1	
2	

=	
3	CMVP Security Policy Requirements:
4	CMVP Validation Authority Updates to
5	ISO/IEC 24759 and ISO/IEC 19790 Annex B
6	
7	Kim Schaffer
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	INFORMATION SECURITY
16	



18	Draft NIST Special Publication 800-140B
19	
20	CMVP Security Policy Requirements:
20	
21	CMVP Validation Authority Updates to
22	ISO/IEC 24759 and ISO/IEC 19790 Annex B
23	
24	Kim Schaffer
25	Computer Security Division
26	Information Technology Laboratory
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	October 2019
39	
40	ant Of o
	SURFIMENT OF COMMITTEE AND A C
41 42	STATES OF RIN
42 43	
44	U.S. Department of Commerce
45	Wilbur L. Ross, Jr., Secretary
46 47	National Institute of Standards and Technology
48	Walter Copan, NIST Director and Under Secretary for Standards and Technology

49	Authority
50 51 52 53 54 55 56	This publication has been developed by NIST in accordance with its statutory responsibilities under the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014, 44 U.S.C. § 3551 <i>et seq.</i> , Public Law (P.L.) 113-283. NIST is responsible for developing information security standards and guidelines, including minimum requirements for federal information systems, but such standards and guidelines shall not apply to national security systems without the express approval of appropriate federal officials exercising policy authority over such systems. This guideline is consistent with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-130.
57 58 59 60 61 62	Nothing in this publication should be taken to contradict the standards and guidelines made mandatory and binding on federal agencies by the Secretary of Commerce under statutory authority. Nor should these guidelines be interpreted as altering or superseding the existing authorities of the Secretary of Commerce, Director of the OMB, or any other federal official. This publication may be used by nongovernmental organizations on a voluntary basis and is not subject to copyright in the United States. Attribution would, however, be appreciated by NIST.
63 64 65	National Institute of Standards and Technology Special Publication 800-140B Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. Spec. Publ. 800-140B, 17 pages (October 2019) CODEN: NSPUE2
66	
67 68 69 70	Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document in order to describe an experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by NIST, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose.
71 72 73 74 75 76	There may be references in this publication to other publications currently under development by NIST in accordance with its assigned statutory responsibilities. The information in this publication, including concepts and methodologies, may be used by federal agencies even before the completion of such companion publications. Thus, until each publication is completed, current requirements, guidelines, and procedures, where they exist, remain operative. For planning and transition purposes, federal agencies may wish to closely follow the development of these new publications by NIST.
77 78 79	Organizations are encouraged to review all draft publications during public comment periods and provide feedback to NIST. Many NIST cybersecurity publications, other than the ones noted above, are available at https://csrc.nist.gov/publications .
80	
81	Public comment period: October 9, 2019 through December 9, 2019
82 83 84 85	National Institute of Standards and Technology Attn: Computer Security Division, Information Technology Laboratory 100 Bureau Drive (Mail Stop 8930) Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930 Email: sp800-140-comments@nist.gov
86	All comments are subject to release under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

87	Reports on Computer Systems Technology
88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97	The Information Technology Laboratory (ITL) at the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) promotes the U.S. economy and public welfare by providing technical leadership for the Nation's measurement and standards infrastructure. ITL develops tests, test methods, reference data, proof of concept implementations, and technical analyses to advance the development and productive use of information technology. ITL's responsibilities include the development of management, administrative, technical, and physical standards and guidelines for the cost-effective security and privacy of other than national security-related information in federal information systems. The Special Publication 800-series reports on ITL's research, guidelines, and outreach efforts in information system security, and its collaborative activities with industry, government, and academic organizations.
98	Abstract
99 100 101 102 103 104 105	NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-140B is to be used in conjunction with ISO/IEC 19790 Annex B and ISO/IEC 24759 6.14. The special publication modifies only those requirements identified in this document. SP 800-140B also specifies the content of the tabular and graphical information required in ISO/IEC 19790 Annex B. As a validation authority, the Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP) may modify, add, or delete Vendor Evidence (VE) and/or Test Evidence (TE) specified under paragraph 6.14 of the ISO/IEC 24759 and specify the order of the security policy as specified in ISO/IEC 19790:2012 B.1.
106	Keywords
107 108 109	Cryptographic Module Validation Program; CMVP; FIPS 140 testing; FIPS 140; ISO/IEC 19790; ISO/IEC 2759; testing requirement; vendor evidence; vendor documentation; security policy.
111	Audience
111 112 113 114	This document is focused toward the vendors, testing labs, and CMVP for the purpose of addressing issues in ISO/IEC 19790, <i>Test requirements for cryptographic modules</i> .

