Exercise sheet 1

Theory of Computation, IDC204

- 1. Verify the following using truth tables: a) $P \lor P \land Q = P$ b) $P \land (P \lor Q) = P$ c) $P \lor (\neg P \land Q) = P \lor Q$
- 2. Find a boolean expression for the following truth table in terms of \land , \lor , and \neg and then simplify it:

P	Q	R	
T	Т	Т	Т
T	T	F	Т
T	F	T	\mathbf{F}
T	F	F	\mathbf{F}
F	T	T	\mathbf{F}
F	T	F	\mathbf{F}
F	F	T	\mathbf{F}
F	F	F	F

- 3. Write truth tables for the following expressions and based on that try to guess an equivalent but simpler expression (involving fewer terms):
 - (a) $P \wedge P$
 - (b) $P \vee P$
 - (c) $P \wedge (P \vee Q)$
 - (d) $P \vee (P \wedge Q)$
 - (e) $T \wedge P$
 - (f) $F \wedge P$
 - (g) $T \vee P$
 - (h) $F \vee P$
 - (i) $P \wedge \neg P$
 - (j) $P \vee \neg P$
- 4. Verify the following using truth tables:
 - (a) $P \wedge Q = Q \wedge P$
 - (b) $P \vee Q = Q \vee P$
 - (c) $P \wedge (Q \vee R) = (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$
 - (d) $P \lor (Q \land R) = (P \lor Q) \land (P \lor R)$
 - (e) $\neg (P \land Q) = \neg P \lor \neg Q$

- (f) $\neg (P \lor Q) = \neg P \land \neg Q$
- 5. If you prove an equality of boolean expressions, for example, $\neg(P \land Q) = \neg P \lor \neg Q$, then if you replace every \lor with \land , every \land with \lor , every T with F, and every F with T in that expression, you get a new equality of expressions called the "dual" which is also guaranteed to be true (in this example, $\neg(P \lor Q) = \neg P \land \neg Q$ is the dual of the example).
 - (a) Identify any pairs of dual expressions in the previous exercise. Therefore, you need only have verified one per pair.
 - (b) Why do you think this principle of duality holds?
- 6. Now that you have verified many simple boolean equations using truth tables, try to use various combinations of them to simplify the following boolean expressions:
 - (a) $(\neg P \lor \neg Q) \land (\neg P \lor Q)$
 - (b) $\neg P \land \neg (P \lor Q)$
- 7. This exercise and the following one revise something that was discussed in the lecture. See if you can answer it yourself by at most looking at the hints. Define the NOR operator by the rule, P NOR Q is true if and only if P and Q are both false.
 - (a) Write a truth table for NOR.
 - (b) Find an expression for P NOR Q in terms of \wedge , \vee , and \neg .
 - (c) Prove that $\neg P$ can be defined completely in terms of NOR *(Hint: since NOR takes two arguments but $\neg P$ involves just one variable, there is only one thing you can do!).*
 - (d) Prove that $P \vee Q$ can be expressed using only the NOR operator. *(Hint: \vee is the negation of NOR and part c. shows how to express negation in terms of NOR).*
 - (e) Prove that $P \wedge Q$ can be expressed using only the NOR operator, and therefore, by c. and d. you can express any boolean function using only the NOR operator. *(Hint: compare the truth tables of \wedge and NOR. How do you get one from the other?)*
- 8. During the lecture we discussed a method to derive a boolean expression from its truth table, by looking at the rows whose output is T. Can you come up with an analogous method that involves looking at the rows whose output is F?
- 9. Define $P \Longrightarrow Q$ (i.e. "P implies Q") so that it is False only when P is true but Q is false.
 - (a) Write a truth table for the \implies operator and derive an expression in terms of only \land , \lor , and \neg .
 - (b) Prove that the earlier expression is equal to $\neg P \lor Q$.
 - (c) Prove that $P \wedge Q \implies P$ is always True

- (d) Prove that $(P \implies Q) \land (Q \implies R) \implies (P \implies R)$ is always True
- 10. Define $P \iff Q$ (i.e. "P implies Q") so that it is True only when P and Q are either both True or both False.
 - (a) Write a truth table for the \iff operator and derive an expression in terms of only \land , \lor , and \neg .
 - (b) Prove that $(P \implies Q) \land (Q \implies P) \implies (P \iff Q)$ is always True