

# City Location Choice and Productivity

Tan Sein Jone

## 1 Model of Production

### 1.1 Production Function

$$Y_{s|c} = T_{cs} \prod_k Q_{sk}^{\omega_{sk}} \quad (1)$$

The economy consists of  $s \in S$  sectors which employ occupations/tasks according to a Cobb-Douglas production function.  $\omega_{sk}$  is the weight that each occupation takes for every sector, where  $\sum_k \omega_{sk} = 1$  for each sector  $s$ .  $T_{cs}$  is some exogenous productivity associated with a city-sector pair (Detroit and automanufacturing, for example).

### 1.2 MRP

$$MRP_{csk}(\nu) = p_s T_{cs} \omega_{sk} z_k(\nu) \quad (2)$$

The world consists of some continuum of households  $\nu \in [0, 1]$ . Households exhibit some productivity for a range of occupations/tasks, denoted  $k \in K$ . For worker  $\nu$ , their productivity in  $k$  is  $z_k(\nu)$ . Each worker therefore faces a marginal revenue product associated with being employed in occupation  $k$  within sector  $s$  in city  $c$ .

$p_s$  is the price of the good produced by sector  $s$ , which we assume is freely traded and priced under perfect competition. That is, the price of  $s$  is identical in all cities. We assume that when households choose a city  $c$  they are randomly assigned to a sector  $s$  depending on the employment shares within that city accruing to sector  $s$ :  $\phi_{cs}$ . Notice that  $\phi_{cs}$  is related to  $T_{cs}$ , and for now we make the simplifying assumption that:

$$\phi_{cs} = \frac{T_{cs}}{\sum_s T_{cs}} \quad (3)$$

The marginal revenue product of being employed in occupation  $k$  in city  $c$  for household  $\nu$  is therefore the following:

$$MRP_{ck}(\nu) = z_k(\nu) \sum_s p_{cs} \phi_{cs} T_{cs} \omega_{sk} \quad (4)$$

Finally, we separate  $T_{cs}$  into a city-specific component which applies to all sectors,  $T_c$ , and an idiosyncratic component that is city-sector specific,  $\tilde{T}_{cs}$ . We can therefore re-write our expected marginal revenue product of worker  $\nu$  working in occupation  $k$  in city  $c$  as:

$$MRP_{ck}(\nu) = z_k(\nu) T_c B_{ck} \quad (5)$$

where  $B_{ck} = \sum_s p_s \phi_{cs} \tilde{T}_{cs} \omega_{sk}$  and captures the employment structure in city  $c$  and how attractive this structure is to a worker in occupation  $k$ .

## 2 Max Stable Multivariate Fréchet Distribution

We assume that the joint distribution of productivity across cities is given by

$$P[Z_{1k}^*(\nu) < z, \dots, Z_{Nk}^*(\nu) < z] = \exp\left[-\sum_c^N (T_{ck}^* z(\nu)^{-\theta})^{\frac{1}{1-\rho_k}}\right]^{1-\rho_k} \quad (6)$$

Where  $T_{ck}^*$  is the scale parameter for city  $c$  and occupation  $k$ , this represents a city's absolute advantage for occupation  $k$ .  $\theta > 0$  is the shape parameter, characterizing the tail behavior of the distribution.  $\rho_k$  is the occupation specific correlation parameter, which dictates the extent to which productivity draws are

correlated across cities for occupation  $k$ .

A household's schedule of productivities is characterized by a vector of draws from the Fréchet distribution for each occupation  $k$  in each city  $c$ . The realized productivity a household of type  $\nu$  has in city  $c$  is however the occupation that maximizes the productivity that particular household has in the city. This is given by the following:

$$Z_c(\nu) = \max_k \{Z_{ck}^*(\nu)\} \quad (7)$$

Unlike sequential games where households might pick a city before picking an occupation, this schedule of productivity already determines the ideal occupation for a household in a city. The joint probability of all productivities being less than some value  $z$  for all cities is then given by the following:

$$P[Z_1(\nu) < z, \dots, Z_N(\nu) < z] = \exp\left\{-\sum_k \left[\sum_c (T_{ck}^* Z(\nu)^{-\theta})^{\frac{1}{1-\rho_k}}\right]^{1-\rho_k}\right\} \quad (8)$$

The joint probability above is a max-stable multivariate Fréchet distribution with a cross-nested CES correlation function. This distribution is a generalization of the Fréchet distribution to the multivariate case, and is used to model the joint distribution of extreme values. This is similar to the GEV distribution, but with the added feature of a correlation function that allows for the dependence of extreme values across occupations.

A household of type  $\nu$  has realised productivity that is hence characterised by the maximum productivity draw across all cities scaled by the inverse of that city's price index  $\Phi_o$  and is given by the following:

$$Z(\nu) = \max_{c=1, \dots, N} \left\{ \frac{Z_c(\nu)}{\Phi_o} \right\} \quad (9)$$