

A World Bank Group Flagship Report

Doing Business 2017

Equal Opportunity for All



Comparing Business Regulation
for Domestic Firms in **190** Economies



WORLD BANK GROUP

© 2017 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank
1818 H Street NW, Washington DC 20433
Telephone: 202-473-1000; Internet: www.worldbank.org

Some rights reserved
12 3 4 19 18 17 16

This work is a product of the staff of The World Bank with external contributions. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this work do not necessarily reflect the views of The World Bank, its Board of Executive Directors, or the governments they represent. The World Bank does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this work. The boundaries, colors, denominations, and other information shown on any map in this work do not imply any judgment on the part of The World Bank concerning the legal status of any territory or the endorsement or acceptance of such boundaries.

Nothing herein shall constitute or be considered to be a limitation upon or waiver of the privileges and immunities of The World Bank, all of which are specifically reserved.

Rights and Permissions



This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO license (CC BY 3.0 IGO)
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo>. Under the Creative Commons Attribution license, you are free to copy, distribute, transmit, and adapt this work, including for commercial purposes, under the following conditions:

Attribution—Please cite the work as follows: World Bank. 2017. *Doing Business 2017: Equal Opportunity for All*. Washington, DC: World Bank. DOI: 10.1596/978-1-4648-0948-4. License: Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 3.0 IGO

Translations—If you create a translation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution:
This translation was not created by The World Bank and should not be considered an official World Bank translation.
The World Bank shall not be liable for any content or error in this translation.

Adaptations—If you create an adaptation of this work, please add the following disclaimer along with the attribution:
This is an adaptation of an original work by The World Bank. Views and opinions expressed in the adaptation are the sole responsibility of the author or authors of the adaptation and are not endorsed by The World Bank.

Third-party content—The World Bank does not necessarily own each component of the content contained within the work. The World Bank therefore does not warrant that the use of any third-party-owned individual component or part contained in the work will not infringe on the rights of those third parties. The risk of claims resulting from such infringement rests solely with you. If you wish to re-use a component of the work, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that re-use and to obtain permission from the copyright owner. Examples of components can include, but are not limited to, tables, figures, or images.

All queries on rights and licenses should be addressed to World Bank Publications, The World Bank Group, 1818 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20433, USA; fax: 202-522-2625; e-mail: pubrights@worldbank.org.

ISBN (paper): 978-1-4648-0948-4
ISBN (electronic): 978-1-4648-0984-2
DOI: 10.1596/978-1-4648-0948-4
ISSN: 1729-2638

Cover design: Corporate Visions, Inc.

Doing Business 2017

Equal Opportunity for All



COMPARING BUSINESS REGULATION FOR DOMESTIC FIRMS IN **190** ECONOMIES

A World Bank Group Flagship Report



Resources on the *Doing Business* website

Current features

News on the *Doing Business* project
<http://www.doingbusiness.org>

Rankings

How economies rank—from 1 to 190
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/rankings>

Data

All the data for 190 economies—topic rankings, indicator values, lists of regulatory procedures and details underlying indicators
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data>

Reports

Access to *Doing Business* reports as well as subnational and regional reports, case studies and customized economy and regional profiles
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/reports>

Methodology

The methodologies and research papers underlying *Doing Business*
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology>

Research

Abstracts of papers on *Doing Business* topics and related policy issues
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/research>

***Doing Business* reforms**

Short summaries of DB2017 business regulation reforms and lists of reforms since DB2006
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/reforms>

Historical data

Customized data sets since DB2004
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/custom-query>

Law library

Online collection of business laws and regulations relating to business
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/law-library>

Contributors

More than 12,500 specialists in 190 economies who participate in *Doing Business*
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/contributors/doing-business>

Entrepreneurship data

Data on new business density (number of newly registered companies per 1,000 working-age people) for 136 economies
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/entrepreneurship>

Distance to frontier

Data benchmarking 190 economies to the frontier in regulatory practice and a distance to frontier calculator
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/distance-to-frontier>

Information on good practices

Showing where the many good practices identified by *Doing Business* have been adopted
<http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/good-practice>



Contents

- iv Foreword
- 1 Overview
- 13 About *Doing Business*
- 25 Reforming the Business Environment in 2015/16

Case studies

- 44 Getting Electricity
Factors affecting the reliability of electricity supply
- 52 Getting Credit: Legal Rights
Two approaches to developing an integrated secured transactions regime
- 58 Getting Credit: Credit Information
Casting a wide net to expand financial inclusion
- 65 Protecting Minority Investors
Achieving sound corporate governance
- 72 Paying Taxes
Assessing postfiling processes
- 79 Trading Across Borders
Technology gains in trade facilitation

- 87 Annex: Labor Market Regulation
What can we learn from Doing Business data?
- 96 Annex: Selling to the Government
Why public procurement matters
- 102 References
- 114 Data Notes
- 164 Distance to Frontier and Ease of Doing Business Ranking
- 170 Summaries of *Doing Business* Reforms in 2015/16
- 188 Country Tables
- 252 Labor Market Regulation Data
- 272 Acknowledgments

■ *Doing Business 2017* is the 14th in a series of annual reports investigating the regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. *Doing Business* presents quantitative indicators on business regulation and the protection of property rights that can be compared across 190 economies—from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe—and over time.

■ *Doing Business* measures aspects of regulation affecting 11 areas of the life of a business. Ten of these areas are included in this year's ranking on the ease of doing business: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts, and resolving insolvency. *Doing Business* also measures features of labor market regulation, which is not included in the ranking.

■ Data in *Doing Business 2017* are current as of June 1, 2016. The indicators are used to analyze economic outcomes and identify what reforms of business regulation have worked, where and why.



Foreword

Now in its 14th edition, the *Doing Business* report demonstrates the power of a simple idea: measure and report the actual effect of a government policy.

In the summer of 1983, a group of researchers working with Hernando de Soto got all the permits required to open a small garment business on the outskirts of Lima, Peru. Their goal was to measure how long this took. I read de Soto's book, *The Other Path*, decades ago, but I was so astonished by the answer it reported that I remember it today: 289 days.

De Soto's conjecture, which turned out to be right, was that measuring and reporting would create pressure for improvements in the efficiency of government. In the foreword to the revised edition of his book that he wrote in 2002, de Soto reports that because of changes to regulations and procedures, the same business could get all the required permits in a single day.

In a letter published in the Winter 2006 issue of the *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Simeon Djankov describes how de Soto's idea grew into this report. When Joseph Stiglitz was the World Bank Chief Economist, he selected the topic and picked the team for *The World Development Report 2002: Building Institutions for Markets*. Djankov, who was a member of this team, reached out to Andrei Shleifer, a professor at Harvard, who had done research on the effects that different legal systems had on market development. Shleifer and co-authors agreed to work on some background papers for the *World*

Development Report that would examine new data on such processes as getting the permits to start a new business that could be compared across countries. In 2003, this data collection effort yielded the first *Doing Business* report, which presented five indicators for 133 countries.

The *Doing Business* report has had the same effect on policy in many economies that de Soto's initial effort had in Peru. In 2005, it was possible to get the permits to start a business in less than 20 days in only 41 economies. In 2016, this is possible in 130 economies. This history should give us the optimism and impatience to keep launching new ideas and to keep striving for better results. The progress to date should give us optimism. The large amount that remains to be done should make us impatient.

Doing Business 2017 highlights the large disparities between high- and low-income economies and the higher barriers that women face to starting a business or getting a job compared to men. In 155 economies women do not have the same legal rights as men, much less the supporting environment that is vital to promote entrepreneurship.¹ *Doing Business 2017* gives prominence to these issues, expanding three indicators—starting a business, registering property and enforcing contracts—to account for gender discriminatory practices. But why the gender focus?

Research shows that gender gaps exist in women's access to economic opportunities. While women represent 49.6% of the world's population, they account

for only 40.8% of the formal workforce. In emerging markets between 31 and 38% of formal small and medium-size enterprises have at least one woman owner, but their average growth rate is significantly lower than that of male-owned firms.² Gender gaps in women's entrepreneurship and labor force participation account for an estimated total income loss of 27% in the Middle East and North Africa, a 19% loss in South Asia, a 14% loss in Latin America and the Caribbean and a 10% loss in Europe.³ Globally, if all women were to be excluded from the labor force income per capita would be reduced by almost 40%.⁴

To capture ways in which governments set additional hurdles for women entrepreneurs, *Doing Business 2017* considers for the first time a number of gender-specific scenarios. The area of company incorporation, for example, now explores whether companies owned by women have the same registration requirements as companies owned by men. It finds that in some economies women must submit additional paperwork or authorizations from their husbands. In the case of property transfers there is a new focus on property ownership and how different sets of rights between men and women affect female entrepreneurs' access to credit. Finally, when it comes to gender equality in court, the enforcing contracts indicator now highlights places where a woman's testimony is given less weight in court than a man's, thereby putting her at a fundamental disadvantage in commercial dealings. *Doing Business* now incorporates these considerations to better reflect the ease of doing business for the widest range of entrepreneurs in a given economy, female entrepreneurs included. The adjustments build on several years of methodology development and cross-country data collection by the *Women, Business and the Law* project, housed in the Global Indicators Group.

Doing Business 2017 also contains a discussion of the role business regulatory reform may play in the global goal to reduce income inequality. Of course

there are many determinants of income inequality, including economic growth patterns, the levels and the quality of investments in human capital and the prevalence of bribery and corruption, among many others. Yet some are linked to the regulatory environment for entrepreneurship. Potential entrepreneurs are often discouraged from setting up businesses if the requirements to do so are overly burdensome. When this is the case entrepreneurs often resort to operating within the informal sector which has less protection for labor conditions and is more vulnerable to economic shocks. Having simple, transparent rules for registering a business, paying taxes, getting credit and registering property helps create a level playing field for doing business. Evidence from 175 economies reveals that economies with more stringent entry regulations often experience higher levels of income inequality as measured by the Gini index.⁵

At its core, *Doing Business* seeks to provide quantitative measures of business regulation in 11 regulatory areas that are central to how the private sector functions. A growing body of literature shows that government action to create a sound, predictable regulatory environment is central to whether or not economies perform well and whether that performance is sustainable in the long run.⁶ Regulation can aid to correct and prevent traditional types of market failures, such as negative externalities, incomplete markets and information asymmetries. However, regulation can also be used as an intervention when market transactions have led to socially unacceptable outcomes such as improper wealth distribution and inequality.⁷ Governments have the ability to design and enforce regulation to help ensure the existence of a level playing field for citizens and economic actors within a society.⁸

Business regulations are a specific type of regulation that can encourage growth and protect individuals in the private sector. The role of the private sector is now almost universally recognized as a

key driver of economic growth and development. Nearly 90% of employment (including formal and informal jobs) occurs within the private sector—this sector has abundant potential that should be harnessed.⁹ Governments can work together with the private sector to create a thriving business environment. More specifically, effective business regulation can encourage firm start-up and growth as well as minimize the chance for market distortions or failures. Of course, a discussion of the benefits of business regulation must be accompanied by a parallel discussion of its costs. Many businesses complain about the negative impacts of excessive regulation—or as it is more commonly known, "red tape." The answer is not always more regulation; rather, the more effective answer advocated by *Doing Business* is smarter regulation, that aims to strike a balance between the need to facilitate the activities of the private sector while providing adequate safeguards for the interests of consumers and other social groups.

More economies are taking up the challenge for reform. New Zealand is the economy with the highest ranking this year, taking over from Singapore. Sub-Saharan African economies are also improving their *Doing Business* scores at a rate that is three times that of OECD high-income economies. This rate of improvement reflects a low base, but is nonetheless encouraging. Indeed, over the past decade there has been more than a doubling in the number of countries in Sub-Saharan Africa that are engaged in one or more business regulatory reforms—a total of 37 economies in this year's report. The overarching goal of *Doing Business* is to help entrepreneurs in low-income economies face the easier business conditions of their counterparts in high-income economies. The data show persuasively that it is facilitating that convergence, and for that we should celebrate.

The story I told above about an idea launched in 1983 in Peru by Hernando de Soto reminds us that ideas gain power as they pass from person to person,

each of whom improves, extends, or challenges the contributions of others. In the best case, this process of exchange and improvement connects professors in universities, employees of organizations such as the World Bank, government officials, members of civil society organizations, business owners and ordinary citizens. Ideas about improving our institutions will themselves improve only if they keep circulating through this network of people.

We welcome your continued feedback on the *Doing Business* project. As I start in the role of the World Bank's Chief Economist,

I am astonished by how much room for improvement there is in everything that people do. This heightens my sense of impatient optimism about the potential for meeting the Bank's two goals: ending extreme poverty and promoting shared prosperity. *Doing Business* helps us make progress on one crucial strategy for meeting these goals—offering market opportunities to everyone. It should also inspire us to be more ambitious about how to carry out other complementary strategies. We depend on you, the reader, to help us shape, improve, extend and replicate this project. You keep its ideas in motion. You give them power.

NOTES

1. World Bank 2015a.
2. World Bank Group 2011.
3. Cuberes and Teignier 2014.
4. Cuberes and Teignier 2014.
5. McLaughlin and Stanley 2016.
6. Hall and Jones 1999; Rodrick 1998; Jalilian, Kirkpatrick and Parker 2006.
7. Parker and Kirkpatrick 2012.
8. Bufford 2006.
9. World Bank Group 2013.



Paul M. Romer
Chief Economist and
Senior Vice President
The World Bank
Washington, DC



Overview

The opportunity to find a job or develop one's business idea is crucial for most people's personal satisfaction. It creates a sense of belonging and purpose and can provide an income that delivers financial stability. It can raise people out of poverty or prevent them from falling into it.

But what does one need to find a job or to start a business, especially if that job or business is in the private sector? Many things are needed, but well-functioning markets—that are properly regulated so that distortions are minimized—are crucial. Governments play a pivotal role in establishing these well-functioning markets through regulation. If the land registry is not required to provide reliable information on who owns what, for example, the efficacy of the property market is undermined making it difficult for entrepreneurs to acquire property, put their ideas to practice and create new jobs. Without well-regulated credit information sharing systems it is difficult for credit markets to thrive and be more inclusive. A properly functioning tax system is also key. Where the burden of tax administration is heavy—making it difficult to comply with tax obligations—firms will have an incentive to avoid paying all taxes due or may opt for informality, thereby eroding the tax base.

To start a business, entrepreneurs need a business registration system that is efficient and accessible to all. *Doing Business* data on Argentina, for example, show that it takes 14 procedures to start a new business, double the global average of just

seven. So it is perhaps unsurprising that there are only 0.43 formal new businesses per 1,000 adults in Argentina. By contrast, in Georgia—where three procedures are sufficient to start a business—there are over 5.65 formal new businesses per 1,000 adults.

Failure is part of taking risks and innovating. For people to be willing to start a new business there needs to be a well-developed system in place for closing businesses that do not succeed. In addition to the complicated entry process in Argentina, if the business fails only 23 cents on the dollar are recovered after going through an insolvency proceeding. By contrast, in the Czech Republic the same business failure would have a recovery rate of 67 cents on the dollar. This higher recovery rate also helps to explain the larger number of new businesses in Prague (at 3.42 formal new businesses per 1,000 adults) than in Buenos Aires.

OLD AND NEW FACTORS COVERED IN DOING BUSINESS

Doing Business focuses on regulation that affects small and medium-size enterprises, operating in the largest business city of an economy, across 11 areas.¹ Ten of these areas—starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency—are included in the distance to frontier score

- *Doing Business* measures aspects of regulation that enable or prevent private sector businesses from starting, operating and expanding. These regulations are measured using 11 indicator sets: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts, resolving insolvency and labor market regulation.
- *Doing Business 2017* expands the paying taxes indicators to cover postfilings processes—tax audits, tax refunds and tax appeals—and presents analysis of pilot data on selling to the government which measures public procurement regulations.
- Using the data originally developed by *Women, Business and the Law*, this year for the first time *Doing Business* adds a gender component to three indicators—starting a business, registering property, and enforcing contracts—and finds that those economies which limit women's access in these areas have fewer women working in the private sector both as employers and employees.
- New data show that there has been an increase in the pace of reform—more economies are reforming and implementing more reforms.
- *Doing Business* has recorded over 2,900 regulatory reforms across 186 economies since 2004. Europe and Central Asia has consistently been the region with the highest average number of reforms per economy; the region is now close to having the same good practices in place as the OECD high-income economies. A number of countries in the region—Georgia, Latvia, Lithuania, and the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia—are now ranked among the top 30 economies in *Doing Business*.
- Better performance in *Doing Business* is on average associated with lower levels of income inequality. This is particularly the case regarding the starting a business and resolving insolvency indicator sets.


and ease of doing business ranking. *Doing Business* also publishes indicators on labor market regulation which are not included in the distance to frontier score or ease of doing business ranking. The economic literature has shown the importance of such regulations for firm and job creation, international trade and financial inclusion. For more discussion on this literature, see the chapter About *Doing Business*.

Over time, *Doing Business* has evolved from focusing mainly on the efficiency of regulatory processes to also measure the quality of business regulation. *Doing Business* not only measures whether there is, for example, a fast, simple and affordable process for transferring property but also whether the land administration has systems in place that ensure the accuracy of the information about that transfer.

This year *Doing Business* expands further by adding postfiling processes to the paying taxes indicators, including a gender component in three of the indicators and developing a new pilot indicator set on selling to the government (figure 1.1). Also for the first time this year *Doing Business* collects data on Somalia, bringing the total number of economies covered to 190.

Although conceptually important, these changes have a small impact on the

FIGURE 1.1 What is changing in *Doing Business*?



Source: *Doing Business* database.

distance to frontier and the overall doing business ranking. In paying taxes, the new postfiling processes component accounts for only 25% of the overall indicator set and, furthermore, there is a positive correlation between the old and new part of the indicator.² Economies that have efficient processes for paying taxes during the regular filing period also tend to have efficient processes in the postfiling period. For the most part, the formal regulatory environment as measured by *Doing Business* does not differentiate procedures according to the gender of the business owner. The addition of gender components to three separate indicators has a small impact on each of them and therefore a small impact overall. However, even if business regulation as measured by *Doing Business* is gender blind in the majority of economies, this does not mean that in practice men and women have equal opportunities as business owners. Firms owned by women, for example, tend to be smaller and less profitable than firms owned by men.³

While economies that do well in the existing dimensions of the regulatory environment covered by *Doing Business* also tend to do well in the new aspects measured this year, it nevertheless is important to document regulatory practices in these new areas. Doing so helps to document standards of good practices in new areas of regulation which policy makers can use to chart out reforms and set benchmarks. For more information on the *Doing Business* methodology, see the data notes.

Taxes

The paying taxes indicator set is expanded this year to include postfiling processes—those processes that occur after a firm complies with its regular tax obligations. These include tax refunds, tax audits and tax appeals. In particular *Doing Business* measures the time it takes to get a value added tax (VAT) refund, deal with a simple mistake on a corporate income tax return that can potentially trigger an

audit and good practices in administrative appeal processes.

The VAT refund is an integral component of a modern VAT system. The VAT has statutory incidence on the final consumer, not on businesses. According to the tax policy guidelines set out by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) a VAT system should be neutral and efficient.⁴ Some businesses will incur more VAT on their purchases than they collect on their taxable sales in a given tax period and therefore should be entitled to claim the difference from the tax authorities. *Doing Business* data show that OECD high-income economies process VAT refunds the most efficiently with an average of 14.4 weeks to issue a reimbursement (even including some economies where an audit is likely to be conducted).

To analyze tax audits the *Doing Business* case study scenario was expanded to assume that a company made a simple error in the calculation of its income tax liability, leading to an incorrect corporate income tax return and consequently an underpayment of due income tax liability. The firm discovered the error and voluntarily notified the tax authority. In 74 economies—even following immediate notification by the taxpayer—the error in the income tax return is likely to trigger an audit. And in 38 economies this error will lead to a comprehensive audit of the tax return. OECD high-income economies as well as Europe and Central Asia economies have the simplest processes in place to correct a minor mistake in the income tax return. For an analysis of the data for the indicators, see the case study on paying taxes.

Gender

This year for the first time *Doing Business* adds gender components to three indicator sets included in the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking. These are starting a business, registering property and enforcing contracts. This addition is based on data originally

collected by *Women, Business and the Law*⁵ and updated by *Doing Business*.

Why is it important to incorporate a measure of gender differences? First, around half of the world's population is female and therefore it is important that *Doing Business* measures aspects of regulation that specifically impact this large group. For some years now the *Women, Business and the Law* data have shown, for example, that in some economies a female entrepreneur faces more obstacles than her male counterpart for a variety of economic and business activities. To the extent that these obstacles are ignored, the *Doing Business* data will be incomplete. More importantly, over the last two decades we have learned a great deal about the relationship between various dimensions of gender inequality and economic growth.⁶

There is ample evidence that those economies that have integrated women more rapidly into the workforce have improved their international competitiveness by developing export-oriented manufacturing industries that tend to favor the employment of women. For the most part, legal gender disparities have been shown to have a strong link with female labor force participation.⁷ Studies have also shown a clear link between economic growth and development and female labor force participation.⁸

Gender discrimination limits choices and creates distortions that can lead to less efficient outcomes. An employer's decision not to hire a woman based solely on her gender can lead to lower productivity for that particular firm. Where this practice is widespread it can have negative effects at the macro level—an economy's output and growth potential can be lower because of gender discrimination.⁹

The *Women, Business and the Law* team has documented and measured the legal disparities that are relevant to a woman's economic empowerment. Economies where there are more gender differences

(as measured by *Women, Business and the Law*) perform worse on average on several important economic and social development variables: formal years of education for women compared to men are lower, labor force participation rates for women compared to men are lower, the proportion of top managers who are women is lower, the proportion of women in parliament is lower, the percentage of women that borrow from financial institutions relative to men is lower and child mortality rates are higher.¹⁰

Doing Business builds on the work of *Women, Business and the Law* by adding gender components to three indicator sets this year. Starting a business now includes two case studies—one where the entrepreneurs are men and one where the entrepreneurs are women—in order to address a previous lack of data on those economies where women face a higher number of procedures. Registering property now measures legal gender differentiations in property rights for ownership, use and transfer. And enforcing contracts was expanded to measure whether women's and men's testimony have the same evidentiary weight in civil courts. These three areas were selected because there is enough evidence to show their relevance for economic development and because they fit well within the *Doing Business* methodology. One new area—quotas for women in corporate boards—was studied but not included in this year's report because the evidence in this area has been mixed so far (box 1.1).

Several studies highlight the importance of equal opportunities for women entrepreneurs, creating the need to measure the differences faced by women entrepreneurs when starting a new business.¹¹ Research also shows the importance of equal rules regarding property rights for men and women. One study finds that after a reform to the family law in Ethiopia that established more equitable property rights over marital property between spouses, there was an increase

in female labor force participation and in more productive sectors.¹² Another study finds that after changes were made to the Hindu Succession Act improving inheritance rights for women in India, there was an increase in education for girls.¹³ Improving land tenure security benefits all, but a study of Rwanda's land tenure regularization program showed that women benefit the most.¹⁴

Twenty-three economies impose more procedures for women than men to start a business. Sixteen limit women's ability to own, use and transfer property. And in 17 economies, the civil courts do not value a woman's testimony the same way as a man's.

Three gender-related measures were added to the starting a business indicator set—whether a woman requires permission to leave the house, whether there are gender-specific identification procedures and whether a married woman requires her husband's permission to start a business. In 17 economies a married woman cannot leave the house without her husband's permission by law. Although in practice this law may not be enforced, it still reduces women's bargaining power within the household and can undermine their ability to pursue a business venture. In three economies the process of obtaining official identification is different for men and women. The official identification document is a pre-requisite to starting a business. *Doing Business* has not traditionally captured the process of obtaining identification in starting a business; it is assumed that the entrepreneur has identification before deciding to create a new business. However, when capturing gender-specific procedures it is crucial to include female-specific requirements. In Benin, for example, a married woman must present a marriage certificate when applying for identification but the same requirement does not apply to a married man. In four economies a woman requires her husband's explicit permission to start a business. This is the case in the Democratic Republic of

BOX 1.1 Women in corporate boards

Building on *Women, Business and the Law* data, this year *Doing Business* collected data on regulation that imposes quotas for women in corporate boards as well as sanctions and incentives for meeting those quotas. The data show that nine economies have such provisions. Seven of the nine economies that define quotas for women in corporate boards or impose penalties for noncompliance are OECD high-income economies—namely Belgium, France, Germany, Iceland, Israel, Italy and Norway. This type of regulation exists in other regions of the world but it is less common. The law in India, for example, requires that publicly-listed companies have at least one director that is a woman. Any business appointing a woman to a management position in Sierra Leone is now eligible for a tax credit equal to 6.5% of that female manager's compensation.

Although the data were collected, they were not included in the *Doing Business* indicators because the empirical evidence on the value of quotas for women in corporate boards is mixed. For example, some studies have questioned the link between women in the boardroom and firm performance, finding either no relationship between gender diversity and performance or even a negative relationship.^a A Norwegian law mandating 40% representation of women in corporate boards is probably the most researched regulation in this area. One study finds that there were no significant reductions in gender wage gaps.^b Another study of the same regulation reports a significant drop in stock prices when the law was made public and a deterioration in operating performance.^c Nevertheless, another study found that firms with women in corporate boards undertake fewer workforce reductions than firms with only male board members.^d

However, there are patterns of positive firm outcomes connected to the presence of women in important decision-making positions. Quoting a broad range of studies, the World Bank argues that low gender diversity in corporate boards "is seen by many as undermining a company's potential value and growth. Higher diversity is often thought to improve the board's functioning by increasing its monitoring capacity, broadening its access to information on its potential customer base, and enhancing its creativity by multiplying viewpoints. Greater diversity implies that board directors can be selected from a broader talent pool."^e Indeed, there is evidence that companies benefit from fostering an increase in the number of women board directors. A study comparing the top and bottom quartiles of women board directors at *Fortune 500* companies found that where there were higher numbers of women on the board the companies thrived.^f Analyzing financial measures such as return on equity, return on sales, and return on invested capital, this study established that companies with more women board directors were able to outperform those with fewer by between 42 and 66%.

There is also evidence that companies with greater participation of women in boards tend to have stronger ethical foundations. According to a report from the index provider MSCI, bribery, fraud or other corporate governance scandals are less common in corporations with more women on their boards. The dataset used in this analysis included 6,500 boards globally.^g

a. van Dijk and others 2012; Adams and Ferreira 2009.

b. Bertrand and others 2014.

c. Ahern and Dittmar 2012.

d. Matsa and Miller 2013.

e. World Bank 2011.

f. Joy and others 2007.

g. Lee and others 2015.

Congo, where by law a married woman needs the authorization of her husband to incorporate a business.


The registering property indicators now include two aspects regarding ownership rights. *Doing Business* measures whether unmarried men and unmarried women have equal ownership rights to property. Only two economies—Swaziland and Tonga—grant fewer rights to unmarried women. However, when the same question is used to compare the property rights of married men with married women, differences arise in 16 economies.

Restrictions on property ownership are far more common for married women because these are normally linked to family and marriage codes.

Restrictions for women on starting a business are more frequent in economies in both the Middle East and North Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa. The restrictions measured in registering property are more prevalent in Sub-Saharan Africa, while those measured in enforcing contracts are more present in the Middle East and North Africa. However, these types of restrictions are present in every

region except Europe and Central Asia. Only one OECD high-income economy still has a restriction—in Chile the law provides fewer property rights to married women than to married men.

Economies with more restrictions for women tend to have on average lower female labor force participation and a lower percentage of female labor force relative to male. The same relationship applies to women's participation in firm ownership and management (figure 1.2). In fact, the new gender components added to the distance to frontier have a

FIGURE 1.2 Less equal business regulation is associated with fewer women running firms

Sources: *Doing Business* database; Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>), World Bank.

Note: The relationship between the percentage of firms with female participation in ownership and the difference in distance to frontier due to the addition of gender components in three topics is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita. The same applies when the analysis is done using the percentage of firms with a female top manager.

strong association with outcomes that represent women's economic empowerment. These results are associations and cannot be interpreted in a causal fashion.

Procurement

Public procurement is the process of purchasing goods, services or works by the public sector from the private sector. Overall, public procurement represents on average 10 to 25% of GDP, making the procurement market a unique pool of business opportunities for the private sector.¹⁵ This year *Doing Business* includes an annex with analysis of a pilot indicator set on public procurement regulation called "selling to the government." The procurement process is studied across

five main areas: accessibility and transparency, bid security, payment delays, incentives for small and medium-size enterprises and complaints mechanisms. For accessibility and transparency, the annex discusses data on whether information is accessible to prospective bidders and how that information can be accessed. For bid security, the indicators measure the amount that prospective bidders need to pay upfront in order to be considered in the bidding process and the form of the security deposit. For payment delays, the annex discusses data on the time it takes for the firm to receive payment from the government after the contract is completed and the service has been delivered. The incentives for small

and medium-size enterprises component measures whether economies have set up specific legal provisions or policies to promote fair access for small and medium-size enterprises to government contracts. And for the complaints mechanism component, the indicators measure the process to file a grievance regarding a public procurement project including who can file a complaint, where to file a complaint and the independence of the review body as well as what remedies are granted.

The data show that 97% of the 78 economies analyzed have at least one or more online portals dedicated to public procurement and that close to 90% of economies impose a bid security deposit requirement that suppliers must fulfill for their bid to be considered. In 37% of the economies included in the selling to the government indicators, payment occurs on average within 30 days while in 48% of the economies suppliers can expect to receive payments between 31 and 90 days following completion of the contract. This analysis is presented in the annex on selling to the government and the data are available on the *Doing Business* website.

ECONOMIES WITH MORE BUSINESS-FRIENDLY REGULATIONS

Doing Business scores economies based on how business friendly their regulatory systems are using the distance to frontier score and the ease of doing business ranking. The distance to frontier score measures the distance of each economy to the "frontier," which represents the best performance observed on each of the indicators across all economies in the *Doing Business* sample since 2005 or the third year in which data were collected for the indicator. For the getting electricity indicators, for example, the frontier is set at three procedures, 18 days and no cost to obtain a new electricity connection in the economy's largest business city. The worst for the same group of indicators is set at

9 procedures, 248 days and 81 times the economy's income per capita as the cost. In addition, the getting electricity indicators measure the reliability of electricity supply and transparency of tariffs through an index ranging from 0 to 8; in this case 8 is the frontier score. For example, in the case of reliability and transparency, an economy with a score of 6 would be considered to be 75% of the way to the frontier and would have a distance to frontier score of that value. The ease of doing business ranking is based on economies' relative positions on the distance to frontier scores on ten different *Doing Business* indicator sets. For more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking.

There was some change in the 20 economies with the top scores due mainly to the implementation of business regulatory reforms (table 1.1) and, to a much lesser extent, on account of the methodology changes mentioned above. Austria, Georgia and Latvia join the top 20 economies this year. Georgia implemented five reforms as measured by *Doing Business*. And Latvia implemented two – it improved access to credit information (by launching a private credit bureau) and made it easier


to file taxes (electronically). Although the top 20 economies already have simple, effective and accessible business regulations, they continued to implement reforms this year with a total of 20 reforms implemented among them. Hong Kong SAR, China, for example, made starting a business less costly by reducing the business registration fee while Sweden made it easier to transfer property and Norway made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic filing system.

OECD high-income economies have on average the most business-friendly regulatory systems, followed by Europe and Central Asia (figure 1.3). There is, however, a large variation within those two regions. New Zealand has a ranking of 1 while Greece has a ranking of 61; FYR Macedonia stands at 10 while Tajikistan is at 128. The Sub-Saharan Africa region continues to be home to the economies with the least business-friendly regulations on average. However, this year the regional improvement in the distance to frontier score for Sub-Saharan Africa was almost three times as high as the average improvement for OECD high-income economies. Nevertheless, there is still a long way for Sub-Saharan Africa to go:

it takes 60 days on average to transfer property in that region, for example, compared to only 22 days for the same transaction in OECD high-income economies.

Following the expansion of the scope of the indicators in last year's report, *Doing Business* now provides further clarity on the differences between well-designed and badly designed regulation. New data on the quality of regulation make it easier to identify where regulation is enabling businesses to thrive and where it is enabling rent seeking. *Doing Business* measures the quality of regulation by focusing on whether an economy has in place the rules and processes that can lead to good outcomes, linked in each case to *Doing Business* measures of efficiency. Scores are higher for economies that, for example, have a land administration system that maintains a dependable database and produces credible titles that are respected as reliable by the legal system. Another way that *Doing Business* measures regulatory quality is through the building quality control index, which evaluates the quality of building regulations, the strength of quality control and safety mechanisms, liability and insurance regimes and professional certification requirements that ultimately

FIGURE 1.3 The biggest gaps between regulatory efficiency and regulatory quality are in the Middle East and North Africa and in Sub-Saharan Africa



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The distance to frontier score for regulatory efficiency is the aggregate score for the procedures (where applicable), time and cost indicators from the following indicator sets: starting a business (also including the minimum capital requirement indicator), dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, paying taxes (also including the postfilings index), trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. The distance to frontier score for regulatory quality is the aggregate score for getting credit and protecting minority investors as well as the regulatory quality indices from the indicator sets on dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency.

TABLE 1.1 Ease of doing business ranking

Rank	Economy	DTF score	Rank	Economy	DTF score	Rank	Economy	DTF score
1	New Zealand	87.01 ↑	65	Azerbaijan	67.99 ↑	128	Tajikistan	55.34 ↑
2	Singapore	85.05 ↑	66	Oman	67.73 ↑	129	Cabo Verde	55.28
3	Denmark	84.87 ↑	67	Jamaica	67.54 ↑	130	India	55.27 ↑
4	Hong Kong SAR, China	84.21 ↑	68	Morocco	67.50 ↑	131	Cambodia	54.79 ↑
5	Korea, Rep.	84.07 ↑	69	Turkey	67.19	132	Tanzania	54.48 ↑
6	Norway	82.82 ↑	70	Panama	66.19	133	Malawi	54.39 ↑
7	United Kingdom	82.74 ↑	71	Botswana	65.55 ↑	134	St. Kitts and Nevis	53.96
8	United States	82.45	72	Brunei Darussalam	65.51 ↑	135	Maldives	53.94
9	Sweden	82.13 ↑	73	Bhutan	65.37 ↑	136	Palau	53.81 ↑
10	Macedonia, FYR	81.74 ↑	74	South Africa	65.20	137	Mozambique	53.78
11	Taiwan, China	81.09 ↑	75	Kyrgyz Republic	65.17 ↑	138	Grenada	53.75
12	Estonia	81.05 ↑	76	Malta	65.01 ↑	139	Lao PDR	53.29 ↑
13	Finland	80.84	77	Tunisia	64.89 ↑	140	West Bank and Gaza	53.21 ↑
14	Latvia	80.61 ↑	78	China	64.28 ↑	141	Mali	52.96 ↑
15	Australia	80.26 ↑	79	San Marino	64.11 ↑	142	Côte d'Ivoire	52.31 ↑
16	Georgia	80.20 ↑	80	Ukraine	63.90 ↑	143	Marshall Islands	51.92 ↑
17	Germany	79.87	81	Bosnia and Herzegovina	63.87 ↑	144	Pakistan	51.77 ↑
18	Ireland	79.53 ↑	82	Vietnam	63.83 ↑	145	Gambia, The	51.70 ↑
19	Austria	78.92 ↑	83	Qatar	63.66	146	Burkina Faso	51.33 ↑
20	Iceland	78.91 ↑	83	Vanuatu	63.66 ↑	147	Senegal	50.68 ↑
21	Lithuania	78.84 ↑	85	Tonga	63.58	148	Sierra Leone	50.23 ↑
22	Canada	78.57	86	St. Lucia	63.13	149	Bolivia	49.85 ↑
23	Malaysia	78.11	87	Uzbekistan	63.03 ↑	150	Niger	49.57 ↑
24	Poland	77.81 ↑	88	Guatemala	62.93 ↑	151	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	49.48
25	Portugal	77.40 ↑	89	Samoa	62.17 ↑	152	Kiribati	49.19 ↑
26	United Arab Emirates	76.89 ↑	90	Uruguay	61.85 ↑	153	Comoros	48.69 ↑
27	Czech Republic	76.71 ↑	91	Indonesia	61.52 ↑	154	Togo	48.57 ↑
28	Netherlands	76.38 ↑	92	Kenya	61.22 ↑	155	Benin	48.52 ↑
29	France	76.27 ↑	93	Seychelles	61.21 ↑	156	Algeria	47.76 ↑
30	Slovenia	76.14 ↑	94	Saudi Arabia	61.11 ↑	157	Burundi	47.37 ↑
31	Switzerland	76.06	95	El Salvador	61.02	158	Suriname	47.28 ↑
32	Spain	75.73 ↑	96	Trinidad and Tobago	60.99	159	Ethiopia	47.25 ↑
33	Slovak Republic	75.61 ↑	97	Fiji	60.71	160	Mauritania	47.21 ↑
34	Japan	75.53 ↑	98	Zambia	60.54	161	Zimbabwe	47.10 ↑
35	Kazakhstan	75.09 ↑	99	Philippines	60.40 ↑	162	São Tomé and Príncipe	46.75 ↑
36	Romania	74.26 ↑	100	Lesotho	60.37 ↑	163	Guinea	46.23 ↑
37	Belarus	74.13 ↑	101	Dominica	60.27	164	Gabon	45.88
38	Armenia	73.63 ↑	102	Kuwait	59.55	165	Iraq	45.61 ↑
39	Bulgaria	73.51 ↑	103	Dominican Republic	59.35 ↑	166	Cameroon	45.27 ↑
40	Russian Federation	73.19	104	Solomon Islands	59.17 ↑	167	Madagascar	45.10 ↑
41	Hungary	73.07 ↑	105	Honduras	59.09	168	Sudan	44.76
42	Belgium	73.00	106	Paraguay	59.03	169	Nigeria	44.63 ↑
43	Croatia	72.99 ↑	107	Nepal	58.88	170	Myanmar	44.56 ↑
44	Moldova	72.75 ↑	108	Ghana	58.82 ↑	171	Djibouti	44.50 ↑
45	Cyprus	72.65 ↑	108	Namibia	58.82	172	Guinea-Bissau	41.63 ↑
46	Thailand	72.53 ↑	110	Sri Lanka	58.79 ↑	173	Syrian Arab Republic	41.43
47	Mexico	72.29 ↑	111	Swaziland	58.34 ↑	174	Liberia	41.41
47	Serbia	72.29 ↑	112	Belize	58.06	175	Timor-Leste	40.88
49	Mauritius	72.27 ↑	113	Antigua and Barbuda	58.04	176	Bangladesh	40.84 ↑
50	Italy	72.25 ↑	114	Ecuador	57.97 ↑	177	Congo, Rep.	40.58
51	Montenegro	72.08 ↑	115	Uganda	57.77 ↑	178	Equatorial Guinea	39.83
52	Israel	71.65 ↑	116	Argentina	57.45 ↑	179	Yemen, Rep.	39.57
53	Colombia	70.92 ↑	117	Barbados	57.42 ↑	180	Chad	39.07 ↑
54	Peru	70.25 ↑	118	Jordan	57.30 ↑	181	Haiti	38.66 ↑
55	Puerto Rico (U.S.)	69.82 ↑	119	Papua New Guinea	57.29 ↑	182	Angola	38.41
56	Rwanda	69.81 ↑	120	Iran, Islamic Rep.	57.26 ↑	183	Afghanistan	38.10
57	Chile	69.56 ↑	121	Bahamas, The	56.65	184	Congo, Dem. Rep.	37.57 ↑
58	Albania	68.90 ↑	122	Egypt, Arab Rep.	56.64 ↑	185	Central African Republic	36.25
59	Luxembourg	68.81 ↑	123	Brazil	56.53	186	South Sudan	33.48
60	Kosovo	68.79 ↑	124	Guyana	56.26 ↑	187	Venezuela, RB	33.37
61	Greece	68.67	125	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	55.91	188	Libya	33.19
62	Costa Rica	68.50 ↑	126	Lebanon	55.90	189	Eritrea	28.05 ↑
63	Bahrain	68.44 ↑	127	Nicaragua	55.75 ↑	190	Somalia	20.29 ↑
64	Mongolia	68.15 ↑						

Source: Doing Business database.

Note: The rankings are benchmarked to June 2016 and based on the average of each economy's distance to frontier (DTF) scores for the 10 topics included in this year's aggregate ranking. For the economies for which the data cover two cities, scores are a population-weighted average for the two cities. An arrow indicates an improvement in the score between 2015 and 2016 (and therefore an improvement in the overall business environment as measured by Doing Business), while the absence of one indicates either no improvement or a deterioration in the score. The score for both years is based on the new methodology.

lead to safe buildings. Efficient business regulatory systems allow entrepreneurs to achieve business-related tasks simply, quickly and inexpensively. Therefore, an economy scores better on the metric for regulatory efficiency if it has a system in place that allows entrepreneurs to start a business through a small number of steps, in short time and at lower cost.

Regulatory efficiency and regulatory quality go hand in hand. Economies that have efficient regulatory processes as measured by *Doing Business* also tend to have good regulatory quality. However, the gap between the two measures varies significantly by region. In OECD high-income economies, the average distance to the frontier score for regulatory efficiency is 79.4 while regulatory quality lags at 73.4. In the Middle East and North Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa the gap between efficiency and quality is larger: on efficiency these regions score 65.4 and 56.5 while on quality they score 45.2 and 36.7, respectively.

ECONOMIES WITH THE LARGEST IMPROVEMENTS IN BUSINESS REGULATION IN 2015/16

In 2015/16, 137 economies worldwide implemented 283 business regulatory reforms. This represents an increase of more than 20% compared to last year. In fact, the number of economies that implemented at least one reform increased from 122 to 137, indicating that there are more economies trying to improve in the areas measured in *Doing Business*. And 139 economies made an improvement in the distance to frontier score; doing business is now easier and less costly in those economies compared to last year. With 49 reforms, starting a business continues to be the indicator set with the highest number of reforms followed by paying taxes with 46. Of the economies in Europe and Central Asia, 96% implemented at least one *Doing Business* reform. Sub-Saharan Africa is the region with the


second-highest incidence of reforms, with 77% of economies implementing at least one reform captured by *Doing Business*.

Ten economies are highlighted this year for making the biggest improvements in their business regulations—Brunei Darussalam, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Belarus, Indonesia, Serbia, Georgia, Pakistan, the United Arab Emirates and Bahrain. The ease of doing business ranking for these economies ranges from 144 in Pakistan to 16 in Georgia; on average it is 62. Compared to previous years there is a lower number of top improvers from Sub-Saharan Africa even though this region accounts for over a quarter of all reforms globally.

There are several possible explanations for the increase in reform intensity. One is that economies are increasingly interested in improving business regulatory conditions and therefore are reforming more. Another is that there are more areas where reforms can be captured following the expansion of the *Doing*

Business methodology. The data indicate that both factors have contributed. A substantial number of the reforms implemented this year are in areas that were added since *Doing Business* 2015 (figure 1.4). Around 26% of the reforms implemented in the expanded indicator sets were only made in these new areas. And another 17% concern both the new and old indicators. Indeed, over 40% of all reforms affected at least one of the components added since *Doing Business* 2015. The frequency of reform in the new areas varies substantially by topic, with the most reforms occurring within the enforcing contracts and registering property indicators. In registering property, for example, this year the cadastral maps have been digitized and made available online in Jakarta and Surabaya, Indonesia. The online application provides customers with access to a spatial database that allows them to check property boundaries. And in enforcing contracts, the government of Rwanda introduced the Integrated Electronic Case Management

FIGURE 1.4 *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/16 in the areas added since *Doing Business* 2015



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The new components added since *Doing Business* 2015 are: the building quality control index in dealing with construction permits, the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index in getting electricity, the quality of land administration index in registering property, 3 points in the strength of legal rights index and 2 points in the depth of credit information index in getting credit, extent of shareholder governance index in protecting minority investors, post-filing in paying taxes, quality of judicial processes index in enforcing contracts and strength of insolvency framework index in resolving insolvency.

System in Kigali city courts and all commercial courts.

For a full discussion of the 283 reforms implemented in 2015/16 and more information on the top improvers, see the chapter on reforming the business environment.


ECONOMIES WITH THE LARGEST IMPROVEMENTS IN BUSINESS REGULATION SINCE 2003

Each year *Doing Business* captures substantive reforms implemented by economies across all ten indicator sets included in the ease of doing business ranking. Since *Doing Business* 2005 over 2,900 business regulatory reforms have been implemented in 186 economies. Only Kiribati, Libya, Somalia and South Sudan have not implemented a reform captured by the *Doing Business* indicators. The majority of these reforms have been made in low-income and middle-income economies, leading to more significant improvements in business regulation compared to high-income economies. The gap between high-income economies and low-income economies is therefore narrowing when it comes to the quality and efficiency of business regulation (figure 1.5).

The reform intensity varies considerably across regions. With over 26 reforms per economy since 2004, Europe and Central Asia is the region that has reformed the most intensely since *Doing Business* began gathering data on business regulation. The global average is around 15 reforms per economy. These reforms have produced significant improvements in business regulation. Since 2004, economies in Europe and Central Asia have improved over 20 points on average in the distance to frontier score, moving into second position in the regional rankings behind the OECD high-income economies for the most business-friendly regulations (figure 1.6).

FIGURE 1.5 Low-income economies have made bigger improvements over time in the quality and efficiency of business regulation

Average year-on-year improvement in distance to frontier score



Source: *Doing Business* database.


Note: The red line shows the average global improvement in the distance to frontier score since 2004. The measure is normalized to range from 0 to 100, with 100 representing the frontier. Because of changes over the years in methodology and in the economies and indicators included, the improvements are measured year on year using pairs of consecutive years with comparable data.

How did Europe and Central Asia accomplish this? The most reformed *Doing Business* areas in Europe and Central Asia are starting a business, paying taxes and getting credit. Georgia, FYR Macedonia, Kazakhstan, Belarus, Armenia, and the

Russian Federation have made the most reforms in Europe and Central Asia, implementing over 30 reforms each since 2004. Moreover, seven countries in the region—Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, FYR Macedonia

FIGURE 1.6 Europe and Central Asia has made a substantially bigger improvement in business regulation over time than any other region

Average year-on-year improvement in distance to frontier score



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The red line shows the average global improvement in the distance to frontier score since 2004. The measure is normalized to range from 0 to 100, with 100 representing the frontier. Because of changes over the years in methodology and in the economies and indicators included, the improvements are measured year on year using pairs of consecutive years with comparable data.

and Ukraine—reformed across all *Doing Business* indicators. Another 13 economies implemented reforms in eight to 10 areas measured by *Doing Business*. This shows that economies tend to expand their reform efforts to encompass multiple business regulatory environments rather than choosing a narrow reform path.

The region with the lowest average number of reforms per economy is East Asia and the Pacific with 13 reforms per economy since 2004. This is partly due to the fact that the Pacific islands have been slow to reform. The OECD high-income economies have the lowest average improvement, mainly because of reduced room for progress. It is hard to advance by much when you are already close to the top.

Reforming the requirements for starting a business is by far the most common area for reform—586 reforms have been captured by the starting a business indicator set since 2004 (figure 1.7).


Only 14 economies have not improved their business registration processes. One of these economies is República Bolivariana de Venezuela, where it takes 230 days to start a new business, significantly higher than the global average of 21 days (down from 51 days in 2003). In the past year, República Bolivariana de Venezuela has actually made the process more time consuming—an increase of 44 days—by limiting the work schedule of the public sector amidst an energy crisis.

The indicator set with the second highest number of reforms is paying taxes, with 443 reforms implemented since 2004. But reforms captured within the getting credit indicators—although there were only 400 recorded—have resulted in a bigger improvement in the distance to frontier score. The data also show that court systems, as captured in both the enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency indicator sets, are the institutions reformed least frequently.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BUSINESS REGULATION AND INCOME INEQUALITY

A recent World Bank report focusing on poverty and shared prosperity provides new evidence on the status of income inequality worldwide. Domestic income inequality has fallen in more economies than it has risen since 2008 (across a sample of 81 economies). However, the global average for domestic income inequality is larger today than 25 years ago.¹⁶ Indeed, income inequality is an important concern. Excessive income inequality can have many negative effects, including political instability and civil unrest. The determinants of income inequality have been widely studied in the economic literature—what increases it, what can reduce it and its negative consequences. For example, policies such as early childhood development, universal education and health care

FIGURE 1.7 Economies have improved regulatory processes the most in the area of starting a business



Source: *Doing Business* database.


Note: The red line shows the average global improvement in the distance to frontier score since 2004. The measure is normalized to range from 0 to 100, with 100 representing the frontier. Because of changes over the years in methodology and in the economies and indicators included, the improvements are measured year on year using pairs of consecutive years with comparable data.

and infrastructure investments in roads and electrification have been shown to have positive effects in reducing income inequality.¹⁷

Several recent studies link weaker economic growth to higher income inequality, although there is a debate on the validity of these results.¹⁸ Growth analysis is typically based on cross-country data across multiple years. These data tend to have statistical characteristics that make it harder to identify causality and understand the links between variables. Furthermore, the data on inequality in a large cross-country setting and over time is very limited and often may be imputed between years. With that caveat in mind, studies linking economic growth and inequality find that, for example, higher income inequality is associated with a smaller tax base and therefore lower tax collection and more indebtedness by governments.¹⁹ There is also a gender component to income disparity; the data show that where there are higher levels of gender inequality, there are also higher levels of income inequality.²⁰ Gender inequality exists at various levels: educational, access to assets and overall low investment in girls and women.²¹

A considerable body of evidence confirms that cross-country differences in the quality of business regulation are strongly correlated with differences in income per capita across economies.²² But can business regulation also be a factor in understanding income differences across individuals within an economy? Business regulation that is transparent and accessible makes it easier for people of all income levels to access markets, develop their businesses and navigate the bureaucratic world. People of low income are more likely to benefit from transparent regulation because, unlike wealthy individuals, they cannot afford experts to help them navigate the system and are more likely to be excluded from economic opportunities when business regulation is cumbersome. In fact, research shows that where business regulation is simpler and

FIGURE 1.8 Economies with more business-friendly regulation tend to have lower levels of income inequality on average




Sources: *Doing Business* database; PovcalNet (<http://iresearch.worldbank.org/PovcalNet/index.htm>), World Bank.

Note: The figure compares distance to frontier score to the Gini index as calculated in PovcalNet. The data ranges from 2003 to 2013 and includes 713 observations. The correlation between the Gini index and the distance to frontier score is -0.33. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita and government expenditure.

more accessible, firms start smaller and firm size can be a proxy for the income of the entrepreneur.²³ *Doing Business* data confirms this notion. There is a negative association between the Gini index, which measures income inequality within an economy, and the distance to frontier score, which measures the quality and efficiency of business regulation when the data are compared over time (figure 1.8).

Data across multiple years and economies show that as economies improve business regulation, income inequality tends to decrease in parallel. Although these results are associations and do not imply causality, it is important to see such relation. The results differ by regulatory area. Facilitating entry and exit in and out of the market—as measured by the starting a business and resolving insolvency


FIGURE 1.9 Economies where it is easier to start a business tend to have lower levels of income inequality on average



Sources: *Doing Business* database; PovcalNet (<http://iresearch.worldbank.org/PovcalNet/index.htm>), World Bank.

Note: The figure compares the starting a business indicator distance to frontier score to the Gini index as calculated in PovcalNet. The data ranges from 2003 to 2013 and includes 713 observations. The correlation between the Gini index and the distance to frontier score is -0.35. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita and government expenditure.

FIGURE 1.10 Economies where it is easier to close a business tend to have lower levels of income inequality on average



Sources: *Doing Business* database; PovcalNet (<http://iresearch.worldbank.org/PovcalNet/index.htm>), World Bank.

Note: The figure compares the resolving insolvency indicator distance to frontier score to the Gini index as calculated in PovcalNet. The data ranges from 2003 to 2013 and includes 713 observations. The correlation between the Gini index and the distance to frontier score is -0.40. The relationship is significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita and government expenditure.

indicators—have the strongest link with income inequality reduction (figures 1.9 and 1.10). These two *Doing Business* indicators are focused on equalizing opportunities and access to markets.

CONTENTS OF THIS YEAR'S REPORT

This year's report presents six case studies and two annexes. The case studies focus on the areas that are included in the ease of doing business ranking while the annexes cover areas not included in the ranking. The case studies and annexes either present new indicators or provide further insights from the data collected through methodology changes implemented in the past two years.

The getting electricity case study highlights the importance of a reliable power supply for business and discusses the challenges and successes of four very different economies—Cameroon, Guatemala, Indonesia and Pakistan. This year, two case studies on getting credit are presented, one focusing on the strength of legal rights index and one focusing

on the depth of credit information. The case study on the strength of legal rights index discusses two approaches to the reform process, one where the economy completely discards the existing laws and regulation and creates a new overarching framework for secured transactions and another where the economy makes piecemeal reforms while preserving the existing overarching framework. The case study on the depth of credit information highlights the importance of a well-functioning credit bureau or registry for financial inclusion and discusses how they can increase their coverage by broadening the sources of information. The case study on protecting minority investors analyzes the reforms that focus on the newest parts of the indicator. Reforms implemented in India and Switzerland are discussed in detail. The case study on paying taxes presents and analyzes the new data on postfiling processes. Finally, the case study on trading across borders discusses the importance of single windows and electronic systems for simplifying trade logistics and reducing corruption.

The two annexes present the data analysis for two topics, labor market regulation

and selling to the government. Selling to the government is a pilot indicator this year, covering 78 economies.

NOTES

1. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (see table 12A.1 in the data notes).
2. The correlation between the old part and the new part of the paying taxes indicator set is 0.92.
3. Amin 2010; Bruhn 2009.
4. OECD 2014a.
5. World Bank Group 2015a.
6. Kliesen 1999; Duflo 2012.
7. Gonzales and others 2015.
8. Elborgh-Woytek and others 2013; Duflo 2012; Revenga and Shetty 2012; World Bank 2011.
9. Esteve-Volart 2000 and 2004.
10. Iqbal and others 2016.
11. OECD 2012.
12. Hallward-Driemeier and Hasan 2012.
13. Deininger and others 2010.
14. Ali and others 2014.
15. The European Union estimates that public procurement amounts to between 10 and 25% of GDP globally (see <http://ec.europa.eu/trade/policy/accessing-markets/public-procurement/>). The WTO estimates that public procurement represents between 10 and 15% of GDP (https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/gproc_e/gproc_e.htm).
16. World Bank 2016a.
17. World Bank 2016a.
18. Kraay 2015.
19. Aizenman and Jinjarak 2012.
20. Gonzales and others 2015.
21. Dollar and Gatti 1999; World Bank 2011.
22. Marimon and Quadrini 2008; Barseghyan 2008; Freund and Bolaky 2008.
23. Klapper and others 2006.



About Doing Business

The foundation of *Doing Business* is the notion that economic activity, particularly private sector development, benefits from clear and coherent rules: Rules that set out and clarify property rights and facilitate the resolution of disputes. And rules that enhance the predictability of economic interactions and provide contractual partners with essential protections against arbitrariness and abuse. Such rules are much more effective in shaping the incentives of economic agents in ways that promote growth and development where they are reasonably efficient in design, are transparent and accessible to those for whom they are intended and can be implemented at a reasonable cost. The quality of the rules also has a crucial bearing on how societies distribute the benefits and finance the costs of development strategies and policies.

Good rules are a key to social inclusion. Enabling growth—and ensuring that all people, regardless of income level, can participate in its benefits—requires an environment where new entrants with drive and good ideas can get started in business and where good firms can invest and expand. The role of government policy in the daily operations of domestic small and medium-size firms is a central focus of the *Doing Business* data. The objective is to encourage regulation that is designed to be efficient, accessible to all and simple to implement. Onerous regulation diverts the energies of entrepreneurs away from developing their businesses. But regulation that is efficient, transparent and implemented in

a simple way facilitates business expansion and innovation, and makes it easier for aspiring entrepreneurs to compete on an equal footing.

Doing Business measures aspects of business regulation for domestic firms through an objective lens. The focus of the project is on small and medium-size companies in the largest business city of an economy. Based on standardized case studies, *Doing Business* presents quantitative indicators on the regulations that apply to firms at different stages of their life cycle. The results for each economy can be compared with those for 189 other economies and over time.

FACTORS DOING BUSINESS MEASURES

Doing Business captures several important dimensions of the regulatory environment as it applies to local firms. It provides quantitative indicators on regulation for starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency (table 2.1). *Doing Business* also measures features of labor market regulation. Although *Doing Business* does not present rankings of economies on the labor market regulation indicators or include the topic in the aggregate distance to frontier score or ranking on the ease of doing business, it does present the data for these indicators.

- *Doing Business* measures aspects of business regulation affecting domestic small and medium-size firms defined based on standardized case scenarios and located in the largest business city of each economy. In addition, for 11 economies a second city is covered.
- *Doing Business* covers 11 areas of business regulation across 190 economies. Ten of these areas—starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency—are included in the distance to frontier score and ease of doing business ranking. *Doing Business* also measures features of labor market regulation, which is not included in these two measures.
- *Doing Business* relies on four main sources of information: the relevant laws and regulations, *Doing Business* respondents, the governments of the economies covered and the World Bank Group regional staff.
- More than 39,000 professionals in 190 economies have assisted in providing the data that inform the *Doing Business* indicators over the past 14 years.
- This year's report expands the paying taxes indicator set to cover postfiling processes—what happens after a firm pays taxes—such as tax refunds, tax audits and administrative tax appeals.
- *Doing Business* includes a gender dimension in four of the 11 indicator sets. Starting a business, registering property and enforcing contracts present a gender dimension for the first time this year. Labor market regulation already captured gender disaggregated data in last year's report.

TABLE 2.1 What *Doing Business* measures—11 areas of business regulation

Indicator set	What is measured
Starting a business	Procedures, time, cost and paid-in minimum capital to start a limited liability company
Dealing with construction permits	Procedures, time and cost to complete all formalities to build a warehouse and the quality control and safety mechanisms in the construction permitting system
Getting electricity	Procedures, time and cost to get connected to the electrical grid, the reliability of the electricity supply and the transparency of tariffs
Registering property	Procedures, time and cost to transfer a property and the quality of the land administration system
Getting credit	Movable collateral laws and credit information systems
Protecting minority investors	Minority shareholders' rights in related-party transactions and in corporate governance
Paying taxes	Payments, time and total tax rate for a firm to comply with all tax regulations as well as post-filing processes
Trading across borders	Time and cost to export the product of comparative advantage and import auto parts
Enforcing contracts	Time and cost to resolve a commercial dispute and the quality of judicial processes
Resolving insolvency	Time, cost, outcome and recovery rate for a commercial insolvency and the strength of the legal framework for insolvency
Labor market regulation	Flexibility in employment regulation and aspects of job quality

How the indicators are selected

The choice of the 11 sets of *Doing Business* indicators has been guided by economic research and firm-level data, specifically data from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys.¹ These surveys provide data highlighting the main obstacles to business activity as reported by entrepreneurs in more than 130,000 firms in 139 economies. Access to finance and access to electricity, for example, are among the factors identified by the surveys as important to businesses—inspiring the design of the *Doing Business* indicators on getting credit and getting electricity.

The design of the *Doing Business* indicators has also been informed by theoretical insights gleaned from extensive research and the literature on the role of institutions in enabling economic development. In addition, the background papers developing the methodology for each of the *Doing Business* indicator sets have established the importance of the rules and regulations that *Doing Business* focuses on for such economic outcomes as trade volumes, foreign

direct investment, market capitalization in stock exchanges and private credit as a percentage of GDP.²

Some *Doing Business* indicators give a higher score for more regulation and better-functioning institutions (such as courts or credit bureaus). Higher scores are given for stricter disclosure requirements for related-party transactions, for example, in the area of protecting minority investors. Higher scores are also given for a simplified way of applying regulation that keeps compliance costs for firms low—such as by easing the burden of business start-up formalities with a one-stop shop or through a single online portal. Finally, *Doing Business* scores reward economies that apply a risk-based approach to regulation as a way to address social and environmental concerns—such as by imposing a greater regulatory burden on activities that pose a high risk to the population and a lesser one on lower-risk activities. Thus the economies that rank highest on the ease of doing business are not those where there is no regulation—but those where governments have

managed to create rules that facilitate interactions in the marketplace without needlessly hindering the development of the private sector.

The distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking

To provide different perspectives on the data, *Doing Business* presents data both for individual indicators and for two aggregate measures: the distance to frontier score and the ease of doing business ranking. The distance to frontier score aids in assessing the absolute level of regulatory performance and how it improves over time. This measure shows the distance of each economy to the “frontier,” which represents the best performance observed on each of the indicators across all economies in the *Doing Business* sample since 2005 or the third year in which data were collected for the indicator. The frontier is set at the highest possible value for indicators calculated as scores, such as the strength of legal rights index or the quality of land administration index. This underscores the gap between a particular economy’s performance and the best performance at any point in time and to assess the absolute change in the economy’s regulatory environment over time as measured by *Doing Business*. The distance to frontier is first computed for each topic and then averaged across all topics to compute the aggregate distance to frontier score. The ranking on the ease of doing business complements the distance to frontier score by providing information about an economy’s performance in business regulation relative to the performance of other economies as measured by *Doing Business*.

Doing Business uses a simple averaging approach for weighting component indicators, calculating rankings and determining the distance to frontier score.³ Each topic covered by *Doing Business* relates to a different aspect of the business regulatory environment. The distance to frontier scores and rankings of each economy vary, often

considerably, across topics, indicating that a strong performance by an economy in one area of regulation can coexist with weak performance in another (figure 2.1). One way to assess the variability of an economy's regulatory performance is to look at its distance to frontier scores across topics (see the country tables). Morocco, for example, has an overall distance to frontier score of 67.50, meaning that it is two-thirds of the way from the worst to the best performance. Its distance to frontier score is 92.34 for starting a business, 83.51 for paying taxes and 81.12 for trading across borders. At the same time, it has a distance to frontier score of 33.89 for resolving insolvency, 45 for getting credit and 53.33 for protecting minority investors.

FACTORS DOING BUSINESS DOES NOT MEASURE

Many important policy areas are not covered by *Doing Business*; even within the areas it covers its scope is narrow (table 2.2). *Doing Business* does not measure the full range of factors, policies and institutions that affect the quality of an economy's business environment or its national competitiveness. It does

TABLE 2.2 What *Doing Business* does not cover

Examples of areas not covered

Macroeconomic stability

Development of the financial system

Quality of the labor force

Incidence of bribery and corruption

Market size

Lack of security

Examples of aspects not included within the areas covered

In paying taxes, personal income tax rates

In getting credit, the monetary policy stance and the associated ease or tightness of credit conditions for firms

In trading across borders, export or import tariffs and subsidies


In resolving insolvency, personal bankruptcy rules

not, for example, capture aspects of macroeconomic stability, development of the financial system, market size, the incidence of bribery and corruption or the quality of the labor force.

The focus is deliberately narrow even within the relatively small set of indicators included in *Doing Business*. The time and cost required for the logistical process of exporting and importing goods is captured in the trading across borders indicators, for example, but they do

not measure the cost of tariffs or of international transport. *Doing Business* provides a narrow perspective on the infrastructure challenges that firms face, particularly in the developing world, through these indicators. It does not address the extent to which inadequate roads, rail, ports and communications may add to firms' costs and undermine competitiveness (except to the extent that the trading across borders indicators indirectly measure the quality of ports and border connections). Similar to the

FIGURE 2.1 An economy's regulatory environment may be more business-friendly in some areas than in others



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The distance to frontier scores reflected are those for the 10 *Doing Business* topics included in this year's aggregate distance to frontier score. The figure is illustrative only; it does not include all 190 economies covered by this year's report. See the country tables for the distance to frontier scores for each *Doing Business* topic for all economies.

indicators on trading across borders, all aspects of commercial legislation are not covered by those on starting a business or protecting minority investors. And while *Doing Business* measures only a few aspects within each area that it covers, business regulation reforms should not focus only on these aspects, because those that it does not measure are also important.

Doing Business does not attempt to quantify all costs and benefits of a particular law or regulation to society as a whole. The paying taxes indicators measure the total tax rate, which, in isolation, is a cost to businesses. However, the indicators do not measure—nor are they intended to measure—the benefits of the social and economic programs funded with tax revenues. Measuring the quality and efficiency of business regulation provides only one input into the debate on the regulatory burden associated with achieving regulatory objectives, which can differ across economies. *Doing Business* provides a starting point for this discussion and should be used in conjunction with other data sources.

ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF THE METHODOLOGY

The *Doing Business* methodology is designed to be an easily replicable way to benchmark specific aspects of business regulation. Its advantages and limitations should be understood when using the data (table 2.3).

Ensuring comparability of the data across a global set of economies is a central consideration for the *Doing Business* indicators, which are developed around standardized case scenarios with specific assumptions. One such assumption is the location of a standardized business—the subject of the *Doing Business* case study—in the largest business city of the economy. The reality is that business regulations and their enforcement may differ

TABLE 2.3 Advantages and limitations of the *Doing Business* methodology

Feature	Advantages	Limitations
Use of standardized case scenarios	Makes data comparable across economies and methodology transparent, using case scenarios that are common globally	Reduces scope of data; only regulatory reforms in areas measured can be systematically tracked; the case scenarios may not be the most common in a particular economy
Focus on largest business city ^a	Makes data collection manageable (cost-effective) and data comparable	Reduces representativeness of data for an economy if there are significant differences across locations
Focus on domestic and formal sector	Keeps attention on formal sector—where regulations are relevant and firms are most productive	Unable to reflect reality for informal sector—important where that is large—or for foreign firms facing a different set of constraints
Reliance on expert respondents	Ensures that data reflect knowledge of those with most experience in conducting types of transactions measured	Indicators less able to capture variation in experiences among entrepreneurs
Focus on the law	Makes indicators “actionable”—because the law is what policy makers can change	Where systematic compliance with the law is lacking, regulatory changes will not achieve full results desired

Source: *Doing Business* database.

a. In economies with a population of more than 100 million as of 2013, *Doing Business* covers business regulation in both the largest and second largest business city.

within a country, particularly in federal states and large economies. But gathering data for every relevant jurisdiction in each of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business* is infeasible. Nevertheless, where policy makers are interested in generating data at the local level, beyond the largest business city, *Doing Business* has complemented its global indicators with subnational studies (box 2.1). Coverage was extended to the second largest business city in economies with a population of more than 100 million (as of 2013) in *Doing Business 2015*.

Doing Business recognizes the limitations of the standardized case scenarios and assumptions. But while such assumptions come at the expense of generality, they also help to ensure the comparability of data. Some *Doing Business* topics are complex, and so it is important that the standardized cases are defined carefully. For example, the standardized case scenario usually involves a limited liability company or its legal equivalent. There are two reasons for this assumption. First, private, limited liability companies are the most prevalent business form (for firms with more than one owner) in many economies around the

world. Second, this choice reflects the focus of *Doing Business* on expanding opportunities for entrepreneurship: investors are encouraged to venture into business when potential losses are limited to their capital participation.


Another assumption underlying the *Doing Business* indicators is that entrepreneurs have knowledge of and comply with applicable regulations. In practice, entrepreneurs may not be aware of what needs to be done or how to comply with regulations and may lose considerable time trying to find out. Alternatively, they may intentionally avoid compliance—by not registering for social security, for example. Firms may opt for bribery and other informal arrangements intended to bypass the rules where regulation is particularly onerous—an aspect that helps explain differences between the de jure data provided by *Doing Business* and the de facto insights offered by the World Bank Enterprise Surveys.⁴ Levels of informality tend to be higher in economies with particularly burdensome regulation. Compared with their formal sector counterparts, firms in the informal sector typically grow more slowly, have poorer access to credit and employ fewer

BOX 2.1 Comparing regulation at the local level: subnational *Doing Business* studies

Subnational *Doing Business* studies, which are undertaken at the request of governments, expand the *Doing Business* analysis beyond an economy's largest business city. They measure variation in regulations or in the implementation of national laws across locations within an economy (as in Poland) or a region (as in South East Europe).

Data collected by subnational studies over the past three years show that there can be substantial variation within an economy (see figure). In Mexico, for example, in 2016 registering a property transfer took as few as 9 days in Puebla and as many as 78 in Oaxaca. Indeed, within the same economy one can find locations that perform as well as economies ranking in the top 20 on the ease of registering property and locations that perform as poorly as economies ranking in the bottom 40 on that indicator.

Different locations, different regulatory processes, same economy



Source: Subnational *Doing Business* database.

Note: The average time shown for each economy is based on all locations covered by the data: 11 cities in Kenya in 2016, 32 states in Mexico in 2016, 18 cities in Poland in 2015, 9 cities in South Africa in 2015 and 19 cities in Spain in 2015.

While subnational *Doing Business* studies generate disaggregated data on business regulation, they go beyond a data collection exercise. They have been shown to be strong motivators for regulatory reform at the local level:

- Results can be benchmarked both locally and globally because the data produced are comparable across locations within the economy and internationally. Comparing locations within the same economy—which share the same legal and regulatory framework—can be revealing: local officials struggle to explain why doing business is more challenging in their jurisdiction than in a neighboring one.
- Highlighting good practices that exist in some locations but not others within an economy helps policy makers recognize the potential for replicating these good practices. This can yield discussions about regulatory reform across different levels of government, providing opportunities for local governments and agencies to learn from one another and resulting in local ownership and capacity building.


Since 2005 subnational reports have covered 438 locations in 65 economies (see map). Seventeen economies—including the Arab Republic of Egypt, Mexico, Nigeria, the Philippines, and the Russian Federation—have undertaken two or more rounds of subnational data collection to measure progress over time. This year subnational studies were completed in Kenya, Mexico and the United Arab Emirates. Ongoing studies include those in Afghanistan (5 cities), Colombia (32 cities), three EU member states (22 cities in Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania) and Kazakhstan (8 cities).

Subnational reports are available on the Doing Business website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/subnational>.

(continued)

BOX 2.1 Comparing regulation at the local level: subnational *Doing Business* studies (continued)

Subnational studies cover a large number of cities across all regions of the world



Source: Subnational *Doing Business* database.

workers—and these workers remain outside the protections of labor law and, more generally, other legal protections embedded in the law.⁵ Firms in the informal sector are also less likely to pay taxes. *Doing Business* measures one set of factors that help explain the occurrence of informality and give policy makers insights into potential areas of regulatory reform.

DATA COLLECTION IN PRACTICE

The *Doing Business* data are based on a detailed reading of domestic laws and regulations as well as administrative requirements. The report covers 190 economies—including some of the smallest and poorest economies, for


which little or no data are available from other sources. The data are collected through several rounds of communication with expert respondents (both private sector practitioners and government officials), through responses to questionnaires, conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team. *Doing Business* relies on four main sources of information: the relevant laws and regulations, *Doing Business* respondents, the governments of the economies covered and the World Bank Group regional staff (figure 2.2). For a detailed explanation of the *Doing Business* methodology, see the data notes.

Relevant laws and regulations

The *Doing Business* indicators are based mostly on laws and regulations: around 60% of the data embedded in the *Doing*

Business indicators are based on a reading of the law. In addition to filling out questionnaires, *Doing Business* respondents submit references to the relevant laws, regulations and fee schedules. The *Doing Business* team collects the texts of the relevant laws and regulations and checks the questionnaire responses for accuracy. The team will examine the civil procedure code, for example, to check the maximum number of adjournments in a commercial court dispute, and read the insolvency code to identify if the debtor can initiate liquidation or reorganization proceeding. These and other types of laws are available on the *Doing Business* law library website.⁶ Since the data collection process involves an annual update of an established database, having a very large sample of respondents is not strictly necessary. In principle, the role of the contributors

FIGURE 2.2 How *Doing Business* collects and verifies the data



is largely advisory—helping the *Doing Business* team to locate and understand the laws and regulations. There are quickly diminishing returns to an expanded pool of contributors. This notwithstanding, the number of contributors rose by 58% between 2010 and 2016.

Extensive consultations with multiple contributors are conducted by the team to minimize measurement error for the rest of the data. For some indicators—for example, those on dealing with construction permits, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency—the time component and part of the cost component (where fee schedules are lacking) are based on actual practice rather than the law on the books. This introduces a degree of judgment by respondents on what actual practice looks like. When respondents disagree, the time indicators reported by *Doing Business* represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case.

Doing Business respondents

More than 39,000 professionals in 190 economies have assisted in providing the data that inform the *Doing Business* indicators over the past 14 years.⁷ This year's report draws on the inputs of more than 12,500 professionals.⁸ Table 12.2 in the data notes lists the number of respondents for each indicator set. The *Doing Business* website shows the number of respondents for each economy and each indicator set.

Selected on the basis of their expertise in these areas, respondents are professionals who routinely administer or advise on the legal and regulatory requirements in the specific areas covered by *Doing Business*. Because of the focus on legal and regulatory arrangements, most of the respondents are legal professionals such as lawyers, judges or notaries. In addition, officials of the credit bureau or registry complete the credit information questionnaire. Accountants, architects, engineers, freight forwarders and other

professionals answer the questionnaires related to paying taxes, dealing with construction permits, trading across borders and getting electricity. Information that is incorporated into the indicators is also provided by certain public officials (such as registrars from the company or property registry).

The *Doing Business* approach is to work with legal practitioners or other professionals who regularly undertake the transactions involved. Following the standard methodological approach for time-and-motion studies, *Doing Business* breaks down each process or transaction, such as starting a business or registering a building, into separate steps to ensure a better estimate of time. The time estimate for each step is given by practitioners with significant and routine experience in the transaction.

There are two main reasons that *Doing Business* does not survey firms. The first relates to the frequency with

which firms engage in the transactions captured by the indicators, which is generally low. For example, a firm goes through the start-up process once in its existence, while an incorporation lawyer may carry out 10 such transactions each month. The incorporation lawyers and other experts providing information to *Doing Business* are therefore better able to assess the process of starting a business than are individual firms. They also have access to current regulations and practices, while a firm may have faced a different set of rules when incorporating years before. The second reason is that the *Doing Business* questionnaires mostly gather legal information, which firms are unlikely to be fully familiar with. For example, few firms will know about all the many legal procedures involved in resolving a commercial dispute through the courts, even if they have gone through the process themselves. But a litigation lawyer should have little difficulty in providing the requested information on all the processes.

Governments and World Bank Group regional staff

After receiving the completed questionnaires from the *Doing Business* respondents, verifying the information against the law and conducting follow-up inquiries to ensure that all relevant information is captured, the *Doing Business* team shares the preliminary descriptions of regulatory reforms with governments (through the World Bank Group's Board of Executive Directors) and with regional staff of the World Bank Group. Through this process government authorities and World Bank Group staff working on most of the economies covered can alert the team about, for example, regulatory reforms not included by the respondents or additional achievements of regulatory reforms already captured in the database. The *Doing Business* team can then turn to the local private sector experts for further consultation and, as needed, corroboration. In addition, the team responds formally to the comments of governments or regional staff and provides explanations of the scoring decisions.

Data adjustments

Information on data corrections is provided in the data notes and on the *Doing Business* website. A transparent complaint procedure allows anyone to challenge the data. From November 2015 to October 2016 the team received and responded to more than 240 queries on the data. If changes in data are confirmed, they are immediately reflected on the website.

USES OF THE DOING BUSINESS DATA

Doing Business was designed with two main types of users in mind: policy makers and researchers.⁹ It is a tool that governments can use to design sound business regulatory policies. Nevertheless, the *Doing Business* data are limited in scope and should be complemented with other sources of information. *Doing Business* focuses on a few specific rules relevant to the specific case studies analyzed. These rules and case studies are chosen to be illustrative of the business regulatory environment, but they are not a comprehensive description of that environment. By providing a unique data set that enables analysis aimed at better understanding the role of business regulation in economic development, *Doing Business* is also an important source of information for researchers.

Governments and policy makers

Doing Business offers policy makers a benchmarking tool useful in stimulating policy debate, both by exposing potential challenges and by identifying good practices and lessons learned. Despite the narrow focus of the indicators, the initial debate in an economy on the results they highlight typically turns into a deeper discussion on areas where business regulatory reform is needed, including areas well beyond those measured by *Doing Business*.

Many *Doing Business* indicators can be considered actionable. For example, governments can set the minimum

capital requirement for new firms, invest in company and property registries to increase their efficiency, or improve the efficiency of tax administration by adopting the latest technology to facilitate the preparation, filing and payment of taxes by the business community. And they can undertake court reforms to shorten delays in the enforcement of contracts. But some *Doing Business* indicators capture procedures, time and costs that involve private sector participants, such as lawyers, notaries, architects, electricians or freight forwarders. Governments may have little influence in the short run over the fees these professions charge, though much can be achieved by strengthening professional licensing regimes and preventing anticompetitive behavior. And governments have no control over the geographic location of their economy, a factor that can adversely affect businesses.

While many *Doing Business* indicators are actionable, this does not necessarily mean that they are all "action-worthy" in a particular context. Business regulatory reforms are only one element of a strategy aimed at improving competitiveness and establishing a solid foundation for sustainable economic growth. There are many other important goals to pursue—such as effective management of public finances, adequate attention to education and training, adoption of the latest technologies to boost economic productivity and the quality of public services, and appropriate regard for air and water quality to safeguard public health. Governments must decide what set of priorities best suits their needs. To say that governments should work toward a sensible set of rules for private sector activity (as embodied, for example, in the *Doing Business* indicators) does not suggest that doing so should come at the expense of other worthy policy goals.

Over the past decade governments have increasingly turned to *Doing Business* as a repository of actionable, objective data providing unique insights into

good practices worldwide as they have come to understand the importance of business regulation as a driving force of competitiveness. To ensure the coordination of efforts across agencies, economies such as Colombia, Malaysia and Russia have formed regulatory reform committees. These committees use the *Doing Business* indicators as one input to inform their programs for improving the business environment. More than 40 other economies have also formed such committees. In East Asia and the Pacific they include: Brunei Darussalam; Indonesia; the Republic of Korea; the Philippines; Taiwan, China; and Thailand. In the Middle East and North Africa: the Arab Republic of Egypt, Kuwait, Morocco, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates. In South Asia: India and Pakistan. In Europe and Central Asia: Albania, Croatia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kosovo, the Kyrgyz Republic, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Moldova, Montenegro, Poland, Tajikistan, Ukraine and Uzbekistan. In Sub-Saharan Africa: the Democratic Republic of Congo, the Republic of Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Burundi, Guinea, Kenya, Liberia, Malawi, Mali, Mauritius, Nigeria, Rwanda, Sierra Leone, Togo, Zambia and Zimbabwe. And in Latin America: Chile, Costa Rica, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Mexico, Panama and Peru. Governments have reported more than 2,900 regulatory reforms, 777 of which have been informed by *Doing Business* since 2003.¹⁰

Many economies share knowledge on the regulatory reform process related to the areas measured by *Doing Business*. Among the most common venues for this knowledge sharing are peer-to-peer learning events—workshops where officials from different governments across a region or even across the globe meet to discuss the challenges of regulatory reform and to share their experiences.

Think tanks and other research organizations

Doing Business data are widely used by think tanks and other research

organizations, both for the development of new indexes and to produce research papers.

Many research papers have shown the importance of business regulation and how it relates to different economic outcomes.¹¹ One of the most cited theoretical mechanisms on how excessive business regulation affects economic performance and development is that it makes it too costly for firms to engage in the formal economy, causing them not to invest or to move to the informal economy. Recent studies have conducted extensive empirical testing of this proposition using *Doing Business* and other related indicators. According to one study, for example, a reform that simplified business registration in Mexican municipalities increased registration by 5% and wage employment by 2.2%—and, as a result of increased competition, reduced the income of incumbent businesses by 3%.¹² Business registration reforms in Mexico also resulted in 14.9% of informal business owners shifting to the formal economy.¹³

Considerable effort has been devoted to studying the link between government regulation of firm entry and employment growth. In Portugal business reforms resulted in a reduction of the time and cost needed for company formalization, increasing the number of business start-ups by 17% and creating 7 new jobs per 100,000 inhabitants per month. But although these start-ups were smaller and more likely to be female-owned than before the reform, they were also headed by less experienced and poorly-educated entrepreneurs with lower sales per worker.¹⁴

In many economies companies engaged in international trade struggle with high trade costs arising from transport, logistics and regulations, impeding their competitiveness and preventing them from taking full advantage of their productive

capacity. With the availability of *Doing Business* indicators on trading across borders—which measure the time, procedural and monetary costs of exporting and importing—several empirical studies have assessed how trade costs affect the export and import performance of economies. A rich body of empirical research shows that efficient infrastructure and a healthy business environment are positively linked to export performance.¹⁵

Improving infrastructure efficiency and trade logistics bring documented benefits to an economy's balance of trade and individual traders but delays in transit time can reduce exports: a study analyzing the importance of trade logistics found that a 1-day increase in transit time reduces exports by an average of 7% in Sub-Saharan Africa.¹⁶ Another study found that a 1-day delay in transport time for landlocked economies and for time-sensitive agricultural and manufacturing products has a particularly large negative impact, reducing trade by more than 1% for each day of delay.¹⁷ Delays while clearing customs procedures also negatively impact a firm's ability to export, particularly when goods are destined for new clients.¹⁸ And in economies with flexible entry regulations, a 1% increase in trade is associated with an increase of more than 0.5% in income per capita, but has no positive income effects in economies with more rigid regulation.¹⁹ Research has also found that—although domestic buyers benefit from having goods of varying quality and price to choose from—import competition only results in minimal quality upgrading in OECD high-income economies with cumbersome regulation while it has no effect on quality upgrading in non-OECD economies with cumbersome regulation.²⁰ Therefore, the potential gains for consumers from import competition are reduced where regulations are cumbersome.

Doing Business measures aspects of business regulation affecting domestic firms. However, research shows that better business regulation—as measured by

Doing Business—is associated with higher levels of foreign direct investment.²¹ Furthermore, foreign direct investment can either impede or promote domestic investment depending on how business friendly entry regulations are in the host economy. In fact, foreign direct investment has been shown to crowd out domestic investment in economies with costly processes for starting a business.²² Another study showed that economies with higher international market integration have, on average, easier and simpler processes for starting a business.²³

Recent empirical work shows the importance of well-designed credit market regulations and well-functioning court systems for debt recovery. For example, a reform making bankruptcy laws more efficient significantly improved the recovery rate of viable firms in Colombia.²⁴ In a multi-economy study, the introduction of collateral registries for movable assets was shown to increase firms' access to finance by approximately 8%.²⁵ In India the establishment of debt recovery tribunals reduced non-performing loans by 28% and lowered interest rates on larger loans, suggesting that faster processing of debt recovery cases cut the cost of credit.²⁶ An in-depth review of global bank flows revealed that firms in economies with better credit information sharing systems and higher branch penetration evade taxes to a lesser degree.²⁷ Strong shareholder rights have been found to lower financial frictions, especially for firms with large external finance relative to their capital stock (such as small firms or firms in distress).²⁸

There is also a large body of theoretical and empirical work investigating the distortionary effects of high tax rates and cumbersome tax codes and procedures. According to one study, business licensing among retail firms rose 13% after a tax reform in Brazil.²⁹ Another showed that a 10% reduction in tax complexity is comparable to a 1% reduction in effective corporate tax rates.³⁰

Labor market regulation—as measured by *Doing Business*—has been shown to have important implications for the labor market. According to one study, graduating from school during a time of adverse economic conditions has a persistent, harmful effect on workers' subsequent employment opportunities. The persistence of this negative effect is stronger in countries with stricter employment protection legislation.³¹ Rigid employment protection legislation can also have negative distributional consequences. A study on Chile, for example, found that the tightening of job security rules was associated with lower employment rates for youth, unskilled workers and women.³²

Indexes

Doing Business identified 17 different data projects or indexes that use *Doing Business* as one of its sources of data.³³ Most of these projects or institutions use indicator level data and not the aggregate ease of doing business ranking. Starting a business is the indicator set most widely used, followed by labor market regulation and paying taxes. These indexes typically combine *Doing Business* data with data from other sources to assess an economy along a particular aggregate dimension such as competitiveness or innovation. The Heritage Foundation's Index of Economic Freedom, for example, has used six *Doing Business* indicators to measure the degree of economic freedom in the world.³⁴ Economies that score better in these six areas also tend to have a high degree of economic freedom.

Similarly, the World Economic Forum uses *Doing Business* data in its Global Competitiveness Index to demonstrate how competitiveness is a global driver of economic growth. The organization also uses *Doing Business* indicators in four other indexes that measure technological readiness, human capital development, travel and tourism sector competitiveness and trade facilitation. These publicly accessible sources expand the general business

environment data generated by *Doing Business* by incorporating it into the study of other important social and economic issues across economies and regions. They prove that, taken individually, *Doing Business* indicators remain a useful starting point for a rich body of analysis across different areas and dimensions in the research world.

Doing Business has contributed substantially to the debate on the importance of business regulation for economic development. By expanding the time series and the scope of the data with the recent methodology expansion, *Doing Business* hopes to continue being a key reference going forward.

NEW AREAS INCLUDED IN THIS YEAR'S REPORT

This year's *Doing Business* report includes data for one new economy, Somalia, expands the paying taxes indicators, includes gender dimensions in four indicator sets and adds a new annex on selling to the government.

For any new indicators or economies added to the distance to frontier score and the ease of doing business ranking, the data are presented for the last two consecutive years to ensure that there are at least two years of comparable data.

Paying taxes

The paying taxes indicator set is the last to be expanded as part of the methodology improvement process started three years ago that affects 9 of the 10 areas covered in the ease of doing business ranking. Only the starting a business indicators remain under the original methodology.

The paying taxes indicator set assesses the number of payments, time and total tax rate for a firm to comply with all tax regulations. This year's report adds a new indicator to include postfiling processes. Under postfiling processes,

Doing Business measures value added tax refund, corporate income tax audits and administrative tax appeals. Under value added tax refunds, *Doing Business* measures how long it takes to comply and to obtain back the value added tax paid on a capital purchase (including any value added tax audits associated with it). Under the corporate income tax audits, *Doing Business* focuses on the time it takes and the process to complete a tax audit when a firm mistakenly declares a lower tax liability than it should have. *Doing Business* also measures good practices in the tax appeals process, such as independence from the tax collecting agency, but those are not scored. In this year's report there is a case study dedicated to analyzing the results of this methodology expansion.

Adding gender components

This year's *Doing Business* report presents a gender dimension in four of the indicator sets: starting a business, registering property, enforcing contracts and labor market regulation. Three of these areas are included in the distance to frontier score and in the ease of doing business ranking, while the fourth—labor market regulation—is not.

Doing Business has traditionally assumed that the entrepreneurs or workers discussed in the case studies were men. This was incomplete by not reflecting correctly the *Doing Business* processes as applied to women—which in some economies may be different from the processes applied to men. Starting this year, *Doing Business* measures the starting a business process for two case scenarios: one where all entrepreneurs are men and one where all entrepreneurs are women. In economies where the processes are more onerous if the entrepreneur is a woman, *Doing Business* now counts the extra procedures applied to roughly half of the population that is female (for example, obtaining a husband's consent or gender-specific requirements for opening a personal bank account when starting a business).

Within the registering property indicators, a gender component has been added to the quality of land administration index. This component measures women's ability to use, own, and transfer property according to the law. Finally, within the enforcing contracts indicator set, economies will be scored on having equal evidentiary weight of women's and men's testimony in court.

The labor market regulation indicators have included data on gender components for the past two years. These data include: whether nonpregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men; whether the law mandates equal remuneration for work of equal value; whether the law mandates non-discrimination based on gender in hiring; whether the law mandates paid or unpaid maternity leave; the minimum length of paid maternity leave; and whether employees on maternity leave receive 100% of wages.

Selling to the government

The analysis uses a new pilot indicator set, selling to the government, which measures public procurement regulation and is presented as an annex to this year's report. The procurement process is analyzed across five main areas: accessibility and transparency, bid security, payment delays, incentives for small and medium-size enterprises and complaints mechanisms. Accessibility and transparency covers whether information is accessible to prospective bidders and how that information can be accessed. The analysis on bid security discusses the amount that prospective bidders need to pay upfront in order to be considered in the bidding process and the form of the security deposit. For payment delays, the annex presents the time it takes for the firm to receive payment from the government after the contract is completed and the service has been delivered. The incentives for small and medium-size enterprises component measures whether economies have set up specific legal provisions or policies to promote fair

access for small and medium-size firms to government contracts. And for the complaints mechanism component, the annex discusses the process to file a grievance regarding a public procurement project, including who can file a complaint, where to file a complaint and the independence of the review body and what remedies are granted.

NOTES

1. Data from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys and *Doing Business* complement each other as two sides of the same coin. They both provide useful information on the business environment of an economy, but in significantly different ways. The scope of *Doing Business* is narrower than the Enterprise Surveys. However, by focusing on actionable indicators related to business regulation, *Doing Business* provides a clear roadmap for governments to improve. *Doing Business* uses standardized case scenarios while the Enterprise Surveys use representative samples. For more on the Enterprise Surveys and the differences between the Enterprise Surveys and *Doing Business*, see the website at <http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>.
2. These papers are available on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/methodology>.
3. For getting credit, indicators are weighted proportionally, according to their contribution to the total score, with a weight of 60% assigned to the strength of legal rights index and 40% to the depth of credit information index. In this way each point included in these indexes has the same value independent of the component it belongs to. Indicators for all other topics are assigned equal weights. For more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking.
4. Hallward-Driemeier and Pritchett 2015.
5. Schneider 2005; La Porta and Shleifer 2008.
6. For the law library, see the website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/law-library>.
7. The annual data collection exercise is an update of the database. The *Doing Business* team and the contributors examine the extent to which the regulatory framework has changed in ways relevant for the features captured by the indicators. The data collection process should therefore be seen as adding each year to an existing stock of knowledge reflected in the previous year's report, not as creating an entirely new data set.
8. While about 12,500 contributors provided data for this year's report, many of them completed a questionnaire for more than one *Doing Business* indicator set. Indeed, the total number of contributions received for this year's report is more than 15,700, which represents a true measure of the inputs.

