



Observe that both arguments are the same in the first fundamental form in the integrals for arc length



To prove the converse, i.e. that arc-length preserving functions preserve the first fundamental form,

Polar identity

we will need to highlight an algebraic property satisfied by the first fundamental form

Polar identity

The idea is simple:

Polar identity

the distributivity of the first fundamental form can be used to relate terms

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

whose arguments are different, with those whose arguments are the same.

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

Notice that since $\langle v, w \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle$, we have only one term with different arguments

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

allowing us to express it entirely in terms of those that have both arguments the same

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Notice that we only used two properties of the first fundamental form:

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

distributivity and symmetry

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

Luckily, these are the same properties the pull back also satisfies (easy exercise!)

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^* \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^* \langle v, v \rangle + 2f^* \langle v, w \rangle + f^* \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^* \langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^* \langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^* \langle v, v \rangle - f^* \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

and once again we can express the mixed term in terms of the one which has both terms equal

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v ,

All this proves that if the two forms are equal when tested on pairs of same vectors

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

they will be equal even when applied to pairs where the vectors are different

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w



Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

So if the first fundamental forms are different, the integrands must be different

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

We can assume this, because if it is strictly smaller, we can proceed similarly

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

and instead concludes that this difference is strictly negative

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

Remember that tangent vectors are defined as velocity vectors of some curve on the surface

Polar identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle \\ f^*\langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

so we can rephrase this in terms of a function from an interval to \mathbb{R} being strictly positive at some point

Polar identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle \\ f^*\langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

Continuity will never allow only one point to be strictly positive

Polar identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle \\ f^*\langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

some interval around it must also be strictly positive even if the interval is very small

Polar identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle \\ f^*\langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

At least in that interval the integral is forced to be positive

Polar identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle \\ f^*\langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

and the difference of integrals is positive

Polar identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle \\ f^*\langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt &> 0 \\ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt &> \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt\end{aligned}$$

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

and the two integrals are forced to be different

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$$
$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$$

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ



Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

arc length of $f \circ \gamma$ from t_1 to $t_2 \neq$ arc-length of γ from t_1 to t_2

And we have, therefore, proved the converse

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$$

arc length of $f \circ \gamma$ from t_1 to $t_2 \neq$ arc-length of γ from t_1 to t_2

If \langle, \rangle and $f^*\langle, \rangle$ fail to be equal for even one point,

Polar identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle \\ \langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle &= f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle \\ f^*\langle v, w \rangle &= \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}\end{aligned}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$$

arc length of $f \circ \gamma$ from t_1 to $t_2 \neq$ arc-length of γ from t_1 to t_2

then f must fail to preserve the arc-length of some curve

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$
$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$
$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$

arc length of $f \circ \gamma$ from t_1 to $t_2 \neq$ arc-length of γ from t_1 to t_2

Alternatively, if a function preserves the arc-lengths of all curves,

Polar identity

$$\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle - \langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$f^*\langle v + w, v + w \rangle = f^*\langle v, v \rangle + 2f^*\langle v, w \rangle + f^*\langle w, w \rangle$$

$$f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{f^*\langle v+w, v+w \rangle - f^*\langle v, v \rangle - f^*\langle w, w \rangle}{2}$$

If, $f^*\langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$ for all v , then $f^*\langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all v, w

If $f^*\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle_p$ then $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p \neq \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$ for some \mathbf{v}

Assume, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p$

then, $f^*\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p - \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_p > 0$ for some p

Let $p = \gamma(t_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\gamma}(t_0)$ for some γ

So, $f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t_0), \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \rangle_{\gamma(t_0)} > 0$ for some t_0

By continuity,

$f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p > 0$ in some interval $[t_1, t_2]$

So, $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p - \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > 0$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f^*\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt > \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle_p dt$$

arc length of $f \circ \gamma$ from t_1 to $t_2 \neq$ arc-length of γ from t_1 to t_2

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \dot{\gamma}_1(t)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2 = \dot{\gamma}_2(t)$$

$$D_p(f)\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{d}{dt}(f(\gamma_1(t)))$$

$$D_p(f)\mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{d}{dt}(f(\gamma_2(t)))$$

then it also preserves the first fundamental form

Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$



Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$



Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$

$$\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v} := \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) - (\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))) \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))$$



Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$

$$\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v} := \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) - (\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))) \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))$$

Definition. \mathbf{v} is parallel along γ if $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}(t) = 0$



Covariant derivative

$$\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow S$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}(S)$$

$$\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v} := \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) - (\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))) \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\gamma(t_0))$$

Definition. \mathbf{v} is parallel along γ if $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}(t) = 0$

γ is a geodesic if and only if $\nabla_\gamma \dot{\gamma} = 0$

In terms of a surface patch:

$$\gamma(t) = \sigma(x(t), y(t))$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t))$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) = (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))'
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t)))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$ depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$ depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$ depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

Corollary. The geodesic curvature of a curve on a surface depends only on the first fundamental form.

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$ depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

Corollary. The geodesic curvature of a curve on a surface depends only on the first fundamental form.

Proposition. $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is a parallel vector field along γ if and only if $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ satisfy the following first order differential equation:

...

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{v}}(t) &= (\alpha(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)))' + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)))' \\
&= \alpha'(t)\sigma_x(x(t), y(t)) + \alpha(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{xx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{xy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&\quad + \beta'(t)\sigma_y(x(t), y(t)) + \beta(t)(x'(t)\sigma_{yx}(x(t), y(t)) + y'(t)\sigma_{yy}(x(t), y(t))) \\
&= \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. $\nabla_\gamma \mathbf{v}$ depends only on the first fundamental form of the surface

Corollary. The geodesic curvature of a curve on a surface depends only on the first fundamental form.

Proposition. $\mathbf{v}(t)$ is a parallel vector field along γ if and only if $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ satisfy the following first order differential equation:

...

Corollary. Any vector \mathbf{v}_0 at $\gamma(t_0)$ can be extended to exactly one tangent vector field $\mathbf{v}(t)$ along γ .