

# Exercise sheet 5

Curves and Surfaces, MTH201

1. Compute  $\ddot{\mathbf{N}}_s(t)$  as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{T}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{N}_s(t)$ .
2. For a regular plane curve parametrized by  $\gamma(t)$ , the curve parametrized by  $\gamma_c(t) := \gamma(t) + c\mathbf{N}_s(t)$  for some fixed number  $c$ , is said to be "parallel to the curve parametrized by  $\gamma(t)$ ".
  - (a) What is the curve parallel to a circle of radius  $r$ ?
  - (b) Prove that the  $\dot{\gamma}_c(t)$  is a scalar multiple of  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ .
  - (c) Compute the signed curvature of  $\gamma_c(t)$  in terms of the signed curvature function,  $k(t)$ , for  $\gamma$ . You will need to assume that  $k(t) \neq 1/c$ .  
Hint: Just as in the previous exercise, it may be useful to express  $\dot{\gamma}_c(t)$  in terms of  $\mathbf{N}_s(t)$  and  $\mathbf{T}(t)$ , where  $\mathbf{N}_s(t)$  and  $\mathbf{T}(t)$  are the unit normal and unit tangent vectors, respectively, of  $\gamma(t)$  and compute the coefficients by taking the dot product with appropriate vectors.
3. If a curve parametrized by  $\gamma$  has signed curvature function  $\kappa_s(t)$ , what is the signed curvature of the curve parametrized by  $c\gamma(t)$ , where  $c$  is some constant?
4. Prove that for a space curve parametrized by a *unit-speed parametrization*,  $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbf{N}(t) := \frac{\dot{\gamma}(t)}{\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{T}}(t)}{\|\dot{\mathbf{T}}(t)\|}$  is a unit vector which is orthogonal to the unit tangent vector  $\mathbf{T}(t) = \frac{\dot{\gamma}(t)}{\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|}$ . Note, here  $\kappa$  is the curvature and \*not\* the signed curvature, which only makes sense for plane curves. Note also that all this makes sense only if  $\gamma$  is regular and  $\kappa(t) \neq 0$  (it appears in the denominator!)
5. Consider a (plane) curve parametrized by unit speed parametrization  $\gamma : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and a point on that curve  $p = \gamma(t_0)$ . We will find a circle which best approximates the curve at  $p$ , in the sense defined below. This will give another perspective on curvature. To solve this exercise, you need to be familiar with using derivatives to find out local maxima or minima.
  - (a) Prove that if a circle is tangent to the curve defined by  $\gamma$  at  $p$  ("tangent" means that the circle touches the curve and the circle's tangent line and the curve's tangent line are the same at  $p$ ), then its center must lie on the line containing the vector  $\mathbf{N}_s(t)$ . For this and the part below you may assume that a normal line of a circle contains its center.

- (b) For some real number  $r$ , let  $C_r$  denote the circle of radius  $|r|$ , with its center at the point  $p + r\mathbf{N}_s(t)$ . Why is it tangent to the curve at  $p$ ? Note that  $C_r$  divides the plane into an interior and exterior component and  $r$  may be negative, in which case the center is in a direction opposite to  $\mathbf{N}_s(t)$ .
- (c) Prove that a point  $\gamma(t)$  avoids the interior component of  $C_r$  if and only if  $d(t) := \|\gamma(t) - (p + r\mathbf{N}_s(t))\|^2 \geq r^2$  and avoids the exterior component if and only if  $d(t) \leq r^2$  (it always intersects the circle at  $p$ , so at  $t_0$  you get  $r^2$ ). The square is only to allow us to express it as a dot product. Since  $d(t)$  always positive, taking the square is harmless.
- (d) We say that  $C_r$  is too small if, at least in the vicinity of  $p$ , every point on the curve defined by  $\gamma$  avoids the interior of  $C_r$ , i.e. there is an  $\epsilon$  so that for any  $t$  inside the interval  $(t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon)$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  avoids the interior of  $C_r$ . Use the previous part to rewrite this in terms of the function  $d(t)$ , which is defined above. Why does that mean that  $d$  has a local minimum at  $t_0$ ? Remember that a function has a local minimum at  $t_0$  if for  $t$  in the vicinity\* of  $t_0$ ,  $f(t) \geq f(t_0)$ .
- (e) We say that  $C_r$  is too big if, at least in the vicinity of  $p$ , every point on the curve defined by  $\gamma$  avoids the exterior of  $C_r$ , i.e. there is an  $\epsilon$  so that for any  $t$  inside the interval  $(t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon)$ ,  $\gamma(t)$  avoids the exterior of  $C_r$ . Use the previous part to rewrite this in terms of the function  $d(t)$ , which is defined above. Why does that mean that  $d$  has a local maximum at  $t_0$ ?
- (f) Prove that no matter what  $r$  is,  $d'(t_0) = 0$ . (By now you should be in the habit of expressing such derivatives in terms of that orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{N}_s(t)$  and  $\mathbf{T}(t)$  so that you can easily identify which coefficients cancel).
- (g) Remember that a function  $f$  has a local maximum at  $t_0$  if  $f'(t_0) = 0$  and  $f''(t_0) < 0$ ; it has a local minimum at  $t_0$  if  $f'(t_0) = 0$  and  $f''(t_0) > 0$ . Compute  $d''(t)$  and use parts d) and e) above to show that  $C_r$  would be too big if  $r > 1/\kappa(t_0)$  and too small if  $r < 1/\kappa(t_0)$ . Therefore, a circle of radius  $1/\kappa(t_0)$  may be thought of as best approximating the curve at  $p$ . Such a circle is called an *osculating circle* and its radius is  $1/\kappa(t_0)$  is called the radius of curvature.
6. Let  $\gamma : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a regular *unit speed* parametrization of **space** curves. As with plane curves, we can define  $\mathbf{T}(t) := \dot{\gamma}(t)$ .
- For a plane curve, there are only two unit vectors normal to  $\mathbf{T}(t)$  for a given  $t$ , but now there are infinitely many (why?). So for space curves, we choose the “normal” in the direction of the acceleration:
- (a) Assume that the (ordinary, *not* signed) curvature,  $\kappa(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t$ . Show that  $\mathbf{N}(t) := \frac{\ddot{\gamma}(t)}{\kappa(t)} = \frac{\ddot{\mathbf{T}}}{\|\ddot{\mathbf{T}}\|}$  is a non-zero unit vector orthogonal to  $\mathbf{T}(t)$ . Why is  $\mathbf{N}(t)$  smooth?
- (b) Define  $\mathbf{B}(t) := \mathbf{T}(t) \times \mathbf{N}(t)$ . Prove that  $\mathbf{B}(t)$  is perpendicular to both  $\mathbf{T}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{N}(t)$ . Why is it smooth?

