

Sehajpreet Gandhi 11/27/23

Outline

Executive Summary

Introduction

Methodology

Results

Conclusion

Appendix

Executive Summary

Summary of methodologies

- Data Collection through API
- Data Collection with Web Scraping
- Data Wrangling
- Exploratory Data Analysis with SQL
- Exploratory Data Analysis with Data Visualization
- Interactive Visual Analytics with Folium
- Machine Learning Prediction

Summary of all results

- Exploratory Data Analysis result
- Interactive analytics in screenshots
- Predictive Analytics result

Introduction



Project background and context

Space X advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website with a cost of 62 million dollars; other providers cost upward of 165 million dollars each, much of the savings is because Space X can reuse the first stage. Therefore, if we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch. This information can be used if an alternate company wants to bid against space X for a rocket launch. This goal of the project is to create a machine learning pipeline to predict if the first stage will land successfully.




Problems you want to find answers

What factors determine if the rocket will land successfully?

The interaction amongst various features that determine the success rate of a successful landing.

What operating conditions needs to be in place to ensure a successful landing program.



Data Collection

- The data was collected using various methods
 - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
 - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using .json() function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using .json normalize().
 - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
 - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup.
 - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

1. Get request for rocket launch data using API

```
In [6]: spacex_url="https://api.spacexdata.com/v4/launches/past"
In [7]: response = requests.get(spacex_url)
```

2. Use json_normalize method to convert json result to dataframe

```
In [12]: # Use json_normalize method to convert the json result into a dataframe
    # decode response content as json
    static_json_df = res.json()

In [13]: # apply json_normalize
    data = pd.json_normalize(static_json_df)
```

3. We then performed data cleaning and filling in the missing values

```
In [30]:     rows = data_falcon9['PayloadMass'].values.tolist()[0]

     df_rows = pd.DataFrame(rows)
     df_rows = df_rows.replace(np.nan, PayloadMass)

     data_falcon9['PayloadMass'][0] = df_rows.values
     data_falcon9
```

Data Collection – SpaceX API

 We used the get request to the SpaceX API to collect data, clean the requested data and did some basic data wrangling and formatting.

We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup

We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.

Data Collection - Scraping

```
1. Apply HTTP Get method to request the Falcon 9 rocket launch page

In [4]

static_w1 = "https://ex.ukkpedia.org/windex.php?title=List_of_falcon_Meavy_launcheskoldd=1827866922"

In [5]:

# use requests_get() method with the provided static_unl
# assign the response to a object
html_data = requests_get(static_unl)
html_data.status_code

2. Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response

2. Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response

3. Ever a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response

3. Ever a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response

4. Use BeautifulSoup () to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content soup. The page title to verify if the BeautifulSoup object was created properly

In [7]:

# Use Boup, title attribute

Soup.title

**Supplied_all() function with "th" element on first_launch_table
# Iterate soon the demnet and apply the provided extract_column_from header() to get a column name # Append the how-early column home ("if name is not home and language) > 0") forto a list called column_names element = soup.find_all() function with "th" element on first_launch_table
# Iterate soon the element and apply the provided extract_column_from header() to get a column name # Append the how-early column home ("if name is not home and language) > 0") forto a list called column_names element = soup.find_all() elements);


* Iterate is not name and language) > 0";


* Iterate is not name and language) > 0";


* Second data for are.*

4. Create a dataframe by parsing the launch HTML tables

5. Front of the first care.*
```







EDA with Data Visualization

 We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly trend.

EDA with SQL



We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.



We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:

The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.

The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the folium map.

We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.

Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.

We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance:

- Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
- Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash



WE BUILT AN INTERACTIVE DASHBOARD WITH PLOTLY DASH




WE PLOTTED PIE CHARTS SHOWING THE TOTAL LAUNCHES BY A CERTAIN SITES



WE PLOTTED SCATTER GRAPH SHOWING THE RELATIONSHIP WITH OUTCOME AND PAYLOAD MASS (KG) FOR THE DIFFERENT BOOSTER VERSION.

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearchCV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.



Results



EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS RESULTS




INTERACTIVE ANALYTICS DEMO IN SCREENSHOTS



PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS RESULTS

Flight Number vs. Launch Site


• From the plot, we found that the larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.



Payload vs. Launch Site




The greater the payload mass for launch site CCAFS SLC 40 the higher the success rate for the rocket.




Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

 From the plot, we can see that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.




Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

 The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.




Payload vs. Orbit Type

• We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits.



Launch Success Yearly Trend

• From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.



