

Remember that replacement rules characterize the operators

A very useful observation is that we only need the replacement rules and Modus Ponens

The other inference rules can be derived using only these

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

But first let us use this notation for a proof that involves only Modus Ponens and replacement rules (no inference ru

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem  $1p \vdash q \text{ iff } p \vdash_* q$

Using this notation we can state our theorem as above

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

The proof will involve showing that each of the inference rules can be inferred from the replacement rules and Modus-Ponens.

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

We will take one example and leave the rest as exercises

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

From now on I will shift to the following notation for proofs



*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

First we state the two hypotheses

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0 |  $p \rightarrow q$  (Given)

which is this

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0		$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1		$\neg q$ (Given)

and this

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)

Notice here that the replacement rule is applied to sub expressions.

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)

Now this is a perfect application of axiom FL3

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only

Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)

Applying Modus Ponens on it gives an expression

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only

Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

on which we can apply Modus Ponens again to get the conclusion

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

The other inference rules can also be proved similarly



*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

We now prove a lemma that will be useful later.

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

It essentially proves that if a statement is true,

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

it remains true even if you add some hypothesis

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

In terms of semantics, if  $q$  is true, then  $p \rightarrow q$  is also true

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only

Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

because if the right hand side of  $\rightarrow$  is true, then the implication is true

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only

Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

If we have a proof of  $q$

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only

Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  *iff*  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

we can modify it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  implies  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.



*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  implies  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  implies  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1 \mid \dots (\dots)$

A proof of  $q$  would have many steps

Notation:  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

□

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  implies  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$

of which the last line would be  $q$

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  implies  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)

□

Now we simply use FL1

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  implies  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

□

to give what we need using Modus Ponens

*Notation:*  $p \vdash_* q$  if  $q$  follows from  $p$  using only  
Modus-Ponens and replacement rules

Theorem 1  $p \vdash q$  iff  $p \vdash_* q$

Proof.

Lemma 2  $p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vdash_* \neg p$

0	$p \rightarrow q$ (Given)
1	$\neg q$ (Given)
2	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p$ (Double negation)
3	$\neg\neg q \rightarrow \neg\neg p \rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$ (FL3)
4	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ (MP on 2 & 3)
5	$\neg q$ (MP on 1 & 4)

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  implies  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ ,

□

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$(\dots)$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)	
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )	

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$(\dots)$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$	(FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$	(MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$



### Theorem 3 (Direct proof)

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

because it seems so obvious.

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

If we can deduce  $q$  from  $p$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Then we have essentially proved that  $p \rightarrow q$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Observe that while a proof of  $q$  given  $p$  sounds like  $p \rightarrow q$ , the two are very different

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

A proof is a sequence of statements following some rules

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$(\dots)$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$	(FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$	(MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

On the other hand,  $\implies$  is an operator within our language of Propositional Logic

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\vdots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

which can be a statement in a proof

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

But it is not yet clear why a proof that  $q$  *follows* from  $p$



Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

also means that  $q$  is *implied* by  $p$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

But it ought to be true if our idea of a formal proof makes sense

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

That it does follow from the axioms and rules

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Proof.

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

adds further weight to our axioms and rules.

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

**Proof.**

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$(\dots)$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$	(FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$	(MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

We will use induction.

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

**Proof.**

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$	(FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$	(MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Or rather we will use a very specific version of induction

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

**Proof.**

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

because one can rightfully argue that induction needs justification

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$(\dots)$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)	
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )	

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

However, all we will prove is that we can reduce the proof for proof with  $k$  steps



Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

**Proof.**

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$(\dots)$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)	
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )	

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

to those with  $k - 1$  steps

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Right now, do not worry about the justification of induction

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

and focus on how it can be used to prove what we want

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Let us first consider the case where in the proof of  $p \vdash q$ ,

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

the last statement, i.e.  $q$ , follows from Modus Ponens

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	$\dots (\dots)$
$n$	$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

(rather than a replacement rule)

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

**Proof.**

$n - 1$	$\vdots$	$(\dots)$
$n$	$q$	$(\dots)$
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$	(FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$	(MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0 |  $p$  (Given)

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$		$\dots (\dots)$
$n$		$q (\dots)$
$n + 1$		$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$		$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

So we have some proof of  $q$  beginning with the given  $p$



Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0		$p$ (Given)
...		... (...)
...		...
...		... (...)

