Sergio E. Garcia Tapia Algorithms by Sedgewick and Wayne (4th edition) [SW11] October 13th, 2024

2.2: Mergesort

Exercise 1. Give a trace, in the style of the trace given at the beginning of this section, showing how the keys $A \ E \ Q \ S \ U \ Y \ E \ I \ N \ O \ S \ T$ are merged with the abstract in-place merge() method.

Solution.

						a[]														au	x[]					
k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	i	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	О	S	Τ														
	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	${ m T}$			A	\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	E	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
													0	6												
0	A												1	6	A	\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
1	Α	\mathbf{E}											2	6		\mathbf{E}	Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
2	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}										2	7			Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
3	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι									2	8			Q	$_{\rm S}$	U	Y		Ι	N	O	$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
4	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N								2	9			Q	\mathbf{S}	U	Y			N	Ο	\mathbf{S}	${ m T}$
5	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	O							2	10			Q	\mathbf{S}	U	Y				O	\mathbf{S}	${ m T}$
6	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	\mathbf{Q}						3	10			\mathbf{Q}	\mathbf{S}	U	Y					$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
7	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	\mathbf{S}					4	10				\mathbf{S}	U	Y					$_{\rm S}$	$^{\mathrm{T}}$
8	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	\mathbf{S}				4	11					U	Y					\mathbf{S}	${ m T}$
9	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	S	\mathbf{T}			4	12					U	Y						${f T}$
10	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	S	T	\mathbf{U}		5	12					U	Y						
11	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	0	Q	S	S	T	U	Y	6	12						Y						
	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	I	N	Ο	Q	\mathbf{S}	\mathbf{S}	\mathbf{T}	U	Y														

Exercise 2. Give traces, in the style of the trace given with Algorithm 2.4, showing how the keys E A S Y Q U E S T I O N are sorted with top-down mergesort.

Solution. The following shows the sequence of calls:

```
sort(a, 0, 11)
  sort(a, 0, 5) // left half
     sort(a, 0, 2)
        sort(a, 0, 1)
           merge(a, 0, 0, 1)
        sort(a, 2, 2)
           // no merge
        merge(a, 0, 1, 2)
     sort(a, 3, 5)
        sort(a, 3, 4)
           merge(a, 3, 3, 4)
        sort(a, 5, 5)
           // no merge
        merge(a, 3, 4, 5)
     merge(0, 2, 5) // done sorting left half
  sort(a, 6, 11)
     sort(a, 6, 8)
        sort(a, 6, 7)
           merge(a, 6, 6, 7)
```

```
sort(a, 8, 8)
    // no merge
    merge(a, 6, 7, 8)
sort(a, 9, 11)
    sort(a, 9, 10)
        merge(a, 9, 9, 10)
    sort(a, 11, 11)
        // no merge
    merge(a, 9, 10, 11)
    merge(a, 6, 8, 11) // done sorting right black
merge(a, 0, 5, 11)
```

	a[]											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Ε	Α	S	Y	Q	U	Ε	S	Τ	Ι	О	N
merge(a, 0, 0, 1)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 0, 1, 2)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	0	N
merge(a, <mark>3</mark> , 3, <mark>4</mark>)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Q	Y	U	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 3, 4, 5)	Α	E	S	Q	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	0	N
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 2, <mark>5</mark>)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	\mathbf{S}	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 6, 6, 7)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	T	Ι	0	N
merge(a, 6, 7, 8)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	\mathbf{T}	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 9, 9, 10)	Α	E	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	Ο	N
merge(a, 9, 10, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	N	Ο
merge(a, 6, 8, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Y	\mathbf{E}	I	N	Ο	S	\mathbf{T}
merge(a, 0, 5, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	Ο	Q	S	\mathbf{S}	Τ	U	Y

Exercise 3. Answer Exercise 2.2.2 for bottom-up mergesort.

