Solution.

						a[]														au	x[]					
k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	i	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	О	S	Τ														
	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	${ m T}$			A	\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	E	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
													0	6												
0	A												1	6	A	\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
1	Α	\mathbf{E}											2	6		\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
2	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}										2	7			Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
3	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι									2	8			Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y		Ι	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
4	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N								2	9			Q	\mathbf{S}	U	Y			N	Ο	\mathbf{S}	${ m T}$
5	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	O							2	10			Q	\mathbf{S}	U	Y				O	\mathbf{S}	${ m T}$
6	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	\mathbf{Q}						3	10			\mathbf{Q}	\mathbf{S}	U	Y					$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
7	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	\mathbf{S}					4	10				\mathbf{S}	U	Y					$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
8	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	\mathbf{S}				4	11					U	Y					\mathbf{S}	${ m T}$
9	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	S	\mathbf{T}			4	12					U	Y						${f T}$
10	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	S	T	\mathbf{U}		5	12					U	Y						
11	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	S	T	U	Y	6	12						Y						
	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	I	N	Ο	Q	\mathbf{S}	\mathbf{S}	\mathbf{T}	U	Y														

Exercise 2. Give traces, in the style of the trace given with Algorithm 2.4, showing how the keys E A S Y Q U E S T I O N are sorted with top-down mergesort.

Solution. The following shows the sequence of calls:

```
sort(a, 0, 11)
  sort(a, 0, 5) // left half
     sort(a, 0, 2)
        sort(a, 0, 1)
           merge(a, 0, 0, 1)
        sort(a, 2, 2)
           // no merge
        merge(a, 0, 1, 2)
     sort(a, 3, 5)
        sort(a, 3, 4)
           merge(a, 3, 3, 4)
        sort(a, 5, 5)
           // no merge
        merge(a, 3, 4, 5)
     merge(0, 2, 5) // done sorting left half
  sort(a, 6, 11)
     sort(a, 6, 8)
        sort(a, 6, 7)
           merge(a, 6, 6, 7)
```

```
sort(a, 8, 8)
    // no merge
    merge(a, 6, 7, 8)
sort(a, 9, 11)
    sort(a, 9, 10)
        merge(a, 9, 9, 10)
    sort(a, 11, 11)
        // no merge
    merge(a, 9, 10, 11)
    merge(a, 6, 8, 11) // done sorting right black
merge(a, 0, 5, 11)
```

	a[]											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Ε	Α	S	Y	Q	U	Ε	S	Τ	Ι	О	N
merge(a, 0, 0, 1)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 0, 1, 2)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	0	N
merge(a, <mark>3</mark> , 3, <mark>4</mark>)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Q	Y	U	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 3, 4, 5)	Α	E	S	Q	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	0	N
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 2, <mark>5</mark>)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	\mathbf{S}	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 6, 6, 7)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 6, 7, 8)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	\mathbf{T}	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 9, 9, 10)	Α	E	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	Ο	N
merge(a, 9, 10, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	N	Ο
merge(a, 6, 8, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	Ο	S	\mathbf{T}
merge(a, 0, 5, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	Ο	Q	S	\mathbf{S}	Τ	U	Y

Exercise 3. Answer Exercise 2.2.2 for bottom-up mergesort.

Solution.

	a[i]											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
len = 1	E	Α	S	Y	Q	U	Ε	S	Τ	Ι	О	N
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 0, <u>1</u>)	A	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 2, 2, 3)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 4, 4, 5)	Α	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 6, 6, 7)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 8, 8, 9)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	I	T	\circ	N
merge(a, 10, 10, 11)	Α	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Ι	Τ	N	O
len = 2												
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 1, <mark>3</mark>)	A	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Ι	Τ	N	\bigcirc
merge(a, 4, 5, 7)	Α	E	S	Y	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Ι	Τ	N	\bigcirc
merge(a, 8, 9, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	E	Q	S	U	Ι	N	Ο	Τ
len = 4												
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 3, <mark>7</mark>)	A	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	S	U	Y	Ι	N	\circ	Τ
len = 8												
merge(a, 0, 8, 11)	A	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	Ο	Q	S	S	Τ	U	Y

Exercise 4. Does the abstract in-place merge produce proper output if and only if the two input subarrays are in sorted order? Prove your answer, or provide a counterexample.