- received. The average number of contributions per indicator set and economy is more than seven. For more details, see <http://www.doingbusiness.org/contributors/do-business>.
9. The focus of the *Doing Business* indicators remains the regulatory regime faced by domestic firms engaging in economic activity in the largest business city of an economy. *Doing Business* was not initially designed to inform decisions by foreign investors, though investors may in practice find the data useful as a proxy for the quality of the national investment climate. Analysis done in the World Bank Group's Global Indicators Group has shown that countries that have sensible rules for domestic economic activity also tend to have good rules for the activities of foreign subsidiaries engaged in the local economy.
 10. These are reforms for which *Doing Business* is aware that information provided by *Doing Business* was used in shaping the reform agenda.
 11. The papers cited here are just a few examples of research done in the areas measured by *Doing Business*. Since 2003, when the *Doing Business* report was first published, 2,182 research articles discussing how regulation in the areas measured by *Doing Business* influences economic outcomes have been published in peer-reviewed academic journals. Another 6,296 working papers have been posted online.
 12. Bruhn 2011.
 13. Bruhn 2013.
 14. Branstetter and others 2013.
 15. Portugal-Perez and Wilson 2011.
 16. Freund and Rocha 2011.
 17. Djankov, Freund and Pham 2010.
 18. Martincus, Carballo and Graziano 2015.
 19. Freund and Bolaky 2008.
 20. Amiti and Khandelwal 2011.
 21. Corcoran and Gillanders 2015.
 22. Munemo 2014.
 23. Norbäck, Persson and Douhan 2014.
 24. Giné and Love 2010.
 25. Love, Martinez-Peria and Singh 2013.
 26. Visaria 2009.
 27. Beck, Lin and Ma 2014.
 28. Claessens, Ueda and Yafeh 2014.
 29. Monteiro and Assunção 2012.
 30. Lawless 2013.
 31. Kawaguchi and Murao 2014.
 32. Montenegro and Pagés 2003.
 33. The 17 indexes are: the Millennium Challenge Corporation's Open Data Catalog; the Heritage Foundation's Index of Economic Freedom (IEF); the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Index (GCI), Networked Readiness Index (NRI, jointly with INSEAD), Human Capital Index (HCI), Enabling Trade Index (ETI) and Travel and Tourism Competitiveness Index (TTCI); INSEAD's Global Talent Competitiveness Index (GTGI) and Global Innovation Index (GII, jointly with Cornell University and the World Intellectual Property Organization); Fraser Institute's Economic Freedom of the World (EFW); KPMG's Change Readiness Index (CRI); Citi and Imperial College London's Digital Money Index; International Institute for Management Development's World Competitiveness Yearbook; DHL's Global Connectedness Index (GCI); PricewaterhouseCoopers' Paying Taxes 2016: The Global Picture; and Legatum Institute's Legatum Prosperity Index.
 34. For more on the Heritage Foundation's Index of Economic Freedom, see the website at <http://heritage.org/index>.



Reforming the Business Environment in 2015/16

Efficient business regulation leads to greater market entry, job creation, higher productivity and improved levels of overall economic development.¹ Even though the scope of the *Doing Business* indicators is limited by necessity, there is well-established evidence that moving from the lowest quartile of improvement in business regulation to the highest quartile is associated with significant increases in annual economic growth per capita.² A large body of literature indicates that the simplification of business entry regulation results in higher numbers of new businesses and an increased rate of employment.³ Research covering 172 economies in the period from 2006 to 2010 shows that each additional business regulatory reform is associated with an average increase of 0.15% in economic growth. Indeed, business regulatory reforms might have helped to mitigate the effects of the 2008 global financial crisis since economies that undertook more reforms experienced higher economic growth rates.⁴

Regulation is necessary to maintain efficient, safe and orderly societies. *Doing Business* focuses on the development of streamlined, necessary and competent regulatory practices that facilitate private sector development rather than create unnecessary bureaucratic obstacles and opportunities for rent seeking. *Doing Business* advocates adherence to established good practices like free access to information, transparency of fees and the use of online services. Since the publication of the first *Doing Business* report,

governments around the world have implemented over 2,900 reforms striving to align domestic business regulation with the good practices advocated by *Doing Business*. Many governments use *Doing Business* indicator sets to formulate and monitor their reform efforts. The Indian government, for example, has committed to improving its *Doing Business* ranking by steadily implementing reforms across all indicators (box 3.1).⁵

In Japan the government aims to improve the economy's *Doing Business* ranking from 19 (among 31 OECD high-income economies) to the top three. To achieve this goal, Haidar and Hoshi (2015) outlined 31 reform recommendations classified into six different categories depending on whether the reform was administrative or legal and on the level of potential political resistance.⁶ Proposed administrative changes with low political resistance include the electronic submission and processing of export and import documents, fast-track procedures for property transfers and the consolidation of bureaucratic processes at the Legal Affairs Office. Administrative changes with medium political resistance focus on the reduction of the number of procedures to obtain a construction permit, development of specialized commercial courts and expansion of case management systems. An administrative change that will most likely face high political resistance is the introduction of performance measures for judges due to the division of power between the legal system, the government and the business environment.⁷

- In the year ending June 1, 2016, 137 economies implemented 283 total reforms across the different areas measured by *Doing Business*, an increase of over 20% from last year.
- *Doing Business* has recorded more than 2,900 regulatory reforms making it easier to do business since 2004.
- The economies showing the most notable improvement in performance on the *Doing Business* indicators in 2015/16 were Brunei Darussalam, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Belarus and Indonesia.
- Reforms inspired by *Doing Business* have been implemented by economies in all regions. But Europe and Central Asia continues to be the region with the highest share of economies implementing at least one reform—96% of economies in the region have implemented at least one business regulatory reform.
- Starting a business continues to be the most common reform area with 49 reforms, followed by paying taxes with 46.
- Increasingly, the competitiveness of cities is seen as an important driver of job creation and economic growth. By focusing on cities, subnational *Doing Business* studies contribute to the improvement of their competitiveness, providing information to policy makers on how to reform the business regulatory environment.

BOX 3.1 India has embarked on an ambitious reform path

The current government of India was elected in 2014 on a platform of increasing job creation, mostly through encouraging investment in the manufacturing sector. Soon after the elections policy makers realized that for this to occur substantial improvements would need to be made to the country's overall business regulatory environment. The *Doing Business* indicators have been employed as one of the main measures to monitor improvements in India's business climate. As a result of the election platform-driven reform agenda, over the past two years the *Doing Business* report has served as an effective tool to design and implement business regulatory reforms.

The data presented by the *Doing Business* indicators have led to a clear realization that India is in need of transformative reforms. The country has embarked on a fast-paced reform path, and the *Doing Business 2017* report acknowledges a number of substantial improvements. For example, India has achieved significant reductions in the time and cost to provide electricity connections to businesses. In 2015/16 the utility in Delhi streamlined the connection process for new commercial electricity connections by allowing consumers to obtain connections for up to 200 kilowatt capacity to low-tension networks. This reform led to the simplification of the commercial electricity connection process in two ways. First, it eliminated the need to purchase and install a distribution transformer and related connection materials, as the connection is now done directly to the distribution network, leading to a reduction in cost. Second, the time required to conduct external connection works by the utility has been greatly reduced due to the low-tension connection and there is no longer a need to install a distribution transformer. As a result, the time needed to connect to electricity was reduced from 138 days in 2013/14 to 45 days in 2015/16. And in the same period, the cost was reduced from 846% of income per capita to 187%.

Over the past three years, the utility in Delhi has substantially reduced the time and cost of obtaining an electricity connection



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Furthermore, India has made paying taxes easier by introducing an electronic system for paying employee state insurance contributions. In the area of trade, as of April 2016 the Customs Electronic Commerce Interchange Gateway portal allowed for the electronic filing (e-filing) of integrated customs declarations, bills of entry and shipping bills, reducing the time and cost for export and import documentary compliance. The portal also facilitates data and communication exchanges between applicants and customs, reducing the time for export and import border compliance. Additionally, an Integrated Risk Management System has become fully operational and ensured that all the consignments are selected based on the principles of risk management. Furthermore, the government of India adopted the Companies (Amendment) Act (No. 21) in May 2015. The amendments were published in the official gazette and immediately entered into force upon notification by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs. As a result, the minimum capital requirement for company incorporation was abolished and the requirement to obtain a certificate to commence business operations was eliminated. To improve court efficiency, the passage of the Commercial Courts, Commercial Divisions and Commercial Appellate Divisions Act of 2015 established effective mechanisms for addressing commercial cases. And in May 2016 the government of India enacted the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), which—when it comes into effect—will overhaul the 60-year-old framework for company liquidation and introduce new insolvency practices.

The experience of implementing reforms based on *Doing Business* data has demonstrated to the government the significance of establishing clear stakeholder feedback mechanisms to close the gaps between policy formulation and implementation. Finally, the government has also acknowledged the need to implement reforms across the country—not just in Mumbai and Delhi, which are the cities covered by *Doing Business*. Lawmakers have recommended the implementation of a large number of reforms across all states, going beyond the scope of *Doing Business*.

Regulatory reforms inspired by *Doing Business* have been implemented by economies in all regions. Rwanda, which ranks second in Africa in *Doing Business* 2017, is an example of an economy that used *Doing Business* as a guide to improve its business environment. From *Doing Business* 2005 to *Doing Business* 2017 Rwanda implemented a total of 47 reforms across all indicators. Rwanda is one of only 10 economies that have implemented reforms in all of the *Doing Business* indicators and every year since *Doing Business* 2006.⁸ These reforms are in line with Rwanda's Vision 2020 development strategy, which aims to transform Rwanda from a low-income economy to a lower-middle-income economy by raising income per capita from \$290 to \$1,240 by 2020.⁹

Doing Business is widely used by policy makers in Sub-Saharan Africa to advance their reform agendas. Some of these economies have established units dedicated to specific reform action plans targeting the *Doing Business* indicators. In Kenya, for example, the Ease of Doing Business Delivery Unit operates under the leadership of the Ministry of Industrialization and the Deputy President, meeting on average every two weeks to discuss progress on an established action plan. The meeting is chaired by either the Deputy President or the Minister of Industrialization, while several stakeholder agencies are responsible for implementing measures stated in the action plan.

In Burundi, the investment climate reform agenda is overseen by the Office of the Second Vice President. The dedicated Doing Business Intelligence Committee comprises several ministers and is supported by an executive secretariat, which assumes the day-to-day work and reform coordination as well as public-private dialogue and communication on current reforms. Nigeria's government, which came to power in 2015, has placed a strong emphasis on increasing the country's competitiveness. In early 2016

Nigeria established the Presidential Enabling Business Environment Council, which is chaired by the Vice President; the Federal Minister of Industry, Trade and Investment is the vice-chairman. The Council's main mandate is the supervision of the competitiveness and investment climate agenda at the federal and state levels, while the Enabling Business Environment Secretariat is charged with day-to-day reform implementation.

Similarly, the Prime Minister of Côte d'Ivoire is the champion of the investment climate reform agenda and chairs the National Interdepartmental Doing Business Committee. The prerogative of this committee, which includes public and private sector stakeholders, is to formulate the reform agenda and to ensure the high-level monitoring of its implementation. Its permanent secretariat assumes coordination and implementation of the established reform agenda. In Zimbabwe, the Office of the President and Cabinet oversees the *Doing Business* reform initiative using a Rapid Results Initiative approach. The Chief Secretary to the President and Cabinet is the strategic sponsor of the Initiative. Permanent Secretaries from more than 10 ministries are responsible for implementing measures outlined in the action plan for each of the *Doing Business* indicators.

Recently some reform efforts have advanced beyond the geographic boundaries of individual states. In 2015, 10 economies came together to form the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Economic Community, a single market economy for goods, services, capital and labor, which—once it is realized—could result in a market larger than the European Union or North America. This year the 10 ASEAN economies implemented a total of 31 reforms across the *Doing Business* indicators—including six reforms in the area of paying taxes and six reforms in the area of getting credit. Malaysia, for example, introduced an online system for filing and paying goods and services tax and

strengthened credit reporting by beginning to provide consumer credit scores.

ASEAN can also learn from other Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) economies how to reform and create a uniform business environment. The APEC Ease of Doing Business (EoDB) initiative set a goal of an APEC-wide improvement of 25% by 2015 in five *Doing Business* indicators: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting credit, trading across borders and enforcing contracts. This goal—of making doing business faster, cheaper and easier—was endorsed by APEC leaders in 2009. By 2015 APEC economies reached an improvement of 12.7% and launched the EoDB Action Plan (2016–2018) to further this effort. The new target was an improvement of 10% by 2018 in the existing five priority areas using the baseline data of 2015.¹⁰ The main overarching objectives across the recommendations are simplifying and streamlining business processes, creating electronic platforms and establishing a single-interface service.

HIGHLIGHTS OF REFORMS MEASURED IN DOING BUSINESS IN 2015/16

The private sector is universally recognized as being a key driver of economic growth and development. Nearly 90% of employment, including formal and informal jobs, occurs within the private sector, which has an abundant potential that should be harnessed.¹¹ Governments in many economies work together with the private sector to create a thriving business environment. One way of doing this is through implementing effective business regulation that ensures that all actors have fair and equal opportunities to participate in a competitive market. More specifically, effective business regulation can encourage firm creation and growth and minimize market distortions or failures. *Doing Business* continues to capture dozens of reforms implemented through its 11 indicator sets.


BOX 3.2 Subnational Doing Business studies in Mexico and Colombia: reforming through competition and collaboration

In 2005 Mexico requested that the World Bank expand the *Doing Business* benchmarks beyond Mexico City to assess the business regulatory environment across states, arguing that the capital city was not representative of Mexico as a whole. A decade later subnational *Doing Business* studies have been replicated across the globe, measuring 438 locations in 65 economies and recording 583 regulatory reforms. The strong demand for subnational *Doing Business* studies proves that comparisons among locations within the same economy and the sharing of good practices are strong drivers of reform.

By leveraging the methodology of *Doing Business* and combining it with a strong engagement strategy with local authorities, sub-national *Doing Business* studies increase ownership of the reform agenda at all levels of government. The results from repeated benchmarking exercises in Colombia and Mexico—three and six rounds, respectively—and the growing commitment from government partners in these countries provide examples of how subnational *Doing Business* studies can be used as a public policy tool to identify local differences, guide reform efforts and track progress over time.

Over the course of the subnational series in Mexico, the number of states reforming has increased considerably. Greater buy-in from different government institutions has also expanded the range of reforms. The first two rounds recorded reforms in the majority of the states, but not all. However, soon after the first study, competition and collaboration spurred the reform momentum and, since 2012, all the 32 states have embarked on an active path to reform. States and municipalities began to expand their reform efforts to a larger number of areas. They did this by strengthening intragovernmental collaboration—between state, municipal and national authorities—and reaching out to the judiciary. With the support of the judiciary, Mexico introduced legal reforms to facilitate contract enforcement. Between 2012 and 2016 the Mexican states of Colima, Estado de México, Puebla, San Luis Potosí and Sinaloa reformed in all four areas measured by the project. Subnational *Doing Business* has recorded a total of 252 regulatory improvements across all states in Mexico to date.

In Mexico the top improvers started out as the worst performers



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Among Mexican states Colima, Estado de México and Guerrero have made the most improvement on the starting a business indicator set since 2007.

In Colombia 100% of locations reformed after the first benchmark in 2008. The third round in 2012 covered 23 locations and recorded a total of 62 reforms across all indicators. Those locations that had initially ranked poorly—the large business centers such as Medellín, Bucaramanga and Cartagena—improved the most that year. The findings of the subnational studies spurred technical assistance programs implemented by the national government to support local reforms. The fourth round, in 2017, will expand the geographic coverage to measure all departments (states) in Colombia for the first time.

The findings of subnational *Doing Business* studies not only encourage competition but also inspire peer-to-peer learning initiatives by highlighting good practices in an economy. Peer-to-peer learning can be one of the most powerful drivers of reforms, particularly when good practices are replicated within the cities of the same economy. Cities with inefficient business regulation benefit the most from such practice, learning from a wealth of information available on national good practices. It is therefore not uncommon to see cities that performed poorly in a business regulatory area to show a steep improvement in the next round of measurement.

(continued)

BOX 3.2 Subnational *Doing Business* studies in Mexico and Colombia: reforming through competition and collaboration (continued)

In Colombia the cities of Neiva and Cartagena stand out. Neiva, which ranked last in Colombia's subnational *Doing Business* study in 2008, established an "anti-red tape" committee, bringing together the municipality, chamber of commerce, business associations and representatives of national agencies, such as the police and the tax authority. This committee met every month to propose changes to the regulatory environment and monitor progress. As a result, Neiva launched a one-stop shop for business registration which connected the municipal and state governments, eliminating 11 procedures required to start a business and speeding up the process by five weeks.

After finishing near the bottom of the ranking on the ease of starting a business twice in a row, the Mayor of Cartagena put forward an ambitious plan to eliminate the bottlenecks identified by subnational *Doing Business*. In a joint effort between the city and the private sector, Cartagena was able to implement reforms that reduced the time to register a company by half and costs by over 60%. As a result, Cartagena rose from a ranking of 21 on the ease of starting a business in 2008 to a ranking of 6 in 2012.

Mexican states have also made marked improvements in their performance in the subnational *Doing Business* studies. In 2007 Colima, Estado de México and Guerrero were several of the states where it was most challenging to start a business. It took on average two months and 18% of income per capita for entrepreneurs to formally start their business. In 2016 it takes entrepreneurs in Colima, Estado de Mexico and Guerrero no more than two weeks to start a business and on average their costs have been reduced by half.

Competitive cities can be drivers of job creation and economic growth. By focusing on cities, the subnational *Doing Business* studies contribute to the improvement of their competitiveness, providing information to policy makers on how to reform the business regulatory environment. Ultimately, competitive cities can help eliminate extreme poverty and promote prosperity for all citizens.^a

a. Kilroy, Mukhim and Negri 2015.

In 2015/16, 137 economies implemented 283 reforms across different areas measured by *Doing Business*. The most reformed indicators this cycle are starting a business, paying taxes and getting credit. The region with the highest share of reforms across all topics is Europe and Central Asia, continuing a trend begun well over a decade ago (table 3.1). Indeed, 96% of economies in the region have implemented at least one business regulatory reform recorded by *Doing Business* 2017. Kazakhstan, Georgia and Belarus are regional leaders on the total count of reforms, implementing seven, five and four reforms, respectively.

In 2015/16, 29 economies implemented a net of at least three reforms improving their business regulatory systems or related institutions as measured by *Doing Business*. These 29 include economies from all income groups: low-income (seven economies), lower-middle-income (nine), upper-middle-income (eight) and high-income (five). Ten economies in

Sub-Saharan Africa made a net of at least three reforms making it easier to do business in 2015/16.

The 10 economies showing the most notable improvement in performance on

the *Doing Business* indicators in 2015/16 were Brunei Darussalam, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Belarus, Indonesia, Serbia, Georgia, Pakistan, the United Arab Emirates and Bahrain (table 3.2). These economies together implemented 48 business

TABLE 3.1 Economies in Europe and Central Asia have the highest share of reformers in 2015/16

Area of reform	Number of reforms in 2015/16	Region with the highest share of reformers in 2015/16
Starting a business	49	Middle East & North Africa
Dealing with construction permits	18	Europe & Central Asia
Getting electricity	21	Europe & Central Asia
Registering property	22	Europe & Central Asia
Getting credit	34	East Asia & Pacific
Protecting minority investors	19	Europe & Central Asia
Paying taxes	46	Europe & Central Asia
Trading across borders	32	South Asia
Enforcing contracts	18	Europe & Central Asia
Resolving insolvency	24	Sub-Saharan Africa

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The labor market regulation indicators also recorded 21 regulatory changes in the *Doing Business* 2017 report. These changes are not included in the total reform count.

TABLE 3.2 The 10 economies improving the most across three or more areas measured by *Doing Business* in 2015/16

Economy	Ease of doing business rank	Change in DTF score	Reforms making it easier to do business									
			Starting a business	Dealing with construction permits	Getting electricity	Registering property	Getting credit	Protecting minority investors	Paying taxes	Trading across borders	Enforcing contracts	Resolving insolvency
Brunei Darussalam	72	5.28			✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
Kazakhstan	35	4.71	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓	✓
Kenya	92	3.52	✓		✓	✓		✓				✓
Belarus	37	3.22			✓	✓	✓	✓				
Indonesia	91	2.95	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	
Serbia	47	2.59	✓	✓		✓						
Georgia	16	2.45			✓	✓		✓	✓	✓		
Pakistan	144	2.08				✓	✓				✓	
United Arab Emirates	26	2.07	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓				
Bahrain	63	2.05	✓					✓			✓	

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Economies are selected on the basis of the number of reforms and ranked on how much their distance to frontier score improved. First, *Doing Business* selects the economies that implemented reforms making it easier to do business in 3 or more of the 10 areas included in this year's aggregate distance to frontier score. Regulatory changes making it more difficult to do business are subtracted from the number of those making it easier. Second, *Doing Business* ranks these economies on the increase in their distance to frontier score from the previous year. The improvement in their score is calculated not by using the data published in 2015 but by using comparable data that capture data revisions and methodology changes. The choice of the most improved economies is determined by the largest improvements in the distance to frontier score among those with at least three reforms.

regulatory reforms across all of the areas measured by *Doing Business*. Overall, the 10 top improvers implemented the most regulatory reforms in the areas of getting electricity and registering property—with seven reforms for each indicator set. These economies also actively reformed in the areas of starting a business and protecting minority investors, with six reforms in each area. Kazakhstan and Georgia joined the list of top improvers for the fourth time in the past 12 years.

Two economies from East Asia and the Pacific made it to the list of 10 top improvers. Brunei Darussalam made the biggest advance toward the regulatory frontier in 2015/16, thanks to six business regulatory reforms. Brunei Darussalam, for instance, increased the reliability of power supply by implementing an automatic energy management system to monitor outages and service restoration. To improve access to credit, it began distributing consumer data from utility companies. Brunei Darussalam also passed a new insolvency law, offering protections for secured creditors during an automatic

stay in reorganization proceedings. In addition, Brunei Darussalam strengthened minority investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in case of prejudicial related-party transactions and by allowing the rescission of related-party transactions that harm companies.

Indonesia made starting a business easier by abolishing the paid-in minimum capital requirement for small and medium-size enterprises and encouraging the use of an online system for name reservation. In Jakarta, a single form to obtain company registration certificates and trading licenses was also created. Getting electricity was made easier in Indonesia by reducing the time for contractors to perform external work thanks to an increase in the stock of electrical material supplied by the utility. In Surabaya, getting electricity was also made easier after the utility streamlined the process for new connection requests. In addition, Indonesia digitalized its cadastral records and launched a fully automated geographic information system, making it easier to register a property. Moreover, Indonesia established a

modern collateral registry and introduced a dedicated procedure for small claims for commercial litigation. In the area of trading across borders, it improved the customs services and document submission functions of the Indonesia National Single Window. Finally, Indonesia made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing tax returns and paying health contributions.

Economies in Europe and Central Asia continued to reform actively in 2015/16. Kazakhstan and Georgia increased the reliability of the electricity supply by starting to penalize utilities for having poor power outage indicators. Both economies also strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights in major decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency. In the area of trading across borders, Kazakhstan made exporting less costly by eliminating two documents previously required for customs clearance; Georgia made import and export documentary compliance faster

by improving its electronic document processing system. Belarus improved its business climate by establishing a one-stop shop at the electricity utility, launching an electronic geographic information system for property registration, providing consumer credit scores to banks and regulated financial institutions and by introducing remedies in cases where related-party transactions are harmful to the company. Owing to streamlined processes and time limits, Serbia reduced the time needed to start a business, obtain a building permit and transfer property.

Pakistan and Bahrain improved access to credit information by adopting new regulations that guarantee by law borrowers' rights to inspect their credit data. Trading across borders also became easier by improving infrastructure and streamlining procedures in Bahrain and introducing a new electronic platform for customs clearance in Pakistan. Among other reforms, the United Arab Emirates made dealing with construction permits easier by implementing risk-based inspections and streamlining the final joint inspection with the process of obtaining a completion certificate. The United Arab Emirates also reduced the time required to obtain a new electricity connection by implementing a new program with strict deadlines for reviewing applications, carrying out inspections and installing meters. Additionally, the United Arab Emirates introduced compensation for power outages.

Removing obstacles to start up a business

Studies have shown that removing excessive bureaucratic formalities in the start-up process has numerous benefits for both economies and entrepreneurs. Some of these gains include higher levels of firm formalization, economic growth and greater profits.¹² Governments embark on various reform paths to improve business incorporation processes and encourage entrepreneurship. In 2015/16, 49 reforms were captured by the starting a business

indicator set, ranging from removing redundant processes required to operate formally to expanding the use of modern technology and creating or improving one-stop shops.

Onerous incorporation processes cost entrepreneurs time and money. During 2015/16 one-third of the reforms captured by the starting a business indicators involved streamlining the formalities for registering a business. The government of Sri Lanka, for example, waived the stamp duty on issued shares. Similarly, by repealing a requirement to have registration documents signed before a commission of oaths Ireland, Kenya and Uganda significantly reduced the time needed by entrepreneurs to start a business. All of these actions have significantly reduced the number of interactions between entrepreneurs and government officials, thereby lowering opportunities for rent-seeking.

Governments continue to improve their efficiency through the use of technology. In the past year, *Doing Business* data show that economies that implement online procedures see a reduction in the time taken to start a business (figure 3.1). In 2015/16, 20% of economies reforming company startup processes either introduced or improved online portals. The


Nigerian Corporate Affairs Commission, for example, launched an online registration portal allowing companies to reserve their names electronically. Rwanda now has a fully functioning electronic portal that combines company registration, information on tax obligations and duties and value added tax registration—saving entrepreneurs an average of two days and eliminating two interactions with government officials.

Several economies also reformed their one-stop shops for business registration in 2015/16. Cyprus merged the process of registration for value added tax and corporate income tax. Likewise, Malta's companies register and inland revenue department merged their operations to allow the automatic generation of tax identification numbers. The Arab Republic of Egypt created a unit inside its one-stop shop to facilitate and streamline interactions between entrepreneurs and various governmental agencies. Egyptian entrepreneurs now have fewer direct interactions with regulatory agencies when completing both registration and postregistration procedures.

Streamlining the process of obtaining a building permit

The construction industry is a vital sector of an economy. It stimulates growth by

FIGURE 3.1 Economies implementing online procedures in 2015/16 have reduced the time needed to start a business



Source: *Doing Business* database.


attracting sizeable investments and supporting supply chains, thereby generating employment and contributing to the process of capital formation.¹³ Research suggests that the construction industry is responsible for 6% of global GDP—or a 5% share of GDP in developed economies and an 8% share in developing economies.¹⁴ Over the past three years economies have mostly focused their construction-permitting reforms on streamlining procedures and improving coordination among the various agencies involved in the process. Other common areas of improvement included reducing the time and cost incurred by builders, followed by improving electronic platforms and building quality control processes (figure 3.2).

In the area of construction, five of 18 economies reduced the time it takes to obtain a building permit in 2015/16. Algeria and Cameroon, for example, enforced the processing time limits prescribed by law. Similarly, the Democratic Republic of Congo improved building quality controls and compliance with legal time limits to obtain a building permit. Zimbabwe streamlined the approval process for construction permits by improving inter-agency coordination between the Harare City Council and architectural agencies.

Five economies—Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, Madagascar, the Philippines and the United Arab Emirates—improved their performance on the building quality control index by increasing the transparency of building regulations. In the Philippines, for example, the Department of Building Official Services of Quezon City updated its website to list the required pre-approvals needed to obtain a construction permit. With respect to cost reduction, both France and San Marino reduced the fees for obtaining a building permit.

Botswana's Gaborone City Council abolished a requirement to present a rates clearance certificate when applying for a building permit, thereby easing bureaucratic requirements. Poland eliminated a

FIGURE 3.2 Construction reforms have mostly focused on streamlining procedures over the past three years



Source: Doing Business database.

requirement to obtain technical conditions for utilities and clearance from the public roads administrator. Kazakhstan introduced a single window portal to streamline the approvals process to obtain a building permit. The Russian Federation abolished the requirement to obtain an approval to fence construction sites in St. Petersburg. Capitalizing on advancements in modern technology, Serbia made it mandatory to request a building permit online through the e-permit system. Likewise, Singapore enhanced its electronic one-stop shop, making the process of obtaining approvals from different authorities easier. Finally, Albania's Constitutional Court lifted a moratorium on issuing construction permits. As a result, the issuance of building permits has been resumed.


Making access to electricity more efficient and reliable

A reliable electricity supply—as well as an efficient connection process—is linked to better firm performance, especially in industries that require a steady supply of electricity.¹⁵ In fact, a reliable electricity supply is associated with higher firm production efficiency and higher levels of foreign direct investment.¹⁶ A more efficient connection process is associated with positive electricity sector outcomes, such as higher rates of electrification

and lower numbers of bribe payments.¹⁷ Economies can substantially improve their business environment by investing in the electricity sector.

One index included in the getting electricity indicator set is the quality of supply and transparency of tariffs index. In 2015/16, seven economies—Algeria, Brunei Darussalam, Bulgaria, Georgia, Kazakhstan, the Lao People's Democratic Republic and the United Arab Emirates—implemented reforms in this capacity. To improve the reliability of the power supply the utility in Bulgaria is now using an automatic energy management system, SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition), to monitor power outages and to restore the service. And the utility in Algeria improved the level of transparency in the electricity sector by publishing electricity tariffs online.

Of the 21 reforms captured by the getting electricity indicators, 17 economies implemented reforms improving the efficiency of the electricity connection process. Such reforms included the streamlining of connection procedures, the reduction of connection fees and the creation of one-stop shops. Belarus, for example, established a one-stop shop at the utility that fulfills

FIGURE 3.3 Kenya's reform led to a reduction in time and streamlined connection procedures

Source: Doing Business database.

all utility connection-related services, including the design and construction of the distribution line. Kenya streamlined the process of getting electricity by introducing the use of a geographic information system that allows the utility to provide price quotes to customers without conducting a site visit. Moreover, all substations, transformers and meters are now mapped on the system which is also linked to well-documented cadastral maps. Customers simply submit all required documentation and wait for quotes to be directly prepared by the utility office (figure 3.3).

Recent amendments to the Construction Law of Poland eliminated the need for an excavation permit, which previously was required for the utility to extend low voltage grids and build medium voltage transformer stations. The utility is now able to carry out external connection works without having to wait for an excavation permit to be issued. As a result of this reform Poland decreased the total time needed to obtain an electricity connection by 11 days.

Improving the quality of land administration

Registered property rights are necessary to support investment, productivity


and growth.¹⁸ Evidence from economies around the world suggests that property owners with registered titles are more likely to invest¹⁹—and they have a higher likelihood of getting credit when using property as collateral. It is essential that governments have reliable, up-to-date information in cadasters and land registries to correctly assess and collect taxes. In 2015/16, 22 economies made it easier for businesses to register property by increasing the efficiency of property transfers and improving the quality of land administration. In 17 of these economies, reforms improved the reliability of infrastructure and the transparency of information of land administration systems (figure 3.4).

Among the 190 economies included in *Doing Business*, Rwanda made the largest improvement on the registering property indicators in 2015/16. The Rwanda Natural Resources Authority introduced a fast track procedure for commercial property transfers, and improved the transparency of the land registry by establishing a land administration services complaints mechanism and by publishing statistics on property transfers. Mexico—another significant improver—modernized its land management infrastructure. Over the past two years, the Mexico City government

acquired new information technology infrastructure which enabled it to digitize all recorded land titles and create an electronic database of land ownership.

Among all regions, Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for the largest number of reforms in 2015/16, a total of seven out of 22. Zambia, for example, decreased the property transfer tax. Senegal improved the transparency of information by publishing a list of all required documents, service standards and official fees needed to complete any type of property transaction. In Europe and Central Asia, four economies implemented changes pertinent to the registering property indicators. In 2015, Belarus introduced the new geographic information system which provides free access to information on land plot boundaries and technical information on geospatial location. Additionally, Serbia reduced the time required to transfer a property while Georgia increased coverage of all maps for privately held land plots in Tbilisi.

Indonesia implemented measures to digitize land plans and maps in both Jakarta and Surabaya. As a result of these efforts, the cadastral maps were made publicly available through an online portal. The new online platform provides open

FIGURE 3.4 Seventeen economies improved their score on the quality of land administration index in 2015/16

Source: Doing Business database.

access to the geospatial information system, allowing clients to review and verify boundaries of land plots in Indonesia. Pakistan was the sole economy in South Asia to reform property transfers. Starting in 2007, the Punjab province of Pakistan launched the Land Records Management and Information Program to strengthen the capacity of land administration institutions in Lahore. During a five-year period, the project deployed an automated land records system and improved the quality of services provided by the land agency.

Strengthening access to credit

Nine economies—Armenia, Brunei Darussalam, The Gambia, Indonesia, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Malawi, Nigeria, Papua New Guinea and Vanuatu—implemented reforms to strengthen access to credit by transforming and adopting new laws regarding secured transactions, including in some cases by creating an operational unified collateral registry. The parliament enacted a new law in Armenia which establishes a modern and unified collateral registry. Indonesia made registrations, amendments and cancellations at the collateral


registry available to the general public through an online portal, Fidusia Online. The Gambia introduced a new law which established a centralized, notice-based collateral registry, a reform that increased The Gambia's legal rights index score by 4 points. Furthermore, Malawi and Papua New Guinea introduced new secured transactions legislation and established modern unified collateral registries. Both registries are now fully operational, resulting in an improvement in the ability of small businesses to obtain credit as they can now use firm assets as collateral.

Twenty-seven economies implemented reforms improving their credit information systems in 2015/16 (figure 3.5). Guyana and Tanzania made the largest improvements by expanding borrower coverage. Tanzania's credit bureau, Creditinfo, expanded its borrower coverage from 4.97% to 6.48% of the adult population, aided in part by signing agreements with retailers and merchants to share credit data on their customers. Similarly, Creditinfo Guyana, which became operational in May 2015, expanded its borrower coverage from 2.40% to 16.40% of the adult population through obtaining

data from one microfinance institution, one trade creditor and one water utility company as well as from six private commercial banks.

Over the past *Doing Business* cycle, six economies established legal frameworks to improve the functioning of credit reporting markets, most of them in Sub-Saharan Africa. Mozambique, for example, enacted a new law that allows the establishment of a credit bureau. The national assemblies of Burkina Faso and Togo passed the Uniform Law,²⁰ providing the legal framework for the establishment, licensing, organization of activities and supervision of credit bureaus. This same law was previously adopted in Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Niger and Senegal, where new credit bureaus became operational in February 2016.

Several other economies improved features of existing credit reporting systems. In six economies, credit bureaus and registries began offering credit scores to banks and other financial institutions to help them assess the creditworthiness of borrowers. In Thailand, for example, the National Credit Bureau started offering consumer and commercial credit scoring.

FIGURE 3.5 Main reform features in the area of getting credit—credit information

Source: Doing Business database.

Note: The scale represents the number of economies with the particular reform feature.

Credit scores pool information across many creditors as well as some public information sources. Such scores offer lenders information that is otherwise unavailable to any individual creditor, including total exposure, number of outstanding loans and previous defaults. This, in turn, aids the decision making of lenders when assessing loan applications.

Brunei Darussalam, China, Tanzania and Tunisia expanded the scope of information collected and reported by credit reporting service providers by distributing data from retailers or utility companies. Economies also enacted reforms guaranteeing borrowers' rights to access and inspect their data. In Bahrain, for example, clients of a credit bureau have the right to obtain a free credit report once every 12 months, to add information to their credit report and to file a complaint or objection related to the accuracy or limitation of the information contained in their credit report. In Pakistan there is a legal obligation for a credit bureau to provide a borrower with a copy of a credit report.

Strengthening the rights of minority shareholders

Firm-level research on a sample of nearly 1,000 firms in the United States shows a robust negative association between restrictions on shareholder rights and the

market value of firms relative to the total value of their assets. The more shareholder rights are limited the more undervalued firms tend to be.²¹ Moreover, an analysis of controlled companies—where ownership is concentrated typically in the hands of the founding family—highlights that sound corporate governance should be comprised of two strategies: enhancing the rights of minority shareholders and moderating the powers of the controlling shareholder.²²

To comply with internationally-accepted good practices, in 2015/16 19 economies strengthened the rights of minority shareholders. Georgia enacted amendments to the Law on Securities Market and the Law on Entrepreneurs. These amendments directly address shareholders' rights with respect to preemptive rights, voting rights, ownership and control. As a result, Georgia's score increased from 6 to 7 on the extent of shareholder rights index and from 4 to 8 on the extent of ownership and control index.

Fiji, Morocco, Saudi Arabia and Vietnam introduced greater requirements for corporate transparency into their laws and regulations. Such laws promote detailed disclosure of primary employment, appointments and remuneration of directors, ensure detailed and advance

notice of general meetings of shareholders, oblige members of limited liability companies to meet at least once per year and allow shareholders to add items to the meeting agenda. These reforms resulted in an improvement in the scores of these four economies on the corporate transparency index.

Croatia, Kenya, Mauritania, Niger, Sri Lanka and Ukraine introduced legal changes focused on mitigating the potential prejudicial effect of conflicts of interest, particularly in the context of related-party transactions. Croatia, for example, now requires that directors disclose in detail to the management board and supervisory board of their company all relevant facts about the nature, relationship and existence of their conflicts of interest before considering any proposed resolution to enter into a major transaction. Likewise, in Ukraine, interested directors and interested shareholders are now excluded from the vote approving the transaction in which they have a conflict of interest. Lastly, Sri Lanka introduced a Code of Best Practices on Related Party Transactions in 2013, at first on a voluntary basis. Since January 2016 all companies listed on the Colombo Stock Exchange must comply with its requirements, which include board approval of such transactions and detailed disclosure by board members.

Enhancing electronic tax filing systems

Properly developed, effective taxation systems are crucial for a well-functioning society. In most economies taxes are the main source of federal, state and local government revenues that are needed to fund projects related to health care, education, public transport and unemployment benefits, among others. The corporate tax burden has a direct impact on investment and growth. And tax administration efficiency is as important to businesses as effective tax rates.²³ A low cost of tax compliance and efficient tax-related procedures are advantageous for firms. Overly complicated tax systems are

associated with high levels of tax evasion, large informal sectors, more corruption and less investment.²⁴ Tax compliance systems should be designed so as not to discourage businesses from participating in the formal economy. Modern tax administrations seek to optimize tax collections while minimizing administration costs and taxpayer compliance costs.

Of the 46 reforms captured by the paying taxes indicators, 26 economies either implemented new online systems for filing and paying taxes or improved the already existing online platforms in 2015 (figure 3.6). Italy, for example, introduced two improvements to its online system used by business taxpayers for filing labor taxes and mandatory contributions. Employers are now only required to enter personal information about employees once—at the beginning of employment and then it is carried forward automatically to future periods—and the payment process for labor taxes and mandatory contributions has been upgraded. The system now allows the previous period's payment request to be copied into the current one—it retains all relevant information such as taxpayer identification and the purpose and destination of the payment.

Singapore was one of the first economies to introduce an electronic system for public administration. In 1992 the Inland Revenue Authority of Singapore developed an integrated and computerized tax administration system, making internal processes more efficient by freeing staff from unproductive bureaucratic tasks. As a result, between 1992 and 2000 the time needed to issue tax assessments decreased from 12–18 months to 3–5 months.²⁵ Singapore continues to improve its tax compliance system even though it is among the best performers on the paying taxes indicators. In 2015 the online system underwent further upgrades, allowing for fewer delays in filing returns for corporate income tax and value added tax.


Other reforms were enacted to lower tax costs for businesses. Profit tax rates were reduced in nine economies while seven economies—Angola, Hungary, Italy, Jamaica, Jordan, Kosovo and Spain—either allowed more corporate expense deductions or higher fixed asset tax depreciation. The Dominican Republic decreased its corporate income tax rate while Jordan increased the depreciation rates for certain fixed assets. And eight economies abolished certain

taxes. Azerbaijan, for instance, abolished vehicle tax for residents.

Facilitating international trade through electronic solutions

Largely because of the progress made in tariff reduction over the last several decades, the focus of global trade policy and reforms has now shifted from trade tariffs to trade facilitation. A better logistics performance in the trade sector is strongly associated with trade growth, export diversification and economic growth.²⁶ In 2013, World Trade Organization (WTO) member countries signed the Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA) committing to implement border management policies that make it easier to export and import goods across borders. A recent study suggests that, if the TFA is fully implemented by all member countries, the time spent in customs would be reduced by an average of 1.6 days for imports and 2 days for exports. By the time of the TFA's full implementation the estimated global welfare gain is expected to be \$210 billion per year, with estimates ranging from \$16 to \$33 annually for each resident of WTO member countries.²⁷


FIGURE 3.6 Electronic systems for filing and paying taxes save compliance time worldwide



Source: Doing Business database.

Among trade reformers, many economies made trading across borders easier by improving their existing electronic systems for both imports and exports, reducing the cost and time of documentary and border compliance (figure 3.7). Argentina, for example, introduced a new Import Monitoring System for products qualified for automatic licenses which is less restrictive and faster than the one previously used. Georgia reduced document processing times by enhancing its electronic document processing system as well as introducing an advanced electronic document submission option. The latter allows electronic registration of containers shipped by sea, eliminating the outdated process of manual registration of containers. Kosovo reduced the time and cost of documentary and border compliance for exporting by advancing its automated customs data management

FIGURE 3.7 Implementation of electronic systems had the most significant impact on time reduction among those economies reforming in trade in 2015/16



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The time reduction captures reforms that were implemented and had a positive impact on time for the trading across borders indicators from June 2015 to June 2016. The reforms recorded during this period can be aggregated into four wide-ranging categories: electronic systems, customs administration, inspections and infrastructure.

system, streamlining customs clearance processes and implementing the Albania-Kosovo Transit Corridor.

Another common feature of trade reforms in 2015/16 is the introduction of—and for some economies, the advancement of—the ASYCUDA (Automated System for Customs Data) World system, an automated customs data management system that facilitates both export and import processes. In Afghanistan the customs department introduced a series of technical improvements to the online document processing system. Both Grenada and Jamaica made significant upgrades to their electronic platforms, resulting in a substantial decrease in the time required for international trade processes. Their systems allow for the electronic submission of customs declarations and supporting trade documents. As a result, customs brokers no longer need to go to several customs clearance officers or government agencies to validate documents. Kosovo, Nepal and St. Lucia also eliminated the use of paper documents by upgrading their ASYCUDA World systems, allowing for payments and submissions of export declarations to be done electronically.

Enhancing judicial efficiency

Efficient contract enforcement is essential to economic development and sustainable growth.²⁸ Economies with an efficient judiciary in which courts can effectively enforce contractual obligations have more developed credit markets and a higher level of overall development.²⁹ A stronger judiciary is also associated with more rapid growth of small firms³⁰ and enhanced judicial system efficiency can improve the business climate, foster innovation, attract foreign direct investment and secure tax revenues.³¹ Conscious of the important role played by judicial efficiency, governments have been active in reforming different aspects measured by the *Doing Business* enforcing contracts indicators. Worldwide, revisions of alternative dispute resolution legislation and applicable civil procedure rules was the most common reform feature in 2015/16. However, none of the low-income economies made reforms in this area (figure 3.8).


Low-income and middle-income economies, predominantly in Sub-Saharan Africa and East Asia, have focused their reform efforts on strengthening judicial infrastructure. Côte d'Ivoire and Indonesia, for

example, introduced dedicated simplified procedures for the resolution of small claims. Similarly, India and Niger strengthened their institutions by introducing dedicated venues to resolve commercial disputes. The presence of specialized commercial courts or divisions can make a significant difference in the effectiveness of a judiciary. Specialized courts can reduce the number of cases pending before main first-instance courts, leading to shorter resolution times within the main trial court. Commercial courts and divisions also tend to promote consistency in the application of the law, increasing predictability for court users.

Other economies, mainly high-income economies, have focused their reform efforts on attaining a higher level of court automation. Brunei Darussalam, Hungary, Norway and Spain have introduced an electronic system to file initial complaints with the competent court. Electronic filing streamlines and accelerates the process of commencing a lawsuit. Reducing in-person interactions with court officers also minimizes potential opportunities for corruption and results in speedier trials, better access to courts and more reliable service of process. These features also reduce the cost to enforce a contract—court users save in reproduction costs and courthouse visits while courts save in storage, archiving and court officers' costs.

Some economies have pushed their automation efforts even further by introducing sophisticated and comprehensive electronic case management systems. In January 2016, for example, Rwanda implemented the Integrated Electronic Case Management System, a web-based application that integrates five main institutions of the justice sector, throughout Kigali's courts.³² Among other features, the system allows for the automatic registration of lawsuits, electronic organization and scheduling of cases and automated claims processing. Rwandan authorities expect the system to result in considerable cost and time savings along with

FIGURE 3.8 Revisions of applicable civil procedure rules and ADR rules has been the most common reform feature in 2015/16



Source: Doing Business database.

increased transparency and more reliable statistical data on court operations.

Many economies have concentrated their reform efforts on making complex revisions of their civil procedure laws. A third of reforms in 2015/16 entailed approvals of entirely new codes of civil procedure. Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, Kazakhstan, Niger and the Syrian Arab Republic are among the economies that implemented such reforms. Several economies, mainly in the Europe and Central Asia region, have approved changes to their mediation laws in an attempt to strengthen alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

Promoting efficient bankruptcy regimes

Bankruptcy laws are strongly linked to collateral eligibility requirements, access of firms to loans and long-term debt and the level of firms' financing relative to their size.³³ When it comes to bankruptcy reforms, speeding up the resolution of debt disputes may improve the likelihood of timely repayment. Increasing the protection of creditors and their participation in bankruptcy proceedings may lower the cost of debt and lead to a higher aggregate credit level. Moreover, economies that introduce new reorganization mechanisms

may reduce failure rates among firms.³⁴ Efficient bankruptcy regimes with orderly procedures for the sale and distribution of assets can improve loan terms, leverage ratios and bank recovery rates.³⁵

Doing Business recorded 24 reforms in the area of resolving insolvency, mainly in Sub-Saharan African economies, in 2015/16. Substantial regulatory reform efforts have been undertaken by the 17 member states of the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa, known by its French acronym OHADA. The organization adopted a revised Uniform Act Organizing Collective Proceedings for Wiping Off Debts in 2015, which introduced a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies and a new conciliation procedure for companies facing financial difficulties, encouraging an agreement between a debtor and main creditors. The OHADA Uniform Act also introduced provisions on cross-border insolvency that were implemented in all 17 OHADA member states. Similarly, Kenya adopted a new Insolvency Act which closely follows the insolvency framework of the United Kingdom. The new law introduced the mechanism of administration—a form of reorganization that allows insolvent companies to

continue operating while negotiating a settlement with creditors.

Another region with active reformers in the area of insolvency is East Asia and the Pacific, where Brunei Darussalam, Thailand and Vanuatu made notable progress. Brunei Darussalam completely overhauled its insolvency framework. Prior to the reform, insolvency provisions for liquidation of corporate entities were included in the Companies Act and some rules were incorporated in the Bankruptcy Act, which applied to individuals. The latest reform created a designated legal act encompassing all provisions related to corporate insolvency and reflecting many modern good practices. Companies in Brunei Darussalam now have access to reorganization proceedings in the form of judicial management. Although the insolvency reform in Thailand was less comprehensive it represented a significant achievement in line with initiatives implemented in other economies in East Asia and the Pacific. Thailand expanded the application of its reorganization framework so that not only large companies—but also small and medium-size enterprises—can take advantage of this mechanism. This step is expected to provide relief to many viable companies which otherwise would be forced to cease operations.

Changing labor market regulation

Regulation is important to ensure efficient functioning of labor markets and adequate protection for workers. Studies have shown that labor market regulation can have an impact on aggregate job flows, productivity and informality.³⁶ The challenge for governments is to strike the right balance between flexibility of employment regulation and worker protection.³⁷ In 2015/16, 21 economies changed labor rules. Some made their labor regulation more flexible, others more stringent and in some economies the changes were in both directions. Most of the reforms were implemented in Sub-Saharan Africa and EU member states.

Nine economies changed regulation of fixed-term contracts. Norway amended the legislation to allow the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks for a 12-month period. Angola permitted the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks and extended their maximum duration to 120 months. Kazakhstan reformed the legislation to allow for two extensions of fixed-term contracts. By contrast, several economies made regulation of fixed-term contracts more rigid. In Zambia fixed-term contracts can no longer be used for permanent tasks. The Netherlands, Poland, Portugal and the United Arab Emirates reduced the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts and in Zimbabwe the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts was left to the discretion of the Employment Council.

Two economies introduced minimum wages in 2015/16. Myanmar established the first national minimum wage and São Tomé and Príncipe introduced the first minimum wage for the private sector. In addition, Mexico eliminated geographic differences related to minimum wages.

Several economies changed regulation of working hours. Cyprus and Hungary, for example, amended the legislation to allow stores to be open on Sundays. Kazakhstan reduced the premium for work on weekly holidays and Angola changed the premiums for overtime and night work as well as work on weekly holidays.

Moreover, seven economies changed the legislation governing redundancy rules and costs. In Kazakhstan, employers are no longer required to reassign an employee to a different position within the company before making the employee redundant. The Netherlands introduced severance pay for redundancy dismissals for employees with at least two years of continuous employment. Zimbabwe significantly reduced the severance package for redundancy dismissals, which was previously among the highest in the world. Angola and Myanmar increased severance pay requirements for

some workers and decreased for others, depending on the length of job tenure. The Comoros reduced the length of notice period and the amount of severance pay for redundancy dismissals and Saudi Arabia increased the notice period for redundancy dismissals.

Finally, in 2015/16 four economies reformed legislation in the area of job quality. The Democratic Republic of Congo enacted a law that prohibits gender discrimination in hiring and Liberia adopted a Decent Work Act that establishes equal remuneration for work of equal value. Cabo Verde introduced unemployment insurance while Brazil expanded eligibility for unemployment benefits.

23. For more on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys, see the website at <http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>.
24. Djankov and others 2010.
25. Bird and Oldman 2000.
26. Arvis and others 2010.
27. Hillberry and Zhang 2015.
28. Esposito, Lanau and Pompe 2014; Dakolias 1999; Ball and Kesan 2010; Klerman 2006; Dam 2006.
29. Dam 2006.
30. Islam 2003.
31. Esposito, Lanau and Pompe 2014.
32. These include the Rwanda National Police, National Public Prosecution Authority, the judiciary, Civil Litigation, Rwanda Correctional Services, the bar association and all citizens who interface with justice institutions.
33. Araujo, Ferreira and Funchal 2012.
34. Klapper and Love 2011.
35. Cirmizi, Klapper and Uttamchandani 2010.
36. See World Bank 2012a; Martin and Scarpetta 2011; Loayza and others 2005.
37. World Bank 2012a.

NOTES

1. Barseghyan 2008; Bruhn 2013; Dabla-Norris, Ho and Kyobe 2016; Deininger and others 2015; Haidar 2012; Kaplan, Piedra and Seira 2011; Monteiro and Assunção 2012.
2. Divanbeigi and Ramalho 2015.
3. Bertrand and Kramarz 2002; Klapper and others 2006; Ciccone and Papaioannou 2007; Bjørnskov and Foss 2008; Dreher and Gassebner 2013.
4. Haidar 2012.
5. Mishra, Nair and Vishwanath 2016.
6. Haidar and Hoshi 2015.
7. Haidar and Hoshi 2015.
8. World Bank 2016b.
9. Rwanda, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning 2000.
10. Asia-Pacific Economic Association 2015.
11. World Bank Group 2013.
12. Motta, Oviedo and Santini 2010; Klapper and Love 2011; Fritsch and Noseleit 2013.
13. Ozkan, Ozkan and Gunduz 2012.
14. World Economic Forum 2016; Lewis 2009.
15. Geginat and Ramalho 2015.
16. Abotsi (2016) finds that the number of power outages experienced in a typical month has a negative impact on the production efficiency of firms in Africa. He also finds that the number of power outages experienced in a typical month has a negative and significant impact on foreign ownership of firms in Africa.
17. Geginat and Ramalho 2015.
18. Deininger 2003.
19. Galiani and Schargrodsky 2009.
20. The Uniform Law on the Regulation of Credit Information Bureaus (BICs) in the member states of the West African Economic and Monetary Union (UEMOA).
21. Cremers and Ferrell 2014.
22. Lan and Varottil 2015.

TABLE 3.3 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost or strengthened legal institutions in 2015/16—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<i>Making it easier to start a business</i>		
Simplified preregistration and registration formalities (publication, notarization, inspection, other requirements)	Barbados; Benin; Bolivia; Equatorial Guinea; Fiji; Hong Kong SAR, China; Ireland; Kenya; Myanmar; Niger; Papua New Guinea; Saudi Arabia; Sierra Leone; Sri Lanka; Thailand; Uganda; Vanuatu	Benin eliminated the need to notarize company bylaws. Equatorial Guinea made the process of starting a business easier by eliminating the need to obtain a copy of the business founders' criminal records. Ireland made starting a business easier by removing the requirement for a founder to swear before a commissioner of oaths when incorporating a company. Thailand made starting a business easier by creating a single window for registration payment.
Abolished or reduced paid-in minimum capital requirement	Algeria; Angola; Bahrain; Bosnia and Herzegovina; Burkina Faso; Chad; Indonesia; Mali; Oman; Qatar	Mali reduced the cost of starting a business by reducing the paid-in minimum capital required to register a company. Oman made starting a business easier by removing the requirement to pay the minimum capital within three months of incorporation.
Cut or simplified postregistration procedures (tax registration, social security registration, licensing)	Brazil; China; Colombia; Cyprus; Ecuador; Israel; Kazakhstan; Republic of Korea; Lao PDR; Madagascar; Malawi; Malta; Oman; Rwanda; Serbia; Turkey	Brazil made starting a business faster by implementing an online portal for business licenses in Rio de Janeiro. Lao People's Democratic Republic made starting a business faster by implementing simplified procedures for obtaining a license and registered company seal.
Introduced or improved online procedures	The Bahamas; Cyprus; Czech Republic; Indonesia; Republic of Korea; Morocco; Nigeria; Rwanda; South Africa	The Bahamas made starting a business easier by allowing local limited liability companies to register online. Indonesia made starting a business easier by allowing the use of the online system for name reservation.
Introduced or improved one-stop shop	Arab Republic of Egypt; Indonesia; Malta; Niger; Rwanda; United Arab Emirates	The Arab Republic of Egypt and Niger made starting a business easier by merging procedures at the one-stop shop.
<i>Making it easier to deal with construction permits</i>		
Reduced time for processing permit applications	Algeria; Cameroon; Democratic Republic of Congo; Iraq; Zimbabwe	Algeria enforced legal time limits to process building permit applications. Cameroon put in place a reception desk to check for the completeness of building permit applications upon submission to reduce processing times.
Streamlined procedures	Albania; Botswana; Kazakhstan; Poland; Russian Federation; Serbia; Singapore; United Arab Emirates	Botswana abolished the requirement to submit a rates clearance certificate. Poland eliminated the requirements to obtain technical conditions for utilities, as well as the clearance from the administrator of the public road.
Adopted new building regulations	Albania	Albania lifted the moratorium on issuing construction permits in June 2015.
Improved building control process	Cameroon; Côte d'Ivoire; Madagascar; Philippines; United Arab Emirates	Côte d'Ivoire made procedural information concerning the process of obtaining a building permit openly accessible. The Philippines increased the transparency of building regulations by publishing the required pre-approvals to obtain a building permit.
Reduced fees	France; San Marino	France adopted a fixed fee schedule for warehouses and slightly reduced the tariff per square meter for building fees. San Marino set a fixed fee for building permits.
Introduced or improved one-stop shop	Serbia; Singapore	Serbia made it mandatory to request a building permit online through the e-permit system. Singapore improved its one-stop shop, CORENET (Construction and Real Estate Network) e-submission system.
<i>Making it easier to get electricity</i>		
Improved regulation of connection processes and costs	Belarus; Lithuania	Belarus made it cheaper to obtain a new electricity connection by setting fixed prices for connections to electric networks and revising the connection fee structure.
Improved process efficiency	Albania; Azerbaijan; Belarus; Czech Republic; Dominican Republic; Hong Kong SAR, China; India; Indonesia; Iraq; Kazakhstan; Lithuania; Moldova; Poland; Portugal; United Arab Emirates	Lithuania introduced time limits for the utility to connect clients. The Dominican Republic made getting an electricity connection faster by enacting time limits for the utility to approve electrical connection plans. Portugal made getting an electricity connection faster by reducing the time required to approve electrical connection requests.
Streamlined approval process	Brunei Darussalam; Hong Kong SAR, China; Kenya	Hong Kong SAR, China, streamlined the processes of reviewing applications as site inspections can now be conducted without involving the customer. Kenya introduced the use of a geographic information system which eliminated the need to conduct a site visit.
Facilitated more reliable power supply and transparency of tariff information	Algeria; Brunei Darussalam; Bulgaria; Georgia; Kazakhstan; Lao PDR; United Arab Emirates	The utility in Lao PDR started fully recording the duration and frequency of outages to compute annual SAIDI and SAIFI. Algeria made getting electricity more transparent by publishing electricity tariffs on the websites of the utility and the energy regulator.

TABLE 3.3 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost or strengthened legal institutions in 2015/16—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<i>Making it easier to register property</i>		
Increased reliability of infrastructure	Belarus; Indonesia; Mauritius; Mexico; Pakistan; Puerto Rico (U.S.)	Indonesia digitized its cadastral records and set up a geographic information system. In Pakistan the Punjab province launched the Land Records Management and Information Program in order to strengthen the capacity of land administration institutions in Lahore. In Puerto Rico (U.S.), the Registry of Immovable Property was digitized and the majority of land records became accessible in digital format.
Increased transparency of information	Guyana; Kenya; Qatar; Senegal; Singapore; United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan; Zimbabwe	Senegal made the list of documents, service standards and official fees to complete a property transaction available online and also updated the cadastral map. The United Arab Emirates published the list of service standards for any operation at the Dubai Land Department.
Reduced taxes or fees	The Bahamas; Comoros; Zambia	The Bahamas decreased the property transfer tax from 10% to 2.5% of the property value. Zambia reduced the property transfer tax from 10% to 5% of the property value.
Increased administrative efficiency	Morocco; Rwanda; Sweden	Sweden introduced a new administrative process for automatic registration of mortgages and renewal of ownership.
Setting up effective time limits	Serbia	Serbia introduced effective time limits for the registration of property rights at the real estate cadaster.
Increased geographic coverage	Georgia	Georgia reached full coverage of all maps for privately held land plots in the main business city.
<i>Strengthening legal rights of borrowers and lenders</i>		
Created a unified and/or modern collateral registry for movable property	Armenia; The Gambia; Indonesia; FYR Macedonia; Malawi; Nigeria; Papua New Guinea	Armenia strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that establishes a modern and centralized collateral registry.
Introduced a functional and secured transactions system	The Gambia; FYR Macedonia; Malawi; Papua New Guinea	The Gambia strengthened access to credit by adopting the Security Interests in Moveable Property Act. The new law on secured transactions implements a functional secured transactions system. The law regulates functional equivalents to loans secured with movable property, such as financial leases and sales with retention of title.
Allowed for general description of assets that can be used as collateral	FYR Macedonia	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia implemented new laws which allow for the general description of assets granted as collateral.
Expanded range of movable assets that can be used as collateral	Papua New Guinea	Papua New Guinea introduced a new law that broadens the scope of assets which can be used as collateral to secure a loan.
Granted absolute priority to secured creditors or allowed out-of-court enforcement	The Gambia; Papua New Guinea; Vanuatu	The Gambia introduced a new law that allows out-of-court enforcement.
Granted exemptions to secured creditors from automatic stay in insolvency proceedings	Brunei Darussalam	Brunei Darussalam adopted a new insolvency law that contemplates protections for secured creditors during an automatic stay in reorganization proceedings.
<i>Improving the sharing of credit information</i>		
Expanded scope of information collected and reported by credit bureau or registry	Brunei Darussalam; China; Tanzania; Tunisia	In Brunei Darussalam the credit registry began distributing data from two utility companies in its credit reports with information on their clients' payment histories.
Improved regulatory framework for credit reporting	Armenia; Burkina Faso; Mozambique; Myanmar; Togo; Zimbabwe	Zimbabwe strengthened its credit reporting system by amending an act to allow for the establishment of a credit registry.
Established a new credit bureau or registry	Côte d'Ivoire; Latvia; Mali; Malta; Niger; Senegal; Solomon Islands	Côte d'Ivoire, Mali, Niger and Senegal established a new credit bureau, Creditinfo VoLo, which banks can consult to assess the creditworthiness of consumer and commercial borrowers.
Guaranteed by law borrowers' right to inspect data	Bahrain; Pakistan	Bahrain introduced amendments to the Central Bank of Bahrain and Financial Institutions Law guaranteeing borrowers' right to inspect their own data.
Introduced bureau or registry credit scores as a value added service	Belarus; Cambodia; China; Malaysia; Morocco; Thailand	In Cambodia the credit bureau began offering credit scoring in June 2015 to facilitate the assessment of the repayment capacity of borrowers.
Introduced online access to the credit information	Mauritania	Mauritania provided banks and financial institutions online access to the data of the credit registry.
Expanded borrower coverage by credit bureau or registry	Guyana; Lesotho; Pakistan; Tanzania	Guyana expanded the number of borrowers listed by its credit bureau with information on their borrowing history from the past five years to more than 5% of the adult population.

TABLE 3.3 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost or strengthened legal institutions in 2015/16—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<i>Strengthening minority investor protections</i>		
Increased disclosure requirements for related-party transactions	Croatia; Kenya; Mauritania; Sri Lanka; Ukraine	Croatia amended its companies act to require that directors disclose in detail all relevant facts about the nature, relationship and existence of their conflicts of interest in a proposed transaction.
Enhanced access to information in shareholder actions	FYR Macedonia; Niger	Niger amended its civil procedure code and addressed the allocation of legal expenses at the conclusion of a civil action.
Expanded shareholders' role in company management	Belarus; Arab Republic of Egypt; Fiji; Georgia; Kazakhstan; FYR Macedonia; Morocco; Saudi Arabia; Ukraine; United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan; Vanuatu; Vietnam	Vanuatu's new companies act stipulates that the sale of 50% of the assets of a company must be approved by the shareholders and that changes to their rights must be approved by the affected shareholders.
Increased director liability	Belarus; Brunei Darussalam; Kenya; Mauritania; Ukraine; Vietnam	Vietnam adopted a law that mandates that liable directors repay profits derived from a transaction in which they had a conflict of interest.
<i>Making it easier to pay taxes</i>		
Introduced or enhanced electronic systems	Albania; Argentina; Brunei Darussalam; Cyprus; El Salvador; Georgia; India; Indonesia; Italy; Jamaica; Japan; Kosovo; Latvia; Malaysia; Moldova; Mongolia; Montenegro; Netherlands; Philippines; Portugal; Singapore; Spain; Tajikistan; Turkey; Uganda; Uruguay	Albania launched an upgraded online platform for filing corporate income tax, value added tax and labor contributions as of January 1, 2015. One consolidated online return for mandatory contributions and payroll taxes was integrated within the online system. The Philippines introduced online filing and payment of health contributions as of April 1, 2015.
Reduced profit tax rate	Dominica; Dominican Republic; Guatemala; Peru; Portugal; San Marino; Senegal; Tajikistan; Uzbekistan	Portugal reduced the corporate income tax rate from 23% to 21% as of January 1, 2015. Senegal reduced the maximum corporate income tax collectable. San Marino allowed companies incorporated after January 1, 2014, to benefit from a 50% corporate income tax reduction for the first six years of activity.
Reduced labor taxes and mandatory contributions	Japan (Osaka); Netherlands; New Zealand; Uzbekistan	The Netherlands reduced the rates for health insurance contribution, special unemployment contribution and unemployment insurance contribution as of January 1, 2015.
Reduced taxes other than profit tax and labor taxes	Algeria; Angola; Argentina; Cyprus; Italy; Montenegro; Netherlands; Singapore; Slovak Republic; Spain; Tajikistan	Algeria reduced tax on professional activity from 2% to 1% of turnover as of July 1, 2015. Cyprus increased the discount rate for immovable property tax from 15% to 20% in 2015.
Merged or eliminated taxes other than profit tax	Azerbaijan; Bosnia and Herzegovina; Jamaica; Japan; New Zealand; Puerto Rico (U.S.); Singapore; Spain; Vietnam	Bosnia and Herzegovina abolished the tourist fee at the end of January 2015. Puerto Rico (U.S.) abolished the national gross receipt tax in 2015.
Allowed for more deductible expenses or depreciation	Angola; Hungary; Italy; Jamaica; Jordan; Kosovo; Spain	Angola increased the tax deduction for bad debt provisions from 2% to 4%. Italy increased the rate of the notional interest deduction from 4% to 4.5% in 2015.
Simplified tax compliance processes or decreased number of tax filings or payments	Algeria; Angola; Burundi; Georgia; Mauritania; Portugal; Senegal; Slovak Republic; Togo; Vietnam	Burundi introduced a new unique tax return and eliminated the personalized value added tax declaration form. Mauritania reduced the frequency of filing and payment of value added tax returns.
<i>Making it easier to trade across borders</i>		
Introduced or improved electronic submission and processing of documents for exports	Afghanistan; Azerbaijan; Georgia; Haiti; India; Indonesia; Islamic Republic of Iran; Jamaica; Jordan; Kosovo; Kuwait; Madagascar; Mauritania; Nepal; Oman; Pakistan; Paraguay; St. Lucia; Togo; Uganda; Vietnam	Georgia reduced export document processing time from 48 hours to 2 hours by improving its document processing system. Jamaica and Nepal reduced export documentary compliance time. Kosovo introduced electronic payments electronic submission of export declarations and reduced export documentary compliance time. Oman and Paraguay introduced a new online single window that decreased export border compliance time.
Introduced or improved electronic submission and processing of documents for imports	Afghanistan; Argentina; Azerbaijan; Brazil; Georgia; Ghana; Grenada; Haiti; India; Indonesia; Islamic Republic of Iran; Jordan; Kosovo; Kuwait; Madagascar; Mauritania; Morocco; Nepal; Niger; Oman; Pakistan; Rwanda; St. Lucia; Togo; Vietnam	Argentina introduced a new Import Monitoring System, which reduced the time for import documentary compliance from 336 hours to 192 hours. Ghana, Niger and Rwanda removed the pre-arrival assessment inspection for imports which reduced import documentary compliance time.
Entered a customs union or signed a trade agreement with major trade partner for exports and imports	Kosovo; Kyrgyz Republic	The Kyrgyz Republic reduced time for exporting by 10 hours and the cost of exporting by \$85 by becoming a member of the Eurasian Economic Union. Albania and Kosovo launched an Albania-Kosovo Transit Corridor that decreased the export compliance time by 15 hours.
Strengthened transport or port infrastructure for exports	Jordan	Infrastructure improvements in Jordan decreased border compliance time by 2.1 hours for exports.
Strengthened transport or port infrastructure for imports	Bahrain; Haiti; Jordan	Bahrain, Jordan and Haiti improved infrastructure and streamlined procedures which decreased export border compliance.
Reduced documentary burden for exports and imports	Antigua and Barbuda; Kazakhstan	Antigua and Barbuda removed the tax compliance certificate for import customs clearance, which decreased the time and costs for import documentation. Kazakhstan removed two documents required for customs clearance, which reduced the export documentary compliance time.

TABLE 3.3 Who reduced regulatory complexity and cost or strengthened legal institutions in 2015/16—and what did they do?

Feature	Economies	Some highlights
<i>Making it easier to enforce contracts</i>		
Expanded the alternative dispute resolution framework	Armenia; Brazil; Moldova	Armenia, Brazil and Moldova introduced laws regulating voluntary mediation and setting incentives for the parties to attempt mediation.
Introduced a small claims court or a dedicated procedure for small claims	Côte d'Ivoire; Indonesia	Côte d'Ivoire and Indonesia each introduced a fast-track procedure to be used for the resolution of small claims. Both allow litigants to represent themselves during this procedure.
Introduced or expanded specialized commercial court	India; Niger	India and Niger each introduced dedicated venues to resolve commercial disputes.
Introduced significant changes to the applicable civil procedure rules	Bolivia; Brazil; Ecuador; Greece; Kazakhstan; Niger; Syrian Arab Republic	Bolivia and Ecuador each introduced a new Code of Civil Procedure regulating pre-trial conference. Kazakhstan and Niger each added measures of case management to their new rules on civil procedure.
Introduced electronic filing	Brunei Darussalam; Hungary; Norway; Spain	Brunei Darussalam, Hungary, Norway and Spain introduced an electronic filing system for commercial cases, allowing attorneys to submit the initial summons online.
Expanded court automation	Brunei Darussalam; Rwanda; Ukraine	Brunei Darussalam and Ukraine introduced a system allowing court users to pay court fees electronically. Rwanda introduced an electronic case management system for the use of judges and lawyers.
<i>Making it easier to resolve insolvency</i>		
Introduced a new restructuring procedure	Benin; Brunei Darussalam; Burkina Faso; Cameroon; Central African Republic; Chad; Comoros; Democratic Republic of Congo; Republic of Congo; Côte d'Ivoire; Equatorial Guinea; Gabon; Guinea; Guinea-Bissau; Kenya; Mali; Niger; Poland; Senegal; Togo	Poland introduced new restructuring mechanisms and established a centralized restructuring and bankruptcy register.
Improved the likelihood of successful reorganization	Brunei Darussalam; Kenya; Thailand	Brunei Darussalam made changes to its insolvency framework, including provisions authorizing post-commencement credit during insolvency proceedings and establishing rules for priority repayment of post-commencement creditors.
Improved provisions on treatment of contracts during insolvency	Brunei Darussalam; Kenya; Vanuatu	Vanuatu allowed avoidance of undervalued transactions concluded prior to commencement of insolvency proceedings.
Regulated the profession of insolvency administrators	Brunei Darussalam; Kenya	Kenya updated its insolvency framework, including stricter requirements for qualifications of insolvency administrators.
Strengthened creditors' rights	Kazakhstan; FYR Macedonia	Kazakhstan provided additional protections to creditors in the process of voting on the reorganization plan.
<i>Changing labor legislation</i>		
Altered hiring rules	Angola; Kazakhstan; Mexico; Myanmar; Netherlands; Norway; Poland; Portugal; São Tomé and Príncipe; United Arab Emirates; Zambia; Zimbabwe	Norway amended the legislation to allow the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks. Myanmar introduced a national minimum wage and São Tomé and Príncipe introduced a minimum wage for the private sector.
Amended regulation of working hours	Angola; Cyprus; France; Hungary; Kazakhstan; Liberia	Cyprus and Hungary changed the legislation to allow stores to be open on Sundays. Kazakhstan reduced the premium for work on weekly holidays.
Changed redundancy rules and cost	Angola; Comoros; Kazakhstan; Myanmar; Netherlands; Saudi Arabia; Zimbabwe	Kazakhstan eliminated the requirement to reassign an employee to a different position before making the employee redundant. The Netherlands introduced severance pay for redundancy dismissals for employees with at least two years of continuous employment. Zimbabwe significantly reduced the severance package for redundancy dismissals.
Reformed legislation regulating worker protection and social benefits	Brazil; Cabo Verde; Democratic Republic of Congo; Liberia	The Democratic Republic of Congo enacted a law that prohibits gender discrimination in hiring. Liberia established equal remuneration for work of equal value. Cabo Verde introduced an unemployment insurance scheme.

Source: Doing Business database.

Note: Reforms affecting the labor market regulation indicators are included here but do not affect the ranking on the ease of doing business.



Getting Electricity

Factors affecting the reliability of electricity supply

- The getting electricity indicators measure the reliability of electricity supply using data on the duration and frequency of power outages, among other metrics.
- A broad range of variables impact the reliability of electricity supply. These include the electricity generation adequacy, the condition of power system infrastructure, utility financial and operational performance and energy sector regulation.
- Evidence from four lower-middle-income economies with varying levels of reliability suggests that continuous investment in infrastructure is essential to ensure a reliable electricity supply.
- Indonesia implemented structural changes to its energy sector, increased investment in infrastructure and introduced regulatory initiatives to improve overall power reliability.
- Guatemala liberalized its energy sector and adopted different tariff strategies while maintaining incentives to enable cost recovery. These measures, coupled with the presence of an overarching regulatory body, fostered a high level of power reliability in Guatemala City.
- In the cases of Cameroon and Pakistan, inadequate end-user tariff levels and high transmission and distribution losses had an impact on the overall financial standing of utilities—and, in turn, on the reliability of supply.
- The experience of these economies suggests that utilities must ensure a healthy financial position so they can invest the necessary resources to increase the reliability of electricity supply.

Since 2011 *Doing Business*, through its getting electricity indicator set, has recorded the time, cost and number of procedures required for a small to medium-size business to legally connect a commercial warehouse to the electrical grid. Starting in 2015, the reliability of supply and the price of electricity have also been measured. Reliability is measured through quantitative data on the duration and frequency of power outages as well as through qualitative information, which includes—among other things—the mechanisms put in place by the utility for monitoring power outages. These measures are important because a reliable electricity supply is critical for enterprises to operate and grow. According to 2016 World Bank Enterprise Survey data, business owners in around 30% of developing economies perceive unreliable electricity services as a major obstacle to their activities. In Sub-Saharan Africa, where economies suffered an average of 690 hours of outages in 2015,¹ the annual economic growth drag of a weak power infrastructure is estimated to be about two percentage points.² In addition to negatively affecting business operations, an unreliable supply can compromise an economy's overall well-being. For example, Beirut residents cope with an average of three hours with no electricity every day. Residents in other areas of the country must endure 12 hours of daily power outages. The average Lebanese household must then resort to generator usage, spending \$1,300 on electricity each year—equivalent to almost 15% of income per capita.³


Minimizing the number and the duration of power outages is critical for societies at large. Although electricity is ultimately provided by a distribution utility (the “last step” in the supply chain), it is not the only entity responsible for providing a stable supply, as many other actors play an important role throughout the process of generation, transmission and distribution of electricity. This case study focuses on lower-middle-income economies with varying levels of electricity supply reliability. By comparing different aspects of their energy sectors, this chapter highlights some key elements and actors that can drive, or prevent, a reliable electricity supply.⁴

FACTORS AFFECTING THE PROVISION OF ELECTRICITY

A power system consists of three main components: generation power plants, which use resources like hydropower, coal or renewables to produce electricity; the transmission network, consisting of a high voltage network (usually above 35 kilo-volts) used to transmit electricity from the generation station to the distribution network; and the distribution network, a low-to-medium-voltage network that is used to deliver electricity to customers (figure 4.1).

The reliability of electricity supply is determined by multiple interdependent factors. This case study focuses on four main areas which directly impact the power sector: electricity generation adequacy, power system infrastructure,

FIGURE 4.1 *Doing Business* measures the connection process at the level of distribution utilities



Source: U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force 2004.

utility financial and operational performance, and energy sector regulation (figure 4.2).

Electricity generation is the basis of any power system, and generation adequacy is determined by the availability of resources as well as by their cost. If an economy has sufficient domestic energy resources and the necessary technological conditions, generation may be assured at a lower cost compared to economies that rely on imported fossil fuels. Additionally, energy self-reliance may ensure a higher reliability of supply as it reduces an economy's


vulnerability to supply shortages in the global commodity markets.

The upkeep and the technical condition of a power system's infrastructure directly affect its operation and, therefore, the duration and frequency of power cuts. Poor upkeep is further exacerbated when an economy faces exogenous shocks or inclement weather. In Zambia, for example, poorly maintained distribution lines coupled with insufficient rainfall due to the El Niño weather phenomenon resulted in electricity shortages in 2015—with Lusaka experiencing 137 hours of outages per customer. Such

power cuts undermine the economy; each minute of outage costs \$9,000 to the Zambian mining sector.⁵

The financial performance of a utility depends on its ability to generate sufficient revenue to cover the costs of providing electricity and to ensure the profitability of its operations. End-user tariffs are a central aspect of the sector's financial performance because the revenues of all market players in an energy system—including the generation, transmission and distribution companies—come from electricity bills. In principle, tariffs take into account the costs involved in the operation of the power system. However, when tariffs do not allow for full cost recovery, insufficient revenues accrued by distribution utilities can create financial constraints across the power system. This may force cutbacks on maintenance spending and capital investments, resulting in increased production costs and a deterioration of power system reliability. In addition to tariff pricing, a utility's operational performance is crucial for the electricity sector as without proper attention to market factors, its ability to ensure electricity provision can be compromised. Ownership structure in the power sector varies greatly across economies, including purely public, private, or mixed partnership. Regardless of a utility's ownership type, having an efficient management structure is essential.

FIGURE 4.2 Various factors affect the reliability of electricity supply



Finally, it is the role of the energy regulator to set the "rules of the game" for all players. Since the electricity market is often monopolistic, only an independent regulator is in a position to supervise the price of electricity and ensure consumer protection. In terms of electricity reliability, the regulator may set objectives regarding utilities' performance as well as deterrents to reduce the duration and frequency of outages. An example of a financial deterrent can be setting a threshold for the number and/or duration of power outages. In that case, when outages surpass a certain threshold, the regulator can impose penalties or allow for customers to

receive compensation. *Doing Business* data reveal that low- and lower-middle-income economies using such financial deterrents had 53 power cuts on average in 2015, while economies in the same income group without financial deterrents to limit outages had three times more outages.

RELIABILITY ACROSS FOUR ECONOMIES

To assess the power reliability in different economies across the dimensions highlighted, this study looks at four lower-middle-income economies. Guatemala and Indonesia are examples of economies that provide a reliable electricity supply in the main business cities, having registered low levels of outages in 2015, according to *Doing Business* data (table 4.1.). Cameroon and Pakistan, however, have outages on a regular basis and are examples of economies providing an unreliable supply for customers (table 4.2.).⁶ For the other aspects analyzed, the majority of the data are from 2014. In some cases, newer data were available but the same base year was chosen for cross-comparability purposes.

Reliable electricity supply

Indonesia

From an energy perspective, Indonesia faces considerable challenges: it has the fourth largest population globally, a complex geography and falling oil reserves. Nevertheless, Indonesia has achieved a high level of electrification with 96% of the total population having access to electricity in 2012, up from 67% in 1990.⁷ Furthermore, the frequency and duration of power outages in Jakarta and Surabaya today are low compared to other economies in East Asia and the Pacific. System average interruption duration index (SAIFI) data suggest that a business in Jakarta only suffered two outages in 2015, almost nine times less than the regional average. As electricity outages and tariff levels are relatively low in Java,⁸ where over half of Indonesians live, it is then not surprising to observe that the World Bank Enterprise Surveys report that less than

1% of firms in Indonesia see electricity as their “biggest obstacle”—compared to almost 10% of firms worldwide. This reflects a well-performing power sector in Indonesia’s largest municipalities, yet major investments had to be made to overcome several challenges.

In the 1990s, power outages were a common occurrence in Jakarta. Rising electricity demand coupled with the 1997 Asian financial crisis placed a heavy strain on the system. Generation activities—as well as transmission and distribution—were conducted exclusively by Perusahaan Listrik Negara (PLN), the state-owned, vertically-integrated utility. However, the 1999 electricity law opened up the electricity generation market to the private sector. With the entrance of new actors, installed generation capacity was able to expand substantially. At the end of 2014, independent power producers and private utilities accounted for approximately 30% of Indonesia’s installed generation capacity.⁹

In parallel to the partial liberalization of the sector, the government of Indonesia also devised ambitious infrastructure investment plans to meet rising electricity demand.¹⁰ Between 2004 and 2014, generation capacity doubled from 26.4 gigawatts to 53.0 gigawatts¹¹ through a mix of private and public investments. These investments allowed the country to diversify electricity production and reduce reliance on oil, of which Indonesia is a net importer, increasing the share of natural gas (21%), hydropower (7%) and geothermal power (5%) in its generation mix.¹²

While Indonesia’s success vis-à-vis power reliability is largely attributed to infrastructure development, regulatory deterrents to prevent utility underperformance may also have contributed to minimizing power cuts. Per government regulation, customers experiencing outages beyond certain levels are eligible for compensation from PLN. And *Doing Business* data now suggest that PLN

in Jakarta is a good performer if the time needed to get a new permanent electricity connection is used as a proxy to gauge utility efficiency.¹³ It took 59 days to get a new electrical connection in Jakarta in 2016 compared to 101 days in 2009. This improvement is the result of better customer engagement and the streamlining of administrative processes as highlighted by several reforms recorded by *Doing Business*.

A stable electricity supply in Indonesia has been achieved over the past decades mostly by supply-side initiatives. On the demand side, the country has not sought to limit consumption through tariffs. In fact, the pricing policy pursued by the government aims to balance the financial standing of the utility with the affordability of electricity tariffs. Tariffs are, therefore, set below market levels, but PLN is compensated through subsidies that allow for a profit margin of 7%.¹⁴ Tariffs are also routinely reviewed by the regulator. End-user tariffs were raised by 15% in 2013, for example, to help improve PLN’s financial performance in the wake of rising energy prices.

Even though access to reliable electricity has improved in Java, Indonesia still faces considerable challenges going forward. According to the Indonesian Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources, over 12,000 villages in the country are still without electricity and approximately 65% of them are in six provinces in eastern Indonesia.¹⁵ In the coming years, it will be crucial for the country to pursue its *Indonesia Terang* (Bright Indonesia) plan by building island-based generation capacity infrastructure and expanding access to electricity across the archipelago.

Guatemala

Substantial improvements to the reliability of electricity supply have been achieved in Guatemala, particularly in the capital. Although some regions still struggle to provide a reliable electricity supply, residents of Guatemala City had, on average, less than three outages in

2015 compared to an average 13 power cuts in the other main business cities of Latin America and the Caribbean. This is quite a feat considering concerns two decades ago about potential shortfalls in generation capacity due to rising demand—which increased by 7% annually on average between 1986 and 2012.¹⁶ As in Indonesia, Guatemala's first push to boost capacity involved opening the energy sector to private participation.

Unlike its Southeast Asian counterpart, however, Guatemala unbundled the entire energy sector in 1996 through a general electricity law. Competition was introduced, with private and public players entering the generation, transmission, electricity trading and distribution segments. As a result, the Instituto Nacional de Electrificación (INDE), which previously controlled all assets from generation to distribution, now operates 15% of Guatemala's installed generation capacity. The remaining 85% is operated by a variety of private companies.¹⁷ The private sector is also present in the

electricity transmission sector and in the distribution sector, where the privately-owned Energuate controls 60% of the market share.¹⁸

Within two decades of its adoption, the electricity law spurred a series of investments which have more than tripled Guatemala's installed capacity from 1.0 gigawatts to 3.7 gigawatts.¹⁹ This increase in capacity was accompanied by a diversification of the energy mix, notably through tariff and tax incentives, thereby encouraging the use of renewable resources. In 1996, 31% of Guatemalan electricity was generated from oil, and biofuel accounted for 13%.²⁰ Twenty years later, biofuel's share has grown to 38% and the share of oil-based generation has fallen to 12%.²¹ Furthermore, the Central American regional electricity market has provided some flexibility to Guatemala, allowing it to export its excess supply of electricity (or to import it when needed).²²

Following the liberalization program, the government recognized the need to create

a regulatory framework to oversee the new competitive market. The national electricity commission, an independent regulatory body, was established in 1996. The commission sets the market rules, monitors power outages and imposes financial penalties on utilities when excessive service interruptions occur. End-user tariffs are also regulated by the commission and are classified into two categories: a “regular rate”—which is determined based on the blended costs of supply from generation companies, as well as transmission and distribution costs—and a subsidized “social rate” for consumers with monthly demand of up to 300 kilowatt-hours.²³ Utilities can thus recuperate their capital investments while, at the same time, consumers are protected from price gouging.²⁴

Doing Business data also suggest that the improved reliability in Guatemala City may be partly attributed to effective utility management. *Doing Business* ranks Guatemala among the highest in Latin America and the Caribbean for utility performance; it takes just 39 days to get a new connection to the electrical grid in Guatemala compared to the regional average of 66 days.

Unreliable electricity supply

Cameroon

Cameroon was one of the first Sub-Saharan African economies to liberalize its energy sector. The adoption of the 1998 Electricity Sector Law led to the privatization of the vertically-integrated and state-owned utility, the Société Nationale d'Electricité (SONEL).²⁵ Nonetheless, the total installed generation capacity remained largely stagnant between 2000 (0.8 gigawatts) and 2012 (1.0 gigawatts)²⁶ in view of Cameroon's rising energy needs and population growth. As a result, Cameroon faces a severe electricity supply deficit—even though about half of the population is not connected to the grid.²⁷ Douala residents experienced on average almost two hours of outages each week in 2015. This has likely impacted business

TABLE 4.1 Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index for Guatemala and Indonesia

Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index (0–8)	Guatemala	Indonesia
Total duration and frequency of outages per customer a year (0–3)	2	2
System average interruption duration index (SAIDI) in 2015	3.7	2.6
System average interruption frequency index (SAIFI) in 2015	2.6	1.7
Mechanisms for monitoring outages (0–1)	1	1
Does the distribution utility use automated tools to monitor outages?	Yes	Yes
Mechanisms for restoring service (0–1)	1	1
Does the distribution utility use automated tools to restore service?	Yes	Yes
Regulatory monitoring (0–1)	1	1
Does a regulator monitor the utility's performance on reliability of supply?	Yes	Yes
Financial deterrents aimed at limiting outages (0–1)	1	1
Does the utility either pay compensation to customers or face fines by the regulator (or both) if outages exceed a certain cap?	Yes	Yes
Communication of tariffs and tariff changes (0–1)	1	0
Are effective tariffs available online?	Yes	Yes
Are customers notified of tariff changes at least 1 month ahead of time?	Yes	No

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: SAIDI is the average total duration of outages over the course of a year for each customer served, while SAIFI is the average number of service interruptions experienced by a customer in a year. For Indonesia, SAIDI/SAIFI data are for Jakarta only.

behavior; approximately 35% of firms in Cameroon own a generator.²⁸

Cameroon's privatization program has not resulted in a sharp rise in installed capacity nor has it established a fully competitive market. Power generation is open to independent private sector participation, yet the sector remains largely dominated by one company, ENEO Cameroon (formerly SONEL). Because the power sector is not fully unbundled, the transmission and distribution sectors are also operated by ENEO Cameroon, which struggles with transmission losses. For example, 35% of the electricity generated from hydro-powered and gas plants is lost through electricity transmission.²⁹ In this context, the government recently announced the establishment of a new state-owned entity, the Société Nationale de Transport de l'Électricité (Sonatrel), which will take over the transmission sector with the goal of upgrading the power infrastructure.

Cameroon relies entirely on domestically-sourced resources, with hydropower accounting for 71% of generated electricity, and oil and gas making up the balance.³⁰ While it could export electricity to neighboring economies thanks to an abundance of natural resources, that potential is under-exploited.³¹ Cameroon's heavy reliance on hydroelectricity has also meant that droughts often result in prolonged outages. This was the case in 2015 as power outages brought activities at the Douala port to a standstill for several days.³² To prevent such scenarios in the future, Cameroon is aiming to diversify its energy mix and boost generation capacity through a series of tax-based incentives for renewable electricity generation projects.

The electricity sector law of 1998 created the Agence de Régulation du Secteur de l'Électricité (ARSEL), a regulatory agency responsible for setting end-user tariffs. The agency's duties also include the monitoring of power outages and the levying of penalties on utilities for non-compliance

with outage limits. Nevertheless, such penalties were not imposed between 2012 and 2015 as ARSEL opted instead to hold tariffs steady, thereby providing customers with lower tariffs in real terms in "compensation" for excessive outages.³³

Cameroon's energy sector faces considerable challenges. However, *Doing Business* data suggest that reliability issues in Douala stem more from the generation mix and infrastructure than from utility management. Obtaining a new electricity connection, for example, takes on average 64 days in Cameroon, about half the average time in the Sub-Saharan Africa region.

Pakistan

Pakistan is in the midst of an energy crisis. The rapid expansion of the economy in recent decades has led to increased energy demand. In 2011, electricity shortages exceeded 7.0 gigawatts, equal to about one-third of peak demand.³⁴ And while Pakistan was able to increase its level of electrification from 60% in

1990 to 94% in 2012,³⁵ the frequency and duration of outages remain high in its two largest cities. *Doing Business* data show that Karachi and Lahore were among the cities that experienced the most outages globally in 2015. Indeed, World Bank Enterprise Survey data report that for 45% of enterprises in Pakistan, a lack of reliable electricity supply is the largest obstacle to the operation of their business.

After three decades of energy sector expansion, privatization in Pakistan began in 1994 with the unbundling of the Water and Power Development Authority and the opening of power generation to independent producers. Subsequent reforms, such as the provision of incentives for private investments, were pursued in the late 1990s leading to an inflow of private capital and an increase in generation capacity.³⁶ However, declining investment following the 1997 Asian financial crisis coupled with surging local demand resulted in a severe electricity deficit. Between

TABLE 4.2 Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index for Cameroon and Pakistan

Reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index (0–8)	Cameroon	Pakistan
Total duration and frequency of outages per customer a year (0–3)	0	0
System average interruption duration index (SAIDI) in 2015	89	861.7
System average interruption frequency index (SAIFI) in 2015	23.3	387.2
Mechanisms for monitoring outages (0–1)	0	1
Does the distribution utility use automated tools to monitor outages?	No	Yes
Mechanisms for restoring service (0–1)	0	1
Does the distribution utility use automated tools to restore service?	No	Yes
Regulatory monitoring (0–1)	1	1
Does a regulator monitor the utility's performance on reliability of supply?	Yes	Yes
Financial deterrents aimed at limiting outages (0–1)	1	1
Does the utility either pay compensation to customers or face fines by the regulator (or both) if outages exceed a certain cap?	Yes	Yes
Communication of tariffs and tariff changes (0–1)	1	0
Are effective tariffs available online?	Yes	Yes
Are customers notified of tariff changes at least 1 month ahead of time?	Yes	No

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Under the getting electricity methodology if SAIDI/SAIFI is 100 or more then the economy is not eligible to score on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index. For Pakistan, SAIDI/SAIFI data are for Karachi only.