115			Table of Contents	
116	1	Scop	oe	1
117	2	Norm	native references	1
118	3	Term	ns and definitions	1
119	4	Syml	bols and abbreviated terms	1
120	5	Docu	ument organization	2
121		5.1	General	2
122		5.2	Modifications	2
123	6	Secu	urity requirements	2
124		6.1	Documentation requirements	2
125				

FISMA

126 Scope 127 This document specifies the Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP) modifications 128 of the methods to be used by a Cryptographic and Security Testing Laboratory (CSTL) to demonstrate conformance. This document also specifies the modification of documentation for 129 130 supporting evidence to demonstrate conformity. Unless otherwise specified in this document, the test requirements are specified in ISO/IEC 19790 Annex B and ISO/IEC 24759, 6.14. 131 132 **Normative references** 133 This section identifies additional references to the normative references cited in ISO/IEC 19790 134 and ISO/IEC 24759. For dated references (e.g., ISO/IEC 19790:2012/Cor.1:2015(E)), only the 135 edition cited applies. For undated references (e.g., ISO/IEC 19790), the latest edition of the 136 referenced document (including any amendments) applies. 137 National Institute of Standards and Technology (2019) Security Requirements for Cryptographic Modules. (U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC), Federal 138 139 Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS) 140-3. 140 https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.140-3 **Terms and definitions** 141 The following terms and definitions supersede or are in addition to ISO/IEC 19790: 142 143 None added at this time. 144 Symbols and abbreviated terms The following symbols and abbreviated terms supersede or are in addition to ISO/IEC 19790 145 146 throughout this document: Automated Cryptographic Validation Testing 147 **ACVP** 148 **CAVP** Cryptographic Algorithm Validation Program 149 Canadian Centre for Cyber Security **CCCS** 150 **CMVP** Cryptographic Module Validation Program 151 **CSD** Computer Security Division 152 **CSTL** Cryptographic and Security Testing Laboratory Federal Information Processing Standard 153 **FIPS**

Federal Information Security Management/Modernization Act

155	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology		
156	SP 800-XXX	NIST Special Publication 800 series document		
157	TE	Test Evidence		
158	VE	Vendor Evidence		
159	5 Document orga	anization		
137	o Boodinent orga	mization		
160	5.1 General			
161		ent specifies any modifications to the module security policy and		
162163	or modifications to ISO	tions to 6.14 B – cryptographic module security policy of ISO/IEC 24759 /IEC 19790 Annex B.		
164	5.2 Modifications			
165		- cryptographic module security policy of ISO/IEC 24759 will follow a		
166 167		O/IEC 24759. For additions to test requirements, new Test Evidence (TEs) Es) will be listed by increasing the "sequence_number." Modifications		
168	can include a combination of additions using <u>underline</u> and deletions using <u>strikethrough</u> . If no			
169	changes are required, th	e paragraph will indicate "No change."		
170	ISO/IEC 19790 Annex	B includes security policy requirements in bulleted form but does not		
171	include ways to format the required information. Therefore, modifications to these sections are			
172173	included by adding formatting guidance (e.g., tables, images, etc.), adding <u>underlined</u> text, or using strikethrough for deletion. If no changes are required, the paragraph will indicate "No			
174	change."			
175	6 Security requir	oments		
175	o Security requir	ements		
176	6.1 Documentation	requirements		
177	All requirements from I	SO/IEC 24759 section 6.14 B and ISO 19790-2012 Annex B apply and		
178	are required in the Secu	rity Policy as applicable.		
179		B uses the same section naming convention as ISO 19790-2012 Section		
180		s). For example, Annex B Section B.2.1 is named "General" and B.2.2 is		
181 182		module specification," which is the same as ISO 19790-2012 Section 7.1 ively. Therefore, the format of the security policy shall be presented in		
183	the same order as indica	ated in Annex B, starting with "General" and ending with "Mitigation of		
184	other attacks." If sections are not applicable, they shall be marked as such in the security policy.			

