REAL ANALYSIS SIXTH WEEK

3.4.8

Prove that a subset Y of a complete metric space X is also complete metric space with the inherited metric if and only if Y is closed as a subset of X.

Proof. "⇒"

Suppose *Y* is closed. Let (y_n) be a Cauchy sequence in *Y*. Since $Y \subset X$, (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence in *X*. Since *X* is complete, (y_n) converges to *y* for some $y \in X$. Since *Y* is closed, $y \in Y$, hence *Y* is complete.

" \Leftarrow " Let Y be a complete metric space and suppose Y is open. Then a Cauchy sequence $(y_n) \in Y$ converges to $y_n \notin Y$, but this contradicts that Y is complete, so Y is closed.

3.4.9

Show that, for $1 \le p \le \infty$, the space $\ell_n^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\ell_n^p(\mathbb{C})$ are complete metric spaces.

Proof. Define $||x||_p = (\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and let V be a vector space in $\mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n$.

Let the set $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a base for V. Recall that that norms for $1 \le p \le \infty$ are equivalent on finite dimensional spaces, therefore we can choose p=1 and completeness is preserved on these equivalent norms.

We can choose $L,M>0\in\mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} Such that $L\|w\|\leq \|w\|\leq M\|w\|$ for all $w\in V$. This implies, $\forall \epsilon>0$ there exists $N\in\mathbb{N}$ such that if n,m>N

$$L|v_{n_i} - v_{k_i}| \le L \sum_{i=1}^{n} |v_{n_i} - v_{k_i}|$$

= $L||v_n - v_m|| \le ||v_n - v_m|| < \epsilon$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, (v_{k_i}) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb R$ or $\mathbb C$ for each i. Since $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb C$ are complete, there exists $u_i \in \mathbb R$ or $\mathbb C$ such that $u_i = \lim_{k \to \infty} v_{k_i}$ for each i. Let $u = (u_1, ..., u_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i e_i$ which means that $u \in V$. Finally, to show completeness, need to show $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|v_k - u\| = 0$.

$$\begin{split} \lim_{k \to \infty} \|v_k - u\| &\leq M \lim_{k \to \infty} \|v_k - u\| \\ &= M \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n |v_{k_i} - u_i| \\ &= M \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{k \to \infty} |v_{k_i} - u_i| \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

3.4.18

For the following sequences $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of functions, where $f_n:[0,2\pi]\to\mathbb{R}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, find all values of $x\in[0,2\pi]$ such that the sequence $(f_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges and find the pointwise limit function $f:[0,2\pi]\to\mathbb{R}$ if it exists.

- 1. $f_n(x) = \sin(\frac{x}{n})$ Since $1 \le n$ this function is always defined. For all values $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ the sequence $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 so $f : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by f(x) = 0.
- 2. $f_n(x) = sin(nx)$. Since the sin function oscillates between -1 and 1. Consider $f_n(x) = 1$ when $n < \frac{\pi}{2x}$ and again when $2\pi(x) < n < \frac{5\pi}{2x}$ and so forth. Consider when $f_n(x) = 0$, when $\frac{\pi}{2x} < n < \frac{\pi}{x}$ and again when $\frac{3\pi}{2x} < n < \frac{2\pi}{x}$ and so forth. Next, when $(f_n(x) = -1)$ whenever $\frac{\pi}{x} < n < \frac{3\pi}{2x}$ and again $3\pi < n < \frac{7\pi}{2}$ and so forth.

Hence, there are no values in the domain $[0,2\pi]$ such that $(f_n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges. Hence, the pointwise limit function does not exist.

 $3. f_n(x) = \sin^n(x).$

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \neq \frac{3\pi}{2} \text{ and } x \neq \frac{\pi}{2} \\ 1, & \text{if } x = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ -1 \text{ or } 1, & \text{if } x = \frac{3\pi}{2} \end{cases}$$

Since the sequence does not converge when $x = \frac{3\pi}{2}$ we cannot define $f : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ as the point wise limit function of $f_n(x)$.

3.4.22

Let $f_n(x) = x^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. Show that the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to the function f(x)=0 on the interval (-1,0).

When 0 < x < 1, this implies $x = \frac{1}{a}$ where a > 1 which implies $\lim_{n \to \infty} x^n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^n} = 0$. When -1 < x < 0, it implies $x = (-1)\frac{1}{a}$ where a > 1 which means $(-1)\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{a^n} = 0$. When x = 0, $\lim_{n \to \infty} x^n = 0$.

Therefore all values in the domain (-1,1), $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$.

2. Show that if we restrict to the domain $[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$, the sequence $f(n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to the function f(x)=0.

Proof. A sequence converges uniformly to a function if given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N_{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{B}$ such that $\sup_{x \in X} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ for $n \geq N_{\epsilon}$. Since f(x) = 0, $|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |x^n| < \epsilon$ if $x < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Since $\epsilon^{\frac{1}{n}} < 1$ for all n the sequence converges uniformly for the domain $[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]$.

3. Show that the sequence $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not converge uniformly on the domain (-1,1).

Proof. Consider again, the expression from above, $|f_n(x) - f(x)| = |x^n| < \epsilon$. The inequality $x < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{n}}$ fails when x gets within ϵ of 1. To see this, choose $x \in (-1,1)$ such that $1 - \epsilon = x$. Notice that $1 - \epsilon < \epsilon^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is clearly false. Therefore, $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge uniformly.

- 3.5.2
- 3.5.3
- 3.5.4