2004 and 2008, commercial electricity consumption increased by approximately 8% per year. In addition, the electricity sector's share of total public investment fell from 51% in the mid-1990s to 26% by 2010.³⁷ As a result, generation capacity was not able to keep up with demand.

Pakistan's generation sector is comprised of different players with private power producers providing about 30% of the total generation capacity. On the distribution end, the sector is operated by 10 state-owned regional utilities and a private company, K-Electric, which serves Karachi. Almost all of the utilities, however, experience the same sets of challenges: shortfalls in electricity supply, chronic transmission and distribution losses³⁸ and insufficient exploitation of existing capacity.

Pakistan's electricity generation mix consists mainly of thermal power (69%) and hydropower (28%).³⁹ Gas is sourced domestically but the economy is a net importer of oil, which makes the electricity sector reliant on imports and exposed to market fluctuations. Repeated hikes in global oil prices have at times strained the public—and utility—finances, but the oil share of electricity generation has grown since the 1990s.⁴⁰ Considering this situation, Pakistan has undertaken a power sector reform agenda to address its generation shortfall by further developing its hydropower potential. In this context, hydropower investment projects supported by multilateral institutions such as the World Bank Group have recently been announced.⁴¹

The regulator, the National Electric Power Regulatory Authority (NEPRA), was established in 1998 as an autonomous body without any government administrative control. However, while NEPRA has jurisdiction on tariffs, all decisions need to be approved by the state, which has led successive governments to set tariff levels in a discretionary manner. Consequently, end-user prices have been set below the cost of supply

with the difference being paid to the utility through extensive government subsidies⁴²—which are sizeable both in relation to GDP and total general government expenditures.⁴³ Delays in disbursing these subsidies have at times contributed to debts that have strained the finances of generation companies, undermining investments and the upkeep of the distribution network.

The unreliability of the electricity sector in Pakistan may also be attributed to the state of utility financial and operational performance. According to *Doing Business* data, it takes well over 100 days for a business to connect to electricity in Lahore and Karachi and a new connection costs about 1,770% of the national income per capita, a cost that is among the highest in South Asia.

and Indonesia show that investment can be implemented through a strategy pursuing sectoral liberalization or with a vertically-integrated public utility continuing to play a major role in the energy sector, so long as there are incentives to ensure generation adequacy (figure 4.3).


The highlighted good performers underscore the importance of not only investing in productive capacity but also of maintaining the power system infrastructure. Aging infrastructure results in increased losses and a deterioration in the reliability of supply. It is also useful to diversify the energy mix to decrease the dependence on a given resource. A country that is over-reliant on hydropower, for example, might be particularly exposed to droughts, while a country that strongly relies on imported oil may be vulnerable to fluctuations in global crude prices.

Other factors impacting the reliability of supply are tariff levels, bill collection rates and transmission and distribution losses. In many economies, tariffs are calculated taking into account all costs associated with the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity, as well as profit margins and infrastructure maintenance costs. Subsidies, if needed, typically target certain groups of customers for

DRIVERS OF SUPPLY RELIABILITY

Evidence suggests that adequate investment in electricity generation is essential to ensure a reliable electricity supply. Without investment, generation capacity can quickly be overtaken by rising demand, as occurred in Cameroon and Pakistan. The experiences of Guatemala

FIGURE 4.3 Generation capacity from 2000 to 2012



Sources: International Energy Agency database (<http://www.iea.org/statistics/>); World Bank country data (<http://data.worldbank.org/country>).


whom affordability is an issue, but utilities in general should not carry the financial burden of tariffs set below market levels. Bill collection rates are also relevant because under-collection results in revenue losses, which exacerbate the financial shortfalls that plague the sector. In turn, this poses challenges to the ability of utilities to pay their suppliers.

Pakistan's power sector also grapples with financial challenges. In 2014 electricity tariffs were charged at 20.8 cents per kilowatt-hour,⁴⁴ but the bill collection rate was below 80%.⁴⁵ Because tariffs were set at below cost-recovery level, generation costs were not entirely recuperated through end-user tariffs. This resulted in chronic debt for the power system.⁴⁶

Transmission and distribution losses, which serve as a metric of operational efficiency for a utility, also affect the financial performance and the reliability of electricity supply. In Cameroon and Pakistan, transmission and distribution losses stand at approximately 30%, compared to 10% or less in Guatemala and Indonesia (figure 4.4). These losses can be divided into technical and commercial losses. Technical losses are due to the natural resistance of the electric cables to the flow of the electric current. They depend on the distance from generators to customers, on the voltage level and the quality of infrastructure, among other factors. Commercial losses are caused by non-payment due to theft, non-registered consumption or improper metering. In OECD high-income economies, commercial losses are minimal and stood at 6.5% in 2012.⁴⁷ By contrast, the majority of losses in Cameroon and Pakistan are commercial, considering that—based on World Bank Group energy sector experience—technical losses usually do not exceed 12%. Such high numbers can compromise utilities' financial standing.

Another key driver of supply reliability is a proper, overarching regulatory framework, as it can ensure adequate tariffs for each customer group and hold utilities

FIGURE 4.4 Electric power transmission and distribution losses in 2012



Source: International Energy Agency database (<http://www.iea.org/statistics/>).

accountable for the frequency and duration of power outages. All four economies analyzed have regulatory bodies in place and impose financial deterrents aimed at limiting outages. However, energy regulation cannot by itself ensure a high level of reliability of supply—the frequency and duration of power outages recorded in Guatemala and Indonesia are significantly lower than in Cameroon and Pakistan.

CONCLUSION

The reliability of electricity supply is critical for the development of the private sector—as well as for societies at large. There are multiple interdependent factors that directly affect reliability. Some are beyond the control of policy makers (such as inclement weather or commodity prices) yet many factors are, in fact, actionable if a long-term and comprehensive approach is adopted. Therefore, adequate generation capacity, financial performance, the operational efficiency of the utilities and the overarching regulatory framework need not be treated separately. All of these levers are integral to ensuring that electricity supply meets demand in a sustainable fashion.

With adequate planning and foresight, different strategies can be used to ensure a constant flow of electricity, as policy

makers must cope with local market factors and other development objectives such as “greening” the energy mix and making electricity affordable for subsets of the population. The cases of Indonesia and Guatemala are interesting for this reason: growing demand was met through different investment strategies and varying degrees of sectoral liberalization. And while liberalization helped spur investment in these two economies, it has been less of a success in Pakistan and Cameroon where some factors—such as sustainable tariff pricing, sound financial management, high operational performance and balanced energy mix—were partly neglected in the past. As these cases suggest, having a multipronged approach is necessary to ensure the reliability of electricity supply.

NOTES

This case study was written by Jean Arlet, Diane Davoine, Tigran Parvanyan, Jayashree Srinivasan, and Erick Tjong.

- Average excludes Sub-Saharan African economies for which SAIDI/SAIFI data was unavailable. These economies are: Angola, Benin, Botswana, Burundi, the Central African Republic, Chad, the Republic of Congo, Equatorial Guinea, Ethiopia, The Gambia, Ghana, Guinea-Bissau, Lesotho, Madagascar, Malawi, Mauritius, Mozambique, Namibia, Rwanda, São Tomé and Príncipe, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Africa, South Sudan and Togo. Averages are for the primary

- business city of each economy and exclude data from Kano, Nigeria.
2. Andersen and Dalgaard 2012.
 3. Westall, Sylvia. 2015. "No light at end of tunnel for Lebanon's power crisis." Reuters, October 26. <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-lebanon-electricity-idUSKCN0SK1LH20151026/>.
 4. Exogenous factors, such as natural cataclysms, are not considered in the case study.
 5. Mutale, Alexander. 2015. "Zambia: Hello darkness." Financial Mail. May 21. [http://www.financialmail.co.za/features/2015/05/21/zambia-hello-darkness/](http://www.financialmail.co.za/features/2015/05/21/zambia-hello-darkness;); Botah, Tozya. 2016. "How El Nino is affecting Zambia." Zambia Daily Mail. January 14. <https://www.dailymail.co.zm/?p=56004>.
 6. *Doing Business* collects data on the average frequency and duration of power outages per customer in the main business city of each economy over the course of one year.
 7. Data in this section are from the Sustainable Energy for All (SE4ALL) database, World Bank, Washington, DC, <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/sustainable-energy-for-all>.
 8. According to *Doing Business* data commercial tariffs stand at 11 cents per kilowatt-hour in Indonesia in 2016 compared to an average of 23 cents per kilowatt-hour globally.
 9. PwC 2015.
 10. Electricity demand is rising rapidly in Indonesia (up by 6% in 2014 and forecast by PwC to rise by 9% annually between 2015 and 2019). <https://www.pwc.com/id/en/publications/assets/eumpublications/utilities/power-guide-2015.pdf>.
 11. Indonesia, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources 2015.
 12. Tharakan 2015.
 13. Geginat and Ramalho 2015.
 14. Tharakan 2015.
 15. The six regions are Maluku, North Maluku, East Nusa Tenggara (NTT), West Nusa Tenggara (NTB), Papua and West Papua.
 16. Guatemala, Ministry of Energy and Mines 2013.
 17. Guatemala, Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016.
 18. Bolaños, Rosa María. 2016. "IC Power compra a Deocsa y Deorsa." Prensa Libre, January 1. <http://www.prensalibre.com/economia/energuate-cambiaria-de-dueo-firma-estadounidense-acuerda-compra/>.
 19. Data in this section are from the international energy statistics database of the U.S. Energy Information Administration, Washington, DC, <http://www.eia.gov/cfapps/ipdbproject/IEDIndex3.cfm>.
 20. According to IEA data, in 2013 the generation mix was: 15.8% coal, 17% oil, 18.1% biomass, 46.9% hydro and 2.1% geothermal.
 21. Guatemala, Ministry of Energy and Mines 2016.
 22. Although electricity imports and exports have both increased since 2010, Guatemala remains a net energy exporter. Guatemala, Ministry of Energy and Mines 2013.
 23. IDB 2013.
 24. Guatemala, National Electric Energy Commission 2015.
 25. In 2001 SONEL was acquired by the US-based AES Corporation, thereby becoming AES SONEL, and granted a 20-year monopoly over generation, transmission and distribution. In 2014 AES SONEL was acquired by a British group, ACTIS, and renamed ENEO Cameroon.
 26. These data are from the Electricity Installed Capacity 1980-2012 section of the Cameroon Data Portal (database), Yaoundé, Cameroon, <http://cameroon.opendataforafrica.org/sdjsclb/cameroun-electricity-installed-capacity-1980-2012>.
 27. Data on access to electricity are for 2012 and are from the World Development Indicators database (<http://data.worldbank.org/indicator>), World Bank.
 28. Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org/>), World Bank.
 29. World Bank 2014a.
 30. These data are for 2013 and are from the statistical database of the International Energy Agency, Paris, France, <http://www.iea.org/statistics/>.
 31. According to the World Bank, Cameroon has the third largest hydropower potential in Sub-Saharan Africa with an estimated capacity of 12,000 megawatts.
 32. Kindzeka, Moki Edwin. 2015. "Cameroon economy suffers through power failures." VOA News. June 19. <http://www.voanews.com/content/cameroun-economy-suffers-through-power-failures/2829060.html>.
 33. Investingincamereroon.com. 2015. "The electricity regulator in Cameroon announced a heavy penalty against Eneo." July 9. <http://www.investiraucameroun.com/energie/0907-6527-pour-2015-le-regulateur-de-l-electricite-au-cameroun-annonce-une-lourde-penalite-contre-eneo>.
 34. Aziz and Ahmad 2015.
 35. Data in this section are from the Sustainable Energy for All (SE4ALL) database, World Bank, Washington, DC, <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/sustainable-energy-for-all>.
 36. Installed capacity rose from 7,700 megawatts to 19,300 megawatts and production from 37.7 terawatt hours to 93.8 terawatt hours.
 37. Aziz and Ahmad 2015.
 38. These are estimated at 23% to 25% in 2016 according to data from the United States Institute of Peace.
 39. Kessides 2012.
 40. Aziz and Ahmad 2015.
 41. World Bank Group 2016.
 42. Mills 2012.
 43. Kugelman 2013.
 44. These data are from the *Doing Business* database and are for 2014.
 45. Jamal, Nasir. 2014. "Amount of Unpaid Power Bills Increases to Rs286bn." April 16. <http://www.dawn.com/news/1100237>.
 46. USAID 2016.
 47. These data are from the statistical database of the International Energy Agency, Paris, France, <http://www.iea.org/statistics/>.



Getting Credit: Legal Rights

Two approaches to developing an integrated secured transactions regime

- Modern secured transactions regimes can be regulated either by a piecemeal approach, where various existing laws are amended, or by the passage of a new comprehensive law that encompasses all types of security interests.
- An integrated approach to secured transactions enlarges the scope of assets that small and medium-size enterprises can use as collateral, thus expanding their access to finance. This approach allows the borrower to maintain possession of the collateralized asset for use in its business operations.
- A modern collateral registry—centralized, notice-based and with online public access—is a key ingredient of a well-functioning modern economy. The registry should be unified for all types of movable assets, searchable and accessible online for verifications, registrations, amendments and renewals. By mid-2016, 26 economies had operational, notice-based and modern collateral registries, including Australia, Colombia, the Lao People's Democratic Republic and most recently Costa Rica, El Salvador, Liberia and Malawi.

Secured transactions regimes are designed to make it easier for small and medium-size enterprises to obtain credit and other types of funding from both traditional and nontraditional financial institutions. However, these systems should be supported by effective enforcement mechanisms. The most traditional component of an effective secured transactions system is a guarantee over assets (nonpossessory security interests) where a debtor is authorized to continue operating by using the secured asset for the benefit of their business. In addition to the traditional nonpossessory pledge, other guarantee equivalents have emerged in an effort to increase business capital. For example, with a financial lease, a business can use a leased machine in exchange for monthly payments. However, had this debt not been recorded, future lenders would not have a clear view of the business standing and who has priority over its assets, especially if the business becomes insolvent. The registration of assets in a well-running collateral registry is crucial for the efficient operation of financial institutions.

Reforms to legal frameworks governing secured transactions have increased worldwide in the past decade, benefiting creditors and businesses alike. The enactment of laws that cover all types of lending contracts using movable assets as collateral can expand the scope of assets available to secure repayment of a loan. The capital stock of businesses in most developing economies is

typically in movable assets.¹ The ability to use movable assets as collateral is therefore central to improving access to credit and, in a broad sense, to funding. Such collateral can be created on a range of assets. Further, there are many equivalents to traditional collateral where the borrower keeps use of the collateral—often referred to as functional equivalents—including fiduciary transfer of title, financial lease, assignment of receivables and retention-of-title sales (table 5.1). Funding can be achieved not only through traditional bank financing and credit but also through financial lease agreements, for example, that can benefit small and medium-size enterprises unable to raise money directly in the capital markets. Such agreements allow these firms to access funding, thereby preserving their cash flow and increasing their potential for growth.²

Creditors are more willing to provide funding when it can be guaranteed with a security interest, meaning property interests created by agreement or by law over the debtor's assets. A good practice associated with collaterals that remain in the possession of the debtor so that the company can continue to use them (that is, the company that received the loan keeps using machines that serve as collateral in order to generate profit for its business and pay back the loan) is for the law to allow for a general description of the collateral. Rather than being specific—300 XYZ laptops, serial number 1234, metal colored, 14-inch screen, for example—a general description of

TABLE 5.1 Examples of functional equivalents

Functional equivalents	Possession (usage of assets)	Ownership title (to asset)	Example
Fiduciary transfer of title (of a movable asset)	Borrower	Lender (Borrower after full loan is paid)	Borrower transfers title of movable asset (for example, a sewing machine) to lender (creditor), but keeps and uses machine. Title of machine is returned to debtor when loan is fully repaid.
Financial lease agreement	Lessee	Lessor (Lessee after full lease is repaid)	Lessor (creditor) owns leased asset which he leases to lessee. Lessee makes payments that amortize full or substantial part of cost of leased asset.
Assignment of receivables	Creditor	Debtor	Debtor assigns right to receive payments from specific account receivables to creditor (lender) but remains owner of accounts.
Sale with retention of title	Debtor	Seller (Debtor upon full repayment of price)	Debtor buys movable asset from seller (creditor), but seller keeps ownership title until debtor repays full price.

"all laptop inventories" would be acceptable. Credit markets also tend to operate better when the law stipulates that all such interests be registered in a collateral registry so as to be enforceable against third parties.

It is essential that national legislation allows for a nonpossessory security interest. A financial lease on a company's machine does not, for example, imply that the machine will be handed over to the lessor but rather that the firm may use the machine to carry on business and generate profits to pay off the debt. A modern collateral registry should protect the nonpossessory security rights of creditors against third parties by ensuring transparency. Legislation should also allow for collateral to cover any assets obtained in the future or acquired after the collateral was created as well as products, proceeds and replacements of the original assets (for example, wood in stock is guaranteed for a loan used to produce furniture that is automatically collateralized).³ The law should allow for a general description of the assets subject to security, without requiring detailed descriptions or serial numbers, within the scope of the value of the loan. The description should provide enough detail to simply allow the identification of the collateral. Permitting a wide range of assets to be used as collateral provides security for all types of obligations, present and future, including one-time loans and revolving credit lines.


COLLATERAL REGISTRIES

A centralized collateral registry—which encompasses all types of collateral, security interests and their functional equivalents—should support the secured transactions legal and institutional regime at the national level. This registry is distinct from a serial number collateral registry which serves for registration of assets such as motor vehicles, sea-going vessels and aircraft, for example. A modern secured transactions system allows secured creditors to establish their priority to the collateral, in case of business liquidation or default, in an efficient and transparent manner. The collateral registry needs to be centralized nationally, unified for all types of movable assets, accessible online for verifications, registrations, amendments and renewals, searchable by debtor's identifiers and accessible to the general public. The registration process needs to be simple, requiring only the basic information related to the collateral, such as identifiers of the parties, description of the collateral and the secured amount without need for specification. The law should not have as a registration requirement that the underlying security documentation—such as loan agreements, security agreements, and the terms and conditions of the loan—be reported to the collateral registry for the simple reason that the purpose of the registry is only to "give notice" of a security interest and to establish a priority scheme. Also, a notice-based system

eliminates the risk of human error by registry employees and reduces the cost of operating the collateral registry. Policy makers should encourage a modest registration fee be charged to offset the operational costs of running the registry.

The introduction of a collateral registry increases the share of firms with access to a line of credit, loan or overdraft (figure 5.1). One study showed that the number of firms with access to finance increased by approximately 8% on average in the period following the introduction of the registry for movable collateral; interest rates also fell and loan maturities were extended. Introducing a new registry for movable collateral has stronger benefits for small firms, which are often more constrained in their access to finance and do not have many fixed assets that can serve as collateral but which, on the other hand, are often the primary generators of new jobs and make a substantial contribution to economic growth, particularly in the developing world.⁴

A ground-breaking property law was approved in China in 2007 and a modern collateral registry was set up in the same year. More than a dozen government policies and regulations concerning movable asset finance have been issued since. As a result, a majority of lending institutions have rolled out various credit products based on movable assets benefiting mostly small and medium-size enterprises but also agribusiness

FIGURE 5.1 The introduction of a collateral registry increases access to finance for businesses

Source: Adapted from Love, Martínez Pería and Singh (2013, figure 1).

Note: The vertical line indicates the year of introduction of a collateral registry for movable assets.

operators and domestic and international traders. A digital accounts receivable finance platform under the central bank has been running since the end of 2013 with a cumulative financing volume of about \$400 billion. The share of commercial credit involving movable assets has been raised from 12% in 2004 to around 40% currently. Cumulatively since the end of 2007—and for accounts receivable and lease finance only—over 2.2 million transactions have been registered at the collateral registry with a financing volume of at least \$10 trillion. Annual disbursements of debt finance involving movable assets is around \$3 trillion, including large but important infrastructure deals and the issuance of bonds backed by receivables.

Besides achieving impressive results, Ghana's collateral registry reform project

from 2008 to 2014 enabled the design and implementation of the first modern collateral registry in Africa, opening the market for secured transactions and collateral registry reforms in the region. Between its establishment in 2010 and the end of 2015, the registry facilitated \$1.3 billion in financing for small-scale businesses and \$12 billion in total financing for the business sector overall using only movable assets as collateral for loans. Women entrepreneurs have played an important role in this scheme—women borrowers account for 40% of total registrations and more than \$100 million in financing for this sector.


As in most fragile and conflict-affected economies, the lack of access to credit remains a key challenge to enterprise development in Liberia. A collateral registry was officially launched in Liberia on June 18, 2014. It was widely expected

that the Ebola crisis, which had a negative impact on commercial bank financing, would have reduced the use of the collateral registry as well. By June 2016, however—only two years after its launch—the registry had recorded 527 security interest registrations, over 94% of which went to individuals (51% of which were women), facilitating financing of more than \$237 million.⁵

TWO WAYS TO REFORM

Eighty two economies have reformed their legislation governing secured transactions over the past decade.⁶ During that period two approaches have emerged in the way these economies have made the adjustments to their national laws to expand coverage to all traditional security interests on movable assets and their functional equivalents. The *Doing*

FIGURE 5.2 Legal rights of secured creditors in several economies that reformed in 2014-2016



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>), World Bank.

Note: This figure captures economies with reforms between *Doing Business* 2014 and *Doing Business* 2017. Reforms that took place earlier or prior to the inception of *Doing Business* 2003 are not reflected in this figure. The score for Indonesia and Mexico is the average for both cities measured in each case.

Business getting credit indicators capture some of these reforms (figure 5.2).

The first approach is to introduce one comprehensive law covering secured transactions that regulates all types of security interests available to both incorporated and non-incorporated entities. An example of this approach is the new secured transactions law in Colombia, which entered into force in August 2014. A follow-up regulation established the terms for the implementation of a centralized collateral registry. Together these

established a modern legal regime for secured transactions wherein all types of movable assets, present or future, may be used as collateral to secure a loan. Functional equivalents of loans secured with movable property, such as assignment of receivables or sales with retention of title, were brought under regulation. The law also provides for priority rules outside bankruptcy and establishes the rights of secured creditors during a reorganization procedure, thereby assuring lenders that they can recover payments due ahead of any other

claims. Finally, the law permits out-of-court enforcement of collateral allowing for both public tender and private sale.

The new legal framework in Colombia allows borrowers to obtain loans by using collateral resources such as inventory, machinery and crops. Since the registry went live in March 2015, there have been over one million registrations valued at more than \$93 billion. Over 10% of these loans represent new credits. More than 100 financial institutions are participating in the registry of lenders. Some of Colombia's largest banks have provided loans secured by movable collateral including embroidery machines, milking equipment and rice crops.⁷ According to data from the World Bank Enterprise Surveys, almost one third of Colombian entrepreneurs cited access to credit as the most pressing constraint to the growth of their enterprise before the law was enacted.⁸ This is nearly double the average for the rest of Latin America and the Caribbean.⁹

Costa Rica is one of the most recent examples of introducing a secured transactions system which allows entrepreneurs to leverage movable assets for a loan. More than 40% of small and medium-size enterprises consider the lack of funding a barrier to their economic activity.¹⁰ Proper implementation was the main challenge in introducing a new secured transactions system in Costa Rica, as is commonly the case when such a reform is introduced. The reformed system requires a paradigm shift in various perceptions and lending practices, such as raising the awareness of all users and providing training so that they can use the system more efficiently. Costa Rica's system was launched in May 2015 and by December 2015 registrations totaled 5,334, including over 2,900 small and medium-size firms receiving loans secured with movable property.

In Jamaica, a law which came into force in January 2014 established a modern legal framework for secured transactions

wherein all types of movable assets, present or future, can be used as collateral to secure a loan. The law also regulates functional equivalents of loans secured with movable property such as financial leases or sales with retention of title and also allows out-of-court enforcement of the collateral through public auction or private sale. The same law also established the regulation for the implementation of a centralized collateral registry.

Afghanistan introduced a law in 2009 establishing a comprehensive secured transactions regime with a functional approach. The law regulates both present and future collateral and its proceeds and contains clear rules of enforcement through public auction or private sale. With the support of the Afghanistan secured lending project, which aimed to increase private sector credit access by strengthening lenders' rights in movable assets, a fully-operational, modern, centralized collateral registry was created in March 2013. The registry, which is hosted by the central bank, allows for online registrations, searches, modifications and cancellations. Establishing the secured transactions system in Afghanistan was accomplished in three stages. First, careful planning of legislative reform led to the enactment of the law in 2009 as well as amendments to existing laws and regulations aimed at enhancing the rights of creditors in movable assets. Second, the movable assets registry was established to enable lenders to effectively file a notice related to their proprietary rights. Third, a public awareness and capacity building program was launched in March 2013 to educate government and private sector participants about the benefits of a well-functioning secured transactions system. Following the training of officers at the central bank, the institution has taken the lead in raising public awareness in Afghanistan on the use of the collateral registry. This new legal and institutional framework has resulted in more than 4,500 loans registered by all 16 commercial banks and 2 microfinance institutions since the registry's launch.

The majority of clients (85%) are micro, small and medium-size enterprises. The value of financing using movable assets was estimated at \$910 million as of August 2015, including various lending products where accounts receivable and tangible assets are used as securities. Furthermore, over 10,000 online searches have been conducted, highlighting the widespread use of the system.

In all of the above cases the law foresees the extension of the original collateral to future assets. Many jurisdictions only permit grantors to create security rights in assets that are in existence and that they own when the security right is created (that is, they are not able to grant security in assets not yet in existence or that they have not yet acquired). This restriction is to protect debtors from over-committing their assets—in particular, their future assets—to one secured creditor. Nevertheless, because businesses may not always have available existing assets to secure credit, this limitation prevents them from obtaining various types of credit that are predicated upon a stream of future assets, such as inventory and receivables. Thus it is a good practice that, except to the extent that consumer protection legislation provides otherwise, a security right should be created in future assets. Also, the cost of secured credit depends in part on the cost associated with obtaining security rights. An efficient secured transactions regime will establish streamlined procedures for obtaining security rights. Transaction costs will be reduced notably by: minimizing formalities, providing for a single method for creating security rights rather than a multiplicity of security devices for different types of encumbered asset, and providing a mechanism that permits the creation of security rights in future assets and securing future advances of credit without the need for any additional documentation or action by the parties.¹¹

The application of new legislation often reveals areas for improvement of the law or the supporting institutions. A

law in Bosnia and Herzegovina, which adopted the functional approach, established the same regime for possessory pledges (when the debtor must transfer the collateral to the creditor or a third party), nonpossessory pledges, leases and other security rights. A pledge can include tangible property, like machinery or inventory, bank accounts, account receivables, or shares in a company with limited liability. The law permits great freedom to define both the object of the security (specifically or generally, including as a pool of fluctuating assets) and also the secured debt (including revolving loans, credit lines), thereby providing companies with significant flexibility with respect to their collateralized assets.

The 17 member states of the Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa, known by its French acronym OHADA,¹² have also reformed existing legislation in recent years. These changes have broadened the range of assets that can be used as collateral (to include future assets), extended security interests to the proceeds of the original asset and introduced the possibility of out-of-court enforcement. However, the establishment of a centralized, modern, notice-based collateral registry—available online for the registration of lending contracts, searches by debtor's name, modification and cancellations—remains a challenge in these economies.

The second approach for creating a modern secured transactions legal system is by introducing specific provisions to existing legislation. Hungary, for example, amended its civil code in 2014 to include new rules and principles for the creation, publicity and enforcement of pledges over movable assets by specifically extending the pledge to include its products and proceeds. Such pledges can now be registered online directly by the contracting parties. Similarly, Poland has amended numerous laws to allow for rights in movable assets to be created for security purposes by agreement. A bill, once approved by the Parliament, will

allow for electronic auctions of debtors' movable assets through an electronic system provided by the district courts and the exchange of legal correspondence through electronic means. Electronic auctions are expected to reduce the need to hold multiple physical auctions by reaching out to a wider market of potential buyers.¹³ Amendments to existing laws have also been implemented in the Czech Republic. The definition of receivables, which are now considered movable assets, was modified in 2014 following a change to the civil code. As a result, legal provisions related to security interests and the pledge registry are now applicable to receivables. In addition, the law allows secured creditors to enforce their security interests out of court, through a public auction, and to execute a security as stipulated in the security agreement.

In jurisdictions where multiple laws regulate various types of security interests and their functional equivalents, the requirement to register all types of collateral in a unified collateral registry can act as a catalyst. In 2013, Indonesia operationalized a national movable collateral registry, through which registration of all types of security rights over movable assets are processed and managed. However, fiduciary transfer registrations were only allowed to be completed by notaries and other functional equivalents were not recorded in the registry database. In 2015 the online registry for fiduciary transfer in Indonesia—which centralized all fiduciary transfer registrations since 2013—expanded its database to be searchable online by debtor's name, among other unique search elements. The search function is accessible to the public through the online portal.¹⁴

CONCLUSION

Policy makers in some economies choose to enact a comprehensive and completely new law while others amend existing legislation to govern secured transactions.

Some of the economies that chose to replace various incomplete laws governing the security interest with a single piece of updated legislation also followed up with the creation of a modern collateral registry, resulting in a higher average score on the strength of legal rights index. However, those economies that chose to amend their existing laws to create a unified secured transactions regime scored significantly lower on average. This suggests that multiple good practices were already included in the existing provisions. Complementing existing legislation with new legal and regulatory reform works well in economies where legislation is relatively solid and functional. Many economies in Europe and Central Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America and the Caribbean have followed this approach by introducing laws unifying the regulation and registration of security interests including functional equivalents.

-
- /security-lg/e/09-82670_Ebook-Guide_09-04-10English.pdf.
12. The 17 members of OHADA are Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, the Comoros, the Democratic Republic of Congo, the Republic of Congo, Côte d'Ivoire, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal and Togo; OHADA 2012.
 13. World Bank 2015b.
 14. See Indonesia's online fiduciary registry website at <http://ahu.go.id/pencarian/fidusia/>.

NOTES

This case study was written by Maria Magdalena Chiquier, Selima Daadouche Crum and Magdalini Konidari.

1. Typical examples of movable assets are machines, inventory and furniture, among others.
2. Kraemer-Eis and Lang 2012.
3. Pursuant to good practices promoted by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Legislative Guide on Secured Transactions.
4. Love, Martínez Pería and Singh 2013.
5. The data cited for registries in China, Ghana and Liberia were provided by World Bank Group staff that obtained them for the respective registries.
6. *Doing Business* data.
7. For more on loans secured by movable collateral, see the IFC's website at http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/news_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/news+and+events/news/breaking+down+barriers+to+finance.
8. For more on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys, see the website at <http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>.
9. Barsky 2014.
10. Costa Rica, Ministry of Economy, Industry and Commerce 2015.
11. United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Legislative Guide on Secured Transactions. Available online from <https://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts>



Getting Credit: Credit Information

Casting a wide net to expand financial inclusion

- A comprehensive credit reporting system that includes credit history data from alternative sources—in addition to banks—is critical to the establishment of a well-developed and inclusive financial infrastructure.
- In economies where credit bureaus or registries include data from retailers, utility companies and trade creditors, the average coverage of the credit reporting system tends to be higher than in those where such information is not available.
- OECD high-income economies and Latin America and the Caribbean have the largest proportion of economies where the main credit reporting service provider distributes data from non-regulated entities.
- In 50 out of 190 economies measured by *Doing Business* the main credit reporting service provider distributes data from utility companies in its reports. At least one credit reporting service provider reports repayment history from financing corporations or leasing companies in 110 economies worldwide.
- Reporting microfinance data benefits borrowers (by establishing repayment histories that help them obtain loans) and microloan lenders (by helping them assess the repayment capacity of their clients).

The ability to access affordable credit is a critical element of private sector-led growth. While factors such as interest rates and collateral requirements play an important role in access to finance for firms and individuals, underdeveloped financial infrastructure increases the cost and risk of lending to both borrowers and financial services providers. A comprehensive credit reporting system that includes credit history data not only from banks but from other institutions—such as trade creditors, leasing and factoring companies, retailers and utilities and microfinance institutions—is critical in the establishment of a well-developed and inclusive financial infrastructure.¹ This can be of special importance for developing economies where lower levels of institutional development—reflected in weak judicial systems and creditor rights—are associated with greater financing constraints and less developed credit markets.²

Around 2.5 billion people currently lack access to formal financial services.³ Globally, 42% of adults reported having borrowed money in the previous 12 months in the 2014 Global Findex survey.⁴ Although the overall share of adults with a new loan—formal or informal—was fairly consistent across regions and economies, the source of new loans varied widely. In OECD high-income economies financial institutions were the main source of financing, with 18% of adults reporting borrowing from one in the past year. By contrast, in developing economies nearly a third (29%) of adults reported borrowing from family

or friends, while only 9% reported borrowing from a financial institution. In the Middle East, South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa more people reported borrowing from a store (using installment credit or buying on credit) than from a financial institution. The gap in the Middle East was the largest, with close to 20% of borrowers having a retail store credit and less than 10% having a loan from a financial institution.⁵

Access to finance is a fundamental factor affecting the growth opportunities of small businesses. Globally, 27% of firms identify access to finance as a major constraint.⁶ While a quarter of firms use banks to finance investments, only 15% of these firms' total investments are financed by banks, with 71% of investments being financed internally, 5% by supplier credit and 5% by equity or stock sales.⁷ Compared to large firms, smaller firms finance a lesser share of their investment from formal sources, relying instead on informal sources such as borrowing from family and friends or from unregulated moneylenders.⁸ Around 70% of formal small and medium-size enterprises in developing economies are estimated to be either unserved or underserved by the formal financial sector.⁹ The total credit gap that they face amounts to \$1.3 to \$1.6 trillion, or \$700 to \$850 billion if firms in OECD high-income economies are excluded.¹⁰ A credit reporting system that accounts for the diverse sources of finance for small and medium-size firms can contribute to a reduction of the credit gap and the promotion of private sector growth.

FIGURE 6.1 In economies where borrower coverage is higher, the share of adults with credit cards and borrowing from financial institutions is larger



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Global Findex database (<http://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/globalfindex>), World Bank.

Note: The samples include 106 and 130 economies covered by both *Doing Business* database and Global Findex database. Both relationships are significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.


EXPANDING CREDIT ACCESS THROUGH COMPREHENSIVE CREDIT REPORTING

Lenders and borrowers—both individuals and firms—benefit from sharing credit information with credit reporting service providers (CRSPs). In economies where a larger share of the adult population is covered by CRSPs, more adults have a credit card, borrow from a bank or other financial institution (figure 6.1) and formal private sector lending is higher (figure 6.2). This is consistent with earlier studies indicating that credit reporting institutions are associated with higher ratios of private credit to GDP across economies and that an improvement in information sharing increases credit levels over time.¹¹ Higher economic growth rates and a lower likelihood of financial crisis are additional benefits associated with greater credit reporting.¹² It is important to note that the figures presented here describe an association between variables measuring credit reporting systems and credit market outcomes. No causality is implied given the cross-economy nature of the data.

More firms tend to have bank loans or lines of credit (figure 6.3) and fewer rejections of loan applications (figure 6.4) in economies where credit bureaus and credit registries have higher commercial

borrower coverage. This finding is consistent with recent analysis using firm-level surveys of 63 economies covering more than 75,000 firms over the period from 2002 to 2013. Its results reveal that the


FIGURE 6.2 In economies where borrower coverage is higher, the levels of formal private sector lending are higher



Sources: *Doing Business* database; World Development Indicators database (<http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators>), World Bank.

Note: The sample includes 129 economies covered by both the *Doing Business* database and World Development Indicators database. The relationship is significant at the 10% level after controlling for income per capita.

FIGURE 6.3 Higher borrower coverage is associated with higher percentage of firms with a bank loan/line of credit



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>), World Bank.

Note: The sample includes 138 economies covered by both *Doing Business* database and World Bank Enterprise Surveys database. The relationship is significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita.

introduction of a credit bureau improves the firms' likelihood of access to finance, with longer-term loans, lower interest rates and higher share of working capital financed by banks. The study also finds that the greater the coverage of the credit


bureau and the scope and accessibility of the credit information, the more profound its impact is on firm financing.¹³

By sharing credit information credit reporting helps to reduce information

asymmetries between creditors and borrowers. Borrowers typically know their financial abilities and investment opportunities much better than lenders do. The inability of lenders to accurately assess the creditworthiness of borrowers contributes to higher default rates and smaller loan portfolios. Lenders are also more likely to lend to larger firms, which may be more transparent as a result of more elaborate legal and accounting rules and the regular publication of certified financial reports.

Credit reporting has been shown to decrease contract delinquencies and defaults, especially when firms are informationally opaque, without loosening lending standards.¹⁴ Studies suggest that, following the introduction of credit reporting systems, repayment rates have risen when lending is for a single transaction and repayment is not enforceable by a third party, mainly because borrowers believe that a good credit record improves their access to credit. Credit reporting also affects market outcomes by weakening lenders' ability to extract rents¹⁵ while leading to higher profits and lowering the risks to banks.¹⁶ In addition, more advanced credit reporting systems and greater financial sector outreach are associated with a lower degree of tax evasion by firms.¹⁷

FIGURE 6.4 Fewer loan applications are rejected when commercial borrower coverage is higher



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>), World Bank.

Note: The sample includes 53 economies covered by both *Doing Business* database and World Bank Enterprise Surveys database. The relationship is significant at the 10% level after controlling for income per capita.

For an individual without an established credit history, securing a loan from a formal financial institution can become a vicious circle. Lenders are typically reluctant to provide financing with limited client credit information. This credit information asymmetry could be mitigated by casting a wide net across various credit sources—beyond just banks—to collect valuable information about the repayment history of borrowers and potential borrowers. Even if individuals and firms do not have a traditional banking relationship, they are likely to have a credit history with other types of credit providers. For individuals, these could include utility companies that have records of clients' payment histories. Trade creditors—that effectively extend unsecured, short-term lines of credit—could attest to how well a firm fulfills its commitments.

In contrast to segmented credit reporting, which is based on the collection and distribution of information from/to a limited number of sources,¹⁸ comprehensive credit reporting is based on the collection and distribution of information from a wide array of sources and sectors, including retail, small business, microfinance, corporate credit cards, insurance, telecoms and utility companies, among others. Those credit bureaus and credit registries that collect and distribute data from a larger number of sources also have higher coverage rate (figure 6.5). These “non-traditional” sources of data—such as data on payments associated with utilities or telecom services—bolster information on “thin file” clients who are not typically covered by traditional sources. As a result, comprehensive credit reporting increases the ability of creditors to assess and monitor credit risk, creditworthiness and credit capacity.

CASTING A WIDE NET


Economies that adopt a more comprehensive approach and report repayment histories from non-regulated entities tend to include higher numbers of individuals and firms with different income levels and backgrounds in their credit reporting system (figure 6.6). The following sections describe how the use of data from these entities enhances the coverage of consumers and firms with a limited borrowing history.

Trade creditors

Trade credit, where goods or services are provided before payment, typically consists of an open, unsecured line of credit. Through their provision of trade credit, business suppliers are among the most important non-financial institutions for businesses, particularly small and medium-size firms. The use of trade credit data in credit reporting can help firms without a loan or other credit facility with a regulated financial institution to develop a credit history. However, this information is rarely reported. The main credit bureau

FIGURE 6.5 More varieties of data providers are associated with a higher level of borrower coverage

Credit bureau or registry coverage (% of adult population)



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The sample includes 177 credit bureaus and credit registries. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.


or credit registry collects data from trade creditors in only 36 economies measured by *Doing Business* (figure 6.7). These are mainly concentrated in Latin America and the Caribbean (10) and OECD high-income economies (9). On average, the coverage of the credit reporting systems

that collect and report data from trade creditors is 29% higher than those systems that do not report such data.

Trade credit data can play a positive role in increasing access to traditional sources of finance, such as banks, as they are a


FIGURE 6.6 Economies reporting non-financial credit data tend to have higher coverage of the adult population

Average coverage (% of adult population)



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Data include 190 economies. For the definition of coverage, see the data notes.

FIGURE 6.7 Share of economies with an operating credit bureau or credit registry that report various types of data, by region

Source: Doing Business database.

reliable source of information on a firm's financial health. Ratings based on trade credit payment information can more reliably predict firm failure compared to other types of information that are available to lenders, such as firm financial statements.¹⁹ Trade credit is also associated with higher access to bank financing for firms, with trade credit information acting as a signal of the quality of the firm. The impact of such data is even stronger in the case of younger firms in the early stages of the banking relationship when banks have not accumulated enough soft information on them to support their reputation.²⁰

A stronger participation of trade creditors in the credit reporting system through increased information sharing can also expand access to trade credit for small and medium-size firms. A recent study in the United Kingdom found that if trade creditors had access to credit reports and credit scores based not only on data from public sources but also data from banks and other financial intermediaries, the credit scores of 50% of firms in the sample would improve and 21% of these would see their credit limits increase.²¹ In the United States, Dun and Bradstreet used trade payment data to develop the

Paydex score for millions of firms in its database. The score provides information on the likelihood that a business will meet its payment obligations to suppliers and vendors.

Finance corporations and leasing companies

Leasing and factoring companies are also important sources of finance for firms and can be valuable data providers to credit bureaus and registries. When leasing, a firm makes a small down payment and subsequent monthly payments on the equipment—usually for a period of five years or less. At the end of the lease term the firm can purchase the equipment by making a minimal buyout payment. Factoring is a transaction where a business sells its account receivables to a third-party financial company in order to raise funds. Through factoring businesses can boost their cash receipts while also outsourcing credit and collections, thereby freeing up owners to spend more time concentrating on core competencies. In practice, however, the majority of factoring companies do not share their data with credit bureaus.

Leasing presents an important financing opportunity for young firms and enables

them to preserve cash for profit-generating activities. In economies where weak collateral laws hinder bank lending, leasing typically offers the advantage of not requiring collateral beyond the security of the leased asset itself.²² Because the leasing company purchases the equipment directly from the supplier, little opportunity exists for the firm to use the funds for other purposes.²³ The separation of ownership and control of leased assets also facilitates a simpler recovery procedure, even in weak legal and institutional environments.²⁴ In many economies firms can offset their lease payments against income before taxes, compared to just the interest on bank loans in buying equipment. The leasing companies may also pass on tax benefits associated with their depreciation to the firms through lower financing cost.²⁵

Leasing activities are not equally developed across all emerging market economies. There are nascent leasing industries in low-income economies in Africa and Asia and maturing leasing markets in the more advanced economies of Latin America and Eastern Europe.²⁶ In the euro area,²⁷ leasing, hire-purchase and factoring are the third most important financing source for small and

medium-size enterprises, preceded by bank overdrafts, credit lines, credit card overdrafts and bank loans.²⁸ Between October 2014 and March 2015, 44% of small and medium-size firms in the euro area reported using leasing in the previous six months or considering it as a relevant source of finance.²⁹

There are 110 economies worldwide that have at least one CRSP that reports repayment history from financing corporations and leasing companies. OECD high-income economies have the highest proportion of such economies (84%), followed by Europe and Central Asia (76%), Latin America and the Caribbean (63%), East Asia and the Pacific (60%), Middle East and North Africa (60%), South Asia (50%) and Sub-Saharan Africa (27%). The Czech Republic's credit bureau, CRIF, set up a non-banking bureau in 2005, covering leasing and sales data that were not available in the banking registers. The price for using these data varies according to the type of company—for example, different prices apply to providers of small consumer credits and car leasing companies. In Taiwan, China, a new product, "R04 Finance Leasing Information," was released by the Joint Credit Information Center (JCIC) in February 2014, after an agreement with the finance leasing association. This provides JCIC's member institutions access to borrowers' leasing transaction information from finance leasing companies. The JCIC also benefits finance leasing companies by offering them an electronic credit report on borrowers.

Utility companies

More than half of adults in the poorest 40% of households worldwide do not have a bank account at a financial institution.³⁰ This represents an obstacle for borrowers who are unable to build credit histories that would increase their chances of obtaining loans. Collecting credit data from utility companies, such as electricity providers and mobile phone companies, is particularly important for the poor. A recent study by the

DataCrédito credit bureau in Colombia—which distributes information from utilities in its credit reports—showed that the telecommunications sector is the channel through which the majority of new borrowers, without previous credit relationships, enter the credit market.³¹ In the United States research has found that the acceptance rate for new loans can increase by up to 10% for those borrowers with "thin files" once data from non-traditional sources such as utilities and telecoms are included in the credit reports.³²

In economies where credit bureaus or registries include data from utility companies, the average coverage of the credit reporting system tends to be higher (65%) than in those where such information is not available (28%). The main CRSP in 50 economies distributes these data in its reports. The majority of these are in Latin America and the Caribbean (15) and in OECD high-income economies (12). In the United States, DTE Energy—an electricity and natural gas company—began fully reporting customer payment data to credit bureaus in August 2006. DTE customers with no prior credit history (8.1% of the total) gained either a credit file or a credit score and began to prioritize making payments to DTE.³³ Within six months DTE had 80,000 fewer accounts in arrears. This good practice is also being implemented in developing economies. In Rwanda, for example, shortly after the launch in 2010 of the country's first credit bureau, two telecommunications companies and one utility began providing credit information to the bureau. This has contributed to increasing the coverage of the credit reporting system from less than 1% of the adult population in 2010 to 16.6% in 2016. In Mongolia, MobiCom Corporation—a telecommunications company—began providing credit data to the credit registry in March 2015. As a result, credit reports in Mongolia now include negative payment information for telecommunication services and full payment history for mobile phone leasing.

Microfinance institutions

Microfinance institutions that offer financial services to low-income populations help bridge the gap in access to credit from traditional lenders by providing small loans—usually with collateral substitutes such as group guarantees—that can gradually increase based on good repayment patterns. Microcredit benefits low-income populations and enterprises that are typically small, labor intensive and growing. The Grameen Bank in Bangladesh, for example, provided credit for the purchase of capital inputs and promoted productive self-employment among the poor and women, while participation in the program had a significant impact on female empowerment.³⁴ Microcredit clients' enterprises have been found to perform better than non-client enterprises in terms of profits, fixed assets and employment.³⁵

Over the past 30 years, the microfinance industry has grown to reach an estimated 200 million clients.³⁶ While having positive impacts on assets and income levels, microfinance institution services may increase vulnerability if borrowers over-leverage and pose risks to the financial systems.³⁷ A 2011 survey found that credit risk is the top concern for microfinance professionals in 86 economies.³⁸ The inability of lenders to accurately assess the risk of default contributes to relationship-based lending. By submitting microcredit data to credit reporting service providers microfinance institutions can minimize problems of asymmetric information. Reporting microfinance data benefits borrowers (by establishing repayment histories that help them obtain loans), micro-loan lenders (by helping them assess the repayment capacity of their clients) and regulators (by monitoring credit markets and trends).

Microcredit reporting is expanding. In 2015/16 68% of economies in Europe and Central Asia have an operational credit bureau or credit registry that reports micro-credit information; 45% in the Middle East and North Africa; 38% in Latin America and

the Caribbean; 31% in Sub-Saharan Africa; 28% in East Asia and the Pacific and 25% in South Asia. In India the growing microfinance market is concentrated in just a few states, leading to multiple cases of lending and over-indebtedness within the same borrower base. Since 2010 IFC has helped India's fastest growing credit bureau, CRIF High Mark, to expand its services to microfinance lenders, ensuring informed lending and promoting financial inclusion.³⁹ In Bolivia, in the three years following the establishment of a microfinance credit reporting system, microcredit lending more than doubled (outpacing a 23% rise in traditional bank lending), and the percentage of nonperforming loans decreased.⁴⁰ Similarly in Bosnia and Herzegovina, the inclusion of microfinance institutions in the credit reporting system contributed to a higher level of financial discipline and a significantly lower level of nonperforming loans.⁴¹

CONCLUSION

The lack of access to formal banking continues to represent a hurdle for millions of individuals and firms as the problem of asymmetric information excludes them from traditional credit markets. Casting a wide net of sources of data in the credit reporting system can help to address this problem by making it easier for borrowers to develop a credit history.

Alternative sources of data include leasing and financial corporations, trade creditors, utility companies and microfinance institutions. The credit information that these institutions have on their customers can be used to expand the coverage of the credit reporting systems by providing information on individuals and firms with limited recorded borrowing history. Coverage is higher in those economies where data from these entities are actively collected and distributed by the credit reporting service providers. Additional sources of data can improve the accuracy and scope of the credit reports produced by credit bureaus and credit registries and generate incentives

to improve borrower discipline, particularly in economies with weak legal enforcement mechanisms. When more information is available to lenders they can evaluate more clearly the creditworthiness of their potential clients, which ultimately translates into increased access to finance and cheaper loans.

Comprehensive credit reporting is expanding as economies adopt strategies and solutions according to their particular needs.⁴² Although CRSPs have made stronger progress in this area in OECD high-income economies and, to a lesser extent, in Latin America and the Caribbean, several emerging economies are adopting innovative approaches to improve the quality and scope of their credit reporting systems. By including data from trade creditors, finance corporations, utility companies and microfinance institutions, these types of initiatives have the potential to improve the chances of getting credit for millions of low-income individuals and firms.

NOTES

This case study was written by Edgar Chavez, Charlotte Nan Jiang and Khrystyna Kushnir.

1. World Bank Group 2012.
2. Beck and others 2006; Djankov and others 2007.
3. World Bank Group 2015a.
4. Demirguc-Kunt and others 2015.
5. Demirguc-Kunt and others 2015.
6. Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org/>), World Bank.
7. Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org/>), World Bank.
8. Beck and Demirguc-Kunt 2006.
9. Stein and others 2013.
10. Stein and others 2010.
11. Djankov and others 2007.
12. Houston and others 2010.
13. Martinez Peria and Singh 2014.
14. Doblas-Madrid and Minetti 2013.
15. Brown and Zehnder 2007.
16. Houston and others 2010.
17. Beck and others 2010.
18. A typical example of segmented credit reporting would be information that is collected from banks and is distributed only to such banks.
19. Kallberg and Udell 2003. The authors analyze the capacity to correctly predict firm failure of Dun and Bradstreet's Paydex score—which is based on the number of days past due for a firm's last year of trade experiences—in contrast

- with financial statement information of the firm including leverage, quick and net liquid balance ratios.
- 20. Agostino and Trivieri 2014.
- 21. Bank of England 2015.
- 22. World Bank Group 1996; World Bank Group 2009.
- 23. World Bank Group 1996.
- 24. Eisfeldt and Rampini 2009; Beck and Demirguc-Kunt 2006; Berger and Udell 2006.
- 25. World Bank Group 1996.
- 26. World Bank Group 2009.
- 27. Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Greece, Germany, the Netherlands, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Slovakia and Spain.
- 28. Kraemer-Eis and Lang 2012.
- 29. European Central Bank 2015.
- 30. World Bank, Global Findex Database, 2014.
- 31. El Tiempo. 2015. "Gracias a la compra de celulares, jóvenes entran al mundo del crédito." March 26. <http://app.eltiempo.com/economia/sectores/gracias-a-la-compra-de-celulares-jovenes-entran-al-mundo-del-cr-dito/15470719>.
- 32. Turner and others 2006.
- 33. World Bank Conference. Financial Infrastructure Week. Brazil, March 15-17, 2010.
- 34. McKernan 2002; Schuler and Hashemi 1994; Steele, Amin and Naved 2001.
- 35. Dunn and Arbuckle 2001.
- 36. World Bank Group 2015a.
- 37. Mosley 2001.
- 38. Lascelles and Mendelson 2009.
- 39. While comprehensive credit reporting helps to promote financial inclusion, the main motivation was to address the problem of multiple lending and over-indebtedness among microfinance clients in India.
- 40. Bustelo 2009.
- 41. Lyman and others 2011.
- 42. Economies must address many challenges to enable comprehensive reporting, including integrating unregulated sectors in the regulatory regime and identifying microfinance borrowers.



Protecting Minority Investors

Achieving sound corporate governance


Investment is key to private sector development. Yet business risk, political risk and other exogenous factors can turn a seemingly well-calculated investment decision into a loss. The one factor, however, that can be mitigated through adequate regulation is legal risk.¹ *Doing Business*, through the protecting minority investors indicator set, measures aspects such as the protection of shareholders against directors' misuse of corporate assets for personal gain and the rights and role of shareholders in corporate governance.

When it comes to private sector and capital market development, shareholder protection and empowerment are increasingly elevated to policy goals—even more so following the 2008 global financial crisis.² Policy makers around the world are implementing reforms aimed

at increasing the involvement of minority shareholders in corporate decisions. In fact, *Doing Business* has recorded and documented 166 reforms to aspects of corporate governance in 100 economies since 2005 (figure 7.1).³

The legal implications of shareholder empowerment have been studied extensively.⁴ The literature has been scarcer, however, on the effect of shareholder empowerment on economic indicators, such as firm value, profitability, cost of capital, or capital market size.⁵ One of the objectives of *Doing Business* is to provide standardized, comparable measurements on the adoption of corporate governance practices across 190 economies that can be tested against economic indicators. Using *Doing Business* data and existing literature, this case study presents empirical evidence on the economic benefits

FIGURE 7.1 Protecting minority investors reforms over time



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The number for *Doing Business* 2015 includes an amendment to the OHADA (Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa) Uniform Act on companies, which is applicable in its 17 member states.

- *Doing Business* has recorded and documented 166 reforms to aspects of corporate governance in 100 economies since 2005.
- Since 2013, 54 economies introduced 63 legislative changes strengthening minority shareholder protections: 38 on the extent of conflict of interest regulation index, 17 on the extent of shareholder governance index and eight on both.
- *Doing Business* data confirm the positive relationship between greater protection of minority shareholders on the one hand and capital market development and access to equity finance on the other.
- India carried out an ambitious, multi-year overhaul of its Companies Act, bringing Indian companies in line with global standards—particularly regarding accountability and corporate governance practices—while ensuring that businesses contribute more to shared prosperity through a quantified and legislated corporate social responsibility requirement.
- When tackling what they referred to as “excessive remuneration in publicly listed companies” Swiss lawmakers opted for a comprehensive reform that also regulated the election and term of board members, their organization in subcommittees and their reporting obligations.

of corporate governance practices that promote shareholder protection and empowerment. The study also contributes to defining the concept of sound corporate governance.

WHAT ARE SOUND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES?

Sound corporate governance is the optimal balance between controlling shareholders, minority shareholders, company managers and market regulators. Many studies provide evidence that achieving sound corporate governance promotes economic development through higher returns on equity, efficiency of investment allocation, firm performance and valuation, lower cost of capital and easier access to external financing.⁶

That growing attention is being devoted to corporate governance is neither new nor surprising. Today the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) principles of corporate governance,⁷ originally developed in 1999 and last updated in 2015, constitute a cornerstone. The American Law Institute, whose corporate governance project was formally initiated in 1978⁸ and materialized into principles in 1992,⁹ is another foundational reference.

Corporate governance and development

Introducing corporate governance principles—as opposed to giving each company complete discretion in determining its internal rules—guarantees a minimum standard through which companies must be directed and controlled.¹⁰ When these rules are violated these principles also provide shareholders with judicial recourse.¹¹ Investors become more willing to finance the business ventures of others without exerting direct control over the affairs of the company.¹² As a result, entrepreneurs can tap into broader sources of financing. With easier access to capital, companies are more

likely to grow, generate tax revenues and create jobs.¹³

The benefits extend beyond greater access to finance. Corporate governance also contributes to value maximization throughout the life of a company.¹⁴ Properly executed, it ensures that companies are run in the best interest of their owners.¹⁵ Executives and managers are given authority to do so efficiently, with sufficient discretion to apply their skills and business acumen.¹⁶ Internal structures and processes are clearly laid out.¹⁷ The risk of mismanagement and abuse is mitigated thanks to increased accountability, predictability and transparency.

The aggregate effect of all companies following sound corporate governance promises significant positive outcomes for the economy overall. Research shows how sound corporate governance can lead to higher returns on equity and greater efficiency.¹⁸ In deciding the rules and practices that individual companies must follow, legal scholars and legislators have traditionally relied on concepts such as legal certainty,¹⁹ predictability, equity and enforceability. To empirically assess the relevance of these concepts to the overall performance of an economy, scholars increasingly started to use quantitative analysis tools. The so-called law and economics approach, and its subsequent branching into law and finance, have become an integral part of modern policymaking.²⁰

What does the protecting minority investors indicator set measure?

The protecting minority investors dataset provides data for 38 aspects of

corporate governance in 190 economies, grouped into two sets of three indices each (table 7.1).

The first set of indices focuses on the regulation of conflicts of interest, specifically self-dealing in the context of related-party transactions. A related-party transaction refers to a case where a person has an economic or personal interest in both parties to the transaction. A company executive entering into a supply contract with another company that is wholly owned by his or her spouse is an example of a related-party transaction. Although related-party transactions are not inherently harmful, they are more likely to result in self-dealing—a type of abuse—and therefore require specific regulation. Self-dealing consists of benefiting oneself while under the duty to serve the interests of someone else. In this example, self-dealing would occur if the supply contract were priced above market so as to benefit the spouse at the expense of the company's owners. Unsurprisingly, research shows that protecting against self-dealing is positively associated with capital market development.²¹

The second set of indices provide a more general view of corporate governance practices, ranging from shareholder rights, protection from share dilution, ownership structure and control of the company to managerial compensation and audit transparency. They are derived from recent comparative law and economics research that has analyzed these practices separately in detail, some of which are described hereafter.²²

Overall, these two sets of indices present a positive correlation with stock

TABLE 7.1 Indicators of minority investor protection

Extent of conflict of interest regulation index	Extent of disclosure index Extent of director liability index Ease of shareholder suits index	Measured since 2004
Extent of shareholder governance index	Extent of shareholder rights index Extent of ownership and control index Extent of corporate transparency index	Measured since 2014

market development as measured by market capitalization as a percentage of GDP (figure 7.2).²³ *Doing Business* data confirm the existing research on the positive relationship between greater protection of minority shareholders, capital market development and access to equity finance.²⁴ Subsequent sections provide more evidence from recent research regarding the effects of various corporate governance practices on economic indicators.


How have economies enhanced corporate governance?

Since 2013, 54 economies introduced 63 legislative changes strengthening minority shareholder protections. Twenty-two of these economies did so by introducing practices and requirements measured by the extent of shareholder governance index introduced in *Doing Business* 2015 (table 7.2). These economies have used a variety of different legislative approaches to strengthen their minority shareholder protections. As part of an ambitious multi-year overhaul of its Companies Act, for example, India enhanced corporate governance by affirming the right of shareholders of privately held companies to approve the issuance of new shares and their priority thereon. The new version of the Companies Act was enacted in 2013 and its provisions progressively entered into force over the following two years.

While India chose to reform the legal foundation applicable to all companies (its Companies Act), the Dominican Republic chose a different approach, focusing instead on companies that offer securities to the public. Among the changes introduced in 2013 to its Regulations of the Securities Market Law, it granted minority shareholders the right to request an extraordinary meeting and required an external audit of the financial statements of listed companies.

Ecuador and Kazakhstan elected to introduce one piece of legislation containing

FIGURE 7.2 Stronger minority investor protection is associated with greater market capitalization



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Bloomberg; World Federation of Exchanges database.

Note: The correlation between market capitalization as a percentage of GDP and the distance to frontier score for protecting minority investors is 0.23. The relationship is significant at the 5% level after controlling for income per capita. The sample includes 91 economies for which data on market capitalization are available for the past 5 years.

amendments to several other legislative instruments. Ecuador's 2014 Law to Strengthen and Optimize the Corporate Sector and the Stock Market, for example, introduced changes to the Securities Market Law, the Commercial Code, the Company Law, the General Law of Financial Institutions and the Code of Civil Procedure, among others. The new law also guarantees a way out for minority shareholders when their company changes hands: if a new investor acquires a majority, he or she must make an offer to purchase the shares of all remaining shareholders. Although Swiss lawmakers had one specific area in mind—excessive remuneration in publicly listed companies—when they issued a federal ordinance in 2013, to tackle the problem effectively they chose a comprehensive response. The result was an ordinance that also regulated the election and term of board members, their organization in subcommittees and their reporting obligations. Similar objectives led the Republic of Korea to enact the Financial Investment Business and Capital Markets Act in 2013. One of its features is the requirement that listed corporations disclose the remuneration of chief officers on an individual basis.

Different rulemaking approaches—whether a series of targeted amendments or a one-time complete revision of a code—aimed at different aspects of corporate governance—such as increasing minority shareholder rights or regulating directors and majority shareholders—contribute to better corporate governance practices. Because *Doing Business* captures outcomes on legal equivalents, these different approaches have a similar impact on its indicators. In other words, to ensure a positive impact on their economy, rather than on benchmarking exercises, policy makers should introduce sounder corporate governance practices in a manner that is consistent with their legal system and tradition. In doing so, policy makers should ensure that different company forms exist, each with different levels of regulatory requirements. Sound corporate governance adapts the compliance burden to company size and revenue. It contributes to creating a “regulatory pyramid,” in which companies at the top in terms of market size, turnover, cash flow and systemic importance are also at the top of the regulatory requirements.

TABLE 7.2 Twenty-two economies introduced regulatory changes impacting the extent of shareholder governance index since its inception

		Extent of shareholder governance index		
Year	Economy	Extent of shareholder rights index	Extent of ownership and control index	Extent of corporate transparency index
2015/16	Belarus			✓
	Brunei Darussalam		✓	
	Egypt, Arab Rep.	✓	✓	
	Fiji			✓
	Georgia	✓	✓	
	Kazakhstan	✓	✓	✓
	Macedonia, FYR	✓	✓	
	Mauritania	✓		
	Morocco		✓	✓
	Saudi Arabia		✓	✓
	United Arab Emirates	✓	✓	✓
	Uzbekistan		✓	
2014/15	Vanuatu	✓	✓	
	Vietnam		✓	✓
	Egypt, Arab Rep.		✓	
	Kazakhstan		✓	
	Lithuania	✓	✓	✓
	Rwanda	✓		✓
2013/14	Spain	✓		
	United Arab Emirates		✓	
	Dominican Republic	✓		
	Ecuador		✓	
	India	✓		
	Korea, Rep.			✓
	Switzerland		✓	✓

Source: Doing Business database.

THE CASE OF SWITZERLAND

How would a typical business owner react if employees could set their own salaries and not necessarily inform the owner what amount they have decided to pay themselves? This is essentially how

companies in many economies determine the remuneration of board members and senior executives vis-à-vis shareholders. In 2014 Switzerland decided that a different model was necessary and enacted an ordinance introducing checks and balances on senior executive compensation.²⁵ Its purpose was to address concerns both

from the public at large and for firm performance.²⁶ The Swiss experience is an example of public opinion-induced corporate governance reform following the 2008 global financial crisis.²⁷ The first step occurred on March 3, 2013, when the Swiss voted in favor of a public consultation initiative best translated as “against remuneration rip-off.” It passed with 68% of the votes.²⁸ The Federal Council—the seven-member head of the Swiss government—then drafted a regulation reflecting the consultation’s outcome. The Federal Council’s ordinance was published on November 20, 2013, and the new requirements entered into force on January 1, 2014.²⁹

A closer look at the legal instruments used by Swiss policy makers illustrates how sound corporate governance improves outcomes. There are two primary mechanisms—disclosure and shareholder vote—through which the ordinance affects corporate governance and therefore firm behavior.³⁰ The disclosure component requires the board of directors to issue a compensation report annually that shows all compensation awarded by the company, directly or indirectly, to members of the board of directors, the executive management and the advisory board.³¹ It also stipulates an annual disclosure to the public by annexing the compensation report to the financial statements.³² Items to be disclosed include fees, salaries, bonuses, profit sharing, services and benefits in kind. It must also be reviewed by an auditor.³³

The policy objective of disclosure is to provide information that would not otherwise be obtainable and on which informed decisions can be made. In practice, however, shareholders rarely read all the information presented to them, be it before deciding to invest in a company or when participating in a general meeting. Thus the primary effect of disclosure is to guide the decisions made by insiders, knowing in advance that they will have to reveal the information later.

The beneficiary of the disclosure also matters. When the intended beneficiary is broad—that is, the public—the primary concern is the reputation and the image of the company. By contrast, where the disclosure is targeted—to the regulator or stock exchange authority—the concern is compliance. In this case, the goal is to be accurate and avoid sanctions by the authorities. These two options have practical policy implications: in particular cases, disclosure to the regulator is preferable. Complex financial and legal submissions, for example, are effective only if reviewed by experts. In other cases, companies should disclose to the public or shareholders at large rather than to the regulator. For regulatory agencies, the only concern would be that the figures are accurate and provide a complete picture of all benefits and incentives in accordance with applicable accounting standards. Shareholders, on the other hand, would decide on the somewhat subjective concept of excessive compensation. Switzerland, therefore, opted for public disclosure. The reform was captured in the 2015 edition of the *Doing Business* report (figure 7.3).

In addition to disclosure, Switzerland also mandated shareholder vote. The so-called "say on pay" mechanism of the ordinance

applies to proposed compensation, which must be put to a vote and approved by the majority of shareholders to be valid. Unequivocally this results in increased shareholder control. But once again, and similar to disclosure, giving shareholders more say is a means rather than an end. The primary goal is to affect firm behavior. When company insiders know in advance that a decision will be subject to shareholder approval, this changes the nature and content of the decision itself.

Two years after the ordinance entered into force practitioners reported that all listed corporations had implemented the new rules without serious issues. So far, shareholders have approved all compensation proposals, which is unsurprising: firms have adjusted their behavior in anticipation to avoid disapproval.³⁴

Asking shareholders more interesting questions—such as whether or not they agree with the remuneration of their directors and executives—reaps other benefits. For one, it increases the likelihood that shareholders will actively exercise their voting rights at general meetings. According to a survey of 107 investors, the exercise of voting rights in Switzerland increased from 62.9% to 86.1% after the ordinance passed. And


13.9% of investors who actively used their voting rights did so only on compensation.³⁵ At the same time, vote outcomes have been mostly positive. Swiss companies continue to operate normally, managers have not found themselves hindered (contrary to initial concerns) and shareholders have been broadly supportive of the proposals put before them. What has changed following the empowerment of shareholders is the increase in accountability and the sense of having a say in major decisions. This has in turn generated trust and confidence, a crucial commodity for the Swiss Exchange or any other capital market.³⁶

THE CASE OF INDIA

India's experience was unique to that of Switzerland. But the goals—trust and economic growth—were similar. Rather than a popular initiative focused on managerial compensation—albeit a central issue with multiple ramifications—the government of India took on the task of completely overhauling its Companies Act, its primary set of rules governing how businesses are incorporated, owned, managed, rehabilitated or closed when insolvent, and challenged in court. The previous version dated from 1956.

Ambitious and comprehensive legislation takes time. India's lawmaking process started in 2004³⁷ and was followed by years of drafting, redrafting and consultations on the bill. It was finally submitted to parliament in 2012 and passed by the upper house on August 8, 2013. It received the assent of the president shortly after, on August 29. The date of entry into force is less straightforward. India follows an unusual system whereby provisions are not applicable until the Ministry of Corporate Affairs notifies each section; notification typically happens in waves. The first took place in September 2013 with the notification of 98 sections followed by another series of notifications in April 2014. As of June 2016, 282 of the 470 total sections

FIGURE 7.3 Switzerland strengthened shareholder governance as measured by *Doing Business*



Source: *Doing Business* database.

were notified and eight provisions of the 1956 Act remain applicable. Despite this piecemeal introduction, it has paid off both in economic terms and in India's performance in *Doing Business*: India's score increased in three of the six indices of the protecting minority investors indicator set (figure 7.4).³⁸

Four objectives guided the drafting of the reformed Companies Act. First, administrative requirements weighing on companies had to be simplified. Second, more transparency had to be instilled in their operations and decision-making structures. Third, the competitiveness of Indian firms had to be increased by bringing them in line with global standards, particularly regarding accountability and corporate governance practices. Lastly, it had to advance all of the above while ensuring that businesses contribute more to shared prosperity in an economy where demographics and income inequality pose stark challenges.

To simplify administrative requirements the minimum paid-in capital was abolished. To instill greater transparency the Act increased disclosure requirements, particularly regarding related-party transactions.³⁹ To bring Indian firms in line with global standards the Act added requirements to disclose

managerial compensation and to have one-third independent directors and at least one woman on the board.⁴⁰ The fourth objective, however—contributing to greater shared prosperity—garnered the most attention by aspiring that all companies allocate 2% of their net profits to socially responsible projects. In effect, India became the first economy in the world with a quantified and legislated corporate social responsibility (CSR) requirement. However, it is enforceable on a "comply or explain" basis and goes beyond the scope of areas measured by *Doing Business*.⁴¹ In practice, this means that companies who fail to meet the target must simply state so in their annual report and provide a justification. The Act provides a statutory definition of CSR as activities relating to hunger and poverty eradication, education, women empowerment, and health and environmental sustainability, among others.⁴²


Company regulation is an ongoing process. Since the enactment of the Companies Act, 2013, the Ministry of Corporate Affairs has issued clarifications, notifications and circulars on a regular basis to address ambiguities in the law. Most notably, two sets of amendments were released in August 2014 and in May 2015, highlighting the Indian government's ongoing commitment to reform. On June

4, 2015, it set up a committee tasked with identifying and recommending further amendments to the Act and with centralizing recommendations and concerns from private sector stakeholders and regulatory agencies.⁴³ The case of India serves as a reminder of the time it takes and the challenges inherent to a holistic legislative overhaul. Piecemeal fixes can be a time- and cost-effective approach, but only a full-fledged legislative reform gives policy makers the opportunity to innovate and sends a strong signal to the business community.

CONCLUSION

Achieving sound corporate governance is not a simple task. It is a specialized and technical area of regulation. Its impact is not as immediate as, for example, facilitating business incorporation or streamlining tax compliance. But thanks to the analytical tools provided by the law and economics approach, research shows that gains for the economy are tangible. At the outset, it increases investor confidence. With easier access to finance, companies can grow and, in so doing, pay more taxes and employ more workers. It is also shown to increase the returns on equity, efficiency of investment allocation and to decrease the cost of capital.

FIGURE 7.4 India's Companies Act 2013 made strides in three indices



Source: *Doing Business* database.

The growing body of research on achieving sound corporate governance is also having an impact. Lessons learned from other economies adopting these practices and constant new research—including those using *Doing Business* data—confirm their economic benefit. Although performance on this indicator set is very highly correlated with the stage of economic development, policy makers in developing economies now have a clearer path to introduce effective corporate governance and maximize the potential of their firms.

The majority of the 54 economies that made strides in minority investor protection in the past three years are the ones that have the furthest to go: 44 of them are low- or middle-income economies. To contribute to this effort, *Doing Business* has doubled the areas of corporate governance included in the protecting minority investors indicator set and expanded it to include regulatory frameworks that are relevant for small and medium-size enterprises. The immediate result is that more strengths, weaknesses and therefore potential improvements can be identified from its annual findings. In addition, researchers, lawyers and policy makers now have a more comprehensive baseline when working toward introducing sounder corporate governance practices.

NOTES

This case study was written by Nadine Abi Chakra, Varun Eknath, Albina Gasanbekova and Hervé Kaddoura.

1. Legal risk refers to the risk of loss arising from insufficient, improperly applied or unfavorable legislation and the resulting lawsuits.
2. Mukwiri and Siems 2014; Dignam 2013; Reisberg 2013; Cheffins 2009.
3. A corporate governance reform is a legislative or regulatory change that increases the level of protection of minority investors as measured by the *Doing Business* protecting minority investors indicators. See the data notes for more details.
4. Katelouzou and Siems 2015.
5. Bebchuk 2005.
6. Asker and others 2015; Claessens 2006; Klapper and Love 2004; Kutan 2015.
7. OECD 2015.
8. Eisenberg 1993.
9. American Law Institute 1992.
10. The OECD summarizes corporate governance as a set of relationships between a company's management, its board, its shareholders and other stakeholders. Corporate governance also provides the structure through which the objectives of the company are set, and the means of attaining those objectives and monitoring performance are determined.
11. For a discussion on the importance of effective enforcement see Okpara 2011.
12. Dyck and Zingales 2004; Holderness 2003; La Porta and others 2000; Shleifer and Vishny 1986.
13. Arora 2014; Rupeika-Apoga 2014.
14. Ammann and others 2011; Brown and Taylor 2009; Gompers and others 2003.
15. Bebchuk and others 1999.
16. Aggarwal and others 2009; Denis and Serrano 1996; Grossman and Hart 1982.
17. Bebchuk 2013.
18. Ates and others 2014; Lan and others 2015; Liljeblom and others 2015.
19. Legal certainty may be defined as the consistent application of a rule—the same facts invariably resulting in the same clear, definite and binding decisions.
20. Posner 1983; La Porta and others 1996.
21. Djankov and others 2008.
22. See "Going beyond related-party transactions" in *Doing Business* 2015. See also Black and others 2010; Creimers and Ferrell 2014; Dharmapala and Khanna 2013; McLean and others 2012; Lima and Zoratto 2013; Chen and others 2011; Malhotra and others 2013; Guo and Masulis 2013; Lang and others 2012; Martynova and Renneboog 2011.
23. The data for market capitalization as a percentage of GDP are from Bloomberg. The market capitalization figures are calculated from "all shares outstanding." The data do not include exchange traded funds (ETFs) and American depository receipts (ADRs) as they do not directly represent companies. They include only actively traded, primary securities on national exchanges to avoid double counting. Therefore the values will be significantly lower than market capitalization values of an economy's exchanges from other sources. Bloomberg standardizes the figures by dividing market capitalization by GDP to be able to make comparisons among economies. See also Pagano and Volpin 2006; Ali and Aamir 2014.
24. Dahya and others 2008.
25. Swiss Federal Justice and Police Department. 2013. "Initiative populaire 'contre les rémunérations abusives'" Press release, March 3. Available at <http://www.ejpd.admin.ch/ejpd/fr/home/aktuell/abstimmungen/2013-03-03.html/>.
26. Core and others 1999, for example, find that firms with weaker governance structures have greater agency problems, that CEOs at firms with greater agency problems receive greater compensation and that firms with greater agency problems perform worse.
27. Barthold and others 2014.
28. Swiss Federal Justice and Police Department 2013.
29. Roberts 2014.
30. The ordinance also contains other important features. Only the general meeting of shareholders can now elect the chairman of the board of directors and the members of the compensation committee. The establishment of a compensation committee became mandatory, and provisions on proxies and pension fund schemes were also introduced.
31. Disclosure of managerial compensation is one of the practices that are measured by the protecting minority investors indicator set. It was added when the methodology was expanded in 2014.
32. Article 13 of the ordinance against excessive compensation in listed companies and Articles 696 and 958e of the Swiss Federal Code of Obligations.
33. Articles 13-16 of the ordinance against excessive compensation in listed companies.
34. Daeniker and others 2014.
35. Swiss Proxy Advisor 2014.
36. Over the two-year period after the ordinance against excessive compensation in listed companies became effective, the Swiss Market Index rose by 6.6%.
37. Venkateshwaran 2013.
38. World Development Indicators database (<http://data.worldbank.org/indicator>), World Bank; *Doing Business* database.
39. Section 188, Indian Companies Act, 2013.
40. Section 149, Indian Companies Act, 2013.
41. Section 135, Indian Companies Act, 2013.
42. Schedule VII, Indian Companies Act, 2013.
43. India, Ministry of Corporate Affairs. 2016. Report of the Companies Law Committee. February. http://www.mca.gov.in/Ministry/pdf/Report_Companies_Law_Committee_01022016.pdf/.



Paying Taxes

Assessing postfiling processes

- Up until *Doing Business 2016*, the paying taxes indicator set measured the cost of complying with tax obligations up to the filing of tax returns and the payment of taxes due. Filing the return with the tax authority, however, does not imply agreement with the final tax liability. Postfiling processes—such as claiming a value added tax (VAT) refund, undergoing a tax audit or appealing a tax assessment—can be the most challenging interactions that a business has with a tax authority. *Doing Business 2017* expands the paying taxes indicators to include a new measure on postfiling.
- *Doing Business* data shows that OECD high-income economies process VAT refunds the most efficiently with an average of 14.4 weeks to reimburse the VAT refund. Economies in Europe and Central Asia also perform well with an average refund time of 16 weeks.
- On average, businesses spend six hours correcting an error in an income tax return and preparing any additional documents, submitting the files and making additional payment. Even following immediate voluntary notification by the taxpayer, in 74 economies an error in the income tax return is likely to trigger an audit. In 38 economies this error will lead to a comprehensive audit of the tax return.
- OECD high-income economies as well as Europe and Central Asia economies have the easiest and simplest processes in place to correct a minor mistake in the corporate income tax return.
- An internal administrative review process should be based on a transparent legal framework. This process should be independent and resolve disputes in a timely manner.

Taxes are important to the proper functioning of an economy. They are the main source of federal, state and local government revenues used to fund health care, education, public transport, unemployment benefits and pensions, among others. While the size of the tax cost imposed on businesses has implications for their ability to invest and grow, the efficiency of the tax administration system is also critical for businesses.¹ A low cost of tax compliance and efficient tax-related procedures are advantageous for firms. Overly complicated tax systems are associated with high levels of tax evasion, large informal sectors, more corruption and less investment.² Tax compliance systems should be designed so as not to discourage businesses from participating in the formal economy.

Modern tax systems seek to optimize tax collections while minimizing administrative and taxpayer compliance costs. The most cost-effective tax collection systems are those that encourage the vast majority of taxpayers to meet their tax obligations voluntarily, thereby allowing tax officials to concentrate their efforts on non-compliant taxpayers and other services provided by tax administrations.³ Taxpayers are more likely to comply voluntarily when a tax administration has established a transparent system that is regarded by taxpayers as being honest and fair.

Total tax compliance costs include all major transactions that generate external costs to the taxpayer. Up until *Doing Business 2016*, the paying taxes indicator

set measured only the cost of complying with tax obligations up until the filing of tax returns and the payment of taxes due. However, filing the tax return with the tax authority does not imply agreement with the final tax liability. Postfiling processes—such as claiming a value added tax (VAT) refund, undergoing a tax audit or appealing a tax assessment—can be the most challenging interactions that a business has with a tax authority.

Doing Business 2017 expands the paying taxes indicators to include a new measure of the time businesses spend complying with two postfiling processes: claiming a VAT refund and correcting a mistake in the corporate income tax return. This case study examines these two postfiling procedures across 190 economies and shows where postfiling processes and practices work efficiently and what drives the differences in the overall tax compliance cost across economies. This case study also includes a section on the structure of a first level administrative appeal process. The data on first level administrative appeal process is not included in the distance to frontier score for paying taxes.

VAT REFUNDS

The VAT refund is an integral component of a modern VAT system. In principle, the statutory incidence of VAT is on the final consumer, not on businesses. According to tax policy guidelines set out by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) a value

added tax system should be neutral and efficient.⁴ Some businesses will incur more VAT on their purchases than they collect on their taxable sales in a given tax period and therefore should be entitled to claim the difference from the tax authorities. When businesses incur VAT which is not refunded at all—or reclaimed with delays and large compliance costs—then the principles of neutrality and efficiency are undermined. This alters the nature of VAT by effectively making it a tax on production. Any tax that cannot be recovered by the business could have a distortionary effect on market prices and competition and consequently constrain economic growth.⁵

Refund processes can be a major weakness of VAT systems. This was the finding of a study that examined the VAT administration refund mechanism in 36 economies around the world.⁶ Even in economies where refund procedures are in place, businesses often find the complexity of the process challenging. The study examined the tax authorities' treatment of excess VAT credits, the size of refund claims, the procedures followed by refund claimants and the time needed for the tax authorities to process refunds. The results showed that statutory time limits for making refunds are crucial but often not applied in practice.

Most VAT systems allow credit to be carried-forward for a specific period of time and offset against future net liabilities to reduce the number of refunds processed. The rationale is that excess VAT credits in one tax period would be followed by periods when net liabilities would absorb the credit brought forward, especially for businesses producing and selling in the domestic market. A refund is paid only if an amount of excess credit remains to be recovered by the taxpayer at the end of the carry-forward period. Some systems also allow a VAT credit in a given tax period to be offset against other current tax liabilities such as income tax. While the option of carry-forward is allowed in most VAT systems,

it is good practice for economies to put in place an adequate VAT refund system. Because considerable differences in the efficiency of processing VAT cash refunds exist between economies, the paying taxes indicators focus on assessing VAT refund systems.

The IMF's Tax Administration Diagnostic Assessment Tool (TADAT) provides an integrated monitoring framework to measure the performance of an economy's tax administration system across different functions, including the adequacy of its VAT refund system. It does this by measuring the time taken to pay (or offset) refunds.⁷

Like any tax, VAT is prone to fraud and its refund mechanism may be open to abuse by taxpayers.⁸ Delays in processing refunds, therefore, may be the result of concerns over potential fraud. Even when claims reach the finance division responsible for approving them and making payment, there can be delays in transmission. Additional procedural checks at this stage—prompted by a fear of the system being abused—are common.

In some economies a claim for a VAT refund can automatically trigger a costly audit, undermining the overall effectiveness of the system.⁹ Effective audit programs and VAT refund payment systems are inextricably linked. Tax audits (direct and indirect) vary in their scope and complexity, ranging from a full audit—which typically entails a comprehensive examination of all information relevant to the calculation of a taxpayer's tax liability in a given period—to a limited scope audit that is restricted to specific issues on the tax return or a single issue audit that is limited to one item.¹⁰

The transactions that lead to substantial VAT refund claims typically include exports, capital expenses, extraordinary losses and startup operations.¹¹ Through its paying taxes indicators, *Doing Business* measures the efficiency of VAT refunds by analyzing the case of capital expenses.

The *Doing Business* case study company, TaxpayerCo., is a domestic business that does not participate in foreign trade. It performs a general industrial and commercial activity in the domestic market and is in its second year of operation. TaxpayerCo. meets the VAT threshold for registration and its monthly sales and monthly operating expenses are fixed throughout the year resulting in a positive output VAT payable to the tax authorities within each accounting period. The case study scenario has been expanded to include a capital purchase of a machine in the month of June; this substantial capital expenditure results in input VAT exceeding output VAT in the month of June.

Compliance with VAT refunds

In principle, when input VAT exceeds output VAT the amount should be paid as a refund to a registered business within the time period stipulated in the legislation. In practice, however, only 93 of the economies covered by *Doing Business* allow for a VAT cash refund in this scenario. Some economies restrict the right to receive an immediate cash refund to specific types of taxpayers such as exporters, embassies and non-profit organizations. This is the case in 43 economies including Belarus, Bolivia, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Mali and the Philippines. In Ecuador VAT refunds are limited to exporters, embassies, diplomatic missions, some specific non-government entities and international cargo companies. In Armenia cash refunds are only allowed when zero-rated VAT transactions (primarily exports) exceed 20% of all transactions.

In some economies businesses are only allowed to claim a cash refund after rolling over the excess credit for a specified period of time (for example, four months). The net VAT balance is refunded to the business only when this period ends. This is the case in 21 economies included in *Doing Business*.¹² In Albania, Azerbaijan, Cambodia, The Gambia, Lesotho, Malawi and St. Lucia, businesses must carry


forward the excess input VAT for three months before a cash refund can be given. In other economies—typically those with a weaker administrative or financial capacity to handle cash refunds—the legislation may not permit refunds outright. Instead, tax authorities require businesses to carry forward the claim and offset the excess amount against future output VAT. This is the case in Grenada, Guinea-Bissau, Sudan and República Bolivariana de Venezuela. In these two groups of economies it is common to make exceptions for exporters in relation to domestic supply. Twenty-eight economies do not levy VAT.

In 68 of the 93 economies that allow for VAT cash refunds (as in the *Doing Business* case scenario) the legal framework includes a time limit to repay the VAT refund starting from the moment the refund was requested. These time limits are always applied in practice in only 29 economies (21 of these economies are high-income economies). In only 28 of the 93 economies, a claim for a VAT refund does not ordinarily lead to an audit being conducted.¹³

In 46 economies the VAT refund due is calculated and requested within the standard VAT return, which is submitted for each accounting period and without additional work. The main purpose of filing a VAT return is to provide a summary of the output and input VAT activities that result in the net VAT payable or due (as credit or refund). For these economies the compliance time to prepare and request a VAT refund is minimal because it simply requires ticking a box. Twenty-one of these economies are OECD high-income economies. Furthermore eight of the 14 economies where taxpayers will not face an audit—and therefore will not spend additional time complying with the requirements of the auditor—are OECD high-income economies. This partly explains the average low compliance time in the region (figure 8.1).

In Germany, the Republic of Korea and the Netherlands, taxpayers request a

FIGURE 8.1 Complying with VAT refund processes is most challenging in Latin America and the Caribbean, followed closely by Sub-Saharan Africa



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: South Asia is not included in the figure because VAT refunds are available in only one economy (Bangladesh).

VAT refund by simply checking a box on the standard VAT return. Taxpayers do not need to submit any additional documents to substantiate the claim and it is unlikely that this specific case study scenario of a domestic capital purchase would trigger an audit. In all three economies, the standard VAT return is submitted electronically.

However, some economies require businesses to file a separate application, letter or form for a VAT refund or to complete a specific section in the VAT return as well as to prepare some additional documentation to substantiate the claim (for example, the contract with the supplier of the machine). This is the case in Azerbaijan, Bangladesh, Costa Rica, Cyprus, Mexico, Senegal, St. Lucia and Sweden, among others. In these economies businesses spend on average 5.2 hours gathering the required information, calculating the claim and preparing the refund application and other documentation before submitting them to the relevant authority.

The requirements in these cases vary from simply completing a specific section of the standard VAT return to submitting a specific refund application. In Switzerland, for example, taxpayers would need to

complete a section of the VAT return. It takes taxpayers in Switzerland 1.5 hours to gather the necessary information from internal sources and to complete the relevant section. The VAT return is submitted electronically. In Moldova, however, taxpayers must submit a specific VAT refund form and it is highly likely that a field audit would be triggered by the refund request.

Completing a VAT refund process

A request for a VAT cash refund is likely to trigger an audit in 65 economies covered by *Doing Business*. As a general rule the refunds are paid upon completion of the audit and not at the end of the statutory period. This adds time and costs for businesses to comply with auditor requests and the payment of the cash refund is further delayed. Businesses in these economies spend on average 14.7 hours complying with the requirements of the auditor in terms of document preparation, engage in several rounds of interactions with the auditor that last on average 7.9 weeks and wait an additional 5.6 weeks until the final audit decision is made. Of the 65 economies, businesses are likely to undergo a field audit in 34, a correspondence audit in 22 and an office audit in nine. Businesses subjected to a field audit would spend on average an additional

7.7 hours complying with the auditor's requirements compared to businesses subjected to a correspondence audit.


In Canada, Denmark, Estonia and Norway the request for a VAT refund is likely to trigger a correspondence audit, which requires less interaction with the auditor and less paperwork. By contrast, in most of the economies in Sub-Saharan Africa where an audit is likely to take place, taxpayers are exposed to a field audit in which the auditor visits the premises of the taxpayer. This is the case in Botswana, The Gambia, Malawi, Niger, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

The OECD high-income economies process VAT refunds most efficiently with an average of 14.4 weeks to reimburse a VAT refund (including some economies where an audit is likely to be conducted). Economies in Europe and Central Asia also perform well with an average refund processing time of 16 weeks (figure 8.2). This implies that those economies provide refunds in a manner that is less likely to expose businesses to unnecessary administrative costs and detrimental cash flow impacts.

From the moment a taxpayer submits a VAT refund request in Austria, it takes only one week for the tax authority to issue a refund. And it is unlikely that the request would trigger an audit. The refund is processed electronically through online banking. In Estonia, despite the fact that the claim for a VAT refund per the case scenario is highly likely to trigger a correspondence audit, the process is efficient. The VAT refund is reimbursed in 1.7 weeks on average assuming the refund is approved. This includes the time spent by the taxpayer engaging with the auditor and the time waiting until the final tax assessment is issued.

The experience in economies in other regions is less favorable. Obtaining a VAT refund in Latin America and the Caribbean takes on average 35 weeks. In the Middle East and North Africa and

FIGURE 8.2 The process of obtaining a VAT refund is most efficient in OECD high-income economies



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: South Asia is not included in the figure because VAT refunds are available in only one economy (Bangladesh).

Sub-Saharan Africa it takes on average 28.8 and 27.5 weeks, respectively, to obtain a VAT refund. The sample for Latin America and the Caribbean includes only nine economies (the other economies do not allow for VAT cash refund per the case study scenario). The Middle East and North Africa sample consists of only six economies as most economies in the region do not levy any type of consumption tax. However, in Sub-Saharan Africa the story is different: the refund waiting time is longer because in most of the economies in the region where cash refund is allowed, taxpayers are likely to be audited before the refund is approved.

The efficiency of the VAT refund process in OECD high-income economies is partly attributable to the commitment of all OECD members to apply the OECD International VAT Guidelines.¹⁴ Furthermore, the binding nature of the 2010 European Union (EU) Directives on VAT implementation ensures that refunds are processed fully and efficiently.


A major determinant of the ability of revenue authorities to provide good standards of service for the repayment of VAT refund claims is the availability and use of modern electronic services (such as electronic filing, pre-population

and direct crediting of VAT refunds). VAT refunds are paid electronically in only 30 economies covered by *Doing Business*. Delays in VAT refund payments may arise if, for example, the finance division that is tasked with checking and approving the claim is forced to make additional procedural checks to guard against fraud before payment is made.¹⁵

Laws provide for interest to be paid on late VAT refunds by the tax authorities in 70 economies covered by *Doing Business*. However, the payment of interest is always applied in practice in only 32 economies. The prescribed interest period typically begins when the tax authority fails to refund VAT within the prescribed statutory deadlines.

There is a positive correlation between the time to comply with a VAT refund process and the time to comply with filing the standard VAT return and payment of VAT liabilities (figure 8.3). This suggests that spending time up front to comply with the requirements of the tax system does not necessarily translate into an easier time postfiling. Indeed, in economies with tax systems that are more difficult to comply with when filing taxes, the entire process is more likely to be challenging.

FIGURE 8.3 Economies with complex VAT postfiling processes also tend to have high compliance times for VAT prefiling



Source: Doing Business database.

TAX AUDITS

A tax audit is one of the most sensitive interactions between a taxpayer and a tax authority. Although tax audits have a role in ensuring tax compliance, they impose a burden on the taxpayer to a greater or lesser extent depending on the number and type of interactions (field visit by the auditor or office visit by the taxpayer) and the level of documentation requested by the auditor. It is therefore essential that the right legal framework is in place to ensure integrity in the way tax authorities carry out audits.¹⁶ Additionally, an audit must have defined start and end points and the taxpayer must be notified once the audit process is completed.

