## MTH 201, Curves and surfaces

## Practice problem set 11

Recall that  $\mathbf{n}(x,y)$  is the standard unit normal at  $\sigma(x,y)$  with respect to some surface patch  $\sigma(x,y)$ . With respect to this same surface patch,  $\sigma_x(x,y)$  and  $\sigma_y(x,y)$  form a basis for the tangent space at  $\sigma(x,y)$ . Together, the three vectors form a basis for the all vectors at  $\sigma(x,y)$  (not necessarily only the ones tangent to the surface). Be careful, only  $\mathbf{n}$  is a unit vector and is orthogonal to the other two vectors.

Recall the following definitions:  $E(x,y) := \sigma_x(x,y) \cdot \sigma_x(x,y)$ ,  $F(x,y) := \sigma_x(x,y) \cdot \sigma_y(x,y)$ ,  $G(x,y) := \sigma_y(x,y) \cdot \sigma_y(x,y)$ , and that,

$$L(x,y) := \sigma_{xx}(x,y) \cdot \mathbf{n}(x,y), M(x,y) := \sigma_{xy}(x,y) \cdot \mathbf{n}, N(x,y) := \sigma_{yy}(x,y) \cdot \mathbf{n},$$

- 1. The following parts will attempt to find the derivatives of the above basis vector valued functions, i.e.  $\mathbf{n}_x$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_y$ ,  $\sigma_{xx}$ ,  $\sigma_{xy}$ , and  $\sigma_{yy}$ , as linear combinations of the basis vectors  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $\sigma_x$ , and  $\sigma_y$ . Observe that all the coefficients will be in terms of E, F, G, L, M, and N.
  - a) Why are  $\mathbf{n}_x$  and  $\mathbf{n}_y$  linear combinations of merely  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$ ? Therefore,  $\mathbf{n}_x = \alpha \sigma_x + \beta \sigma_y$  and  $\mathbf{n}_y = \gamma \sigma_x + \delta \sigma_y$ . Prove that the coefficients,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , can be computed in terms of E, F, G, L, M, and N. (Take the dot product of each equation with  $\sigma_x$  and then with  $\sigma_y$  to get four linear equations involving E, F, G, L, M, and N. You now have four equations and four unknowns. You will need to use the fact that  $\mathbf{n}$  is orthogonal to  $\sigma_x$ )
  - b) Show that the respective coefficients of  $\mathbf{n}$ , when writing  $\sigma_{xx}$ ,  $\sigma_{xy}$ , and  $\sigma_{yy}$ , as a linear combination of  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ , and  $\mathbf{n}$ , are L, M, and N, respectively. Thefore,

$$\sigma_{xx} = \Gamma_{11}^1 \sigma_x + \Gamma_{11}^2 \sigma_y + L\mathbf{n}$$
  

$$\sigma_{xy} = \Gamma_{12}^1 \sigma_x + \Gamma_{12}^2 \sigma_y + M\mathbf{n}$$
  

$$\sigma_{yy} = \Gamma_{22}^1 \sigma_x + \Gamma_{22}^2 \sigma_y + N\mathbf{n}$$

Prove that each  $\Gamma^k_{ij}$  can be expressed entirely in terms of E, F, and G. (To find enough linear relations, take the dot product with  $\sigma_x$ , and then with  $\sigma_y$ . Play with the derivatives of  $\sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y, \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y$ , and  $\sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y$ , which are just E, F, and G, to rewrite terms such as  $\sigma_{xy} \cdot \sigma_x$  in terms of E, F, and G.)

- 2. Consider a regular curve on a surface parametrized by a unit speed parametrization,  $\gamma(t) = \sigma(x(t), y(t))$ , where  $\sigma$  is a surface patch of the surface.
  - a) Compute the vector  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$  as a linear combination of  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  (You have done this in a previous problem set!).
  - b) Compute the vector  $\ddot{\gamma}(t)$  as a linear combination of  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ , and **n** (The previous exercise should help you to replace the  $\sigma_{xx}$  etc in your calculations.).
  - c) An alternative basis, instead of  $\{\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \mathbf{n}\}$ , is the set (taking  $\mathbf{T} := \dot{\gamma}$ ),  $\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{T}\}$ . Why is  $\ddot{\gamma}$  a linear combination of only  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{T}$ ? Therefore  $\ddot{\gamma} = \kappa_n \mathbf{n} + \kappa_g \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{T}$ , for some  $\kappa_n = \ddot{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{n}$  and  $\kappa_g = \ddot{\gamma} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{T})$ . Show that  $k_n$  depends only on L, M, and N, and that  $k_g$  depends only on E, F, and G. (Use the previous parts and the linearity of the dot and cross products, wherever applicable, to write everything in terms of  $\sigma_x$ ,  $\sigma_y$ , and  $\mathbf{n}$ . Somewhere, you will get a term like  $\mathbf{n} \cdot (\sigma_x \times \sigma_y)$ , but that can be written in terms of E, F, and G. Do you see why?)
- 3. Recall the definition of  $W_{p,S}$ , which can be interpreted as a linear map from  $T_p(S)$  to itself.
  - a) Prove that  $W_{p,S}(\sigma_x) = -\mathbf{n}_x$  and  $W_{p,S}(\sigma_y) = -\mathbf{n}_y$ . (Recall the definition of  $D_p(f)$ . What curve represents  $\sigma_x$ ? Why is the derivative of the image of that curve under the Gauss map  $\mathcal{G}_S$  equal to  $\mathbf{n}_x$ ?)
  - b)  $W_{p,S}(\sigma_x)$  and  $W_{p,S}(\sigma_y)$  are both tangent vectors of the surface (why?) and therefore is some linear combination of only  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$ , i.e.  $-\mathbf{n}_x = \alpha \sigma_x + \beta \sigma_y$  and  $-\mathbf{n}_y = \gamma \sigma_x + \delta \sigma_y$ . This is another way of seeing that  $\mathbf{n}_x$  and  $\mathbf{n}_y$  can be written as linear combinations of only  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  (proved in 1 (a)). Use part a) of the first question to find a matrix representation for  $W_{p,S}$ .
- 4. Recall the definition  $\langle v, w \rangle_p' := \langle \mathcal{W}_{p,S} v, w \rangle$ 
  - a)  $\langle \sigma_x, \sigma_x \rangle' = L$ ,  $\langle \sigma_x, \sigma_y \rangle' = M$ ,  $\langle \sigma_y, \sigma_y \rangle' = N$  (Relate, for example,  $\mathbf{n}_x \cdot \sigma_x$  with L by using the fact that  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_x$  is orthogonal.)
  - b)  $\langle v, w \rangle'$  is symmetric.
  - c) Show that if we express any vector tangent to the surface in terms of the basis  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  as  $v = \alpha \sigma_x + \beta \sigma_y$ , then  $\langle v, v \rangle' = L\alpha^2 + 2M\alpha\beta + N\beta^2$ .
  - d) Show that for a unit speed parametrization  $\gamma$  of a regular curve on the surface,  $\kappa_n$  (defined in question 2) is  $\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle'$ . (You can use part (a) of the previous question to relate it with  $W_{p,S}$ ). This will be an alternative proof for why  $\kappa_n$  depends only on L, M, and N.