
Milestone #:6

Implementing MLRATE

Estee Lauder 1B





01 Code Implementation

Implementing MLRATE

Code Implementation

```
# Step 0. Rename treatment column for consistency
df.rename(columns={'Group': 'treatment'}, inplace=True)

# Convert treatment to numeric (0 = Control, 1 = Treatment)
df['treatment'] = df['treatment'].map({'Control': 0, 'Treatment': 1})

# Step 1. Define function for out-of-fold predictions
def out_of_fold_predict_baseline(X, y, model=None, n_splits=5):
    if model is None:
        model = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=200, random_state=42)

    oof_preds = np.zeros(len(X))
    kf = KFold(n_splits=n_splits, shuffle=True, random_state=42)

    for train_idx, val_idx in kf.split(X):
        X_train, X_val = X.iloc[train_idx], X.iloc[val_idx]
        y_train = y.iloc[train_idx]

        model.fit(X_train, y_train)
        oof_preds[val_idx] = model.predict(X_val)

    return oof_preds
```

Code Implementation

```
# Step 2. Predict baseline (G(x))
T = df['treatment'] # treatment assignment (0 or 1)
y = df['revenue (t)'] # observed post-treatment outcome
X = df[['aov (t-1)', 'days_since_last_purchase (t-1)', 'tenure_in_days(t-1)', 'loyalty_membership']] # pre-treatment features

g_hat = out_of_fold_predict_baseline(X, y)

# Step 3. Center G(x)
g_bar = g_hat.mean()
g_centered = g_hat - g_bar

# Step 4. Build regression design
X_reg_df = pd.DataFrame({
    'T': T,
    'g_hat': g_hat,
    'T * (g_hat - g_bar)': T * g_centered
})
X_reg_df = sm.add_constant(X_reg_df)
```

Code Implementation

```
# Step 5. Fit MLRATE model
ols = sm.OLS(y, X_reg_df).fit(cov_type="HC0")

# Step 6. Extract ATE results
ate = ols.params['T']
ci_lower, ci_upper = ols.conf_int().loc['T']

print(f"MLRATE ATE: {ate:.4f}")
print(f"95% CI: [{ci_lower:.4f}, {ci_upper:.4f}]")
print(f"p-value: {ols.pvalues[1]:.8f}")

print("\nFeature matrix shape:", X.shape)
print("\nFirst few outcomes:\n", y.head())
print("\nTreatment group counts:\n", T.value_counts())

print("\nModel Summary:\n", ols.summary())
```

Code Results

```
MLRATE ATE: 5.3194
95% CI: [4.5915, 6.0474]
p-value: 0.00000000

Feature matrix shape: (5556, 4)

First few outcomes:
  9841    140.9200
  412    155.2110
  8337    129.8745
  5118    133.7800
  9479    101.6000
Name: revenue (t), dtype: float64

Treatment group counts:
  treatment
1      2783
0      2773
Name: count, dtype: int64
```

Code Results

Model Summary:

OLS Regression Results

```
=====
Dep. Variable:      revenue (t)    R-squared:                0.671
Model:              OLS           Adj. R-squared:           0.671
Method:             Least Squares  F-statistic:              3644.
Date:               Thu, 13 Nov 2025  Prob (F-statistic):       0.00
Time:               19:18:37        Log-Likelihood:           -22484.
No. Observations:   5556           AIC:                     4.498e+04
Df Residuals:       5552           BIC:                     4.500e+04
Df Model:           3
Covariance Type:    HC0
=====
```

	coef	std err	z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]
const	3.6446	1.562	2.333	0.020	0.583	6.706
T	5.3194	0.371	14.322	0.000	4.591	6.047
g_hat	0.9470	0.013	73.987	0.000	0.922	0.972
T * (g_hat - g_bar)	-0.0030	0.018	-0.163	0.871	-0.039	0.033

```
=====
Omnibus:            5.447    Durbin-Watson:           1.986
Prob(Omnibus):      0.066    Jarque-Bera (JB):         6.046
Skew:               0.008    Prob(JB):                 0.0486
Kurtosis:           3.161    Cond. No.                 1.02e+03
=====
```



02 Reflections

Implementing MLRATE



Comparing Unadjusted ATE vs. MLRATE ATE

Unadjusted ATE vs. MLRATE ATE:

- Unadjusted ATE: 5.10
- MLRATE ATE: 5.3194

95% Confidence Interval:

- Unadjusted: [3.838, 6.365]
 - Unadjusted CI Width: $\$6.365 - 3.838 = \mathbf{2.527}$
- MLRATE: [4.5915, 6.0474]
 - MLRATE CI Width: $\$6.0474 - 4.5915 = \mathbf{1.4559}$
- MLRATE → slightly narrower

Interpretation:

- Adding the predictive baseline $G(x)$ improved precision (narrowed CI).
- The treatment effect remains statistically significant ($p \approx 0$).
- Higher precision increases confidence in the decision to implement the gift strategy.



Baseline Model $G(x)$ Predictiveness & Model Fit

Predictiveness of $G(x)$:

- Coefficient for \hat{g} : 0.9470, $p < 0.001 \rightarrow$ very predictive
- $R^2 = 0.671 \rightarrow G(x)$ explains ~67% of revenue variance

Interaction term $T * (\hat{g} - \bar{g})$:

- Coefficient ≈ -0.003 , $p = 0.871 \rightarrow$ no significant treatment heterogeneity

Implications:

- Baseline is strong \rightarrow MLRATE gives meaningful improvement in CI
- If $G(x)$ were weak, MLRATE would offer little precision gain



Reflection & Business Takeaways

Precision & decision-making:

- Narrower CI increases confidence that treatment effect is positive
- Supports “launch gift strategy” decision

Coefficient interpretation:

- $\text{const} = 3.6446 \rightarrow$ baseline revenue for average customer without treatment
- $T = 5.3194 \rightarrow$ estimated lift from treatment
- $g_{\text{hat}} = 0.9470 \rightarrow$ strong predictor of revenue
- $T * (g_{\text{hat}} - g_{\text{bar}}) \approx 0 \rightarrow$ treatment effect consistent across predicted revenue

Model reliability:

- No signs of leakage \rightarrow out-of-fold predictions used
- Condition number = $1.02e3 \rightarrow$ slight multicollinearity, but not critical



Thank you! 



[Notebook Link](#)