Q1. @ Normaliation is used when the deta does
of the assession / Normal distribution where as
not have gaussian/Normal distribution where as
Standardization is used on data having Gaurrian
Normal distribution. Normalization is considered
when the algorithm do not make assumptions
about deta distribution while as standardization
is used when algorithms make assumptions about
the data distribution.
Normalization peales in a rangle of (0,1] or [-1,1)
while as standardization is not bound by range.
Nomelization is more suitable for this defaut
because data does not have a guassian
93. a
· One us all - N binary classifier models
· one is one - N(N-1) binery classifier
one vs one - n(N-1) binery classifier models
94.60
Gamma distribution:
odt: +(4:0.B)= 40-1B0 e-by
$pdf: f(y:\alpha,\beta) = y^{\alpha-1}\beta^{\alpha}e^{-\beta y}$ $F(\alpha)$
Γ(Λ)

we have to prove this is a part of same family of curves as poisson distribution i.e., exponented family.

: We need to write it as:

$$exp\left(\frac{\partial y-b\theta}{\alpha(p)}+c(y:p)\right)$$
 term

Taking Log on both sibles of =n (1):

 $\Rightarrow \log f = (\alpha - 1) \log y + \alpha \log \beta - \beta y - \log f(\alpha)$ now, in exponential form:

dividing & multiplying

Now,

Let
$$\theta = \frac{\beta}{2}$$
, $a(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\phi = \frac{1}{2}$
 $\Rightarrow p = 0 \times 1$ also,

 $\Rightarrow p = 0 \times 1$

variance function b'(0) = 1/92 Variance: a(\$\phi\$) b''(0) = \$\psi_{\phi^2}\$

\$5.(a) The two coopers reasons that the professor pointed out for nilesh's algorishm are as follows:

each group which would only gauge the direction of the relationship. However, it does not indicate the strength of the relationship not the dependency between the variables. So correlation metrix would be better in this cost.

(ii) Also covariane is affected by the charge in scale, if all the values of one variable are multiplied by a constant and all the values of another variable are multiplied by a constant and all the values of another variable are multiplied by a primiter or different constant than the covariance is charged.

Q6. (c) No, this approach is not at all greedy, because me do not solve a problem by selecting the best option available at the moment as we try to fire the seest oftion by trying to eliminate the water features and see the accuracy after each climination. Here, we try to get accurracy of the model after every fection & extraction nethods.

Q7. (a)

The full definition of the F-measure is as follows:

$$F_{\beta} = \frac{(\beta^2 + 1) PR}{\beta^2 P + R}$$

where,

$$\beta \rightarrow \text{controll balance b/wP&R } (0 \le \beta \le \infty)$$
 $P \rightarrow \text{precision}, R \rightarrow \text{recall}$

Also, we know that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\beta^2 + 1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{36}$$

Now, for
$$F_5$$
 sine $\rightarrow \beta = 5$

$$\frac{1}{5} = \frac{(5^2 + 1)PR}{5^2 P + R} = \frac{26PR}{35P + R}$$

(b) As (p71) Forores becomes more recall oriented i.e., recall is more emphasised here.

We know that recall represents the models ability to couldly predict the positive out of actual positives. Lecall is also known as sensitivity or true positive orde.

This sine is good for real-word problems like cancer a cori of detection as more the emphasis on recall less the chance of not detecting cancer a corid,