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$		... (...)
$n$		$q$ (...)
$n + 1$		$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$		$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

Now we have some other intermediate steps

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0		$p$ (Given)
...		... (...)
...		... (...)
...		...
$k$		$q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$		... (...)
$n$		$q$ (...)
$n + 1$		$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$		$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Eventually proving  $q$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0		$p$ (Given)
...		... (...)
...		... (...)
...		...
$k$		$q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$		... (...)
$n$		$q$ (...)
$n + 1$		$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$		$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Since we are assuming in this case that it follows from Modus Ponens

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0		$p$ (Given)
...		... (...)
...		... (...)
...		...
$k$		$q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$		... (...)
$n$		$q$ (...)
$n + 1$		$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$		$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

there will be some intermediate steps that Modus Ponens is used on

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	... (...)
...	... (...)
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	... (...)
$k$	$q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	... (...)
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

One of those steps, say the  $n$ th, will be of the form  $r \rightarrow q$  for some  $q$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	... (...)
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	... (...)
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	... (...)
$k$	$q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	... (...)
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

while the  $m$ th statement would be  $r$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	... (...)
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	... (...)
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	... (...)
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

*By the induction hypothesis*

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	... (...)
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

so that Modus Ponens can be applied to it.

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	... (...)
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	... (...)
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	... (...)
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	... (...)
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

Notice that the steps from  $p$  to  $r$  is fewer than  $k$  steps



Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	... (...)
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	... (...)
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	... (...)
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	... (...)
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

So we do have a proof that  $\vdash p \rightarrow r$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

because there are fewer than  $k$  steps in the subproof that  $p \vdash r$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

and we have assumed that for proofs of fewer than  $k$  steps,  $p \vdash r$  implies  $\vdash p \rightarrow q$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

i.e. if the proof that  $r$  follows from  $p$  uses fewer than  $k$  steps

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the *induction hypothesis*

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

then we (by induction hypothesis) we have a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens  $\square$

Similarly notice that the steps from  $p$  to  $r \rightarrow q$  is fewer than  $k$  steps

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$
...	...
$k_2$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

So again by induction we can also infer this

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$
...	...
$k_2$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
$k_2 + 1$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))$ (FL2)

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

Now we are ready to use FL3



Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$
...	...
$k_2$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
$k_2 + 1$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))$ (FL2)
$k_2 + 2$	$p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 3$ )

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

Notice that FL3 is designed to allow us to use Modus Ponens

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$
...	...
$k_2$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
$k_2 + 1$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))$ (FL2)
$k_2 + 2$	$p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 3$ )

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

to give us  $p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the induction hypothesis

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$
...	...
$k_2$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
$k_2 + 1$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))$ (FL2)
$k_2 + 2$	$p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 3$ )
$k_2 + 3$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 4$ )

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

Which in turn is precisely what Modus Ponens needs to give us what we seek

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the *induction hypothesis*

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$
...	...
$k_2$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
$k_2 + 1$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))$ (FL2)
$k_2 + 2$	$p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 3$ )
$k_2 + 3$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 4$ )

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

In the next lecture we will consider the case where the last step used a replacement rule

Theorem 3 (Direct proof)  $p \vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

Lemma 4  $\vdash_* q$  *implies*  $\vdash_* p \rightarrow q$

*Proof* Assume true for proofs of length  $\leq k$

Case 1: Concluded  $q$  using Modus Ponens

0	$p$ (Given)
...	...
$m$	$r$ (...)
...	...
$n$	$r \rightarrow q$ (...)
...	...
$k$	$q$ (MP on $m$ & $n$ )

By the *induction hypothesis*

...	...
$k_1$	$p \rightarrow r$
...	...
$k_2$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
$k_2 + 1$	$p \rightarrow (r \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q))$ (FL2)
$k_2 + 2$	$p \rightarrow r \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 3$ )
$k_2 + 3$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $k + 1, k + 4$ )

*Proof.*

$n - 1$	...
$n$	$q$ (...)
$n + 1$	$q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (FL1)
$n + 2$	$p \rightarrow q$ (MP on $n$ & $n + 1$ )

So, assuming a proof of  $q$ , we can extend it to a proof of  $p \rightarrow q$  by FL1 and Modus Ponens □

We will also look at the starting cases of the induction hypotheses