## 3. Constructing models of Mars' internal structure

The method that we use to construct interior-structure models is based 117 on our previous work Khan and Connolly (2008) and the work of Nimmo and Faul (2013). For brevity, only a cursory description is presented here. 119 We rely on a unified description of the elasticity and phase equilibria of mul-120 ticomponent, multiphase assemblages from which mineralogical and seismic 121 wave velocity models as functions of pressure (depth) and temperature are constructed. Specifically, we use the free-energy minimization strategy de-123 scribed by Connolly (2009) to predict rock mineralogy, elastic moduli, and 124 density along self-consistently computed mantle adiabats for a given bulk composition. For this purpose we employ the thermodynamic formulation of 126 Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni (2005a) with parameters as in Stixrude and 127 Lithgow-Bertelloni (2011). Bulk rock elastic moduli are estimated by Voigt-128 Reuss-Hill (VRH) averaging. The pressure profile is obtained by integrating the load from the surface. Possible mantle compositions are explored within 130 the Na<sub>2</sub>O-CaO-FeO-MgO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> (NCFMAS) system, which accounts 131 for more than 98% of the mass of the mantle of the experimental Martian model of Bertka and Fei (1997). 133

Estimates for the Martian mantle composition derive from geochemical studies (e.g., Dreibus and Wänke, 1985; Treiman, 1986; McSween, 1994; Taylor, 2013) of a set of basaltic achondrite meteorites, collectively designated the SNC's (Shergotty, Nakhla, and Chassigny), that are thought to have originated from Mars. Based on the analysis of Dreibus and Wänke, the Martian mantle contains about 17 wt% FeO compared to Earth's upper mantle budget of 8 wt% (e.g., McDonough and s. Sun, 1995; Lyubetskaya and Korenaga,

141 2007). This implies a Martian mantle Mg# of 75 (100×molar Mg/Mg+Fe), 142 in comparison to the magnesian-rich terrestrial upper mantle value of ~90. 143 There is little information that bears directly on the thermal state of the 144 Martian mantle as a result of which the areotherm has proved more difficult 145 to constrain (e.g., Verhoeven et al., 2005; Khan and Connolly, 2008). For 146 the purposes of the computations here, we rely on the "hot" geotherm of 147 Verhoeven et al. (2005) (figure 3).

For crustal structure, we rely on a physical parameterization, i.e., Pand S-wave speed, density, and Moho depth as model parameters, rather
than thermo-chemical parameters employed in modeling properties. Average
crustal thickness is taken from the study of Wieczorek and Zuber (2004) and
density, P- and S-wave speed are modeled as increasing linearly from 2 to 3
g/cm<sup>3</sup>, 4 to 6.5 km/s, and 2 km/s to 3.5 km/s, respectively, between surface
and the base of the crust.

As seismic waves propagate in the interior of Mars they are expected to
be attenuated with distance much as on Earth. This is a manifestation of an
anelastic medium. Another property of a dissipative medium is dispersion,
which manifests itself in seismic waves of different frequencies traveling at
different speeds. As a consequence, the elastic moduli become complex and
frequency-dependent, which provides an appropriate start for the description
of viscoelastic dissipation (e.g., Anderson, 1989).

The dissipation model adopted here (for details we refer the reader to Nimmo and Faul (2013)) is based on laboratory experiments of torsional forced oscillation data on melt-free polycrystalline olivine and is described in detail in Jackson and Faul (2010). In the absence of melting, dissipation

has been observed in the Earth, Moon, and Mars to follow a frequencydependence of the form  $1/Q \sim \omega^{-\alpha}$ , where  $\omega$  is angular frequency and  $\alpha$ 167 is a constant (e.g., Lognonné and Mosser, 1993; Williams et al., 2001; Benjamin et al., 2006; Efroimsky, 2012).  $\alpha$  has been determined from seismic 169 and geodetic studies to lie in the range 0.1–0.4 (e.g., Minster and Ander-170 son, 1981; Benjamin et al., 2006). The failure of Maxwellian viscoelasticity to reproduce this frequency-dependence has led to other rheological mod-172 els such as the Burgers model (e.g., Jackson and Faul, 2010). The Burgers 173 model of Jackson and Faul (2010) is preferred over other rheological models 174 because of its ability to describe the transition from (anharmonic) elasticity to grainsize-sensitive viscoelastic behaviour as a means of explaining the ob-176 served dissipation in the forced torsional oscillation experiments on olivine. 177

