

Sergio E. Garcia Tapia

Algorithms by Sedgewick and Wayne (4th edition) [SW11]

October 13th, 2024

2.2: Mergesort

Exercise 1. Give a trace, in the style of the trace given at the beginning of this section, showing how the keys A E Q S U Y E I N O S T are merged with the abstract in-place `merge()` method.

Solution.

k	a[]												i	j	aux[]											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11			0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	I	N	O	S	T			A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	I	N	O	S	T
0	A												0	6												
1	A	E											1	6	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	I	N	O	S	T
2	A	E	E										2	6		E										
3	A	E	E	I									2	7			Q	S	U	Y	E	I	N	O	S	T
4	A	E	E	I	N								2	8			Q	S	U	Y		I	N	O	S	T
5	A	E	E	I	N	O							2	9			Q	S	U	Y			N	O	S	T
6	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q						2	10			Q	S	U	Y				O	S	T
7	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S					3	10			Q	S	U	Y					S	T
8	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S	S				4	10			S								S	T
9	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S	S	T			4	11					U	Y					S	T
10	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S	S	T	U		4	12					U	Y						T
11	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S	S	T	U	Y	5	12					U	Y						
	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S	S	T	U	Y	6	12						Y						

Exercise 2. Give traces, in the style of the trace given with Algorithm 2.4, showing how the keys E A S Y Q U E S T I O N are sorted with top-down mergesort.

Solution. The following shows the sequence of calls:

```

sort(a, 0, 11)
  sort(a, 0, 5) // left half
    sort(a, 0, 2)
      sort(a, 0, 1)
        merge(a, 0, 0, 1)
      sort(a, 2, 2)
        // no merge
      merge(a, 0, 1, 2)
    sort(a, 3, 5)
      sort(a, 3, 4)
        merge(a, 3, 3, 4)
      sort(a, 5, 5)
        // no merge
      merge(a, 3, 4, 5)
    merge(0, 2, 5) // done sorting left half
  sort(a, 6, 11)
    sort(a, 6, 8)
      sort(a, 6, 7)
        merge(a, 6, 6, 7)

```

```

    sort(a, 8, 8)
    // no merge
    merge(a, 6, 7, 8)
sort(a, 9, 11)
    sort(a, 9, 10)
    merge(a, 9, 9, 10)
    sort(a, 11, 11)
    // no merge
    merge(a, 9, 10, 11)
merge(a, 6, 8, 11) // done sorting right black
merge(a, 0, 5, 11)

```

	a[]											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	E	A	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 0, 0, 1)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 0, 1, 2)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 3, 3, 4)	A	E	S	Q	Y	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 3, 4, 5)	A	E	S	Q	U	Y	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 0, 2, 5)	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 6, 6, 7)	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 6, 7, 8)	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 9, 9, 10)	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 9, 10, 11)	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	S	T	I	N	O
merge(a, 6, 8, 11)	A	E	Q	S	U	Y	E	I	N	O	S	T
merge(a, 0, 5, 11)	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S	S	T	U	Y

Exercise 3. Answer Exercise 2.2.2 for bottom-up mergesort.

Solution.

	a[i]											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
len = 1	E	A	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 0, 0, 1)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 2, 2, 3)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 4, 4, 5)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 6, 6, 7)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	T	I	O	N
merge(a, 8, 8, 9)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	I	T	O	N
merge(a, 10, 10, 11)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	I	T	N	O
len = 2												
merge(a, 0, 1, 3)	A	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	I	T	N	O
merge(a, 4, 5, 7)	A	E	S	Y	E	Q	S	U	I	T	N	O
merge(a, 8, 9, 11)	A	E	S	Y	E	Q	S	U	I	N	O	T
len = 4												
merge(a, 0, 3, 7)	A	E	E	Q	S	S	U	Y	I	N	O	T
len = 8												
merge(a, 0, 8, 11)	A	E	E	I	N	O	Q	S	S	T	U	Y

Exercise 4. Does the abstract in-place merge produce proper output if and only if the two input subarrays are in sorted order? Prove your answer, or provide a counterexample.

Solution.

Proof. If the arrays are sorted, the algorithm certainly places the result in proper order, as we have seen throughout this chapter.

Suppose that one of the input arrays $a[]$ is not in sorted order. Then there is an index i such that $a[i] > a[i + 1]$. The algorithm will not increase i and add $a[i + 1]$ to the result array until $a[i]$ is in the result array. That is, $a[i]$ will still appear before $a[i + 1]$ in the result array, and the result array will still not be properly sorted. \square

Exercise 5. Give the sequence of subarray lengths in the merges performed by both the top-down and bottom-up mergesort, for $n = 39$.

Solution. For top-down mergesort, we can build the sequence top-down and then reverse it:

```

a[0..38] // 39
  a[20..38] // 19
    a[30..38] // 9
      a[35..38] // 4
        a[37..38] // 2
        a[35..36] // 2
      a[30..34] // 5
        a[33..34] // 2
        a[30..32] // 3
          a[32..32] // no merge
          a[30..31] // 2
      a[20..29] // 10
        a[25..29] // 5
          a[28..29] // 2
          a[25..27] // 3
            a[27..27] // no merge
            a[25..26] // 2
          a[20..24] // 5
            a[23..24] // 2
            a[20..22] // 3
              a[22..22] // no merge
              a[20..21] // 2
      a[0..19] // 20
        a[10..19] // 10
          a[15..19] // 5
            a[18..19] // 2
            a[15..17] // 3
              a[17..17] // no merge
              a[15..16] // 2
          a[10..14] // 5
            a[13..14] // 2
            a[10..12] // 3

```

```

        a[12..12] // no merge
        a[10..11] // 2
a[0..9] // 10
    a[5..9] // 5
        a[8..9] // 2
        a[5..7] // 3
            a[7..7] // no merge
            a[5..6] // 2
a[0..4] // 5
    a[3..4] // 2
    a[0..2] // 3
        a[2..2] // no merge
        a[0..1] // 2

```

Therefore, we read the sequence from the bottom to get: 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 20, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 2, 4, 9, 19, 39.

For the bottom-up mergesort, it is much simpler because most sizes are powers of 2 except possibly the last one for a given `len` value:

```

2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,
4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 3,
8, 8, 8, 8, 7,
16, 16,
32,
39

```

Exercise 6. Write a program to compute the exact value of the number of array accesses used by top-down mergesort and by bottom-up mergesort. Use your program to plot the values of n from 1 to 512, and to compare the exact values with the upper bound $6n \lg n$.

Solution. See the class `com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex06.MergesortPlot`.

Exercise 7. Show that the number of compares used by mergesort is monotonically increasing, meaning $C(n+1) > C(n)$ for all $n > 0$.

Exercise 8. Suppose that Algorithm 2.4 is modified to skip the call on `merge()` whenever `a[mid] <= a[mid+1]`. Prove that the number of compares used to mergesort a sorted array is linear.

Exercise 9. Use of a static array like `aux[]` is inadvisable in library software because multiple clients might use the class concurrently. Give an implementation of `Merge` that does not use a static array. Do *not* make `a[]` local to `merge()` (see the Q & A for this section). *Hint:* Pass the auxiliary array as an argument to the recursive `sort()`.

References

- [SW11] Robert Sedgewick and Kevin Wayne. *Algorithms*. 4th ed. Addison-Wesley, 2011.
ISBN: 9780321573513.