A risk-based approach takes into consideration different aspects of a business such as historical compliance, industry characteristics, debt-credit ratios for VAT-registered businesses and firm size. Characteristics of firms are also used to better assess which businesses are most prone to tax evasion. One study showed that data-mining techniques for auditing, regardless of the technique, captured more noncompliant taxpayers

than random audits.¹⁷ In a risk-based approach the exact criteria used to capture noncompliant firms, however, should be concealed to prevent taxpayers from purposefully planning how to avoid detection and to allow for a degree of uncertainty to drive voluntary compliance.¹⁸ Most economies have risk assessment systems in place to select companies for tax audits and the basis on which these companies are selected is not disclosed. Despite being a postfiling procedure, audit strategies set by tax authorities can have a fundamental impact on the way businesses file and pay taxes.


To analyze audits of direct taxes the *Doing Business* case study scenario was expanded to assume that TaxpayerCo. made a simple error in the calculation of its income tax liability, leading to an incorrect corporate income tax return and consequently an underpayment of income tax liability due. TaxpayerCo. discovered the error and voluntarily notified the tax authority. In all economies that levy corporate income tax—only 10 out of 190 do not—taxpayers can notify the authorities of the error, submit an amended return and any additional documentation

(typically a letter explaining the error and, in some cases, amended financial statements) and pay the difference immediately. On average, businesses spend six hours preparing the amended return and any additional documents, submitting the files and making payment. In 74 economies—even following immediate notification by the taxpayer—the error in the income tax return is likely to trigger an audit. On average taxpayers will spend 24.7 hours complying with the requirements of the auditor, spend 10.6 weeks going through several rounds of interactions with the auditor and wait 6.7 weeks for the auditor to issue the final decision on the tax assessment.

In 38 economies this error will lead to a comprehensive audit of the income tax return, requiring that additional time be spent by businesses. And in the majority of cases the auditor will visit the taxpayer's premises. OECD high-income economies as well as Europe and Central Asia economies have the easiest and simplest processes in place to correct a minor mistake in the income tax return (figure 8.4). A mistake in the income tax return does not automatically trigger an audit by the tax authorities in 25 OECD high-income economies. Taxpayers need only to submit an amended return and, in some cases, additional documentation and pay the difference in balance of tax due. In Latin America and the Caribbean taxpayers suffer the most from a lengthy process to correct a minor mistake in an income tax return. In most cases this process will involve an audit imposing a waiting time on taxpayers until the final assessment is issued (figure 8.5).

In Portugal and Estonia, taxpayers must only submit an amended tax return and make the necessary payment at the moment of submission. It takes taxpayers half an hour to prepare the amended return and another half an hour to submit it electronically. The payment is also made online. In these economies, the case study scenario of a minor mistake in the income tax return is not likely to

FIGURE 8.4 Correcting an income tax return is easiest in OECD high-income economies, followed closely by Europe and Central Asia economies



Source: Doing Business database.

trigger an audit. In New Zealand, taxpayers must submit a specific voluntary disclosure form—which takes on average three hours to prepare—with the submission and payment being made electronically. Similarly, taxpayers are unlikely to be exposed to an audit in the case measured in *Doing Business*.


In Brazil, Honduras, Nicaragua and Peru the fact that taxpayers erroneously declared and underpaid their income tax liability would likely trigger a field audit by the tax authorities. In Peru taxpayers will undergo a comprehensive audit of all items on the income tax return, requiring

interaction with the auditor for around six weeks and waiting an additional seven weeks for the auditor to issue the final assessment.

ADMINISTRATIVE TAX APPEALS

Tax disputes are common in any tax system. Disputes between a tax authority and taxpayers must be resolved in a fair, timely and efficient manner.¹⁹ In the first instance, taxpayers should attempt to settle their final tax assessment with the tax authority. If a dispute continues, however, taxpayers

FIGURE 8.5 The audit time resulting from a simple mistake in an income tax return is the longest in Latin America and the Caribbean




Source: Doing Business database.

should have the opportunity—within a prescribed period of time—to seek resolution from a special administrative appeal board or department. The creation of boards of appeal within tax administrations is considered by the OECD as an effective tool for addressing and resolving complaints and avoiding the overburdening of the courts.²⁰ A serious backlog of tax cases threatens revenue collection.²¹

Resolving tax disputes in a way that is independent, fast and fair is important. The IMF's TADAT tool also assesses the adequacy of tax dispute resolution by looking at whether an appropriately graduated mechanism of administrative and judicial review is available, whether the administrative review mechanism is independent of the audit process and whether information on the appeal process is published. An internal administrative review process must safeguard a taxpayer's right to challenge an assessment resulting from a tax audit. The process should be based on a legal framework that is known by taxpayers, is easily accessible and independent and resolves disputed matters in a timely manner. Internal reviews can be achieved through a separate appeals division, a senior official that does not directly supervise the original case auditor or a new auditor with no previous knowledge of the case. Operational manuals should be developed, decisions should be published and annual appeal statistics should be reported—helping to create a positive public perception of the tax administration's integrity.

Through the paying taxes indicators, *Doing Business* conducts research on what kind of first level administrative appeal process exists in an economy following a corporate income tax audit where a taxpayer disagrees with the tax authority's final decision. The data on first level administrative appeal process are not included in the distance to frontier score for paying taxes. In 123 economies the first level administrative appeal authority is an independent department within the tax office (figure 8.6).

FIGURE 8.6 Most economies have an independent department within the tax office for taxpayer appeals



Source: Doing Business database.

Appeal guidelines are available to taxpayers either through a printed publication, online or in person at the tax office in the 171 of the 180 economies covered by *Doing Business* that levy corporate income tax. In 102 economies the legal framework imposes timeframes on the taxpayer and the appeal authority for each stage of the appeal process. In only 47 economies, however, respondents reported that the time limits are consistently applied in practice.

In Chile a taxpayer can appeal to the regional director of the Chilean Internal Revenue Service (SII) following a corporate income tax audit where the taxpayer disagrees with the tax authority's final decision. Guidelines on how to appeal the decision and the timeframe to conclude the process are easily accessible to the public through the SII's website. By law, the Chilean Tax Code sets a time limit of 50 days for the

SII's regional director to issue a decision on the appeal. This time limit is applied in practice.

CONCLUSION

Little is known about the tax compliance cost of postfiling procedures. This analysis is therefore intended to generate new research to better understand firms' decisions and the dynamics in developing economies, to highlight which processes and practices work—and which do not—and, eventually, to induce governments to reform and enhance their postfiling processes.

The new indicator on the adequacy of postfiling processes provides policy makers who are dealing with the challenge of designing an optimal tax system with a broader dataset that allows them to

benchmark their economy against others on the administrative burden of complying with postfiling procedures.

NOTES

This case study was written by Emily Jane Bourke, Joanna Nasr, Nadia Novik and Rodrigo A. Sarmento de Beires.

1. For more on the World Bank Enterprise Surveys, see the website at <http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>.
2. Djankov and others 2010.
3. IMF 2015a.
4. OECD 2014c.
5. OECD 2014c.
6. Harrison and Krellove 2005.
7. For more information on the Tax Administration Diagnostic Assessment Tool (TADAT), see the website at <http://www.tadat.org/>.
8. Keen and Smith 2007.
9. Harrison and Krellove 2005.
10. OECD 2006a.
11. The key point for exports is that the supplies are taxable but zero-rated as they are taxed at the destination economy leading to input VAT being offset against zero output VAT. The notion of claiming a VAT refund immediately for substantial capital expenditure in an accounting period is that the recoverable amount of input VAT in that period could be large and result in excess input tax credit or a refund claim for the period. Extraordinary events—such as fire, flood or seasonal trends—may lower sales activities over periods of time or even halt sales while the business continues filing regular VAT returns. Lastly, new businesses would register for VAT based on the sales that they expect to make even before they start making actual sales. This means that new businesses could offset input VAT on start-up expenses against a minimal output VAT, resulting in a VAT refund claim.
12. These economies are Albania; Antigua and Barbuda; Azerbaijan; Bulgaria; Cambodia; Dominica; The Gambia; Guyana; Jordan; Kiribati; Lesotho; Malawi; Nepal; Pakistan; Seychelles; St. Kitts and Nevis; St. Lucia; Tanzania; Tonga; Tunisia; and Vietnam.
13. These economies are Austria; Barbados; Belize; Costa Rica; Croatia; Cyprus; Ethiopia; Finland; France; Germany; the Islamic Republic of Iran; Ireland; the Republic of Korea; Latvia; Lithuania; Malta; Netherlands; New Zealand; Papua New Guinea; Portugal; Samoa; Seychelles; Slovenia; Spain; Sweden; Switzerland; Taiwan, China; and the Republic of Yemen.
14. OECD 2014c.
15. Child 2008.
16. OECD 2006a.
17. Gupta and Nagadevara 2007.
18. Alm and McKee 2006; Khwaja, Awasthi and Loepnick 2011.
19. Thuronyi 2003.
20. OECD 2010.
21. Gordon 1996.



Trading Across Borders

Technology gains in trade facilitation

In the era of digital advancement and constant innovation, international trade has greatly benefitted from the development and integration of various electronic interfaces. Aspiring to advance cross-border trade through the use of digital technologies and electronic services, the World Customs Organization (WCO) declared 2016 the Year of Digital Customs. The WCO placed a special emphasis on the coordination of customs activities such as automated customs clearance systems, the implementation of single windows as well as improvement of electronic information exchanges. The goal of these activities is to promote the free flow of information and increase transparency while improving the efficiency of day-to-day trade processes.¹ Adding to this effort, the *Doing Business* trading across borders indicator set measures technological advancement in the area of trade facilitation by collecting data on the time and cost of customs clearance and inspections procedures.² For the first time this year, the indicators collect data on the use and advancement of single windows around the world. For this purpose, *Doing Business* defines a single window as a system that receives trade-related information and disseminates it to all the relevant governmental authorities, thus systematically coordinating controls throughout trade processes. The new data on single windows capture the different levels of their integration and digitalization.³

THE ADVANCEMENT OF SINGLE WINDOWS

International trade has evolved into a complex network of actors, both within and outside sovereign borders. Trade processes involve not only government authorities and private firms but also customs brokers, commercial banks, vendors, insurance companies and freight forwarders.⁴ For example, at least nine institutions play a role in the process of exporting coffee from Colombia to the United States. First, the National Institute of Food and Drug Monitoring issues a phytosanitary certificate, which ensures that the coffee meets current sanitary standards. The Colombia Coffee Growers Federation then issues a certificate that attests to the quality of the shipment.⁵ The Colombian Agricultural Institute then conducts a phytosanitary inspection while the antinarcotics police perform security inspections and customs clears the freight. The exporter must obtain a certificate of origin from the Colombian Chamber of Commerce to comply with the U.S.-Colombia Trade Promotion Agreement. And these are only the steps that must be completed in Colombia. Once the shipment of coffee reaches the United States, it has to go through clearance with the U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Food and Drug Administration and the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Remarkably, the Colombian example is a relatively simple one compared to most

- Increased national trade digitalization leads to efficiency gains for exporters and importers.
- Many single windows have a high level of sophistication and consist of complex networks of regulatory agencies and private actors. This is the case of the Ventanilla Única de Comercio Exterior (VUCE) in Colombia, which connects multiple public agencies and several private companies with exporters, importers, customs agents and brokers.
- Sweden was one of the first economies to introduce a national single window in 1989. Since then, the system has evolved from an export statistics platform to a comprehensive trade facilitation tool.
- Seaports maintain their competitive edge through the automation and modernization of port infrastructure.
- Economies that perform well on the trading across borders indicators also tend to have lower levels of corruption.

other trade-related interactions worldwide. To ensure effective coordination, Colombia developed a single window system for foreign trade—the Ventanilla Única de Comercio Exterior (VUCE)—in the early 2000s. The single window connects 21 public agencies and three private companies (that provide e-signature certificates and legal information) with importers, exporters, customs agents and brokers through an online platform that allows users to request approvals, authorizations and other certifications needed to import and export goods. In addition, tax identification and business registration records are available to the agencies integrated into the system.

In the early 1980s governments and international organizations recognized the need to facilitate the coordination of multiple trade actors to make cross-border trade more cost effective and time efficient. Trade processes gradually began to shift from physical to electronic platforms. One of the first attempts to create a trade electronic platform took place when the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) launched an automated customs data management system, the Automated System for Customs Data (ASYCUDA).⁶ Following a request from the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) in 1981 for technical assistance to collect foreign trade statistics from its member states, UNCTAD developed customs software covering most foreign trade procedures. The focus of the ASYCUDA software is trade facilitation, customs clearance, fiscal control and operational capacity, allowing for the replicability and adaptability of its software in a cost-effective manner. The program, which is implemented free of charge by UNCTAD, currently is installed or being installed in over 90 economies worldwide.

In most cases, ASYCUDA yields positive results for all parties involved. Traders benefit from faster customs formalities and governments report an increase

in customs revenue.⁷ As a result of the introduction of ASYCUDA in the Philippines in 1996 and Sri Lanka in 1994, in the first year of implementation customs revenues increased by more than \$215 million and \$100 million, respectively.⁸ Similarly, St. Lucia has benefited from the implementation of ASYCUDA. Customs brokers no longer need to visit multiple customs clearance officers or government agencies to verify and obtain documents as most of the paperwork is verified automatically. By enabling the rapid electronic submission of documents, the overall customs clearance process in St. Lucia has been reduced by 24 hours since implementation. However, not all of the economies that adopted the ASYCUDA program managed to achieve the desired results. The Comoros, for example, introduced the ASYCUDA software in 2010 but it was not used widely by local traders. Electricity cuts and shortages made the system unreliable during regular business hours; the private sector did not experience the expected positive impact from the implementation of the program.

As trade chains have become increasingly globalized, the demand for the coordination of diverse trade actors has continued to rise.⁹ Many economies have needed to move beyond relatively simple customs electronic data interchange systems, such as ASYCUDA, and toward a more inclusive and sophisticated platform: the single window. The importance of the adoption and integration of single windows in trade has been highlighted by the Bali Agreement of the World Trade Organization (WTO), particularly in the context of developing economies.¹⁰


The level of national digitalization, specifically regarding cross-border trade, has been shown to have a significant impact on economic growth.¹¹ Specifically, studies have found that an increase of an economy's digitalization score by just 10% leads to a 0.75% growth in GDP.¹² Research also demonstrates the positive impact of single window systems on

increasing the number of exporting firms and on improving international trade flows.¹³ In Costa Rica, for example, the implementation of streamlined procedures to process export permits through a single window resulted in an increase in the number of exporters by 22.4%.¹⁴ Moreover, *Doing Business* data show that traders in economies with fully operational electronic systems (that allow for export and import customs declarations to be submitted and processed online) spend considerably less time on customs clearance (figure 9.1). Recognizing the positive impact of digitalization, governments and international institutions worldwide have dedicated significant resources to modernizing border compliance processes.

Challenges of establishing single windows

In 2005, the United Nations Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business defined a single window as a platform that enables trade stakeholders to submit documentation and other relevant information through a single point of entry in a standardized way in order to complete export, import and transit procedures.¹⁵ However, over the past decade, the concept of a single window has expanded to include the entire evolution of electronic systems, including customs automation, trade point portals, electronic data interchange techniques, agency-specific single windows, national single windows, and even regional and global single windows (figure 9.2).¹⁶ Due to the multifaceted nature of electronic interchange systems, national governments and international development organizations face numerous obstacles in coordinating the implementation of comprehensive single window platforms. Furthermore, cross-country comparability is complicated by the fact that different economies choose to introduce single windows of varying complexity. Mauritius' single window, TradeNet, is mostly focused on customs procedures and currently the system only includes the Mauritius Revenue Authority,

FIGURE 9.1 Trade digitalization leads to efficiency gains for both exporters and importers



Source: Doing Business database.

Note: The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita. The three categories are: only paper submission of customs declaration is possible; both paper and electronic submissions are in use; and only electronic submission is possible. The sample includes 165 economies.


the Mauritius Port Authority and the Mauritius Chamber of Commerce and Industry.¹⁷ In Australia, by contrast, the Customs and Border Protection Service Integrated Cargo System incorporates

a broad range of government agencies. The Australian single window connects customs authorities, quarantine authorities and meat producers. These actors work closely throughout the

production and trade processes, conducting sanitary inspections and issuing sanitary certificates.¹⁸

Single windows may suffer from various institutional and regulatory limitations that stem from conflicting interests related to technical standards, data harmonization and information sharing.¹⁹ Border operations, especially those managed by customs authorities, are legislated at the national level. As such, governments and development organizations must first convince different political actors of the need to integrate and modernize trade operations.²⁰ Moreover, because the information technology suppliers of the electronic systems are third parties with complex contractual relationships with governments, change can be slow. Beyond agreeing on the scope of work and bringing together different stakeholders, implementation of a single window can entail a number of organizational complexities. The cost may also vary depending on the parties involved and the level of integration. The

FIGURE 9.2 Some single windows have a high level of sophistication, encompassing complex networks of regulatory agencies and private actors



Source: Doing Business database.

single window for exports in Guatemala, for example, was developed by the private sector for less than \$1 million, with ongoing operational costs of \$1.2 million per year. Users of the Guatemalan single window pay a fee for each transaction in addition to a flat monthly fee. Conversely, the single window in Malaysia, which covers both exports and imports, was established through a public-private partnership and cost about \$3.5 million.²¹

One study shows that among 12 selected trade facilitation mechanisms, single windows generate one of the largest long-term cost savings despite having some of the highest setup and operating costs and an average implementation time of about four years.²² Despite the different uses and applications of single windows, the benefits outweigh the costs of developing a comprehensive framework integrating multiple trade actors. These benefits include improved revenue yields and the adoption of control risk management techniques for governments, as well as enhanced predictability, reduced costs and fewer delays for traders.²³ As a result of implementing an electronic data interchange system in the Philippines, customs custody time was reduced to 4–6 hours for “green channel” shipments (from 6–8 days previously).²⁴ Albania also significantly reduced the time spent in customs by adopting a digital risk-based border inspection process. Between 2007 and 2012, this process reduced the days goods spent in Albanian customs by 7% and boosted the value of imports also by 7%.²⁵ The implementation of this electronic facility, based on ASYCUDA modules for risk management, was recognized as a positive reform in the *Doing Business 2016* report.

The implementation of a single window in Singapore yielded positive results. Following a recession in the 1980s, Singapore’s government set up a high-level committee to improve economic competitiveness. One of the committee’s recommendations was to increase the use of information technology in trade.

Singapore’s single window for trade, TradeNet, one of the first such systems put in place in the world, began operating in 1989 as an electronic data interchange system that allowed the computer-to-computer exchange of structured messages between the government and members of Singapore’s trading community.²⁶ TradeNet now handles more than 30,000 declarations a day, processes 99% of permits in just 10 minutes and receives all monetary collections through interbank transactions.²⁷ Regarding cost, trading firms report savings of between 25% and 30% in document processing.²⁸

Sweden was also one of the first countries to introduce a national single window. The first steps toward the implementation of the Swedish single window were taken in 1989 with the development of the Customs Information System (CIS) by the Swedish customs authorities. During this initial stage, the CIS was an online platform that recorded export statistics electronically to the statistics bureau. The system gradually evolved from an export data exchange to a comprehensive single window that encompasses exports, imports and transit goods’ procedures. Currently, the Swedish single window connects customs not only to the statistics bureau but also to other important international trade actors.²⁹ Clearing goods in Sweden is easy and straightforward. The trader or representative submits the customs declaration online; even though paper copies are still allowed, they are rarely used. Customs processes the relevant information and if a license or a permit from other agencies is required it is requested automatically through the single window.³⁰ Even though the use of the online system is not compulsory, 94% of customs declarations are submitted electronically, and approximately 12,000 companies and 7,000 citizens use it.³¹ The platform operates 24 hours a day, seven days a week and is free of charge.

Over time single windows have moved beyond national boundaries, encompassing entire geographic regions. In

synchronization with national single window efforts, electronically integrated regional systems are on the rise. The Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN)³² Single Window (ASW) initiative, which was adopted and endorsed during the Ninth ASEAN Summit in 2003, aims to integrate the national single windows of ASEAN countries by allowing the electronic exchange of customs information and expediting cargo clearance. The regional single window is expected to reduce the overall cost of trading by 8%, with the largest savings arising from a reduction in documentation dispatch costs.³³ The implementation of the ASW is being carried out gradually; member states are currently in the process of implementing their respective domestic ratifications. A significant challenge has been the fact that most ASEAN member states have their own customs regimes and relevant legislation in place, which can be difficult to reconcile with new regional legislation.

Efforts toward electronic regional integration are also underway in Latin America and the Caribbean. The Inter-American Network of International Trade Single Windows (Red VUCE) initiative was launched in 2011 as a forum to promote cooperation and peer-to-peer learning among national single windows in Latin America and the Caribbean, with the goal of reducing the time and cost of trading in the region.³⁴ During its fifth meeting in 2014, Red VUCE representatives agreed to launch a pilot project that will allow interoperability of single windows in the region with the primary objective of eliminating paper copies of documents and interconnecting the single windows of Chile, Colombia, Mexico and Peru, the four founding members of the Pacific Alliance, by 2016.³⁵


Economies that trade through seaports maintain their competitive edge not only through the use of electronic services and single windows but also through the automation and modernization of port infrastructure (box 9.1).

BOX 9.1 Improving trade efficiency through port and customs automation

The ability of ports to ensure timely cargo transfers is a vital dimension of their competitiveness. Efficient ports are not only technologically advanced—using robots and automated container handling—but also employ digital platforms, such as port community systems, to ensure the smooth and reliable transfer of information between all members of the seaport network. Efficient ports generate many economic benefits, including increased trade volume, lower trade costs, and higher employment and foreign investment. Port quality impacts entire supply chains and even the economies of nearby cities.

Studies show the importance of port efficiency for trade facilitation and regional development. According to one study, port efficiency is a crucial determinant of shipping costs: improving port efficiency from the 25th to the 75th percentile reduces shipping costs by around 12%. Furthermore, reductions in inefficiencies associated with transport costs from the 25th to 75th percentile imply an increase in bilateral trade of around 25%.^a Another study, on the economic impact of the port cluster in Rotterdam, suggests that the value added of the port accounts for approximately 10% of regional GDP.^b The Le Havre/Rouen port cluster had an even higher share of regional GDP (21%).^c Going beyond port automation, data show that, on average, economies with full-time automated processing systems for customs agencies—as well as electronic data exchange platforms—take significantly less time to move exported goods compared to ones where full-time automation is not implemented (see figure). Port and customs automation make the exporting process more efficient. Moreover, data suggest that around-the-clock automated processing systems are a key factor for making border compliance more efficient.

Customs automation at ports/borders allows exporters to save time when dealing with trade logistics



Sources: *Doing Business* database; OECD 2015 database.

Note: The sample consists of 75 economies. The relationship is significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita.

Automation improves reliability, predictability, safety and competitiveness of operations. Ports are land-intensive; automated cranes and vehicles in ports improve the productivity of stacking crane interchange zones, which allows for more efficient land allocation and use. Furthermore, modern automated machinery is fast, economical and low-maintenance and it helps to avoid collisions and other physical damage. Better technology and automation also improves worker safety.^c In April 2015 the Patrick terminal at Sydney's Port Botany optimized the use of AutoStrad, a single piece of equipment that combines stacking and transportation capabilities without any human engagement. This technology has made the port safer, more predictable and efficient, ultimately benefiting both users and customers.^d

In the global trade logistics environment, where the number of containers is rapidly increasing due to higher international trade volumes, competition among ports to dominate the container market continues to intensify. Ports are complex constructions and changes are not easy to implement. Ports are communities composed of numerous players, both public and private.^e Usually port authorities and customs constitute the core of these communities. Other entities include shipping lines, freight forwarders, customs brokers, importers and exporters, all involved in conducting trade.

(continued)

BOX 9.1 Improving trade efficiency through port and customs automation (*continued*)

Location is no longer an important differentiator among ports. Now the services ports offer and the added economic value ports provide determine their competitive advantage.^f Port efficiency is an integral prerequisite for surviving in the competitive world of trade. Container automation and port community systems can be leveraged to improve efficiency. Given that information sharing is a key element within the port community, information technology capabilities—and port community systems in particular—serve as important differentiators among ports. But container automation can be costly and cause workforce optimization and therefore its implementation should be carefully weighed. Yet port community systems generate multiple first-hand benefits, including reduced paperwork, better information quality and reliability and safeguarded access to information by all members of the port community.

- a. Clark, Dollar and Micco 2004.
- b. Port cluster means port with multiple functions.
- c. OECD 2011a.
- d. Sydney Morning Herald 2015.
- e. Wrigley, Wagenaar and Clarke 1994.
- f. van Baalen, Zuidwijk and van Nunen 2008.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO FIGHT CORRUPTION

Research shows a negative relationship between corruption and investment, which constrains economic growth.³⁶ Corruption is particularly damaging for international trade.³⁷ Through advocating for adherence to international trade laws, international organizations have been actively combating corruption in the area of trade.³⁸ Intra-regional trade, especially in developing economies, remains highly vulnerable to fraudulent and corrupt practices. In economies with weak institutions and inefficient governments, the negative effects of corruption on growth are even more pronounced.³⁹ Recent studies on intra-regional trade in Africa demonstrate that corruption coupled with weak institutional frameworks poses major obstacles to the development of trade flows within the Economic and Monetary Community of Central Africa.⁴⁰ Corruption can alter natural trade flows and cause various market distortions by, for example, causing substantial delays in the delivery of goods. To extract bribes, corrupt civil servants create additional interruptions and constraints in an otherwise well-functioning system.⁴¹ The literature suggests that even when businesses pay bribes, they still face high time delays and experience greater capital costs.⁴²

In the realm of international trade, and particularly in customs clearance procedures, corruption can flourish because customs officials control something that firms greatly value—access to international markets.⁴³ Research shows that customs officials are particularly prone to accepting bribes and are more likely to engage in corruption compared to other sectors of the economy.⁴⁴ Import and export processes are equally affected by corruption. Customs officials can fraudulently overlook import regulations and exonerate goods from inspections while importing, or abuse their roles of gatekeepers during export procedures.⁴⁵


Doing Business data show that economies that perform well on the trading across borders indicators tend to have lower levels of corruption (figure 9.3). For example, there is a strong positive association between the economies' distance to frontier score in the trading across borders indicators and their score in Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index.⁴⁶ Similarly, the distance to frontier score on the trading across borders indicators is strongly and negatively correlated with the percentage of firms that are expected to give gifts to obtain an import license. The distance to frontier score tends to be higher in economies where fewer firms need to offer a bribe to get things done. Performance on the trading across borders indicators is also strongly and significantly correlated with the

Worldwide Governance Indicators' rule of law and control of corruption variables.⁴⁷

Economies worldwide have spent decades trying to eradicate corruption in international trade, with varying levels of success. Many East African economies are signatories of the World Customs Organization (WCO) Arusha Declaration, which is a recognized focal tool of an effective approach to tackling corruption and increasing integrity in customs for WCO members. Nevertheless, corruption and dominance of non-official fees and charges remain an important challenge in the region. To enhance integrity in East African economies, Kenya, for example, created an anti-corruption commission tasked with implementing good practices proposed by the Revised Arusha Declaration and the WCO Integrity Development Guide and Compendium of Integrity Best Practices. The Arusha Declaration explicitly recognizes the automation of trade processes, including electronic data interchange, as powerful anti-corruption tools.⁴⁸

Increased trade digitalization, which minimizes human interactions, creates fewer opportunities for bribery and fraud. The Philippines successfully fought corruption in its customs services by adopting systems that limit in-person interactions and by imposing heavy penalties on corrupt officials. Its approach relied on the use of modern technology to reengineer the customs services operating environment.⁴⁹

FIGURE 9.3 A good performance on the trading across borders indicators is associated with lower levels of corruption



Sources: *Doing Business* database; Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>), World Bank; Transparency International data (<https://www.transparency.org/cpi2015/results>).

Note: The results are significant at the 1% level after controlling for income per capita. A higher score on Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index indicates a lower level of perceived corruption. Data for the Corruption Perceptions Index are for 2015. The samples include 146 economies covered by both Transparency International and *Doing Business* and 121 economies covered by the Enterprise Surveys and *Doing Business*.

As a result of the anti-corruption reforms, about 70% of imports to the Philippines are now processed through the “green channel” within just two hours.⁵⁰ Similarly, single window systems—which limit the monopoly power of customs agents—can be implemented to deter corruption in customs services.⁵¹ The automation and digitalization of administrative systems largely eliminates the monopolistic power of customs officials.⁵² Similarly to the case of the Philippines, prior to 2003 the customs department as well as other administrations and agencies in Georgia faced a

rampant corruption problem. A key step to tackling corruption in the Georgian customs was the introduction of a one-stop shop system that reduced face-to-face interactions between entrepreneurs and customs officials.⁵³

The introduction of computerized solutions for processing customs documents—and the general automation of customs clearance—leaves little to the discretion of customs officials, thereby reducing opportunities for corruption.⁵⁴ However, despite myriad efforts to

implement good practices, corruption is still prevalent in many customs departments in Sub-Saharan Africa. In economies where anti-corruption reforms have failed, customs officials are often torn between bureaucratic norms and the expectations of their networks and surroundings. In some African economies, a kinship-based social organization that combines moral obligation and attachment is strong, making corruption more present and acceptable.⁵⁵

Mozambique launched an extensive customs reform program in 1995 to modernize the customs department and tackle corruption. Customs operations did not have any substantial information technology support before the reform was implemented. Despite considerable progress, Mozambique still needs to develop further its existing information technology infrastructure to deal effectively with corruption and smuggling. Corruption is also a challenge in the customs administration in Uganda. The Uganda Revenue Authority has been implementing various solutions to fight corruption, such as requiring officials to declare their assets, increasing salaries and providing training on integrity.⁵⁶ Uganda recently introduced a modernized version of the ASYCUDA World system, but its impact on fighting corruption remains to be seen.

CONCLUSION

Implementing a single window is not an easy undertaking. The complex process requires extensive cooperation and coordination among multiple players, and it can take several years for new electronic platforms to become fully operational and used by the majority of traders. However, the long-term benefits substantially outweigh the costs and the actual integration of single windows or similar systems can be done in phases. Most economies start with relatively simple electronic exchange solutions and progressively make systemic upgrades and expansions. Port automation and

modernization is an important milestone that economies can work toward to improve their competitiveness.

The integration of single windows into international trade processes and improved port automation can aid economies in combating corruption. Corruption remains a major problem in international trade. It perpetuates delays and inefficiencies, increases costs and ultimately has a negative impact on economic growth and development. Customs departments are especially prone to corruption, as customs officials often hold important decision-making powers in the international trade process. The digitalization of customs procedures is an efficient tool for tackling corruption; it is most effective when integrated into larger anti-corruption campaigns. Modern information technology infrastructure not only reduces opportunities for corruption but also has a generally positive impact on the entire trade process, thereby benefitting economic development overall.

NOTES

This case study was written by Cécile Ferro, Marilynne Florence Mafoboue Youbi, Dorina Peteva Georgieva, Valentina Saltane and Inés Zabalbeitia Múgica.

1. World Customs Organization 2015.
2. WTO 1998a. Trade facilitation is defined by the WTO as "The simplification and harmonization of international trade procedures, where trade procedures are the activities, practices, and formalities involved in collecting, presenting, communicating, and processing data and other information required for the movement of goods in international trade."
3. In *Doing Business 2017* the trading across borders indicators collect data on the status of implementation of the following components of single window systems in 190 economies: physical one-stop shop; customs electronic data interchange system; port or border integration; other governmental agencies integration; national single window; regional single window.
4. UNCTAD, "Saving one hundred billion dollars annually by the year 2000." United Nations International Symposium on Trade Efficiency, Columbus, Ohio, Fact Sheet # 5. 1994.
5. See the website of Colombia's Superintendencia de Industria y Comercio available at <http://www.sic.gov.co/drupal/>.
6. UNCTAD, "The ASYCUDA Programme."
7. For more information on the Automated System for Customs Data (ASYCUDA), see its website at <http://www.asycuda.org/>.
8. WTO 1998b.
9. Elms and Low 2013.
10. WTO 2013a.
11. Wilson, Mann and Otsuki 2003. Engman 2005.
12. Sabbagh and others 2013. Booz & Company's Digitization Index is a composite score that calculates the level of an economy's digitization using 23 indicators to measure six attributes: ubiquity, affordability, reliability, speed, usability and speed. The Digitization Index measures an economy's level of digitization on a scale of 0 to 100, with 100 signifying the most advanced, to identify its distinct stage of digital development: constrained, emerging, transitional or advanced.
13. Sá Porto, Morini and Canuto 2015.
14. Carballo, Schaur and Volpe Martincus 2015.
15. UN/CEFACT 2005.
16. Tsén 2011.
17. Tsén 2011.
18. World Bank 2015c.
19. Macedo and Scorsa 2013.
20. Grainger 2008.
21. UNECE 2005. The costs of establishing a single window can vary greatly depending on the information technology interface, the level of sophistication, the number of adopted modules and overall trade volumes.
22. Duvall 2006; Moise, Orliac and Minor 2011. The Duval 2006 study lists the following 12 common trade facilitation measures: alignment of trade documents according to the UN Layout Key for trade documents; online publication of relevant trade data; establishment of enquiry points and single national focal points for trade regulations and other trade facilitation issues; establishment of a national trade facilitation committee; provision of advance rulings; establishment of an effective appeal procedure for customs; establishment of a single window system; establishment and systematic use of pre-arrival clearance mechanism; implementation of modern risk management systems; establishment and wider use of audit-based customs; expedited clearance of goods and expedited procedures for express shipments and qualified traders/companies.
23. UNECE 2005.
24. Maniego 1999. "Green channel" clearance of goods refers to the process of customs clearance without routine examination of the goods. "Green channel" status is provided only to certain traders and products that meet the eligibility requirements set by customs authorities. Eligibility requirements may include, but are not limited to: government-approved list of companies and products, top importers in terms of duty payment and traders who have an impeccable record. Moving goods through the "green channel" that are not part of an economy's list of "green channel" products constitutes a customs offense that carries administrative and in some cases criminal liability.
25. Fernandes, Hillberry and Mendoza Alcantara 2015.
26. World Bank 2013a.
27. Neo and Leong 1994.
28. OECD 2009.
29. The Swedish single window also connects customs to the National Board of Trade, the Swedish Board of Agriculture, the National Inspectorate of Strategic Products, the National Board of Taxation, the National Debt Office, the Swedish police, Norwegian customs, Russian customs and the European Commission.
30. See the website of the Swedish customs service at <http://www.tullverket.se/>.
31. UNECE 2005.
32. The members of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations are: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, the Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Vietnam.
33. USAID 2012.
34. The members of Red VUCE (Inter-American Network of International Trade Single Windows) are: Argentina, The Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, El Salvador, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Suriname, Trinidad and Tobago, Uruguay and República Bolivariana de Venezuela.
35. Red VUCE 2014. Inter-American Network of International Trade Single Windows meeting in Cusco, Peru.
36. Mauro 1995.
37. Dreher and Herzfeld 2005.
38. Parisi and Rinoldi 2004.
39. Meon and Sekkat 2003 and Lopez-Claros 2015.
40. Avom and Fankem 2014. The members of the Central African Economic and Monetary Community (CEMAC) are Cameroon, the Central African Republic, Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon and the Republic of Congo.
41. Myrdal 1968.
42. Kaufmann and Wei 2000 and Freund, Hallward-Driemeier and Rijkers 2014.
43. Dutt and Traca 2010.
44. Rose-Ackerman 1997.
45. Dutt and Traca 2010.
46. A higher score on the Corruption Perceptions Index indicates a lower level of perceived corruption.
47. World Bank 2015c. The rule of law variable "reflects perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence." The control of corruption variable "reflects perceptions of the extent to which public power is exercised for private gain, including both petty and grand forms of corruption, as well as "capture" of the state by elites and private interests."
48. World Customs Organization 1998.
49. Parayno 2013.
50. Parayno 2004.
51. Ndonga 2013.
52. McLinden 2005.
53. World Bank 2012b.
54. Crotty 2010.
55. Fjeldstad 2009.
56. de Wulf and Sokol 2004.



Annex: Labor Market Regulation

What can we learn from Doing Business data?

Labor market regulation can protect workers' rights, reduce the risk of job loss and support equity and social cohesion. However, overregulation of the labor market can discourage job creation and constrain the movement of workers from low to high productivity jobs. Stringent labor regulation has also been associated with labor market segmentation and reduced employment of women and youth. Laws that restrict women's access to certain jobs, for example in mining or manufacturing, often with the goal of protecting women's interests, may contribute to occupational segregation and a larger gender wage gap.¹ By contrast, weak labor market rules can exacerbate problems of unequal power and inadequate risk management.² The challenge in developing labor policies is to avoid the extremes of over and under-regulation by reaching a balance between worker protection and flexibility.³

Doing Business measures several aspects of labor market regulation—hiring, working hours, redundancy rules and cost—as well as a number of job quality aspects (such as the availability of unemployment protection, maternity leave and gender nondiscrimination at the workplace) for 190 economies worldwide. This helps benchmark an economy's labor rules and examine the relationship between labor market regulation and economic outcomes. For example, economies with more flexible labor regulation tend to have a higher share of formally registered firms. Furthermore, flexible employment regulation is associated with a larger

share of active contributors to a pension scheme in the labor force—a measure that can be used as a proxy for formal employment (figure 10.1).


Employment protection legislation (EPL)—the rules governing hiring and dismissal of workers—is designed to enhance worker welfare and prevent discrimination. However, its impact on labor market outcomes is a contentious subject. Proponents of strict EPL argue that it provides stability by moderating employment fluctuations over the business cycle and increases worker effort and firm investments in human capital. Critics have linked stringent employment protection legislation to the proliferation of dual labor markets, whereby a labor force becomes segmented into formal versus informal sector workers (in developing economies) and permanent versus contingent workers (in high-income economies). Several studies point to the association between strict labor market regulation and higher levels of informality,⁴ which negatively impacts productivity and welfare. On average, firms in the informal sector have less value added per worker and pay lower salaries than formal sector enterprises. Informal firms also offer little job security and few fringe benefits to their employees. Rigid labor rules have also been linked to the decreased ability of vulnerable groups—women, youth and the low skilled—to find jobs.⁵ Some studies have found that strict employment regulation reduces aggregate job flows and hinders productivity.⁶ The overall

- Regulation is essential for the efficient functioning of labor markets and worker protection. Labor market rules can also potentially have an impact on economic outcomes. *Doing Business* data show that rigid employment regulation is associated with higher levels of informality. By contrast, weak labor market rules can result in discrimination and poor treatment of workers.
- The challenge for governments in developing labor policies is to strike the right balance between worker protection and flexibility.
- Regulation of labor markets differs significantly by income group. Low- and lower-middle-income economies tend to have stricter employment protection regulation than more developed economies.
- One reason for more rigid employment protection legislation in low- and lower-middle-income economies is the lack of unemployment insurance. None of the low-income economies and only 23% of lower-middle-income economies have unemployment protection stipulated in the law.
- Most economies do not have laws mandating gender nondiscrimination in hiring and equal remuneration for work of equal value. Such laws are most common in OECD high-income economies.
- There is no blueprint for the optimal mix of employment protection rules. Regulation should be tailored to national circumstances and designed in collaboration with social partners.

FIGURE 10.1 Stringent labor regulation is associated with higher informality

Rigid employment regulation is associated with a larger share of unregistered firms

Firms formally registered at the start of operations (%)




Sources: Doing Business database; World Bank Enterprise Surveys database (<http://www.enterprisesurveys.org>).

Note: The rigidity of employment regulation index is the average of four sub-indices: hiring, working hours, redundancy rules and cost. The relationship is significant at the 5% level after controlling for GDP per capita.

Rigid employment regulation is associated with higher informal employment

Active contributors to a pension scheme in the labor force (%)



Sources: Doing Business database; ILO 2014.

Note: The rigidity of employment regulation index is the average of four sub-indices: hiring, working hours, redundancy rules and cost. The relationship is significant at the 10% level after controlling for GDP per capita.

impact of strict EPL on productivity is unclear, however, as firms may choose to invest in capital and skills deepening in response to stricter legislation.⁷


Balancing employment protection legislation to ensure adequate worker protection as well as efficient labor allocation is an important priority for governments as they strive to create more and better jobs. Measuring labor market regulation is a key step in formulating informed public policy. This year *Doing Business*, which has measured aspects of labor market regulation since 2003, includes information on about 40 aspects of labor laws in 190 economies.

WHO REGULATES HIRING AND REDUNDANCY RULES THE MOST?

Doing Business data show that low- and lower-middle-income economies tend to have more rigid employment protection legislation compared to more developed economies (figure 10.2). The narrative below discusses differences in selected labor market regulations, such as availability of fixed-term contracts, redundancy rules, severance pay and unemployment insurance across different groups of economies.⁸

Hiring

As economies develop, several types of contracts may be required to satisfy business needs. *Doing Business* measures the availability of fixed-term contracts⁹ for a task relating to a permanent activity of the firm. Fixed-term contracts allow firms to better respond to seasonal fluctuations in demand, temporarily replace workers on maternity leave and reduce the risks associated with starting an innovative activity with uncertain returns on investment. Fixed-term contracts also have the potential to increase the employability of first time labor market entrants, particularly the youth, by providing them with experience and access to professional networks, which may eventually enable

FIGURE 10.2 Low-income economies tend to have more rigid employment protection legislation



them to find permanent jobs.¹⁰ Evidence from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) shows that fixed-term contracts are more common among the youth than older workers, suggesting that many young people manage to transition to permanent jobs after an initial fixed-term contract.¹¹ For example, in the EU-10¹² only 50% of young workers hold a permanent contract one year after leaving school but 73% are in permanent employment

five years after completing their education.¹³ These numbers are higher in the Republic of Korea and the United Kingdom where 86% and 81% of young workers, respectively, are in permanent employment one year after leaving school and more than 90% five years after graduation.¹⁴

Fixed-term contracts are currently available in 64% of economies but there is a significant regional variation: 84%

of economies in East Asia and the Pacific compared to 44% in Europe and Central Asia allow the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks (figure 10.3). Low-income economies are less likely to allow fixed-term contracts than middle-income and high-income economies (figure 10.4).

The impact of the use of fixed-term contracts on labor market outcomes depends on the rigidity of employment protection

FIGURE 10.3 The use of fixed-term contracts varies widely by region**FIGURE 10.4** Low-income economies are most likely to limit the use of fixed-term contracts

BOX 10.1 Flexibility at the margin: The perils of the dual labor market in Spain

Spain has the highest level of labor market segmentation in the EU-15,^a with around a quarter of its population and almost 90% of new hires employed on fixed-term contracts.^b The conversion rate from fixed-term to permanent employment hovers around 6%.^c Nearly all fixed-term employees in Spain (96%) accepted contracts of limited duration because they could not find a permanent job.^d

The origin of Spain's labor market duality dates back to a 1984 reform. The Spanish economy was hit hard by the second oil crisis and the unemployment rate surged. To boost employment, the government removed most restrictions on the use of fixed-term contracts while the dismissal rules for regular contracts remained unchanged. After the reform, fixed-term contracts could be used for any economic activity for up to three years. These contracts entailed a relatively low dismissal cost (with severance pay of up to 12 days per year of service) and their termination could not be appealed in labor courts.^e For permanent contracts, dismissal costs depended on the reason for the layoff and the seniority of the employee: fair dismissals required mandatory severance pay of 20 days of salary per year of service with a maximum of 12 monthly wages; unfair dismissals mandated payment of 45 days of salary per year of service with a maximum of 42 monthly wages.^f Economic reasons for fair dismissals included in the law were limited and the courts had a very narrow reading of those reasons. Given the large difference in dismissal costs, it is not surprising that soon after the reform almost all new hires were made on fixed-term contracts.

Although reforms have been introduced since 1994 to encourage permanent employment, these have had little impact on the prevalence of fixed-term contracts. Around 35% of employees in Spain were on a fixed-term contract in 2006. This figure declined to 24.5% in 2011/12 following the global economic crisis as temporary workers were the first to be dismissed.^g

The dual labor market has resulted in a number of negative equity and efficiency outcomes. Fixed-term workers in Spain experience frequent job turnover and face a higher risk of unemployment. The probability of being unemployed one year after being in fixed-term employment in Spain is 6.2 percentage points higher for men and 7.3 percentage points for women compared to permanent employees.^h Furthermore, firms are much less likely to invest in training for temporary workers in economies with dual labor markets compared to those where transitions from fixed-term to permanent employment are easier.ⁱ In Spain, the probability of receiving employer sponsored on-the-job training is 18% lower for fixed-term workers relative to permanent employees.^j This contributes to skill gaps between employees on different types of contracts and makes the transition to regular employment more difficult for fixed-term workers. Furthermore, a wide gap in the dismissal costs for fixed-term and permanent contracts—and consequently, low conversion rates—have been linked to poor total factor productivity growth in Spain.^k

The government of Spain introduced several reforms between 2012 and 2015 to increase flexibility, reduce labor market duality and improve employment outcomes of young people. Measures included: (i) increasing flexibility in wage bargaining and work scheduling by prioritizing firm level agreements over those at the sectoral or regional level (to allow for labor market adjustments through wages and hours worked rather than dismissals); (ii) eliminating administrative authorization for collective dismissals while maintaining the requirement of negotiation with the unions before giving the worker notice of dismissal; (iii) reducing severance payments for unfair dismissals (compensation for fair and unfair dismissals in Spain remains larger than the average in OECD countries even after the reform); (iv) creating tax incentives for new permanent hires; and (v) establishing active labor market programs for the youth and the long-term unemployed.^l The preliminary assessments showed that these reforms were associated with increased hiring on permanent contracts and reduced separations of workers on temporary contracts.^m The impacts were small, however, and it will take time and a sustained reform effort to reduce labor market duality.ⁿ

a. EU-15 consists of 15 economies that were members of the EU before the May 1, 2004, enlargement (Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom).

b. OECD 2014b.

c. Cabrales, Dolado and Mora 2014.

d. OECD 2014b.

e. Bentolila, Dolado and Jimeno 2011.

f. Bentolila, Dolado and Jimeno 2011.

g. OECD 2014b.

h. OECD 2014b.

i. Cabrales, Dolado and Mora 2014.

j. OECD 2014b.

k. Dolado, Ortigueira and Stucchi 2012.

l. IMF 2015b; OECD 2014c.

m. IMF 2015b; OECD 2014c.


n. IMF 2015b; OECD 2014c

legislation for regular workers. Evidence from the OECD shows that in economies with significant differences in regulation governing permanent and fixed-term contracts, firms tend to exploit the latter arrangement.¹⁵ Substantial variations in employment protection legislation for different types of contracts incentivizes companies to substitute fixed-term for permanent workers with no overall increase in employment.¹⁶ It also reduces the conversion rate of temporary to permanent employment, turning fixed-term contracts into a trap rather than a stepping stone toward an open-ended job.¹⁷ Indeed, in almost all EU economies on which data are available, less than 50% of the workers that were hired on a temporary contract in a given year are employed on a permanent contract three years later.¹⁸ Furthermore, if dismissing permanent employees is costly, workers on fixed-term contracts will bear a disproportionate burden of labor market adjustments.¹⁹ Evidence from the OECD also shows that firms are less likely to invest in training for temporary workers compared to permanent workers (by 14%, on average, for economies on which data are available) with negative implications for professional development and earnings as well as overall firm productivity.²⁰ The resulting duality of labor markets can have a number of negative outcomes (box 10.1).

Redundancy rules

Modification of the size and composition of the workforce is essential to ensure that firms can respond to changing economic conditions and technological developments. However, job destruction negatively impacts dismissed workers through income loss and skill deterioration if the search for a new job is protracted. Large-scale dismissals can also have high social costs. The challenge for governments is to avoid overregulation of redundancy rules, which constrains labor reallocation to more productive activities and, at the same time, to protect workers against discrimination and minimize the costs of job loss through effective unemployment

FIGURE 10.5 Priority rules for redundancies and reemployment are more common in low-income economies




Source: *Doing Business* database.

insurance, and active labor market and social assistance programs.

Doing Business data on redundancy rules show that while the majority of economies have relatively flexible legislation, pockets of rigidity remain for certain types of regulation. Redundancy is allowed as a ground for dismissal in all but three economies, namely Bolivia, República Bolivariana de Venezuela and Oman. However, a number of economies limit the firms' freedom to decide which workers they want to employ and which to dismiss. In particular, 40% of economies have priority rules for

redundancies (such as the requirement that the person hired most recently be dismissed first) and 37% for reemployment (the provision that new jobs first be offered to the previously dismissed workers). Low-income economies are more likely to have such rules than middle-income and high-income economies (figure 10.5). Priority rules for dismissals and reemployment benefit the incumbents disproportionately at the expense of young and potentially more productive workers. Given the rising share of youth in the working population and the high rates of youth unemployment in low-income

FIGURE 10.6 Notification and approval requirements are more common for collective dismissals



Source: *Doing Business* database.

BOX 10.2 India's labor regulation has been associated with a number of economic distortions*

Labor market issues in India are regulated by 45 central government laws and more than 100 state statutes. One of the most controversial laws, the Industrial Dispute Resolution Act (IDA) of 1947, requires factories with more than 100 employees to receive government approval to dismiss workers and close down. Obtaining such approvals entails a lengthy and difficult process and illegal worker dismissals can result in significant fines and a prison sentence. Industrial establishments also have to observe many other laws that regulate every aspect of their operations from the frequency of wall painting to working hours and employee benefits. Compliance with labor regulation also entails a considerable amount of paperwork and filing requirements.

Indian states have the freedom to amend labor laws. Besley and Burgess^a found that states with rigid employment regulation had lower output, employment and productivity in formal manufacturing than they would have had if their regulations were more flexible. Sharma^b applied Besley and Burgess' methodology to assess the impact of delicensing reform on informality. The paper finds that following this reform, the informal sector contracted to a greater extent in states with more flexible labor laws; these states also experienced a larger increase in value added per worker compared to states with more rigid regulation. The author concludes that entry deregulation can lead to productivity-enhancing labor reallocation from the informal to the formal sector, if labor laws are flexible. Ahsan and Pages^c modified the Besley and Burgess methodology and evaluated the effects of employment protection legislation and the cost of labor disputes on economic outcomes. They found that in states that raised the rigidity of labor regulations above the IDA requirements, employment, output and value added per worker in registered manufacturing decreased compared to states that did not introduce such amendments. Hasan and Jandoc^d studied the impact of labor regulation on firm size and found that there is a much greater prevalence of larger firms in labor-intensive industries in states with more flexible labor regulation.

Although Indian labor laws aim to increase employment security and worker welfare, they often have negative impacts by creating incentives to use less labor and encouraging informality and small firm size. Indeed, Indian firms are more capital-intensive relative to the economy's factor endowments. High labor costs in formal manufacturing have also contributed to India's specialization in the production and export of capital-intensive and knowledge-intensive goods despite the country's comparative advantage in low-skilled, labor-intensive manufacturing. To circumvent labor laws and other regulations, most Indian firms do not register and about 85% of non-agricultural employment is in the informal sector.^e Informality is associated with low productivity: value added per worker in India's manufacturing sector averages about one-eighth of the formal sector.^f Furthermore, only 9.8 million workers out of a total estimated workforce of 470 million were employed in private sector firms with 10 or more workers in 2007–2008.^g This pattern of employment distribution has important welfare implications as small enterprises in India and globally are on average less productive and pay lower wages.^h

The Indian government recently announced plans for major reforms to labor regulation aimed at increasing job creation and encouraging compliance. The planned legislative amendments include the consolidation of central labor laws, facilitating the retrenchment and closing down of factories by allowing firms employing less than 300 workers to dismiss them without seeking government approval, and increasing compensation to retrenched workers. Broad consultation with a wide range of stakeholders is essential to inform the design and ensure support for reform implementation. Evaluating the impact of the reform will be important.

a. Besley and Burgess 2004.

b. Sharma 2009.

c. Ahsan and Pagés 2009.

d. Hasan and Jandoc 2012.

e. World Development Indicators database (<http://worldbank.org/indicator>), World Bank.

f. World Bank 2010.

g. Bhagwati and Panagariya 2013.

h. Hasan and Jandoc 2012.

* Many of the findings presented in this box were also discussed in the World Bank's "World Development Report 2014: Risk and Opportunity."

economies, measures that limit the ability of new labor market entrants to find jobs can be particularly damaging.

Many economies require notification of a third-party (for example, the government

employment office) for redundancy dismissals. These requirements are more common for collective dismissals involving a group of at least nine redundant workers. By contrast, third-party approval requirements for redundancy

dismissals are less common (figure 10.6). The legislation tends to be more rigid in low-income economies—30% of low-income economies require third-party approval for collective dismissals while only 7% of high-income economies do

so. In economies with well-functioning employment services, notification requirements for collective dismissals can help government officials prepare for an increase in the number of unemployed, including through the design of targeted job search assistance and training programs. By contrast, there is little justification for mandating third-party approval for redundancy dismissals. In some economies, obtaining such approval entails a lengthy process or the approval is hardly ever granted, making dismissals *de facto* impossible. This is the case in India, where cumbersome redundancy rules—combined with rigidities in other labor regulations—have been linked to a number of economic distortions (box 10.2).

Severance pay, unemployment insurance and social assistance

Most economies (79%) mandate severance payments for redundancy dismissals. This requirement can be justified by the need to provide some income protection for redundant workers. However, severance payments are a weak mechanism for income loss mitigation and are no substitute for unemployment insurance.²¹ On the income protection front, there is no connection between the benefits and workers' financial situation—the same amount is paid regardless of the duration

of unemployment. Despite legal entitlement, many workers fail to obtain their benefits as liabilities often arise when the firm is least capable of paying them.²² Severance pay may also contribute to labor market duality as the increase in dismissal costs can reduce access to jobs for vulnerable groups.²³ Furthermore, given that severance payments tend to increase with tenure, redundancy decisions may be biased against young workers.²⁴

Severance payments may be damaging for domestic small and medium-size enterprises struggling with economic difficulties or going out of business. In some economies, severance payments approximate or exceed one year of salary. Table 10.1 provides a snapshot of the economies with the highest legally-mandated severance pay for workers with 10 years of tenure. Overall, the magnitude of severance payments tends to decrease as the income levels of economies increase. *Doing Business* data show that severance payments for workers with 10 years of tenure are significantly higher in low- and lower-middle-income economies compared to high-income economies (table 10.2). However, in developing economies the capacity to enforce the law is poor,²⁵ leaving the majority of workers outside the public sector unprotected against job loss risks.

Lack of unemployment insurance (and social assistance programs more generally) is one reason behind the sizeable severance pay in low- and

lower-middle-income economies (table 10.2). Globally, 60% of economies do not have any unemployment benefit schemes stipulated by law; the situation is particularly dire in low-income economies. Unemployment insurance is a more effective mechanism for income protection than severance pay because it pools risk, allowing resources to be accumulated in good times and released in times of hardship. However, the introduction of unemployment insurance in economies with large informal sectors is challenging as many workers have both formal and informal jobs, which makes it difficult to establish their eligibility for unemployment insurance.²⁶ Furthermore, open unemployment is not common in low-income economies, where the majority of the population is engaged in agriculture or self-employment. In this context, income loss is more common than job loss, making social assistance programs critically important.²⁷ However, only one quarter of the poorest quintile are covered by some type of social assistance programs in low- and lower-middle-income economies compared to 64% in upper-middle-income economies.²⁸

In developing economies that have introduced unemployment insurance, such programs are often characterized by low coverage (due to large informal sectors and strict eligibility criteria) as well as low benefits.²⁹ Similarly, the outreach and quality of active labor market programs like job search assistance, training, and public work programs in the developing economies is inadequate.³⁰

TABLE 10.1 Top 10 economies with the highest severance pay

Economy	Severance pay (in weeks of salary) for a worker with 10 years of tenure
Sierra Leone	132.0
Sri Lanka	97.5
Indonesia	95.3
Ghana	86.7
Zambia	86.7
Mozambique	65.0
Equatorial Guinea	64.3
Ecuador	54.2
Egypt, Arab Rep.	54.2
Lao PDR	52.0

Source: *Doing Business* database.

TABLE 10.2 Availability of unemployment protection and magnitude of severance pay

Income group	Availability of unemployment protection (% of economies)	Severance pay for a worker with 10 years of tenure (in weeks of salary)
Low income	0	24
Lower middle income	23	28
Upper middle income	44	20
High income	81	13
Global average	40	21

Source: *Doing Business* database.


Evidence from evaluations shows that, when well designed, active labor market programs in developing economies can be cost effective and have a positive impact on employment outcomes.³¹ Job search assistance and training programs can help workers find jobs and improve earnings provided that job openings exist. Such programs can also be effective in reaching vulnerable groups. For example, in Latin American economies and economies in transition, youth and women record significantly better outcomes from training than do middle-aged men.³² There is also evidence from a number of developing economies that public employment programs can be used effectively to provide workers with temporary jobs and a source of income.³³

HOW ARE GENDER RELATIONS REGULATED IN THE WORKPLACE?

Gender equality can make institutions more representative, improve social cohesion and increase productivity. Women constitute approximately 40% of the global labor force and over 50% of university students.³⁴ Removing regulatory barriers to women's access to the labor market can generate broad productivity gains and improve socioeconomic outcomes.

Doing Business data show that approximately 60% of economies do not have laws mandating gender nondiscrimination in hiring and equal remuneration for work of equal value (figure 10.7). Such laws are more common in OECD high-income economies, followed by economies in Europe and Central Asia. Women's earnings globally are estimated to be on average 77% of men's earnings³⁵ and the magnitude of the wage gap varies significantly by economy, sector and occupation. The establishment of nondiscrimination laws can provide a legal framework for action on women's rights and is an important step toward reducing gender inequality in the labor market.

FIGURE 10.7 Laws on gender nondiscrimination in hiring and equal remuneration for work of equal value are most common in OECD high-income economies



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Some economies regulate the types of jobs women can take through restrictions on working at night or in certain industries and occupations. Restrictions on working hours for nonpregnant and nonnursing women are present in 18% of economies and are most common in the Middle East and North Africa (figure 10.8). Legal barriers to women's work in certain industries and occupations are much more common—100 out of 173

economies for which data are available prohibit women's participation in certain economic activities.³⁶ For example, in the Kyrgyz Republic women cannot enter approximately 400 professions³⁷ and in the Russian Federation women are barred from 456 specified jobs.³⁸ Such legislation is often meant to protect women's interests but has been associated with occupational segregation and larger wage gaps as many of these jobs

FIGURE 10.8 Restrictions on women's night work are most common in the Middle East and North Africa



Source: *Doing Business* database.

are in well-paid sectors such as mining and manufacturing.³⁹ Furthermore, economies with work hour or industry restrictions also have, on average, lower female labor force participation—45%, compared with 60% in economies with no restrictions.⁴⁰

Women, Business and the Law provides quantitative measures of regulations that affect women's economic opportunities and offers useful insights on the impact of legal gender disparities on women's economic outcomes. The analysis shows that lower legal gender equality is associated with a larger gender gap in secondary school attendance as families may decide that it is not worthwhile to invest in girls' education in economies where women face legal barriers to labor market access. Furthermore, in economies with larger legal gender disparities, a woman is less likely than a man to be employed, run a business or advance to management positions. Economies with lower legal gender equality also tend to have a larger wage gap compared to economies where laws are more gender equal.

CONCLUSION

Low- and middle-income economies tend to have stricter hiring and redundancy rules. This tendency may be partially explained by the lack of effective mechanisms to protect the income of workers in case of job loss. However, strict EPL may not be an optimal mechanism to support workers and improve the functioning of labor markets. Rules on severance pay, for example, may be difficult to enforce when firms are struggling with economic difficulties or going out of business. Despite stringent employment protection legislation, workers in low-income economies are vulnerable to arbitrary treatment by employers and job loss risks due to weak law enforcement and large informal sectors.

Labor policies aimed at protecting workers rather than jobs may carry bigger promise. Strengthening social protection

systems—through the development of unemployment insurance, active labor market programs and social safety nets—is instrumental to support workers that have lost their jobs or experienced a decline in earnings.⁴¹ Expanding coverage of social assistance programs to the informal sector is important for economies where the informal sector is large. One way to do it is through the establishment of integrated cash transfer programs, which could be linked to requirements to participate in training or public work programs, and provide income support while improving worker employability. It is also important to strengthen labor inspectorates, both to enforce worker rights and to provide advisory services to enterprises to improve their compliance with core labor standards.

Preserving jobs that are no longer economically viable—whether due to technological change or domestic or international competitive pressures—may result in an inefficient allocation of resources and hinder productivity. There is no blueprint for the optimal mix of employment protection rules and such regulation should be tailored to national circumstances and designed or reformed in collaboration with social partners. Care should be taken to avoid policies that discourage job creation and increase the level of informality in the economy.

Governments around the world can do more to improve access to economic opportunities for women. Establishment and enforcement of legislation that levels the playing field in access to jobs and remuneration for men and women can strengthen women's economic status and reduce gender inequality in the labor market. Instead of creating impediments for women's access to certain jobs, governments can work with employers' organizations and social partners to promote health and safety standards for men and women. This approach can help reduce occupational injuries and support women in realization of their professional aspirations.

NOTES

This case study was written by Anna Reva and Margarida Rodrigues.

1. World Bank 2015a.
2. World Bank 2012a.
3. World Bank 2012a.
4. Djankov and Ramalho 2009; Sharma 2009; Loayza, Oviedo and Serven 2005.
5. Montenegro and Pages 2003; Kahn 2010; Kugler, Jimeno and Hernanz 2005.
6. Martin and Scarpetta, 2011; Bassanini, Nunizata and Venn 2008.
7. Betcherman 2012.
8. More detailed and economy disaggregated data on these and other aspects of labor regulation are available in the annex to the report and on the *Doing Business* website.
9. A fixed-term contract refers to a contract with a specified end date. Fixed-term contracts can be used for permanent as well as for temporary and seasonal activities.
10. OECD 2014b.
11. OECD 2014b.
12. The EU-10 consists of Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, and Spain.
13. OECD 2008.
14. OECD 2008.
15. OECD 2014b.
16. OECD 2013a.
17. OECD 2014b.
18. OECD 2014b.
19. OECD 2014b.
20. OECD 2014b.
21. Holzmann and Vodopivec 2012.
22. A study on Peru found that only half of all workers legally entitled to severance payments are likely to receive them. In 2000 one-third of the total severance pay obligations in Slovenia were not honored; Holzmann and Vodopivec 2012.
23. Holzmann and Vodopivec 2012.
24. Holzmann and Vodopivec 2012.
25. Holzmann and Vodopivec 2012.
26. See the discussion of possible models to adjust the classic unemployment insurance schemes to developing economy contexts in Vodopivec 2013 and Robalino, Vodopivec and Bodor 2009.
27. World Bank 2012a.
28. World Bank 2015a.
29. Kuddo, Robalino and Weber 2015.
30. Banerji and others 2014.
31. World Bank 2012a.
32. World Bank 2012a.
33. Subbarao and others 2013.
34. World Bank 2011.
35. ILO 2016.
36. World Bank 2015a.
37. Sakhonchik 2016.
38. World Bank 2015a.
39. World Bank 2015a.
40. World Bank 2011.
41. World Bank 2012a; Kuddo, Robalino and Weber 2015; Vodopivec 2013; Grosh and others 2008.



Annex: Selling to the Government

Why public procurement matters

- The selling to the government indicators aim to assess the ease of accessing and navigating public procurement markets across 78 economies, based on consistent and objective data that can inform policy makers in their procurement reform agenda.
- The selling to the government indicators measure aspects that are relevant to improving the ease with which companies can do business with governments across economies: access to electronic procurement, bid security, payment delays, incentives for small and medium-size enterprises and complaint mechanisms.
- There is a clear move toward the use of electronic public procurement systems. Indeed, 97% of the economies analyzed have one or more online portals dedicated to public procurement.
- Of the economies included in the selling to the government indicators close to 90% impose a bid security deposit requirement that suppliers must fulfill for their bid to be accepted.
- In 37% of the economies included in the selling to the government indicators payment occurs within 30 days on average while in 47% of the economies suppliers can expect to receive payments between 31 and 90 days following completion of the contract.

Public procurement is the process of purchasing goods, services or works by the public sector from the private sector. The range of industries involved in public procurement is therefore as wide as what a government needs to function properly and to deliver public services to its citizens. Whether for the construction of a school or to purchase hospital supplies, to secure information technology services in public buildings or renew a fleet of city buses, governments must constantly turn to the private sector to supply goods and services. Overall, public procurement represents on average 10 to 25% of GDP, making procurement markets a unique pool of business opportunities for the private sector.¹

Given its significant size, public procurement can impact the structure and functioning of the market beyond the mere quantities of goods and services purchased.² For instance, through its procurement policies, the public sector can affect the incentives of firms to compete in a number of ways.³ In the short-term, public procurement can impact competition among potential suppliers; in the long-term, public procurement can affect investment, innovation and the competitiveness of the market.⁴ Indeed, research has shown that where entry barriers to procurement markets are kept to a minimum and the competitive process can play its role, the private sector thrives and tends to compete and innovate more.⁵ In fact, where businesses—particularly small and medium-size enterprises—have a fair chance to compete for government contracts,

it can give them the necessary boost to further develop their activity, and even propose innovative goods and services that will meet demand in other markets.⁶ Competition in procurement markets is therefore critical on many levels and procurement policy may be used to shape the longer term effects on competition in an industry or sector.⁷

BUILDING NEW INDICATORS: SELLING TO THE GOVERNMENT

Public procurement laws and regulations—and their implementation in practice—can encourage competition by increasing suppliers' confidence in the integrity and efficiency of the procurement process.⁸ That will, in turn, allow government agencies to deliver better services and give the public more confidence in the way public funds are spent.⁹ To build and maintain a reputation as a trustworthy and efficient business partner, which can increase competition in later procurements, the purchasing entity has to pay promptly when payment is due in return for adequate performance. The legal framework should specify a timeframe for making payments and provide additional compensation when the procuring entity fails to pay on time. Indeed, delays in payment can have severe consequences for private sector suppliers, particularly small and medium-size enterprises which typically do not have large cash flows.¹⁰ Companies may also be deterred from responding to public calls for tender if it is difficult

to access the relevant information in a timely fashion, if delays and extraordinary costs are expected to be incurred throughout the procurement process and if unpredictable regulations create additional burdensome hurdles.

The selling to the government indicators aim to assess the ease of accessing and navigating public procurement markets across 78 economies, based on consistent and objective data that can inform policy makers in their procurement reform agenda. The indicators have been developed by the Benchmarking Public Procurement project, an initiative developed at the request of the G20 Anti-Corruption Working Group, in order to measure transaction costs of public procurement contracts.¹¹ The Benchmarking Public Procurement data for indicators selected for the analysis presented here are available on the *Doing Business* website.

There is a recognized need for more research on good practices and challenges in the public procurement sector.¹² Due to the lack of comparable global statistics there has been limited research analyzing how legal frameworks and government policies in public procurement enhance competition and private sector development.¹³

The most comprehensive tool that exists in the field of public procurement is the Use of Country Procurement Systems—an initiative led by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) with the cooperation of other international financial institutions including the World Bank Group—which aims to increase reliance on domestic procurement systems through donor-funded projects. In 2008 the World Bank launched a program for the use of country systems in bank-supported operations. Through this program a number of economies have been selected to be assessed in a comprehensive manner. Tools like the Country Procurement

Assessment Reports (CPAR)—which review the legal and institutional framework for procurement and recommend reforms—and the Methodology for Assessing Procurement Systems (MAPS) were used to assess the systems for public procurement, public financial management and governance in these economies.¹⁴ Other integrated diagnostic tools such as the Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) instrument were also created.¹⁵

The selling to the government indicator set will generate data that will directly support national priorities and help economies to strengthen their procurement systems and ultimately achieve sustainable development outcomes. The data will also help economies to promote private sector competition by addressing the constraints to competition in public procurement. The selling to the government indicators measure aspects that are relevant to improving the ease with which companies can do business with governments across economies: access to electronic procurement, bid security, payment delays, incentives for small and medium-size enterprises and complaint mechanisms (figure 11.1).

To ensure that the data are comparable across the 78 economies covered, several assumptions about the bidding company, the procuring entity and the type of services being procured were used during

the data collection process and analysis. In particular, a procuring entity which is a local authority in the main business city is planning to resurface a road for a value equivalent to 91 times the economy's income per capita or \$2 million, whichever value is higher. It initiates a public call for tender following an open and competitive procedure. BidCo, a private, domestically-owned limited liability company, is a bidder.


WHERE SELLING TO THE GOVERNMENT IS EASIER AND WHY

Accessing information and services online: accessibility and transparency

By streamlining the procurement process and supporting virtual access to information, the digitalization of public procurement—or e-procurement—lowers costs, reduces delays, maximizes efficiency and increases transparency. Research has shown that increased publicity requirements reduce government spending and maximize the effectiveness of their public procurement systems.¹⁶ As a result, the procurement process becomes much simpler and cost-efficient, especially for companies with limited resources. In the past 10 years e-procurement has developed rapidly as more and more economies have recognized its added value and engaged in a transition toward digitalization.¹⁷ The selling to the government indicators examine which materials can be accessed online and whether a supplier can submit a bid, sign the procurement contract and request payments through an online platform.

A well-functioning e-procurement portal which serves as a one-stop shop to access all public procurement opportunities and associated information increases the participation of small and medium-size enterprises in public calls for tender.¹⁸ In Chile, for example, 10 years after the ChileCompra portal was implemented the share of contracts

FIGURE 11.1 What is measured



awarded to small and medium-size enterprises had risen from 24% to 44%.¹⁹ The Korean e-procurement system, KONEPS, is another example of how a well-functioning portal can enhance efficiency, effectiveness and integrity of public procurement and act as a driver for investment and economic growth.²⁰ E-procurement also lowers the risk of fraud and corruption by limiting one-on-one interactions between buyers and sellers²¹ and as such is recognized as an effective tool in combating corruption.²² An e-procurement system increases transparency by collecting and publishing public procurement information and enhancing access for suppliers and other stakeholders through standardized and simplified processes. Research has shown that e-procurement improves service quality by facilitating entry for higher quality suppliers and reducing delays to public works projects.²³

Procurement portals should support interactions between bidders and public buyers. Accessing information and interacting with public buyers—whether to ask questions or submit a bid—can be a costly and lengthy process for bidders. Having the option to do this online will save significant time and money.

There is a clear move toward the use of electronic public procurement systems. Indeed, 97% of the economies analyzed have one or more online portals dedicated to public procurement. Where economies have made measurable progress in implementing online procurement platforms, some are more advanced than others when it comes to the services offered to the users. Across economies the electronic platforms range from simple websites—that do not support interactions but allow users to merely access tendering information—to sophisticated platforms offering a range of services for conducting the procurement process online. In countries like Australia, Italy, the Republic of Korea, New Zealand and Singapore bidders can access notices of calls for tender and tender documents

online as well as submit their bids through an electronic platform. Because of these options bidders in such economies spend less time performing necessary procedures than a prospective bidder in an economy where tender documents have to be obtained in hard copies and bids have to be submitted in person or via regular mail, as is the case in Angola and The Gambia.

Award notices should also be available online. In economies like Sweden the online publication of awards is mandated by law but in other economies such as Burundi, Jamaica and Myanmar bidders are still unable to access the outcome of the tendering process online (figure 11.2).

Guaranteeing the seriousness of bids through bid security instruments

When a company submits a bid in response to a call for tender it is often required to post bid security, either in the form of monies or a bank or insurance guarantee. The procuring entity typically holds the security deposit until the procurement contract is signed, after which all deposits are returned to the bidders. Bid security is a valuable instrument for


procuring entities because it helps avoid the unnecessary use of resources. The selling to the government indicators measure the legal framework for bid security, the amount and the time for the procuring entity to return the deposit.

Requiring bidders to secure a guarantee or put together a substantial amount of money discourages those firms that may be tempted to approach the bidding process in a manner that is not serious. However, for bid security to fulfill its purpose and not act as a deterrent to companies it should be regulated and of a reasonable amount. A bid security that is too high can prohibit companies with limited resources from participating in the public market. To prevent this from occurring the maximum amount that procuring entities can request as bid security should not be left to their discretion—it should be regulated by law to prevent excessive amounts and guarantee equal treatment. The time-frame for purchasing entities to return a deposit—as well as the decision to cash it—should also be regulated.

Of the economies included in the selling to the government indicators close

FIGURE 11.2 E-mail submission of bids is an area where many economies can improve

Economies with availability of electronic services (number)




Source: Doing Business database.

to 90% impose a bid security deposit requirement that suppliers must fulfill for their bid to be accepted (figure 11.3). However in 16 of these economies the legal framework does not stipulate a maximum amount that the procuring entity can request bidders to deposit,²⁴ leaving it to the discretion of the procuring entity. This is the case in Morocco, among others.

Obtaining payment following the performance of contractual obligations

Obtaining payment in due time is of critical importance for businesses, especially small and medium-size ones. Research has shown that delays in government payments directly impact small enterprises as they often need to increase borrowing to offset the shortage of cash.²⁵ Increased delays in public payments have a direct impact on private sector liquidity and profits, thereby reducing economic growth.²⁶ When a supplier is not paid for its good, work or service, it can run into a cash flow

FIGURE 11.3 The bid security is regulated in the majority of economies



Source: Doing Business database.

Note: In Chile the procuring entity will include the amount of the bid security in the tender documents. In the case that the procuring entity fails to include the amount of the bid security in the tender documents, and a bid security is required as part of the offer, the bid security amount will automatically be 2% of the estimated contract price.

problem that will significantly impact its business. Therefore, where public buyers are known to pay their suppliers late and provide no financial compensation for the delay, companies might refrain from doing business with them.

The selling to the government indicators focus on the legal and actual timeframe to process payments. The recognized good practice is that suppliers should be paid within 30 days following the performance of the contract.²⁷ In practice, however, payment delays are frequent in public procurement markets. In 37% of the economies included in the selling to the government indicators payment occurs within 30 days on average (figure 11.4) while in 48% of the economies suppliers can expect to receive payments between 31 and 90 days following completion of the contract. It takes between 91 and 180 days for the supplier to obtain payment in only 14% of economies.²⁸


Payment delays are positively correlated with Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) in the sample of 76 economies. Indeed, the average CPI is higher (less corruption) in economies with shorter payment time periods (figure 11.5).

Increasing the participation of small and medium-size enterprises in the public procurement market

With small and medium-size enterprises constituting a large proportion of businesses, governments around the world are seeking ways to encourage these firms to participate in the public procurement market. Findings from the selling to the government indicators show that 62% of economies measured have set up specific legal provisions or policies to promote fair access for small and medium-size enterprises to government contracts.

The new European Union directives on public procurement seek to expand access for small and medium-size enterprises to


FIGURE 11.4 Payments are received within 30 days in around a third of economies



Source: Doing Business database.

public procurement markets. Large public contracts are divided into smaller batches, thereby allowing small and medium-size enterprises to participate in large tenders. Furthermore, preferential treatment is given to small and medium-size enterprises by limiting their turnover requirement to twice the contract value. Other regions are also establishing incentives aimed at facilitating access by small and medium-size firms to public tenders. In Angola, Côte d'Ivoire, the Dominican Republic, India and Morocco, for example, procuring entities

FIGURE 11.5 Shorter payment delays are associated with less corruption



Sources: Doing Business database; Transparency International (<https://www.transparency.org/cpi2015/results>).

Note: Two economies were excluded from the sample: the Marshall Islands, for which CPI data was not available, and the Dominican Republic, the only economy in which payment delays exceed 181 days.

are required to allocate around 20% of the total value of government contracts to small and medium-size enterprises. That “set aside” ratio increases to 25% in Angola and Kenya and 40% in Taiwan, China. In some economies, the incentive takes a different form: projects below a certain threshold value are earmarked to small and medium-size enterprises. That threshold is equivalent to \$190,000 in Indonesia, \$125,000 in Colombia and \$24,650 in Brazil (applicable only to micro and small enterprises). In economies such as Bolivia and the Arab Republic of Egypt, incentives for small and medium-size enterprises include an exemption from a portion or the full amount of a bid security. Additionally, in the Russian Federation the maximum amount of bid security cannot exceed 2% of the maximum price of the contract when the bid is submitted by a small or medium-size enterprise. Incentives pertaining to expedited payments are also in place in some economies. Public entities in Angola, for example, are required to pay small and medium-size enterprises within 45 days following the receipt of an invoice.

Having access to a fair and efficient complaint system

A well-functioning complaint system in the context of public procurement serves many purposes. For bidders a fair and impartial complaint mechanism is critical as it guarantees that they can file a complaint and that their complaint will be examined in a timely fashion. A robust complaint mechanism also serves as a deterrent to improper conduct by procuring officials,²⁹ making it paramount to the very integrity of a procurement system. The selling to the government indicators examine elements such as who has standing to file, time limits for review bodies to render decisions, remedies available to suppliers and standstill periods.³⁰


Standing to file a complaint differs depending on the stage of the procurement process. During the pre-award stage (that is, when the government purchase

is being prepared) standing should not be limited to suppliers who actually submitted a bid. Standing should also be accessible to potential bidders provided they can show an interest in the tender. Once the award decision is taken, then only actual bidders should be allowed to contest the decision in order to deter potentially frivolous complaints. Data show that during the pre-award stage 66 of the economies included in the selling to government indicators allow both actual bidders and potential bidders to file a complaint. In economies where the post-award stage is different, only Burkina Faso grants the right to file a complaint to potential bidders.

Delays in the resolution of complaints can deter potential bidders as they increase the costs for both governments and suppliers—particularly for companies which cannot afford the cost of contesting a flaw in the tendering process or the award itself. A time limit should be set in the law so that when a complaint is submitted the complaining party knows when it will receive a response. This time limit should be long enough to allow for an in-depth review of the complaint but not too long to disrupt the procurement process, especially in economies where a complaint leads to a suspension of the process. But having a regulatory time limit does not guarantee prompt review of complaints. The data show that the time to render a decision by the first-tier review body during the pre-award phase varies greatly across economies depending on whether the first-tier review body is the procuring entity or not. In economies where the first-tier review body is the procuring entity, the complaining party is likely to obtain a timely resolution.

When it comes to second-tier review, the time taken to render a decision also varies considerably depending on the economy. Companies may be reluctant to resort to the complaint mechanism in economies like Bolivia, where it can take up to four years to receive a decision, or India, where a decision can take up

FIGURE 11.6 Complaints lodged with the procuring entity are decided faster



Source: Doing Business database.

to three years. In Colombia, Uruguay and República Bolivariana de Venezuela, decisions on appeals are rendered within two years. However, in economies where the second-tier review body is not a court but an independent review body (such as, for example, an administrative review committee within the national procurement agency), the decision on the appeal is rendered more quickly. This is the case in Albania, Burkina Faso and Senegal, where firms receive a decision from the second-tier review body in less than 10 days.

Effective remedies should be available in the law to suppliers that can demonstrate that the violation of a particular procurement rule has harmed them. During the pre-award stage, such remedies should include the modification of tender documents, the payment of damages and the overturn in whole or in part of an act or a decision of the procuring entity. The legal framework allows first-tier and second-tier review bodies to overturn in whole or in part an act or a decision of the procuring entity in about half of the economies. Furthermore, damages are more frequently awarded by second-tier review bodies (26 economies) than first-tier review bodies (6 economies).

Once the procuring entity announces its award decision it is important that it allows for a standstill period. A minimum

of 10 days is recognized as a good practice by judgments of the European Court of Justice and the World Trade Organization's Government Procurement Agreement.³¹ Twenty-nine economies do not provide for a standstill period and 12 economies allow for a period shorter than 16 days. In Bolivia and Georgia, for example, the standstill period is three calendar days, which does not leave sufficient time for suppliers to file a complaint.

CONCLUSION

The selling to the government indicators expose significant disparities among the 78 economies measured. Although there is a clear move toward enhancing the transparency and efficiency of public procurement systems, impediments such as a lack of access to information, payment delays, unforeseen bid security requirements and inefficient complaint mechanisms remain prevalent across economies of various income groups.

The benefits of well-functioning electronic procurement portals have been widely recognized. In addition to enhancing transparency, they provide equal access to markets and reduce in-person interactions that offer opportunities for corruption. Similarly, predictable and regulated bid security requirements deter suppliers from submitting frivolous offers, while allowing serious bidders to anticipate the amount needed for deposit. Timely payments encourage suppliers, particularly small and medium-size enterprises which typically do not have large cash flows, to participate in the procurement market. Finally, efficient complaint mechanisms increase the confidence of private suppliers in the fairness of the procurement process and their willingness to file a complaint.

By exposing prevailing practices and highlighting obstacles that hinder private suppliers' access to the public market, the indicators have the potential to influence governments to undertake reforms that

are necessary to promote more transparent, competitive and efficient public procurement systems. Ultimately, the objective is to create a more favorable environment for private suppliers, notably small and medium-size enterprises, by granting them a fair opportunity to access the public marketplace.

NOTES

This case study was written by Elisabeth Danon, Tania Ghossein, Maria Paula Gutierrez Casadiego and Sophie Pouget.

1. EU 2014. The European Union estimates that public procurement amounts to between 10% and 25% of GDP globally (see <http://ec.europa.eu/trade/policy/accessing-markets/public-procurement/>). The WTO estimates that public procurement represents between 10% and 15% of GDP. (see https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/gproc_e/gproc_e.htm).
2. OECD 2013b.
3. OECD 2016a; Cernat and Zornitsa 2015; World Bank Group 2014.
4. OECD 2011b.
5. Uyarra and others 2014.
6. Caldwell and others 2005.
7. OECD 2011b.
8. OECD 2016a.
9. Mahacek and Turkalj 2015; Tabarcea 2014.
10. Connell 2014.
11. For more information on the Benchmarking Public Procurement project, see the website at <http://bpp.worldbank.org/>.
12. Sánchez-Rodríguez and others 2003.
13. Arrowsmith and Hartley 2002.
14. World Bank Group 2014.
15. For more information on the PEFA methodology see the website at <https://pefa.org/>.
16. Covillo and Marinello 2014.
17. World Bank Group 2015b.
18. Beauvallet and Boughzala 2011.
19. Chile 2013.
20. OECD 2016a.
21. Clare and others 2016.
22. Kashta 2014.
23. Lewis-Faupel and others 2014; Shingal 2015.
24. The bid security deposit is either a flat amount or a percentage of the value of the procurement contract or the bidder's proposal.
25. Nayak 2014.
26. Checherita-Westphal and others 2015.
27. OECD 2006b.
28. The data for payment delays was collected in four categories: payment delays of 0 to 30 calendar days, 31 to 90 calendar days, 91 to 180 calendar days and above 181 calendar days. This captured economies where payment delays are non-existent or reasonable (0 to 30 or 31 to 90 calendar days) or long (91 to 180 or more than 181 calendar days).
29. Gordon 2006.
30. The standstill period is the period of time between the announcement of the award and the signing of the contract during which bidders have the time to review the award decision and file a complaint if needed.
31. WTO 1994.



References

- Adams, Renée, and Daniel Ferreira. 2009. "Women in the Boardroom and Their Impact on Governance and Performance." *Journal of Financial Economics* 94 (2): 291-309.
- Aggarwal, Reena, Isil Erel, Rene Stulz and Rohan Williamson. 2009. "Differences in Governance Practice between U.S. and Foreign Firms: Measurement, Causes, and Consequences." *Review of Financial Studies* 22: 3131-69.
- Agostino, Mariarosaria, and Francesco Trivieri. 2014. "Does Trade Credit Play a Signaling Role? Some Evidence from SMEs Microdata." *Small Business Economics* 42 (1): 131-51.
- Ahern, Kenneth, and Amy Dittmar, 2012. "The Changing of the Boards: The Impact on Firm Valuation of Mandated Female Board Representation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 127 (1): 137-97.
- Ahsan, Ahmad and Carmen Pagés. 2009. "Are All Labor Regulations Equal? Evidence from Indian Manufacturing." *Journal of Comparative Economics* 37 (1): 62-75.
- Aizenman, Joshua and Yothin Jinjarak. 2012. "Income Inequality, Tax Base and Sovereign Spreads." NBER Working Paper 18176. National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2089248>.
- Ali, Daniel Ayalew, Klaus Deininger and Marcus Goldstein. 2014. "Environmental and Gender Impacts of Land Tenure Regularization in Africa: Pilot Evidence from Rwanda," *Journal of Development Economics* 110: 262-75.
- Ali, Muhammad Aamir and Nazish Aamir. 2014. "Stock Market Development and Economic Growth: Evidence from India, Pakistan, China, Malaysia and Singapore." *International Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences* 2 (3): 220-26.
- Alm, James and Michael McKee. 2006. "Audit Certainty, Audit Productivity, and Taxpayer Compliance." Andrew Young School of Policy Studies Research Paper 2006-43. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=897341>.
- American Law Institute. 1992. *Principles of Corporate Governance: Analysis and Recommendations*. Philadelphia: American Law Institute.
- Amin, Mohammad. 2010. "Gender and Firm-size: Evidence from Africa." *World Bank Economics Bulletin* 30 (1): 663-668.
- Amiti, Mary, and Amit K. Khandelwal. 2011. "Import Competition and Quality Upgrading." *Review of Statistics and Economics* 95 (2): 476-90.
- Ammann, Manuel, David Oesch and Markus Schmid. 2011. "Corporate Governance and Firm Value: International Evidence." *Journal of Empirical Finance* 18 (1): 36-55.
- Andersen, Thomas Barnebeck and Carl-Johan Dalgaard. 2013. "Power Outages and Economic Growth in Africa." *Energy Economics*, Elsevier, 38 (C): 19-23.
- Angel-Urdinola, Diego and Arvo Kuddo. 2010. "Key Characteristics of Employment Regulation in the Middle East and North Africa." Social Protection Discussion Paper 1006. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Araujo, Aloisio P., Rafael V. X. Ferreira and Bruno Funchal. 2012. "The Brazilian Bankruptcy Law Experience." *Journal of Corporate Finance* 18 (4): 994-1004.