For present purposes, computations were conducted employing a single 178 shear-wave attenuation (Q) model at seismic frequencies (1 s) and a grain-179 size of 1 cm in accordance with Nimmo and Faul (2013). For the Martian 180 crust and lithosphere, we fixed shear-wave Q to 600 after PREM (Dziewonski 181 and Anderson, 1981) and to 100 in the core. Dissipation in bulk is neglected and we assume  $Q_{\kappa}=10^4$  in line with terrestrial applications (e.g., Durek and 183 Ekström, 1996). Anelastic P- and S-wave speeds  $(V_{P/S})$  as a function of 184 pressure (p), temperature (T), composition (c), and frequency  $(\omega)$  are esti-185 mated from the expressions for the visco-elastically computed temperature-, 186 pressure-, and frequency-dependent moduli (further details may be found in 18 Nimmo and Faul (2013)). 188

The physical properties (isotropic anelastic P- and S-wave speeds, density, and attenuation) so computed are shown in figure 3 to a depth of 1700 km. For comparison with sampled seismic wave-speed and density profiles, we are also showing a set of models (DWref and T13) that are constructed in the same manner as the "Input" model, but using a different areotherm to highlight the influence of mantle thermal structure on physical properties. Model DWref is based on the bulk mantle composition of Dreibus and Wänke (1985) and the "Hot" areotherm of Verhoeven et al. (2005) (figure 3), whereas model "T13" is computed using the bulk mantle composition of Taylor (2013) and the self-consistently computed adiabat ("Adiabat" in figure 3).

These profiles contain prominent features above 400 km and around 1000– 199 1100 km depth. The wave-speed decrease above 400 km depth (DWref only) is due to the steep increase in temperature in the lithosphere that results in 201 a strong low-velocity zone (LVZ) (e.g., Nimmo and Faul, 2013; Zheng et al., 202 2015). The LVZ zone is not present in the other two models because of a smoother transition between the conductive lithosphere and the mantle adi-204 abat. As shown elsewhere (e.g., Bertka and Fei, 1998; Khan and Connolly, 205 2008), the discontinuity at  $\sim$ 1100 km depth is linked to the mineral phase 206 transformation olivine→wadsleyite (see also Mocquet et al. (1996) and Verhoeven et al. (2005)), which in Earth is responsible for the "410-km" seismic 208 discontinuity. The associated shear-wave attenuation structure is also shown 209 in figure 3. In the case of "DWref", the Q-structure is based on PREM, whereas for "Input" and "T13", we use the viscoelastic approach described 21: above. Generally, shear-wave attenuation structure is observed to be fairly 212 constant throughout most of the mantle in overall agreement with expectations based on PREM and existing Martian models (e.g., Lognonné and Mosser, 1993; Zharkov and Gudkova, 1997; Nimmo and Faul, 2013). Theoretical predictions for the attenuation in the Martian mantle have been discussed by Lognonné and Mosser (1993) and Zharkov and Gudkova (1997). Based on a Q value of 50–150 at the tidal period of Phobos (5h 32 min) and assuming the absorption band model of Anderson and Given (1982) to hold over the entire frequency range (seismic to tidal), these studies find Q values in the range  $\sim$ 150–400 (at 1 s). For comparison, current estimates of Q at the period of Phobos are 80–105 (e.g., Bills et al., 2005; Lainey et al., 2007; Nimmo and Faul, 2013).