ISO/IEC 24759 6.14 B – Cryptographic module security policy are modified as indicated below:

• No change.

188 189

190

191

192

193

ISO 19790-2012 Annex B are modified as indicated below:

The additions are intended to provide further guidance on what type of information is expected for a specific requirement or set of requirements from Annex B. They are not intended to cover all the requirements from Annex B but rather a subset for clarification purposes. The applicable

Annex B requirements are included here in bulleted form for reference.

B.2.1 General

• A table indicating the individual clause levels and overall level.

ISO/IEC 24759:2017 Section 6. [Number Below]	FIPS 140-3 Section Title	Level
1	General	
2	Cryptographic Module Specification	
3	Cryptographic Module Interfaces	
4	Roles, Services, and Authentication	
5	Software/Firmware Security	
6	Operational Environment	
7	Physical Security	
8	Non-Invasive Security	
9	Sensitive Security Parameter Management	
10	Self-Tests	
11	Life-Cycle Assurance	
12	Mitigation of Other Attacks	

194 195

196

197

B.2.2 Cryptographic module specification

• Hardware, Software, Firmware, or Hybrid designation:

 For software, firmware, and hybrid cryptographic modules, list the operating system(s) the module was tested on and the operating system(s) that the vendor affirms can be used by the module.

201 [For Software Module]

#	Operating System	Hardware Platform	Processor	PAA/Acceleration
1				
2				

Table x - Tested Operational Environments

#	Operating System	Hardware Platform	Processor	PAA/Acceleration
1				
2				

203 Table x – Vendor Affirmed Operational Environments

204

205 [For Hardware/Firmware Module]

Model	Hardware [Part Number and Version]	Processor	Firmware Version	Distinguishing Features

Table x - Cryptographic Module Tested Configuration

207208209

206

• Table of all security functions with specific key strengths employed for approved services, as well as the implemented modes of operation (e.g. CBC, CCM), if appropriate:

CAVP /ACVP Cert ¹²	Algorithm and Standard	Mode/Method	Description / Key Size(s) / Key Strength(s)	Use / Function

Table x - Approved Algorithms

Algorithm	Caveat	Use / Function

Table x – Non-Approved Algorithms Allowed in FIPS Mode

Algorithm ³	Caveat	Use / Function

212 Table x – Non-Approved Algorithms Allowed in FIPS Mode with No Security Claimed

213

¹ If applicable, insert a footnote detailing any mode/key-size that is present on a listed CAVP/ACVP certificate but is not used by any service, or state something to the effect of: There are algorithms, modes, and key/moduli sizes that have been CAVP-tested but are not used by any approved service of the module. Only the algorithms, modes/methods, and key lengths/curves/moduli shown in this table are used by an approved service of the module.

 $^{^2}$ This table includes vendor-affirmed algorithms that are approved, but $\underline{\text{CAVP}}$ testing is not yet available.

³ These algorithms do not claim any security and are not used to meet FIPS 140-3 requirements. Therefore, SSPs do not map to these algorithms.

Algorithm/Function	Use/Function		
able x – Non-FIPS-Approved Algorithms Not Allo	wed in FIPS Mode		
Block Diagram, as applicable			
*For Software/Firmware Module			
	[module 1 image]		
Figure x – Logical [cryptogra	aphic] Boundary [and Physical Boundary if combined		
[module 1 image]			
	[module 1 image]		
Figure v. Dhycical P	oundary life congreted from logical boundary		
Figure x – Physical Boundary [if separated from logical boundary]			
For Hardware Module –			
	[module 1 image]		
	Figure x – [Model 1]		
3.2.3 Cryptographic module interf	aces		
	nterfaces (physical and logical):		

Physical Port ⁴	Additional Port Detail	Description	Logical Interface Type

227

229

235

B.2.4 Roles, services, and authentication

- 228 Specify all roles.
 - Table of Roles, with corresponding service with input and output:

Role	Service	Input	Output

- 230 Table x – Roles, Service Commands, Input and Output
- Specify each authentication method, whether the method is identity or role-based, and 231 232 whether the method is required. 233
 - How is the strength of authentication requirement met?