- Arora, Rashmi U. 2014. "Access to Finance: An Empirical Analysis." *European Journal of Development Research* 26: 798-814.
- Arrowsmith, Sue, ed. 2011. *EU Public Procurement Regulations: An Introduction*. England: Nottingham University.
- Arrowsmith, Sue and Keith Hartley, eds. 2002. *Public Procurement*. Northampton, Massachusetts: Edward Edgar Publishing Limited.
- Arvis, Jean-Francois, Monica Alina Mustea, Mona Haddad, Bernard Hoekman, Lauri Ojala, Daniel Saslavsky and Ben Shepherd. 2010. "Connecting to Compete 2010: Trade Logistics in the Global Economy." Working Paper 55852. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Asia-Pacific Economic Association. 2015. "2015 Leaders Declaration." Manila, Philippines. November 19. Available at http://www.apec.org/Meeting-Papers/Leaders Declarations/2015/2015_aelm.aspx.
- Asker, John, Joan Farre-Mensa and Alexander Ljungqvist. 2015. "Corporate Investment and Stock Market Listing: A Puzzle?" *Review of Financial Studies* 28 (2): 342-90.
- Ates, Abidin, Sevin Gurarda and Emre Ozsoz. 2014. "Ownership Structure and Corporate Governance in the Case of Turkey." MPRA Paper 58293. Available at <http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/58293/>.
- Avom, Désiré, and Gislain Stephane Gandjon Fankem. 2014. "Qualité du cadre juridique, corruption et commerce international: le cas de la CEMAC." *Revue d'économie politique* 124: 101-28.
- Aziz, Rashid and Munawar Baseer Ahmad. 2015. "Pakistan's Power Crisis, The Way Forward." United States Institute of Peace, Special Report 375, June. Available at <https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/SR375-Pakistans-Power-Crisis-The-Way-Forward.pdf>.
- Ball, Gwendolyn G., and Jay P. Kesan. 2010. "Judges, Courts and Economic Development: The Impact of Judicial Human Capital on the Efficiency and Accuracy of the Court System." Paper presented at the 15th Annual Conference of the International Society for New Institutional Economics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, June 16-18. Available at <http://papers.isnie.org/paper/716.html>.
- Banerji, Arup, David Newhouse, Pierella Paci, and David Robalino, eds. 2014. *Working through the Crisis: Jobs and Policies in Developing Countries During the Great Recession*. Directions in Development. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Barseghyan, Levon. 2008. "Entry Costs and Cross-Country Differences in Productivity and Output." *Journal of Economic Growth* 13(2): 145-67.
- Barsky, Jennifer. 2014. International Finance Corporation Press Release, May 19, Bogota, Colombia.
- Barthold, Beat, Marco Rizzi and Mark Montanari. 2014. "Swiss Ordinance Against Excessive Compensation in Listed Stock Companies—First Experiences After the Shareholder's Meeting Season 2014." Available at http://www.lexology.com/219/author/Dr_Beat_M_Barthold/.
- Bassanini, Andrea, Luca Nunizata, and Danielle Venn. 2008. "Job Protection Legislation and Productivity Growth in OECD Countries." IZA Discussion Paper 3555. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Germany.
- Beauvallet, Godefroy, Younès Boughzala and Said Assar. 2011. "E-Procurement, from Project to Practice: Empirical Evidence from the French Public Sector." In *Practical Studies in E-Government: Best Practices from Around the World*. 2011:13-27.
- Bebchuk, Lucian. 2013. "The Myth that Insulating Boards Serves Long-Term Value." *Columbia Law Review* 113 (6): 1637-94.
- Bebchuk, Lucian. 2005. "The Case for Increasing Shareholder Power." *Harvard Law Review* 118 (3): 833-914.
- Bebchuk, Lucian, Reinier Kraakman and George Triantis. 1999. "Stock Pyramids, Cross-Ownership and Dual Class Equity: The Creation and Agency Costs of Separating Control from Cash Flow Rights." Discussion Paper 249, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA.
- Beck, Thorsten, and Asli Demirguc-Kunt. 2006. "Small and Medium-Size Enterprises: Access to Finance as a Growth Constraint." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 30 (11): 2931-43.
- Beck, Thorsten, Asli Demirguc-Kunt, Luc Laeven and Vojislav Maksimovic. 2006. "The determinants of financing obstacles." *Journal of International Money and Finance* 25 (6), 932-95.
- Beck, Thorsten, Asli Demirguc-Kunt and María Soledad Martínez Peria. 2008. "Bank Financing for SMEs around the World: Drivers, Obstacles, Business Models, and Lending Practices." Policy Research Working Paper 4785. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Beck, Thorsten, Chen Lin and Yue Ma. 2014. "Why Do Firms Evade Taxes? The Role of Information Sharing and Financial Sector Outreach." *Journal of Finance* 69: 763-817.
- Bentolila, Samuel, Juan Dolado and Juan Jimeno. 2011. "Reforming an Insider-Outsider Labor Market: The Spanish Experience." IZA Discussion Paper 6186. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Germany.
- Berger, Allen N., and Gregory F. Udell. 2006. "A More Complete Conceptual Framework for SME Finance." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 30 (11): 2945-66.
- Bertrand, Marianne and Francis Kramarz. 2002. "Does Entry Regulation Hinder Job Creation? Evidence from the French Retail Industry." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (4): 1369-1413.
- Bertrand, Marianne, Sandra E. Black, Sissel Jensen and Adriana Lleras-Muney. 2014. "Breaking the Glass Ceiling? The Effect of Board Quotas on Female Labor Market Outcomes in Norway." National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series, NBER, Cambridge, MA.
- Besley, Timothy, and Robin Burgess. 2004. "Can Labor Regulation Hinder Economic Performance? Evidence from India." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (1): 91-134.
- Betcherman, Gordon. 2012. "Labor Market Institutions: A Review of the Literature." Policy Research Working Paper 6272. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Bhagwati, Jagdish, and Arvind Panagariya. 2013. *Why Growth Matters: How Economic Growth in India Reduced Poverty and the*

- Lessons for Other Developing Countries. New York, NY: Public Affairs.
- Bird, R., and O. Oldman. 2000. "Improving Taxpayer Service and Facilitating Compliance in Singapore." *The World Bank PREM Notes, Public Sector*. December. 48: 1-4.
- Bjørnsvik, C., and N.J. Foss. 2008. "Economic Freedom and Entrepreneurial Activity: Some Cross-Country Evidence." *Public Choice* 134 (3): 307-28.
- Black, Bernard, Woochan Kim, Hasung Jang and Kyung Suh Park. 2010. "How Corporate Governance Affects References Firm Value: Evidence on Channels from Korea." *Finance Working Paper 103/2005*, European Corporate Governance Institute, Brussels.
- Botero, Juan Carlos, Simeon Djankov, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2004. "The Regulation of Labor." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119 (4): 1339-82.
- Branstetter, Lee G., Francisco Lima, Lowell J. Taylor and Ana Venâncio. 2013. "Do Entry Regulations Deter Entrepreneurship and Job Creation? Evidence from Recent Reforms in Portugal." *Economic Journal*. Published electronically July 16, 2013. doi:10.1111/eco.12044.
- Brown, Lawrence and Marcus Caylor. 2009. "Corporate Governance and Firm Operating Performance." *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 32 (2): 129-44.
- Brown, Martin, and Christian Zehnder. 2007. "Credit Registries, Relationship Banking, and Loan Repayment." *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 39 (8): 1883-918.
- Bruhn, Miriam. 2009. "Female-Owned Firms in Latin America: Characteristics, Performance, and Obstacles to Growth." Policy Research Working Paper 5122, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- _____. 2011. "License to Sell: The Effect of Business Registration Reform on Entrepreneurial Activity in Mexico." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 93 (1): 382-86.
- _____. 2013. "A Tale of Two Species: Revisiting the Effect of Registration Reform on Informal Business Owners in Mexico." *Journal of Development Economics* 103: 275-83.
- Bufford, Samuel. 2006. "International Rule of Law and the Market Economy—An Outline." *Southwestern Journal of Law and Trade in the Americas* 303 (12).
- Business World*. 2013. "Ghana Unveils Ultra-Modern Collateral Registry." May 10. Available at <http://www.businessworldghana.com/ghana-unveils-ultra-modern-collateral-registry/>
- Bustelo, Frederic. 2009. "Finance for All: Integrating Microfinance to Credit Information Sharing in Bolivia." *Celebrating Reforms 2009*. Washington, DC: International Finance Corporation.
- Cabrales, Antonio, Juan Dolado and Ricardo Mora. 2014. "Dual Labour Markets and (Lack of) On-the-Job Training: PIAAC Evidence from Spain and Other EU Countries." *IZA Discussion Paper 8649*. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Germany.
- Caldwell, N., H. Walker, C. Harland, L. Knight, J. Zheng and T. Wakeley. 2005. "Promoting Competitive Markets: The Role of Public Procurement." *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 11 (5-6): 242-51.
- Carballo, Jerónimo, Georg Schaur, and Christian Volpe Martincus. 2015. "The Border Labyrinth: Information Technologies and Trade in the Presence of Multiple Agencies." University of Maryland, University of Tennessee, and Inter-American Development Bank.
- Cernat, Lucien and Zornitsa Kutlinova-Dimitrova. 2015. "International Public Procurement: From Scant Facts to Hard Data" European Union TRADE Chief Economist Note. April. European Commission, Brussels. Available at http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2015/april/tradoc_153347.pdf.
- Checherita-Westphal, Cristina, Alexander Klemm and Paul Viefers. 2015. "Governments' Payment Discipline: The Macroeconomic Impact of Public Payment Delays and Arrears." IMF Working Papers 1771, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Cheffins, Brian. 2009. "Did Corporate Governance 'Fail' During the 2008 Stock Market Meltdown? The Case of the S&P 500." *Business Lawyer* 65 (1).
- Chen, Kevin, Zhihong Chen and John Wei. 2011. "Agency Costs of Free Cash Flows and the Effect of Shareholder Rights on the Implied Cost of Capital." *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 46: 171-207.
- Child, David. 2008. "VAT Administration: Addressing Private Sector Concerns." In *VAT in Africa*, edited by Richard Krever. Pretoria: Pretoria University Law Press.
- Chile, Ministry of the Treasury, ChileCompra. 2013. "MIPE informe proveedores micro y pequeños empresarios." September. http://www.chilecompra.cl/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1579&Itemid=1090.
- Ciccone, Antonio, and Elias Papaioannou. 2007. "Red Tape and Delayed Entry." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 5 (2-3): 444-58.
- Cirmizi, Elena, Leora Klapper and Mahesh Uttamchandani. 2010. "The Challenges of Bankruptcy Reform." Policy Research Working Paper 5448, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Claessens, Stijn, Kenichi Ueda and Yishay Yafeh. 2014. "Institutions and Financial Frictions: Estimating with Structural Restrictions on Firm Value and Investment." *Journal of Development Economics* 110: 107-22.
- Claessens, Stijn. 2006. "Corporate Governance and Development." *The World Bank Research Observer* 21 (1): 91-122.
- Clare, Ali, David Sangokoya, Stefaan Verhulst and Andrew Young. 2016. "Open Contracting and Procurement in Slovakia: Open Data's Impact. New York, NY. Available at <http://odimpact.org/static/files/case-study-slovakia.pdf>.
- Clark, Ximena, David Dollar, and Alejandro Micco. 2004. "Port Efficiency, Maritime Transport Costs, and Bilateral Trade." *Journal of Development Economics* 75: 417-50.
- Connell, William. 2014. "The Economic Impact of Late Payments." European Commission, Economic Papers 531, September, European Commission, Brussels. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/publications/

- economic_paper/2014/pdf/ecp531_en.pdf
- Corcoran, Adrian, and Robert Gillanders. 2015. "Foreign Direct Investment and the Ease of Doing Business." *Review of World Economics* 151 (1): 103-26.
- Core, John, Robert Holthausen and David Larcker. 1999. "Corporate Governance, Chief Executive Officer Compensation, and Firm Performance." *Journal of Financial Economics* 51(3): 371-406.
- Costa Rica, Ministry of Economy, Industry and Commerce. 2015. "Ley de garantías mobiliarias es una realidad para MIPYMES." Press Release, May 11.
- Coviello, Decio, and Mario Marinello. 2014. "Publicity Requirements in Public Procurement: Evidence from a Regression Discontinuity Design." *Journal of Public Economics* 109: 76-100.
- Cremers, Martijn, and Allen Ferrell. 2014. "Thirty Years of Shareholder Rights and Firm Value." *The Journal of Finance* 69: 1167-96.
- Crotty, John. 2010. "Practical Measures to Promote Integrity in Customs Administrations." Paper presented at the Eight International Anti-Corruption Conference, Prague, Czech Republic, September 7-11.
- Cuberes, David, and Marc Teignier. 2014. "Aggregate Costs of Gender Gaps in the Labor Market: A Quantitative Estimate." UB Economics Working Papers E14/308.
- Dabla-Norris, Era, Giang Ho, and Anette Kyobe. 2016. "Structural Reforms and Productivity Growth in Emerging Market and Developing Economies." IMF Working Paper WP/16/15, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Dabla-Norris, Era, Kalpana Kochhar, Nujin Suphaphiphat, Frantisek Ricka and Evridiki Tsounta. 2015. "Causes and Consequences of Income Inequality: A Global Perspective." IMF Staff Discussion Notes 15/33, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Dam, Kenneth. 2006. "The Judiciary and Economic Development." University of Chicago Law & Economics, Olin Working Paper 287. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=892030>.
- Daeniker, Daniel, Claude Lambert, David Oser and Andreas Muller. 2014. "Say on Pay in Switzerland." Homburger, July 7. Available at <http://www.homburger.ch/>.
- Dahya, Jay, Orlin Dimitrov and John McConnell. 2008. "Dominant Shareholders, Corporate Boards, and Corporate Value: A Cross-Country Analysis." *Journal of Financial Economics* 87 (1): 73-100.
- Dakolias, Maria. 1999. "Court Performance around the World: A Comparative Perspective." World Bank Technical Paper 430, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- De Mel, Suresh, David McKenzie and Christopher Woodruff. 2013. "The Demand for, and Consequences of, Formalization Among Informal Firms in Sri Lanka." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 5 (2): 122-50.
- De Soto, Hernando. 1989. *The Other Path*. New York, New York: Harper & Row Publishers, Inc.
- De Wulf, Luc, and José Sokol. 2004. "Customs Modernization Initiatives: Case Studies." Washington, DC: World Bank and Oxford University Press.
- Deininger, Klaus. 2003. "Land Policies for Growth and Poverty Reduction." World Bank Policy Research Report. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Deininger, Klaus, Aparajita Goyal and Hari Nagarajan. 2010. "Inheritance Law Reform and Women's Access to Capital: Evidence from India's Hindu Succession Act." Policy Research Working Paper 5338, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Deininger, Klaus W., Songqing Jin, Shouying Liu and Fang Xia. 2015. "Impact of Property Rights Reform to Support China's Rural-Urban Integration: Household-Level Evidence from the Chengdu National Experiment." Policy Research Working Paper 7388, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Demirguc-Kunt, Asli, Leora Klapper, Dorothe Singer and Peter Van Oudheusden. 2015. "The Global Findex Database 2014: Measuring Financial Inclusion Around the World." World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 7255. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Denis, David, and Jan Serrano. 1996. "Active Investors and Management Turnover Following Unsuccessful Control Contests." *Journal of Financial Economics* 40 (2): 239.
- Dharmapala, Dhammadika, and Vikramaditya Khanna. 2013. "Corporate Governance, Enforcement, and Firm Value." *Journal of Law, Economics and Organization* 29 (5): 1056-84.
- Dignam, Alan. 2013. "The Future of Shareholder Democracy in the Shadow of the Financial Crisis." *Seattle University Law Review* 36: 639-94.
- Divanbeigi, Raian, and Rita Ramalho. 2015. "Business Regulations and Growth." Policy Research Working Paper 7299, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer. 2007. "Private Credit in 129 Countries." *Journal of Financial Economics* 84 (2): 299-329.
- Djankov, Simeon, Caroline Freund and Cong S. Pham. 2010. "Trading on Time." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 92 (1): 166-73.
- Djankov, Simeon, Oliver Hart, Caralee McLiesh and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. "Debt Enforcement Around the World." *Journal of Political Economy* 116 (6): 1105-49.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2002. "The Regulation of Entry." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 117 (1): 1-37.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2003. "Courts." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118 (2): 453-517.
- Djankov, Simeon, Rafael La Porta, Florencio López-de-Silanes and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. "The Law and Economics of Self-Dealing." *Journal of Financial Economics* 88 (3): 430-65.
- Djankov, Simeon, and Rita Ramalho. 2009. "Employment Laws in Developing Countries." *Journal of Comparative Economics* 37 (2009) 3-13.
- Djankov, Simeon, Tim Ganser, Caralee McLiesh, Rita Ramalho and Andrei Shleifer. 2010. "The Effect of Corporate Taxes on Investment and Entrepreneurship." *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 2 (3): 31-64.

- Djankov, Simeon. 2016. "The Doing Business Project: How It Started: Correspondence." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 30 (1): 247-48.
- Doblas-Madrid, Antonio, and Raoul Minetti. 2013. "Sharing Information in the Credit Market: Contract-Level evidence from U.S. firms." *Journal of Financial Economics* 109 (1): 198.
- Dolado, Juan, Salvador Ortigueira and Rodolfo Stucchi. 2012. "Does Dual Employment Protection Affect TFP? Evidence from Spanish Manufacturing Firms." CEPR Discussion Paper 8763. Centre for Economic Policy Research, London.
- Dollar, David, and Roberta Gatti. 1999. "Gender Inequality, Income and Growth: Are Good Times Good for Women?" World Bank Policy Research Report on Gender and Development Working Paper Series No 1, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Dreher, A., and M. Gassebner. 2013. "Greasing the Wheels? The Impact of Regulations and Corruption on Firm Entry." *Public Choice* 155(3-4): 413-32.
- Dreher, Axel, and Thomas Herzfeld. 2005. "The Economic Costs of Corruption: A Survey and New Evidence." Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=734184>.
- Duflo, Esther. 2012. "Women Empowerment and Economic Development." *Journal of Economic Literature* 50 (4) 1051-79.
- Dunn, Elizabeth, and J. Gordon Arbuckle. 2001. "Microcredit and Microenterprise Performance: Impact Evidence from Peru." *Small Enterprise Development* 12 (4): 22-33.
- Dutt, Pushan, and Daniel Traca. 2010. "Corruption and Bilateral Trade Flows: Extortion or Evasion?" *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 92 (4): 843-60.
- Duval, Yann. 2006. "Cost and Benefits of Implementing Trade Facilitation Measures under Negotiations at the WTO: An Exploratory Survey." Working Paper 3, Asia-Pacific Research and Training Network on Trade, January.
- Dyck, Alexander, and Luigi Zingales. 2004. "Private Benefits of Control: An International Comparison." *Journal of Finance* 59 (2): 537-600.
- ECB (European Central Bank). 2015. "Survey on the Access to Finance of Enterprises in the Euro Area: October 2014 to March 2015." Frankfurt.: European Central Bank.
- Eisenberg, Melvin. 1993. "An Overview of the Principles of Corporate Governance." *Business Lawyer* 48 (1993): 1271.
- Eisfeldt, Andrea L., and Adriano A. Rampini. 2009. "Leasing, Ability to Repossess, and Debt Capacity." *Review of Financial Studies* 22 (4): 1621-57.
- Elborgh-Woytek, Katrin, Monique Newiak, Kalpana Kochhar, Stefania Fabrizio, Kangni Kpodar, Philippe Wingender, Benedict J. Clements and Gerd Schwartz. 2013. "Women, Work, and the Economy: Macroeconomic Gains from Gender Equity." IMF Staff Discussion Notes 13/10, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Elms, Deborah, and Patric Low. 2013. *Global Value Chains in a Changing World*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Trade Organization.
- Engman, Michael. 2005. "The Economic Impact of Trade Facilitation." OECD Trade Policy Working Paper 21. Paris, France: OECD.
- Esposito, Gianluca, Sergi Lanau and Sebastiaan Pompe. 2014. "Judicial System Reform in Italy: A Key to Growth." IMF Working Paper 14/32, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Esteve-Volart, Berta. 2000. "Sex Discrimination and Growth." IMF Working Paper 00/84, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1127011>.
- _____. 2004. "Gender Discrimination and Growth. Theory and Evidence from India." LSE STICERD Research Paper No. DEDPS42. York University, Department of Economics. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1127011>.
- European Commission, DG Enterprise and Industry. 2014. "Evaluation of SMEs' Access to Public Procurement Markets in the EU: Final Report." European Commission, Brussels. Available at http://www.vergabebrief.de/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/EU_sme_public_procurement_annex.pdf.
- Fernandes, Ana Margarida, Russell Henry Hillberry, and Alejandra Mendoza Alcantara. 2015. "Trade Effects of Customs Reform: Evidence from Albania." Policy Research Working Paper 7210, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Fjeldstad, Odd-Helge. 2009. "The Pursuit of Integrity in Customs: Experiences from Sub-Saharan Africa." Working Paper WP 2009: 8, Chr. Michelsen Institute, Bergen, Norway.
- Freund, Caroline, and Bineswaree Bolaky. 2008. "Trade, Regulations, and Income." *Journal of Development Economics* 87: 309-21.
- Freund, Caroline, and Nadia Rocha. 2011. "What Constrains Africa's Exports?" *The World Bank Economic Review* 25 (3): 361-86.
- Freund, Caroline, Mary Hallward-Driemeier and Bob Rijkers. 2014. "Deal and Delays: Firm-level Evidence on Corruption and Policy Implementation Times." Policy Research Working Paper 6949, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Fritsch, Michael, and Florian Noseleit. 2013. "Investigating the Anatomy of the Employment Effect of New Business Formation." *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 37(2): 349-77.
- Galiani, Sebastian, and Ernesto Schargrodsky. 2009. "Property Rights for the Poor: Effects of Land Titling." Working Paper 7 (revised), Ronald Coase Institute, St. Louis, MO.
- Geginat, Carolin, and Rita Ramalho. 2015. "Electricity Connections and Firm Performance in 183 Countries." Policy Research Working Paper 7460, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Giné, Xavier, and Inessa Love. 2010. "Do Reorganization Costs Matter for Efficiency? Evidence from a Bankruptcy Reform in Colombia." *Journal of Law and Economics* 53 (4): 833-64.
- Gompers, Paul, Joy Ishii and Andrew Metrick. 2003. "Corporate Governance and Equity Prices." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118 (1): 107-55.
- Gonzales, Christian, Sonali Jain-Chandra, Kalpana Kochhar, Monique Newiak. 2015. "Fair Play: More Equal Laws Boost Female Labor Force Participation." IMF Staff Discussion Notes 15/2, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Gonzales, Christian, Sonali Jain-Chandra, Kalpana Kochhar, Monique Newiak, and Tlek Zeinullayev. 2015. "Catalyst

- for Change; Empowering Women and Tackling Income Inequality." IMF Staff Discussion Notes 15/20, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Gordon, Daniel. 2006. "Constructing a Bid Protest Process: Choices Every Procurement Challenge System Must Make." *Public Contract Law Journal* 35(3): 427-55.
- Gordon, Richard. 1996. "Chapter 4: Law of Tax Administration and Procedure." In *Tax Law Design and Drafting*, edited by Victor Thuronyi. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.
- Grainger, Andrew. 2008. "Customs and Trade Facilitation: From Concepts to Implementation." *World Customs Journal* 2 (1): 17-30.
- Grosh, Margaret, Carlo del Ninno, Emil Tesliuc and Azedine Ouerghi. 2008. *For Protection and Promotion: The Design and Implementation of Effective Safety Nets*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Grossman, Sanford and Oliver Hart. 1982. "Corporate Financial Structure and Managerial Incentive." In *The Economics of Information and Uncertainty*, 107-140. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Guatemala, Ministry of Energy and Mines. 2013. "Energy Policy 2013-2027: Energy for Development." Available at <http://www.mem.gob.gt/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Energy-Policy-2013-2027.pdf>.
- Guatemala, Ministry of Energy and Mines. 2016. "Statistics on Electric Subsector." Available at <http://www.mem.gob.gt/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/Subsector-EI%C3%A9ctrico-en-Guatemala.pdf>.
- Guatemala, National Electric Energy Commission. 2015. "Metodología para la Elaboración del Estudio del Valor Agregado—EVAD." June. Available at <http://www.cnee.gob.gt/xhtml/estadistica/tarifas/VAD/MetodologiaEVAD.pdf>.
- Guo, Lixiong, and Ronald W. Masulis. 2013. "Board Structure and Monitoring: New Evidence from CEO Turnovers." Finance Working Paper 351/2013, European Corporate Governance Institute, Brussels.
- Gupta, Sanjeev, Hamid Davoodi and Rosa Alonso-Terme. 2002. "Does Corruption Affect Income Inequality and Poverty?" *Economics of Governance* (3): 23-45.
- Gupta, M., and V. Nagadevara. 2007. "Audit Selection Strategy for Improving Tax Compliance—Application of Data Mining Techniques." In *Foundations of E-government*, edited by A. Agarwal and V. Venkata Ramana. Hyderabad, India: Computer Society of India.
- Gutierrez Ossio, José E. 2001. "Customs Reform and Modernisation Program." Statement draft contributed to the WTO Workshop on Technical Assistance and Capacity Building in Trade Facilitation. Geneva, Switzerland, May 10-11.
- Haidar, Jamal Ibrahim. 2012. "The Impact of Business Regulatory Reforms on Economic Growth." *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies* 26(3): 285-307.
- Haider, Jamal Ibrahim and Takeo Hoshi. 2015. "Implementing Structural Reforms in Abenomics: How to Reduce the Cost of Doing Business in Japan." NBER Working Paper 21507. National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Hall, Robert, and Charles Jones. 1999. "Why Do Some Countries Produce So Much More Output Per Worker Than Others?" *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 114 (1): 83-116.
- Hallward-Driemeier, Mary, and Ousman Gajigo. 2010. "Strengthening Economic Rights and Women's Occupational Choice: The Impact of Reforming Ethiopia's Family Law." Development Economics, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Hallward-Driemeier, Mary, and Tazeen Hasan. 2012. *Empowering Women: Legal Rights and Economic Opportunities in Africa*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Hallward-Driemeier, Mary, and Lant Pritchett. 2015. "How Business Is Done in the Developing World: Deals versus Rules." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 29 (3): 121-40.
- Harrison, Graham and Russell Krelove. 2005. "VAT Refunds: A Review of Country Experience," IMF Working Paper 05/218, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Hasan, Rana, and Karl Jandoc. 2012. "Labor Regulations and the Firm Size Distribution in Indian Manufacturing." Working Paper 1118, School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, New York.
- Hillberry, Russell, and Xiaohui Zhang. 2015. "Policy and Performance in Customs. Evaluating the Trade Facilitation Agreement." World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 7211. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Holderness, Clifford. 2003. "A Survey of Blockholders and Corporate Control." *Economic Policy Review* 9 (1): 51-63.
- Holzmann, Robert, and Milan Vodopivec, eds. 2012. *Reforming Severance Pay*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Houston, Joel F., Ping Lin, Chen Lin and Yue Ma. 2010. "Creditor Rights, Information Sharing, and Bank Risk Taking." *Journal of Financial Economics* 96 (3): 485-512.
- IDB (Inter-American Development Bank). 2013. "Energy Dossier, Guatemala." Washington, DC: IDB.
- ILO (International Labor Organization). 2014. "World Social Protection Report 2014-15: Building Economic Recovery, Inclusive Development and Social Justice." Geneva: ILO.
- _____. 2016. "Women at Work: Trends 2016." Geneva: ILO.
- IMF (International Monetary Fund). 2015a. "Current Challenges in Revenue Mobilization: Improving Tax Compliance." IMF Staff Report. IMF: Washington DC.
- _____. 2015b. "Spain: Selected Issues." IMF Country Report No 15/233. IMF: Washington DC.
- Indonesia, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources. 2015. "Handbook of Energy and Economic Statistics of Indonesia." Available at <http://prokum.esdm.go.id/Publikasi/Handbook%20of%20Energy%20&%20Economic%20Statistics%20of%20Indonesia%20/Buku%20Handbook%202015.pdf>.
- Islam, Roumeen. 2003. "Do More Transparent Governments Govern Better?" Policy Research Working Paper 3077, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Iqbal, Sarah, Asif Islam, Rita Ramalho, Alena Sakhonchik. 2016. "Unequal

- before the Law: Measuring Legal Gender Disparities across the World." Policy Research Working Paper, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Jalilian, Hossein, Colin Kirkpatrick and David Parker. 2006. "The Impact of Regulation on Economic Growth in Developing Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis." *World Development* 35 (1): 87-103.
- Joy, Lois, Nancy Carter, Harvey Wagner, and Sriram Narayanan. 2007. "The Bottom Line: Corporate Performance and Women's Representation on Boards." Catalyst, Inc. Available at <http://www.catalyst.org/knowledge/bottom-line-corporate-performance-and-womens-representation-boards>.
- Kahn, Lawrence. 2010. "Labor Market Policy: A Comparative View on the Costs and Benefits of Labor Market Flexibility." IZA Discussion Paper 5100. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Germany.
- Kallberg, Jarl G., and Gregory F. Udell. 2003. "The Value of Private Sector Business Credit Information Sharing: The U.S. Case." *Journal of Banking and Finance* 27 (3): 449-69.
- Kaplan, David. 2008. "Job Creation and Labor Reform in Latin America." Policy Research Working Paper 4708, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Kaplan, David, Eduardo Piedra and Enrique Seira. 2011. "Entry Regulation and Business Start-ups: Evidence from Mexico." *Journal of Development Economics* 95: 1501-15.
- Kashta, Reida. 2014. "Corruption and Innovation in the Albanian Public Procurement System." *Academicus International Scientific Journal* 10: 212-25.
- Katelouzou, Dionysia and Mathias Siems. 2015. "Disappearing Paradigms in Shareholder Protection: Leximetric Evidence for 30 Countries, 1990-2013." *Journal of Corporate Law Studies* 15: 127-60.
- Kaufmann, Daniel, and Shang-Jin Wei. 2000. "Does 'Grease Money' Speed Up the Wheels of Commerce?" IMF Working Paper 00/64, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Kawaguchi, Daiji, and Tetsushi Murao. 2014. "Labor-Market Institutions and Long-Term Effects of Youth Unemployment."
- Journal of Money Credit and Banking* 46 (S2): 95-116.
- Keen, Michael, and Stephen Smith. 2007. "VAT Fraud and Evasion: What Do We Know, and What Can Be Done?" IMF Working Paper 07/31, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Kessides, Ioannis N. 2012. "Chaos in Power: Pakistan's Electricity Crisis." *Energy Policy* 55: 271-85.
- Khwaja, Munawer Sultan, Rajul Awasthi and Jan Loepnick. 2011. *Risk-Based Tax Audits: Approaches and Country Experiences*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- Kilroy, Austin Francis Louis, Megha Mukim and Stefano Negri. "Competitive Cities for Jobs and Growth: What, Who and How." Working Paper 101546, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Klapper, Leora. 2011. "Saving Viable Businesses." *Public Policy Journal Note* 328, World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- Klapper, Leora, and Inessa Love. 2004. "Corporate Governance, Investor Protection, and Performance in Emerging Markets." *Journal of Corporate Finance* 10 (5): 703-28.
- Klapper, Leora, Luc Laeven and Raghuram Rajan. 2006. "Entry Regulation as a Barrier to Entrepreneurship." *Journal of Financial Economics* 82 (3): 591-629.
- Klapper, Leora, and Inessa Love. 2011. "The Impact of Business Environment Reforms on New Firm Registration." World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5493, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Klasen, Stephan. 1999. "Does Gender Inequality Reduce Growth and Development? Evidence from Cross-Country Regressions." Working Paper 7, Policy Research Report on Gender and Development, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Klerman, Daniel. 2006. "Legal Infrastructure, Judicial Independence, and Economic Development." Law and Economics Working Paper Series, University of Southern California Law School, Los Angeles.
- Kraemer-Eis, Helmut, and Frank Lang. 2012. "The Importance of Leasing for SME Finance." Research and Market Analysis Working Paper 2012/15, European Investment Fund, Luxembourg.
- Kraay, Aart. 2015. "Weak Instruments in Growth Regressions: Implications for Recent Cross-Country Evidence on Inequality and Growth." Policy Research Working Paper 7494. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Kuddo, Arvo, David Robalino and Michael Weber. 2015. *Balancing Regulations to Promote Jobs*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Kugelman, Michael. 2013. "Pakistan's Energy Crisis: From Conundrum to Crisis." The National Bureau of Asian Research, NBR Commentary, April 13. Available at http://www.nbr.org/downloads/pdfs/eta/Kugelman_commentary_03132013.pdf.
- Kugler, Adriana, Juan F. Jimeno and Virginia Hernanz. 2005. "Employment Consequences of Restrictive Permanent Contracts: Evidence from Spanish Labor Market Reforms." University of Houston.
- Kutan, Ali M. 2015. "Finance, Development, and Corporate Governance." *Emerging Economies, Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 51 (S1).
- Lan, Luh Luh, and Umakanth Varottil. 2015. "Shareholder Empowerment in Controlled Companies: The Case of Singapore." In *The Research Handbook of Shareholder Power*, edited by Randall Thomas and Jennifer Hill. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2695702>.
- Lang, Mark, Karl Lins and Mark Maffett. 2012. "Transparency, Liquidity, and Valuation: International Evidence on When Transparency Matters Most." *Journal of Accounting Research* 50 (3): 729-74.
- La Porta, Rafael, and Andrei Shleifer. 2008. "The Unofficial Economy and Economic Development." Tuck School of Business Working Paper 2009-57, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH. Available at Social Science Research Network (SSRN). <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1304760>.
- La Porta, Rafael, Florencio Lopez-de-Silanes, Andrei Shleifer and Robert Vishny. 1996. "Law and Finance." Harvard Institute of Economic Research Working Paper 1768, Harvard University Institute of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.

- _____. 2000. "Investor Protection and Corporate Governance." *Journal of Financial Economics* 58, 3-27.
- Lascelles, David, and Sam Mendelson. 2009. "Microfinance Banana Skins 2009: Confronting Crisis and Change." New York: Center of the Study of Financial Innovation.
- Lawless, Martina. 2013. "Do Complicated Tax Systems Prevent Foreign Direct Investment?" *Economica* 80 (317): 1-22.
- Lee, Linda-Eling, Ric Marshall, Damion Rallis and Matt Moscardi. 2015. "Women on Boards: Global Trends in Gender Diversity on Corporate Boards." MSCI ESG Research, Inc. November.
- Léon de Mariz, Christine, Claude Ménard and Bernard Abeillé. 2014. *Public Procurement Reforms in Africa: Challenges in Institutions and Governance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lewis, Timothy Michael. 2009. "Quantifying the GDP-Construction Relationship." In *Economics for the Modern Built Environment*, edited by Les Ruddock. New York, New York: Taylor and Francis: 34-59.
- Lewis-Faupel, Sean, Yusuf Neggers, Benjamin A. Olken and Rohini Pande. 2014. "Can Electronic Procurement Improve Infrastructure Provision? Evidence from Public Works in India and Indonesia." NBER Working Paper 20344. National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Lilienfeld-Toal, Ulf von, Dilip Mookherjee, and Sujata Visaria. 2012. "The Distributive Impact of Reforms in Credit enforcement: Evidence from Indian Debt Recovery Tribunals." *Econometrica* 80 (2): 497-558.
- Liljeblom, Eva, and Benjamin Maury. 2015. "Shareholder Protection, Ownership, and Dividends: Russian Evidence." *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*.
- Lima, Bruno Faustino, and Antonio Zoratto Sanvicente. 2013. "Quality of Corporate Governance and Cost of Equity in Brazil." *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance* 25 (1): 72-80.
- Lindstädt, Hagen, Michael Wolff, and Kerstin Fehre. 2011. *Frauen in Führungspositionen Auswirkungen auf den Unternehmenserfolg*. Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend, Berlin, Germany.
- Loayza, Norman, Ana Maria Oviedo and Luis Servén. 2005. "The Impact of Regulation on Growth and Informality: Cross-Country Evidence." Policy Research Working Paper 3623. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Lopez-Claros, Augusto. 2015. "Removing Impediments to Sustainable Economic Development: The Case of Corruption." *Journal of International Commerce, Economics and Policy* 6 (1): 1-35.
- Love, Inessa, María Soledad Martínez Pería and Sandeep Singh. 2013. "Collateral Registries for Movable Assets: Does Their Introduction Spur Firms' Access to Bank Finance?" Policy Research Working Paper 6477, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Luoto, Jill, Craig McIntosh and Bruce Wydick. 2007. "Credit Information Systems in Less Developed Countries: A Test with Microfinance in Guatemala." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 55 (2): 313-34.
- Lyman, Timothy, Tony Lythgoe, Margaret Miller, Xavier Reille and Shalini Sankaranarayanan. 2011. "Credit Reporting at the Base of the Pyramid: Key Issues and Success Factors." CGAP Access to Finance Forum No. 1 (September). Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- Macedo, Leonardo C. L., and Flávio Augusto Trevisan Scorz. 2013. "Guichê Único (Single Window) e as negociações da OMC sobre Facilitação do Comércio." In *Organização Mundial do Comércio - Temas Contemporâneos*. Santos, Brazil: Leopoldianum.
- Mahacek, Dubravka, and Zeljko Turkalj. 2015. "Procurement Procedures in the Function of Improving Company Business Conduct." *Business Logistics in Modern Management* 15: 67-78.
- Malhotra, D. K., Raymond Poteau and Joseph Fritz. 2013. "Does Corporate Governance Impact Performance? An Analysis of Dow Thirty Stocks." *International Journal of Business & Economics Perspectives* 8 (1): 62-75.
- Maniego, Buenaventura. 1999. "The Role of Information Technology in Customs Modernisation." In *Simplification of Customs Procedures—Reducing Transaction Costs for Efficiency, Integrity and Trade Facilitation*, edited by Salvatore Schiavo-Campo, 65-72. Asian Development Bank.
- Marimon, Ramon, and Vincenzo Quadrini. 2008. "Competition, Human Capital and Income Inequality with Limited Commitment." *Journal of Economic Theory* 146 (3): 976-1008.
- Martin, John, and Stefano Scarpetta. 2011. "Setting It Right: Employment Protection, Labour Reallocation and Productivity." IZA Policy Paper 27. Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Germany.
- Martincus, Christian Volpe, Jerônimo Carballo and Alejandro Graziano. 2015. "Customs." *Journal of International Economics* 96 (2015): 119-37.
- Martínez Pería, María Soledad and Sandeep Singh. 2014. "The Impact of Credit Information Sharing Reforms on Firm Financing?" World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 7013. World Bank: Washington, DC.
- Martynova, Marina, and Luc Renneboog. 2011. "Evidence on the International Evolution and Convergence of Corporate Governance Regulations." *Journal of Corporate Finance* 17: 1531-57.
- Matsa, David A., and Amalia R. Miller. 2013. "A Female Style in Corporate Leadership? Evidence from Quotas." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 5(3): 136-69.
- Mauro, Paolo. 1995. "Corruption and Growth." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 110(3): 681-712.
- McKernan, Signe-Mary. 2002. "The Impact of Microcredit Programs on Self-Employment Profits: Do Noncredit Program Aspects Matter?" *Review of Economics and Statistics* 84 (1): 93-115.
- McLaughlin, Patrick, and Laura Stanley. 2016. "Regulation and Income Inequality: The Regressive Effects of Entry Regulation." Mercatus Working Paper, George Mason University, Arlington, VA.
- McLean, David, Tianyu Zhang and Mengxin Zhao. 2012. "Why Does the Law Matter? Investor Protection and Its Effects on Investment, Finance, and Growth." *Journal of Finance* 67 (1): 313-50.

- McLinden, Gerard. 2005. "Integrity in Customs." In *Customs Modernization Handbook*, edited by Luc De Wulf and José Sokol. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Meon, Pierre-Guillaume, and Khalid Sekkat. 2003. "Does Corruption Grease or Sand the Wheels of Growth?" *Public Choice* 122 (2005): 69-97.
- Merk, Olaf, Cesar Ducruet, Patrick Dubarle, Elvira Haezendonck, and Michael Dooms. 2011. "The Competitiveness of Global Port-Cities: The Case of the Seine Axis (Le Havre, Rouen, Paris, Caen)- France." OECD Regional Development Working Papers, 2011/07. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Mills, Elizabeth. 2012. "Pakistan's Energy Crisis." Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace.
- Mishra, Asit, Remya Nair and Apurva Vishwanath. 2016. "Two Years of NDA: Reforms, Transparency Aid Ease of Doing Business." *LiveMint*, May 23. Available at <http://www.livemint.com/Politics/XIkeuleENLr9gIJGphosJO/Two-years-of-NDA-Reforms-transparency-aid-ease-of-doing-bu.html>.
- Moïisé, Evdokia, Thomas Orliac and Peter Minor. 2011. "Trade Facilitation Indicators: The Impact on Trade Costs." OECD Trade Policy Working Paper 118. Paris, France: OECD.
- Monteiro, Joana, and Juliano J. Assunção. 2012. "Coming Out of the Shadows? Estimating the Impact of Bureaucracy Simplification and Tax Cut on Formality in Brazilian Microenterprises." *Journal of Development Economics* 99: 105-15.
- Montenegro, Claudio, and Carmen Pagés. 2003. "Who Benefits from Labor Market Regulations?" Policy Research Working Paper 3143. World Bank, Washington DC.
- Mosley, Paul. 2001. "Microfinance and Poverty in Bolivia." *Journal of Development Studies* 37 (4): 101-32.
- Motta, Marialisa, Ana Maria Oviedo and Massimiliano Santini. 2010. "An Open Door for Firms: The Impact of Business Entry Reforms." Viewpoint Series Note 323, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Mukwiri, Jonathan, and Mathias Siems. 2014. "The Financial Crisis: A Reason to Improve Shareholder Protection in the EU?" *Journal of Law and Society* 41 (51): 51-72.
- Munemo, Jonathan. 2014. "Business Start-Up Regulations and The Complementarity Between Foreign and Domestic Investment" *Review of World Economics* 150 (4): 745-61.
- Myrdal, Gunnar. 1968. "Asian Drama: An Enquiry into the Poverty of Nations," vol 2. New York: The Twentieth Century Fund. Reprint in *Political corruption: A handbook*, edited by Arnold J. Heidenheimer, Michael Johnston and Victor T. LeVine. 1989. Oxford, United Kingdom: Transaction Books: 953-61.
- Nayak, Gayatri. 2014. "Government Delays Payments, Forces Small Businesses to Take Additional Loans!" The Economic Times, January 3. http://articles.economictimes.indiatimes.com/2014-01-03/news/45836993_1_fiscal-deficit-target-rating-downgrade-delayed-payments
- Ndonga, Dennis. 2013. "Managing the Risk of Corruption in Customs Through Single Window Systems." *World Customs Journal* 7(2): 23-38.
- Neo, Boon Siong, and Kwong Sin Leong. 1994. "Managing Risks in Information Technology Projects: A Case Study of TradeNet." *Journal of Information Technology Management* 5 (3): 29-45.
- Norbäck, Pehr-Johan, Lars Persson, and Robin Douhan. 2014. "Entrepreneurship Policy and Globalization." *Journal of Development Economics* 110: 22-38.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2006a. "Strengthening Tax Audit Capabilities: General Principles and Approaches." OECD, Center for Tax Policy and Administration, Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2006b. *Methodology for Assessment of National Procurement Systems*. Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2008. "OECD Employment Outlook 2008." Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2009. "Overcoming Border Bottlenecks: The Costs and Benefits of Trade Facilitation." OECD Trade Policy Studies. Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2010. "Chapter 6: Compliance, Enforcement, Appeals." In *Better Regulation in Europe*. Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2011a. "Competitiveness of Port-Cities: The Case of the Seine Axis (Le Havre, Rouen, Paris, Caen)-France." OECD Regional Development Working Papers 2011/07. Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2011b. "Competition and Procurement." In *Competition Committee Key Findings*. Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2012. "Women in Business: Policies to Support Women's Entrepreneurship Development in the MENA Region." Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2013a. "OECD Employment Outlook 2013." Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2013b. "Implementing the OECD Principles for Integrity in Public Procurement: Progress since 2008." OECD Public Governance Reviews. Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2014a. "International VAT/GST Guidelines." Global Forum on VAT. 17-18 April. OECD, Paris. Available at: <http://drtp.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/oecd-international-vat-gst-guidelines.pdf>.
- _____. 2014b. "OECD Employment Outlook 2014." Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2014c. "The 2012 Labor Market Reform in Spain: A Preliminary Assessment." Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2015. "Principles of Corporate Governance." Paris, France: OECD.
- _____. 2016a. "Corruption in Public Procurement." Paris, France: OECD. Available at <http://www.oecd.org/gov/ethics/Corruption-in-Public-Procurement-Brochure.pdf>.
- _____. 2016b. "The Korean Public Service. Innovating for effectiveness." OECD Public Governance Reviews. Paris, France: OECD.
- OECD and the European Commission. 2010. *Better Regulation in Europe: Finland*. Paris, France: OECD.
- OHADA (Organization for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa). 2012. *Uniform Act on Secured Transactions* (adopted on April 17, 1997).
- Okpara, John. 2011. "Corporate Governance in a Developing Economy: Barriers, Issues, and Implications for Firms." *Corporate*

- Governance: *The International Journal of Business in Society* 11 (2): 184-99.
- Ostry, Jonathan David, and Andrew Berg. 2013. "Inequality and Unsustainable Growth: Two Sides of the Same Coin?" *International Research Journal* 8 (4): 77-99.
- Ouedraogo, Alice, Isabel Caruana, Elsa Rodriguez and Susann Tischendorf, Susann. 2012. "It Started in Ghana: Implementing Africa's First Collateral Registry." IFC Smart Lessons Brief. The World Bank, Washington DC.
- Ozkan, Filiz, Omer Ozkan and Murat Gunduz. 2012. "Causal Relationship between Construction Investment Policy and Economic Growth in Turkey." *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 79(2): 362-370.
- Pagano, Marco, and Paolo Volpin. 2006. "Shareholder Protection, Stock Market Development, and Politics." *Journal of the European Economic Association* 4: 315-41.
- Pakistan, Ministry of Finance. 2014. "Economic Survey 2013-14." Available at http://finance.gov.pk/survey_1314.html/.
- Parayno, Guillermo. 2004. "The Philippines." In *Customs Modernization Initiatives: Case Studies*, edited by Luc De Wulf and José Sokol. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Parayno, Guillermo. 2013. "Combatting Corruption in the Philippine Customs Service." In *Corruption and Anti-Corruption*, edited by Peter Larmour and Nick Wolanin. Canberra, Australia: ANU Press.
- Parisi, Nicoletta, and Dino Rinoldi. 2004. "Recent Evolutions in the Fight against Corruption in International Trade Law." *International Business Law* (2004): 345.
- Parker, David, and Colin Kirkpatrick. 2012. "Measuring Regulatory Performance—The Economic Impact of Regulatory Policy: A Literature Review of Quantitative Evidence." OECD Expert Paper 3. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Portugal-Perez, Alberto, and John S. Wilson. 2011. "Export Performance and Trade Facilitation Reform: Hard and Soft Infrastructure." *World Development* 40 (7): 1295-1307.
- Posner, Richard. 1983. *The Economics of Justice*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- PwC. 2015. "Power in Indonesia, Investment and Taxation Guide." August, Third Edition. Available at [https://www.pwc.com/id/en/energy-utilities-mining/assets/Power%20Guide%202015%20\(final-octL\).pdf](https://www.pwc.com/id/en/energy-utilities-mining/assets/Power%20Guide%202015%20(final-octL).pdf)
- Reisberg, Arad. 2013. "Shareholder Value after the Financial Crisis: A Dawn of a New Era?" *International Corporate Rescue* 10 (143).
- Revenga, A., and S. Shetty. 2012. "Empowering Women is Smart Economics," *Finance and Development* 49 (1): 40-43.
- Robalino, David, Milan Vodopivec, and András Bodor. 2009. "Savings for Unemployment in Good or Bad Times: Options for Developing Countries." Social Protection Discussion Paper 417. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Roberts, Matthew. 2014. "FAQ: Switzerland Excessive Remuneration Ordinance." Institutional Shareholder Services. Available at <http://www.issgovernance.com/>.
- Rodrick, Dani. 1998. "Where Did All the Growth Go? External Shocks, Social Conflict, and Growth Collapses." *Journal of Economic Growth* 4: 358-412.
- Rose-Ackerman, Susan. 1997. "The Political Economy of Corruption." In *Corruption and the Global Economy*, edited by K.A. Elliot. Washington DC.: Institute for International Economics.
- Rupeika-Apoga, Ramona. 2014. "Access to Finance: Baltic Financial Markets." *Procedia Economics and Finance* 9: 181-92.
- Russian Federation. 2012. Executive Order on Long-Term State Economic Policy. Office of the President, Moscow. Available at <http://en.kremlin.ru/acts/news/15232>.
- Rwanda, Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning. 2000. Rwanda Vision 2020. Available at <http://www.gesci.org/>.
- Sabbagh, Karim, Roman Friedrich, Bahjat El-Darwiche, Miling Singh, and Alex Koster. 2013. "Digitalization for Economic Growth and Job Creation: Regional and Industry Perspectives." The Global Technology Report: 36.
- Sakhonchik, Alena. 2016. "Remnants of the Soviet Past: Restrictions on Women's Employment in the Commonwealth of Independent States." *Voces: Perspectives on Development* (blog), April 28. World Bank, Washington, DC. Available at <http://blogs.worldbank.org/voices/remnants-soviet-past-restrictions-womens-employment-commonwealth-independent-states>.
- Sánchez-Rodríguez, C., A.R. Martínez-Lorente and J.J. García-Clavel. 2003. "Benchmarking in the Purchasing Function and its Impact on Purchasing and Business Performance." *Benchmarking: An International Journal* 10(5): 457-71.
- Sá Porto, Paulo C., Cristiano Morini and Otaviano Canuto. 2015. "The Impacts of Trade Facilitation Measures on International Trade Flows." Policy Research Working Paper 7367, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Shleifer, Andrei, and Robert Vishny. 1986. "Large Shareholders and Corporate Control." *Journal of Political Economy* 94: 461-88.
- Schneider, Friedrich. 2005. "The Informal Sector in 145 Countries." Department of Economics, University Linz.
- Schuler, Sidney Ruth, and Syed M. Hashemi. 1994. "Credit Programs, Women's Empowerment, and Contraceptive Use in Rural Bangladesh." *Studies in Family Planning* 25 (2): 65-76.
- Sharma, Siddharth. 2009. "Entry Regulation, Labor Laws and Informality." Working Paper 48927. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Shingal, Anirudh. 2015. "Internationalization of Government Procurement Regulation: The Case of India." European University Institute, Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies Research Paper 86, San Domenico di Fiesole, Italy.
- Steele, Fiona, Sajeda Amin and Ruchira T. Naved. 2001. "Savings/Credit Group Formation and Change in Contraception." *Demography* 38 (2): 267-82.
- Stein, Peer, Oya Pinar Ardic and Martin Hommes. 2013. *Closing the Credit Gap for Formal and Informal Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises*. Washington, DC: International Finance Corporation.
- Stein, Peer, Tony Goland and Robert Schiff. 2010. "Two Trillion and Counting: Assessing the Credit Gap for Micro, Small, and Medium-Size Enterprises in the Developing World."

- McKinsey & Company and International Finance Corporation, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Subbarao, Kalanidhi, Carlo del Ninno, Colin Andrews, and Claudia Rodríguez-Alas. 2013. "Public Works as a Safety Net: Design, Evidence, and Implementation." Working Paper 73824. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Swiss Proxy Advisor. 2014. "Year one after the Ordinance against Excessive Compensation, Insights and Challenges, A Survey by SWIPRA." Zurich: Swiss Proxy Advisor.
- Sydney Morning Herald. 2015. "Sydney's Patrick Terminal Goes Automated, with Fewer Staff but Dancing Robots." June 18. Available at <http://www.smh.com.au/nsw/sydney-patrick-terminal-goes-automated-with-fewer-staff-but-dancing-robots-20150617-ghqc24.html>.
- Tabarcea, Andrei. 2014. "Quantifying the Moral Development of Public Procurement Experts." The Yearbook of the "Gh. Zhane" Institute of Economic and Social Researches 23 (1): 19-26.
- Tajti, Tibor. 2013. "Post-1990 Secured Transactions Law Reforms in Central and Eastern Europe." *Szegedi Közjegyző Közlöny* 2 (3): 14-21; 2 (4): 18-26.
- Tharakan, Pradeep. 2015. "Summary of Indonesia's Energy Sector Assessment." Papers On Indonesia, Asian Development Bank, Jakarta.
- Thuronyi, Victor. 2003. "How Can an Excessive Volume of Tax Disputes Be Dealt With?" Legal Department, International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC.
- Transparency International. 2014. "Curbing Corruption in Public Procurement." Berlin, Germany. Available at http://www.acec.ca/source/2014/november/pdf/2014_AntiCorruption_PublicProcurement_Guide_EN.pdf
- Tsen, Jonathan Koh Tat. 2011. "Ten Years of Single Window Implementation: Lessons Learned for the Future." Global Trade Facilitation Conference on Single Window and Supply Chains in the Next Decade. Geneva, Switzerland, December 12-13.
- Turner, Michael A., Alyssa S. Lee, Ann Schnare, Robin Varghese and Patrick D. Walker. 2006. *Give Credit Where Credit Is Due*. Washington, DC: Political and Economics Research Council and The Brookings Institution Urban Markets Initiative.
- UN/CEFACT (United Nations Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business). 2005. *Recommendations and Guidelines on Establishing a Single Window*. New York: United Nations.
- UNCITRAL (United Nations Commission on International Trade Law). 1994. *Model Law on the Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services*. New York: United Nations.
- _____. 2004. *Legislative Guide on Insolvency Law*. New York: United Nations.
- _____. 2010. *Legislative Guide on Secured Transactions*. New York: United Nations.
- _____. 2011. *Model Law on Public Procurement*. New York: United Nations.
- UNCTAD (United Nations Conference for Trade and Development). "The ASYCUDA Programme." Available at <http://www.asycuda.org/PDF%20DOCS%5Cprogramme.pdf>.
- UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe). 2005. "Case Studies on Implementing a Single Window." Geneva, Switzerland. Available at http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/cefact/single_window/draft_160905.pdf.
- United Kingdom, Bank of England. 2015. "Report on Enhancing the Use of Commercial Credit Data by Trade Creditors." December. London, England.
- USAID (United States Agency for International Development). 2016. "Pakistan Energy Factsheet 2016". Available at <https://www.usaid.gov/sites/default/files/Factsheet-Energy-June-2016.pdf>
- _____. 2012. "Asean Single Window-Potential Impact Survey." Washington, DC: United States Agency for International Development.
- U.S.-Canada Power System Outage Task Force. 2004. *Final Report on the August 14, 2003 Blackout in the United States and Canada: Causes and Recommendations*. Available online from <http://www.ferc.gov/industries/electric/indus-act/reliability/blackout/ch1-3.pdf>.
- Uyarra, Elvira, Jakob Edler, Javier Garcia-Estevez, Luke Georghiou and Jillian Yeow. 2014. "Barriers to Innovation through Public Procurement: A Supplier Perspective." *Technovation* 34 (10): 631-45.
- Van Baalen, Peter, Rob Zuidwijk, and Jo van Nunen. 2008. "Port Inter-Organizational Information Systems: Capabilities to Service Global Supply Chains." *Foundations and Trends in Technology, Information and Operations Management*, 2 (2-3): 81-241.
- Van Dijk, H., M.L. van Engen, and D. van Knippenberg. 2012. "Defying Conventional Wisdom: A Meta-Analytical Examination of the Differences between Demographic and Job-related Diversity Relationships with Performance." *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* (119) 38-53. doi:10.1016/j.obhp.2012.06.003.
- Venkateshwaran, Sai. 2013. *Companies Act 2013 New Rules of the Game*. Mumbai: KPMG.
- Visaria, Sujata. 2009. "Legal Reform and Loan Repayment: The Microeconomic Impact of Debt Recovery Tribunals in India." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 1 (3): 59-81.
- Vodopivec, Milan. 2013. "Introducing Unemployment Insurance to Developing Countries." *IZA Journal of Labor Policy* 2:1.
- Wilson, John, Catherine Mann and Tsunehiro Otsuki. 2003. "Trade Facilitation and Economic Development: A New Approach to Quantifying the Impact." *World Bank Economic Review* 17 (3): 367-89.
- World Bank. 2010. *India's Employment Challenge: Creating Jobs, Helping Workers*. Oxford University Press for the World Bank.
- _____. 2011. "World Development Report 2012: Gender Equality and Development." Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2012a. "World Development Report 2013: Jobs." Washington DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2012b. "Fighting Corruption in Public Services: Chronicling Georgia's Reforms." Washington DC: World Bank.

- _____. 2012. *Financial Inclusion Strategies Reference Framework*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2013a. *Doing Business 2014*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2013b. "World Development Report 2014: Risk and Opportunity: Managing Risk for Development" Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2014a. *Readiness for Investment in Sustainable Energy: A Tool for Policy Makers*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2014b. *Doing Business 2015*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2015a. *Women, Business and the Law 2016: Getting to Equal*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2015b. *Doing Business in Poland 2015*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2015c. *Doing Business 2016*. Washington DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2015d. *The State of Social Safety Nets 2015*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2016a. *Poverty and Shared Prosperity 2016: Taking on Inequality*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2016b. *Doing Business 2016: Historical Reform Count DB05-DB16*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank Group. 1996. *Leasing in Emerging Markets. Lessons of Experience Series*. Washington DC: International Finance Corporation.
- _____. 2009. *Leasing in Development: Guidelines for Emerging Economies*. Washington, DC: International Finance Corporation.
- _____. 2011. *Strengthening Access to Finance for Women-Owned SMEs in Developing Countries*. Washington, DC: International Finance Corporation.
- _____. 2013. *IFC Jobs Study: Assessing Private Sector Contributions to Job Creation and Poverty Reduction*. Washington, DC: International Finance Corporation.
- _____. 2014. *The World Bank Group and Public Procurement-An Independent Evaluation*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2015a. *Small beginnings for great opportunities: Lessons learned from 20 years of microfinance projects in IFC*. IFC Smart Lessons Book. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2015b. *World Development Indicators 2016, Digital Dividends*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- _____. 2016. "IFC Works to Improve Hydropower Sector in Pakistan." Press Release, April 18.
- World Customs Organization. 1998. "The Arusha Declaration. Declaration of the Customs Co-operation Council Concerning Good Governance and Integrity in Customs."
- _____. 2015. "World Customs Organization Declares 2016 to be the Year of Digital Customs." Press release, November.
- World Economic Forum. 2016. *Shaping the Future of Construction-A Landscape in Transformation: An Introduction*. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Wrigley, Clive, Rene Wagenaar, and Roger Clarke. 1994. "Electronic Data Interchange in International Trade: Frameworks for the Strategic Analysis of Ocean Port Communities." *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* 3(3): 211-234.
- WTO (World Trade Organization). 1994. *Agreement on Government Procurement*. Geneva: WTO.
- _____. 1998a. *WTO: A Training Package: What Is Trade Facilitation*. Geneva: WTO.
- _____. 1998. "WTO Trade Facilitation Symposium." Report by the Secretariat. March 9-10. Geneva: WTO.
- _____. 2013. *Bali Agreement on Trade Facilitation*. Geneva: WTO.
- _____. 2013. "Global Value Chains in a Changing World." Geneva: WTO.



Data Notes

The indicators presented and analyzed in *Doing Business* measure business regulation and the protection of property rights—and their effect on businesses, especially small and medium-size domestic firms. First, the indicators document the complexity of regulation, such as the number of procedures to start a business or to register a transfer of commercial property. Second, they gauge the time and cost to achieve a regulatory goal or comply with regulation, such as the time and cost to enforce a contract, go through bankruptcy or trade across borders. Third, they measure the extent of legal protections of property, for example, the protections of minority investors against looting by company directors or the range of assets that can be used as collateral according to secured transactions laws. Fourth, a set of indicators documents the tax burden on businesses. Finally, a set of data covers different aspects of employment regulation. The 11 sets of indicators measured in *Doing Business* were added over time, and the sample of economies and cities expanded (table 12.1).

METHODOLOGY

The *Doing Business* data are collected in a standardized way. To start, the *Doing Business* team, with academic advisers, designs a questionnaire. The questionnaire uses a simple business case to ensure comparability across economies and over time—with assumptions about the legal form of the business, its size, its location and the nature of its operations.

Questionnaires are administered to more than 12,500 local experts, including lawyers, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on legal and regulatory requirements (table 12.2). These experts have several rounds of interaction with the *Doing Business* team, involving conference calls, written correspondence and visits by the team. For *Doing Business 2017* team members visited 34 economies to verify data and recruit respondents. The data from questionnaires are subjected to numerous rounds of verification, leading to revisions or expansions of the information collected.

The *Doing Business* methodology offers several advantages. It is transparent, using factual information about what laws and regulations say and allowing multiple interactions with local respondents to clarify potential misinterpretations of questions. Having representative samples of respondents is not an issue; *Doing Business* is not a statistical survey, and the texts of the relevant laws and regulations are collected and answers checked for accuracy. The methodology is inexpensive and easily replicable, so data can be collected in a large sample of economies. Because standard assumptions are used in the data collection, comparisons and benchmarks are valid across economies. Finally, the data not only highlight the extent of specific regulatory obstacles to business but also identify their source and point to what might be reformed.

TABLE 12.1 Topics and economies covered by each *Doing Business* report

Topic	DB 2004	DB 2005	DB 2006	DB 2007	DB 2008	DB 2009	DB 2010	DB 2011	DB 2012	DB 2013	DB 2014	DB 2015	DB 2016	DB 2017
Getting electricity														
Dealing with construction permits														
Trading across borders														
Paying taxes														
Protecting minority investors														
Registering property														
Getting credit														
Resolving insolvency														
Enforcing contracts														
Labor market regulation														
Starting a business														
Number of economies	133	145	155	175	178	181	183	183	183	185	189	189	189	190

Note: Data for the economies added to the sample each year are back-calculated to the previous year. The exceptions are Kosovo and Montenegro, which were added to the sample after they became members of the World Bank Group. Eleven cities (though no additional economies) were added to the sample starting in *Doing Business* 2015. The data for all sets of indicators in *Doing Business* 2017 are for June 2016.¹

LIMITS TO WHAT IS MEASURED

The *Doing Business* methodology has five limitations that should be considered when interpreting the data. First, for most economies the collected data refer to businesses in the largest business city (which in some economies differs from the capital) and may not

be representative of regulation in other parts of the economy. (The exceptions are 11 economies with a population of more than 100 million as of 2013, where *Doing Business* now also collects data for the second largest business city.)² To address this limitation, subnational *Doing Business* indicators were created (box 12.1). Second, the data often focus on a specific business form—generally a limited liability company (or its legal

equivalent) of a specified size—and may not be representative of the regulation on other businesses (for example, sole proprietorships). Third, transactions described in a standardized case scenario refer to a specific set of issues and may not represent the full set of issues that a business encounters. Fourth, the measures of time involve an element of judgment by the expert respondents. When sources indicate different estimates, the time indicators reported in *Doing Business* represent the median values of several responses given under the assumptions of the standardized case.

Finally, the methodology assumes that a business has full information on what is required and does not waste time when completing procedures. In practice, completing a procedure may take longer if the business lacks information or is unable to follow up promptly. Alternatively, the business may choose to disregard some burdensome procedures. For both reasons the time delays reported in *Doing Business* 2017 would differ from the recollection of entrepreneurs reported in the World Bank Enterprise Surveys or other firm-level surveys.

TABLE 12.2 How many experts does *Doing Business* consult?

Indicator set	Respondents	Economies with given number of respondents (%)		
		1–2	3–5	5+
Starting a business	2,120	7	23	70
Dealing with construction permits	1,368	15	38	47
Getting electricity	1,154	18	39	43
Registering property	1,363	18	32	50
Getting credit	1,815	4	25	71
Protecting minority investors	1,305	14	41	45
Paying taxes	1,467	11	34	55
Enforcing contracts	1,600	17	36	47
Trading across borders	1,063	22	45	33
Resolving insolvency	1,196	23	39	38
Labor market regulation	1,293	17	40	43
Total	15,744	15	36	49

Economy characteristics

Gross national income per capita

Doing Business 2017 reports 2015 income per capita as published in the World Bank's *World Development Indicators 2016*. Income is calculated using the Atlas method (in current U.S. dollars). For cost indicators expressed as a percentage of income per capita, 2015 gross national income (GNI) per capita in current U.S. dollars is used as the denominator. GNI data based on the Atlas method were not available for Argentina; Brunei Darussalam; the Comoros; Djibouti; Eritrea; The Gambia; the Islamic Republic of Iran; Lesotho; Malta; the Marshall Islands; Mauritania; the Federated States of Micronesia; Myanmar; Papua New Guinea; Puerto Rico (territory of the United States); San Marino; São Tomé and Príncipe; Somalia; the Syrian Arab Republic; Taiwan, China; Tonga; Vanuatu; República Bolivariana de Venezuela; West Bank and Gaza; the Republic of Yemen. In these cases GDP or GNP per capita data and growth rates from other sources, such as the International Monetary Fund's *World Economic Outlook* database and the Economist Intelligence Unit, were used.

Region and income group

Doing Business uses the World Bank regional and income group classifications, available at <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519>. Regional averages presented in figures and tables in the *Doing Business* report include economies from all income groups (low, lower middle, upper middle and high income), though high-income OECD economies are assigned the "regional" classification *OECD high income*.

Population

Doing Business 2017 reports midyear 2015 population statistics as published in the World Bank's *World Development Indicators 2016*.

BOX 12.1 Subnational *Doing Business* indicators

Subnational *Doing Business* studies point to differences in business regulations and their implementation—as well as in the pace of regulatory reform—across cities in the same economy or region. For several economies subnational studies are now periodically updated to measure change over time or expand geographic coverage to additional cities.

This year subnational studies were completed in Kenya, Mexico, and the United Arab Emirates. In addition, ongoing studies are updating the data for locations in Colombia and expanding the geographic coverage to cities in Afghanistan, Kazakhstan as well as three European Union member states—Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania. And for the first time, the Mexico subnational study—now in its sixth round of benchmarking—expanded on the *Doing Business* methodology to examine in greater depth the process of connecting a small business to the water and sewerage networks.

CHANGES IN WHAT IS MEASURED

Doing Business 2017 has three major innovations. First it expands the paying taxes indicator set to also cover postfiling processes. Paying taxes is the final indicator set to be changed as part of the methodology update initiated in *Doing Business 2015*. Second, three indicator sets (starting a business, registering property and enforcing contracts) were expanded to cover a gender dimension, in addition to labor markets regulation which was expanded last year. Starting a business was expanded to also measure the process of starting a business when all shareholders are women. Registering property now

also measures equality in ownership rights to property. And enforcing contracts was expanded to measure equality in evidentiary weight for men and women.

Despite the changes in methodology introduced this year, the data under the old and new methodologies are highly correlated. Comparing the ease of doing business rankings as calculated using the *Doing Business 2016* data and methodology with the rankings as calculated using the *Doing Business 2016* data but the *Doing Business 2017* methodology shows a correlation very close to 1 (table 12.3). In previous years the correlations between same-year data under the methodology for that year and the methodology for the subsequent year were even stronger.

DATA CHALLENGES AND REVISIONS

Most laws and regulations underlying the *Doing Business* data are available on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. All the sample questionnaires and the details underlying the indicators are also published on the website. Questions on the methodology and challenges to data can be submitted through email at rru@worldbank.org.

Doing Business publishes 24,120 indicators (120 indicators per economy) each year. To create these indicators, the team measures more than 115,000 data points, each of which is made available

TABLE 12.3 Correlation between rankings under old and new methodologies after each set of changes in methodology

	DB2017	DB2016	DB2015	DB2014	DB2013	DB2012	DB2011	DB2010
DB2016	0.999							
DB2015		0.974						
DB2014			0.980					
DB2013				0.996				
DB2012					0.995			
DB2011						0.987		
DB2010							0.989	
DB2009								0.998

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The correlation in each case is based on data for the same year but methodologies for consecutive years (for the same year as for the data and for the subsequent year). 0.999 refers to the correlation coefficient between the methodology of *Doing Business* 2016 and the methodology of *Doing Business* 2017.

on the *Doing Business* website. Historical data for each indicator and economy are available on the website, beginning with the first year the indicator or economy was included in the report. To provide a comparable time series for research, the data set is back-calculated to adjust for changes in methodology and any revisions in data due to corrections. The website also makes available all original data sets used for background papers. The correction rate between *Doing Business* 2016 and *Doing Business* 2017 is 7.1%.³

Governments submit queries on the data and provide new information to *Doing Business*. During the *Doing Business* 2017 production cycle the team received 110 such queries from governments. In addition, the team held multiple video conferences with government representatives in 46 economies and in-person meetings with government representatives in 34 economies.

STARTING A BUSINESS

Doing Business records all procedures officially required, or commonly done in practice, for an entrepreneur to start up and formally operate an industrial or commercial business, as well as the time and cost to complete these procedures and the paid-in minimum capital requirement

(figure 12.1). These procedures include the processes entrepreneurs undergo when obtaining all necessary approvals, licenses, permits and completing any required notifications, verifications or inscriptions for the company and employees with relevant authorities. The ranking of economies on the ease of starting a business is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for starting a business. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 12.2). The distance to frontier score shows the distance of an economy to the “frontier,” which is derived from the most efficient practice or highest score achieved on each indicator.

Two types of local liability companies are considered under the starting a business methodology. They are identical in all aspects, except that one company is owned by five married women and other by five married men. The distance to frontier score for each indicator is the average of the scores obtained for each of the component indicators for both of these standardized companies.

After a study of laws, regulations and publicly available information on business entry, a detailed list of procedures is developed, along with the time and cost to comply with each procedure under normal circumstances and the paid-in minimum capital requirement. Subsequently, local incorporation lawyers, notaries and government officials complete and verify the data.

Information is also collected on the sequence in which procedures are to be completed and whether procedures may be carried out simultaneously. It is assumed that any required information is readily available and that the entrepreneur will pay no bribes. If answers by local experts differ, inquiries continue until the data are reconciled.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the businesses and the procedures are used.

FIGURE 12.1 What are the time, cost, paid-in minimum capital and number of procedures to get a local limited liability company up and running?





FIGURE 12.2 Starting a business: getting a local limited liability company up and running



Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent). If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the economy, the limited liability form most common among domestic firms is chosen. Information on the most common form is obtained from incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1 at the end of the data notes).
- Is 100% domestically owned and has five owners, none of whom is a legal entity.
- Has start-up capital of 10 times income per capita.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities, such as the production or sale to the public of products or services. The business does not perform foreign trade activities and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco. It is not using heavily polluting production processes.

- Leases the commercial plant or offices and is not a proprietor of real estate.
- The amount of the annual lease for the office space is equivalent to 1 times income per capita.
- The size of the entire office space is approximately 929 square meters (10,000 square feet).
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any special benefits.
- Has at least 10 and up to 50 employees one month after the commencement of operations, all of them domestic nationals.
- Has a turnover of at least 100 times income per capita.
- Has a company deed 10 pages long.

The owners:

- Have reached the legal age of majority and are capable of making decisions as an adult. If there is no legal age of majority, they are assumed to be 30 years old.
- Are sane, competent, in good health and have no criminal record.
- Are married, the marriage is monogamous and registered with the authorities.
- Where the answer differs according to the legal system applicable to the woman or man in question (as may be the case in economies where there is legal plurality), the answer used will be the one that applies to the majority of the population.

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company founders with external parties (for example, government agencies, lawyers, auditors or notaries) or spouses (if legally required). Interactions between company founders or company officers and employees are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed in the same building but in different offices or at different counters are counted as separate procedures. If founders have to visit the same office several times for different sequential procedures, each is counted separately. The founders are assumed to complete all procedures themselves, without

middlemen, facilitators, accountants or lawyers, unless the use of such a third party is mandated by law or solicited by the majority of entrepreneurs. If the services of professionals are required, procedures conducted by such professionals on behalf of the company are counted as separate procedures. Each electronic procedure is counted as a separate procedure. Approval from spouses to own a business or leave the home are considered procedures if required by law or if by failing to do so he or she will suffer consequences under the law, such as the loss of right to financial maintenance. Documents or permission required by only one gender for company registration and operation, opening a bank account or obtaining a national identification card are considered additional procedures. Only procedures that are required for one spouse but not the other are counted.

Both pre- and post-incorporation procedures that are officially required or commonly done in practice for an entrepreneur to formally operate a business are recorded (table 12.4). Any interaction with an external party within three months of registration will be considered a procedure, except value added tax or goods and services tax registration which will be counted whenever the assumed turnover exceeds the determined threshold.

Procedures required for official correspondence or transactions with public agencies are also included. For example, if a company seal or stamp is required on official documents, such as tax declarations, obtaining the seal or stamp is counted. Similarly, if a company must open a bank account in order to complete any subsequent procedure—such as registering for value added tax or showing proof of minimum capital deposit—this transaction is included as a procedure. Shortcuts are counted only if they fulfill four criteria: they are legal, they are available to the general public, they are used by the majority of companies, and avoiding them causes delays.

Only procedures required of all businesses are covered. Industry-specific procedures are excluded. For example, procedures to comply with environmental regulations are included only when they apply to all businesses conducting general commercial or industrial activities. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect to electricity, water, gas and waste disposal services are not included in the starting a business indicators.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that incorporation lawyers or notaries

indicate is necessary in practice to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up with government agencies and no unofficial payments. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day, except for procedures that can be fully completed online, for which the time required is recorded as half a day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days), again with the exception of procedures that can be fully completed online. A registration process is considered completed once the company has received the final incorporation document or can officially commence business operations. If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost, the fastest procedure is chosen if that option is more beneficial to the economy's ranking. When obtaining spouse's approval, it is assumed that permission is granted at no additional cost unless the permission needs to be notarized. It is assumed that the entrepreneur does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the entrepreneur spends on gathering information is ignored. It is assumed that the entrepreneur is aware of all entry requirements and their sequence from the beginning but has had no prior contact with any of the officials involved.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. It includes all official fees and fees for legal or professional services if such services are required by law or commonly used in practice. Fees for purchasing and legalizing company books are included if these transactions are required by law. Although value added tax registration can be counted as a separate procedure, value added tax is not part of the incorporation cost. The company law, the commercial code and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for calculating costs. In the absence of fee schedules, a government officer's estimate is taken as an official

source. In the absence of a government officer's estimate, estimates by incorporation lawyers are used. If several incorporation lawyers provide different estimates, the median reported value is applied. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

Paid-in minimum capital

The paid-in minimum capital requirement reflects the amount that the entrepreneur needs to deposit in a bank or with a notary before registration or up to three months after incorporation and is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. The amount is typically specified in the commercial code or the company law. Many economies require minimum capital but allow businesses to pay only a part of it before registration, with the rest to be paid after the first year of operation. In Turkey in June 2015, for example, the minimum capital requirement was 10,000 Turkish liras, of which one-fourth needed to be paid before registration. The paid-in minimum capital recorded for Turkey is therefore 2,500 Turkish liras, or 10.2% of income per capita.

REFORMS

The starting a business indicator set tracks changes related to the ease of incorporating and formally operating a limited liability company every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in the 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The starting a business indicator set uses one criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of two or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details on the relative

TABLE 12.4 What do the starting a business indicators measure?

Procedures to legally start and formally operate a company (number)
Preregistration (for example, name verification or reservation, notarization)
Registration in the economy's largest business city ^a
Postregistration (for example, social security registration, company seal)
Obtaining approval from spouse to start a business, to leave the home to register the company or open a bank account.
Obtaining any gender specific document for company registration and operation, national identification card or opening a bank account.
Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)
Does not include time spent gathering information
Each procedure starts on a separate day (two procedures cannot start on the same day)—though procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule
Registration process considered completed once final incorporation document is received or company can officially start operating
No prior contact with officials takes place
Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)
Official costs only, no bribes
No professional fees unless services required by law or commonly used in practice
Paid-in minimum capital (% of income per capita)
Funds deposited in a bank or with a notary before registration (or up to three months after incorporation)

a. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

gap see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example if the implementation of a new one-stop shop for company registration reduces time and procedures in a way that the overall relative gap decreases by two percentage points or more, the change is classified as a reform. On the contrary, minor fee updates or other small changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than two percentage points on the relative gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected in the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

The data details on starting a business can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. This methodology was developed by Djankov and others (2002) and is adopted here with minor changes.

DEALING WITH CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

Doing Business records all procedures required for a business in the construction industry to build a warehouse, along with the time and cost to complete each procedure. In addition, *Doing Business* measures the building quality control index, evaluating the quality of building regulations, the strength of quality control and safety mechanisms, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certification requirements. Information is collected through a questionnaire administered to experts in construction licensing, including architects, civil engineers, construction lawyers, construction firms, utility service providers, and public officials who deal with building regulations, including approvals, permit issuance, and inspections.

The ranking of economies on the ease of dealing with construction permits is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for dealing with construction permits. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 12.3).

EFFICIENCY OF CONSTRUCTION PERMITTING

Doing Business divides the process of building a warehouse into distinct procedures in the questionnaire and solicits data for calculating the time and cost to complete each procedure (figure 12.4). These procedures include, but are not limited to:


- Obtaining and submitting all relevant project-specific documents (for example, building plans, site maps and certificates of urbanism) to the authorities.
- Hiring external third-party supervisors, consultants, engineers or inspectors (if necessary).
- Obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates.
- Submitting all required notifications.
- Requesting and receiving all necessary inspections (unless completed by a hired private, third-party inspector).

Doing Business also records procedures for obtaining connections for water and sewerage. Procedures necessary to register the warehouse so that it can be used as collateral or transferred to another entity are also counted.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the construction company, the warehouse project and the utility connections are used.

FIGURE 12.3 Dealing with construction permits: efficiency and quality of building regulation

Rankings are based on distance to frontier scores for four indicators




Assumptions about the construction company

The construction company (BuildCo):

- Is a limited liability company (or its legal equivalent);
- Operates in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1);
- Is 100% domestically and privately owned;
- Has five owners, none of whom is a legal entity;

FIGURE 12.4 What are the time, cost and number of procedures to comply with formalities to build a warehouse?



- Is fully licensed and insured to carry out construction projects, such as building warehouses;
- Has 60 builders and other employees, all of them nationals with the technical expertise and professional experience necessary to obtain construction permits and approvals;
- Has a licensed architect and a licensed engineer, both registered with the local association of architects or engineers. BuildCo is not assumed to have any other employees who are technical or licensed specialists, such as geological or topographical experts;
- Has paid all taxes and taken out all necessary insurance applicable to its general business activity (for example, accidental insurance for construction workers and third-person liability);
- Owns the land on which the warehouse will be built and will sell the warehouse upon its completion.

Assumptions about the warehouse

The warehouse:

- Will be used for general storage activities, such as storage of books or stationery. The warehouse will not be used for any goods requiring special conditions, such as food, chemicals, or pharmaceuticals;
- Will have two stories, both above ground, with a total constructed area of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). Each floor will be 3 meters (9 feet, 10 inches) high;
- Will have road access and be located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city (that is, on the fringes of the city but still within its official limits). For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city;
- Will not be located in a special economic or industrial zone;
- Will be located on a land plot of approximately 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) that is 100% owned by BuildCo and is accurately

- registered in the cadastre and land registry;
- Is valued at 50 times income per capita;
- Will be a new construction (with no previous construction on the land), with no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves, or historical monuments of any kind on the plot;
- Will have complete architectural and technical plans prepared by a licensed architect. If preparation of the plans requires such steps as obtaining further documentation or getting prior approvals from external agencies, these are counted as procedures;
- Will include all technical equipment required to be fully operational;
- Will take 30 weeks to construct (excluding all delays due to administrative and regulatory requirements);

Assumptions about the utility connections

The water and sewerage connections:

- Will be 150 meters (492 feet) from the existing water source and sewer tap. If there is no water delivery infrastructure in the economy, a borehole will be dug. If there is no sewerage infrastructure, a septic tank in the smallest size available will be installed or built;
- Will not require water for fire protection reasons; a fire extinguishing system (dry system) will be used instead. If a wet fire protection system is required by law, it is assumed that the water demand specified below also covers the water needed for fire protection;
- Will have an average water use of 662 liters (175 gallons) a day and an average wastewater flow of 568 liters (150 gallons) a day. Will have a peak water use of 1,325 liters (350 gallons) a day and a peak wastewater flow of 1,136 liters (300 gallons) a day;
- Will have a constant level of water demand and wastewater flow throughout the year;
- Will be 1 inch in diameter for the water connection and 4 inches in diameter for the sewerage connection.

Procedures

A procedure is any interaction of the building company's employees, managers, or any party acting on behalf of the company with external parties, including government agencies, notaries, the land registry, the cadastre, utility companies, and public inspectors—and the hiring of external private inspectors and technical experts where needed. Interactions between company employees, such as development of the warehouse plans and internal inspections, are not counted as procedures. However, interactions with external parties that are required for the architect to prepare the plans and drawings (such as obtaining topographic or geological surveys), or to have such documents approved or stamped by external parties, are counted as procedures. Procedures that the company undergoes to connect the warehouse to water and sewerage are included. All procedures that are legally required and that are done in practice by the majority of companies to build a warehouse are counted, even if they may be avoided in exceptional cases. This includes obtaining technical conditions for electricity or clearance of the electrical plans only if they are required to obtain a building permit (table 12.5).

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that local experts indicate is necessary to complete a procedure in practice. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day, except for procedures that can be fully completed online, for which the time required is recorded as half a day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days), again with the exception of procedures that can be fully completed online. If a procedure can be accelerated legally for an additional cost and the accelerated procedure is used by the majority of companies, the fastest time to complete a procedure is chosen. It is

TABLE 12.5 What do the indicators on the efficiency of construction permitting measure?

Procedures to legally build a warehouse (number)
Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances, licenses, permits and certificates
Submitting all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections
Obtaining utility connections for water and sewerage
Registering the warehouse after its completion (if required for use as collateral or for transfer of the warehouse)
Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)
Does not include time spent gathering information
Each procedure starts on a separate day—though procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule
Procedure considered completed once final document is received
No prior contact with officials
Cost required to complete each procedure (% of warehouse value)
Official costs only, no bribes

assumed that BuildCo does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that BuildCo spends on gathering information is not taken into account. It is assumed that BuildCo is aware of all building requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the warehouse value (assumed to be 50 times income per capita). Only official costs are recorded. All fees associated with completing the procedures to legally build a warehouse are recorded, including those associated with obtaining land use approvals and preconstruction design clearances; receiving inspections before, during and after construction; obtaining utility connections; and registering the warehouse at the property registry. Nonrecurring taxes required for the completion of the warehouse project are also recorded. Sales taxes (such as value added tax) or capital gains taxes are not recorded.

Nor are deposits that must be paid up front and are later refunded. The building code, information from local experts, and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used.

BUILDING QUALITY CONTROL

The building quality control index is based on six other indices—the quality of building regulations, quality control before, during and after construction, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certifications indices (table 12.6). The indicator is based on the same case study assumptions as the measures of efficiency.

Quality of building regulations index

The quality of building regulations index has two components:

- Whether building regulations are easily accessible. A score of 1 is assigned if building regulations (including the building code) or regulations dealing with construction permits are available on a website that is updated as new regulations are passed; 0.5 if the building regulations are available free of charge (or for a nominal fee) at the relevant permit-issuing authority; 0 if the building regulations must be purchased or if they are not made easily accessible anywhere.
- Whether the requirements for obtaining a building permit are clearly specified. A score of 1 is assigned if the building regulations (including the building code) or any accessible website, brochure, or pamphlet clearly specifies the list of required documents to submit, the fees to be paid, and all required preapprovals of the drawings or plans by the relevant agencies; 0 if none of these sources specify any of these requirements or if these sources specify fewer than the three requirements mentioned above.

The index ranges from 0 to 2, with higher values indicating clearer and more transparent building regulations. In the United Kingdom, for example, all relevant

legislation can be found on an official government website (a score of 1). The legislation specifies the list of required documents to submit, the fees to be paid, and all required preapprovals of the drawings or plans by the relevant agencies (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives the United Kingdom a score of 2 on the quality of building regulations index.

Quality control before construction index

The quality control before construction index has one component:

- Whether by law, a licensed architect or licensed engineer is part of the

TABLE 12.6 What do the indicators on building quality control measure?

Quality of building regulations index (0–2)
Accessibility of building regulations
Clarity of requirements for obtaining a building permit
Quality control before construction index (0–1)
Whether licensed or technical experts approve building plans
Quality control during construction index (0–3)
Types of inspections legally mandated during construction
Implementation of legally mandated inspections in practice
Quality control after construction index (0–3)
Final inspection legally mandated after construction
Implementation of legally mandated final inspection in practice
Liability and insurance regimes index (0–2)
Parties held legally liable for structural flaws after building occupancy
Parties legally mandated to obtain insurance to cover structural flaws after building occupancy or insurance is commonly obtained in practice
Professional certifications index (0–4)
Qualification requirements for individual who approves building plans
Qualification requirements for individual who supervises construction or conducts inspections
Building quality control index (0–15)
Sum of the quality of building regulations, quality control before construction, quality control during construction, quality control after construction, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certifications indices

committee or team that reviews and approves building permit applications and whether that person has the authority to refuse an application if the plans are not in conformity with regulations. A score of 1 is assigned if the national association of architects or engineers (or its equivalent) must review the building plans, if an independent firm or expert who is a licensed architect or engineer must review the plans, if the architect or engineer who prepared the plans must submit an attestation to the permit-issuing authority stating that the plans are in compliance with the building regulations or if a licensed architect or engineer is part of the committee or team that approves the plans at the relevant permit-issuing authority; 0 if no licensed architect or engineer is involved in the review of the plans to ensure their compliance with building regulations.

The index ranges from 0 to 1, with higher values indicating better quality control in the review of the building plans. In Rwanda, for example, the city hall in Kigali must review the building permit application, including the plans and drawings, and both a licensed architect and a licensed engineer are part of the team that reviews the plans and drawings. Rwanda therefore receives a score of 1 on the quality control before construction index.

Quality control during construction index

The quality control during construction index has two components:

- Whether inspections are mandated by law during the construction process. A score of 2 is assigned if an in-house supervising engineer (for example, an employee of the building company), an external supervising engineer or a government agency is legally mandated to conduct risk-based inspections during construction. A score of 1 is assigned if an in-house engineer (that is, an employee of

the building company), an external supervising engineer or an external inspections firm is legally mandated to conduct technical inspections at different stages during the construction of the building or if a government agency is legally mandated to conduct only technical inspections at different stages during the construction. A score of 0 is assigned if a government agency is legally mandated to conduct unscheduled inspections, or if no technical inspections are mandated by law.

- Whether inspections during construction are implemented in practice. A score of 1 is assigned if the legally mandated inspections during construction always occur in practice; 0 if the legally mandated inspections do not occur in practice, if the inspections occur most of the time but not always, if inspections are not mandated by law regardless of whether or not they commonly occur in practice.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating better quality control after the construction process. In Antigua and Barbuda, for example, the Development Control Authority is legally mandated to conduct phased inspections under the Physical Planning Act of 2003 (a score of 1). However, the Development Control Authority rarely conducts these inspections in practice (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Antigua and Barbuda a score of 1 on the quality control during construction index.

Quality control after construction index

The quality control after construction index has two components:

- Whether a final inspection is mandated by law in order to verify that the building was built in compliance with the approved plans and existing building regulations. A score of 2 is assigned if an in-house supervising engineer (that is, an employee of the building company), an external supervising engineer or an external inspections firm is legally mandated to verify that the building has been

built in accordance with the approved plans and existing building regulations, or if a government agency is legally mandated to conduct a final inspection upon completion of the building; 0 if no final inspection is mandated by law after construction and no third party is required to verify that the building has been built in accordance with the approved plans and existing building regulations.

- Whether the final inspection is implemented in practice. A score of 1 is assigned if the legally mandated final inspection after construction always occurs in practice or if a supervising engineer or firm attests that the building has been built in accordance with the approved plans and existing building regulations; 0 if the legally mandated final inspection does not occur in practice, if the legally mandated final inspection occurs most of the time but not always, or if a final inspection is not mandated by law regardless of whether or not it commonly occurs in practice.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating better quality control after the construction process. In Haiti, for example, the Municipality of Port-au-Prince is legally mandated to conduct a final inspection under the National Building Code of 2012 (a score of 2). However, the final inspection does not occur in practice (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Haiti a score of 2 on the quality control after construction index.

Liability and insurance regimes index

The liability and insurance regimes index has two components:

- Whether any parties involved in the construction process are held legally liable for latent defects such as structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use. A score of 1 is assigned if at least two of the following parties are held legally liable for structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use: the architect or engineer who designed the plans for the

building, the professional or agency that conducted technical inspections, or the construction company; 0.5 if only one of the parties is held legally liable for structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use; 0 if no party is held legally liable for structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use, if the project owner or investor is the only party held liable, if liability is determined in court, or if liability is stipulated in a contract.

- Whether any parties involved in the construction process is legally required to obtain a latent defect liability—or decennial (10 years) liability—insurance policy to cover possible structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use. A score of 1 is assigned if the architect or engineer who designed the plans for the building, the professional or agency that conducted the technical inspections, the construction company, or the project owner or investor is required by law to obtain either a decennial liability insurance policy or a latent defect liability insurance to cover possible structural flaws or problems in the building once it is in use or if a decennial liability insurance policy or a latent defect liability insurance is commonly obtained in practice by the majority of any of these parties even if not required by law; a score of 0 is assigned if no party is required by law to obtain either a decennial liability insurance or a latent defect liability insurance and such insurance is not commonly obtained in practice by any party, if the requirement to obtain an insurance policy is stipulated in a contract, if any party must obtain a professional insurance or an all risk insurance to cover the safety of workers or any other defects during construction but not a decennial liability insurance or a latent defect liability insurance that would cover defects after the building is in use, or if any party is required to pay for any damages caused on their own without having to obtain an insurance policy.

The index ranges from 0 to 2, with higher values indicating more stringent latent defect liability and insurance regimes. In Madagascar, for example, under article 1792 of the Civil Code both the architect who designed the plans and the construction company are legally held liable for latent defects for a period of 10 years after the completion of the building (a score of 1). However, there is no legal requirement for any party to obtain a decennial liability insurance policy to cover structural defects, nor do most parties obtain such insurance in practice (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Madagascar a score of 1 on the liability and insurance regimes index.

Professional certifications index

The professional certifications index has two components:

- The qualification requirements of the professional responsible for verifying that the architectural plans or drawings are in compliance with the building regulations. A score of 2 is assigned if this professional must have a minimum number of years of practical experience, must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in architecture or engineering, and must also either be a registered member of the national order (association) of architects or engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 1 is assigned if the professional must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in architecture or engineering and must also *either* have a minimum number of years of practical experience or be a registered member of the national order (association) of architects or engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 0 is assigned if the professional must meet only one of the above requirements, if the professional must meet two of the requirements but neither of the two is to have a university degree, or if the professional is subject to no qualification requirements.
- The qualification requirements of the professional who conducts the tech-

nical inspections during construction. A score of 2 is assigned if this professional must have a minimum number of years of practical experience, must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in engineering, and must also either be a registered member of the national order of engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 1 is assigned if the professional must have a university degree (a minimum of a bachelor's) in engineering and must also *either* have a minimum number of years of practical experience or be a registered member of the national order (association) of engineers or pass a qualification exam. A score of 0 is assigned if the professional must meet only one of the requirements, if the professional must meet two of the requirements but neither of the two is to have a university degree, or if the professional is subject to no qualification requirements.

The index ranges from 0 to 4, with higher values indicating greater professional certification requirements. In Cambodia, for example, the professional responsible for verifying that the architectural plans or drawings are in compliance with the building regulations must have a relevant university degree and must pass a qualification exam (a score of 1). However, the professional conducting technical inspections during construction must only have a university degree (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Cambodia a score of 1 on the professional certifications index.

Building quality control index

The building quality control index is the sum of the scores on the quality of building regulations, quality control before construction, quality control during construction, quality control after construction, liability and insurance regimes, and professional certifications indices. The index ranges from 0 to 15, with higher values indicating better quality control and safety mechanisms in the construction regulatory system.

If an economy issued no building permits between June 2015 and June 2016 or if the applicable building legislation in the economy is not being implemented, the economy receives a “no practice” mark on the procedures, time and cost indicators. In addition, a “no practice” economy receives a score of 0 on the building quality control index even if its legal framework includes provisions related to building quality control and safety mechanisms.

REFORMS

The dealing with construction permits indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of construction permitting systems every year. Depending on the impact on the data certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The dealing with construction permits indicator set uses only one criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2 or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details on the relative gap, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example if the implementation of a new electronic permitting system reduces time in a way that the overall relative gap decreases by 2 percentage points or more, such a change is classified as a reform. On the contrary, minor fee updates or other smaller changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than 2 percentage points on the relative gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

The data details on dealing with construction permits can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.

GETTING ELECTRICITY

Doing Business records all procedures required for a business to obtain a permanent electricity connection and supply for a standardized warehouse (figure 12.5). These procedures include applications and contracts with electricity utilities, all necessary inspections and clearances from the distribution utility and other agencies, and the external and final connection works. The questionnaire divides the process of getting an electricity connection into distinct procedures and solicits data for calculating the time and cost to complete each procedure.


In addition, *Doing Business* also measures the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (included in the aggregate distance to frontier score and ranking on the ease of doing business) and the price of electricity (omitted from these aggregate measures). The reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index encompasses quantitative data on the duration and frequency of power outages as well as qualitative information on the mechanisms put in place by the utility for monitoring power outages

and restoring power supply, the reporting relationship between the utility and the regulator for power outages, the transparency and accessibility of tariffs and whether the utility faces a financial deterrent aimed at limiting outages (such as a requirement to compensate customers or pay fines when outages exceed a certain cap).