Solution.

	a[i]											
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
len = 1	E	Α	S	Y	Q	U	Ε	S	Τ	Ι	О	N
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 0, <u>1</u>)	A	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 2, 2, 3)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 4, 4, 5)	Α	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 6, 6, 7)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	\mathbf{E}	S	Τ	Ι	\circ	N
merge(a, 8, 8, 9)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	I	T	\circ	N
merge(a, 10, 10, 11)	Α	E	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Ι	Τ	N	O
len = 2												
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 1, <mark>3</mark>)	A	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	Q	U	E	S	Ι	Τ	N	\bigcirc
merge(a, 4, 5, 7)	Α	E	S	Y	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	U	Ι	Τ	N	\bigcirc
merge(a, 8, 9, 11)	Α	\mathbf{E}	S	Y	E	Q	S	U	Ι	N	Ο	Τ
len = 4												
merge(a, <mark>0</mark> , 3, <mark>7</mark>)	A	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Q	S	S	U	Y	Ι	N	\circ	Τ
len = 8												
merge(a, 0, 8, 11)	A	\mathbf{E}	\mathbf{E}	Ι	N	Ο	Q	S	S	Τ	U	Y

Exercise 4. Does the abstract in-place merge produce proper output if and only if the two input subarrays are in sorted order? Prove your answer, or provide a counterexample.

Solution.

Proof. If the arrays are sorted, the algorithm certainly places the result in proper order, as we have seen throughout this chapter.

Suppose that one of the input arrays a[] is not in sorted order. Then there is an index i such that a[i] > a[i + 1]. The algorithm will not increase i and add a[i + 1] to the result array until a[i] is in the result array. That is, a[i] will still appear before a[i + 1] in the result array, and the result array will still not be properly sorted.

Exercise 5. Give the sequence of subarray lengths in the merges performed by both the top-down and bottom-up mergesort, for n = 39.

Solution. For top-down mergesort, we can build the sequence top-down and then reverse it:

```
a[0..38] // 39
  a[20..38] // 19
     a[30..38] // 9
        a[35..38] // 4
           a[37..38] // 2
           a[35..36] // 2
        a[30..34] // 5
           a[33..34] // 2
           a[30..32] // 3
             a[32..32] // no merge
             a[30..31] // 2
     a[20..29] // 10
        a[25..29] // 5
           a[28..29] // 2
           a[25..27] // 3
             a[27..27] // no merge
             a[25..26] // 2
        a[20..24] // 5
           a[23..24] // 2
           a[20..22] // 3
             a[22..22] // no merge
             a[20..21] // 2
  a[0..19] // 20
     a[10..19] // 10
        a[15..19] // 5
           a[18..19] // 2
           a[15..17] // 3
             a[17..17] // no merge
             a[15..16] // 2
        a[10..14] // 5
           a[13..14] // 2
           a[10..12] // 3
```

```
a[12..12] // no merge
a[10..11] // 2
a[0..9] // 10
a[5..9] // 5
a[8..9] // 2
a[5..7] // 3
a[7..7] // no merge
a[5..6] // 2
a[0..4] // 5
a[3..4] // 2
a[0..2] // 3
a[2..2] // no merge
a[0..1] // 2
```

Therefore, we read the sequence from the bottom to get: 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 20, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 3, 2, 5, 10, 2, 3, 2, 5, 2, 2, 4, 9, 19, 39.

For the bottom-up mergesort, it is much simpler because most sizes are powers of 2 except possibly the last one for a given len value:

Exercise 6. Write a program to compute the exact value of the number of array accesses used by top-down mergesort and by bottom-up mergesort. Use your program to plot the values of n from 1 to 512, and to compare the exact values with the upper bound $6n \lg n$.

Solution. See the class com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex06.MergesortPlot. See Figure 1.

Exercise 7. Show that the number of compares used by mergesort is monotonically increasing, meaning C(n+1) > C(n) for all n > 0.