Role	Authentication Method	Authentication Strength

234 Table x – Roles and Authentication

Separately list the security and non-security services, both approved and non-approved.

⁴ The physical ports here should map to the physical ports shown in the module images/diagrams. If the ports are different per module within the same submission, then this table should indicate the differences.

236	
237	
238	
239	
240	
241	
242	

• For each service, list the service name, a concise description of the service purpose and/or use (the service name alone may, in some instances, provide this information), a list of approved security functions (algorithm(s), key management technique(s), or authentication technique) used by or implemented through the invocation of the service, and a list of the SSPs associated with the service or with the approved security function(s) it uses. For each operator role authorized to use the service information, describe the individual access rights to all SSPs with information describing the method used to authenticate each role.

Service	Description	Approved Security Functions ⁵	Keys and/or SSPs	Roles	Access rights to Keys and/or SSPs

Table x – Approved Services, SSPs, Roles and Access Rights

\sim	4	_
,	71	•
/	4	. ,

246

Service	Description	Algorithms Accessed ⁶	Role

Table x – Non-Approved Services, Algorithms and Roles

247 **B.2.5 Software/Firmware security**

• No change.

249 **B.2.6 Operational environment**

• No change.

251 **B.2.7 Physical security**

⁵ Each algorithm shown in the Approved Algorithms and Non-Approved Algorithms Allowed in FIPS Mode.

⁶ Each algorithm shown in the Non-FIPS-Approved Algorithms Not Allowed in FIPS Mode table.

• Specify the physical security mechanisms that are implemented in the module (e.g., tamper-evident seals, locks, tamper response and zeroisation switches, and alarms).

Physical Security Mechanism	Recommended Frequency of Inspection/Test	Inspection/Test Guidance Details

Table x – Physical Security Inspection Guidelines

255256257258

259

260

254

O The total number of tamper-evident seals or security appliances that are needed will be indicated (e.g., five tamper-evident seals and two opacity screens). The photos or illustrations which provide instruction on the precise placement will have each item numbered in the photo or illustration and will equal the total number indicated (the actual tamper-evident seals or security appliances are not required to be numbered).

[module 1 image]

261

262

Figure x – Module 1 Seal Application Locations

[module 2 image]

263264

Figure x – Module 2 Seal Application Locations

265266

• Overall security design and the rules of operation⁷

[.]

As part of this requirement, algorithm-specific guidance, rules, and security policy-specific requirements shall be included. These are typically found in Implementation Guidance sections [A] and [D].

267 **B.2.8 Non-invasive security**

• No change.

269

270

271

272

273

274275

276

277278

279

280

B.2.9 Sensitive security parameters management

- Provide a key table specifying the key type(s), strength(s) in bits, security function(s), security function certification number(s), where and how the key(s) is generated, whether the key(s) is imported or exported, any SSP generation and establishment method used, and indicate any related keys.
- Present a table of other SSPs and how they are generated.
- Specify the approved and non-approved random bit generators.
- Describe the uses of RBG output(s).
 - Specify the electronic and manual key I/O method(s).
- Specify the SSP storage technique(s).
 - Specify the unprotected SSP zeroisation method(s) and rationale and operator initiation capability.

Key/SSP Name/Ty pe	Strength	Security Function and Cert. Number	Generati on	Import /Export	Establish -ment	Storage	Zeroi s ati on	Use & related keys

Table	х –	SSPs8

282283

281

• Specify the RBG entropy source(s).

⁸ The SSPs should map with the Approved Algorithms and CAVP Certificates and Cryptographic Algorithms Allowed in FIPS Mode tables in Section B.2.2

Entropy sources	Minimum number of bits of entropy ⁹	Details

284 Table x – Non-Deterministic Random Number Generation Specification

285 **B.2.10 Self-tests**

• No change.

287 **B.2.11 Life-cycle assurance**

• No change.

289 **B.2.12 Mitigation of other attacks**

• No change.

⁹ That is, the minimum number of bits of entropy generated, requested, and/or believed to have been loaded (with a justification of the stated amount. See Implementation Guidance [7.14]).

Document Revisions

Date	Change