

1.9.18) Give examples to show that if  $r=1$  in the ratio test, then anything can happen

Consider  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+2}{2n+7}$

Applying the ratio test  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n+3}{2n+9} \cdot \frac{2n+7}{n+2} \right| = 1$

But  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+2}{2n+7} = \frac{1}{2} \neq 0 \therefore$  the series diverges

Now consider  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2+1}$

Applying the ratio test  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(n+1)^2+1} \cdot \frac{(n^2+1)}{(-1)^n} \right|$   
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n^2+1}{(n+1)^2+1} \right| = 1$

Since this is an alternating series test, we check two conditions for convergence

1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$

2)  $|a_{n+1}| < |a_n|$

for 1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2+1} = 0$

2)  $\frac{1}{(n+1)^2+1} < \frac{1}{n^2+1}$

$\therefore$  the series converges

$\therefore$  when  $r=1$  in the ratio test we can have either convergence or divergence.

1.9.20 Give examples to show that if  $r=1$  in the root test then anything can happen

Consider  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1^n$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1^n)^{1/n} = 1$$

But  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1^n = 1 \neq 0 \therefore$  the series diverges

Consider  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/3}}$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n^{2/3}}\right)^{1/n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/3n}}$$

To find this limit consider  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2/3n}$ .

$$\ln(n^{2/3n}) = \frac{2}{3} \ln n$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \ln n}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \cdot \frac{1}{n}}{1} = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\ln(n^{2/3n})} = e^0 = 1$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2/3n} = 1 \therefore \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/3n}} = 1$$

But using the comparison test, we see that it is a p-series where  $p=2$  so it converges.

$\therefore$  if  $r=1$  in the root test we can have either convergence or divergence

9. 26)

Determine the radius of convergence for the following series.

$$\text{i) } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

Using ratio test  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{z^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{z^n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{z}{n+1} \right) = 0$   
 $\therefore r = \infty$

$$\text{ii) } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\ln n}$$

Using ratio test  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{z^{n+1}}{\ln(n+1)} \cdot \frac{\ln(n)}{z^n} \right|$   
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| z \cdot \frac{\ln n}{\ln(n+1)} \right|$   
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| z \cdot \frac{\ln n}{\frac{n}{n+1}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| z \cdot \frac{n+1}{n} \right| = |z|$

$\therefore |r| < 1$  by the ratio test

$$\text{iii) } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^n}{n!} z^n$$

Using ratio test,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(n+1)^{n+1} z^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{n^n \cdot z^n} \right|$   
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| z \cdot \left( \frac{n+1}{n} \right)^n \right|$   
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| z \cdot \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \right| = |ez| < 1$

$$\therefore |r| < \frac{1}{|e|}$$

2.5.8) Prove that the scalar product is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form.

First, we show that the scalar product is a bilinear form.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v_1 + v_2, w \rangle &= (v_{11} + v_{21})w_1 + (v_{12} + v_{22})w_2 + \dots + (v_{1n} + v_{2n})w_n \\&= v_{11}w_1 + v_{21}w_1 + \dots + v_{1n}w_n + v_{2n}w_n \\&= (v_{11}w_1 + v_{12}w_2 + \dots + v_{1n}w_n) + (v_{21}w_1 + \dots + v_{2n}w_n) \\&= \langle v_1, w \rangle + \langle v_2, w \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \alpha v, w \rangle &= \alpha(v_1 w_1 + \dots + v_n w_n) \\&= \alpha(v_1 w_1 + \dots + v_n w_n) \\&= \alpha \langle v, w \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, w_1 + w_2 \rangle &= v_1(w_{11} + w_{21}) + \dots + v_n(w_{1n} + w_{2n}) \\&= v_1w_{11} + v_1w_{21} + \dots + v_nw_{1n} + v_nw_{2n} \\&= (v_1w_{11} + \dots + v_nw_{1n}) + (v_1w_{21} + \dots + v_nw_{2n}) \\&= \langle v, w_1 \rangle + \langle v, w_2 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, \alpha w \rangle &= v_1(\alpha w_1) + \dots + v_n(\alpha w_n) \\&= \alpha(v_1 w_1 + \dots + v_n w_n) \\&= \alpha \langle v, w \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that the scalar product is symmetric

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, w \rangle &= v_1w_1 + \dots + v_nw_n \\&= w_1v_1 + \dots + w_nv_n \\&= \langle w, v \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that the scalar product is positive definite.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v, v \rangle &= v_1v_1 + \dots + v_nv_n = v_1^2 + \dots + v_n^2 \\&\therefore \langle v, v \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in V\end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ .

We have  $v_1^2 + \dots + v_{n-1}^2 = -v_n^2$  but the left side is positive while the right is negative  $\therefore \langle v, v \rangle = 0 \iff v = 0$

2.5.11) Prove the following properties of norms. let  $v, w \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

i)  $\|v\| \geq 0$

$$\|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle} = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \dots + v_n^2}$$

Since  $\langle v, v \rangle$  is positive definite  $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$  then  
 $\sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle} \geq 0 \therefore \|v\| \geq 0$

ii)  $\|v\| = 0 \text{ iff } \vec{v} = 0$

$\|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle} = 0 \therefore \langle v, v \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \vec{v} = 0 \text{ by positive definite property. If } \vec{v} = 0, \|v\| = \sqrt{v \cdot v} = \sqrt{0} = 0.$

iii)  $\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \|v\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha v\| &= \sqrt{\langle \alpha v, \alpha v \rangle} = \sqrt{\alpha^2 v_1^2 + \dots + \alpha^2 v_n^2} \\ &= \alpha \sqrt{v_1^2 + \dots + v_n^2} \\ &= \alpha \|v\| \end{aligned}$$

iv)  $\|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v + w\|^2 &= \langle v + w, v + w \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v + w \rangle + \langle w, v + w \rangle \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle - (1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\|v\| + \|w\|)^2 &= \|v\|^2 + 2\|v\|\|w\| + \|w\|^2 \\ &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\|v\|\|w\| + \langle w, w \rangle - (2) \end{aligned}$$