Solution. TODO.

Exercise 8. Suppose that Algorithm 2.4 is modified to skip the call on merge() whenever a[mid] <= a[mid+1]. Prove that the number of compares used to mergesort a sorted array is linear.

Solution.

Proof. With this modification, the algorithm does one compare for each recursive call. Let $k = \lfloor \lg(n) \rfloor$. If i is an integer between 0 and k (inclusive), then i, then i represents the recursion depth of merge sort. In particular, i = 0 is the initial call, and the ith level has 2^i recursive calls. The total number of recursive calls, and hence the total number of



Figure 1: Plot for Exercise 2.2.6; top-down mergesort in red, bottom-up mergesort in green, and the $6n \lg n$ bound in blue

compares, is bounded by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} 2^{i} = 2^{k+1} - 1$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2^{k} - 1$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} - 1$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot 2^{\lg n + 1} - 1$$

$$= 4n - 1$$

Similarly it is bounded below by $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^i$. We conclude that it is linear.

Exercise 9. Use of a static array like aux[] is inadvisable in library software because multiple clients might use the class concurrently. Give an implementation of Merge that does not use a static array. Do *not* make a[] local to merge() (see the Q & A for this section). *Hint*: Pass the auxiliary array as an argument to the recursive sort().

Solution. See the com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex09.Merge class.

Exercise 10. Faster merge. Implement a version of merge() that copies the second half of a[] to aux[] in decreasing order and then does the merge back to a[]. This change allows you to remove the code to test that each of the halves has been exhausted from the inner loop. Note: The resulting sort is not stable (see page 341).

Solution. See the com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex10.FasterMerge class.

Exercise 11. Improvements. Implement the three improvements to mergesort that are described in the text on page 275: Add a cutoff for small subarrays, test whether the array is already in order, and avoid the copy by switching arguments in the recursive code.

Solution. See the com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec3.ex11.ImproveMerge class.

Exercise 12. Sublinear extra space. Develop a merge implementation that reduces the extra space requirement to $\max(n/m)$, based on the following idea: Divide the array into n/m blocks of size m (for simplicity in this description, assume that n is a multiple of m). Then,

- (i) Considering the blocks as items with their first key as the sort key, sort them using selection sort; and
- (ii) Run through the array merging the first block with the second, then the second with the third, and so forth.

Exercise 14. Merging sorted queues. Develop a static method that takes two queues of sorted items as arguments and returns a queue that results from merging the the queues into sorted order.

Solution. See the com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex14.MergeQueues class.

Exercise 15. Bottom-up queue mergesort. Develop a bottom-up mergesort implementation based on the following approach: Given n items, create n queues, each containing one of the items. Create a queue of the n queues. Then, repeatedly apply the merging operation of Exercise 2.2.14 to the first two queues and reinsert the merged queue at the end. Repeat until the queue of queues contains only one queue.

Solution. See the com. segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex15.MergeBUQueue class.

Exercise 16. Natural mergesort. Write a version of bottom-up mergesort that takes advantage of order in the array by proceeding as follows each time it needs to find two arrays to merge: find a sorted subarray (by incrementing a pointer until finding an entry that is smaller than its predecessor in the array), then find the next, then merge them. Analyze the running time of this algorithm in terms of the array length and the number of maximal increasing sequences in the array.

Solution. See the com.segarciat.algs4.ch2.sec2.ex16.NaturalMergeBU class. Let n be the length of the array we are sorting. In the worst case, there's n increasing sequences, because the array may be sorted in reverse with distinct elements. In that case, there are n-1 merges, in each with an array of size 1 for the second array. Because the merge() operation is linear in the size of the input arrays (given that it must copy all elements), the performance degrades to quadratic in this case.

References

[SW11] Robert Sedgewick and Kevin Wayne. *Algorithms*. 4th ed. Addison-Wesley, 2011. ISBN: 9780321573513.