All Launch Site Names

• We used the key word **DISTINCT** to show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

0 KSC LC-39A 1 CCAFS LC-40 2 CCAFS SLC-40 3 VAFB SLC-4E

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with `CCA`

Display 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'CCA'

```
In [11]: task_2 = '''

SELECT *

FROM SpaceX

WHERE LaunchSite LIKE 'CCA%'

LIMIT 5

'''

create_pandas_df(task_2, database=conn)

Out[11]: date time boosterversion launchsite payload payloadmasskg orbit customer missionoutcome landingoutcome
```

| landingoutcome | missionoutcome | customer | orbit | payloadmasskg | payload | launchsite | boosterversion | time | date | 111: |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------|------|
| Failure (parachu <mark>t</mark> e) | Success | SpaceX | LEO | 0 | Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit | CCAFS LC- 40 | F9 v1.0 B0003 | 18:45:00 | 2010-04- 06 | 0 |
| Failure (parachute) | Success | NASA (COTS) NRO | LEO (ISS) | 0 | Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of | CCAFS LC- 40 | F9 v1.0 B0004 | 15:43:00 | 2010-08- 12 | 1 |
| No attempt | Success | NASA (COTS) | LEO (ISS) | 525 | Dragon demo flight C2 | CCAFS LC- 40 | F9 v1.0 B0005 | 07:44:00 | 2012-05- 22 | 2 |
| No attempt | Success | NASA (CRS) | LEO (ISS) | 500 | SpaceX CRS-1 | CCAFS LC- 40 | F9 v1.0 B0006 | 00:35:00 | 2012-08- 10 | 3 |
| No attempt | Success | NASA (CRS) | LEO (ISS) | 677 | SpaceX CRS-2 | CCAFS LC- | F9 v1.0 <mark>B0</mark> 007 | 15:10:00 | 2013-01- 03 | 4 |

Total Payload Mass

We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

 We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4

Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

First Successful Ground Landing Date

 We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

 We used the WHERE clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the AND condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

```
In [15]: task_6 = '''

SELECT BoosterVersion
FROM SpaceX
WHERE LandingOutcome = 'Success (drone ship)'
AND PayloadMassKG > 4000
AND PayloadMassKG < 6000
'''

create_pandas_df(task_6, database=conn)

Out[15]: boosterversion
O F9 FT B1022
1 F9 FT B1026
2 F9 FT B1021.2
3 F9 FT B1031.2
```

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

 We used wildcard like '%' to filter for WHERE MissionOutcome was a success or a failure.

List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

```
In [16]:

task_7a = '''

SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS SuccessOutcome
FROM SpaceX
WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Success%'

'''

task_7b = '''

SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS FailureOutcome
FROM SpaceX
WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Failure%'

'''

print('The total number of successful mission outcome is:')
display(create_pandas_df(task_7a, database=conn))
print()
print('The total number of failed mission outcome is:')
create_pandas_df(task_7b, database=conn)

The total number of successful mission outcome is:

successoutcome

0 100

The total number of failed mission outcome is:

Out[16]:
failureoutcome

0 1
```

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

 We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the WHERE clause and the MAX() function. List the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass. Use a subquery

| Out[17]: | | boosterversion | payloadmasskg |
|----------|----|----------------|---------------|
| | 0 | F9 B5 B1048.4 | 15600 |
| | 1 | F9 B5 B1048.5 | 15600 |
| | 2 | F9 B5 B1049.4 | 15600 |
| | 3 | F9 B5 B1049.5 | 15600 |
| | 4 | F9 B5 B1049.7 | 15600 |
| | 5 | F9 B5 B1051,3 | 15600 |
| | 6 | F9 B5 B1051,4 | 15600 |
| | 7 | F9 B5 B1051,6 | 15600 |
| | 8 | F9 B5 B1056.4 | 15600 |
| | 9 | F9 B5 B1058.3 | 15600 |
| | 10 | F9 B5 B1060.2 | 15600 |
| | 11 | F9 B5 B1060.3 | 15600 |

2015 Launch Records

 We used a combinations of the WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEEN conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for year 2015

List the failed landing_outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for in year 2015

Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

- We selected Landing outcomes and the COUNT of landing outcomes from the data and used the WHERE clause to filter for landing outcomes BETWEEN 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the GROUP BY clause to group the landing outcomes and the ORDER BY clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.

Rank the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (drone ship) or Success (ground pad)) task_10 = ''' SELECT LandingOutcome, COUNT(LandingOutcome) FROM SpaceX WHERE DATE BETWEEN '2010-06-04' AND '2017-03-20' GROUP BY LandingOutcome ORDER BY COUNT(LandingOutcome) DESC create_pandas_df(task_10, database=conn) landingoutcome count No attempt Success (drone ship) Failure (drone ship) 3 Success (ground pad) Controlled (ocean) Uncontrolled (ocean) 6 Precluded (drone ship) Failure (parachute)

All launch sites global map markers



Markers showing launch sites with color labels




Launch Site distance to landmarks




Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site

Total Success Launches By all sites




Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads

Classification Accuracy


 The decision tree classifier is the model with the highest classification accuracy

Best model is DecisionTree with a score of 0.8732142857142856

Best params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max_depth': 6, 'max_features': 'auto', 'min_samples_leaf': 2, 'min_samples_split': 5, 'splitter': 'random'}

Confusion Matrix

 The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes. The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



Conclusions

- We can conclude that:
- The larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.
- Launch success rate started to increase in 2013 till 2020.
- Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.
- KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches of any sites.
- The Decision tree classifier is the best machine learning algorithm for this task.