- (c) By the previous part,  $\{\mathbf{T}(t), \mathbf{N}(t), \mathbf{B}(t)\}$  form an orthonormal basis. So,

$$\dot{\mathbf{T}}(t) = x_T(t)\mathbf{T}(t) + y_T(t)\mathbf{N}(t) + z_T(t)\mathbf{B}(t)$$

for some  $x_T(t), y_T(t), z_T(t)$ . What are these coefficients,  $x_T(t), y_T(t), z_T(t)$ ? This should be straightforward (follows from part 1).

- (d) Similarly,

$$\dot{\mathbf{N}}(t) = x_N(t)\mathbf{T}(t) + y_N(t)\mathbf{N}(t) + z_N(t)\mathbf{B}(t)$$

for some  $x_N(t), y_N(t), z_N(t)$ . What are the coefficients,  $x_N(t)$  and  $y_N(t)$ ?  $z_N(t)$  will need to be done later. (*Hint:* As with plane curves, figuring out the coefficient involves taking an appropriate dot product. Sometimes, product rule may help you to shift the derivative and relate it with a known dot product. Remember that unit vector fields are orthogonal to their derivatives!)

- (e) Similarly,

$$\dot{\mathbf{B}}(t) = x_B(t)\mathbf{T}(t) + y_B(t)\mathbf{N}(t) + z_B(t)\mathbf{B}(t)$$

for some  $x_B(t), y_B(t), z_B(t)$ . Show that  $x_B(t) = 0$  and  $z_B(t) = 0$ . In other words  $\dot{\mathbf{B}}(t)$  is always a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{N}(t)$  (which is denoted  $y_B(t)$  above).

- (f) Show that  $y_B(t) = -z_N(t)$ . So the only two unknown coefficients are negatives of each other! Let us denote  $-y_B(t)$  by  $\tau(t)$  (the negative sign is only a convention and simplifies some notation later).  $\tau(t)$  is a new term that cannot be written in terms of known terms like the curvature etc and is called the “torsion” at  $t$ . We have shown that the derivatives of  $\mathbf{T}(t)$ ,  $\mathbf{N}(t)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}(t)$  can be written in terms of the basis  $\{\mathbf{T}(t), \mathbf{N}(t), \mathbf{B}(t)\}$  and the coefficients depend only on the curvature or the torsion.
7. Prove that the torsion  $\tau(t)$ , defined in the previous question, of a curve is the constant 0 if and only if the curve lies on a plane.
8. If  $\mathbf{v}_1(t), \mathbf{v}_2(t), \mathbf{v}_3(t)$ , denote some vector fields which are *not necessarily*  $\mathbf{T}(t), \mathbf{N}(t), \mathbf{B}(t)$ , but nevertheless satisfy the same equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{v}}_1 &= \kappa(t)\mathbf{v}_2(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_2 &= -\kappa(t)\mathbf{v}_1(t) + \tau(t)\mathbf{v}_3(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_3 &= -\tau(t)\mathbf{v}_2(t)\end{aligned}$$

Show that  $\mathbf{v}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}_j(t)$  are constant for any  $i, j$  (*Hint:* Product rule, of course!). So, the angles and magnitudes remain the same for all  $t$ . We will see the significance of this exercise during the lecture.

9. Let  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  denote a unit vector field. Prove that there is always a unit speed parametrization,  $\gamma$  so that  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \mathbf{v}(t)$ .

10. Consider two parametrizations,  $\gamma_1 : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\gamma_2 : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  (note that they have the same domains). Denote the unit tangent, unit normal, and unit binormal of  $\gamma_1$  by  $\mathbf{T}_1(t)$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_1(t)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_1(t)$ . Similarly, denote the unit tangent, unit normal, and unit binormal of  $\gamma_2$  by  $\mathbf{T}_2(t)$ ,  $\mathbf{N}_2(t)$ , and  $\mathbf{B}_2(t)$ . Assume also that both parametrizations have exactly the same curvature and torsion at  $t$ , i.e.  $\kappa(t)$  and  $\tau(t)$  and  $t$ . Show that the expression  $\mathbf{T}_1(t) \cdot \mathbf{T}_2(t) + \mathbf{N}_1(t) \cdot \mathbf{N}_2(t) + \mathbf{B}_1(t) \cdot \mathbf{B}_2(t)$  is constant.