The ranking of economies on the ease of getting electricity is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for getting electricity. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for all the component indicators except the price of electricity (figure 12.6).

Data on reliability of supply are collected from the electricity distribution utilities or regulators, depending upon the specific technical nature of the data. The rest of the data, including data on transparency of tariffs and procedures for obtaining electricity connection, are collected from all market players—the electricity distribution utility, electricity regulatory agencies and independent professionals such as electrical engineers, electrical contractors and construction companies. The electricity distribution utility consulted is the one serving the area (or areas) where warehouses are located. If there is a choice of distribution utilities, the one serving the largest number of customers is selected.

FIGURE 12.5 *Doing Business* measures the connection process at the level of distribution utilities



To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the warehouse, the electricity connection and the monthly consumption are used.

Assumptions about the warehouse

The warehouse:

- Is owned by a local entrepreneur.
- Is located in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1).
- Is located in an area where similar warehouses are typically located. In this area a new electricity connection is not eligible for a special investment promotion regime (offering special subsidization or faster service, for example).
- Is located in an area with no physical constraints. For example, the property is not near a railway.
- Is a new construction and is being connected to electricity for the first time.
- Has two stories, both above ground, with a total surface area of approximately 1,300.6 square meters (14,000 square feet). The plot of land on which

it is built is 929 square meters (10,000 square feet).


- Is used for storage of goods.

Assumptions about the electricity connection

The electricity connection:

- Is a permanent one.
- Is a three-phase, four-wire Y connection with a subscribed capacity of 140-kilovolt-ampere (kVA) with a power factor of 1, when 1 kVA = 1 kilowatt (kW).
- Has a length of 150 meters. The connection is to either the low- or medium-voltage distribution network and is either overhead or underground, whichever is more common in the area where the warehouse is located.
- Requires works that involve the crossing of a 10-meter wide road (by excavation, overhead lines) but are all carried out on public land. There is no crossing of other owners' private property because the warehouse has access to a road.
- Includes only negligible length in the customer's private domain.
- Does not require work to install the internal wiring of the warehouse. This has already been completed up to and including the customer's service panel or switchboard and the meter base.

FIGURE 12.6 Getting electricity: efficiency, reliability and transparency



Note: The price of electricity is measured but does not count for the rankings.

Assumptions about the monthly consumption for March

- It is assumed that the warehouse operates 30 days a month from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (8 hours a day), with equipment utilized at 80% of capacity on average and that there are no electricity cuts (assumed for simplicity reasons).
- The monthly energy consumption is 26,880 kilowatt-hours (kWh); hourly consumption is 112 kWh.
- If multiple electricity suppliers exist, the warehouse is served by the cheapest supplier.
- Tariffs effective in March of the current year are used for calculation of the price of electricity for the warehouse. Although March has 31 days, for calculation purposes only 30 days are used.

Procedures

A procedure is defined as any interaction of the company's employees or its main electrician or electrical engineer (that is, the one who may have done the internal wiring) with external parties, such as the electricity distribution utility, electricity supply utilities, government agencies, electrical contractors and electrical firms. Interactions between company employees and steps related to the internal electrical wiring, such as the design and execution of the internal electrical installation plans, are not counted as procedures. Procedures that must be completed with the same utility but with different departments are counted as separate procedures (table 12.7).

The company's employees are assumed to complete all procedures themselves unless the use of a third party is mandated (for example, if only an electrician registered with the utility is allowed to submit an application). If the company can, but is not required to, request the services of professionals (such as a private firm rather than the utility for the external works), these procedures are recorded if they are commonly done. For all procedures only the most likely cases (for example, more than 50% of the time the utility has the material) and those followed in practice for connecting a warehouse to electricity are counted.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that the electricity utility and experts indicate is necessary in practice, rather than required by law, to complete a procedure with minimum follow-up and no extra payments. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day. Although procedures may take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day (that is, simultaneous procedures start on consecutive days). It is assumed that the company does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. The time that the company spends on

TABLE 12.7 What do the getting electricity indicators measure?

Procedures to obtain an electricity connection (number)
Submitting all relevant documents and obtaining all necessary clearances and permits
Completing all required notifications and receiving all necessary inspections
Obtaining external installation works and possibly purchasing material for these works
Concluding any necessary supply contract and obtaining final supply
Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)
Is at least one calendar day
Each procedure starts on a separate day
Does not include time spent gathering information
Reflects the time spent in practice, with little follow-up and no prior contact with officials
Cost required to complete each procedure (% of income per capita)
Official costs only, no bribes
Value added tax excluded
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)
Duration and frequency of power outages
Tools to monitor power outages
Tools to restore power supply
Regulatory monitoring of utilities' performance
Financial deterrents aimed at limiting outages
Transparency and accessibility of tariffs
Price of electricity (cents per kilowatt-hour)
Price based on monthly bill for commercial warehouse in case study

Note: While *Doing Business* measures the price of electricity, it does not include these data when calculating the distance to frontier score for getting electricity or the ranking on the ease of getting electricity.

gathering information is not taken into account. It is assumed that the company is aware of all electricity connection requirements and their sequence from the beginning.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the economy's income per capita. Costs are recorded exclusive of value added tax. All the fees and costs associated with completing the procedures to connect a warehouse to electricity are recorded,

including those related to obtaining clearances from government agencies, applying for the connection, receiving inspections of both the site and the internal wiring, purchasing material, getting the actual connection works and paying a security deposit. Information from local experts and specific regulations and fee schedules are used as sources for costs. If several local partners provide different estimates, the median reported value is used. In all cases the cost excludes bribes.

Security deposit

Utilities may require security deposits as a guarantee against the possible failure of customers to pay their consumption bills. For this reason the security deposit for a new customer is most often calculated as a function of the customer's estimated consumption.

Doing Business does not record the full amount of the security deposit. If the deposit is based on the customer's actual consumption, this basis is the one assumed in the case study. Rather than the full amount of the security deposit, *Doing Business* records the present value of the losses in interest earnings experienced by the customer because the utility holds the security deposit over a prolonged period, in most cases until the end of the contract (assumed to be after five years). In cases where the security deposit is used to cover the first monthly consumption bills, it is not recorded. To calculate the present value of the lost interest earnings, the end-2015 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's *International Financial Statistics* are used. In cases where the security deposit is returned with interest, the difference between the lending rate and the interest paid by the utility is used to calculate the present value.

In some economies the security deposit can be put up in the form of a bond: the company can obtain from a bank or an insurance company a guarantee issued on the assets it holds with that financial institution. In contrast to the scenario

in which the customer pays the deposit in cash to the utility, in this scenario the company does not lose ownership control over the full amount and can continue using it. In return the company will pay the bank a commission for obtaining the bond. The commission charged may vary depending on the credit standing of the company. The best possible credit standing and thus the lowest possible commission are assumed. Where a bond can be put up, the value recorded for the deposit is the annual commission times the five years assumed to be the length of the contract. If both options exist, the cheaper alternative is recorded.

In Honduras in June 2016 a customer requesting a 140-kVA electricity connection would have had to put up a security deposit of 126,894 Honduran lempiras (\$5,616) in cash or check, and the deposit would have been returned only at the end of the contract. The customer could instead have invested this money at the prevailing lending rate of 20.66%. Over the five years of the contract this would imply a present value of lost interest earnings of 77,273 lempiras (\$3,420). In contrast, if the customer chose to settle the deposit with a bank guarantee at an annual rate of 2.5%, the amount lost over the five years would be just 15,862 lempiras (\$702).

Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index

Doing Business uses the system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and the system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI) to measure the duration and frequency of power outages in the largest business city of each economy (for 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city; table 12A.1). SAIDI is the average total duration of outages over the course of a year for each customer served, while SAIFI is the average number of service interruptions experienced by a customer in a year. Annual data (covering the calendar year) are collected from distribution utility companies and

national regulators on SAIDI and SAIFI. Both SAIDI and SAIFI estimates include load shedding.

An economy is eligible to obtain a score on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index if the utility collects data on electricity outages (measuring the average total duration of outages per customer and the average number of outages per customer) and the SAIDI value is below a threshold of 100 hours and the SAIFI value below a threshold of 100 outages.

Because the focus is on measuring the reliability of the electricity supply in each economy's largest business city (and, in 11 economies, also in the second largest business city), an economy is not eligible to obtain a score if outages are too frequent or long-lasting for the electricity supply to be considered reliable—that is, if the SAIDI value exceeds the threshold of 100 hours or the SAIFI value exceeds the threshold of 100 outages.⁴ An economy is also not eligible to obtain a score on the index if data on power outages are not collected.

For all economies that meet the criteria as determined by *Doing Business*, a score on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index is calculated on the basis of the following six components:

- What the SAIDI and SAIFI values are. If SAIDI and SAIFI are 12 (equivalent to an outage of one hour each month) or below, a score of 1 is assigned. If SAIDI and SAIFI are 4 (equivalent to an outage of one hour each quarter) or below, 1 additional point is assigned. Finally, if SAIDI and SAIFI are 1 (equivalent to an outage of one hour per year) or below, 1 more point is assigned.
 - What tools are used by the distribution utility to monitor power outages. A score of 1 is assigned if the utility uses automated tools, such as the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system; 0 if it relies solely on calls from customers and records and monitors outages manually.
 - What tools are used by the distribution utility to restore power supply. A score of 1 is assigned if the utility uses automated tools, such as the SCADA system; 0 if it relies solely on manual resources for service restoration, such as field crews or maintenance personnel.
 - Whether a regulator—that is, an entity separate from the utility—monitors the utility's performance on reliability of supply. A score of 1 is assigned if the regulator performs periodic or real-time reviews; 0 if it does not monitor power outages and does not require the utility to report on reliability of supply.
 - Whether financial deterrents exist to limit outages. A score of 1 is assigned if the utility compensates customers when outages exceed a certain cap, if the utility is fined by the regulator when outages exceed a certain cap or if both these conditions are met; 0 if no compensation mechanism of any kind is available.
 - Whether electricity tariffs are transparent and easily available. A score of 1 is assigned if effective tariffs are available online and customers are notified of a change in tariff a full billing cycle (that is, one month) ahead of time; 0 if not.
- The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating greater reliability of electricity supply and greater transparency of tariffs. In the Czech Republic, for example, the distribution utility company PREdistribuce uses SAIDI and SAIFI metrics to monitor and collect data on power outages. In 2015 the average total duration of power outages in Prague was 0.49 hours per customer and the average number of outages experienced by a customer was 0.33. Both SAIDI and SAIFI are below the threshold and indicate that there was less than one outage a year per customer, for a total duration of less than one hour. So the economy not only meets the eligibility criteria for obtaining a score on the index, it also receives a score of 3 on the first component of the index. The utility uses an automated system (SCADA) to identify faults in the network (a score of 1) and restore electricity service (a score of 1). The national regulator actively reviews the utility's performance in providing reliable electricity service (a score of 1) and requires the utility to compensate customers if outages last longer than a maximum period defined by the regulator (a score of 1). Customers are notified of a change in tariffs ahead of the next billing cycle and can easily check effective tariffs online (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives the Czech Republic a score of 8 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index.
- On the other hand, several economies receive a score of 0 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index. The reason may be that outages occur more than once a month and none of the mechanisms and tools measured by the index are in place. An economy may also receive a score of 0 if either the SAIDI or SAIFI value (or both) exceeds the threshold of 100. For Papua New Guinea, for example, the SAIDI value (211) exceeds the threshold. Based on the criteria established, Papua New Guinea cannot receive a score on the index even though the country has regulatory monitoring of outages and there is a compensation mechanism for customers.
- If an economy issued no new electricity connections to an electrical grid between June 2015 and June 2016, or if electricity is not provided during that period, the economy receives a "no practice" mark on the procedures, time and cost indicators. In addition, a "no practice" economy receives a score of 0 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariff index even if the utility has in place automated systems for monitoring and restoring outages; there is regulatory oversight of utilities on power interruptions, and public availability of tariffs.

Price of electricity

Doing Business measures the price of electricity but does not include these data

when calculating the distance to frontier score for getting electricity or the ranking on the ease of getting electricity. (The data are available on the *Doing Business* website, at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.) The data on electricity prices are based on standardized assumptions to ensure comparability across economies.

The price of electricity is measured in U.S. cents per kilowatt-hour. On the basis of the assumptions about monthly consumption, a monthly bill for a commercial warehouse in the largest business city of the economy is computed for the month of March (for 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city; table 12A.1). As noted, the warehouse uses electricity 30 days a month, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., so different tariff schedules may apply if a time-of-use tariff is available.

REFORMS

The getting electricity indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency of the connection process, as well as the reliability of power supply and transparency of tariffs. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided in two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The getting electricity indicator set uses two criteria to recognize a reform.

First, the aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2 or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details on the relative gap, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example if the implementation of a new single window at the utility reduces the time

to process new connection requests in a way that the overall relative gap decreases by 2 percentage points or more, such a change is classified as a reform. On the other hand, minor fee updates from the utility or other small changes that have an aggregate impact of less than 2 percentage points on the relative gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this topic.

Second, to be considered a reform, changes in the data must be tied to an initiative led by the utility or by the government—and not an exogenous event. For example if outages increase considerably from one year to the next due to inclement weather, this cannot be considered a reform that makes doing business harder. Similarly, if the cost of electricity-related materials (such as cabling or transformers) decreases due to a currency appreciation, this cannot be considered a reform that makes doing business easier. However, if a utility establishes a one-stop shop to streamline the connection process or if it installs an automated system to improve monitoring of power outages and restoration of electricity services, these actions would be considered reforms that made doing business easier.

The data details on getting electricity can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The initial methodology was developed by Geginat and Ramalho (2015) and is adopted here with minor changes.

REGISTERING PROPERTY

Doing Business records the full sequence of procedures necessary for a business (the buyer) to purchase a property from another business (the seller) and to transfer the property title to the buyer's name so that the buyer can use the property for expanding its business, use the property as collateral in taking new


loans or, if necessary, sell the property to another business. It also measures the time and cost to complete each of these procedures. *Doing Business* also measures the quality of the land administration system in each economy. The quality of land administration index has five dimensions: reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution and equal access to property rights.

The ranking of economies on the ease of registering property is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for registering property. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 12.7).

EFFICIENCY OF TRANSFERRING PROPERTY

As recorded by *Doing Business*, the process of transferring property starts with obtaining the necessary documents, such as a copy of the seller's title if necessary, and conducting due diligence if required. The transaction is considered complete when it is oppositionable to third parties and when the buyer

FIGURE 12.7 Registering property: efficiency and quality of land administration system



can use the property, use it as collateral for a bank loan or resell it (figure 12.8). Every procedure required by law or necessary in practice is included, whether it is the responsibility of the seller or the buyer or must be completed by a third party on their behalf. Local property lawyers, notaries and property registries provide information on procedures as well as the time and cost to complete each of them.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the parties to the transaction, the property and the procedures are used.

Assumptions about the parties

The parties (buyer and seller):


- Are limited liability companies (or the legal equivalent).
- Are located in the periurban area of the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1).
- Are 100% domestically and privately owned.
- Have 50 employees each, all of whom are nationals.
- Perform general commercial activities.

Assumptions about the property

The property:

- Has a value of 50 times income per capita. The sale price equals the value.
- Is fully owned by the seller.
- Has no mortgages attached and has been under the same ownership for the past 10 years.
- Is registered in the land registry or cadastre, or both, and is free of title disputes.
- Is located in a periurban commercial zone, and no rezoning is required.
- Consists of land and a building. The land area is 557.4 square meters (6,000 square feet). A two-story warehouse of 929 square meters (10,000 square feet) is located on the land. The warehouse is 10 years old, is in good condition and complies with all safety standards, building codes and other legal requirements. It has

FIGURE 12.8 What are the time, cost and number of procedures required to transfer property between two local companies?



no heating system. The property of land and building will be transferred in its entirety.

- Will not be subject to renovations or additional building following the purchase.
- Has no trees, natural water sources, natural reserves or historical monuments of any kind.
- Will not be used for special purposes, and no special permits, such as for residential use, industrial plants, waste storage or certain types of agricultural activities, are required.
- Has no occupants, and no other party holds a legal interest in it.

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days. The measure captures the median duration that property lawyers, notaries or registry officials indicate is necessary to complete a procedure. It is assumed that the minimum time required for each procedure is one day, except for procedures that can be fully completed online, for which the time required is recorded as half a day. Although procedures may

TABLE 12.8 What do the indicators on the efficiency of transferring property measure?

Procedures to legally transfer title on immovable property (number)

Preregistration procedures (for example, checking for liens, notarizing sales agreement, paying property transfer taxes)

Registration procedures in the economy's largest business city^a

Postregistration procedures (for example, filing title with municipality)

Time required to complete each procedure (calendar days)

Does not include time spent gathering information

Each procedure starts on a separate day—though procedures that can be fully completed online are an exception to this rule

Procedure considered completed once final document is received

No prior contact with officials

Cost required to complete each procedure (% of property value)

Official costs only, no bribes

No value added or capital gains taxes included

a. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

take place simultaneously, they cannot start on the same day, again with the exception of procedures that can be fully completed online. It is assumed that the buyer does not waste time and commits to completing each remaining procedure without delay. If a procedure can be accelerated for an additional cost, the fastest legal procedure available and used by the majority of property owners is chosen. If procedures can be undertaken simultaneously, it is assumed that they are. It is assumed that the parties involved are aware of all requirements and their sequence from the beginning. Time spent on gathering information is not considered.

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the property value, assumed to be equivalent to 50 times income per capita. Only official costs required by law are recorded, including fees, transfer taxes, stamp duties and any other payment to the property registry, notaries, public agencies or lawyers. Other taxes, such as capital gains tax or value added tax, are excluded from the cost measure. Both costs borne by the buyer and those borne by the seller are included. If cost estimates differ among sources, the median reported value is used.

QUALITY OF LAND ADMINISTRATION

The quality of land administration index is composed of five other indices: the reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution and equal access to property rights indices (table 12.9). Data are collected for each economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

Reliability of infrastructure index

The reliability of infrastructure index has six components:

- How land titles are kept at the registry of the largest business city of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if the

TABLE 12.9 What do the indicators on the quality of land administration measure?

Reliability of infrastructure index (0–8)

Type of system for archiving information on land ownership

Availability of electronic database to check for encumbrances

Type of system for archiving maps

Availability of geographic information system

Link between property ownership registry and mapping system

Transparency of information index (0–6)

Accessibility of information on land ownership

Accessibility of maps of land plots

Publication of fee schedules, lists of registration documents, service standards

Availability of a specific and separate mechanism for complaints

Publication of statistics about the number of property transactions

Geographic coverage index (0–8)

Coverage of land registry at the level of the largest business city and the economy^a

Coverage of mapping agency at the level of the largest business city and the economy^a

Land dispute resolution index (0–8)

Legal framework for immovable property registration

Mechanisms to prevent and resolve land disputes

Equal access to property rights (-2–0)

Unequal ownership rights to property between unmarried men and women

Unequal ownership rights to property between married men and women

Quality of land administration index (0–30)

Sum of the reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution indices and equal access to property rights

a. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.

majority of land titles are fully digital; 1 if the majority are scanned; 0 if the majority are kept in paper format.

- Whether there is an electronic database for checking for encumbrances. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- How maps of land plots are kept at the mapping agency of the largest business city of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if the majority of maps are fully digital; 1 if the majority are scanned; 0 if the majority are kept in paper format.
- Whether there is a geographic information system—an electronic database for recording boundaries, checking plans and providing cadastral information. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- How the land ownership registry and mapping agency are linked. A score of 1 is assigned if information about land ownership and maps are kept in a

single database or in linked databases; 0 if there is no connection between the different databases.

- How immovable property is identified. A score of 1 is assigned if there is a unique number to identify properties for the majority of land plots; 0 if there are multiple identifiers.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating a higher quality of infrastructure for ensuring the reliability of information on property titles and boundaries. In Turkey, for example, the land registry offices in Istanbul maintain titles in a fully digital format (a score of 2) and have a fully electronic database to check for encumbrances (a score of 1). The Cadastral Directorate offices in Istanbul have digital maps (a score of 2), and the Geographical Information Directorate has a public portal allowing users to check the

plans and cadastral information on parcels along with satellite images (a score of 1). Databases about land ownership and maps are linked to each other through the TAKBIS system, an integrated information system for the land registry offices and cadastral offices (a score of 1). Finally, there is a unique identifying number for properties (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Turkey a score of 8 on the reliability of infrastructure index.

Transparency of information index

The transparency of information index has 10 components:

- Whether information on land ownership is made publicly available. A score of 1 is assigned if information on land ownership is accessible by anyone; 0 if access is restricted.
- Whether the list of documents required for completing any type of property transaction is made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the list of documents is accessible online or on a public board; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether the fee schedule for completing any type of property transaction is made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the fee schedule is accessible online or on a public board or is free of charge; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether the agency in charge of immovable property registration commits to delivering a legally binding document that proves property ownership within a specific time frame. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the service standard is accessible online or on a public board; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing complaints about a problem that occurred at the agency in charge of immovable property registration. A score of 1 is assigned if there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing a

complaint; 0 if there is only a general mechanism or no mechanism.

- Whether there are publicly available official statistics tracking the number of transactions at the immovable property registration agency. A score of 0.5 is assigned if statistics are published about property transfers in the largest business city in the past calendar year at the latest on June 1st of the following year; 0 if no such statistics are made publicly available.
- Whether maps of land plots are made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if maps are accessible by anyone; 0 if access is restricted.
- Whether the fee schedule for accessing maps is made publicly available. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the fee schedule is accessible online or on a public board or free of charge; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether the mapping agency commits to delivering an updated map within a specific time frame. A score of 0.5 is assigned if the service standard is accessible online or on a public board; 0 if it is not made available to the public or if it can be obtained only in person.
- Whether there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing complaints about a problem that occurred at the mapping agency. A score of 0.5 is assigned if there is a specific and separate mechanism for filing a complaint; 0 if there is only a general mechanism or no mechanism.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating greater transparency in the land administration system. In the Netherlands, for example, anyone who pays a fee can consult the land ownership database (a score of 1). Information can be obtained at the office, by mail or online using the Kadaster website (<http://www.kadaster.nl>). Anyone can also get information online about the list of documents to submit for property registration (a score of 0.5), the fee schedule for registration (a score of 0.5) and the

service standards (a score of 0.5). And anyone facing a problem at the land registry can file a complaint or report an error by filling in a specific form online (a score of 1). In addition, the Kadaster makes statistics about land transactions available to the public, reporting a total of 178,293 property transfers in Amsterdam in 2015 (a score of 0.5). Moreover, anyone who pays a fee can consult online cadastral maps (a score of 0.5). It is also possible to get public access to the fee schedule for map consultation (a score of 0.5), the service standards for delivery of an updated plan (a score of 0.5) and a specific mechanism for filing a complaint about a map (a score of 0.5). Adding these numbers gives the Netherlands a score of 6 on the transparency of information index.

Geographic coverage index

The geographic coverage index has four components:

- How complete the coverage of the land registry is at the level of the largest business city. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the city are formally registered at the land registry; 0 if not.
- How complete the coverage of the land registry is at the level of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the economy are formally registered at the land registry; 0 if not.
- How complete the coverage of the mapping agency is at the level of the largest business city. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the city are mapped; 0 if not.
- How complete the coverage of the mapping agency is at the level of the economy. A score of 2 is assigned if all privately held land plots in the economy are mapped; 0 if not.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating greater geographic coverage in land ownership registration and cadastral mapping. In the Republic of Korea, for example, all privately held land plots are formally registered at the land registry in Seoul (a score of 2) and

in the economy as a whole (a score of 2). In addition, all privately held land plots are mapped in Seoul (a score of 2) and in the economy as a whole (a score of 2). Adding these numbers gives Korea a score of 8 on the geographic coverage index.

Land dispute resolution index

The land dispute resolution index assesses the legal framework for immovable property registration and the accessibility of dispute resolution mechanisms. The index has eight components:

- Whether the law requires that all property sale transactions be registered at the immovable property registry to make them opposable to third parties. A score of 1.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether the formal system of immovable property registration is subject to a guarantee. A score of 0.5 is assigned if either a state or private guarantee over immovable property registration is required by law; 0 if no such guarantee is required.
- Whether there is a specific compensation mechanism to cover for losses incurred by parties who engaged in good faith in a property transaction based on erroneous information certified by the immovable property registry. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether the legal system requires verification of the legal validity of the documents necessary for a property transaction. A score of 0.5 is assigned if there is a review of legal validity, either by the registrar or by a professional (such as a notary or lawyer); 0 if there is no review.
- Whether the legal system requires verification of the identity of the parties to a property transaction. A score of 0.5 is assigned if there is verification of identity, either by the registrar or by a professional (such as a notary or lawyer); 0 if there is no verification.
- Whether there is a national database to verify the accuracy of identity documents. A score of 1 is assigned if such a national database is available; 0 if not.
- How much time it takes to obtain a decision from a court of first instance (without appeal) in a standard land dispute between two local businesses over tenure rights worth 50 times income per capita and located in the largest business city. A score of 3 is assigned if it takes less than one year; 2 if it takes between one and two years; 1 if it takes between two and three years; 0 if it takes more than three years.
- Whether there are publicly available statistics on the number of land disputes in the first instance. A score of 0.5 is assigned if statistics are published about land disputes in the economy in the past calendar year; 0 if no such statistics are made publicly available.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating greater protection against land disputes. In Lithuania, for example, according to the Civil Code and the Law on the Real Property Register, property transactions must be registered at the land registry to make them opposable to third parties (a score of 1.5). The property transfer system is guaranteed by the state (a score of 0.5) and has a compensation mechanism to cover for losses incurred by parties who engaged in good faith in a property transaction based on an error by the registry (a score of 0.5). A notary verifies the legal validity of the documents in a property transaction (a score of 0.5) and the identity of the parties (a score of 0.5), in accordance with the Law on the Notary Office (Law I-2882). Lithuania has a national database to verify the accuracy of identity documents (a score of 1). In a land dispute between two Lithuanian companies over the tenure rights of a property worth \$750,000, the Vilnius District Court gives a decision in less than one year (a score of 3). Finally, statistics about land disputes are collected and published: there were a total of 7 land disputes in the country in 2015 (a score of 0.5). Adding these numbers gives Lithuania a score of 8 on the land dispute resolution index.

Equal access to property rights index

The equal access to property rights index has two components:

- Whether unmarried men and unmarried women have equal ownership rights to property. A score of -1 is assigned if there are unequal ownership rights to property; 0 if there is equality.
- Whether married men and married women have equal ownership rights to property. A score of -1 is assigned if there are unequal ownership rights to property; 0 if there is equality.

Ownership rights cover the ability to manage, control, administer, access, encumber, receive, dispose of and transfer property. Each restriction is considered if there is a differential treatment for men and women in the law considering the default marital property regime. For customary land systems, equality is assumed unless there is a general legal provision stating a differential treatment.

The index ranges from -2 to 0, with higher values indicating greater inclusiveness of property rights. In Mali, for example, unmarried men and unmarried women have equal ownership rights to property (a score of 0). The same applies to married men and women who can use their property in the same way (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Mali a score of 0 on the equal access to property rights index—which indicates equal property rights between men and women. On the contrary in Swaziland, unmarried men and unmarried women do not have equal ownership rights to property according to the Deeds Registry Act of 1968, Article 16 (a score of -1). The same applies to married men and women who are not permitted to use their property in the same way according to the Deeds Registry Act of 1968, Articles 16 and 45 (a score of -1). Adding these numbers gives Swaziland a score of -2 on the equal access to property rights index—which indicates unequal property rights between men and women.

Quality of land administration index

The quality of land administration index is the sum of the scores on the reliability of infrastructure, transparency of information, geographic coverage, land dispute resolution and equal access to property indices. The index ranges from 0 to 30 with higher values indicating better quality of the land administration system.

If private sector entities were unable to register property transfers in an economy between June 2015 and June 2016, the economy receives a “no practice” mark on the procedures, time and cost indicators. A “no practice” economy receives a score of 0 on the quality of land administration index even if its legal framework includes provisions related to land administration.

REFORMS

The registering property indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of land administration systems every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The registering property indicator set uses two criteria to recognize a reform.

First, the aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2 or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details on the relative gap, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example if the implementation of a new electronic property registration system reduces time in a way that the overall relative gap decreases by 2 percentage points

or more, such change is classified as a reform. On the contrary, minor fee updates or other smaller changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than 2 percentage points on the relative gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

Second, the overall score on the quality of land administration is also considered as a criterion. Any change of 1 point or more on the overall quality score is acknowledged as a reform. For instance, the completion of the geographic coverage of the land registry of the business city (2 points) is considered as a reform. However, the publication of statistics about property transfers (0.5 point) is not significant enough to be classified as a reform.

The data details on registering property can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.

GETTING CREDIT

Doing Business measures the legal rights of borrowers and lenders with respect to secured transactions through one set of indicators and the reporting of credit information through another. The first set of indicators measures whether certain features that facilitate lending exist within the applicable collateral and bankruptcy laws. The second set measures

the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through credit reporting service providers such as credit bureaus or credit registries (figure 12.9). The ranking of economies on the ease of getting credit is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for getting credit. These scores are the distance to frontier score for the sum of the strength of legal rights index and the depth of credit information index (figure 12.10).

LEGAL RIGHTS OF BORROWERS AND LENDERS

The data on the legal rights of borrowers and lenders are gathered through a questionnaire administered to financial lawyers and verified through analysis of laws and regulations as well as public sources of information on collateral and bankruptcy laws. Questionnaire responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The questionnaire data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all economies.

Strength of legal rights index

The strength of legal rights index measures the degree to which collateral and bankruptcy laws protect the rights of borrowers and lenders and thus facilitate lending (table 12.10). For each economy it is first determined whether a unitary secured transactions system exists. Then two case scenarios, case A and case B, are used to determine how a

FIGURE 12.9 Do lenders have credit information on entrepreneurs seeking credit? Is the law favorable to borrowers and lenders using movable assets as collateral?





FIGURE 12.10 Getting credit: collateral rules and credit information



Note: Credit bureau coverage and credit registry coverage are measured but do not count for the rankings.

nonpossessory security interest is created, publicized and enforced according to the law. Special emphasis is given to how the collateral registry operates (if registration of security interests is possible). The case scenarios involve a secured borrower, company ABC, and a secured lender, BizBank.

In some economies the legal framework for secured transactions will allow only case A or case B (not both) to apply. Both cases examine the same set of legal provisions relating to the use of movable collateral.

TABLE 12.10 What do the getting credit indicators measure?

Strength of legal rights index (0-12)

Protection of rights of borrowers and lenders through collateral laws

Protection of secured creditors' rights through bankruptcy laws

Depth of credit information index (0-8)

Scope and accessibility of credit information distributed by credit bureaus and credit registries

Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)

Number of individuals and firms listed in the largest credit bureau as percentage of adult population

Credit registry coverage (% of adults)

Number of individuals and firms listed in a credit registry as percentage of adult population

Several assumptions about the secured borrower (ABC) and lender (BizBank) are used:

- ABC is a domestic limited liability company (or its legal equivalent).
- ABC has up to 50 employees.
- ABC has its headquarters and only base of operations in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1).
- Both ABC and BizBank are 100% domestically owned.

The case scenarios also involve assumptions. In case A, as collateral for the loan, ABC grants BizBank a nonpossessory security interest in one category of movable assets, for example, its machinery or its inventory. ABC wants to keep both possession and ownership of the collateral. In economies where the law does not allow nonpossessory security interests in movable property, ABC and BizBank use a fiduciary transfer-of-title arrangement (or a similar substitute for nonpossessory security interests).

In case B, ABC grants BizBank a business charge, enterprise charge, floating charge or any charge that gives BizBank a security interest over ABC's combined movable assets (or as much of ABC's movable assets as possible). ABC keeps ownership and possession of the assets.

The strength of legal rights index covers functional equivalents to security interests in movable assets (such as financial leases and sales with retention of title) only in its first component, to assess how integrated or unified the economy's legal framework for secured transactions is.

The strength of legal rights index includes 10 aspects related to legal rights in collateral law and 2 aspects in bankruptcy law. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following features of the laws:

- The economy has an integrated or unified legal framework for secured transactions that extends to the

creation, publicity and enforcement of four functional equivalents to security interests in movable assets: fiduciary transfers of title; financial leases; assignments or transfers of receivables; and sales with retention of title.

- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in a single category of movable assets (such as machinery or inventory), without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- The law allows a business to grant a nonpossessory security right in substantially all its movable assets, without requiring a specific description of the collateral.
- A security right can be given over future or after-acquired assets and extends automatically to the products, proceeds or replacements of the original assets.
- A general description of debts and obligations is permitted in the collateral agreement and in registration documents, all types of debts and obligations can be secured between the parties, and the collateral agreement can include a maximum amount for which the assets are encumbered.
- A collateral registry or registration institution for security interests granted over movable property by incorporated and nonincorporated entities is in operation, unified geographically and with an electronic database indexed by debtors' names.
- The collateral registry is a notice-based registry—a registry that files only a notice of the existence of a security interest (not the underlying documents) and does not perform a legal review of the transaction. The registry also publicizes functional equivalents to security interests.
- The collateral registry has modern features such as those that allow secured creditors (or their representatives) to register, search, amend or cancel security interests online.
- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before tax claims

and employee claims) when a debtor defaults outside an insolvency procedure.

- Secured creditors are paid first (for example, before tax claims and employee claims) when a business is liquidated.
- Secured creditors are subject to an automatic stay on enforcement procedures when a debtor enters a court-supervised reorganization procedure, but the law protects secured creditors' rights by providing clear grounds for relief from the automatic stay (for example, if the movable property is in danger) or setting a time limit for it.
- The law allows parties to agree in the collateral agreement that the lender may enforce its security right out of court; the law allows public and private auctions and also permits the secured creditor to take the asset in satisfaction of the debt.

The index ranges from 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating that collateral and bankruptcy laws are better designed to expand access to credit.

REFORMS

The strength of legal rights index tracks changes related to secured transactions and insolvency every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided in two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The strength of legal rights index uses the following criteria to recognize a reform.

All changes in laws and regulations that have any impact on the economy's score on the existence of a secured transaction legal framework which regulates the creation, publicity and enforcement of nonpossessory security interests and their functional equivalents. Each year, new laws and amendments are evaluated

to see if they facilitate obtaining credit by small and medium enterprises, allowing for maximum flexibility in the choice of assets which can be used as collateral. Guidelines, model rules, principles, recommendations and case law are excluded.

Reforms impacting the strength of legal rights index include amendments to or the introduction of a secured transactions act, insolvency code, or civil code as well as the establishment or modernization of any of the features of a collateral registry as measured by the indicators. For example, introducing a law which provides for a collateral registry and actually establishing that collateral registry—which is geographically centralized, unified for all types of movable assets and for both incorporated and non-incorporated entities searchable by debtor's name—would represent a reform with a 1-point increase and would therefore be acknowledged in the report.

CREDIT INFORMATION

The data on the reporting of credit information are built in two stages. First, banking supervision authorities and public information sources are surveyed to confirm the presence of a credit reporting service provider, such as a credit bureau or credit registry. Second, when applicable, a detailed questionnaire on the credit bureau's or credit registry's structure, laws and associated rules is administered to the entity itself. Questionnaire responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The questionnaire data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in all economies.

Depth of credit information index

The depth of credit information index measures rules and practices affecting the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through either a credit bureau or a credit registry.

A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following eight features of the credit bureau or credit registry (or both):

- Data on firms and individuals are distributed.
- Both positive credit information (for example, original loan amounts, outstanding loan amounts and a pattern of on-time repayments) and negative information (for example, late payments and the number and amount of defaults) are distributed.
- Data from retailers or utility companies are distributed in addition to data from financial institutions.
- At least two years of historical data are distributed. Credit bureaus and registries that erase data on defaults as soon as they are repaid or distribute negative information more than 10 years after defaults are repaid receive a score of 0 for this component.
- Data on loan amounts below 1% of income per capita are distributed.
- By law, borrowers have the right to access their data in the largest credit bureau or registry in the economy. Credit bureaus and registries that charge more than 1% of income per capita for borrowers to inspect their data receive a score of 0 for this component.
- Banks and other financial institutions have online access to the credit information (for example, through a web interface, a system-to-system connection or both).
- Bureau or registry credit scores are offered as a value added service to help banks and other financial institutions assess the creditworthiness of borrowers.

The index ranges from 0 to 8, with higher values indicating the availability of more credit information, from either a credit bureau or a credit registry, to facilitate lending decisions. If the credit bureau or registry is not operational or covers less than 5% of the adult population, the score on the depth of credit information index is 0.

In Lithuania, for example, both a credit bureau and a credit registry operate. Both

distribute data on firms and individuals (a score of 1). Both distribute positive and negative information (a score of 1). Although the credit registry does not distribute data from retailers or utilities, the credit bureau does (a score of 1). Both distribute at least two years of historical data (a score of 1). Although the credit registry has a threshold of €290, the credit bureau distributes data on loans of any value (a score of 1). Borrowers have the right to access their data in both the credit bureau and the credit registry free of charge once a year (a score of 1). Both entities provide data users access to databases through a web interface (a score of 1). Although the credit registry does not provide credit scores, the credit bureau does (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Lithuania a score of 8 on the depth of credit information index.

Credit bureau coverage

Credit bureau coverage reports the number of individuals and firms listed in a credit bureau's database as of January 1, 2016, with information on their borrowing history within the past five years, plus the number of individuals and firms that have had no borrowing history in the past five years but for which a lender requested a credit report from the bureau in the period between January 2, 2015, and January 1, 2016. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2015 according to the World Bank's *World Development Indicators*). A credit bureau is defined as a private firm or nonprofit organization that maintains a database on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among creditors. (Many credit bureaus support banking and overall financial supervision activities in practice, though this is not their primary objective.) Credit investigative bureaus that do not directly facilitate information exchange among banks and other financial institutions are not considered. If no credit bureau operates, the coverage value is 0.0%.

Credit registry coverage

Credit registry coverage reports the number of individuals and firms listed in a credit registry's database as of January 1, 2016, with information on their borrowing history within the past five years, plus the number of individuals and firms that have had no borrowing history in the past five years but for which a lender requested a credit report from the registry in the period between January 2, 2015, and January 1, 2016. The number is expressed as a percentage of the adult population (the population age 15 and above in 2015 according to the World Bank's *World Development Indicators*). A credit registry is defined as a database managed by the public sector, usually by the central bank or the superintendent of banks, that collects information on the creditworthiness of borrowers (individuals or firms) in the financial system and facilitates the exchange of credit information among banks and other regulated financial institutions (while their primary objective is to assist banking supervision). If no credit registry operates, the coverage value is 0.0%.

REFORMS

The depth of credit information index tracks changes related to the coverage, scope and accessibility of credit information available through either a credit bureau or a credit registry every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The credit information index uses three criteria to recognize a reform.

First, all changes in laws, regulations and practices that have any impact on the economy's score on the credit information index are classified as reforms. Examples of reforms impacting the index include measures to distribute positive credit data in addition to negative data, the

distribution of credit data from utilities or retailers or the introduction of credit scores as a value-added service. Any change that improves the score of a given economy in any of the eight features of the index is considered a reform. Some reforms can have an impact in more than one feature. For example the introduction of a new credit bureau covering more than 5% of the adult population that distributes information on firms and individuals, as well as positive and negative data and provides online access to data users, represents a 3 point increase in the index. In contrast, the introduction of legislation that guarantees borrowers' rights to access their data in the largest credit bureau or registry in the economy represents a reform with a 1 point increase in the index.

Second, changes that increase the coverage of the largest credit bureau or registry in an economy above 5% of the adult population may also be classified as reforms. According to the getting credit methodology, if the credit bureau or registry is not operational or covers less than 5% of the adult population, the score on the depth of credit information index is 0. The impact of the reform will depend on the characteristics of the economy's credit reporting system as it relates to the eight features of the index. Expanded coverage that does not reach 5% of the adult population is not classified as a reform but the impact is still reflected on the most updated statistics.

Third, occasionally the credit information index will acknowledge legislative changes with no current impact on the data as reforms. This option is typically reserved to legislative changes of exceptional magnitude, such as the introduction of laws allowing the operation of credit bureaus or laws on personal data protection.

The data details on getting credit can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The initial methodology was developed by Djankov, McLeish and Shleifer (2007) and is adopted here with minor changes.

PROTECTING MINORITY INVESTORS

Doing Business measures the protection of minority investors from conflicts of interest through one set of indicators and shareholders' rights in corporate governance through another (table 12.11). The data come from a questionnaire administered to corporate and securities lawyers and are based on securities regulations, company laws, civil procedure codes and court rules of evidence. The ranking of economies on the strength of minority investor protections is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for protecting minority investors. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for the extent of conflict of interest regulation index and the extent of shareholder governance index (figure 12.11).

PROTECTION OF SHAREHOLDERS FROM CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The extent of conflict of interest regulation index measures the protection of shareholders against directors' misuse of corporate assets for personal gain by distinguishing three dimensions of regulation that address conflicts of interest: transparency of related-party transactions (extent of disclosure index), shareholders' ability to sue and hold directors liable for self-dealing (extent

FIGURE 12.11 Protecting minority investors: shareholders' rights in conflicts of interest and corporate governance

Rankings are based on distance to frontier scores for two indicators



TABLE 12.11 What do the protecting minority investors indicators measure?

Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)
Review and approval requirements for related-party transactions	Shareholders' rights and role in major corporate decisions
Internal, immediate and periodic disclosure requirements for related-party transactions	
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)
Minority shareholders' ability to sue and hold interested directors liable for prejudicial related-party transactions	Governance safeguards protecting shareholders from undue board control and entrenchment
Available legal remedies (damages, disgorgement of profits, fines, imprisonment, rescission of transactions)	
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)
Access to internal corporate documents	Corporate transparency on significant owners, executive compensation, annual meetings and audits
Evidence obtainable during trial	
Allocation of legal expenses	
Extent of conflict of interest regulation index (0–10)	Extent of shareholder governance index (0–10)
Simple average of the extent of disclosure, extent of director liability and ease of shareholder suits indices	Simple average of the extent of shareholder rights, extent of ownership and control and extent of corporate transparency indices
Strength of minority investor protection index (0–10)	
Simple average of the extent of conflict of interest regulation and extent of shareholder governance indices	

of director liability index) and access to evidence and allocation of legal expenses in shareholder litigation (ease of shareholder suits index). To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the transaction are used (figure 12.12).

Assumptions about the business


The business (Buyer):

- Is a publicly traded corporation listed on the economy's most important stock exchange. If the number of publicly traded companies listed on that

exchange is less than 10, or if there is no stock exchange in the economy, it is assumed that Buyer is a large private company with multiple shareholders.

- Has a board of directors and a chief executive officer (CEO) who may legally act on behalf of Buyer where permitted, even if this is not specifically required by law.
- Has a supervisory board (applicable to economies with a two-tier board system) on which 60% of the shareholder-elected members have been appointed by Mr. James, who is Buyer's

FIGURE 12.12 How well are minority shareholders protected from conflicts of interest?



- controlling shareholder and a member of Buyer's board of directors.
- Has not adopted any bylaws or articles of association that differ from default minimum standards and does not follow any nonmandatory codes, principles, recommendations or guidelines relating to corporate governance.
- Is a manufacturing company with its own distribution network.

Assumptions about the transaction

- Mr. James owns 60% of Buyer and elected two directors to Buyer's five-member board.
- Mr. James also owns 90% of Seller, a company that operates a chain of retail hardware stores. Seller recently closed a large number of its stores.
- Mr. James proposes that Buyer purchase Seller's unused fleet of trucks to expand Buyer's distribution of its food products, a proposal to which Buyer agrees. The price is equal to 10% of Buyer's assets and is higher than the market value.
- The proposed transaction is part of the company's ordinary course of business and is not outside the authority of the company.
- Buyer enters into the transaction. All required approvals are obtained, and all required disclosures made (that is, the transaction is not fraudulent).
- The transaction causes damages to Buyer. Shareholders sue Mr. James and the other parties that approved the transaction.

Extent of disclosure index

The extent of disclosure index has five components:

- Which corporate body can provide legally sufficient approval for the transaction. A score of 0 is assigned if it is the CEO or the managing director alone; 1 if the board of directors, the supervisory board or shareholders must vote and Mr. James is permitted to vote; 2 if the board of directors or the supervisory board must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote;

3 if shareholders must vote and Mr. James is not permitted to vote.

- Whether it is required that an external body, for example, an external auditor, review the transaction before it takes place. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether disclosure by Mr. James to the board of directors or the supervisory board is required.⁵ A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required without any specifics; 1 if a general disclosure of the existence of a conflict of interest is required without any specifics; 2 if full disclosure of all material facts relating to Mr. James's interest in the Buyer-Seller transaction is required.
- Whether immediate disclosure of the transaction to the public, the regulator or the shareholders is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James's conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.
- Whether disclosure in the annual report is required. A score of 0 is assigned if no disclosure on the transaction is required; 1 if disclosure on the terms of the transaction is required but not on Mr. James's conflict of interest; 2 if disclosure on both the terms and Mr. James's conflict of interest is required.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater disclosure. In Poland, for example, the board of directors must approve the transaction and Mr. James is not allowed to vote (a score of 2). Poland does not require an external body to review the transaction (a score of 0). Before the transaction Mr. James must disclose his conflict of interest to the other directors, but he is not required to provide specific information about it (a score of 1). Buyer is required to disclose immediately all information affecting the stock price, including the conflict of interest (a score of 2). In its annual report Buyer must also disclose the terms of the transaction and Mr. James's ownership in Buyer and Seller (a score of 2). Adding

these numbers gives Poland a score of 7 on the extent of disclosure index.

Extent of director liability index

The extent of director liability index has seven components:⁶

- Whether shareholder plaintiffs are able to sue directly or derivatively for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if suits are unavailable or are available only for shareholders holding more than 10% of the company's share capital; 1 if direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% of share capital.
- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold Mr. James liable for the damage the Buyer-Seller transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if Mr. James cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud, bad faith or gross negligence; 1 if Mr. James can be held liable only if he influenced the approval of the transaction or was negligent; 2 if Mr. James can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.
- Whether a shareholder plaintiff is able to hold the approving body (the CEO, members of the board of directors or members of the supervisory board) liable for the damage the transaction causes to the company. A score of 0 is assigned if the approving body cannot be held liable or can be held liable only for fraud, bad faith or gross negligence; 1 if the approving body can be held liable for negligence; 2 if the approving body can be held liable when the transaction is unfair or prejudicial to the other shareholders.
- Whether Mr. James pays damages for the harm caused to the company upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether Mr. James repays profits made from the transaction upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

- Whether Mr. James is fined and imprisoned or disqualified upon a successful claim by the shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if he is fined and imprisoned or if he is disqualified—that is, disallowed from representing or holding a managerial position in any company for a year or more.
- Whether a court can void the transaction upon a successful claim by a shareholder plaintiff. A score of 0 is assigned if rescission is unavailable or is available only in case of fraud, bad faith or gross negligence; 1 if rescission is available when the transaction is oppressive or prejudicial to the other shareholders; 2 if rescission is available when the transaction is unfair or entails a conflict of interest.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater liability of directors. In Panama, for example, direct or derivative suits are available for shareholders holding 10% of share capital (a score of 1). Assuming that the prejudicial transaction was duly approved and disclosed, in order to hold Mr. James liable a plaintiff must prove that Mr. James influenced the approving body or acted negligently (a score of 1). To hold the other directors liable, a plaintiff must prove that they acted negligently (a score of 1). If Mr. James is found liable, he must pay damages (a score of 1) but he is not required to disgorge his profits (a score of 0). Mr. James can be neither fined and imprisoned nor disqualified (a score of 0). The prejudicial transaction cannot be voided (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Panama a score of 4 on the extent of director liability index.

Ease of shareholder suits index

The ease of shareholder suits index has six components:

- Whether shareholders owning 10% of the company's share capital have the right to inspect the transaction

documents before filing suit or request that a government inspector investigate the Buyer-Seller transaction without filing suit. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.

- What range of documents is available to the shareholder plaintiff from the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 1 is assigned for each of the following types of documents available: information that the defendant has indicated he intends to rely on for his defense; information that directly proves specific facts in the plaintiff's claim; and any information relevant to the subject matter of the claim.
- Whether the plaintiff can obtain categories of relevant documents from the defendant without identifying each document specifically. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether the plaintiff can directly examine the defendant and witnesses during trial. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes, with prior approval of the questions by the judge; 2 if yes, without prior approval.
- Whether the standard of proof for civil suits is lower than that for a criminal case. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if yes.
- Whether shareholder plaintiffs can recover their legal expenses from the company. A score of 0 is assigned if no; 1 if plaintiffs can recover their legal expenses from the company only upon a successful outcome of their legal action or if payment of their attorney fees is contingent on a successful outcome; 2 if plaintiffs can recover their legal expenses from the company regardless of the outcome of their legal action.

The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating greater powers of shareholders to challenge the transaction. In Croatia, for example, a shareholder holding 10% of Buyer's shares can request that a government inspector review suspected mismanagement by Mr. James and the CEO without filing suit in court

(a score of 1). The plaintiff can access documents that the defendant intends to rely on for his defense (a score of 1). The plaintiff must specifically identify the documents being sought (for example, the Buyer-Seller purchase agreement of July 15, 2015) and cannot simply request categories (for example, all documents related to the transaction) (a score of 0). The plaintiff can examine the defendant and witnesses during trial, without prior approval of the questions by the court (a score of 2). The standard of proof for civil suits is preponderance of the evidence, while the standard for a criminal case is beyond a reasonable doubt (a score of 1). The plaintiff can recover legal expenses from the company only upon a successful outcome of the legal action (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Croatia a score of 6 on the ease of shareholder suits index.

Extent of conflict of interest regulation index

The extent of conflict of interest regulation index is the average of the extent of disclosure index, the extent of director liability index and the ease of shareholder suits index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating stronger regulation of conflicts of interest.

SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The extent of shareholder governance index measures shareholders' rights in corporate governance by distinguishing three dimensions of good governance: shareholders' rights and role in major corporate decisions (extent of shareholder rights index), governance safeguards protecting shareholders from undue board control and entrenchment (extent of ownership and control index) and corporate transparency on ownership stakes, compensation, audits and financial prospects (extent of corporate transparency index). The index also measures whether a subset of relevant rights and safeguards are available in limited companies.

Extent of shareholder rights index

For each component of the extent of shareholder rights index, a score of 0 is assigned if the answer is no; 1 if yes. The index has 10 components:

- Whether the sale of 51% of Buyer's assets requires shareholder approval.
- Whether shareholders representing 10% of Buyer's share capital have the right to call for an extraordinary meeting of shareholders.
- Whether Buyer must obtain its shareholders' approval every time it issues new shares.
- Whether shareholders automatically receive preemption or subscription rights every time Buyer issues new shares.
- Whether the election and dismissal of the external auditor must be approved by the shareholders.
- Whether changes to rights associated with a class of shares are only possible if the holders of the affected shares approve those changes.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether the sale of 51% of Buyer's assets requires member approval.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether members representing 10% have the right to call for a meeting of members.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether all members must consent to add a new member.⁷
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether a member must first offer to sell his or her interest to the existing members before selling to a non-member.

Extent of ownership and control index

For each component of the extent of ownership and control index, a score of 0 is assigned if the answer is no; 1 if yes. The index has 10 components:

- Whether the same individual cannot be appointed CEO and chair of the board of directors.
- Whether the board of directors must include independent and nonexecutive board members.

- Whether shareholder can remove members of the board of directors without cause before the end of their term.
- Whether the board of directors must include a separate audit committee.
- Whether a potential acquirer must make a tender offer to all shareholders upon acquiring 50% of Buyer.
- Whether Buyer must pay dividends within a maximum period set by law after the declaration date.
- Whether a subsidiary is prohibited from acquiring shares issued by its parent company.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether there is a management deadlock breaking mechanism.⁸
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether a potential acquirer must make a tender offer to all members upon acquiring 50% of Buyer.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether Buyer must distribute profits within a maximum period set by law after the declaration date.

- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether members must meet at least once a year.¹⁰
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company, whether members representing 5% can put items on the meeting agenda.
- Assuming that Buyer is a limited company larger than a threshold set by law, whether its annual financial statements must be audited by an external auditor.

Extent of shareholder governance index

The extent of shareholder governance index is the average of the extent of shareholder rights index, the extent of ownership and control index and the extent of corporate transparency index. The index ranges from 0 to 10, with higher values indicating stronger rights of shareholders in corporate governance.

REFORMS

The protecting minority investors indicator set captures changes related to the regulation of related-party transactions as well as corporate governance every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. They are divided into two types: reforms that make it easier to do business and changes that make it more difficult to do business. The protecting minority investors indicator set uses the following criteria to recognize a reform:

Extent of corporate transparency index

For each component of the extent of corporate transparency index, a score of 0 is assigned if the answer is no; 1 if yes. The index has 10 components:

- Whether Buyer must disclose direct and indirect beneficial ownership stakes representing 5%.
- Whether Buyer must disclose information about board members' other directorships as well as basic information on their primary employment.
- Whether Buyer must disclose the compensation of individual managers.
- Whether a detailed notice of general meeting must be sent 21 calendar days before the meeting.⁹
- Whether shareholders representing 5% of Buyer's share capital can put items on the agenda for the general meeting.
- Whether Buyer's annual financial statements must be audited by an external auditor.
- Whether Buyer must disclose its audit reports to the public.

All legislative and regulatory changes that impact the score assigned to a given economy on any of the 48 questions comprising the six indicators on minority investor protection are classified as a reform. The change must be mandatory, meaning that failure to comply allows shareholders to sue in court or for sanctions to be leveled by a regulatory body such as the company registrar, the capital

market authority or the securities and exchange commission. Guidelines, model rules, principles, recommendations and duties to explain in case of non-compliance are excluded. When a change exclusively affects companies that are listed on the stock exchange, it will be captured only if the stock exchange has 10 or more equity listings. If the economy has no stock exchange or a stock exchange with less than 10 equity listings, the change is taken into account only if it affects companies irrespective of whether their shares are listed or not.

Reforms impacting the protecting minority investors indicator set include amendments to or the introduction of a new companies act, commercial code, securities regulation, code of civil procedure, court rules, law, decree, order, supreme court decision, or stock exchange listing rule. The changes must affect the rights and duties of issuers, company managers, directors and shareholders in connection with related-party transactions or, more generally, the aspects of corporate governance measured by the indicators. For example in a given economy, related-party transactions have to be approved by the board of directors including board members who have a personal financial interest in seeing the transaction succeed. This economy introduces a law requiring that related-party transactions be approved instead by a general meeting of

shareholders and that excludes shareholders with conflicting interests from participating in the vote. This law would result in a 2-point increase on the corresponding question in the extent of disclosure index and would therefore be acknowledged in the report.

The data details on protecting minority investors can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The initial methodology was developed by Djankov, La Porta and others (2008).

PAYING TAXES

Doing Business records the taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay in a given year as well as measures of the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions and complying with postfiling procedures (figure 12.13). The project was developed and implemented in cooperation with PwC.¹¹ Taxes and contributions measured include the profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer, property taxes, property transfer taxes, dividend tax, capital gains tax, financial transactions tax, waste collection taxes, vehicle and road taxes, and any other small taxes or fees.

The ranking of economies on the ease of paying taxes is determined by sorting

their distance to frontier scores for paying taxes. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 12.14), with a threshold and a nonlinear transformation applied to one of the component indicators, the total tax rate.¹² The threshold is defined as the total tax rate at the 15th percentile of the overall distribution for all years included in the analysis up to and including *Doing Business 2015*, which is 26.1%. All economies with a total tax rate below this threshold receive the same score as the economy at the threshold.

The threshold is not based on any economic theory of an “optimal tax rate” that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in an economy’s overall tax system. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature, set at the lower end of the distribution of tax rates levied on medium-size enterprises in the manufacturing sector as observed through the paying taxes indicators. This reduces the bias in the total tax rate indicator toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the *Doing Business* standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways—for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology).

FIGURE 12.13 What are the time, total tax rate and number of payments necessary for a local medium-size company to pay all taxes and how efficient is it for a local medium-size company to comply with postfiling processes?





FIGURE 12.14 Paying taxes: tax compliance for a local manufacturing company



Note: All economies below the threshold receive the same score in the total tax rate component as the economies at the threshold. If both VAT (or GST) and corporate income tax apply, the postfiling index is the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the four components: time to comply with VAT or GST refund, time to obtain VAT or GST refund, time to comply with corporate income tax audit and time to complete a corporate income tax audit. If only VAT (or GST) or corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is the simple average of the scores for only the two components pertaining to the applicable tax. If neither VAT (or GST) nor corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is not included in the ranking of the ease of paying taxes.

Doing Business measures all taxes and contributions that are government mandated (at any level—federal, state or local) and that apply to the standardized business and have an impact in its financial statements. In doing so, *Doing Business* goes beyond the traditional definition of a tax. As defined for the purposes of government national accounts, taxes include only compulsory, unrequited payments to general government. *Doing Business* departs from this definition because it measures imposed charges that affect business accounts, not government accounts. One main difference relates to labor contributions. The *Doing Business* measure includes government-mandated contributions paid by the employer to a requited private pension fund or workers'

insurance fund. It includes, for example, Australia's compulsory superannuation guarantee and workers' compensation insurance. For the purpose of calculating the total tax rate (defined below), only taxes borne are included. For example, value added taxes (VAT) are generally excluded (provided that they are not irrecoverable) because they do not affect the accounting profits of the business—that is, they are not reflected in the income statement. They are, however, included for the purpose of the compliance measures (time and payments), as they add to the burden of complying with the tax system.

Doing Business uses a case scenario to measure the taxes and contributions paid by a standardized business and the complexity of an economy's tax compliance system. This case scenario uses a set of financial statements and assumptions about transactions made over the course of the year. In each economy tax experts from a number of different firms (in many economies these include PwC) compute the taxes and mandatory contributions due in their jurisdiction based on the standardized case study facts. Information is also compiled on the frequency of filing and payments, the time taken to comply with tax laws in an economy, the time taken to request and process a VAT refund claim and the time taken to comply with and complete a corporate income tax audit. To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the taxes and contributions are used.

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability, taxable company. If there is more than one type of limited liability company in the economy, the limited liability form most common among domestic firms is chosen. The most common form is reported by incorporation lawyers or the statistical office.
- Started operations on January 1, 2014. At that time the company purchased
- all the assets shown in its balance sheet and hired all its workers.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1).
- Is 100% domestically owned and has five owners, all of whom are natural persons.
- At the end of 2014, has a start-up capital of 102 times income per capita.
- Performs general industrial or commercial activities. Specifically, it produces ceramic flowerpots and sells them at retail. It does not participate in foreign trade (no import or export) and does not handle products subject to a special tax regime, for example, liquor or tobacco.
- At the beginning of 2015, owns two plots of land, one building, machinery, office equipment, computers and one truck and leases one truck.
- Does not qualify for investment incentives or any benefits apart from those related to the age or size of the company.
- Has 60 employees—4 managers, 8 assistants and 48 workers. All are nationals, and one manager is also an owner. The company pays for additional medical insurance for employees (not mandated by any law) as an additional benefit. In addition, in some economies reimbursable business travel and client entertainment expenses are considered fringe benefits. When applicable, it is assumed that the company pays the fringe benefit tax on this expense or that the benefit becomes taxable income for the employee. The case study assumes no additional salary additions for meals, transportation, education or others. Therefore, even when such benefits are frequent, they are not added to or removed from the taxable gross salaries to arrive at the labor tax or contribution calculation.
- Has a turnover of 1,050 times income per capita.
- Makes a loss in the first year of operation.

- Has a gross margin (pretax) of 20% (that is, sales are 120% of the cost of goods sold).
- Distributes 50% of its net profits as dividends to the owners at the end of the second year.
- Sells one of its plots of land at a profit at the beginning of the second year.
- Is subject to a series of detailed assumptions on expenses and transactions to further standardize the case. For example, the owner who is also a manager spends 10% of income per capita on traveling for the company (20% of this owner's expenses are purely private, 20% are for entertaining customers, and 60% are for business travel). All financial statement variables are proportional to 2012 income per capita (this is an update from *Doing Business 2013* and previous years' reports, where the variables were proportional to 2005 income per capita). For some economies a multiple of two or three times income per capita has been used to estimate the financial statement variables.¹³ The 2012 income per capita was not sufficient to bring the salaries of all the case study employees up to the minimum wage thresholds that exist in these economies.

Assumptions about the taxes and contributions

- All the taxes and contributions recorded are those paid in the second year of operation (calendar year 2015). A tax or contribution is considered distinct if it has a different name or is collected by a different agency. Taxes and contributions with the same name and agency, but charged at different rates depending on the business, are counted as the same tax or contribution.
- The number of times the company pays taxes and contributions in a year is the number of different taxes or contributions multiplied by the frequency of payment (or withholding) for each tax. The frequency of payment includes advance payments

(or withholding) as well as regular payments (or withholding).

Tax payments

The tax payments indicator reflects the total number of taxes and contributions paid, the method of payment, the frequency of payment, the frequency of filing and the number of agencies involved for the standardized case study company during the second year of operation (table 12.12). It includes taxes withheld by the company, such as sales tax, VAT and employee-borne labor taxes. These taxes are traditionally collected by the company from the consumer or employee on behalf of the tax agencies. Although they do not affect the income statements of the company, they add to the administrative burden of complying with the tax system and so are included in the tax payments measure.

The number of payments takes into account electronic filing. Where full electronic filing and payment is allowed and it is used by the majority of medium-size businesses, the tax is counted as paid once a year even if filings and payments are more frequent. For payments made through third parties, such as tax on interest paid by a financial institution or fuel tax paid by a fuel distributor, only one payment is included even if payments are more frequent.

Where two or more taxes or contributions are filed for and paid jointly using the same form, each of these joint payments is counted once. For example, if mandatory health insurance contributions and mandatory pension contributions are filed for and paid together, only one of these contributions would be included in the number of payments.

Time

Time is recorded in hours per year. The indicator measures the time taken to prepare, file and pay three major types of taxes and contributions: the corporate income tax, value added or sales tax, and

TABLE 12.12 What do the paying taxes indicators measure?

Tax payments for a manufacturing company in 2015 (number per year adjusted for electronic and joint filing and payment)

Total number of taxes and contributions paid, including consumption taxes (value added tax, sales tax or goods and service tax)

Method and frequency of filing and payment

Time required to comply with three major taxes (hours per year)

Collecting information and computing the tax payable

Completing tax return forms, filing with proper agencies

Arranging payment or withholding

Preparing separate mandatory tax accounting books, if required

Total tax rate (% of profit before all taxes)

Profit or corporate income tax

Social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer

Property and property transfer taxes

Dividend, capital gains and financial transactions taxes

Waste collection, vehicle, road and other taxes

Postfiling index

Compliance time of a VAT or GST refund process

Time to receive a VAT or GST refund

Compliance time of correcting an error in the corporate income tax return including compliance with an audit process if applicable

Time to complete a corporate income tax audit

labor taxes, including payroll taxes and social contributions. Preparation time includes the time to collect all information necessary to compute the tax payable and to calculate the amount payable. If separate accounting books must be kept for tax purposes—or separate calculations made—the time associated with these processes is included. This extra time is included only if the regular accounting work is not enough to fulfill the tax accounting requirements. Filing time includes the time to complete all necessary tax return forms and file the relevant returns at the tax authority. Payment time considers the hours needed to make the payment online or in person. Where taxes and contributions are paid in person, the time includes delays while waiting.

Total tax rate

The total tax rate measures the amount of taxes and mandatory contributions borne by the business in the second year of operation, expressed as a share of commercial profit. *Doing Business 2017* reports the total tax rate for calendar year 2015. The total amount of taxes borne is the sum of all the different taxes and contributions payable after accounting for allowable deductions and exemptions. The taxes withheld (such as personal income tax) or collected by the company and remitted to the tax authorities (such as VAT, sales tax or goods and service tax) but not borne by the company are excluded. The taxes included can be divided into five categories: profit or corporate income tax, social contributions and labor taxes paid by the employer (for which all mandatory contributions are included, even if paid to a private entity such as a requited pension fund), property taxes, turnover taxes and other taxes (such as municipal fees and vehicle taxes). Fuel taxes are no longer included in the total tax rate because of the difficulty of computing these taxes in a consistent way for all economies covered. The fuel tax amounts are in most cases very small, and measuring these amounts is often complicated because they depend on fuel consumption. Fuel taxes continue to be counted in the number of payments.

The total tax rate is designed to provide a comprehensive measure of the cost of all the taxes a business bears. It differs from the statutory tax rate, which merely provides the factor to be applied to the tax base. In computing the total tax rate, the actual tax payable is divided by commercial profit. Data for Iraq are provided as an example (table 12.13).

Commercial profit is essentially net profit before all taxes borne. It differs from the conventional profit before tax, reported in financial statements. In computing profit before tax, many of the taxes borne by a firm are deductible. In computing commercial profit, these taxes are not deductible. Commercial profit therefore presents

TABLE 12.13 Computing the total tax rate for Iraq

Type of tax (tax base)	Statutory rate r (%)	Statutory tax base b (ID)	Actual tax payable $a = r \times b$ (ID)	Commercial profit* c (ID)	Total tax rate $t = a/c$ (%)
Corporate income tax (taxable income)	15	432,461,855	64,869,278	453,188,210	14.3
Employer-paid social security contributions (taxable wages)	12	511,191,307	61,342,957	453,188,210	13.5
Total			126,212,235		27.8

Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: Commercial profit is assumed to be 59.4 times income per capita. ID is Iraqi dinar.

* Profit before all taxes borne.

a clear picture of the actual profit of a business before any of the taxes it bears in the course of the fiscal year.

Commercial profit is computed as sales minus cost of goods sold, minus gross salaries, minus administrative expenses, minus other expenses, minus provisions, plus capital gains (from the property sale) minus interest expense, plus interest income and minus commercial depreciation. To compute the commercial depreciation, a straight-line depreciation method is applied, with the following rates: 0% for the land, 5% for the building, 10% for the machinery, 33% for the computers, 20% for the office equipment, 20% for the truck and 10% for business development expenses. Commercial profit amounts to 59.4 times income per capita.

The methodology for calculating the total tax rate is broadly consistent with the Total Tax Contribution framework developed by PwC and the calculation within this framework for taxes borne. But while the work undertaken by PwC is usually based on data received from the largest companies in the economy, *Doing Business* focuses on a case study for a standardized medium-size company.

Postfiling index

The postfiling index is based on four components—time to comply with VAT or GST refund, time to obtain VAT or GST refund, time to comply with corporate income tax audit and time to complete

a corporate income tax audit. If both VAT (or GST) and corporate income tax apply, the postfiling index is the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the four components. If only VAT (or GST) or corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is the simple average of the scores for only the two components pertaining to the applicable tax. If neither VAT (or GST) nor corporate income tax applies, the postfiling index is not included in the ranking of the ease of paying taxes.

The indicators are based on expanded case study assumptions.

Assumptions about the VAT refund process

- In June 2015, TaxpayerCo. makes a large capital purchase: one additional machine for manufacturing pots.
- The value of the machine is 65 times income per capita of the economy.
- Sales are equally spread per month (that is, 1,050 times income per capita divided by 12).
- Cost of goods sold are equally expensed per month (that is, 875 times income per capita divided by 12).
- The seller of the machinery is registered for VAT or general sales tax (GST).
- Excess input VAT incurred in June will be fully recovered after four consecutive months if the VAT or GST rate is the same for inputs, sales and the machine and the tax reporting period is every month.

Assumptions about the corporate income tax audit process

- An error in the calculation of the income tax liability (for example, use of incorrect tax depreciation rates, or incorrectly treating an expense as tax deductible) leads to an incorrect income tax return and consequently an underpayment of corporate income tax.
- TaxpayerCo. discovered the error and voluntarily notified the tax authority of the error in the corporate income tax return.
- The value of the underpaid income tax liability is 5% of the corporate income tax liability due.
- TaxpayerCo. submits the corrected information after the deadline for submitting the annual tax return, but within the tax assessment period.

Time to comply with VAT refund

Time is recorded in hours. The indicator has two parts:

- The process of claiming a VAT or GST refund. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. on gathering VAT information from internal sources, including time spent on any additional analysis of accounting information and calculating the VAT refund amount; time spent by TaxpayerCo. on preparing the VAT refund claim; time spent by TaxpayerCo. preparing any additional documents that are needed to substantiate the claim for the VAT refund; time spent making representation at the tax office if required and time spent by TaxpayerCo. completing any other mandatory activities or tasks associated with the VAT or GST refund. Input VAT will exceed Output VAT in June 2015 (table 12.14).

- The process of an audit if the case scenario is likely to trigger an audit. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. on gathering information and preparing any documentation (information such as receipts, financial statements, pay stubs) as required by the tax auditor; time spent by TaxpayerCo. in submitting the documents requested by the auditor.

A total estimate of zero hours is recorded if the process of claiming a VAT or GST refund is done automatically within the standard VAT or GST return without the need to complete any additional section or part of the return, no additional documents or tasks are required as a result of the input tax credit and the case scenario is unlikely to trigger an audit. It is assumed that in cases where taxpayers are required to submit a specific form for a VAT refund request and additional documents these are submitted at the same time as the VAT return.

An estimate of half an hour is recorded for submission of documents requested during an audit in the case of an audit taking place if the submission is done electronically and takes a matter of minutes. An estimate of zero hours is recorded in the case of a field audit if documents are submitted in person and at the taxpayer's premises.

In Kosovo, for example, taxpayers spend 30 hours complying with the process of claiming a VAT refund. Taxpayers must submit a special form for a VAT refund request in addition to the standard VAT return. Taxpayers spend two hours gathering information from internal sources and accounting records and 1 hour to prepare the form. Taxpayers must also prepare and have available for review all purchase and sales invoices for the past three months, a business explanation of VAT overpayment for large purchases

or investments, bank statements, any missing tax declaration and a copy of fiscal and VAT certificates. Taxpayers spend four hours preparing these additional documents. Taxpayers must also appear in person at the tax office to explain the VAT refund claim and the reasons for the excess input VAT in the month of June. This takes three hours. Additionally, the claim for a VAT refund would trigger a full audit at the taxpayer's premises. Taxpayers spend 20 hours preparing the documents requested by the auditor including purchase and sales invoices, bills, bank transactions, records on accounting software, tax returns and contracts. Taxpayers submit the documents to the auditor in person at their premises (zero hours for submission).

Time to obtain VAT refund

Time is recorded in weeks. Time measures the total waiting time to receive a VAT or GST refund from the moment the request has been submitted. If the case scenario is likely to trigger an audit, time includes time spent by TaxpayerCo. interacting with the auditor from the moment an audit begins until there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor (including the various rounds of interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor) and the time spent waiting for the tax auditor to issue the final tax assessment from the moment TaxpayerCo. has submitted all relevant information and documents and there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor.

TABLE 12.14 Computing the value of the VAT/GST input tax credit for Albania

	VAT rate <i>R</i>	Output VAT <i>R x Sales</i>	Input VAT (<i>R x A + R x B</i>)
Sales = ALL 37,398,864.84	20%	ALL 7,479,772.97	
Capital purchase (A) = ALL 27,782,013.88	20%		ALL 5,556,402.78
Raw material expenses (B) = ALL 31,165,720.70	20%		ALL 6,233,144.14
VAT refund (<i>R x A + R x B</i>) – (<i>R x Sales</i>)			ALL 4,309,773.95

Source: Doing Business database.

Time includes an average waiting time to submit the refund claim. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is half a month if the VAT or GST refund claim is filed monthly. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is one month if the VAT or GST refund claim is filed bimonthly. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is one and a half months if the VAT or GST refund claim is filed quarterly. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is three months if the VAT or GST refund claim is filed semi-annually. The average waiting time to submit the refund claim is six months if the VAT or GST refund claim is filed annually.

Time includes the mandatory carry forward time before a VAT refund in cash can be paid. The carry forward time is zero if there is no mandatory carry forward period.

In Albania, for example, it takes 27.7 weeks to receive a VAT refund. The request for a VAT refund triggers an audit by the tax authorities. Taxpayers spend 8.6 weeks interacting with the auditor and wait four weeks until the final assessment is issued. Taxpayers only receive the VAT refund after the audit is completed. In Albania the taxpayers must carry forward the VAT refund for three consecutive VAT accounting periods (three months in the case of Albania) before a refund in cash is requested. The three months (13 weeks) carry forward period is included in the total time to receive a VAT refund. The VAT return is filed monthly and thus 0.5 month (2.1 weeks) is included in the total time to receive a VAT refund.

If an economy does not have a VAT or GST, the economy will not be scored on the two indicators for a VAT or GST refund process—time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Bahrain. If an economy has a VAT or GST and the purchase of a machine is not subject to VAT, the economy will not be scored on time to comply with VAT refund and time

to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Sierra Leone. If an economy has a VAT or GST that was introduced in calendar year 2015 and there is not sufficient data to assess the refund process, the economy will not be scored on time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Malaysia, which replaced sales tax with GST on April 1, 2015.

If an economy has a VAT or GST but the ability to claim a refund is restricted to specific categories of taxpayers that do not include the case study company, the economy is assigned a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. In Bolivia, for example, only exporters are eligible to request a VAT refund. As a result, Bolivia receives a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. If an economy has a VAT or GST and the case study company is eligible to claim a refund but cash refunds do not occur in practice, the economy is assigned a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Central African Republic. If an economy has a VAT or GST but there is no refund mechanism in place, the economy is assigned a score of 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Grenada. If an economy has a VAT or GST but input tax on a capital purchase is a cost on the business, the economy is scored 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Myanmar. If an economy has a VAT or GST and legislation mandates that taxpayers carry forward the excess input tax for four months or more before a cash refund can be requested, the economy is scored 0 on the distance to frontier score for time to comply with VAT refund and time to obtain VAT refund. This is the case in Antigua and Barbuda.

Time to comply with corporate income tax audit

Time is recorded in hours. The indicator has two parts:

- The process of notifying the tax authorities of the error, amending the return and making additional payment. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. gathering information and preparing the documents required to notify the tax authorities; time spent by TaxpayerCo. in submitting the documents; and time spent by TaxpayerCo. in making the additional tax payment.
- The process of an audit if the case scenario is likely to trigger an audit. Time includes: time spent by TaxpayerCo. on gathering information and preparing any documentation (information such as receipts, financial statements, pay stubs) as required by the tax auditor; and time spent by TaxpayerCo. in submitting the documents requested by the auditor.

An estimate of half an hour is recorded for submission of documents or payment of the income tax liability due if the submission or payment is done electronically and takes several minutes. An estimate of zero hours is recorded in the case of a field audit if documents are submitted in person and at the taxpayer's premises.

In the Slovak Republic, for example, taxpayers would submit an amended corporate income tax return electronically. It takes taxpayers one hour to correct the error in the return, half an hour to submit the amended return online and half an hour to make the additional payment online. Amending a corporate income tax return per the case study scenario in the Slovak Republic would not trigger an audit. This brings the total compliance time to two hours.

Time to complete a corporate income tax audit

Time is recorded in weeks. Time includes the time spent by TaxpayerCo. interacting with the auditor from the moment

an audit begins until there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor (including the various rounds of interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor). Time also includes the time spent waiting for the tax auditor to issue the final tax assessment—from the moment TaxpayerCo. has submitted all relevant information and documents and there are no further interactions between TaxpayerCo. and the auditor.

Time to complete a corporate income tax audit is recorded as zero if the case study scenario is unlikely to trigger an audit.

In Switzerland, for example, taxpayers are subject to a single issue audit conducted at the taxpayer's premises as a result of amending a corporate income tax return per the case study scenario. Taxpayers interact for a total of four days (0.6 weeks) with the auditor and wait for four weeks until the final assessment is issued by the auditor, resulting in a total of 4.6 weeks to complete a corporate income tax audit.

If an economy does not levy corporate income tax, the economy will not be scored on the two indicators: time to comply with corporate income tax audit and time to complete a corporate income tax audit. This is the case in Vanuatu.

REFORMS

The paying taxes indicator set tracks changes related to the different taxes and mandatory contributions that a medium-size company must pay in a given year, the administrative burden of paying taxes and contributions and the administrative burden of complying with two postfiling processes (VAT refund, and tax audit) per calendar year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that

make it more difficult to do business. The paying taxes indicator set uses one criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2 or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details on the relative gap, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example if the implementation of a new electronic system for filing or paying one of the three major taxes (corporate income tax, VAT or GST, labor taxes and mandatory contributions) reduces time and/or the number of payments in a way that the overall relative gap decreases by 2 percentage points or more, such change is classified as a reform. Alternatively, minor updates to tax rates or fixed charges or other smaller changes in the indicators that have an aggregate impact less than 2 percentage points on the relative gap are not classified as a reform, but their impact


is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

The data details on paying taxes can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. This methodology was developed by Djankov and others (2010).

TRADING ACROSS BORDERS


Doing Business records the time and cost associated with the logistical process of exporting and importing goods. *Doing Business* measures the time and cost (excluding tariffs) associated with three sets of procedures—documentary compliance, border compliance and domestic transport—within the overall process of exporting or importing a shipment of goods. Figure 12.15, using the example of Brazil (as exporter) and China (as importer), shows the process of exporting a shipment from a warehouse in the origin economy to a warehouse in an overseas trading partner through a port. Figure 12.16, using the example of Kenya

FIGURE 12.15 What makes up the time and cost to export to an overseas trading partner?



Source: *Doing Business* database.

FIGURE 12.16 What makes up the time and cost to export to a regional trading partner?




Source: *Doing Business* database.

(as exporter) and Uganda (as importer), shows the process of exporting a shipment from a warehouse in the origin economy to a warehouse in a regional trading partner through a land border. The ranking of economies on the ease of trading across borders is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for trading across borders. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for the time and cost for documentary compliance and border compliance to export and import (figure 12.17).

Although *Doing Business* collects and publishes data on the time and cost for domestic transport, it does not use these data in calculating the distance to frontier score for trading across borders or the ranking on the ease of trading across borders. The main reason for this is that the time and cost for domestic transport are affected by many external factors—such as the geography and topography of the transit territory, road capacity and general infrastructure, proximity to the nearest port or border, and the location of warehouses where the traded goods are stored—and so are

FIGURE 12.17 Trading across borders: time and cost to export and import



Note: The time and cost for domestic transport and the number of documents to export and import are measured but do not count for the rankings.

not directly influenced by an economy's trade policies and reforms.

The data on trading across borders are gathered through a questionnaire administered to local freight forwarders, customs brokers, port authorities and traders. Questionnaire responses are verified through several rounds of follow-up communication with respondents as well as by contacting third parties and consulting public sources. The questionnaire data are confirmed through teleconference calls or on-site visits in most economies.

If an economy has no formal, large-scale, private sector cross-border trade taking place as a result of government restrictions, armed conflict or a natural disaster, it is considered a “no practice” economy. A “no practice” economy receives a distance to frontier score of 0 for all the trading across borders indicators.

Assumptions of the case study

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions are made about the traded goods and the transactions:

- For each of the 190 economies covered by *Doing Business*, it is assumed that a shipment travels from a warehouse in the largest business city of the exporting economy to a warehouse in the largest business city of the importing economy. For 11 economies the data are also collected, under the same case study assumptions, for the second largest business city (table 12A.1).
- The import and export case studies assume different traded products. It is assumed that each economy imports a standardized shipment of 15 metric tons of containerized auto parts (HS 8708) from its natural import partner—the economy from which it imports the largest value (price times quantity) of auto parts. It is assumed that each economy exports the product of its comparative advantage (defined by the largest export value) to its natural export partner—the economy that is the largest purchaser of this product. Precious metal and
- gems, mineral fuels, oil products, live animals, residues and waste of foods and products as well as pharmaceuticals are excluded from the list of possible export products, however, and in these cases the second largest product category is considered as needed.¹⁴
- A shipment is a unit of trade. Export shipments do not necessarily need to be containerized, while import shipments of auto parts are assumed to be containerized.
- If government fees are determined by the value of the shipment, the value is assumed to be \$50,000.
- The product is new, not secondhand or used merchandise.
- The exporting/importing firm hires and pays for a freight forwarder or customs broker (or both) and pays for all costs related to international shipping, domestic transport, clearance and mandatory inspections by customs and other government agencies, port or border handling, documentary compliance fees and the like.
- The mode of transport is the one most widely used for the chosen export or import product and the trading partner, as is the seaport or land border crossing.
- All electronic submissions of information requested by any government agency in connection with the shipment are considered to be documents obtained, prepared and submitted during the export or import process.
- A port or border is defined as a place (seaport or land border crossing) where merchandise can enter or leave an economy.
- Government agencies considered relevant are agencies such as customs, port authorities, road police, border guards, standardization agencies, ministries or departments of agriculture or industry, national security agencies, central banks and any other government authorities.

Time

Time is measured in hours, and 1 day is 24 hours (for example, 22 days are recorded

as $22 \times 24 = 528$ hours). If customs clearance takes 7.5 hours, the data are recorded as is. Alternatively, suppose that documents are submitted to a customs agency at 8:00 a.m., are processed overnight and can be picked up at 8:00 a.m. the next day. In this case the time for customs clearance would be recorded as 24 hours because the actual procedure took 24 hours.

Cost

Insurance cost and informal payments for which no receipt is issued are excluded from the costs recorded. Costs are reported in U.S. dollars. Contributors are asked to convert local currency into U.S. dollars based on the exchange rate prevailing on the day they answer the questionnaire. Contributors are private sector experts in international trade logistics and are informed about exchange rates and their movements.

Documentary compliance

Documentary compliance captures the time and cost associated with compliance with the documentary requirements of all government agencies of the origin economy, the destination economy and any transit economies (table 12.15). The aim is to measure the total burden of preparing the bundle of documents that will enable completion of the international trade for the product and partner pair assumed in the case study. As a shipment moves from Mumbai to New York City, for example, the freight forwarder must prepare and submit documents to the customs agency in India, to the port authorities in Mumbai and to the customs agency in New York City.

The time and cost for documentary compliance include the time and cost for obtaining documents (such as time spent to get the document issued and stamped); preparing documents (such as time spent gathering information to complete the customs declaration or certificate of origin); processing documents (such as time spent waiting for the

TABLE 12.15 What do the indicators on the time and cost to export and import cover?

Documentary compliance
Obtaining, preparing and submitting documents during transport, clearance, inspections and port or border handling in origin economy
Obtaining, preparing and submitting documents required by destination economy and any transit economies
Covers all documents required by law and in practice, including electronic submissions of information as well as non-shipment-specific documents necessary to complete the trade
Border compliance
Customs clearance and inspections by customs
Inspections by other agencies (if applied to more than 10% of shipments)
Port or border handling at most widely used port or border of economy
Domestic transport
Loading and unloading of shipment at warehouse, dry port or border
Transport by most widely used mode between warehouse and terminal or dry port
Transport by most widely used mode between terminal or dry port and most widely used border or port
Traffic delays and road police checks while shipment is en route

relevant authority to issue a phytosanitary certificate); presenting documents (such as time spent showing a port terminal receipt to port authorities); and submitting documents (such as time spent submitting a customs declaration to the customs agency in person or electronically).

All electronic or paper submissions of information requested by any government agency in connection with the shipment are considered to be documents obtained, prepared and submitted during the export or import process. All documents prepared by the freight forwarder or customs broker for the product and partner pair assumed in the case study are included regardless of whether they are required by law or in practice. Any documents prepared and submitted so as to get access to preferential treatment—for example, a certificate of origin—are included in the calculation of the time and cost for documentary compliance. Any documents prepared and submitted because of a perception that they ease the passage of the shipment are also included (for example, freight forwarders may prepare a packing list because in their experience this reduces the probability of physical or other intrusive inspections).

In addition, any documents that are mandatory for exporting or importing are included in the calculation of time and cost. Documents that need to be obtained only once are not counted, however. And *Doing Business* does not include documents needed to produce and sell in the domestic market—such as certificates of third-party safety standards testing that may be required to sell toys domestically—unless a government agency needs to see these documents during the export process.

Border compliance

Border compliance captures the time and cost associated with compliance with the economy's customs regulations and with regulations relating to other inspections that are mandatory in order for the shipment to cross the economy's border, as well as the time and cost for handling that takes place at its port or border. The time and cost for this segment include time and cost for customs clearance and inspection procedures conducted by other government agencies. For example, the time and cost for conducting a phytosanitary inspection would be included here.

The computation of border compliance time and cost depends on where the

border compliance procedures take place, who requires and conducts the procedures and what is the probability that inspections will be conducted. If all customs clearance and other inspections take place at the port or border, the time estimate for border compliance takes this simultaneity into account. It is entirely possible that the border compliance time and cost could be negligible or zero, as in the case of trade between members of the European Union or other customs unions.

If some or all customs or other inspections take place at other locations, the time and cost for these procedures are added to the time and cost for those that take place at the port or border. In Kazakhstan, for example, all customs clearance and inspections take place at a customs post in Almaty that is not at the land border between Kazakhstan and China. In this case border compliance time is the sum of the time spent at the terminal in Almaty and the handling time at the border.

Doing Business asks contributors to estimate the time and cost for clearance and inspections by customs agencies—defined as documentary and physical inspections for the purpose of calculating duties by verifying product classification, confirming quantity, determining origin and checking the veracity of other information on the customs declaration. (This category includes all inspections aimed at preventing smuggling.) These are clearance and inspection procedures that take place in the majority of cases and thus are considered the “standard” case. The time and cost estimates capture the efficiency of the customs agency of the economy.

Doing Business also asks contributors to estimate the total time and cost for clearance and inspections by customs and all other government agencies for the specified product. These estimates account for inspections related to health, safety, phytosanitary standards, conformity and

the like, and thus capture the efficiency of agencies that require and conduct these additional inspections.

If inspections by agencies other than customs are conducted in 20% or fewer cases, the border compliance time and cost measures take into account only clearance and inspections by customs (the standard case). If inspections by other agencies take place in more than 20% of cases, the time and cost measures account for clearance and inspections by all agencies. Different types of inspections may take place with different probabilities—for example, scanning may take place in 100% of cases while physical inspection occurs in 5% of cases. In situations like this, *Doing Business* would count the time only for scanning because it happens in more than 20% of cases while physical inspection does not. The border compliance time and cost for an economy do not include the time and cost for compliance with the regulations of any other economy.

Domestic transport

Domestic transport captures the time and cost associated with transporting the shipment from a warehouse in the largest business city of the economy to the most widely used seaport, airport or land border of the economy. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1). This set of procedures captures the time for (and cost of) the actual transport; any traffic delays and road police checks; as well as time spent on loading or unloading at the warehouse or border. For a coastal economy with an overseas trading partner, domestic transport captures the time and cost from the loading of the shipment at the warehouse until the shipment reaches the economy’s port (figure 12.15). For an economy trading through a land border, domestic transport captures the time and cost from the loading of the shipment at the warehouse until the shipment reaches the economy’s land border (figure 12.16).

The time and cost estimates are based on the most widely used mode of transport (truck, train, riverboat) and the most widely used route (road, border posts) as reported by contributors. In the overwhelming majority of cases all contributors in an economy agree on the mode and route. In the few remaining cases *Doing Business* consulted additional contributors to get a sense of why there was disagreement. In these cases time and cost estimates are based on the mode and route chosen by the majority of contributors. For the 11 economies for which data are collected for both the largest and the second largest business city, *Doing Business* allows the most widely used route and the most widely used mode of transport to be different for the two cities. For example, shipments from Delhi are transported by train to Mundra port for export, while shipments from Mumbai travel by truck to Nhava Sheva port to be exported.

In the export case study, as noted, *Doing Business* does not assume a containerized shipment, and time and cost estimates may be based on the transport of 15 tons of noncontainerized products. In the import case study auto parts are assumed to be containerized. In the cases where cargo is containerized, the time and cost for transport and other procedures are based on a shipment consisting of homogeneous cargo belonging to a single Harmonized System (HS) classification code. This assumption is particularly important for inspections, because shipments of homogeneous products are often subject to fewer and shorter inspections than shipments of products belonging to various HS codes.

In some cases the shipment travels from the warehouse to a customs post or terminal for clearance or inspections and then travels onward to the port or border. In these cases the domestic transport time is the sum of the time for both transport segments. The time and cost for clearance or inspections

are included in the measures for border compliance, however, not in those for domestic transport.

REFORMS

The trading across borders indicator set records the time and cost associated with the logistical process of exporting and importing goods every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/16 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those that make it more difficult to do business. The trading across borders indicator uses a standard criterion to recognize a reform.

The aggregate gap on the overall distance to frontier of the indicator set is used to assess the impact of data changes. Any data update that leads to a change of 2 or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap is classified as a reform (for more details on the relative gap, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking). For example if the implementation of a single window system reduces time or cost in a way that the overall relative gap decreases by 2 percentage points or more, such change is classified as a reform. Minor shipping fee updates or other small changes on the indicators that have an aggregate impact of less than 2 percentage points on the relative gap are not classified as a reform, yet, but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators for this indicator set.

The data details on trading across borders can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.

ENFORCING CONTRACTS

Doing Business measures the time and cost for resolving a commercial dispute through a local first-instance court (table

12.16) and the quality of judicial processes index, evaluating whether each economy has adopted a series of good practices that promote quality and efficiency in the court system. The data are collected through study of the codes of civil procedure and other court regulations as well as questionnaires completed by local litigation lawyers and judges. The ranking of economies on the ease of enforcing contracts is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for enforcing contracts. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for each of the component indicators (figure 12.18).

EFFICIENCY OF RESOLVING A COMMERCIAL DISPUTE

The data on time and cost are built by following the step-by-step evolution of a commercial sale dispute (figure 12.19). The data are collected for a specific court for each city covered, under the assumptions about the case described below. The court is the one with jurisdiction over disputes worth 200% of income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. The name of the relevant court in each economy is published on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org/data/exploretopics/enforcing-contracts>. For the 11 economies for which the data are also collected for the second largest business city, the name of the relevant court in that city is given as well.

Assumptions about the case

- The value of the claim is equal to 200% of the economy's income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater.
- The dispute concerns a lawful transaction between two businesses (Seller and Buyer), both located in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1). Pursuant to a contract between the businesses, Seller sells some custom-made furniture to Buyer worth 200% of the economy's income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. After Seller delivers the goods

TABLE 12.16 What do the indicators on the efficiency of resolving a commercial dispute measure?