Note from (1) that  $\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle \geq 0$  because  
 $\|v + w\|^2 \geq 0$ ,  $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$ , and  $\langle w, w \rangle$

Then from Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality we have

$$|\langle v, w \rangle| \leq \|v\|\|w\|$$

$$\therefore \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \leq \langle v, v \rangle + 2\|v\|\|w\| + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\therefore \|v + w\|^2 \leq (\|v\| + \|w\|)^2$$

$$\therefore \|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\|$$

$$2.5.11) v) \|v+w\|^2 + \|v-w\|^2 = 2 (\|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|v+w\|^2 + \|v-w\|^2 &= \langle v+w, v+w \rangle + \langle v-w, v-w \rangle \\&= \langle v, v+w \rangle + \langle w, v+w \rangle + \langle v, v-w \rangle + \langle -w, v-w \rangle \\&= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle \\&\quad + \langle v, -w \rangle + \langle -w, v \rangle + \langle -w, -w \rangle \\&= 2 \langle v, v \rangle + 2 \langle w, w \rangle + \langle v, 0 \rangle + \langle 0, v \rangle \\&= 2 \langle v, v \rangle + 2 \langle w, w \rangle \\&= 2 (\|v\|^2 + \|w\|^2)\end{aligned}$$

2.5.20)

- i) Show that  $\|v \times w\| = \|v\| \|w\| \sin \theta$  where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $v$  and  $w$ .

lemma  $\|v \times w\|^2 + \langle v, w \rangle^2 = \|v\|^2 \|w\|^2$

proof.  $\langle v \times w, v \times w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$

$$= (v_2 w_3 - v_3 w_2)^2 + (v_3 w_1 - v_1 w_3)^2 + (v_1 w_2 - v_2 w_1)^2$$

$$+ (v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + v_3 w_3)^2$$

$$= (v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2)(w_1^2 + w_2^2 + w_3^2)$$

$$= \|v\|^2 \|w\|^2 \quad \square$$

$$\|v \times w\|^2 = \|v\|^2 \|w\|^2 - \langle v, w \rangle^2$$

$$= \|v\|^2 \|w\|^2 - \|v\|^2 \|w\|^2 \cos^2 \theta$$

$$= \|v\|^2 \|w\|^2 (1 - \cos^2 \theta)$$

$$= \|v\|^2 \|w\|^2 \sin^2 \theta$$

- ii) Show that  $\|v \times w\|$  is the area of a parallelogram

$$\text{Area of triangle} = \frac{1}{2} \|v\| \|w\| \sin \theta = \frac{1}{2} \|v \times w\|$$

A parallelogram is made up of 2 triangles so the area is twice as large.

2.5.26 We want to show that

$$\|\text{proj}_v(p - p_0)\| = \inf \{ \|p - q\| \mid q \in H \}$$

Let  $q \in H$ . Then  $p - p_0 = p - q + q - p_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle p - p_0, v \rangle &= \langle p - q, v \rangle + \langle q - p_0, v \rangle \\ &= \langle p - q, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(Since  $q - p_0 \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  and  $v$  is orthogonal to  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}$ )

$$\text{So } \|\text{proj}_v(p - p_0)\| = \frac{|\langle p - p_0, v \rangle|}{\|v\|}$$

$$= \frac{|\langle p - q, v \rangle|}{\|v\|}$$

$$= \frac{\|p - q\| \|v\|}{\|v\|}$$

$\therefore \|\text{proj}_v(p - p_0)\| = \|p - q\|$   
 $\|\text{proj}_v(p - p_0)\|$  is a lower bound of  $\{ \|p - q\| \mid q \in H \}$

Note that  $(p - p_0) - \text{proj}_v(p - p_0)$  is in the  $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$

$$\text{so } \|\text{proj}_v(p - p_0)\| \in H$$

$$\text{and } \|p - (\text{proj}_v(p - p_0))\| = \|\text{proj}_v(p - p_0)\|$$

$$\therefore \|\text{proj}_v(p - p_0)\| = \inf \{ \|p - q\| \mid q \in H \}$$

2.5.30

i)  $\tilde{v}_1 = (1, 1, -1, 0)$   
 $\tilde{v}_2 = v_2 - \text{proj}_{\tilde{v}_1} v_2 = \left(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -1\right)$

$$\tilde{v}_3 = v_3 - \text{proj}_{\tilde{v}_1}(v_3) - \text{proj}_{\tilde{v}_2}(v_3) = \left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{6}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right)$$

ii)  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -1 \\ \frac{2}{5} & \frac{4}{5} & \frac{6}{5} & \frac{2}{5} \end{pmatrix}$

$$= \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & i \\ \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & j \\ \frac{2}{5} & \frac{4}{5} & \frac{6}{5} & k \end{vmatrix} - \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & i \\ \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & -1 & j \\ \frac{2}{5} & \frac{6}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & k \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & k \\ \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -1 & i \\ \frac{2}{5} & \frac{4}{5} & \frac{6}{5} & j \end{vmatrix} + \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & j \\ \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & k \\ \frac{2}{5} & \frac{6}{5} & \frac{2}{5} & i \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -2i + 2j + 0k - 2l = (-2, 2, 0, -2)$$

iii)  $\tilde{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (1, 1, -1, 0)$

$$\tilde{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}} \left(\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -1\right)$$

$$\tilde{v}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{125}} \left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{6}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right)$$

$$\tilde{v}_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} (-2, 2, 0, -2)$$