Solution.

Proof. If the arrays are sorted, the algorithm certainly places the result in proper order, as we have seen throughout this chapter.

Suppose that one of the input arrays a[] is not in sorted order. Then there is an index i such that a[i] > a[i + 1]. The algorithm will not increase i and add a[i + 1] to the result array until a[i] is in the result array. That is, a[i] will still appear before a[i + 1] in the result array, and the result array will still not be properly sorted.

Exercise 5. Give the sequence of subarray lengths in the merges performed by both the top-down and bottom-up mergesort, for n = 39.

Solution. For top-down mergesort, we can build the sequence top-down and then reverse it:

```
a[0..38] // 39
  a[20..38] // 19
     a[30..38] // 9
        a[35..38] // 4
           a[37..38] // 2
           a[35..36] // 2
        a[30..34] // 5
           a[33..34] // 2
           a[30..32] // 3
             a[32..32] // no merge
             a[30..31] // 2
     a[20..29] // 10
        a[25..29] // 5
           a[28..29] // 2
           a[25..27] // 3
             a[27..27] // no merge
             a[25..26] // 2
        a[20..24] // 5
           a[23..24] // 2
           a[20..22] // 3
             a[22..22] // no merge
             a[20..21] // 2
  a[0..19] // 20
     a[10..19] // 10
        a[15..19] // 5
           a[18..19] // 2
           a[15..17] // 3
             a[17..17] // no merge
             a[15..16] // 2
        a[10..14] // 5
           a[13..14] // 2
           a[10..12] // 3
```

```
a[12..12] // no merge
a[10..11] // 2
a[0..9] // 10
a[5..9] // 5
a[8..9] // 2
a[5..7] // 3
a[7..7] // no merge
a[5..6] // 2
a[0..4] // 5
a[3..4] // 2
a[0..2] // 3
a[2..2] // no merge
a[0..1] // 2
```

Therefore, we read the sequence from the bottom to get: 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 20, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 2, 4, 9, 19, 39.

For the bottom-up mergesort, it is much simpler because most sizes are powers of 2 except possibly the last one for a given len value:

Exercise 6. Write a program to compute the exact value of the number of array accesses used by top-down mergesort and by bottom-up mergesort. Use your program to plot the values of n from 1 to 512, and to compare the exact values with the upper bound $6n \lg n$.

Solution. See the class com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex06.MergesortPlot. See Figure 1.

Exercise 7. Show that the number of compares used by mergesort is monotonically increasing, meaning C(n+1) > C(n) for all n > 0.

Solution. TODO.

Exercise 8. Suppose that Algorithm 2.4 is modified to skip the call on merge() whenever a[mid] <= a[mid+1]. Prove that the number of compares used to mergesort a sorted array is linear.

Solution.

Proof. With this modification, the algorithm does one compare for each recursive call. Let $k = \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$. If i is an integer between 0 and k (inclusive), then i, then i represents the recursion depth of merge sort. In particular, i = 0 is the initial call, and the ith level has 2^i recursive calls. The total number of recursive calls, and hence the total number of



Figure 1: Plot for Exercise 2.2.6; top-down mergesort in red, bottom-up mergesort in green, and the $6n \lg n$ bound in blue

compares, is bounded by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 2^{i} = 2^{k+1} - 1$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2^{k} - 1$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} - 1$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot 2^{\lg n + 1} - 1$$

$$= 4n - 1$$

Similarly it is bounded below by $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^i$. We conclude that it is linear.

Exercise 9. Use of a static array like aux[] is inadvisable in library software because multiple clients might use the class concurrently. Give an implementation of Merge that does not use a static array. Do *not* make a[] local to merge() (see the Q & A for this section). *Hint*: Pass the auxiliary array as an argument to the recursive sort().

Solution. See the com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex09.Merge class.

Exercise 10. Faster merge. Implement a version of merge() that copies the second half of a[] to aux[] in decreasing order and then does the merge back to a[]. This change allows you to remove the code to test that each of the halves has been exhausted from the inner loop. Note: The resulting sort is not stable (see page 341).

Solution. See the com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex10.FasterMerge class.

References

[SW11] Robert Sedgewick and Kevin Wayne. *Algorithms*. 4th ed. Addison-Wesley, 2011. ISBN: 9780321573513.