Time required to enforce a contract through the courts (calendar days)
Time to file and serve the case
Time for trial and to obtain the judgment
Time to enforce the judgment
Cost required to enforce a contract through the courts (% of claim)
Average attorney fees
Court costs
Enforcement costs

FIGURE 12.18 Enforcing contracts: efficiency and quality of commercial dispute resolution





FIGURE 12.19 What are the time and cost to resolve a commercial dispute through a local first-instance court?



to Buyer, Buyer refuses to pay the contract price, alleging that the goods are not of adequate quality. Because they were custom-made, Seller is unable to sell them to anyone else.

- Seller (the plaintiff) sues Buyer (the defendant) to recover the amount under the sales agreement. The dispute is brought before the court located in the economy's largest business city with jurisdiction over commercial cases worth 200% of income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. As noted, for 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city.
- At the outset of the dispute, Seller decides to attach Buyer's movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles) because Seller fears that Buyer may hide its assets or otherwise become insolvent.
- The claim is disputed on the merits because of Buyer's allegation that the quality of the goods was not adequate. Because the court cannot decide the case on the basis of documentary evidence or legal title alone, an expert opinion is given on the quality of the goods. If it is standard practice in the economy for each party to call its own expert witness, the parties each call one expert witness. If it is standard practice for the judge to appoint an independent expert, the judge does so. In this case the judge does not allow opposing expert testimony.
- Following the expert opinion, the judge decides that the goods delivered by Seller were of adequate quality and that Buyer must pay the contract price. The judge thus renders a final judgment that is 100% in favor of Seller.
- Buyer does not appeal the judgment. Seller decides to start enforcing the judgment as soon as the time allocated by law for appeal lapses.
- Seller takes all required steps for prompt enforcement of the judgment. The money is successfully collected through a public sale of Buyer's

movable assets (for example, office equipment and vehicles).

Time

Time is recorded in calendar days, counted from the moment the plaintiff decides to file the lawsuit in court until payment. This includes both the days when actions take place and the waiting periods in between. The average duration of three different stages of dispute resolution is recorded: the completion of service of process (time to file and serve the case), the issuance of judgment (time for trial and to obtain the judgment) and the recovery of the claim value through a public sale (time for enforcement of the judgment).

Cost

Cost is recorded as a percentage of the claim value, assumed to be equivalent to 200% of income per capita or \$5,000, whichever is greater. Three types of costs are recorded: court costs, enforcement costs and average attorney fees.

Court costs include all costs that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to the court, regardless of the final cost borne by Seller. Court costs include the fees that must be paid to obtain an expert opinion. Enforcement costs are all costs that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to enforce the judgment through a public sale of Buyer's movable assets, regardless of the final cost borne by Seller. Average attorney fees are the fees that Seller (plaintiff) must advance to a local attorney to represent Seller in the standardized case, regardless of final reimbursement. Bribes are not taken into account.

QUALITY OF JUDICIAL PROCESSES

The quality of judicial processes index measures whether each economy has adopted a series of good practices in its court system in four areas: court structure and proceedings, case management, court automation and alternative dispute resolution (table 12.17).

Court structure and proceedings index

The court structure and proceedings index has five components:

- Whether a specialized commercial court or a section dedicated solely to hearing commercial cases is in place. A score of 1.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether a small claims court or a fast-track procedure for small claims is in place. A score of 1 is assigned if such a court or procedure is in place, it is applicable to all civil cases and the law sets a cap on the value of cases that can be handled through this court or procedure. If small claims are handled by a stand-alone court, the point is assigned only if this court applies a simplified procedure. An additional score of 0.5 is assigned if parties can represent themselves before this court or during this procedure. If no small claims court or simplified procedure is in place, a score of 0 is assigned.
- Whether plaintiffs can obtain pretrial attachment of the defendant's movable assets if they fear the assets may be moved out of the jurisdiction or otherwise dissipated. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether cases are assigned randomly and automatically to judges throughout the competent court. A score of 1 is assigned if the assignment of cases is random and automated; 0.5 if it is random but not automated; 0 if it is neither random nor automated.
- Whether a woman's testimony carries the same evidentiary weight in court as a man's. A score of -1 is assigned if the law differentiates between the evidentiary value of a woman's testimony and that of a man; 0 if it does not.

The index ranges from 0 to 5, with higher values indicating a more sophisticated and streamlined court structure. In Bosnia and Herzegovina, for example, a specialized commercial court is in place (a score of 1.5), and small claims can be resolved through a dedicated court in which self-representation is allowed (a score of 1.5). Plaintiffs can obtain pretrial attachment

of the defendant's movable assets if they fear dissipation during trial (a score of 1). Cases are assigned randomly through an electronic case management system (a score of 1). A woman's testimony carries the same evidentiary weight in court as a man's (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Bosnia and Herzegovina a score of 5 on the court structure and proceedings index.

Case management index

The case management index has six components:

- Whether any of the applicable laws or regulations on civil procedure contain time standards for at least three of the following key court events: (i) service of process; (ii) first hearing; (iii) filing of the statement of defense; (iv) completion of the evidence period; (v) filing of testimony by expert; and (vi) submission of the final judgment. A score of 1 is assigned if such time standards are available and respected in more than 50% of cases; 0.5 if they are available but not respected in more than 50% of cases; 0 if there are time standards for less than three of these key court events or for none.
- Whether there are any laws regulating the maximum number of adjournments or continuances that can be granted, whether adjournments are limited by law to unforeseen and exceptional circumstances and whether these rules are respected in more than 50% of cases. A score of 1 is assigned if all three conditions are met; 0.5 if only two of the three conditions are met; 0 if only one of the conditions is met or if none are.
- Whether there are any performance measurement reports that can be generated about the competent court to monitor the court's performance, to track the progress of cases through the court and to ensure compliance with established time standards. A score of 1 is assigned if at least two of the following four reports are made publicly available: (i) time to

TABLE 12.17 What do the indicators on the quality of judicial processes measure?

Court structure and proceedings index (0–5)

- Availability of specialized commercial court, division or section
- Availability of small claims court and/or simplified procedure for small claims
- Availability of pretrial attachment
- Criteria used to assign cases to judges
- Evidentiary weight of woman's testimony

Case management index (0–6)

- Regulations setting time standards for key court events
- Regulations on adjournments and continuances
- Availability of performance measurement mechanisms
- Availability of pretrial conference
- Availability of electronic case management system for judges
- Availability of electronic case management system for lawyers

Court automation index (0–4)

- Ability to file initial complaint electronically
- Ability to serve initial complaint electronically
- Ability to pay court fees electronically
- Publication of judgments

Alternative dispute resolution index (0–3)

- Arbitration
- Voluntary mediation and/or conciliation

Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)

- Sum of the court structure and proceedings, case management, court automation and alternative dispute resolution indices

disposition report; (ii) clearance rate report; (iii) age of pending cases report; and (iv) single case progress report. A score of 0 is assigned if only one of these reports is available or if none are.

- Whether a pretrial conference is among the case management techniques used before the competent court and at least three of the following issues are discussed during the pretrial conference: (i) scheduling (including the time frame for filing motions and other documents with the court); (ii) case complexity and projected length of trial; (iii) possibility of settlement or alternative dispute resolution; (iv) exchange of witness lists; (v) evidence; (vi) jurisdiction and other procedural issues; and (vii) narrowing down of contentious issues. A score of 1 is assigned if a pretrial conference in which at least

three of these events are discussed is held within the competent court; 0 if not.

- Whether judges within the competent court can use an electronic case management system for at least four of the following purposes: (i) to access laws, regulations and case law; (ii) to automatically generate a hearing schedule for all cases on their docket; (iii) to send notifications (for example, e-mails) to lawyers; (iv) to track the status of a case on their docket; (v) to view and manage case documents (briefs, motions); (vi) to assist in writing judgments; (vii) to semiautomatically generate court orders; and (viii) to view court orders and judgments in a particular case. A score of 1 is assigned if an electronic case management system is available that judges can use for at least four of these purposes; 0 if not.

- Whether lawyers can use an electronic case management system for at least four of the following purposes: (i) to access laws, regulations and case law; (ii) to access forms to be submitted to the court; (iii) to receive notifications (for example, e-mails); (iv) to track the status of a case; (v) to view and manage case documents (briefs, motions); (vi) to file briefs and documents with the court; and (vii) to view court orders and decisions in a particular case. A score of 1 is assigned if an electronic case management system is available that lawyers can use for at least four of these purposes; 0 if not.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating a more qualitative and efficient case management system. In Croatia, for example, time standards for at least three key court events are established in applicable civil procedure instruments and are respected in more than 50% of cases (a score of 1). The law stipulates that adjournments can be granted only for unforeseen and exceptional circumstances and this rule is respected in more than 50% of cases (a score of 0.5). A time to disposition report and a clearance rate report can be generated about the competent court (a score of 1). A pretrial conference is among the case management techniques used before the Zagreb Commercial Court (a score of 1). An electronic case management system satisfying the criteria outlined above is available to judges (a score of 1) and to lawyers (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Croatia a score of 5.5 on the case management index, the highest score attained by any economy on this index.

Court automation index

The court automation index has four components:

- Whether the initial complaint can be filed electronically through a dedicated platform (not e-mail or fax) within the relevant court. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.

- Whether the initial complaint can be served on the defendant electronically, through a dedicated system or by e-mail, fax or SMS (short message service). A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether court fees can be paid electronically, either through a dedicated platform or through online banking. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether judgments rendered by local courts are made available to the general public through publication in official gazettes, in newspapers or on the internet. A score of 1 is assigned if judgments rendered in commercial cases at all levels are made available to the general public; 0.5 if only judgments rendered at the appeal and supreme court level are made available to the general public; 0 in all other instances.

The index ranges from 0 to 4, with higher values indicating a more automated, efficient and transparent court system. In Korea, for example, the initial summons can be filed online (a score of 1), it can be served on the defendant electronically (a score of 1), and court fees can be paid electronically as well (a score of 1). In addition, judgments in commercial cases at all levels are made publicly available through the internet (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Korea a score of 4 on the court automation index.

Alternative dispute resolution index

The alternative dispute resolution index has six components:

- Whether domestic commercial arbitration is governed by a consolidated law or consolidated chapter or section of the applicable code of civil procedure encompassing substantially all its aspects. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether commercial disputes of all kinds—aside from those dealing with public order, public policy, bankruptcy, consumer rights, employment issues

or intellectual property—can be submitted to arbitration. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.

- Whether valid arbitration clauses or agreements are enforced by local courts in more than 50% of cases. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether voluntary mediation, conciliation or both are a recognized way of resolving commercial disputes. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether voluntary mediation, conciliation or both are governed by a consolidated law or consolidated chapter or section of the applicable code of civil procedure encompassing substantially all their aspects. A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether there are any financial incentives for parties to attempt mediation or conciliation (for example, if mediation or conciliation is successful, a refund of court filing fees, an income tax credit or the like). A score of 0.5 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values associated with greater availability of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. In Israel, for example, arbitration is regulated through a dedicated statute (a score of 0.5), all relevant commercial disputes can be submitted to arbitration (a score of 0.5), and valid arbitration clauses are usually enforced by the courts (a score of 0.5). Voluntary mediation is a recognized way of resolving commercial disputes (a score of 0.5), it is regulated through a dedicated statute (a score of 0.5), and part of the filing fees is reimbursed if the process is successful (a score of 0.5). Adding these numbers gives Israel a score of 3 on the alternative dispute resolution index.

Quality of judicial processes index

The quality of judicial processes index is the sum of the scores on the court structure and proceedings, case management, court automation and alternative dispute resolution indices. The index ranges from 0 to 18, with higher values

indicating better and more efficient judicial processes.

REFORMS

The enforcing contracts indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of commercial dispute resolution systems every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The enforcing contracts indicator set uses three criteria to recognize a reform.

First, all changes in laws and regulations that have any impact on the economy's score on the quality of judicial processes index are classified as reforms. Examples of reforms impacting the quality of judicial processes index include measures to introduce electronic filing of the initial complaint, the creation of a commercial court or division, or the introduction of dedicated systems to resolve small claims. Changes affecting the quality of judicial processes index can be different in magnitude and scope and still be considered a reform. For example, implementing a new electronic case management system for the use of judges and lawyers represents a reform with a 2-point increase in the index, while introducing incentives for the parties to use mediation represents a reform with a 0.5-point increase in the index.

Second, changes that have an impact on the time and cost to resolve a dispute may also be classified as reforms depending on the magnitude of the changes. According to the enforcing contracts methodology, any updates in legislation leading to a change of 2 or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking) of the

time and cost indicators is classified as a reform. Changes with lower impact are not classified as reforms but they are still reflected on the most updated indicators.

Third, occasionally the enforcing contracts indicator set will acknowledge legislative changes with no current impact on the data as reforms. This option is typically reserved to legislative changes of exceptional magnitude such as sizeable revisions of the applicable civil procedure laws.

The data details on enforcing contracts can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. This methodology was initially developed by Djankov and others (2003) and is adopted here with several changes. The quality of judicial processes index was introduced in Doing Business 2016. The good practices tested in this index were developed on the basis of internationally recognized good practices promoting judicial efficiency.

RESOLVING INSOLVENCY

Doing Business studies the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings involving domestic entities as well as the strength of the legal framework applicable to judicial liquidation and reorganization proceedings. The data for the resolving insolvency indicators are derived from questionnaire responses by local insolvency practitioners and verified through a study of laws and regulations as well as public information on insolvency systems. The ranking of economies on the ease of resolving insolvency is determined by sorting their distance to frontier scores for resolving insolvency. These scores are the simple average of the distance to frontier scores for the recovery rate and the strength of insolvency framework index (figure 12.20).

RECOVERY OF DEBT IN INSOLVENCY

The recovery rate is calculated based on the time, cost and outcome of insolvency

proceedings in each economy. To make the data on the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings comparable across economies, several assumptions about the business and the case are used.


Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company.
- Operates in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1).
- Is 100% domestically owned, with the founder, who is also chairman of the supervisory board, owning 51% (no other shareholder holds more than 5% of shares).
- Has downtown real estate, where it runs a hotel, as its major asset.
- Has a professional general manager.
- Has 201 employees and 50 suppliers, each of which is owed money for the last delivery.
- Has a 10-year loan agreement with a domestic bank secured by a mortgage over the hotel's real estate property. A universal business charge (an enterprise charge) is also assumed in economies where such collateral is recognized. If the laws of the economy do not specifically provide for an enterprise charge but contracts commonly use some other provision to that effect, this provision is specified in the loan agreement.

FIGURE 12.20 Resolving insolvency: recovery rate and strength of insolvency framework

Rankings are based on distance to frontier scores for two indicators



- Has observed the payment schedule and all other conditions of the loan up to now.
- Has a market value, operating as a going concern, of 100 times income per capita or \$200,000, whichever is greater. The market value of the company's assets, if sold piecemeal, is 70% of the market value of the business.

Assumptions about the case

The business is experiencing liquidity problems. The company's loss in 2015 reduced its net worth to a negative figure. It is January 1, 2016. There is no cash to pay the bank interest or principal in full, due the next day, January 2. The business will therefore default on its loan. Management believes that losses will be incurred in 2016 and 2017 as well. But it expects 2016 cash flow to cover all operating expenses, including supplier payments, salaries, maintenance costs and taxes, though not principal or interest payments to the bank.

The amount outstanding under the loan agreement is exactly equal to the market value of the hotel business and represents 74% of the company's total debt. The other 26% of its debt is held by unsecured creditors (suppliers, employees, tax authorities).

The company has too many creditors to negotiate an informal out-of-court work-out. The following options are available: a judicial procedure aimed at the rehabilitation or reorganization of the company to permit its continued operation; a judicial procedure aimed at the liquidation or winding-up of the company; or a judicial debt enforcement procedure (foreclosure or receivership) against the company.

Assumptions about the parties

The bank wants to recover as much as possible of its loan, as quickly and cheaply as possible. The unsecured creditors will do everything permitted under the applicable laws to avoid a piecemeal sale of the assets. The majority shareholder wants to keep the company operating and under his control. Management wants to keep

the company operating and preserve its employees' jobs. All the parties are local entities or citizens; no foreign parties are involved.

Time

Time for creditors to recover their credit is recorded in calendar years (table 12.18). The period of time measured by *Doing Business* is from the company's default until the payment of some or all of the money owed to the bank. Potential delay tactics by the parties, such as the filing of dilatory appeals or requests for extension, are taken into consideration.

Cost

The cost of the proceedings is recorded as a percentage of the value of the debtor's estate. The cost is calculated on the basis of questionnaire responses and includes court fees and government levies; fees of insolvency administrators, auctioneers, assessors and lawyers; and all other fees and costs.

Outcome

Recovery by creditors depends on whether the hotel business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the company's assets are sold piecemeal. If the business continues operating, 100% of the hotel value is preserved. If the assets are sold piecemeal, the maximum amount that can be recovered is 70% of the value of the hotel.

Recovery rate

The recovery rate is recorded as cents on the dollar recovered by secured creditors through judicial reorganization, liquidation or debt enforcement (foreclosure or

TABLE 12.18 What do the indicators on debt recovery in insolvency measure?

Time required to recover debt (years)

Measured in calendar years

Appeals and requests for extension are included

Cost required to recover debt (% of debtor's estate)

Measured as percentage of estate value

Court fees

Fees of insolvency administrators

Lawyers' fees

Assessors' and auctioneers' fees

Other related fees

Outcome

Whether the business continues operating as a going concern or whether its assets are sold piecemeal

Recovery rate for secured creditors (cents on the dollar)

Measures the cents on the dollar recovered by secured creditors

Present value of debt recovered

Official costs of the insolvency proceedings are deducted

Depreciation of furniture is taken into account

Outcome for the business (survival or not) affects the maximum value that can be recovered

receivership) proceedings (figure 12.21). The calculation takes into account the outcome: whether the business emerges from the proceedings as a going concern or the assets are sold piecemeal. Then the costs of the proceedings are deducted (1 cent for each percentage point of the value of the debtor's estate). Finally, the value lost as a result of the time the money remains tied up in insolvency proceedings is taken into account, including the loss of value due to depreciation

FIGURE 12.21 Recovery rate is a function of the time, cost and outcome of insolvency proceedings against a local company



of the hotel furniture. Consistent with international accounting practice, the annual depreciation rate for furniture is taken to be 20%. The furniture is assumed to account for a quarter of the total value of assets. The recovery rate is the present value of the remaining proceeds, based on end-2015 lending rates from the International Monetary Fund's *International Financial Statistics*, supplemented with data from central banks and the Economist Intelligence Unit.

If an economy had zero completed cases a year over the past five years involving a judicial reorganization, judicial liquidation or debt enforcement procedure (foreclosure or receivership), the economy receives a "no practice" mark on the time, cost and outcome indicators. This means that creditors are unlikely to recover their money through a formal legal process. The recovery rate for "no practice" economies is zero. In addition, a "no practice" economy receives a score of 0 on the strength of insolvency framework index even if its legal framework includes provisions related to insolvency proceedings (liquidation or reorganization).

STRENGTH OF INSOLVENCY FRAMEWORK

The strength of insolvency framework index is based on four other indices: commencement of proceedings index, management of debtor's assets index, reorganization proceedings index and creditor participation index (figure 12.22; table 12.19).

Commencement of proceedings index

The commencement of proceedings index has three components:

- Whether debtors can initiate both liquidation and reorganization proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if debtors can initiate both types of proceedings; 0.5 if they can initiate only one of these types (either liquidation or reorganization); 0 if they cannot initiate insolvency proceedings.

FIGURE 12.22 Strength of insolvency framework index measures the quality of insolvency laws that govern relations between debtors, creditors and the court




TABLE 12.19 What do the indicators on the strength of the insolvency framework measure?

Commencement of proceedings index (0–3)

Availability of liquidation and reorganization to debtors and creditors

Standards for commencement of insolvency proceedings

Management of debtor's assets index (0–6)

Continuation and rejection of contracts during insolvency

Avoidance of preferential and undervalued transactions

Post-commencement finance

Reorganization proceedings index (0–3)

Approval and content of reorganization plan

Creditor participation index (0–4)

Creditors' participation in and rights during liquidation and reorganization proceedings

Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)

Sum of the commencement of proceedings, management of debtor's assets, reorganization proceedings and creditor participation indices

Management of debtor's assets index

The management of debtor's assets index has six components:

- Whether the debtor (or an insolvency representative on its behalf) can continue performing contracts essential to the debtor's survival. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if continuation of contracts is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.
- Whether the debtor (or an insolvency representative on its behalf) can reject overly burdensome contracts. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if rejection of contracts is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.
- Whether transactions entered into before commencement of insolvency proceedings that give preference to one or several creditors can be avoided after proceedings are initiated. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if avoidance of such transactions is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.
- Whether undervalued transactions entered into before commencement

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating greater access to insolvency proceedings. In Bulgaria, for example, debtors can initiate both liquidation and reorganization proceedings (a score of 1), but creditors can initiate only liquidation proceedings (a score of 0.5). Either the liquidity test or the balance sheet test can be used to commence insolvency proceedings (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Bulgaria a score of 2.5 on the commencement of proceedings index.

of insolvency proceedings can be avoided after proceedings are initiated. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if avoidance of such transactions is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.

- Whether the insolvency framework includes specific provisions that allow the debtor (or an insolvency representative on its behalf), after commencement of insolvency proceedings, to obtain financing necessary to function during the proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if obtaining post-commencement finance is not possible or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.
- Whether post-commencement finance receives priority over ordinary unsecured creditors during distribution of assets. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0.5 if post-commencement finance is granted superpriority over all creditors, secured and unsecured; 0 if no priority is granted to post-commencement finance or if the law contains no provisions on this subject.

The index ranges from 0 to 6, with higher values indicating more advantageous treatment of the debtor's assets from the perspective of the company's stakeholders. In Mozambique, for example, debtors can continue essential contracts (a score of 1) and reject burdensome ones (a score of 1) during insolvency proceedings. The insolvency framework allows avoidance of preferential transactions (a score of 1) and undervalued ones (a score of 1). But the insolvency framework contains no provisions allowing post-commencement finance (a score of 0) or granting priority to such finance (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Mozambique a score of 4 on the management of debtor's assets index.

Reorganization proceedings index

The reorganization proceedings index has three components:

- Whether the reorganization plan is voted on only by the creditors whose

rights are modified or affected by the plan. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0.5 if all creditors vote on the plan, regardless of its impact on their interests; 0 if creditors do not vote on the plan or if reorganization is not available.

- Whether creditors entitled to vote on the plan are divided into classes, each class votes separately and the creditors within each class are treated equally. A score of 1 is assigned if the voting procedure has these three features; 0 if the voting procedure does not have these three features or if reorganization is not available.
- Whether the insolvency framework requires that dissenting creditors receive as much under the reorganization plan as they would have received in liquidation. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no such provisions exist or if reorganization is not available.

The index ranges from 0 to 3, with higher values indicating greater compliance with internationally accepted practices. Nicaragua, for example, has no judicial reorganization proceedings and therefore receives a score of 0 on the reorganization proceedings index. In Estonia, another example, only creditors whose rights are affected by the reorganization plan are allowed to vote (a score of 1). The reorganization plan divides creditors into classes, each class votes separately and creditors within the same class are treated equally (a score of 1). But there are no provisions requiring that the return to dissenting creditors be equal to what they would have received in liquidation (a score of 0). Adding these numbers gives Estonia a score of 2 on the reorganization proceedings index.

Creditor participation index

The creditor participation index has four components:

- Whether creditors participate in the selection of an insolvency representative. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether creditors are required to approve the sale of substantial assets

of the debtor in the course of insolvency proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.

- Whether an individual creditor has the right to access financial information about the debtor during insolvency proceedings. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.
- Whether an individual creditor can object to a decision of the court or of the insolvency representative to approve or reject claims against the debtor brought by the creditor itself and by other creditors. A score of 1 is assigned if yes; 0 if no.

The index ranges from 0 to 4, with higher values indicating greater participation of creditors. In Iceland, for example, the court appoints the insolvency representative, without creditors' approval (a score of 0). The insolvency representative decides unilaterally on the sale of the debtor's assets (a score of 0). Any creditor can inspect the records kept by the insolvency representative (a score of 1). And any creditor is allowed to challenge a decision of the insolvency representative to approve all claims if this decision affects the creditor's rights (a score of 1). Adding these numbers gives Iceland a score of 2 on the creditor participation index.

Strength of insolvency framework index

The strength of insolvency framework index is the sum of the scores on the commencement of proceedings index, management of debtor's assets index, reorganization proceedings index and creditor participation index. The index ranges from 0 to 16, with higher values indicating insolvency legislation that is better designed for rehabilitating viable firms and liquidating nonviable ones.

REFORMS

The resolving insolvency indicator set tracks changes related to the efficiency and quality of insolvency framework every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms

and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Reforms are divided into two types: those that make it easier to do business and those changes that make it more difficult to do business. The resolving insolvency indicator set uses three criteria to recognize a reform.

First, all changes to laws and regulations that have any impact on the economy's score on the strength of insolvency framework index are classified as reforms. Examples of reforms impacting the strength of insolvency framework index include changes in the commencement standard for insolvency proceedings, the introduction of reorganization procedures for the first time and measures to regulate post-commencement credit and its priority. Changes affecting the strength of insolvency framework index can be different in magnitude and scope and still be considered a reform. For example implementing a post-commencement credit provision and designating it with certain priorities represents a reform with a potential 2 point increase in the index, while changing the commencement standard from the balance sheet test to the liquidity test represents a reform with a 0.5 points increase in the index.

Second, changes that have an impact on the time, cost or outcome of insolvency proceedings may also be classified as reforms depending on the magnitude of the changes. According to the resolving insolvency methodology any update in legislation leading to a change of 2 or more percentage points on the relative distance to frontier gap (for more details, see the chapter on the distance to frontier and ease of doing business ranking) of the time, cost and outcome indicators is classified as a reform. Changes with lower impact are not classified as reforms but their impact is still reflected on the most updated indicators.

Third, occasionally the resolving insolvency indicator set will acknowledge

legislative changes with no current impact on the data as reforms. This option is typically reserved to legislative changes of exceptional magnitude such as sizeable revisions of corporate insolvency laws.

This methodology was developed by Djankov, Hart and others (2008) and is adopted here with several changes. The strength of insolvency framework index was introduced in Doing Business 2015. The good practices tested in this index were developed on the basis of the World Bank's Principles for Effective Insolvency and Creditor/Debtor Regimes (World Bank 2011) and the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law's Legislative Guide on Insolvency Law (UNCITRAL 2004a)

LABOR MARKET REGULATION

Doing Business studies the flexibility of regulation of employment, specifically as it relates to the areas of hiring, working hours and redundancy. *Doing Business* also measures several aspects of job quality such as the availability of maternity leave, paid sick leave and the equal treatment of men and women at the workplace (figure 12.23).

Doing Business 2017 presents the data for the labor market regulation indicators in an annex. The report does not present rankings of economies on these indicators or include this indicator set in the aggregate distance to frontier score or ranking on the ease of doing business. Detailed data collected on labor market regulation are available on the *Doing Business* website (<http://www.doingbusiness.org>). The data on labor market regulation are based on a detailed questionnaire on employment regulations that is completed by local lawyers and public officials. Employment laws and regulations as well as secondary sources are reviewed to ensure accuracy.

To make the data comparable across economies, several assumptions about the worker and the business are used.

Assumptions about the worker

The worker:


- Is a cashier in a supermarket or grocery store, age 19, with one year of work experience.¹⁵
- Is a full-time employee.
- Is not a member of the labor union, unless membership is mandatory

Assumptions about the business

The business:

- Is a limited liability company (or the equivalent in the economy).

FIGURE 12.23 What do the labor market regulation indicators cover?



- Operates a supermarket or grocery store in the economy's largest business city. For 11 economies the data are also collected for the second largest business city (table 12A.1).
- Has 60 employees.
- Is subject to collective bargaining agreements if such agreements cover more than 50% of the food retail sector and apply even to firms that are not party to them.
- Abides by every law and regulation but does not grant workers more benefits than those mandated by law, regulation or (if applicable) collective bargaining agreements.

Employment

Data on employment cover three areas: hiring, working hours and redundancy (table 12.20).

Data on hiring cover four questions: (i) whether fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks; (ii) the maximum cumulative duration of fixed-term contracts; (iii) the minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience and (iv) the ratio of the minimum wage to the average value added per worker.¹⁶

Data on working hours cover nine questions: (i) the maximum number of working days allowed per week; (ii) the premium for night work (as a percentage of hourly pay); (iii) the premium for work on a weekly rest day (as a percentage of hourly pay); (iv) the premium for overtime work (as a percentage of hourly pay); (v) whether there are restrictions on night work; (vi) whether nonpregnant and non-nursing women can work the same night hours as men; (vii) whether there are restrictions on weekly holiday work; (viii) whether there are restrictions on overtime work; and (ix) the average paid annual leave for workers with 1 year of tenure, 5 years of tenure and 10 years of tenure.

Data on redundancy cover nine questions: (i) the length of the maximum probationary period (in months) for permanent

TABLE 12.20 What do the labor market regulation indicators measure?

Employment	
Hiring	
Whether fixed-term contracts are prohibited for permanent tasks	
Maximum duration of fixed-term contracts (in months), including renewals	
Minimum wage for a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience (US\$/month)	
Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	
Working hours	
Maximum number of working days per week	
Premium for night work, work on weekly rest day and overtime work (% of hourly pay)	
Whether there are restrictions on night work, weekly holiday work and overtime work	
Whether nonpregnant and nonnursing women can work the same night hours as men	
Paid annual vacation days for workers with 1 year of tenure, 5 years of tenure and 10 years of tenure.	
Redundancy	
Length of maximum probationary period (in months) for permanent employees	
Whether redundancy is allowed as grounds for termination	
Whether third-party notification is required for termination of a redundant worker or group of workers	
Whether third-party approval is required for termination of a redundant worker or group of workers	
Whether employer is obligated to reassign or retrain workers prior to making them redundant and to follow priority rules for redundancy and reemployment	
Redundancy cost (weeks of salary)	
Notice requirements and severance payments due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary	
Job quality	
Whether the law mandates equal remuneration for work of equal value	
Whether the law mandates nondiscrimination based on gender in hiring	
Whether the law mandates paid or unpaid maternity leave	
Minimum length of paid maternity leave (calendar days)	
Whether employees on maternity leave receive 100% of wages	
Availability of five fully paid days of sick leave a year	
Whether unemployment protection is available after one year of employment	
Minimum duration of contribution period (in months) required for unemployment protection	

employees; (ii) whether redundancy is allowed as a basis for terminating workers; (iii) whether the employer needs to notify a third party (such as a government agency) to terminate one redundant worker; (iv) whether the employer needs to notify a third party to terminate a group of nine redundant workers; (v) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate one redundant worker; (vi) whether the employer needs approval from a third party to terminate a group of nine redundant workers; (vii) whether the law requires the employer to reassign or retrain a worker before making the worker

redundant; (viii) whether priority rules apply for redundancies; and (ix) whether priority rules apply for reemployment.

Redundancy cost

Redundancy cost measures the cost of advance notice requirements and severance payments due when terminating a redundant worker, expressed in weeks of salary. The average value of notice requirements and severance payments applicable to a worker with 1 year of tenure, a worker with 5 years and a worker with 10 years is considered. One month is recorded as 4 and 1/3 weeks.

Job quality

Doing Business introduced new data on job quality in 2015. *Doing Business* 2017 covers eight questions on job quality (i) whether the law mandates equal remuneration for work of equal value; (ii) whether the law mandates nondiscrimination based on gender in hiring; (iii) whether the law mandates paid or unpaid maternity leave;¹⁷ (iv) the minimum length of paid maternity leave (in calendar days);¹⁸ (v) whether employees on maternity leave receive 100% of wages;¹⁹ (vi) the availability of five fully paid days of sick leave a year; (vii) whether a worker is eligible for an unemployment protection scheme after one year of service; and (viii) the minimum duration of the contribution period (in months) required for unemployment protection.

REFORMS

The labor market regulation indicator set tracks changes in labor rules every year. Depending on the impact on the data, certain changes are classified as reforms and listed in the summaries of *Doing Business* reforms in 2015/2016 section of the report in order to acknowledge the implementation of significant changes. Examples include a change in the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts, regulation of weekly holiday work, redundancy rules, notice requirements and severance payments for redundant workers, introduction of unemployment insurance and laws that mandate gender nondiscrimination in hiring and equal remuneration for work of equal value in line with ILO standards. The introduction of a minimum wage in the private sector is recognized as a major reform and acknowledged in the reform summary. Changes in minimum wages are reflected in the *Doing Business* data but not acknowledged in the reform summary. Similarly, the introduction of maternity leave would be acknowledged in the reform summary but not an increase in the duration of maternity leave. Occasionally the labor market regulation indicator set will acknowledge legislative changes in areas not directly measured

by the indicators. This option is reserved for legislative changes of exceptional magnitude, such as the introduction of a new labor code.

The data details on labor market regulation can be found for each economy at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>. The Doing Business website also provides historical data sets. The methodology was developed by Botero and others (2004). Doing Business 2017 does not present rankings of economies on the labor market regulation indicators.

NOTES

1. The data for paying taxes refer to January–December 2015.
2. These are Bangladesh, Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Japan, Mexico, Nigeria, Pakistan, the Russian Federation and the United States.
3. This correction rate reflects changes that exceed 5% up or down.
4. According to a study by Chakravorty, Pelli and Marchand (2014) based on evidence from India between 1994 and 2005, a higher-quality electricity supply, with no more than two outages a week (or no more than about 100 a year), leads to higher nonagricultural incomes.
5. This matter is usually regulated by stock exchange or securities laws. Points are awarded only to economies with more than 10 listed firms in their most important stock exchange.
6. When evaluating the regime of liability for company directors for a prejudicial related-party transaction, *Doing Business* assumes that the transaction was duly disclosed and approved. *Doing Business* does not measure director liability in the event of fraud.
7. This component is revised in *Doing Business* 2017.
8. This component is revised in *Doing Business* 2017.
9. This component is revised in *Doing Business* 2017.
10. This component is revised in *Doing Business* 2017.
11. PwC refers to the network of member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL) or, as the context requires, individual member firms of the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity and does not act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm. PwCIL does not provide any services to clients. PwCIL is not responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any of its member firms nor can it control the exercise of their professional judgment or bind them in any way. No member firm is responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any other member firm nor can it control the exercise of another member firm's professional judgment or bind another member firm or PwCIL in any way.
12. The nonlinear distance to frontier score for the total tax rate is equal to the distance to frontier score for the total tax rate to the power of 0.8.
13. The economies for which a multiple of three times income per capita has been used are Honduras, Mozambique, West Bank and Gaza, and Zimbabwe. Those for which a multiple of two times income per capita has been used are Belize, Benin, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Burkina Faso, the Central African Republic, Chad, Fiji, Guatemala, Haiti, Kenya, Lesotho, Madagascar, the Federated States of Micronesia, Morocco, Nepal, Nicaragua, Niger, Nigeria, the Philippines, the Solomon Islands, South Africa, South Sudan, Tanzania, Togo, Vanuatu and Zambia.
14. To identify the trading partners and export product for each economy, *Doing Business* collected data on trade flows for the most recent four-year period from international databases such as the United Nations Commodity Trade Statistics Database (UN Comtrade). For economies for which trade flow data were not available, data from ancillary government sources (various ministries and departments) and World Bank Group country offices were used to identify the export product and natural trading partners.
15. The case study assumption that the worker is 19 years old with one year of work experience is considered only for the calculation of the minimum wage. For all other questions where the tenure of the worker is relevant, *Doing Business* collects data for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure.
16. The average value added per worker is the ratio of an economy's GNI per capita to the working-age population as a percentage of the total population.
17. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.
18. The minimum number of days that legally have to be paid by the government, the employer or both. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.
19. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.

TABLE 12A.1 Cities covered in each economy by the *Doing Business* report

Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities	Economy	City or cities
Afghanistan	Kabul	Congo, Rep.	Brazzaville	Iran, Islamic Rep.	Tehran	Morocco	Casablanca	Somalia	Mogadishu
Albania	Tirana	Costa Rica	San José	Iraq	Baghdad	Mozambique	Maputo	South Africa	Johannesburg
Algeria	Algiers	Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	Ireland	Dublin	Myanmar	Yangon	South Sudan	Juba
Angola	Luanda	Croatia	Zagreb	Israel	Tel Aviv	Namibia	Windhoek	Spain	Madrid
Antigua and Barbuda	St. John's	Cyprus	Nicosia	Italy	Rome	Nepal	Kathmandu	Sri Lanka	Colombo
Argentina	Buenos Aires	Czech Republic	Prague	Jamaica	Kingston	Netherlands	Amsterdam	St. Kitts and Nevis	Basseterre
Armenia	Yerevan	Denmark	Copenhagen	Japan	Tokyo, Osaka	New Zealand	Auckland	St. Lucia	Castries
Australia	Sydney	Djibouti	Djibouti Ville	Jordan	Amman	Nicaragua	Managua	St. Vincent and the Grenadines	Kingstown
Austria	Vienna	Dominica	Roseau	Kazakhstan	Almaty	Niger	Niamey	Sudan	Khartoum
Azerbaijan	Baku	Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo	Kenya	Nairobi	Nigeria	Lagos, Kano	Suriname	Paramaribo
Bahamas, The	Nassau	Ecuador	Quito	Kiribati	Tarawa	Norway	Oslo	Swaziland	Mbabane
Bahrain	Manama	Egypt, Arab Rep.	Cairo	Korea, Rep.	Seoul	Oman	Muscat	Sweden	Stockholm
Bangladesh	Dhaka, Chittagong	El Salvador	San Salvador	Kosovo	Pristina	Pakistan	Karachi, Lahore	Switzerland	Zurich
Barbados	Bridgetown	Equatorial Guinea	Malabo	Kuwait	Kuwait City	Palau	Koror	Syrian Arab Republic	Damascus
Belarus	Minsk	Eritrea	Asmara	Kyrgyz Republic	Bishkek	Panama	Panama City	Taiwan, China	Taipei
Belgium	Brussels	Estonia	Tallinn	Lao PDR	Vientiane	Papua New Guinea	Port Moresby	Tajikistan	Dushanbe
Belize	Belize City	Ethiopia	Addis Ababa	Latvia	Riga	Paraguay	Asunción	Tanzania	Dar es Salaam
Benin	Cotonou	Fiji	Suva	Lebanon	Beirut	Peru	Lima	Thailand	Bangkok
Bhutan	Thimphu	Finland	Helsinki	Lesotho	Maseru	Philippines	Quezon City	Timor-Leste	Dili
Bolivia	La Paz	France	Paris	Liberia	Monrovia	Poland	Warsaw	Togo	Lomé
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Sarajevo	Gabon	Libreville	Libya	Tripoli	Portugal	Lisbon	Tonga	Nuku'alofa
Botswana	Gaborone	Gambia, The	Banjul	Lithuania	Vilnius	Puerto Rico (U.S.)	San Juan	Trinidad and Tobago	Port of Spain
Brazil	São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro	Georgia	Tbilisi	Luxem-bourg	Luxembourg	Qatar	Doha	Tunisia	Tunis
Brunei Darussalam	Bandar Seri Begawan	Germany	Berlin	Macedonia, FYR	Skopje	Romania	Bucharest	Turkey	Istanbul
Bulgaria	Sofia	Ghana	Accra	Madagascar	Antananarivo	Russian Federation	Moscow, St. Petersburg	Uganda	Kampala
Burkina Faso	Ouagadougou	Greece	Athens	Malawi	Blantyre	Rwanda	Kigali	Ukraine	Kiev
Burundi	Bujumbura	Grenada	St. George's	Malaysia	Kuala Lumpur	Samoa	Apia	United Arab Emirates	Dubai
Cabo Verde	Praia	Guatemala	Guatemala City	Maldives	Malé	San Marino	San Marino	United Kingdom	London
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	Guinea	Conakry	Mali	Bamako	São Tomé and Príncipe	São Tomé	United States	New York City, Los Angeles
Cameroon	Douala	Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	Malta	Valletta	Saudi Arabia	Riyadh	Uruguay	Montevideo
Canada	Toronto	Guyana	Georgetown	Marshall Islands	Majuro	Senegal	Dakar	Uzbekistan	Tashkent
Central African Republic	Bangui	Haiti	Port-au-Prince	Mauritania	Nouakchott	Serbia	Belgrade	Vanuatu	Port-Vila
Chad	N'Djamena	Honduras	Tegucigalpa	Mauritius	Port Louis	Seychelles	Victoria	Venezuela, RB	Caracas
Chile	Santiago	Hong Kong SAR, China	Hong Kong SAR	Mexico	Mexico City, Monterrey	Sierra Leone	Freetown	Vietnam	Ho Chi Minh City
China	Shanghai, Beijing	Hungary	Budapest	Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	Island of Pohnpei	Singapore	Singapore	West Bank and Gaza	Ramallah
Colombia	Bogotá	Iceland	Reykjavik	Moldova	Chișinău	Slovak Republic	Bratislava	Yemen, Rep.	Sana'a
Comoros	Moroni	India	Mumbai, Delhi	Mongolia	Ulaanbaatar	Slovenia	Ljubljana	Zambia	Lusaka
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Kinshasa	Indonesia	Jakarta, Surabaya	Montenegro	Podgorica	Solomon Islands	Honiara	Zimbabwe	Harare



Distance to Frontier and Ease of Doing Business Ranking

The *Doing Business* report presents results for two aggregate measures: the distance to frontier score and the ease of doing business ranking, which is based on the distance to frontier score. The ease of doing business ranking compares economies with one another; the distance to frontier score benchmarks economies with respect to regulatory best practice, showing the absolute distance to the best performance on each *Doing Business* indicator. When compared across years, the distance to frontier score shows how much the regulatory environment for local entrepreneurs in an economy has changed over time in absolute terms, while the ease of doing business ranking can show only how much the regulatory environment has changed relative to that in other economies.

DISTANCE TO FRONTIER

The distance to frontier score captures the gap between an economy's performance and a measure of best practice across the entire sample of 41 indicators for 10 *Doing Business* topics (the labor market regulation indicators are excluded). For starting a business, for example, New Zealand has the smallest number of procedures required (1) and the shortest time to fulfill them (0.5 days). Slovenia has the lowest cost (0.0), and Australia, Colombia and 111 other economies have no paid-in minimum capital requirement (table 14.1).

Calculation of the distance to frontier score

Calculating the distance to frontier score for each economy involves two main steps. In the first step individual component indicators are normalized to a common unit where each of the 41 component indicators y (except for the total tax rate) is rescaled using the linear transformation $(\text{worst}-y)/(\text{worst}-\text{frontier})$. In this formulation the frontier represents the best performance on the indicator across all economies since 2005 or the third year in which data for the indicator were collected. Both the best performance and the worst performance are established every five years based on the *Doing Business* data for the year in which they are established, and remain at that level for the five years regardless of any changes in data in interim years. Thus an economy may set the frontier for an indicator even though it is no longer at the frontier in a subsequent year.

For scores such as those on the strength of legal rights index or the quality of land administration index, the frontier is set at the highest possible value. For the total tax rate, consistent with the use of a threshold in calculating the rankings on this indicator, the frontier is defined as the total tax rate at the 15th percentile of the overall distribution for all years included in the analysis up to and including *Doing Business* 2015. For the time to pay taxes the frontier is defined as the lowest time recorded among all economies that levy the three major taxes: profit tax, labor

TABLE 14.1 What is the frontier in regulatory practice?

Topic and indicator	Who set the frontier	Frontier	Worst performance
Starting a business			
Procedures (number)	New Zealand	1	18 ^a
Time (days)	New Zealand	0.5	100 ^b
Cost (% of income per capita)	Slovenia	0.0	200.0 ^b
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	Australia; Colombia ^c	0.0	400.0 ^b
Dealing with construction permits			
Procedures (number)	No economy was at the frontier as of June 1, 2016.	5	30 ^a
Time (days)	Singapore	26	373 ^b
Cost (% of warehouse value)	No economy was at the frontier as of June 1, 2016.	0.0	20.0 ^b
Building quality control index (0–15)	Luxembourg; New Zealand	15	0 ^d
Getting electricity			
Procedures (number)	Germany; Republic of Korea ^e	3	9 ^a
Time (days)	Republic of Korea; St. Kitts and Nevis	18	248 ^b
Cost (% of income per capita)	Japan	0.0	8,100.0 ^b
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	Belgium; Ireland; Malaysia ^f	8	0 ^d
Registering property			
Procedures (number)	Georgia; Norway; Portugal; Sweden	1	13 ^a
Time (days)	Georgia; New Zealand; Portugal	1	210 ^b
Cost (% of property value)	Saudi Arabia	0.0	15.0 ^b
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	30	0 ^d
Getting credit			
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	Colombia; Montenegro; New Zealand	12	0 ^d
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	Ecuador; United Kingdom ^g	8	0 ^d
Protecting minority investors			
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	China; Malaysia ^h	10	0 ^d
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	Cambodia	10	0 ^d
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	10	0 ^d
Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	Chile; India ⁱ	10	0 ^d
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	10	0 ^d
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	10	0 ^d
Paying taxes			
Payments (number per year)	Hong Kong SAR, China; Saudi Arabia	3	63 ^b
Time (hours per year)	Singapore	49 ^j	696 ^b
Total tax rate (% of profit)	Singapore ^k	26.1 ^l	84.0 ^b
Postfiling index (0–100)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	100	0
Time to comply with VAT refund (hours)	Croatia; Netherlands ^m	0	50 ^b
Time to obtain VAT refund (weeks)	Austria	3.2	55 ^b
Time to comply with corporate income tax audit (hours)	Lithuania; Portugal ⁿ	1.5	56 ^b
Time to complete a corporate income tax audit (weeks)	Sweden; United States ^o	0	32 ^b

(continued)

TABLE 14.1 What is the frontier in regulatory practice? (continued)

Topic and indicator	Who set the frontier	Frontier	Worst performance
Trading across borders			
<i>Time to export</i>			
Documentary compliance (hours)	Canada; Poland; Spain ^p	1 ^q	170 ^b
Border compliance (hours)	Austria; Belgium; Denmark ⁱ	1 ^q	160 ^b
<i>Cost to export</i>			
Documentary compliance (US\$)	Hungary; Luxembourg; Norway ^s	0	400 ^b
Border compliance (US\$)	France; Netherlands; Portugal ^l	0	1,060 ^b
<i>Time to import</i>			
Documentary compliance (hours)	Republic of Korea; Latvia; New Zealand ^u	1 ^q	240 ^b
Border compliance (hours)	Estonia; France; Germany ^y	1 ^q	280 ^b
<i>Cost to import</i>			
Documentary compliance (US\$)	Iceland; Latvia; United Kingdom ^w	0	700 ^b
Border compliance (US\$)	Belgium; Denmark; Estonia ^x	0	1,200 ^b
Enforcing contracts			
Time (days)	Singapore	120	1,340 ^b
Cost (% of claim)	Bhutan	0.1	89.0 ^b
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	18	0 ^d
Resolving insolvency			
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	Norway	92.9	0 ^d
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	No economy has attained the frontier yet.	16	0 ^d

Source: Doing Business database.

- a. Worst performance is defined as the 99th percentile among all economies in the *Doing Business* sample.
- b. Worst performance is defined as the 95th percentile among all economies in the *Doing Business* sample.
- c. Another 111 economies also have a paid-in minimum capital requirement of 0.
- d. Worst performance is the worst value recorded.
- e. In 14 other economies it also takes only 3 procedures to get an electricity connection.
- f. Another 23 economies also have a score of 8 on the reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index.
- g. Another 28 economies also have a score of 8 on the depth of credit information index.
- h. Another 10 economies also have a score of 10 on the extent of disclosure index.
- i. Another 4 economies also have a score of 10 on the extent of shareholder rights index.
- j. Defined as the lowest time recorded among all economies in the *Doing Business* sample that levy the three major taxes: profit tax, labor taxes and mandatory contributions, and VAT or sales tax.
- k. Another 31 economies also have a total tax rate equal to or lower than 26.1% of profit.
- l. Defined as the highest total tax rate among the 15% of economies with the lowest total tax rate in the *Doing Business* sample for all years included in the analysis up to and including *Doing Business 2015*.
- m. Another 7 economies also have a compliance time for VAT refund of 0 hours.
- n. Another 3 economies also have a compliance time for corporate income tax audit of 1.5 hours.
- o. Another 104 economies also have a completion time for corporate income tax audit of 0 weeks.
- p. Another 22 economies also have a documentary compliance time to export of no more than 1 hour.
- q. Defined as 1 hour even though in many economies the time is less than that.
- r. Another 15 economies also have a border compliance time to export of no more than 1 hour.
- s. Another 16 economies also have a documentary compliance cost to export of 0.00.
- t. Another 15 economies also have a border compliance cost to export of 0.00.
- u. Another 26 economies also have a documentary compliance time to import of no more than 1 hour.
- v. Another 22 economies also have a border compliance time to import of no more than 1 hour.
- w. Another 27 economies also have a documentary compliance cost to import of 0.00.
- x. Another 25 economies also have a border compliance cost to import of 0.00.

taxes and mandatory contributions, and value added tax (VAT) or sales tax. For the different times to trade across borders, the frontier is defined as 1 hour even though in many economies the time is less than that.

In the same formulation, to mitigate the effects of extreme outliers in the distributions of the rescaled data for most component indicators (very few economies need 700 days to complete the procedures to

start a business, but many need 9 days), the worst performance is calculated after the removal of outliers. The definition of outliers is based on the distribution for each component indicator. To simplify the

process two rules were defined: the 95th percentile is used for the indicators with the most dispersed distributions (including minimum capital, number of payments to pay taxes, and the time and cost indicators), and the 99th percentile is used for the number of procedures. No outlier is removed for component indicators bound by definition or construction, including

legal index scores (such as the depth of credit information index, extent of conflict of interest regulation index and strength of insolvency framework index) and the recovery rate (figure 14.1).

In the second step for calculating the distance to frontier score, the scores obtained for individual indicators for each economy

are aggregated through simple averaging into one distance to frontier score, first for each topic and then across all 10 topics: starting a business, dealing with construction permits, getting electricity, registering property, getting credit, protecting minority investors, paying taxes, trading across borders, enforcing contracts and resolving insolvency. More complex aggregation methods—such as principal components and unobserved components—yield a ranking nearly identical to the simple average used by *Doing Business*.¹ Thus *Doing Business* uses the simplest method: weighting all topics equally and, within each topic, giving equal weight to each of the topic components.²


An economy's distance to frontier score is indicated on a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 represents the worst performance and 100 the frontier. All distance to frontier calculations are based on a maximum of five decimals. However, indicator ranking calculations and the ease of doing business ranking calculations are based on two decimals.

The difference between an economy's distance to frontier score in any previous year and its score in 2016 illustrates the extent to which the economy has closed the gap to the regulatory frontier over time. And in any given year the score measures how far an economy is from the best performance at that time.

Treatment of the total tax rate

The total tax rate component of the paying taxes indicator set enters the distance to frontier calculation in a different way than any other indicator. The distance to frontier score obtained for the total tax rate is transformed in a nonlinear fashion before it enters the distance to frontier score for paying taxes. As a result of the nonlinear transformation, an increase in the total tax rate has a smaller impact on the distance to frontier score for the total tax rate—and therefore on the distance to frontier score for paying taxes—for economies with a below-average total tax rate than it would have had before

FIGURE 14.1 How are distance to frontier scores calculated for indicators? Two examples




Source: *Doing Business* database.

this approach was adopted in *Doing Business* 2015 (line B is smaller than line A in figure 14.2). And for economies with an extreme total tax rate (a rate that is very high relative to the average), an increase has a greater impact on both these distance to frontier scores than it would have had before (line D is bigger than line C in figure 14.2).

The nonlinear transformation is not based on any economic theory of an “optimal tax rate” that minimizes distortions or maximizes efficiency in an economy’s overall tax system. Instead, it is mainly empirical in nature. The nonlinear transformation along with the threshold reduces the bias in the indicator toward economies that do not need to levy significant taxes on companies like the *Doing Business* standardized case study company because they raise public revenue in other ways—for example, through taxes on foreign companies, through taxes on sectors other than manufacturing or from natural resources (all of which are outside the scope of the methodology). In addition, it acknowledges the need of economies to collect taxes from firms.

FIGURE 14.2 How the nonlinear transformation affects the distance to frontier score for the total tax rate



Source: *Doing Business* database.

Note: The nonlinear distance to frontier score for the total tax rate is equal to the distance to frontier score for the total tax rate to the power of 0.8.

Calculation of scores for economies with two cities covered

For each of the 11 economies in which *Doing Business* collects data for the second largest business city as well as the largest one, the distance to frontier score is calculated as the population-weighted average of the distance to frontier scores for these two cities (table 14.2). This is done for the aggregate score, the scores for each topic and the scores for all the component indicators for each topic.

Variability of economies' scores across topics

Each indicator set measures a different aspect of the business regulatory environment. The distance to frontier scores and associated rankings of an economy can vary, sometimes significantly, across indicator sets. The average correlation coefficient between the 10 indicator sets included in the aggregate distance to frontier score is 0.48, and the coefficients between 2 sets of indicators range from 0.32 (between getting credit and paying taxes) to 0.61 (between registering property and enforcing contracts). These correlations suggest that economies

rarely score universally well or universally badly on the indicators (table 14.3).

Consider the example of Portugal. Its aggregate distance to frontier score is 77.40. Its score is 92.85 for starting a business and 100.00 for trading across borders. But its score is only 56.67 for protecting minority investors and 45.00 for getting credit.

Figure 2.1 in the chapter “About *Doing Business*” illustrates the degree of variability for each economy’s performance across the different areas of business regulation covered by *Doing Business*. The figure draws attention to economies

TABLE 14.2 Weights used in calculating the distance to frontier scores for economies with two cities covered

Economy	City	Weight (%)
Bangladesh	Dhaka	78
	Chittagong	22
Brazil	São Paulo	61
	Rio de Janeiro	39
China	Shanghai	55
	Beijing	45
India	Mumbai	47
	Delhi	53
Indonesia	Jakarta	78
	Surabaya	22
Japan	Tokyo	65
	Osaka	35
Mexico	Mexico City	83
	Monterrey	17
Nigeria	Lagos	77
	Kano	23
Pakistan	Karachi	65
	Lahore	35
Russian Federation	Moscow	70
	St. Petersburg	30
United States	New York City	60
	Los Angeles	40

Source: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, World Urbanization Prospects, 2014 Revision, “File 12: Population of Urban Agglomerations with 300,000 Inhabitants or More in 2014, by Country, 1950–2030 (thousands),” <http://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/CD-ROM/Default.aspx>.

TABLE 14.3 Correlations between economy distance to frontier scores for *Doing Business* topics

	Dealing with construction permits	Getting electricity	Registering property	Getting credit	Protecting minority investors	Paying taxes	Trading across borders	Enforcing contracts	Resolving insolvency
Starting a business	0.47	0.48	0.45	0.41	0.52	0.53	0.45	0.40	0.47
Dealing with construction permits		0.48	0.50	0.37	0.46	0.48	0.44	0.37	0.40
Getting electricity			0.49	0.42	0.51	0.54	0.60	0.51	0.55
Registering property				0.50	0.53	0.50	0.49	0.61	0.51
Getting credit					0.57	0.32	0.43	0.39	0.54
Protecting minority investors						0.46	0.49	0.49	0.61
Paying taxes							0.52	0.44	0.45
Trading across borders								0.49	0.59
Enforcing contracts									0.46

Source: *Doing Business* database.

with a particularly uneven performance by showing, for each economy, the distance between the average of its highest three distance to frontier scores and the average of its lowest three across the 10 topics included in this year's aggregate distance to frontier score. While a relatively small distance between these two averages suggests a broadly consistent approach across the areas of business regulation measured by *Doing Business*, a relatively large distance suggests a more uneven approach, with greater room for improvements in some areas than in others.

Variation in performance across the indicator sets is not at all unusual. It reflects differences in the degree of priority that government authorities give to particular areas of business regulation reform and in the ability of different government agencies to deliver tangible results in their area of responsibility.

Economies improving the most across three or more *Doing Business* topics in 2015/16

Doing Business 2017 uses a simple method to calculate which economies improved the ease of doing business the most. First, it selects the economies

that in 2015/16 implemented regulatory reforms making it easier to do business in 3 or more of the 10 topics included in this year's aggregate distance to frontier score.³ Twenty-nine economies meet this criterion: Algeria; Azerbaijan; Bahrain; Belarus; Brazil; Brunei Darussalam; Burkina Faso; Côte d'Ivoire; Georgia; India; Indonesia; Kazakhstan; Kenya; Madagascar; Mali; Mauritania; Morocco; Niger; Pakistan; Poland; Senegal; Serbia; Singapore; Thailand; Togo; Uganda; the United Arab Emirates; Uzbekistan and Vanuatu. Second, *Doing Business* sorts these economies on the increase in their distance to frontier score from the previous year using comparable data.

Selecting the economies that implemented regulatory reforms in at least three topics and had the biggest improvements in their distance to frontier scores is intended to highlight economies with ongoing, broad-based reform programs. The improvement in the distance to frontier score is used to identify the top improvers because this allows a focus on the absolute improvement—in contrast with the relative improvement shown by a change in rankings—that economies have made in their regulatory environment for business.

EASE OF DOING BUSINESS RANKING

The ease of doing business ranking ranges from 1 to 190. The ranking of economies is determined by sorting the aggregate distance to frontier scores, rounded to two decimals.

NOTES

- See Djankov, Manraj and others (2005). Principal components and unobserved components methods yield a ranking nearly identical to that from the simple average method because both these methods assign roughly equal weights to the topics, since the pairwise correlations among indicators do not differ much. An alternative to the simple average method is to give different weights to the topics, depending on which are considered of more or less importance in the context of a specific economy.
- For getting credit, indicators are weighted proportionally, according to their contribution to the total score, with a weight of 60% assigned to the strength of legal rights index and 40% to the depth of credit information index. Indicators for all other topics are assigned equal weights.
- Changes making it more difficult to do business are subtracted from the total number of those making it easier to do business.



Summaries of *Doing Business* Reforms in 2015/16

Doing Business reforms affecting all sets of indicators included in this year's report, implemented from June 2015 to June 2016.

- ✓ Reform making it easier to do business
- ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

Afghanistan

✓ Trading across borders

Afghanistan made exporting and importing easier by introducing a number of technical, human resource and infrastructure improvements to ASYCUDA World, an electronic data interchange system.

✗ Paying taxes

Afghanistan made paying taxes more costly by increasing the business receipts tax rate.

Albania

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Albania made dealing with construction permits easier by reintroducing the issuance of building permits and streamlining the process of receiving the final inspection and compliance certificate.

✓ Getting electricity

Albania made getting electricity easier by speeding up the process for obtaining a new connection.

✗ Trading across borders

Albania made trading across borders more difficult by introducing mandatory

scanning inspections for exports and imports, which increased the time and cost for border compliance.

✓ Paying taxes

Albania made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing and paying taxes.

Algeria

✓ Starting a business

Algeria made starting a business easier by eliminating the minimum capital requirement for business incorporation.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Algeria made dealing with construction permits faster by reducing the time to obtain a construction permit.

✓ Getting electricity

Algeria made getting electricity more transparent by publishing electricity tariffs on the websites of the utility and the energy regulator.

✓ Paying taxes

Algeria made paying taxes less costly by decreasing the tax on professional activities rate. The introduction of advanced accounting systems also made paying taxes easier.

Angola

✓ Starting a business

Angola made starting a business easier by eliminating the paid-in minimum capital requirement.

✓ Paying taxes

Angola made paying taxes easier and less costly by reducing the frequency of advance payments of corporate income tax and increasing the allowable deductions for bad debt provisions. At the same time, Angola made interest income tax a final tax that is not deductible for the calculation of corporate income tax.

Labor market regulation

Angola adopted a new labor law that decreased the wage premium for overtime and night work and increased the wage premium for work on weekly holidays. The law also extended the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts and made fixed-term contracts able to be used for permanent tasks, reduced severance pay for redundancy dismissals of employees with five and ten years of continuous employment and increased severance pay for employees with one continuous year of service.

Antigua and Barbuda

✓ Trading across borders

Antigua and Barbuda made trading across borders easier by eliminating the tax compliance certificate required for import customs clearance.

Argentina

✗ Dealing with construction permits

Argentina made dealing with construction permits more difficult by increasing municipal fees.

✓ Trading across borders

Argentina made trading across borders easier by introducing a new licensing system for importing, which reduced the time required for documentary compliance.

✓ Paying taxes

Argentina made paying taxes less costly by increasing the threshold for the 5% turnover tax. Argentina also made paying taxes easier by

introducing improvements to the online portal for filing taxes.

Armenia

✓ Getting credit

Armenia strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that establishes a modern and centralized collateral registry. Armenia improved its credit information system by adopting a new law on personal data protection.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Armenia made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a consolidated chapter regulating voluntary mediation and by establishing financial incentives for the parties to attempt mediation.

Azerbaijan

✓ Getting electricity

Azerbaijan streamlined the process of obtaining a new electricity connection by introducing an electronic capacity/availability of connection map, which reduced the time needed to determine new customer connection points.

✓ Trading across borders

Azerbaijan made trading across borders easier by introducing an electronic system for submitting export and import declarations.

✓ Paying taxes

Azerbaijan made paying taxes easier by abolishing vehicle tax for residents.

Bahamas, The

✓ Starting a business

The Bahamas made starting a business easier by allowing local limited liability companies to register online. On the other hand, The Bahamas made starting a business more costly by increasing the fees for registering a company name and incorporation.

✓ Registering property

The Bahamas made registering property easier by reducing the cost of transferring a property.

✗ Paying taxes

The Bahamas made paying taxes more complicated by introducing a value added tax (VAT).

Bahrain

✓ Starting a business

Bahrain made starting a business easier by reducing the minimum capital requirement.

✓ Getting credit

Bahrain improved access to credit information by guaranteeing by law borrowers' right to inspect their own data.

✓ Trading across borders

Bahrain made exporting easier by improving infrastructure and streamlining procedures at the King Fahad Causeway.

Bangladesh

✗ Paying taxes

Bangladesh made paying taxes more complicated for companies by increasing the time it takes to prepare VAT and corporate income tax returns. This reform applies to both Chittagong and Dhaka.

Barbados

✓ Starting a business

Barbados made starting a business easier by reducing the time needed to register a company.

Belarus

✓ Getting electricity

Belarus streamlined the process of obtaining an electricity connection by establishing a one-stop shop at the utility that fulfills all connection-related services, including the design and construction of the distribution line.

✓ Registering property

Belarus made it easier to transfer a property by improving the transparency and reliability of the land administration system.

✓ Getting credit

In Belarus the credit bureau started to provide credit scores, strengthening the credit reporting system.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Belarus strengthened minority investor protections by introducing remedies in cases where related-party transactions are harmful to the company and requiring greater corporate transparency.

Benin

✓ Starting a business

Benin made starting a business easier by eliminating the need to notarize company bylaws to activate a bank account after incorporation.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Benin made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Bolivia

✓ Starting a business

Bolivia made starting a business easier by decreasing the time needed to register a company.

✗ Dealing with construction permits

Bolivia made dealing with construction permits more difficult by implementing a new requirement to pay for land registry certificates at the Judicial Council.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Bolivia made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new code of civil procedure that introduces pre-trial conferences.

Bosnia and Herzegovina

✓ Starting a business

Bosnia and Herzegovina made starting a business easier by reducing the paid-in minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies and increasing the efficiency of the notary system.

✓ Paying taxes

Bosnia and Herzegovina made paying taxes easier by abolishing the tourist community fee.

Botswana

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Botswana made dealing with construction permits easier by eliminating the requirement to submit a rates clearance certificate to obtain a building permit.

Brazil

✓ Starting a business

Brazil reduced the time needed to start a business by implementing an online portal for business licenses in Rio de Janeiro. However, Brazil also made starting a business more difficult by shortening the opening hours of the business registry in Rio de Janeiro.

✓ Trading across borders

Brazil made trading across borders easier by implementing an electronic system for importing, which reduced the time required for documentary compliance. This reform applies to both Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Brazil made enforcing contracts easier through a new mediation law—that includes financial incentives for parties to attempt mediation—and a new code of civil procedure. These reforms apply to both Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo.

Labor market regulation

Brazil expanded eligibility for unemployment benefits to employees with one year of continuous work experience. This reform applies to both Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo.

Brunei Darussalam

✓ Getting electricity

The utility in Brunei Darussalam streamlined the processes of reviewing applications, and the time to issue an excavation permit was reduced. In addition, Brunei Darussalam increased the reliability of power supply by rolling out a Supervisory Control and

Data Acquisition (SCADA) automatic energy management system for the monitoring of outages and the restoration of service.

✓ Getting credit

Brunei Darussalam improved access to credit information by beginning to distribute data from two utility companies. In addition, Brunei Darussalam strengthened access to credit by adopting a new insolvency law that contemplates protections for secured creditors during an automatic stay in reorganization proceedings.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Brunei Darussalam strengthened minority investor protections by clarifying ownership and control structures, making it easier to sue directors in case of prejudicial related-party transactions and allowing the rescission of related-party transactions that harm the company.

✓ Paying taxes

Brunei Darussalam made paying taxes easier by fully implementing an electronic system for filing and paying corporate income tax.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Brunei Darussalam made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic filing system as well as a platform that allows users to pay court fees electronically.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Brunei Darussalam made resolving insolvency easier by adopting a new insolvency law that introduced a reorganization procedure and facilitated continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings. Brunei Darussalam also introduced regulations for insolvency practitioners.

Bulgaria

✓ Getting electricity

Bulgaria increased the reliability of power supply by implementing an automatic energy management system, the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA), to monitor outages and service restoration.

Burkina Faso

✓ Starting a business

Burkina Faso made starting a business easier by reducing the paid-in minimum capital required to register a company.

✓ Getting credit

Burkina Faso improved access to credit information by introducing regulations that govern the licensing and functioning of credit bureaus in West African Economic and Monetary Union (UEMOA) member states.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Burkina Faso made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Burundi

✓ Paying taxes

Burundi made paying taxes easier by introducing a new tax return and eliminating the personalized VAT declaration form.

Cabo Verde

Labor market regulation

Cabo Verde introduced unemployment insurance for workers with a contribution period of at least six months.

Cambodia

✗ Starting a business

Cambodia made starting a business more difficult by increasing the time required to register and by requiring companies to submit evidence of capital deposit after registration.

✓ Getting credit

In Cambodia the credit bureau started to provide credit scores to banks and financial institutions, improving access to credit information.

Cameroon

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Cameroon made dealing with construction permits easier by reducing the time it takes to obtain the building permit and strengthen the Building Quality Control Index by increasing transparency.

✗ Paying taxes

Cameroon made paying taxes more costly by increasing the minimum tax rate for companies.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Cameroon made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Central African Republic

✓ Resolving insolvency

The Central African Republic made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Chad

✓ Starting a business

Chad made starting a business easier by reducing the paid-in minimum capital required to register a company.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Chad made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

China

✓ Starting a business

China made starting a business easier by introducing a single form to obtain a business license, organization code and tax registration. This reform applies to both Shanghai and Beijing.

✓ Getting credit

China improved access to credit information by starting to report payment histories from utility companies and providing credit scores to banks and financial institutions. This reform applies to both Shanghai and Beijing.

Colombia

✓ Starting a business

Colombia made starting a business easier by streamlining registration procedures.

Comoros

✓ Registering property

The Comoros made transferring a property less expensive by reducing transfer costs.

✓ Resolving insolvency

The Comoros made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Labor market regulation

The Comoros reduced the length of notice period and amount of severance payment for redundancy dismissals.

Congo, Dem. Rep.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

The Democratic Republic of Congo made dealing with construction permits easier by improving building quality control and reducing the time it takes to obtain the building permit.

✗ Registering property

The Democratic Republic of Congo made it more expensive to transfer property by increasing the property transfer tax.

✓ Resolving insolvency

The Democratic Republic of Congo made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Labor market regulations

The Democratic Republic of Congo adopted legislation that prohibits discrimination in hiring on the basis of gender.

Congo, Rep.

✓ Resolving insolvency

The Republic of Congo made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Côte d'Ivoire

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Côte d'Ivoire made dealing with construction permits more transparent by making building regulations accessible online.

✓ Getting credit

Côte d'Ivoire improved access to credit information by establishing a new credit bureau.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Côte d'Ivoire made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a simplified fast-track procedure for small claims that allows for parties' self-representation.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Côte d'Ivoire made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Croatia

✗ Starting a business

Croatia made starting a business more difficult by increasing notary fees.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Croatia strengthened minority investor protections by requiring detailed internal disclosure of conflicts of interest by directors.

✗ Paying taxes

Croatia made paying taxes more complicated by introducing a radio and television fee, and eliminating the

reduction of the Chamber of Economy fee for new companies.

Cyprus

✓ Starting a business

Cyprus made starting a business easier by merging the procedures to register for taxes and VAT, and making company name search and reservation faster.

✗ Getting credit

Cyprus made access to credit information more difficult by stopping the distribution of historical credit data.

✓ Paying taxes

Cyprus made paying taxes easier by introducing improvements to its internal processes and to the electronic tax filing system. Cyprus also made paying taxes less costly by increasing the discount rate applied on immovable property tax.

Labor market regulation

Cyprus amended its legislation to allow shops and supermarkets to operate seven days a week.

Czech Republic

✓ Starting a business

The Czech Republic made starting a business easier by reducing the cost and the time required to register a company in commercial courts by allowing notaries to directly register companies through an online system.

✓ Getting electricity

The Czech Republic made getting electricity faster by designating personnel to deal with all incoming connection applications.

Dominica

✓ Paying taxes

Dominica made paying taxes less costly by reducing the corporate income tax rate.

Dominican Republic

✓ Getting electricity

The Dominican Republic made getting an electricity connection faster by

reducing the time required to approve electrical connection plans.

✓ Paying taxes

The Dominican Republic made paying taxes less costly by decreasing the corporate income tax rate.

Ecuador

✓ Starting a business

Ecuador made starting a business easier by eliminating the publication of company charters in local newspapers.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Ecuador adopted a new code of civil procedure that made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a pre-trial conference. The new code also made enforcing contracts more difficult by eliminating a dedicated procedure for the resolution of small claims.

Egypt, Arab Rep.

✓ Starting a business

The Arab Republic of Egypt made starting a business easier by merging procedures at the one-stop shop by introducing a follow-up unit in charge of liaising with the tax and labor authority on behalf of the company.

✓ Protecting minority investors

The Arab Republic of Egypt strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions and by clarifying ownership and control structures.

✗ Trading across borders

The Arab Republic of Egypt made trading across borders more difficult by making the process of obtaining and processing documents more complex and by imposing a cap on foreign exchange deposits and withdrawals for imports.

El Salvador

✗ Getting credit

El Salvador made access to credit information more difficult by reducing the coverage of the credit bureau.

✓ Paying taxes

El Salvador made paying taxes easier by encouraging the use of the electronic system for filing taxes.

Equatorial Guinea

✓ Starting a business

Equatorial Guinea made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement to obtain company founders' criminal records.

✗ Paying taxes

Equatorial Guinea made paying taxes more costly by increasing the minimum tax.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Equatorial Guinea made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Fiji

✓ Starting a business

Fiji made starting a business easier by reducing the time required to start a business. Fiji also made starting a business less costly by reducing fees at the business registry.

✗ Getting credit

The credit bureau in Fiji suspended operations making it more difficult to gain access to credit information.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Fiji strengthened minority investor protections by introducing greater disclosure requirements for related-party transactions.

France

✓ Dealing with construction permits

France made dealing with construction permits less expensive by reducing the cost of obtaining a building permit.

✗ Registering property

France made transferring property more expensive by increasing property transfer tax rate and introducing an additional tax for businesses in Paris.

Labor market regulation

France reformed its labor legislation by introducing changes to the administration of labor tribunals, extending Sunday and evening work in areas designated as international tourist zones and facilitating employee-employer dialogue.

Gabon

✓ Resolving insolvency

Gabon made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Gambia, The

✓ Getting credit

The Gambia strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that implements a functional secured transactions system and establishes a centralized, notice-based collateral registry.

Georgia

✓ Getting electricity

Georgia improved the reliability of electricity supply by introducing penalties for the utility for having worse scores on the annual system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI) than the previous year. Georgia also mandated the notification of customers by the utility of planned electricity outages.

✓ Registering property

Georgia improved the quality of land administration by increasing coverage of all maps for privately held land plots in the main business city.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Georgia strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions and by clarifying ownership and control structures.

✓ Trading across borders

Georgia made export and import documentary compliance faster by improving its electronic document processing system. It also introduced an advanced electronic document submission option.

✓ Paying taxes

Georgia made paying taxes easier by abolishing additional annex to corporate income tax returns and by improving the efficiency of the online system used for filing VAT returns.

Ghana

✗ Starting a business

Ghana made starting a business more costly by increasing registration and authentication fees.

✗ Dealing with construction permits

Ghana made dealing with construction permits more expensive by increasing the cost of obtaining a building permit.

✓ Trading across borders

Ghana made trading across borders easier by removing the mandatory pre-arrival assessment inspection at origin for imported goods.

Greece

✗ Paying taxes

Greece made paying taxes more costly by increasing the corporate income tax rate.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Greece made enforcing contracts easier by amending its rules of civil procedure to introduce tighter rules on adjournments, impose deadlines for key court events and limit the recourses that can be lodged during enforcement proceedings.

Grenada

✓ Trading across borders

Grenada made trading across borders easier by streamlining import document submission procedures, reducing the time required for documentary compliance.

Guatemala

✓ Paying taxes

Guatemala made paying taxes less costly by reducing the rate of corporate income tax.

Guinea

✓ Resolving insolvency

Guinea made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Guinea-Bissau

✓ Resolving insolvency

Guinea-Bissau made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Guyana

✓ Registering property

Guyana made registering property easier by increasing the transparency of the Lands and Survey Commission.

✓ Getting credit

Guyana improved access to credit information by expanding the coverage of the credit bureau.

Haiti

✓ Trading across borders

Haiti made trading across borders easier by improving port infrastructure and further implementing the ASYCUDA World electronic data interchange system by allowing the online submission of supporting documents.

Honduras

✗ Trading across borders

Honduras made trading across borders more difficult by increasing the number of intrusive inspections for importing, which increased the border compliance time.

Hong Kong SAR, China

✓ Starting a business

Hong Kong SAR, China, made starting a business less costly by reducing the business registration fee.

✓ Getting electricity

Hong Kong SAR, China, streamlined the processes of reviewing applications for new electrical connections and also reduced the time needed to issue an excavation permit.

Hungary

✓ Paying taxes

Hungary made paying taxes less costly for small and medium-size businesses by allowing tax relief by means of an additional deduction for new acquisitions of land and buildings.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Hungary made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic filing system.

Labor market regulation

Hungary amended legislation to remove restrictions limiting the operating hours for retail shops.

India

✓ Getting electricity

India made getting electricity faster and cheaper by streamlining the process of getting a new commercial electricity connection. This reform impacts Delhi.

✓ Paying taxes

India made paying taxes easier by introducing an electronic system for paying employee state insurance contributions. This reform applies to both Mumbai and Delhi.

✓ Trading across borders

India made exporting and importing easier by launching the ICEGATE portal and simplifying border and documentary procedures. This reform applies to both Mumbai and Delhi.

✓ Enforcing contracts

India made enforcing contracts easier by creating dedicated divisions to resolve commercial cases. This reform applies to both Mumbai and Delhi.

Indonesia

✓ Starting a business

Indonesia made starting a business easier by creating a single form to apply for the company registration certificate and trading license. This reform applies to Jakarta. Indonesia also made starting a business easier by abolishing the minimum capital requirement for small and medium-size enterprises and by encouraging the use of an online system to reserve company names. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

✓ Getting electricity

Indonesia made the process for getting an electricity connection faster by reducing the time for contractors to perform external work thanks to an increase in the stock of electrical material supplied by the utility. In Surabaya, getting electricity was also made easier after the utility streamlined the process for new connection requests.

✓ Registering property

Indonesia made it easier to register property by digitizing its cadastral records and setting up a geographic information system. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

✓ Getting credit

Indonesia strengthened access to credit by establishing a modern collateral registry. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

✓ Paying taxes

Indonesia made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing and paying health contributions. Indonesia also made paying taxes more costly by levying a new pension contribution at a rate of 2% paid by employers. These reforms apply to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

✓ Trading across borders

Indonesia made exporting and importing easier by improving the customs services and document submission functions of the national single window. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Indonesia made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a dedicated procedure for small claims that allows for parties' self-representation. This reform applies to both Jakarta and Surabaya.

Iran, Islamic Rep.

✓ Trading across borders

The Islamic Republic of Iran made exporting and importing easier by improving and expanding the services offered by the national single window.

Iraq

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Iraq made dealing with construction permits easier by allowing the simultaneous processing of utility clearances and building permit applications.

✓ Getting electricity

The Ministry of Electricity made getting electricity faster by enforcing tighter deadlines on electricity connections.

Ireland

✓ Starting a business

Ireland made starting a business easier by removing the requirement that a founder seeking to incorporate a company swear before a commissioner of oaths.

Israel

✓ Starting a business

Israel made starting a business easier by merging registration for tax and social security.

Italy

✓ Paying taxes

Italy made paying taxes easier by allowing full cost of labor to be deductible for regional tax on productive activities (IRAP) purposes, as well as updating coefficients used for calculation of tax on real estate (IMU) and municipal service tax (TASI). Furthermore the electronic system for preparing and paying labor taxes was improved.

Jamaica

✗ Starting a business

Jamaica made starting a business more difficult by removing the ability to complete next-day company incorporation.

✓ Paying taxes

Jamaica made paying taxes less costly by increasing tax depreciation rates and the initial capital allowance for assets acquired on or after January 1, 2014. Furthermore, companies incorporated for less than 24 months are exempted from paying the minimum business tax. Jamaica also made paying taxes easier by implementing an electronic system for filing of corporate income tax, VAT and social security contributions.

✓ Trading across borders

Jamaica reduced the time of documentary compliance for exporting by implementing ASYCUDA World, an automated customs data management system.

Japan

✓ Paying taxes

Japan made paying taxes easier by disclosing the technical specifications of the eTax platform and allowing the upload of additional information in comma separated value (CSV) format. The restoration surtax was also abolished. However, a local corporation tax was introduced and the rates of special local corporation tax, inhabitants tax and enterprise tax were raised.

Welfare pension premiums were also raised. These reforms apply to both Tokyo and Osaka. However, the rate for health insurance contributions paid by employers was reduced only in Osaka.

Jordan

✓ Paying taxes

Jordan made paying taxes less costly by increasing the depreciation rates for some fixed assets.

✓ Trading across borders

Jordan made exporting and importing easier by streamlining customs clearance processes, advancing the use of a single window and improving infrastructure at the Port of Aqaba.

Kazakhstan

✓ Starting a business

Kazakhstan simplified the process of starting a business by abolishing the requirement to notarize company documents and founders' signatures.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Kazakhstan made dealing with construction permits easier by introducing a single window and streamlining procedures.

✓ Getting electricity

Kazakhstan streamlined the process of obtaining an electricity connection by eliminating the need for an official excavation permit and an inspection by the State Energy Supervision Committee. Kazakhstan also reduced the time needed to fulfill utility technical requirements and to sign supply contracts. The reliability of the power supply in Kazakhstan was also improved following the establishment of normative levels for the annual system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI).

✓ Protecting minority investors

Kazakhstan strengthened minority investor protections by introducing greater requirements for immediate disclosure of related-party transactions

to the public, increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency.

✓ Trading across borders

Kazakhstan made exporting less costly by removing two export documents required for customs clearance.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Kazakhstan made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new code of civil procedure and by regulating the maximum number of adjournments that can be granted by a judge in a given case.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Kazakhstan made resolving insolvency easier by changing voting procedures for reorganization plans and providing protections to creditors who vote against such plans. Additionally, creditors were granted greater access to information about the debtor during insolvency proceedings and allowed to challenge decisions affecting their rights.

Labor market regulation

Kazakhstan adopted a new labor code that decreased the wage premium for work on weekly holidays, eliminated the requirement to reassign employees before making them redundant, extended the maximum duration of probationary periods and introduced mandatory out-of-court mediation procedures before parties can file claims in court.

Kenya

✓ Starting a business

Kenya made starting a business easier by removing the stamp duty fees required for the nominal capital, memorandum and articles of association. Kenya also eliminated requirements to sign the declaration of compliance before a commissioner of oaths. However, Kenya also made starting a business more expensive by introducing a flat fee for company incorporation.

✓ Getting electricity

Kenya streamlined the process of getting electricity by introducing the use of a geographic information system which eliminates the need to conduct a site visit, thereby reducing the time and interactions needed to obtain an electricity connection.

✓ Registering property

Kenya made registering property easier by increasing the transparency at its land registry and cadaster.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Kenya strengthened minority investor protections by introducing greater requirements for disclosure of related-party transactions to the board of directors, by making it easier to sue directors in cases of prejudicial related-party transactions and by allowing the rescission of related-party transactions that are shown to harm the company.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Kenya made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a reorganization procedure, facilitating continuation of the debtor's business during insolvency proceedings and by introducing regulations for insolvency practitioners.

Korea, Rep.

✓ Starting a business

Korea made starting a business faster by eliminating post-registration procedures.

Kosovo

✓ Paying taxes

Kosovo made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing and paying VAT and social security contributions, and it made paying taxes less costly by allowing more types of expenses to be deducted for the calculation of corporate income tax.

✓ Trading across borders

Kosovo reduced the time and cost of documentary compliance and the time of border compliance for exporting by improving its automated customs data management system, streamlining customs clearance processes and

implementing the Albania-Kosovo Transit Corridor.

Kuwait

✗ Starting a business

Kuwait made starting a business more difficult by increasing the time needed to register by requiring companies to submit the original documents both online and in person.

✓ Trading across borders

Kuwait made exporting and importing easier by introducing customs electronic links and facilitating the electronic exchange of information among various agencies.

Kyrgyz Republic

✓ Trading across borders

The Kyrgyz Republic decreased the time and cost needed for exporting by becoming a member of the Eurasian Economic Union.

Lao PDR

✓ Starting a business

The Lao People's Democratic Republic made the process of starting a business faster by implementing simplified procedures for obtaining a license and a registered company seal.

✓ Getting electricity

Lao PDR improved the regulation of outages by beginning to record data for the annual system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) and system average interruption frequency index (SAIFI).

Latvia

✓ Getting credit

Latvia improved access to credit information by launching a private credit bureau.

✓ Paying taxes

Latvia made paying taxes less complicated by improving its online systems for filing corporate income tax returns and mandatory labor contributions.

Lesotho

✓ Getting credit

Lesotho improved access to credit information by expanding the coverage of its credit bureau.

Liberia

Labor market regulation

Liberia shortened the workweek by increasing the mandatory number of weekly rest hours to 36 consecutive hours with Sunday designated as the weekly holiday. It also mandated a maximum of five overtime hours per week. Liberia also introduced paid annual leave entitlements to employees after one year of employment, extended the duration of paid maternity leave and mandated equal remuneration for work of equal value.

Lithuania

✓ Getting electricity

Lithuania made getting electricity faster by introducing time limits on the utility to conduct necessary connection procedures and lowering the connection tariff.

Macedonia, FYR

✓ Getting credit

The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia improved access to credit by amending its laws to implement a functional secured transactions system, provide modern features for the collateral registry and allow parties to grant nonpossessory security rights in a single category of assets with general descriptions.

✓ Protecting minority investors

FYR Macedonia strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, allowing greater access to corporate information during trial and clarifying ownership and control structures.

✗ Enforcing contracts

FYR Macedonia made enforcing contracts more difficult by adopting amendments to the Law on Civil Procedure that mandate mediation before filing a claim, thus lengthening the initial phase of judicial proceedings.

✓ Resolving insolvency

FYR Macedonia made resolving insolvency easier by changing voting procedures for the reorganization plans and allowing creditors greater participation in insolvency proceedings.

Madagascar

✓ Starting a business

Madagascar made starting a business easier by reducing the number of procedures needed to register a company.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Madagascar increased the transparency of dealing with construction permits by publishing construction-related regulations online and free of charge.

✓ Trading across borders

Madagascar made trading across borders easier by simplifying and streamlining customs procedures and implementing an electronic data interchange system, reducing the time for preparation and submission of trade documents for exporting and importing.

Malawi

✓ Starting a business

Malawi made starting a business easier by eliminating the legal requirement to use a company seal.

✓ Getting credit

Malawi strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that implements a functional secured transactions system and establishes a centralized, notice-based, online collateral registry.

Malaysia

✗ Starting a business

Malaysia made starting a business more difficult by requiring that companies with an annual revenue of more than MYR 500,000 register as a GST payer.

✓ Getting credit

In Malaysia the credit bureau began to provide consumer credit scores.

✓ Paying taxes

Malaysia made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing and paying goods and services tax (GST) while also making it more complex by replacing sales tax with GST.

Mali

✓ Starting a business

Mali made starting a business easier by reducing the paid-in minimum capital required to register a company.

✓ Getting credit

Mali improved access to credit information by establishing a new credit bureau.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Mali made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Malta

✓ Starting a business

Malta made starting a business easier by offering automatic registration with the Inland Revenue Department following the receipt of the company registration number.

✓ Getting credit

Malta improved access to credit information by launching a new credit registry.

✗ Paying taxes

Malta made paying taxes more costly by replacing the capital gains tax with a property transfer tax, and increasing

the maximum social security contribution paid by employers.

Mauritania

✓ Getting credit

Mauritania improved access to credit information by providing banks and financial institutions with online access to credit registry data.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Mauritania strengthened minority investor protections by requiring prior external review of related-party transactions, by increasing director liability and by expanding shareholders' role in major transactions.

✓ Paying taxes

Mauritania made paying taxes easier by reducing the frequency of both tax filing and payment of social security contributions.

✓ Trading across borders

Mauritania made trading across borders easier by upgrading to the ASYCUDA World electronic data interchange system, which reduced the time for preparation and submission of customs declarations for both exports and imports.

Mauritius

✓ Registering property

Mauritius made registering property easier by digitizing its land records.

Mexico

✗ Starting a business

Mexico made starting a business more difficult by discontinuing the use of an online portal for tax and business registration. This reform applies to Mexico City.

✓ Registering property

Mexico made registering property easier by digitizing its land records, improving the quality of the land registry infrastructure and making the registration process more efficient. This reform applies to Mexico City.

Labor market regulation

Mexico adopted a resolution that eliminated geographic differences in national minimum wages. Prior to the reform Mexico was divided into two zones—zone A and zone B—with different applicable minimum wages. This reform applies to both Mexico City and Monterrey.

Moldova

✗ Starting a business

Moldova made starting a business more costly by increasing the cost of company registration.

✓ Getting electricity

Moldova streamlined the process of obtaining a new electricity connection by eliminating the need for new customers with a capacity of less than 200 kilowatts to obtain an inspection from the State Energy Inspectorate.

✓ Paying taxes

Moldova made paying taxes easier by eliminating a requirement to submit social security documents in hard copy. However, Moldova also made paying taxes more costly by raising rates for road tax, environmental levy and health insurance contributions paid by employers.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Moldova made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new mediation law establishing financial incentives for the parties to attempt mediation.

Mongolia

✓ Paying taxes

Mongolia made paying taxes easier by introducing an electronic system for filing and payment of taxes.

Montenegro

✓ Paying taxes

Montenegro made paying taxes less costly by reducing the personal income tax rate. Montenegro made paying taxes easier by providing an electronic system for filing and paying VAT.

At the same time, Montenegro made paying taxes more costly by increasing the health contribution rate paid by employers.

Morocco

✓ Starting a business

Morocco made the process of starting a business easier by introducing an online platform to reserve a company name and reducing registration fees.

✓ Registering property

Morocco made registering property easier by streamlining the property registration process.

✓ Getting credit

In Morocco the credit bureau began to provide credit scores.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Morocco strengthened minority investor protections by clarifying ownership and control structures and by requiring greater corporate transparency.

✓ Trading across borders

Morocco reduced the time for border compliance for importing by further developing its single window system.

Mozambique

✗ Starting a business

Mozambique made starting a business more difficult by increasing registration and notary fees.

✓ Getting credit

Mozambique improved access to credit information by enacting a law that allows the establishment of a new credit bureau.

Myanmar

✓ Starting a business

Myanmar made starting a business easier by reducing the cost to register a company. It also simplified the process by removing the requirement to submit a reference letter and a criminal history certificate in order to incorporate a company.

✓ Getting credit

Myanmar improved its credit information system by enacting a law that allows the establishment of a new credit bureau.

✗ Trading across borders

Myanmar made trading across borders more difficult for traders as they experience higher cost and time delays due to congestion at the port of Yangon.

Labor market regulation

Myanmar introduced a minimum wage and changed the regulation of severance pay.

Nepal

✗ Dealing with construction permits

Nepal made dealing with construction permits more difficult by increasing the cost of obtaining a building permit.

✓ Trading across borders

Nepal made exporting and importing easier by implementing ASYCUDA World, an electronic data interchange system.

Netherlands

✓ Paying taxes

The Netherlands made paying taxes less costly by lowering the rates paid by employers for health insurance contributions, special unemployment insurance, unemployment insurance and real estate taxes. The Netherlands also made paying taxes easier by improving the online system for paying corporate income tax. However, the Netherlands made paying taxes more costly by increasing the rates for disablement insurance contribution paid by employers, polder board tax and motor tax.

Labor market regulation

The Netherlands reduced the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts from 36 to 24 months. Severance pay was introduced for redundancy dismissals for employees with at least 2 years of continuous employment.

New Zealand

✓ Paying taxes

New Zealand made paying taxes easier by abolishing the cheque levy. New Zealand made paying less costly by decreasing the rate of accident compensation levy paid by employers. At the same time, New Zealand made paying taxes more costly by raising property tax and road user levy rates.

Nicaragua

✗ Trading across borders

Nicaragua made trading across borders more expensive by introducing a new security fee, increasing the cost of border compliance for exporting and importing.

Niger

✓ Starting a business

Niger made starting a business easier by reducing the time and cost needed to register a company. Niger also eliminated the requirement to notarize a company's bylaws.

✓ Getting credit

Niger improved access to credit information by establishing a new credit bureau.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Niger strengthened minority investor protections by introducing a provision that requires the winning party's legal expenses be reimbursed by the losing party.

✓ Trading across borders

Niger made trading across borders easier by removing the mandatory pre-shipment inspection for imported products.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Niger made enforcing contracts easier by creating a specialized commercial court in Niamey and by adopting a new code of civil procedure that establishes time standards for key court events.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Niger made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Nigeria

✓ Starting a business

Nigeria made starting a business easier by improving online government portals. This reform applies to both Kano and Lagos.

✓ Getting credit

Nigeria strengthened access to credit by creating a centralized collateral registry. This reform applies to both Kano and Lagos.

Norway

✓ Enforcing contracts

Norway made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic filing system for court users.

Labor market regulation

Norway allowed the use of fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks for 12 months.

Oman

✓ Starting a business

Oman made starting a business easier by removing the requirement to pay the minimum capital within three months of incorporation and streamlining the registration of employees.

✓ Trading across borders

Oman reduced the time for border and documentary compliance by introducing a new online single window that allows for rapid electronic clearance of goods.

Pakistan

✓ Registering property

Pakistan improved the quality of land administration by digitizing ownership and land records. This reform applies to Lahore.

✓ Getting credit

Pakistan improved access to credit information guaranteeing by law borrowers' rights to inspect their own data. The credit bureau also expanded its borrower coverage. This reform applies to both Lahore and Karachi.

✓ Trading across borders

Pakistan made exporting and importing easier by enhancing its electronic customs platform. This reform applies to both Lahore and Karachi.

Papua New Guinea

✓ Starting a business

Papua New Guinea reduced the time required to start a business by streamlining business registration at the Investment Promotion Agency (IPA).

✓ Getting credit

Papua New Guinea strengthened access to credit by adopting a new law on secured transactions that implemented a functional secured transactions system and established a centralized, notice-based collateral registry. The new law broadens the scope of assets that can be used as collateral and allows out-of-court enforcement of collateral.

Paraguay

✗ Getting credit

Paraguay reduced access to credit information by limiting the distribution of historical data on borrowers.

✓ Trading across borders

Paraguay made trading across borders easier by introducing a single window for exporting, which reduced the time required of border and documentary compliance.

Peru

✓ Paying taxes

Peru made paying taxes less costly by decreasing the corporate income tax rate.

Philippines

✓ Dealing with construction permits

The Philippines made dealing with construction permits easier by increasing the transparency of its building regulations.

✓ Paying taxes

The Philippines made paying taxes easier by introducing an online system for filing and paying health contributions and by allowing for the online corporate income tax and VAT returns to be completed offline.

Poland

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Poland made dealing with construction permits simpler by streamlining the process of obtaining a building permit.

✓ Getting electricity

Poland made getting an electricity connection faster by eliminating the need to secure an excavation permit for external connection works, which reduced the time of mentioned works.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Poland made resolving insolvency easier by introducing new restructuring mechanisms, changing voting procedures for restructuring plans and allowing creditors greater participation in insolvency proceedings. It also established a central restructuring and bankruptcy register and released guidelines for the remuneration of insolvency representatives.

Labor market regulation

Poland reduced the maximum duration of fixed term contracts to 33 months and limited the total number of fixed-term contracts between the same employer and employee to three.

Portugal

✓ Getting electricity

Portugal made getting an electricity connection faster by reducing the time required to approve electrical connection requests.

✓ Paying taxes

Portugal made paying taxes easier and less costly by using better accounting software and enhancing the online filing system of taxes and decreasing the corporate income tax rate.

Labor market regulation

Portugal reduced the maximum duration of fixed-term contracts.

Puerto Rico (U.S.)

✓ Registering property

Puerto Rico (U.S.) made registering property easier by digitizing its land records and improving the quality of infrastructure and transparency of its land administration system.

✓ Paying taxes

Puerto Rico (U.S.) made paying taxes less costly by abolishing gross receipts tax. However, the capital gains tax rate was increased.

Qatar

✓ Starting a business

Qatar made starting a business easier by abolishing the paid-in minimum capital requirement for limited liability companies.

✓ Registering property

Qatar made registering property easier by increasing the transparency at its land registry.

✗ Protecting minority investors

Qatar weakened minority investor protections by decreasing the rights of shareholders in major decisions, by diminishing ownership and control structures, by reducing requirements for approval of related-party transactions and their disclosure to the board of directors and by limiting the liability of interested directors and board of directors in the event of prejudicial related-party transactions.

Romania

✗ Starting a business

Romania made starting a business more difficult by increasing the time needed to register for VAT.

Russian Federation

✓ Dealing with construction permits

The Russian Federation made dealing with construction permits easier by removing the requirements to obtain permission to fence the construction site and to obtain approval of the architectural and urban planning design for non-residential buildings. This reform only applies to the city of St. Petersburg.

✗ Enforcing contracts

Russia made enforcing contracts more difficult by mandating pre-trial resolution before filing a claim, thereby lengthening the initial phase of judicial proceedings. This reform applies to both Moscow and St. Petersburg.

Rwanda

✓ Starting a business

Rwanda made starting a business easier by improving the online registration one-stop shop and streamlining post-registration procedures.

✗ Dealing with construction permits

Rwanda made dealing with construction permits more cumbersome and expensive by introducing new requirements to obtain a building permit. At the same time, Rwanda also strengthened quality control by establishing required qualifications for architects and engineers.

✓ Registering property

Rwanda made it easier to register property by introducing effective time limits and increasing the transparency of the land administration system.

✗ Paying taxes

Rwanda made paying taxes more complicated by introducing a requirement

that companies file and pay social security contributions monthly instead of quarterly.

✓ Trading across borders

Rwanda made trading across borders easier by removing the mandatory pre-shipment inspection for imported products.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Rwanda made enforcing contracts easier by introducing an electronic case management system for judges and lawyers.

San Marino

✓ Dealing with construction permits

San Marino made dealing with construction permits easier and cheaper by reducing the cost and streamlining the process of obtaining a building permit.

✓ Paying taxes

San Marino made paying taxes less costly by introducing a 50% reduction of corporate income tax for new companies.

São Tomé and Príncipe

Labor market regulation

São Tomé and Príncipe adopted a minimum wage for the private sector.

Saudi Arabia

✓ Starting a business

Saudi Arabia made starting a business easier by reducing the time to notarize a company's articles of association.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Saudi Arabia strengthened minority investor protections by strengthening ownership and control structures of companies and by increasing corporate transparency requirements.

✗ Paying taxes

Saudi Arabia made paying taxes more difficult by introducing a more complex income tax return.

Labor market regulation

Saudi Arabia increased the length of the notice period for redundancy dismissals.

Senegal

✓ Registering property

Senegal made registering property easier by increasing transparency at its land registry and cadaster.

✓ Getting credit

Senegal improved access to credit information by establishing a new credit bureau.

✓ Paying taxes

Senegal made paying taxes less costly by reducing the maximum cap for corporate income tax and implementing more efficient accounting systems and software.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Senegal made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Serbia

✓ Starting a business

Serbia simplified the process of starting a business by reducing the time to register a company.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Serbia made dealing with construction permits faster by implementing an online system and streamlining the process of obtaining building permits.

✓ Registering property

Serbia simplified property transfer process by introducing effective time limits.

Sierra Leone

✓ Starting a business

Sierra Leone made starting a business easier by reducing registration fees.

Singapore

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Singapore made dealing with construction permits easier by streamlining procedures and improving the online one-stop shop.

✓ Registering property

Singapore made it easier to transfer a property by introducing an independent mechanism for reporting errors on titles and maps.

✓ Paying taxes

Singapore made paying taxes easier by introducing improvements to the online system for filing corporate income tax returns and VAT returns. At the same time, the social security contribution rate paid by employers increased and the rebate of 30% on vehicle tax expired.

Slovak Republic

✓ Paying taxes

The Slovak Republic made paying taxes less costly and easier by reducing the motor vehicle tax and the number of property tax payments.

Solomon Islands

✓ Getting credit

The Solomon Islands improved access to credit information by establishing a credit bureau.

South Africa

✓ Starting a business

South Africa made starting a business easier by introducing an online portal to search for a company name.

✗ Registering property

South Africa made it more expensive to transfer property by increasing the property transfer tax.

✗ Paying taxes

South Africa made paying taxes more costly by increasing the rates of vehicle tax and property tax. At the same time the rate of social security contributions

paid by employers was reduced. South Africa made paying taxes more complicated by increasing the time it takes to prepare VAT returns.

Spain

✓ Paying taxes

Spain made paying taxes less costly by reducing the property tax rate, vehicle tax rate, tax on property transfer, and abolishing the environmental fee. Spain made paying taxes easier by introducing a new electronic system for filing social security contributions.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Spain made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a mandatory electronic filing system for court users.

Sri Lanka

✓ Starting a business

Sri Lanka made starting a business easier by removing the stamp duty on newly issued shares.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Sri Lanka strengthened minority investor protections by requiring board and in some cases shareholder approval of related-party transactions and by requiring that such transactions undergo external review.

St. Kitts and Nevis

✗ Registering property

Saint Kitts and Nevis made it more difficult to transfer property due to a backlog of registration of property transfers at the Supreme Court Registry. However, the stamp duty was reduced for transferring property.

St. Lucia

✗ Getting electricity

The utility made getting electricity more difficult by introducing a requirement to obtain a current land registry extract to get a new connection.

✓ Trading across borders

St. Lucia made exporting and importing easier by upgrading its electronic data interchange system and linking the customs and port authorities through a common online platform.

Sudan

✗ Starting a business

Sudan made starting a business more difficult by increasing the cost of a company seal.

✗ Protecting minority investors

Sudan strengthened minority investor protections by introducing greater requirements for disclosure of related-party transactions to the board of directors and granting shareholders preemption rights in limited liability companies. However, Sudan weakened minority investor protections by making it more difficult to sue directors in case of prejudicial related-party transactions, decreasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions and undermining ownership and control structures.

Sweden

✓ Registering property

Sweden made it easier to transfer a property by increasing administrative efficiency and introducing an independent and separate mechanism for reporting errors on maps.

Syrian Arab Republic

✗ Starting a business

The Syrian Arab Republic made starting a business more difficult by increasing the time for company registration and more costly by increasing fees for post-registration procedures.

✗ Registering property

Syria made registering property more complex by requiring a security clearance prior to transferring the property.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Syria made enforcing contracts easier by adopting a new code of civil procedure.

Tajikistan

✗ Starting a business

Tajikistan made starting a business more difficult by requiring that companies with annual revenue of more than SM 500,000 register as a VAT payer.

✓ Paying taxes

Tajikistan made paying taxes easier by introducing electronic invoices and expanding the electronic system for filing and paying taxes to include road tax. It also made paying taxes less costly by reducing road tax rates. On the other hand, land tax rates were increased.

Tanzania

✓ Getting credit

The credit bureau in Tanzania expanded credit bureau borrower coverage and began to distribute credit data from retailers.

✗ Paying taxes

Tanzania made paying taxes more complicated by increasing the frequency of filing of the skills development levy and more costly by introducing a workers' compensation tariff paid by employers.

Thailand

✓ Starting a business

Thailand made starting a business easier by creating a single window for registration payment and reducing the time needed to obtain a company seal.

✓ Getting credit

Thailand improved access to credit information by starting to provide credit scores to banks and financial institutions.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Thailand made resolving insolvency easier by introducing new restructuring for small and medium-size companies

and by streamlining provisions related to company liquidation.

Togo

✓ Getting credit

Togo improved access to credit information by introducing regulations that govern the licensing and functioning of credit bureaus in UEMOA member states.

✓ Paying taxes

Togo made paying taxes easier by streamlining the administrative process of complying with tax obligations.

✓ Trading across borders

Togo made trading across borders easier by implementing an electronic single window system, which reduced the time for border and documentary compliance for both exporting and importing.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Togo made resolving insolvency easier by introducing a new conciliation procedure for companies in financial difficulties and a simplified preventive settlement procedure for small companies.

Tonga

✗ Dealing with construction permits

Tonga made dealing with construction permits more complex by introducing two new procedures.

Tunisia

✓ Getting credit

Tunisia strengthened credit reporting by starting to distribute historical credit information and credit information from a telecommunications company.

Turkey

✓ Starting a business

Turkey made starting a business easier by allowing new companies to automatically receive potential tax identification number online through the Central Registration Recording System.

✓ Paying taxes

Turkey made paying taxes easier by introducing electronic invoicing and electronic bookkeeping. At the same time, however, Turkey also increased the rate of transaction tax applicable on checks.

Uganda

✓ Starting a business

Uganda made starting a business easier by eliminating the requirement that a commissioner of oaths must sign compliance declarations.

✓ Paying taxes

Uganda made paying taxes easier by eliminating a requirement for tax returns to be submitted in paper copy following online submission. At the same time, Uganda increased the stamp duty for insurance contracts.

✓ Trading across borders

Uganda made trading across borders easier by constructing the Malaba One-Stop Border Post, which reduced border compliance time for exports.

Ukraine

✓ Protecting minority investors

Ukraine strengthened minority investor protections by requiring interested director or shareholder to be excluded from the vote, by requiring that proposed related-party transactions undergo external review, by introducing remedies in cases where related-party transactions are harmful to the company and also clarifying ownership and control structures.

✓ Enforcing contracts

Ukraine made enforcing contracts easier by introducing a system that allows users to pay court fees electronically.

United Arab Emirates

✓ Starting a business

The United Arab Emirates made it easier to start a business by streamlining name reservation and articles of association notarization and

merging registration procedures with the Ministry of Human Resources and General Pensions and Social Security Authority.

✓ Dealing with construction permits

The United Arab Emirates made dealing with construction permits easier by implementing risk-based inspections and merging the final inspection into the process of obtaining a completion certificate.

✓ Getting electricity

The United Arab Emirates reduced the time required to obtain a new electricity connection by implementing a new program with strict deadlines for reviewing applications, carrying out inspections and meter installations. The United Arab Emirates also introduced compensation for power outages.

✓ Registering property

The United Arab Emirates made registering property easier by increasing the transparency at its land registry.

✓ Protecting minority investors

The United Arab Emirates strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, clarifying ownership and control structures and requiring greater corporate transparency.

Labor market regulation

The United Arab Emirates reduced the duration of a single fixed-term contract from 48 to 24 month.

Uruguay

✗ Starting a business

Uruguay made starting a business more costly by increasing the value of the official fiscal unit used for the payment of government fees.

✓ Paying taxes

Uruguay made paying taxes easier by introducing an electronic system for paying social security contributions. Online filing was already in place.

Uzbekistan

✓ Registering property

Uzbekistan made transferring a property easier by increasing transparency of information.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Uzbekistan strengthened minority investor protections by clarifying ownership and control structures.

✓ Paying taxes

Uzbekistan made paying taxes less costly by reducing the unified social payment rate paid by employers and the corporate income tax rate. However, the land tax rates in city of Tashkent increased.

Vanuatu

✓ Starting a business

Vanuatu made starting a business easier by removing registration requirements and digitizing the company register.

✓ Getting credit

Vanuatu improved access to credit by passing a law that allows secured creditors to realize their assets without being subject to priorities of other creditors.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Vanuatu strengthened minority investor protections by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions and clarifying ownership and control structures.

✓ Resolving insolvency

Vanuatu made resolving insolvency easier by strengthening and modernizing its legal framework in relation to liquidation and receivership proceedings.

Venezuela, RB

✗ Starting a business

República Bolivariana de Venezuela made starting a business more expensive by raising the value of the tributary unit and lawyers' fees. It also made the

process more time consuming by limiting the work schedule of the public sector.

Vietnam

✗ Starting a business

Vietnam made starting a business more difficult by requiring entrepreneurs to receive approval of the seal sample before using it.

✓ Protecting minority investors

Vietnam strengthened minority investor protections by making it easier to sue directors in cases of prejudicial transactions between interested parties, by increasing shareholder rights and role in major corporate decisions, by strengthening the ownership and control structures of companies and by increasing corporate transparency requirements.

✓ Paying taxes

Vietnam made paying taxes easier and less costly by streamlining the administrative process of complying with tax obligations and abolishing environmental protection fees.

✓ Trading across borders

Vietnam made trading across borders easier by implementing an electronic customs clearance system.

Zambia

✗ Dealing with construction permits

Zambia made dealing with construction permits more costly by raising the costs associated with submitting a brief to the environmental agency.

✓ Registering property

Zambia made it more affordable to transfer property by decreasing the property transfer tax.

Labor market regulation

Zambia eliminated fixed-term contracts for permanent tasks.

Zimbabwe

✓ Dealing with construction permits

Zimbabwe made dealing with construction permits faster by streamlining the building plan approval process.

✓ Registering property

Zimbabwe made registering property easier by launching an official website containing information on the list of documents and fees for completing a property transaction, as well as, a specific time frame for delivering a legally binding document that proves property ownership.

✓ Getting credit

Zimbabwe improved access to credit information by allowing the establishment of a credit registry.

✗ Trading across borders

Zimbabwe made trading across borders more difficult by introducing a mandatory pre-shipment inspection for imported products.

Labor market regulation

Zimbabwe reduced severance payments and introduced stricter rules governing fixed-term contracts.

Country Tables

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
AFGHANISTAN		South Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		183	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	38.10	Population
Starting a business (rank)	42	Getting credit (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	175
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.08	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	30.63
Procedures (number)	3.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	7.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	228
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.8	<i>Cost to export</i>	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	186	Protecting minority investors (rank)	189	Documentary compliance (US\$)	344
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	22.39	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	11.67	Border compliance (US\$)	453
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	356	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	324
Cost (% of warehouse value)	82.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	96
Building quality control index (0–15)	2.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	0	<i>Cost to import</i>	
Getting electricity (rank)	159	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	900
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	45.04	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	750
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	163	Enforcing contracts (rank)	180
Time (days)	114	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	51.29	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	31.76
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,274.7	Payments (number per year)	20	Time (days)	1,642
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	275	Cost (% of claim)	29.0
Registering property (rank)	186	Total tax rate (% of profit)	48.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	27.50	Postfiling index (0–100)	0.45	Resolving insolvency (rank)	159
Procedures (number)	9	Europe & Central Asia		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	23.62
Time (days)	250	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	68.90	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	5.0			Cost (% of estate)	25.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.5
ALBANIA				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	3.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	58				
Starting a business (rank)	46	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	24
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.73	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	96.29
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	<i>Time to export</i>	
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	9
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	38.9	<i>Cost to export</i>	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	106	Protecting minority investors (rank)	19	Documentary compliance (US\$)	10
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.61	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	71.67	Border compliance (US\$)	55
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	<i>Time to import</i>	
Time (days)	220	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	8
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	10
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	<i>Cost to import</i>	
Getting electricity (rank)	156	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	10
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	48.30	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	77
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	97	Enforcing contracts (rank)	116
Time (days)	134	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.96	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	53.66
Cost (% of income per capita)	515.5	Payments (number per year)	34	Time (days)	525
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	261	Cost (% of claim)	34.9
Registering property (rank)	106	Total tax rate (% of profit)	36.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.77	Postfiling index (0–100)	82.97	Resolving insolvency (rank)	43
Procedures (number)	6			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	64.96
Time (days)	19			Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	9.9			Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	42.3
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
ALGERIA		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	142	Getting credit (rank)	175	Trading across borders (rank)	178
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.54	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	24.15
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	20	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	149
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	118
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.0	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	77	Protecting minority investors (rank)	173	Documentary compliance (US\$)	374
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.02	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	33.33	Border compliance (US\$)	593
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	130	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	249
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suit index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	327
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	118	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	400
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.58	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	466
Procedures (number)	5	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	155	Enforcing contracts (rank)	102
Time (days)	180	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	53.99	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.49
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,330.4	Payments (number per year)	27	Time (days)	630
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	265	Cost (% of claim)	19.9
Registering property (rank)	162	Total tax rate (% of profit)	65.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.83	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31	Resolving insolvency (rank)	74
Procedures (number)	10	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	81	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	47.67
Time (days)	55	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Time (years)	1.3
Cost (% of property value)	7.1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of estate)	7.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	50.8
ANGOLA		Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	4,180
✓ Starting a business (rank)	144	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	38.41	Population	25,021,974
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.34	Getting credit (rank)	181	Trading across borders (rank)	183
Procedures (number)	8	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	5.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	19.27
Time (days)	36	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	27.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	169
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	240
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	111	Protecting minority investors (rank)	81	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.51	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Documentary compliance (US\$)	240
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	735
Time (days)	203	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suit index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	180
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	276
Getting electricity (rank)	171	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	40.84	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	460
Procedures (number)	7	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	157	Border compliance (US\$)	935
Time (days)	145	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	53.23	Enforcing contracts (rank)	186
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,195.7	Payments (number per year)	31	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	26.26
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	287	Time (days)	1,296
Registering property (rank)	170	Total tax rate (% of profit)	48.0	Cost (% of claim)	44.4
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	40.64	Postfiling index (0–100)	27.96	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
Procedures (number)	7	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Time (days)	190	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
ANTIGUA AND BARBUDA		Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Latin America & Caribbean	GNI per capita (US\$)	13,390
✓ Starting a business (rank)	124	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	58.04	Population	91,818
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.66	Getting credit (rank)	157	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	110
Procedures (number)	9	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	65.76
Time (days)	22	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	51
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	85
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	107	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.41	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	121
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	546
Time (days)	110	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suit index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	85
Getting electricity (rank)	35	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.49	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
Procedures (number)	4	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	160	Border compliance (US\$)	546
Time (days)	42	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	53.03	Enforcing contracts (rank)	35
Cost (% of income per capita)	117.6	Payments (number per year)	57	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	68.11
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	207	Time (days)	476
Registering property (rank)	150	Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.9	Cost (% of claim)	27.1
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.51	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.08	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
Procedures (number)	7	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Resolving insolvency (rank)	124
Time (days)	108	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	35.12
Cost (% of property value)	10.8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time (years)	3.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Cost (% of estate)	7.0
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)		Ease of shareholder suit index (0–10)	8	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.2
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
ARGENTINA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	157	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	111
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.56	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	65.36
Procedures (number)	14	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	30
Time (days)	25	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Border compliance (hours)	21
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Cost to export	60
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	41.6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	173	Protecting minority investors (rank)	51	Border compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	51.17	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67	Time to import	192
Procedures (number)	21	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	60
Time (days)	341	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	120
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	120
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	1,200
Getting electricity (rank)	91	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Enforcing contracts	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.98	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	64.81
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	178	Time (days)	660
Time (days)	92	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	39.76	Cost (% of claim)	22.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	32.2	Payments (number per year)	9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	359	Resolving insolvency (rank)	98
Registering property (rank)	114	Total tax rate (% of profit)	106.0	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	41.87
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	56.32	Postfiling index (0–100)	16.97	Time (years)	2.8
Procedures (number)	7			Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Time (days)	51.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	22.6
Cost (% of property value)	6.6			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.0				
ARMENIA		Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	3,880
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	38	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	73.63	Population	3,017,712
Starting a business (rank)	9	Getting credit (rank)	20	Trading across borders (rank)	48
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	96.07	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	86.45
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	2
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	39
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	71.1	Border compliance (hours)	100
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	150
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	81	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.03	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	150
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	2
Time (days)	84	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	41
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	100
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
Getting electricity (rank)	76	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	73.17	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	28
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	88	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	69.71
Time (days)	138	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.49	Time (days)	570
Cost (% of income per capita)	80.3	Payments (number per year)	14	Cost (% of claim)	16.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	313	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
Registering property (rank)	13	Total tax rate (% of profit)	18.5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	78
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	87.36	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.08	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	46.06
Procedures (number)	3			Time (years)	1.9
Time (days)	7			Cost (% of estate)	11.0
Cost (% of property value)	0.2			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.2
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5
AUSTRALIA		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	60,070
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	15	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	80.26	Population	23,781,169
Starting a business (rank)	7	Getting credit (rank)	5	Trading across borders (rank)	91
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	96.47	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	70.65
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Time to export	7
Time (days)	2.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	264
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	749
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	2	Protecting minority investors (rank)	63	Documentary compliance (US\$)	264
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	86.56	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	749
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	4
Time (days)	112	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	39
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	100
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	525
Getting electricity (rank)	41	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	525
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.31	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	3
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	25	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	79.72
Time (days)	75	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	85.60	Time (days)	395
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.6	Payments (number per year)	11	Cost (% of claim)	21.8
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	105	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	15.5
Registering property (rank)	45	Total tax rate (% of profit)	47.6	Resolving insolvency (rank)	21
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.22	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.35	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	78.73
Procedures (number)	5			Time (years)	1.0
Time (days)	4.5			Cost (% of estate)	8.0
Cost (% of property value)	5.2			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	82.4
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	20.0			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
AUSTRIA		OECD high income		GNP per capita (US\$)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		19	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	78.92	Population																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Starting a business (rank)	111	Getting credit (rank)	62	Trading across borders (rank)	1																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.72	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Time (days)	21	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	53.6	Border compliance (hours)	0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	2.3	Cost to export																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	49	Protecting minority investors (rank)	32	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.96	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Time (days)	222	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Getting electricity (rank)	20	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	87.70	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	42	Enforcing contracts (rank)	10																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Time (days)	23	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.39	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	75.49																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of income per capita)	95.7	Payments (number per year)	12	Time (days)	397																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	131	Cost (% of claim)	20.6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Registering property (rank)	30	Total tax rate (% of profit)	51.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	79.97	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.45	Resolving insolvency (rank)	20																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	3	Trading across borders (rank)	83	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	78.93																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Time (days)	20.5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	72.28	Time (years)	1.1																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of property value)	4.6	Time to export																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	33			Border compliance (hours)	29	AZERBAIJAN		Europe & Central Asia		Cost to export		Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	65	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300	Starting a business (rank)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	214	Time to import		DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	97.74	Documentary compliance (hours)	38	Documentary compliance (hours)	38	Procedures (number)	2	Border compliance (hours)	30	Cost to import		Time (days)	3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200	Cost (% of income per capita)	1.3	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	423	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	44	Dealing with construction permits (rank)	127	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.66	DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.63	Paying taxes (rank)	40	Time (days)	277	Procedures (number)	18	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.52	Cost (% of claim)	18.5	Time (days)	203	Payments (number per year)	6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5	Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.5	Time (hours per year)	195	Resolving insolvency (rank)	86	Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.8	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.77	Getting electricity (rank)	105	Postfiling index (0–100)	81.00	Time (years)	1.5	DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	64.83	Trading across borders (rank)	83	Cost (% of estate)	12.0	Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	72.28	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.6	Time (days)	69	Time to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5	Cost (% of income per capita)	150.4	Documentary compliance (hours)	33			Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Border compliance (hours)	29			Registering property (rank)	22	Cost to export				DTF score for registering property (0–100)	82.52	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300			Procedures (number)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	214			Time (days)	8.5	Time to import				Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Documentary compliance (hours)	38			Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.5	Border compliance (hours)	30			BAHAMAS, THE		Latin America & Caribbean		Enforcing contracts (rank)	44	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	121	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.52	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.66	Starting a business (rank)	118	Payments (number per year)	6	Time (days)	277	DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	82.71	Time (hours per year)	195	Cost (% of claim)	18.5	Procedures (number)	8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5	Time (days)	21.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	81.00	Resolving insolvency (rank)	86	Cost (% of income per capita)	13.8	NOT APPLICABLE		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.77	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Time (years)	1.5	Dealing with construction permits (rank)	110			Cost (% of estate)	12.0	DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.64			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.6	Procedures (number)	16			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5	Time (days)	180					Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0					Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0					Getting electricity (rank)	116					DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.89					Procedures (number)	5					Time (days)	67					Cost (% of income per capita)	146.8					Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0					Registering property (rank)	166					DTF score for registering property (0–100)	42.74					Procedures (number)	7					Time (days)	122					Cost (% of property value)	4.7					Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0				
		Border compliance (hours)	29																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
AZERBAIJAN		Europe & Central Asia		Cost to export																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	65	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Starting a business (rank)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	214	Time to import																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	97.74	Documentary compliance (hours)	38	Documentary compliance (hours)	38																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	2	Border compliance (hours)	30	Cost to import																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Time (days)	3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.3	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	423																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	44																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	127	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.66																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.63	Paying taxes (rank)	40	Time (days)	277																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	18	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.52	Cost (% of claim)	18.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Time (days)	203	Payments (number per year)	6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.5	Time (hours per year)	195	Resolving insolvency (rank)	86																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.8	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.77																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Getting electricity (rank)	105	Postfiling index (0–100)	81.00	Time (years)	1.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	64.83	Trading across borders (rank)	83	Cost (% of estate)	12.0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	72.28	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Time (days)	69	Time to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of income per capita)	150.4	Documentary compliance (hours)	33																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Border compliance (hours)	29																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
Registering property (rank)	22	Cost to export																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	82.52	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
Procedures (number)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	214																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
Time (days)	8.5	Time to import																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Documentary compliance (hours)	38																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.5	Border compliance (hours)	30																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												
BAHAMAS, THE		Latin America & Caribbean		Enforcing contracts (rank)	44																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	121	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.52	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.66																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Starting a business (rank)	118	Payments (number per year)	6	Time (days)	277																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	82.71	Time (hours per year)	195	Cost (% of claim)	18.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Time (days)	21.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	81.00	Resolving insolvency (rank)	86																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.8	NOT APPLICABLE		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.77																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0			Time (years)	1.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	110			Cost (% of estate)	12.0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.64			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.6																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Procedures (number)	16			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Time (days)	180																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Getting electricity (rank)	116																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.89																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Procedures (number)	5																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Time (days)	67																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Cost (% of income per capita)	146.8																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Registering property (rank)	166																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	42.74																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Procedures (number)	7																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Time (days)	122																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Cost (% of property value)	4.7																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																														

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
BAHRAIN		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		63	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	68.44	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	73	✓ Getting credit (rank)	101	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	82
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.82	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	72.50
Procedures (number)	7.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	9.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	25.7	Border compliance (hours)	71
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	3.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	19	Protecting minority investors (rank)	106	Documentary compliance (US\$)	211
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.56	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	47
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	146	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	84
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	54
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	72	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	130
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	74.80	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	397
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	110
Time (days)	85	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	94.44	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.53
Cost (% of income per capita)	66.8	Payments (number per year)	13	Time (days)	635
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	27	Cost (% of claim)	14.7
Registering property (rank)	25	Total tax rate (% of profit)	13.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.07	Postfiling index (0–100)	NOT APPLICABLE	Resolving insolvency (rank)	88
Procedures (number)	2	Getting credit (rank)	157	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.66
Time (days)	31	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	Time (years)	2.5
Cost (% of property value)	1.7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Cost (% of estate)	9.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	42.3
BANGLADESH		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0
BANGLADESH		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.9	Trading across borders (rank)	173
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	34.86
Starting a business (rank)	122	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Time to export	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.74	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	147
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	99.7
Time (days)	19.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Cost to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	225
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	408.2
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	138	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.60	Paying taxes (rank)	151	Documentary compliance (hours)	144
Procedures (number)	14.2	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	55.56	Border compliance (hours)	183
Time (days)	269	Payments (number per year)	33	Cost to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.7	Time (hours per year)	435	Documentary compliance (US\$)	370
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.4	Border compliance (US\$)	1,293.8
Getting electricity (rank)	187	Postfiling index (0–100)	43.57	Enforcing contracts (rank)	189
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	16.17	Getting credit (rank)	151	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	22.21
Procedures (number)	9	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	Time (days)	1,442
Time (days)	428.9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Cost (% of claim)	66.8
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,860.9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	151
Registering property (rank)	185	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	27.02
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	27.58	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Time (years)	4.0
Procedures (number)	8	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Cost (% of estate)	8.0
Time (days)	244	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.0
Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	125
BARBADOS		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	61.88
BARBADOS		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Time to export	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Extending grace period (rank)	133	Documentary compliance (hours)	54
Starting a business (rank)	101	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (hours)	41
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	109
Time (days)	15	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)	350
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Time to import	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Documentary compliance (hours)	74
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	161	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (hours)	104
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.96	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	146
Time (days)	442	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	1,585
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	167
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	38.02
Getting electricity (rank)	93	Extending grace period (rank)	85	Time (days)	1,340
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.38	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	72.70	Cost (% of claim)	19.7
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	28	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
Time (days)	87	Time (hours per year)	237	Resolving insolvency (rank)	36
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.7	Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.7	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	69.78
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Postfiling index (0–100)	73.62	Time (years)	1.8
Registering property (rank)	130	Getting credit (rank)	133	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.35	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	65.8
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
Time (days)	105	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Trading across borders (rank)	125
Cost (% of property value)	5.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	61.88
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Time to export	

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
BELARUS		Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		37	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	74.13	Population
Starting a business (rank)	31	✓	Getting credit (rank)	101	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.91		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	
Procedures (number)	5		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	
Time (days)	5		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	70.1	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	28	✓	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.32		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	
Procedures (number)	16		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	
Time (days)	115		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	24		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	86.01		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	105				
Cost (% of income per capita)	119.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
✓ Registering property (rank)	5				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	92.19				
Procedures (number)	2				
Time (days)	3				
Cost (% of property value)	0.0				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.5				
BELGIUM		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		42	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	73.00	Population
Starting a business (rank)	17		Getting credit (rank)	101	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.49		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	
Procedures (number)	3		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	
Time (days)	4		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.0		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	17.0		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	95.3	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	44		Protecting minority investors (rank)	63	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.34		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	
Procedures (number)	10		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	
Time (days)	212		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	60		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.58		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	88				
Cost (% of income per capita)	102.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
✓ Registering property (rank)	131				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	51.43				
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	56				
Cost (% of property value)	12.7				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5				
BELIZE		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		112	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	58.06	Population
Starting a business (rank)	158		Getting credit (rank)	167	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.22		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	20.00	
Procedures (number)	9		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	
Time (days)	43		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	
Cost (% of income per capita)	34.6		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.1		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	100		Protecting minority investors (rank)	118	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.17		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	46.67	
Procedures (number)	15		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	
Time (days)	109		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	79		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.96		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	66				
Cost (% of income per capita)	319.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
✓ Registering property (rank)	126				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.84				
Procedures (number)	9				
Time (days)	60				
Cost (% of property value)	4.8				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.5				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
BENIN		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		155	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	48.52	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	57	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	133
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.56	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	59.89
Procedures (number)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	78
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	74	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.29	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	487
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	88	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	59
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	82
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	174	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	529
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	33.84	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	599
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	173	Enforcing contracts (rank)	169
Time (days)	90	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	44.61	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	36.34
Cost (% of income per capita)	12,581.5	Payments (number per year)	57	Time (days)	750
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	270	Cost (% of claim)	64.7
Registering property (rank)	173	Total tax rate (% of profit)	57.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	39.96	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.85	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	115
Procedures (number)	4	Getting credit (rank)	82	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.72
Time (days)	120	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% of property value)	11.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Cost (% of estate)	21.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	19.7
BHUTAN		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	26.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Starting a business (rank)	94	Protecting minority investors (rank)	114		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.59	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33		
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4		
Time (days)	15	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	97	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.47	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5		
Procedures (number)	21	Paying taxes (rank)	19	Enforcing contracts (rank)	47
Time (days)	151	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	88.11	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.36
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.2	Payments (number per year)	18	Time (days)	225
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Time (hours per year)	85	Cost (% of claim)	23.1
Getting electricity (rank)	54	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.16	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.95	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	4	Getting credit (rank)	82	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	61	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of income per capita)	525.4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Registering property (rank)	51	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	45.2	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.40	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	15.4		
Procedures (number)	3	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137		
Time (days)	77	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67		
Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5		
BOLIVIA		Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	149		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Getting credit (rank)	133	Trading across borders (rank)	98
Starting a business (rank)	177	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	68.41
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	62.94	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	
Procedures (number)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	192
Time (days)	45	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	45.2	Border compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	54.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	15.4	Cost to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137	Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	152	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	65
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	57.18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	Time to import	
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Time (days)	322	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	114
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	30
Getting electricity (rank)	99	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	315
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.19	Paying taxes (rank)	186	Enforcing contracts (rank)	128
Procedures (number)	8	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	21.41	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	51.57
Time (days)	42	Payments (number per year)	42	Time (days)	591
Cost (% of income per capita)	686.8	Time (hours per year)	1,025	Cost (% of claim)	33.2
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Total tax rate (% of profit)	83.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
Registering property (rank)	139	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.08	Resolving insolvency (rank)	96
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.90	Getting credit (rank)	133	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	42.28
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	Time (years)	1.8
Time (days)	90	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Cost (% of property value)	4.7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	40.8
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	45.2	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.5

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA			Europe & Central Asia			GNI per capita (US\$)		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		81	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		63.87	Population		4,680
✓ Starting a business (rank)	174		Getting credit (rank)	44		Trading across borders (rank)	36	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	65.09		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.87	
Procedures (number)	12		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7		Time to export		
Time (days)	65		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		Documentary compliance (hours)	4	
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.5		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	10.4		Border compliance (hours)	5	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.4		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	37.6		Cost to export		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	170		Protecting minority investors (rank)	81		Documentary compliance (US\$)	92	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	52.54		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00		Border compliance (US\$)	106	
Procedures (number)	15		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		Time to import		
Time (days)	179		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6		Documentary compliance (hours)	8	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	18.5		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		Border compliance (hours)	6	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		Cost to import		
Getting electricity (rank)	123		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6		Documentary compliance (US\$)	97	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.05		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		Border compliance (US\$)	109	
Procedures (number)	8		Paying taxes (rank)	133		Enforcing contracts (rank)	64	
Time (days)	125		DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.08		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.60	
Cost (% of income per capita)	400.2		Payments (number per year)	34		Time (days)	595	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6		Time (hours per year)	411		Cost (% of claim)	36.0	
Registering property (rank)	99		Total tax rate (% of profit)	22.6		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	61.54		Postfiling index (0–100)	47.94		Resolving insolvency (rank)	41	
Procedures (number)	7		Getting credit (rank)	75		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	66.93	
Time (days)	24		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00		Time (years)	3.3	
Cost (% of property value)	5.2		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5		Cost (% of estate)	9.0	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	37.3	
BOTSWANA	Sub-Saharan Africa		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	53.5		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	15.0	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	71		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		GNI per capita (US\$)	6,510	
Starting a business (rank)	153		Protecting minority investors (rank)	81		Population	2,262,485	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.21		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00		Trading across borders (rank)	51	
Procedures (number)	9		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	85.93	
Time (days)	48		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		Time to export		
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.8		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3		Documentary compliance (hours)	24	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		Border compliance (hours)	8	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	50		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		Cost to export		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.81		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		Documentary compliance (US\$)	179	
Procedures (number)	17		Paying taxes (rank)	55		Border compliance (US\$)	317	
Time (days)	100		DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.58		Time to import		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3		Payments (number per year)	34		Documentary compliance (hours)	3	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.5		Time (hours per year)	152		Border compliance (hours)	4	
Getting electricity (rank)	125		Total tax rate (% of profit)	25.1		Cost to import		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	59.25		Postfiling index (0–100)	89.89		Documentary compliance (US\$)	67	
Procedures (number)	5		Getting credit (rank)	75		Border compliance (US\$)	98	
Time (days)	77		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00		Enforcing contracts (rank)	132	
Cost (% of income per capita)	323.7		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	50.95	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		Time (days)	625	
Registering property (rank)	70		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	53.5		Cost (% of claim)	39.8	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	67.27		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0	
Procedures (number)	4		Protecting minority investors (rank)	81		Resolving insolvency (rank)	64	
Time (days)	12		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	50.53	
Cost (% of property value)	5.1		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		Time (years)	1.7	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		Cost (% of estate)	18.0	
BRAZIL	Latin America & Caribbean		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	64.8	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	123		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0	
Starting a business (rank)	175		Extending credit (rank)	101		GNI per capita (US\$)	9,850	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	65.04		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00		Population	207,847,528	
Procedures (number)	11		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2		Trading across borders (rank)	149	
Time (days)	79.5		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	55.57	
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.2		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	78.9		Time to export		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	53.4		Documentary compliance (hours)	18	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	172		Protecting minority investors (rank)	32		Border compliance (hours)	49	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	51.28		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00		Cost to export		
Procedures (number)	18.2		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5		Documentary compliance (US\$)	226.4	
Time (days)	425.7		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		Border compliance (US\$)	958.7	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4		Time to import		
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		Documentary compliance (hours)	120	
Getting electricity (rank)	47		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7		Border compliance (hours)	63.1	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.23		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		Cost to import		
Procedures (number)	4		Paying taxes (rank)	181		Documentary compliance (US\$)	106.9	
Time (days)	64.4		DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	33.03		Border compliance (US\$)	969.6	
Cost (% of income per capita)	58.0		Payments (number per year)	9.6		Time to export		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5		Time (hours per year)	2,038		Documentary compliance (US\$)	226.4	
Registering property (rank)	128		Total tax rate (% of profit)	68.4		Border compliance (US\$)	958.7	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.62		Postfiling index (0–100)	8.03		Time to import		
Procedures (number)	13.6		Getting credit (rank)	101		Documentary compliance (hours)	18	
Time (days)	31.4		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00		Border compliance (hours)	49	
Cost (% of property value)	3.1		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2		Cost to export		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.8		Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		Documentary compliance (US\$)	226.4	
Enforcing contracts (rank)	37		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	78.9		Border compliance (US\$)	958.7	
DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.41		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	53.4		Time to import		
Time (days)	731		Protecting minority investors (rank)	32		Documentary compliance (hours)	120	
Cost (% of claim)	20.7		DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00		Border compliance (hours)	63.1	
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.6		Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5		Cost to import		
Resolving insolvency (rank)	67		Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		Documentary compliance (US\$)	106.9	
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	49.15		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4		Border compliance (US\$)	969.6	
Time (years)	4.0		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		Time to export		
Cost (% of estate)	12.0		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7		Documentary compliance (US\$)	226.4	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.8		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		Border compliance (US\$)	958.7	
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0		Paying taxes (rank)	181		Time to import		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfilling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
BRUNEI DARUSSALAM		East Asia & Pacific		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		72	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	65.51	Population
Starting a business (rank)	84	✓ Getting credit (rank)	62	Trading across borders (rank)	142
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.72	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	57.69
Procedures (number)	7.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	14.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	163
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	117
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	61.8	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	37	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	102	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.06	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	340
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	75	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	140
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	48
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	21	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	87.57	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	395
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	89	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	93
Time (days)	35	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.43	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	57.25
Cost (% of income per capita)	54.2	Payments (number per year)	16	Time (days)	540
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	76.5	Cost (% of claim)	36.6
Registering property (rank)	134	Total tax rate (% of profit)	8.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.65	Postfiling index (0–100)	15.63	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	57
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	21	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.11
Time (days)	298	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	97.41	Time (years)	2.5
Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Time to export		Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	47.2
BULGARIA		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	73.51	GNP per capita (US\$)	7,220
Starting a business (rank)		Getting credit (rank)	32	Population	7,177,991
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.82	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	Trading across borders (rank)	21
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	97.41
Time (days)	23	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	66.9	Border compliance (hours)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	48	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	13	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.06	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	52
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Border compliance (US\$)	55
Time (days)	105	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	104	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	64.97	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	83	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Time (days)	130	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.81	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	49
Cost (% of income per capita)	318.3	Payments (number per year)	14	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.09
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	453	Time (days)	564
Registering property (rank)	60	Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.0	Cost (% of claim)	23.8
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	70.19	Postfiling index (0–100)	73.30	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
Procedures (number)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	48	Resolving insolvency (rank)	48
Time (days)	11	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	59.38	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	59.38
Cost (% of property value)	2.9	Time (years)	3.3	Time (years)	3.3
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.0	Cost (% of estate)	9.0	Cost (% of estate)	9.0
BURKINA FASO		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.9	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.9
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Sub-Saharan Africa		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Starting a business (rank)		GNP per capita (US\$)	660		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.06	Population	18,105,570		
Procedures (number)	3	Trading across borders (rank)	104		
Time (days)	13	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.58		
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.4	Time to export			
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.0	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	61	Border compliance (hours)			
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.87	Cost to export			
Procedures (number)	14	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Time (days)	121	Border compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.0	Time to import			
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Documentary compliance (hours)			
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	181	Border compliance (hours)			
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	29.42	Cost to import			
Procedures (number)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Time (days)	169	Border compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of income per capita)	10,028.1	Time (years)			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Border compliance (hours)			
Registering property (rank)	136	Cost to export			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.26	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Procedures (number)	4	Border compliance (US\$)			
Time (days)	67	Time to import			
Cost (% of property value)	12.1	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.5	Border compliance (hours)			
Getting credit (rank)	139	Cost to export			
DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Border compliance (US\$)			
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Time to import			
Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Border compliance (hours)			
Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Cost to import			
DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)			
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Time to import			
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)			
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Cost to import			
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Paying taxes (rank)	150	Border compliance (US\$)			
DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	55.77	Time to import			
Payments (number per year)	45	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Time (hours per year)	270	Border compliance (hours)			
Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.3	Cost to import			
Postfiling index (0–100)	48.85	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Enforcing contracts (rank)	161	Border compliance (US\$)			
DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	41.05	Time to import			
Time (days)	446	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Cost (% of claim)	81.7	Border compliance (hours)			
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5	Cost to import			
Resolving insolvency (rank)	112	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.25	Border compliance (US\$)			
Time (years)	4.0	Time to import			
Cost (% of estate)	21.0	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.7	Border compliance (hours)			
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0	Cost to import			

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
BURUNDI		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	260	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	11,178,921	
Starting a business (rank)	18	Getting credit (rank)	175	Trading across borders (rank)	160
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.45	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	47.38
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	59
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	4.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	169	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	52.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	106
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	99	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	180
Cost (% of warehouse value)	10.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	154
Building quality control index (0–15)	3.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	183	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,025
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	26.45	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	444
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	123	Enforcing contracts (rank)	149
Time (days)	158	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	62.20	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.74
Cost (% of income per capita)	16,917.5	Payments (number per year)	25	Time (days)	832
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	232	Cost (% of claim)	38.6
Registering property (rank)	94	Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.52	Postfiling index (0–100)	33.99	Resolving insolvency (rank)	141
Procedures (number)	5	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	30.52
Time (days)	23	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	5.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.2	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	30.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7.4
CABO VERDE		Credit registry coverage (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	3,290	
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	Population	520,502	
Starting a business (rank)	100	Getting credit (rank)	118	Trading across borders (rank)	113
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.24	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	64.74
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	90
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	20.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	108	Protecting minority investors (rank)	162	Documentary compliance (US\$)	125
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.28	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	36.67	Border compliance (US\$)	630
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	Time to import	
Time (days)	140	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	60
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	142	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	125
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.81	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	588
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	86	Enforcing contracts (rank)	43
Time (days)	88	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.64	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.76
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,026.9	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	425
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Time (hours per year)	180	Cost (% of claim)	19.8
Registering property (rank)	73	Total tax rate (% of profit)	36.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.63	Postfiling index (0–100)	70.62	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	22	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of property value)	2.3	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
CAMBODIA		Credit registry coverage (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		East Asia & Pacific	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,070	
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	Population	15,577,899	
Starting a business (rank)	180	Getting credit (rank)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	102
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	54.93	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	67.28
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Time to export	
Time (days)	99	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	132
Cost (% of income per capita)	57.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	44.0	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	22.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	183	Protecting minority investors (rank)	114	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	38.64	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33	Border compliance (US\$)	375
Procedures (number)	20	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	652	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	10	Documentary compliance (hours)	132
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	8
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	136	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	120
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	56.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	240
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	124	Enforcing contracts (rank)	178
Time (days)	179	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	61.97	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	32.67
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,172.3	Payments (number per year)	40	Time (days)	483
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	Time (hours per year)	173	Cost (% of claim)	103.4
Registering property (rank)	120	Total tax rate (% of profit)	21.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	54.96	Postfiling index (0–100)	28.73	Resolving insolvency (rank)	72
Procedures (number)	7	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	48.10
Time (days)	56	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	6.0
Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	13.9
Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
CAMEROON		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	149	Getting credit (rank)	133	Trading across borders (rank)	186
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.99	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	15.99
Procedures (number)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	15.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	66
Cost (% of income per capita)	32.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	202
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	137.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	8.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	141	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137	Documentary compliance (US\$)	306
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.18	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	983
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	135	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	163
Cost (% of warehouse value)	14.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	271
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	89	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	849
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.28	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	1,407
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	64				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,597.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3				
Registering property (rank)	177	Paying taxes (rank)	180	Enforcing contracts (rank)	160
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	37.33	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	35.87	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	41.76
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	44	Time (days)	800
Time (days)	86	Time (hours per year)	630	Cost (% of claim)	46.6
Cost (% of property value)	18.8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	57.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.39	Resolving insolvency (rank)	122
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	36.63
				Time (years)	2.8
				Cost (% of estate)	33.5
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	15.8
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
CANADA		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	47,500
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	22	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	78.57	Population	35,851,774
Starting a business (rank)	2	Getting credit (rank)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	46
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	98.23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	88.36
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	1.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	57	Protecting minority investors (rank)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	156
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.66	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	76.67	Border compliance (US\$)	167
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	249	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	108	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	163
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.76	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	172
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	137	Paying taxes (rank)	17	Enforcing contracts (rank)	112
Cost (% of income per capita)	125.8	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	88.86	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.35
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	910
Registering property (rank)	43	Time (hours per year)	131	Cost (% of claim)	22.3
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	75.40	Total tax rate (% of profit)	21.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
Procedures (number)	6	Postfiling index (0–100)	76.44	Resolving insolvency (rank)	15
Time (days)	16.5			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	81.43
Cost (% of property value)	3.1			Time (years)	0.8
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5			Cost (% of estate)	7.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	87.4
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	320
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	185	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	36.25	Population	4,900,274
Starting a business (rank)	190	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	138
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	31.36	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	58.64
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	22	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	209.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	556.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.1	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	154	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	56.88	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	280
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	200	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	184	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	500
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	24.64	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	209
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	98	Paying taxes (rank)	187	Enforcing contracts (rank)	182
Cost (% of income per capita)	15,810.3	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	20.56	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	30.46
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Payments (number per year)	56	Time (days)	660
Registering property (rank)	167	Time (hours per year)	483	Cost (% of claim)	82.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	41.87	Total tax rate (% of profit)	73.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Procedures (number)	5	Postfiling index (0–100)	11.83	Resolving insolvency (rank)	146
Time (days)	75			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	28.13
Cost (% of property value)	11.1			Time (years)	4.8
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0			Cost (% of estate)	76.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
CHAD		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	182	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	171
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	51.91	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	40.12
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	60	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	87
Cost (% of income per capita)	159.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	106
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	22.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	2.3	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	133	Protecting minority investors (rank)	158	Documentary compliance (US\$)	188
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	62.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33	Border compliance (US\$)	319
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	221	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	172
Cost (% of warehouse value)	8.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	242
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	179	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	500
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	32.17	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	669
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	189	Enforcing contracts (rank)	154
Time (days)	67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	18.76	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	44.58
Cost (% of income per capita)	8,526.9	Payments (number per year)	54	Time (days)	743
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	766	Cost (% of claim)	45.7
Registering property (rank)	157	Total tax rate (% of profit)	63.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.74	Postfiling index (0–100)	16.42	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	146
Procedures (number)	6	Getting credit (rank)	82	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	28.13
Time (days)	44	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% of property value)	12.8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Cost (% of estate)	60.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
CHILE		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	12.4	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	48.4	Trading across borders (rank)	65
Starting a business (rank)	59	Protecting minority investors (rank)	32	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	80.56
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.84	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Time to export	
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Time (days)	5.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	60
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Cost to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	26	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	290
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.83	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Procedures (number)	13	Paying taxes (rank)	120	Documentary compliance (hours)	36
Time (days)	152	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	63.85	Border compliance (hours)	54
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Payments (number per year)	7	Cost to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Time (hours per year)	291	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
Getting electricity (rank)	64	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.5	Border compliance (US\$)	290
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	78.31	Postfiling index (0–100)	5.58	Enforcing contracts (rank)	56
Procedures (number)	6	Getting credit (rank)	82	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	62.81
Time (days)	43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Time (days)	480
Cost (% of income per capita)	70.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Cost (% of claim)	28.6
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
Registering property (rank)	58	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	55
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	70.89	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	91.1	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.51
Procedures (number)	6	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Time (years)	3.2
Time (days)	28.5	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Cost (% of property value)	1.2	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	33.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0
CHINA		Extent of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Trading across borders (rank)	96
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.13
✓ Starting a business (rank)	127	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Time to export	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.02	Extending corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	21.2
Procedures (number)	9	Paying taxes (rank)	131	Border compliance (hours)	25.9
Time (days)	28.9	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.46	Cost to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Payments (number per year)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	84.6
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Time (hours per year)	259	Border compliance (US\$)	522.4
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	177	Total tax rate (% of profit)	68.0	Time to import	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	48.52	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.62	Documentary compliance (hours)	65.7
Procedures (number)	22	Getting credit (rank)	62	Border compliance (hours)	92.3
Time (days)	244.3	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Cost to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	170.9
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	776.6
Getting electricity (rank)	97	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	5
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.73	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	91.1	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	77.98
Procedures (number)	5.5	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Time (days)	452.8
Time (days)	143.2	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Cost (% of claim)	16.2
Cost (% of income per capita)	390.4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.3
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Resolving insolvency (rank)	53
Registering property (rank)	42	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.82
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.15	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	Time (years)	1.7
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Time (days)	19.5	Extending corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	36.9
Cost (% of property value)	3.4	Paying taxes (rank)	131	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.3	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.46		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
COLOMBIA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		53	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	70.92	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	61	Getting credit (rank)	2	Trading across borders (rank)	121
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.57	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	95.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	62.83
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	12	Time to export	
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	60
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	92.1	Border compliance (hours)	112
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	34	Protecting minority investors (rank)	13	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.54	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	Border compliance (US\$)	545
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Time (days)	73	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	64
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	112
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	74	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	73.73	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	545
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	139	Enforcing contracts (rank)	174
Time (days)	109	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	58.91	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	34.29
Cost (% of income per capita)	581.4	Payments (number per year)	12	Time (days)	1,288
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	239	Cost (% of claim)	45.8
Registering property (rank)	53	Total tax rate (% of profit)	69.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.29	Postfiling index (0–100)	47.48	Resolving insolvency (rank)	33
Procedures (number)	6	Getting credit (rank)	118	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	71.74
Time (days)	16	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	Time (years)	1.7
Cost (% of property value)	2.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of estate)	8.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	69.4
COMOROS		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
Sub-Saharan Africa		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.9		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Protecting minority investors (rank)	145		
Starting a business (rank)	161	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	71.59	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	107
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.18
Time (days)	15	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	98.4	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	57
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	34.8	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	51
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	92	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.88	Paying taxes (rank)	168	Documentary compliance (US\$)	124
Procedures (number)	10	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	48.41	Border compliance (US\$)	651
Time (days)	108	Payments (number per year)	33	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.5	Time (hours per year)	100	Documentary compliance (hours)	29
Building quality control index (0–15)	4.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	216.5	Border compliance (hours)	70
Getting electricity (rank)	135	Postfiling index (0–100)	51.53	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	56.35	Getting credit (rank)	118	Documentary compliance (US\$)	93
Procedures (number)	3	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	765
Time (days)	120	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Enforcing contracts (rank)	179
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,451.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	32.05
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Time (days)	506
✓ Registering property (rank)	90	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.9	Cost (% of claim)	89.4
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.47	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Procedures (number)	4	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Time (days)	30	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Cost (% of property value)	4.6	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
CONGO, DEM. REP.		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Sub-Saharan Africa		Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
Starting a business (rank)	96	Paying taxes (rank)	177		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.49	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	40.12		
Procedures (number)	6.5	Payments (number per year)	52		
Time (days)	11.5	Time (hours per year)	346		
Cost (% of income per capita)	29.3	Total tax rate (% of profit)	54.6		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	29.97		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	114	Getting credit (rank)	139	Enforcing contracts (rank)	171
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.89	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	36.06
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time (days)	610
Time (days)	122	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Cost (% of claim)	80.6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Getting electricity (rank)	175	Protecting minority investors (rank)	162	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	33.59	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	36.67	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	54	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	15,264.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
✓ Registering property (rank)	156	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	46.60	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	177		
Time (days)	44	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	40.12		
Cost (% of property value)	11.5	Payments (number per year)	52		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Time (hours per year)	346		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	54.6		
Cost (% of income per capita)	15,264.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	29.97		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
CONGO, REP.		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	178	Getting credit (rank)	118	Trading across borders (rank)	182
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	59.44	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	19.68
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	50	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of income per capita)	61.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	276
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	92.2	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	12.2	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	124	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	165
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	1,975
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	164	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	208
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	397
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	178	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	310
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	32.90	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	1,581
Procedures (number)	6			Enforcing contracts	155
Time (days)	135			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	43.99
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,469.1			Time (days)	560
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			Cost (% of claim)	53.2
Registering property (rank)	171			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	40.52				
Procedures (number)	6			Resolving insolvency (rank)	117
Time (days)	55			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	37.75
Cost (% of property value)	12.3			Time (years)	3.3
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.5			Cost (% of estate)	25.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.9
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
COSTA RICA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	125	Getting credit (rank)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	71
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.57	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	79.32
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	22.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	20
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	30.5	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	53	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.63	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)	375
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	118	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	80
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	27	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	85.04	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	420
Procedures (number)	5			Enforcing contracts	125
Time (days)	45			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	52.41
Cost (% of income per capita)	182.3			Time (days)	852
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7			Cost (% of claim)	24.3
Registering property (rank)	52			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.39				
Procedures (number)	5			Resolving insolvency (rank)	107
Time (days)	19			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.62
Cost (% of property value)	3.4			Time (years)	3.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5			Cost (% of estate)	14.5
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.2
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0
CÔTE D'IVOIRE		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	50	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	150
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.38	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	54.15
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	2.3	Border compliance (hours)	110
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	3.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	182	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	136
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	44.36	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	387
Procedures (number)	23	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	347	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	89
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	125
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	132	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	267
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	57.78	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	456
Procedures (number)	8			Enforcing contracts	101
Time (days)	55			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.74
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,589.5			Time (days)	525
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5			Cost (% of claim)	41.7
Registering property (rank)	113			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.24				
Procedures (number)	6			Resolving insolvency (rank)	68
Time (days)	30			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	49.13
Cost (% of property value)	7.6			Time (years)	2.2
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5			Cost (% of estate)	18.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.0
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
CROATIA		Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✗ Starting a business (rank)	95	Getting credit (rank)	75	Trading across borders (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.56	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	25.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	128	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	27	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.41	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	127	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	8.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	68	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.25	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	49	Enforcing contracts (rank)	7
Time (days)	65	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	81.74	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	75.87
Cost (% of income per capita)	303.2	Payments (number per year)	31	Time (days)	572
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	206	Cost (% of claim)	16.7
Registering property (rank)	62	Total tax rate (% of profit)	20.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	15.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.77	Postfiling index (0–100)	97.88	Resolving insolvency (rank)	54
Procedures (number)	5	Getting credit (rank)	62	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.62
Time (days)	62	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Time (years)	3.1
Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	33.7
CYPRUS		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	68.9	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
✗ Starting a business (rank)	53	Protecting minority investors (rank)	27	Trading across borders (rank)	45
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.21	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	88.44
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	6	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	18
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	125	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.01	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	300
Procedures (number)	8	Paying taxes (rank)	34	Time to import	
Time (days)	507	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.45	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Payments (number per year)	28	Border compliance (hours)	15
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Time (hours per year)	127	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	63	Total tax rate (% of profit)	24.7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	78.33	Postfiling index (0–100)	91.53	Border compliance (US\$)	335
Procedures (number)	5	Getting credit (rank)	62	Enforcing contracts (rank)	139
Time (days)	137	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.59
Cost (% of income per capita)	130.4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time (days)	1,100
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Cost (% of claim)	16.4
Registering property (rank)	91	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	79.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.43	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	6.8	Resolving insolvency (rank)	16
Procedures (number)	7	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	81.38
Time (days)	9	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	10.4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	72.8
CZECH REPUBLIC		Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	27	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.5
OECD high income		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	76.71	Trading across borders (rank)	1
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Getting credit (rank)	32	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
✗ Starting a business (rank)	81	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	Time to export	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.86	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Procedures (number)	8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Border compliance (hours)	0
Time (days)	9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	79.2	Cost to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	6.8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	130	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Time to import	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	62.76	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Procedures (number)	21	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	0
Time (days)	247	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Getting electricity (rank)	13	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Enforcing contracts (rank)	68
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.32	Paying taxes (rank)	53	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.36
Procedures (number)	4	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.69	Time (days)	611
Time (days)	68	Payments (number per year)	8	Cost (% of claim)	33.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.0	Time (hours per year)	234	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	50.0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	26
Registering property (rank)	31	Postfiling index (0–100)	94.29	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	76.42
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	79.68	Getting credit (rank)	32	Time (years)	2.1
Procedures (number)	4	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	Cost (% of estate)	17.0
Time (days)	28	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	66.5
Cost (% of property value)	4.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	25.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	79.2		
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	6.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	6.8		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
DENMARK		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	58,590	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,676,002	
Starting a business (rank)	24	Getting credit (rank)	32		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.07	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00		
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8		
Time (days)	3	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.4		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	6	Protecting minority investors (rank)	19		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	84.69	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	71.67		
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	64	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8		
Getting electricity (rank)	14	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.20	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	38				
Cost (% of income per capita)	109.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
Registering property (rank)	12	Paying taxes (rank)	7		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	89.88	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	92.11		
Procedures (number)	3	Payments (number per year)	10		
Time (days)	4	Time (hours per year)	130		
Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Total tax rate (% of profit)	25.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.63		
DJIBOUTI		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	1,789
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	171	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	44.50	Population	887,861
Starting a business (rank)	172	Getting credit (rank)	181		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	66.91	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	5.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1		
Time (days)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	167.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.4		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	120	Protecting minority investors (rank)	178		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.87	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	30.00		
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4		
Time (days)	111	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	0		
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
Getting electricity (rank)	172	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	39.49	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	125				
Cost (% of income per capita)	6,386.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Paying taxes (rank)	106		
Registering property (rank)	168	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	68.96		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	41.34	Payments (number per year)	36		
Procedures (number)	6	Time (hours per year)	82		
Time (days)	39	Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.6		
Cost (% of property value)	12.7	Postfiling index (0–100)	52.18		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0				
DOMINICA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	6,760
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	101	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	60.27	Population	72,680
Starting a business (rank)	64	Getting credit (rank)	139		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.26	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00		
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6		
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	90	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.09	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67		
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4		
Time (days)	175	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
Getting electricity (rank)	61	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.26	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	61				
Cost (% of income per capita)	479.7	Paying taxes (rank)	111		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	67.38		
Registering property (rank)	164	Payments (number per year)	37		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.39	Time (hours per year)	117		
Procedures (number)	5	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.2		
Time (days)	42	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54		
Cost (% of property value)	13.3				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5				

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
Dominican Republic		Latin America & Caribbean	GNI per capita (US\$)	6,130	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	10,528,391	
Starting a business (rank)	115	Getting credit (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	58
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.34	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	83.51
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	14.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	74.9	Border compliance (hours)	16
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	36.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	25.2	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	45	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Documentary compliance (US\$)	15
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.20	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	488
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	184	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	14
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	24
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	148	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.18	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	579
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	129	Enforcing contracts (rank)	131
Time (days)	67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.70	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	51.03
Cost (% of income per capita)	267.1	Payments (number per year)	7	Time (days)	505
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	317	Cost (% of claim)	40.9
Registering property (rank)	82	Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.61	Postfiling index (0–100)	14.06	Resolving insolvency (rank)	160
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	23.55
Time (days)	45	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	3.5
Cost (% of property value)	3.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Cost (% of estate)	38.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.9
Ecuador		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	58.3	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
Ecuador		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Latin America & Caribbean	6,010
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	16,144,363	
Starting a business (rank)	166	Getting credit (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	97
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	70.61	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	68.65
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	48.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	21.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	58.3	Border compliance (hours)	96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	76	Protecting minority investors (rank)	118	Documentary compliance (US\$)	140
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.03	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	46.67	Border compliance (US\$)	560
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	114	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	120
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	24
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	95	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.13	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	250
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	137	Enforcing contracts (rank)	96
Time (days)	74	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	59.25	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	56.68
Cost (% of income per capita)	606.3	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	588
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	664	Cost (% of claim)	27.2
Registering property (rank)	69	Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	67.53	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31	Resolving insolvency (rank)	157
Procedures (number)	7	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.17
Time (days)	38	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	5.3
Cost (% of property value)	2.1	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.7
Egypt, Arab Rep.		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Egypt, Arab Rep.		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.1	Middle East & North Africa	3,340
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	91,508,084	
Starting a business (rank)	39	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	168
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	42.23
Procedures (number)	4.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	88
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.6	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.1	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	64	Protecting minority investors (rank)	114	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.46	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33	Border compliance (US\$)	258
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	145	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	265
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	240
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	88	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	1,000
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.33	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	554
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	162	Enforcing contracts (rank)	162
Time (days)	54	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	51.96	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	40.9
Cost (% of income per capita)	244.9	Payments (number per year)	29	Time (days)	1,010
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	392	Cost (% of claim)	26.2
Registering property (rank)	109	Total tax rate (% of profit)	43.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.30	Postfiling index (0–100)	29.05	Resolving insolvency (rank)	109
Procedures (number)	8	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.51
Time (days)	60	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	2.5
Cost (% of property value)	0.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.0
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
EL SALVADOR		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	129	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	44
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.70	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	88.49
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	9
Time (days)	15.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Border compliance (hours)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	3.0	Cost to export	50
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	28.7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	128
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	156	Protecting minority investors (rank)	158	Border compliance (US\$)	128
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	56.29	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33	Time to import	13
Procedures (number)	24	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	40
Time (days)	111	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Cost to import	67
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	128
Getting electricity (rank)	109	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Enforcing contracts	104
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.75	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.2
Procedures (number)	8	Paying taxes (rank)	166	Time (days)	786
Time (days)	59	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	49.51	Cost (% of claim)	19.2
Cost (% of income per capita)	513.0	Payments (number per year)	41	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	248	Resolving insolvency (rank)	80
Registering property (rank)	71	Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.8	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.83
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	67.09	Postfiling index (0–100)	10.09	Time (years)	3.5
Procedures (number)	5			Cost (% of estate)	12.0
Time (days)	31			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	32.9
Cost (% of property value)	3.8			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5				
EQUATORIAL GUINEA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	187	Getting credit (rank)	118	Trading across borders (rank)	174
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	36.90	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	32.05
Procedures (number)	17	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	154
Time (days)	134	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	132
Cost (% of income per capita)	102.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	85
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	27.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	9.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	760
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	160	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137	Border compliance (US\$)	760
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.97	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Time to import	240
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	240
Time (days)	144	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Cost to import	70
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	70
Building quality control index (0–15)	1.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	985
Getting electricity (rank)	143	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank)	103
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.75	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.25
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	179	Time (days)	475
Time (days)	106	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	39.25	Cost (% of claim)	19.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,085.4	Payments (number per year)	46	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	492	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Registering property (rank)	160	Total tax rate (% of profit)	79.4	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.45	Postfiling index (0–100)	83.94	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Procedures (number)	6			Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	23			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Cost (% of property value)	12.5			Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.0				
ERITREA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	186	Getting credit (rank)	185	Trading across borders (rank)	189
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	46.36	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	0.00
Procedures (number)	13	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	84	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of income per capita)	37.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	NO PRACTICE
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	165.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	NO PRACTICE
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	187	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Documentary compliance (US\$)	NO PRACTICE
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)	NO PRACTICE
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of warehouse value)	NO PRACTICE	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	NO PRACTICE
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	NO PRACTICE
Getting electricity (rank)	141	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	NO PRACTICE
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	54.33	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	NO PRACTICE
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	147	Enforcing contracts (rank)	121
Time (days)	59	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	56.82	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	52.75
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,553.0	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	490
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	216	Cost (% of claim)	22.6
Registering property (rank)	178	Total tax rate (% of profit)	83.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	2.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	35.26	Postfiling index (0–100)	96.79	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	11			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	78			Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of property value)	9.1			Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
ESTONIA		OECD high income		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		12	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	81.05	Population
Starting a business (rank)	14	Getting credit (rank)	32		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00		
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7		
Time (days)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	35.1		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	16.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	9	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	82.57	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00		
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8		
Time (days)	102	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9		
Getting electricity (rank)	38	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.20	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	91				
Cost (% of income per capita)	173.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
Registering property (rank)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	21		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	91.02	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	88.04		
Procedures (number)	3	Payments (number per year)	8		
Time (days)	17.5	Time (hours per year)	84		
Cost (% of property value)	0.5	Total tax rate (% of profit)	48.7		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.55		
ETHIOPIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNP per capita (US\$)	590
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	159	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	47.25	Population	99,390,750
Starting a business (rank)	179	Getting credit (rank)	170	Trading across borders (rank)	167
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	55.96	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	42.39
Procedures (number)	14	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	
Time (days)	35	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	91
Cost (% of income per capita)	69.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	57
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	121.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.2	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	176	Protecting minority investors (rank)	175	Documentary compliance (US\$)	175
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	48.83	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67	Border compliance (US\$)	144
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	130	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	209
Cost (% of warehouse value)	18.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	203
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	127	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	750
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.64	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	668
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	95				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,238.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	133	Paying taxes (rank)	90	Enforcing contracts (rank)	80
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	51.30	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.06	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.06
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	530
Time (days)	52	Time (hours per year)	306	Cost (% of claim)	15.2
Cost (% of property value)	6.1	Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.57		
FIJI		East Asia & Pacific		GNP per capita (US\$)	4,800
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	97	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	60.71	Population	892,145
Starting a business (rank)	159	Getting credit (rank)	157	Trading across borders (rank)	75
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	77.57
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	40	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	56
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	56
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	101	Protecting minority investors (rank)	106	Documentary compliance (US\$)	76
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.88	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	317
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	141	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	34
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	42
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	82	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	58
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.92	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	320
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	81				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,477.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
Registering property (rank)	55	Paying taxes (rank)	110	Enforcing contracts (rank)	86
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	71.86	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	67.55	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.44
Procedures (number)	4	Payments (number per year)	38	Time (days)	397
Time (days)	69	Time (hours per year)	247	Cost (% of claim)	38.9
Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	68.91		
Resolving insolvency (rank)	90	Resolving insolvency (rank)	120		
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	43.75	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	37.60		
Time (years)	1.8	Time (years)	3.0		
Cost (% of estate)	10.0	Cost (% of estate)	14.5		
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	46.4	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	29.2		
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
FINLAND		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	46,360	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,482,013	
Starting a business (rank)	28	Getting credit (rank)	44		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00		
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7		
Time (days)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	20.7		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	6.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	40	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67		
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6		
Time (days)	65	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		
Getting electricity (rank)	18	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	88.97	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	42				
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
Registering property (rank)	20	Paying taxes (rank)	13		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	82.94	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	90.23		
Procedures (number)	3	Payments (number per year)	8		
Time (days)	32	Time (hours per year)	93		
Cost (% of property value)	4.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.1		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	93.09		
FRANCE		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	40,580	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	66,808,385	
Starting a business (rank)	27	Getting credit (rank)	82		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.27	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00		
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4		
Time (days)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	46.7		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	20	Protecting minority investors (rank)	32		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.23	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00		
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8		
Time (days)	183	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
Getting electricity (rank)	25	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	85.78	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	71				
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
Registering property (rank)	100	Paying taxes (rank)	63		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	61.09	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	78.72		
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	8		
Time (days)	64	Time (hours per year)	139		
Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Total tax rate (% of profit)	62.8		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.42		
GABON		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	9,210	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,725,292	
Starting a business (rank)	152	Getting credit (rank)	118		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6		
Time (days)	50	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2		
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	10.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	50.8		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	167	Protecting minority investors (rank)	158		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	53.33	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33		
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	329	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4		
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
Getting electricity (rank)	158	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	47.05	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	148				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,101.7				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2				
Registering property (rank)	175	Paying taxes (rank)	161		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	37.80	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	53.00		
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	26		
Time (days)	103	Time (hours per year)	488		
Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.2		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	45.56		
✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business			

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

GAMBIA, THE		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNP per capita (US\$)	485	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,990,924	
Starting a business (rank)	168	✓ Getting credit (rank)	118		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	69.37	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8		
Time (days)	25	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	125.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	122	✗ Protecting minority investors (rank)	165		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.27	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00		
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	144	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Building quality control index (0–15)	4.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Getting electricity (rank)	154	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	49.13	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	78	Paying taxes (rank)	171		
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,569.1	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	48.08		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Payments (number per year)	49		
Registering property (rank)	124	Time (hours per year)	326		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	53.27	Total tax rate (% of profit)	51.3		
Procedures (number)	5	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.43		
Time (days)	66				
Cost (% of property value)	7.6				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5				
GEORGIA		Europe & Central Asia	GNP per capita (US\$)	4,160	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	3,679,000	
Starting a business (rank)	8	✓ Getting credit (rank)	7	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	54
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	96.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	85.15
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	3	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	88.6	Border compliance (hours)	14
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	8	✗ Protecting minority investors (rank)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	35
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	82.84	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	76.67	Border compliance (US\$)	383
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Time (days)	48	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	15
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	39	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	189
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.73	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	396
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	71	Paying taxes (rank)	22	Enforcing contracts (rank)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	354.0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	87.43	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	73.21
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Payments (number per year)	5	Time (days)	285
Registering property (rank)	3	Time (hours per year)	270	Cost (% of claim)	29.9
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	92.85	Total tax rate (% of profit)	16.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
Procedures (number)	1	Postfiling index (0–100)	87.22	Resolving insolvency (rank)	106
Time (days)	1			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	40.02
Cost (% of property value)	0.0			Time (years)	2.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5			Cost (% of estate)	10.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.5
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
GERMANY		OECD high income	GNP per capita (US\$)	45,790	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	81,413,145	
Starting a business (rank)	114	✓ Getting credit (rank)	32	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	38
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.42	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.77
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	10.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	36
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	32.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.9	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	12	✗ Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Documentary compliance (US\$)	45
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	81.45	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	345
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	96	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	98.79	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	28	Paying taxes (rank)	48	Enforcing contracts (rank)	17
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.8	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	82.10	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	73.17
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Payments (number per year)	9	Time (days)	499
Registering property (rank)	79	Time (hours per year)	218	Cost (% of claim)	14.4
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.72	Total tax rate (% of profit)	48.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
Procedures (number)	6	Postfiling index (0–100)	97.45	Resolving insolvency (rank)	3
Time (days)	52			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	92.28
Cost (% of property value)	6.7			Time (years)	1.2
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.0			Cost (% of estate)	8.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	84.4
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	15.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		Reform making it easier to do business		Change making it more difficult to do business		
GHANA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population		
x	Starting a business (rank)	110	Getting credit (rank)	44	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	154
	DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.73	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	52.32
	Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
	Time (days)	14	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	89
	Cost (% of income per capita)	19.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	16.0	Border compliance (hours)	108
	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	2.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
x	Dealing with construction permits (rank)	117	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Documentary compliance (US\$)	155
	DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.34	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	490
	Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
	Time (days)	170	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	76
	Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	89
	Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
	Getting electricity (rank)	120	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	474
	DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.30	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	553
	Procedures (number)	4				
	Time (days)	79				
	Cost (% of income per capita)	1,265.8				
	Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
	Registering property (rank)	77				
	DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.99				
	Procedures (number)	5				
	Time (days)	46				
	Cost (% of property value)	1.2				
	Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.0				
GREECE		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population		
	Starting a business (rank)	56	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	29
	DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.70	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	93.72
	Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	
	Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	
	Cost (% of income per capita)	2.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	79.6	Border compliance (hours)	
	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
	Dealing with construction permits (rank)	58	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42	Documentary compliance (US\$)	30
	DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.63	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	300
	Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
	Time (days)	124	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	
	Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	
	Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
	Getting electricity (rank)	52	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
	DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.57	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
	Procedures (number)	6				
	Time (days)	51				
	Cost (% of income per capita)	69.9				
	Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
	Registering property (rank)	141				
	DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.67				
	Procedures (number)	10				
	Time (days)	20				
	Cost (% of property value)	4.8				
	Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5				
GRENADE		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population		
	Starting a business (rank)	77	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	126
	DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.02	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	61.52
	Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
	Time (days)	15	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	
	Cost (% of income per capita)	15.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
	Dealing with construction permits (rank)	105	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
	DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.64	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Border compliance (US\$)	1,034
	Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
	Time (days)	128	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	
	Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
	Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
	Getting electricity (rank)	66	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
	DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.40	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	1,745
	Procedures (number)	5				
	Time (days)	38				
	Cost (% of income per capita)	191.1				
	Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
	Registering property (rank)	137				
	DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.15				
	Procedures (number)	8				
	Time (days)	32				
	Cost (% of property value)	7.4				
	Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0				

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
GUATEMALA		Latin America & Caribbean	GNI per capita (US\$)	3,590	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	16,342,897	
Starting a business (rank)	119	Getting credit (rank)	16		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	82.31	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9		
Time (days)	19.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.9		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	17.2	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	17.7		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	89	Protecting minority investors (rank)	173		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.30	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	33.33		
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	158	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
Getting electricity (rank)	19	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	88.95	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	39				
Cost (% of income per capita)	477.6				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
Registering property (rank)	74	Paying taxes (rank)	93		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.47	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.55		
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	8		
Time (days)	24	Time (hours per year)	256		
Cost (% of property value)	3.7	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.2		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	39.27		
GUINEA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	470
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	163	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	46.23	Population	12,608,590
Starting a business (rank)	133	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	162
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.20	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	46.24
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	139
Cost (% of income per capita)	77.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	72
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	164	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	128
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.26	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	778
Procedures (number)	27	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	173	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	156
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	91
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	160	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	180
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.81	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	909
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	69				
Cost (% of income per capita)	6,636.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	140	Paying taxes (rank)	184	Enforcing contracts (rank)	115
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.81	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	24.28	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	53.87
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	57	Time (days)	311
Time (days)	44	Time (hours per year)	440	Cost (% of claim)	45.0
Cost (% of property value)	8.5	Total tax rate (% of profit)	68.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	12.31	Resolving insolvency (rank)	113
GUINEA-BISSAU		Sub-Saharan Africa		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.84
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	172	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	41.63	Time (years)	3.8
Starting a business (rank)	176	Getting credit (rank)	139	Cost (% of estate)	8.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	63.86	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	19.9
Procedures (number)	8.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	295.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	155	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	56.55	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67		
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	116	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	13.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
Getting electricity (rank)	182	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	28.64	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	455				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,519.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	149	Paying taxes (rank)	149	Enforcing contracts (rank)	164
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.81	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	56.08	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	38.81
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	46	Time (days)	1,715
Time (days)	51	Time (hours per year)	208	Cost (% of claim)	25.0
Cost (% of property value)	5.5	Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.39	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
		Cost (% of estate)	0.0	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
GUYANA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	99	✓ Getting credit (rank)	82		4,090
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.45	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Population	767,085
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Trading across borders (rank)	135
Time (days)	18	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	59.33
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	16.4	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	200
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	148	✗ Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Border compliance (hours)	72
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.28	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	78
Time (days)	188	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	378
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	4.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	156
Getting electricity (rank)	129	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	84
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.46	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	8			Documentary compliance (US\$)	63
Time (days)	82			Border compliance (US\$)	265
Cost (% of income per capita)	404.6			Enforcing contracts (rank)	91
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	57.62
✓ Registering property (rank)	122			Time (days)	581
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	54.31			Cost (% of claim)	25.2
Procedures (number)	6			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Time (days)	75			Resolving insolvency (rank)	154
Cost (% of property value)	4.6			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.55
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5			Time (years)	3.0
				Cost (% of estate)	28.5
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.4
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
HAITI		Latin America & Caribbean		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	188	✓ Getting credit (rank)	175		820
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	33.61	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	Trading across borders (rank)	76
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	76.69
Time (days)	97	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	219.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	22
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	15.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.6	Border compliance (hours)	28
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	166	✗ Protecting minority investors (rank)	188	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	53.76	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	20.00	Documentary compliance (US\$)	48
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	368
Time (days)	80	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	14.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	28
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	83
Getting electricity (rank)	139	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	54.82	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
Procedures (number)	4			Border compliance (US\$)	583
Time (days)	60			Enforcing contracts (rank)	123
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,708.5			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	52.49
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			Time (days)	530
✓ Registering property (rank)	180			Cost (% of claim)	42.6
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	32.10			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Procedures (number)	5			Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Time (days)	312			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Cost (% of property value)	7.0			Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	2.5			Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
HONDURAS		Latin America & Caribbean		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	148	✓ Getting credit (rank)	7		2,270
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.02	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	Trading across borders (rank)	109
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	65.85
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	41.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	60.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	22.8	Border compliance (hours)	88
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	84	✗ Protecting minority investors (rank)	132	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.57	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	601
Time (days)	89	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	96
Getting electricity (rank)	144	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.66	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	70
Procedures (number)	7			Border compliance (US\$)	483
Time (days)	39			Enforcing contracts (rank)	151
Cost (% of income per capita)	775.1			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.54
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			Time (days)	920
✓ Registering property (rank)	85			Cost (% of claim)	35.2
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	64.26			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Procedures (number)	6			Resolving insolvency (rank)	139
Time (days)	22			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	31.66
Cost (% of property value)	5.7			Time (years)	3.8
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0			Cost (% of estate)	14.5
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.2
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
HONG KONG SAR, CHINA		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	3	Getting credit (rank)	20	41,000	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	98.20	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	Population	7,305,700
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Trading across borders (rank)	42
Time (days)	1.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	88.94
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	5	Protecting minority investors (rank)	3	Border compliance (hours)	19
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	84.82	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	80.00	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Documentary compliance (US\$)	57
Time (days)	72	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	282
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	3	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	19
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	99.02	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	57
Time (days)	27	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	98.69	Border compliance (US\$)	266
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.4	Payments (number per year)	3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	21
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	74	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	72.57
Registering property (rank)	61	Total tax rate (% of profit)	22.9	Time (days)	360
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.79	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.62	Cost (% of claim)	21.2
Procedures (number)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	28	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
Time (days)	27.5	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	75.06	Time (years)	0.8
Cost (% of property value)	7.7	Time (years)		Cost (% of estate)	5.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	87.2	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	87.2
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
HUNGARY		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	75	Getting credit (rank)	20	12,990	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	Population	9,844,686
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Trading across borders (rank)	1
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	89.8	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	45.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	69	Protecting minority investors (rank)	81	Border compliance (hours)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.70	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Time (days)	202	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Getting electricity (rank)	121	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.13	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	77	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Time (days)	257	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	74.46	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Cost (% of income per capita)	93.9	Payments (number per year)	11	Enforcing contracts (rank)	8
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	277	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	75.79
Registering property (rank)	28	Total tax rate (% of profit)	46.5	Time (days)	395
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	80.08	Postfiling index (0–100)	75.79	Cost (% of claim)	15.0
Procedures (number)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	63	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
Time (days)	17.5	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	51.25	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	5.0	Time (years)		Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.0
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
ICELAND		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	34	Getting credit (rank)	62	49,730	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.64	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Population	330,823
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Trading across borders (rank)	66
Time (days)	3.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	80.27
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	70	Protecting minority investors (rank)	22	Border compliance (hours)	36
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.66	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
Time (days)	84	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	655
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Getting electricity (rank)	9	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	24
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	92.24	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	29	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
Time (days)	22	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.88	Border compliance (US\$)	655
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.6	Payments (number per year)	21	Enforcing contracts (rank)	32
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	140	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	69.10
Registering property (rank)	15	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.1	Time (days)	417
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	86.61	Postfiling index (0–100)	89.15	Cost (% of claim)	9.0
Procedures (number)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	14	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Time (days)	3.5	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	81.70	Time (years)	1.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.6	Time (years)		Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	85.0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	85.0
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business		
INDIA		South Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population		
Starting a business (rank)	155	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	143	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	74.31	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	57.61	
Procedures (number)	12.9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	38.4	
Time (days)	26	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	106.1	
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	21.4	Border compliance (hours)	91.9	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	413.1	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	185	Protecting minority investors (rank)	13	Time to import	61.3	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	32.83	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	Documentary compliance (hours)	283.3	
Procedures (number)	35.1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	134.8	
Time (days)	190	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	574	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	25.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	9.0	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10	Border compliance (US\$)	172	
Getting electricity (rank)	26	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	35.19	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	85.09	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Time (days)	1,420	
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	172	Cost (% of claim)	39.6	
Time (days)	45.9	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	46.58	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0	
Cost (% of income per capita)	133.2	Payments (number per year)	25	Resolving insolvency (rank)	136	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	241	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	32.75	
Registering property (rank)	138	Total tax rate (% of profit)	60.6	Time (years)	4.3	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.00	Postfiling index (0–100)	4.27	Cost (% of estate)	9.0	
Procedures (number)	7	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	26.0	
Time (days)	46.8	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0	
Cost (% of property value)	7.7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	INDONESIA	3,440	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	91	
East Asia & Pacific	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	61.52	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	91	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	61.52
Starting a business (rank)	151	Getting credit (rank)	62	Trading across borders (rank)	108	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	65.87	
Procedures (number)	11.2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	61.3	
Time (days)	24.9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	53.3	
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	138.8	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	51.8	Cost to export	253.7	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	116	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	Documentary compliance (US\$)	164.4	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.73	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	382.6	
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Enforcing contracts (rank)	166	
Time (days)	200.2	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	38.15	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Time (days)	471	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost (% of claim)	115.7	
Getting electricity (rank)	49	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.8	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.92	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Resolving insolvency (rank)	76	
Procedures (number)	4.8	Paying taxes (rank)	104	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	46.46	
Time (days)	57.7	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.25	Time (years)	1.9	
Cost (% of income per capita)	357.0	Payments (number per year)	43	Cost (% of estate)	21.6	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	221	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31.2	
Registering property (rank)	118	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.72	Postfiling index (0–100)	76.49	IRAN, ISLAMIC REP.	6,019	
Procedures (number)	5	Middle East & North Africa	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	120	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	57.26
Time (days)	27.4	Getting credit (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	170	
Cost (% of property value)	10.8	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	40.66	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	152	
Starting a business (rank)	102	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	101	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.06	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	50.5	Border compliance (hours)	143	
Procedures (number)	8.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	51.0	Cost to export	565	
Time (days)	15.5	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Documentary compliance (US\$)	197	
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)	660	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Enforcing contracts (rank)	70	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	27	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.00	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.50	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	1	Time (days)	505	
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of claim)	17.0	
Time (days)	99	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.7	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Resolving insolvency (rank)	156	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.5	Paying taxes (rank)	100	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	25.25	
Getting electricity (rank)	94	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.79	Time (years)	4.5	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.15	Payments (number per year)	20	Cost (% of estate)	15.0	
Procedures (number)	6	Time (hours per year)	344	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.9	
Time (days)	77	Total tax rate (% of profit)	44.1	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0	
Cost (% of income per capita)	828.6	Postfiling index (0–100)	78.81			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5					
Registering property (rank)	86					
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	64.17					
Procedures (number)	7					
Time (days)	12					
Cost (% of property value)	5.7					
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.0					

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

			✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
IRAQ		Middle East & North Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	5,550
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	165	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	45.61	Population 36,423,395
Starting a business (rank)	164	Getting credit (rank)	181	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	71.32	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	5.00	
Procedures (number)	9.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	
Time (days)	34.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	
Cost (% of income per capita)	51.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	18.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	104	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.66	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	
Time (days)	167	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	
Getting electricity (rank)	133	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	57.51	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	
Procedures (number)	6			
Time (days)	56	Paying taxes (rank)	52	
Cost (% of income per capita)	279.2	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.86	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Payments (number per year)	14	
Registering property (rank)	115	Time (hours per year)	312	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	56.28	Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.8	
Procedures (number)	5	Postfiling index (0–100)	84.86	
Time (days)	51			
Cost (% of property value)	7.9			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5			
IRELAND		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	46,680
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	18	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	79.53	Population 4,640,703
Starting a business (rank)	10	Getting credit (rank)	32	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.91	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	38	Protecting minority investors (rank)	13	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.01	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	
Time (days)	149.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	
Getting electricity (rank)	33	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.19	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	85	Paying taxes (rank)	5	
Cost (% of income per capita)	61.9	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	94.40	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Payments (number per year)	9	
Registering property (rank)	41	Time (hours per year)	82	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.28	Total tax rate (% of profit)	26.0	
Procedures (number)	5	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.70	
Time (days)	31.5			
Cost (% of property value)	2.5			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0			
ISRAEL		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	35,440
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	52	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	71.65	Population 8,380,400
Starting a business (rank)	41	Getting credit (rank)	44	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.28	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	72.5	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	71	Protecting minority investors (rank)	9	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.61	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	
Time (days)	209	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	
Getting electricity (rank)	71	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	75.20	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	
Procedures (number)	6			
Time (days)	102	Paying taxes (rank)	96	
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.7	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.00	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Payments (number per year)	33	
Registering property (rank)	126	Time (hours per year)	235	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.84	Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.1	
Procedures (number)	6	Postfiling index (0–100)	65.53	
Time (days)	81			
Cost (% of property value)	8.3			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0			

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
ITALY		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		50	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	72.25	Population
Starting a business (rank)	63	Getting credit (rank)	101		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.40	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00		
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2		
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	29.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	86	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.41	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33		
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	227.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7		
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8		
Getting electricity (rank)	51	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.70	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	124				
Cost (% of income per capita)	158.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
Registering property (rank)	24				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.69				
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	16				
Cost (% of property value)	4.4				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.5				
JAMAICA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		67	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	67.54	Population
Starting a business (rank)	12	Getting credit (rank)	16	Trading across borders (rank)	131
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.61	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	60.70
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	47
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	23.3	Border compliance (hours)	58
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	75	Protecting minority investors (rank)	63	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.15	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	876
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	129.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	80
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	101	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	906
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	95				
Cost (% of income per capita)	231.6				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
Registering property (rank)	123				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	53.70				
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	18				
Cost (% of property value)	9.8				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0				
JAPAN		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		34	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	75.53	Population
Starting a business (rank)	89	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	49
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.09	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	86.43
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Time to export	
Time (days)	11.2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	2.4
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	22.6
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	60	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60.4
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.33	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	264.9
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	197	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	3.4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	39.6
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	15	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	89.88	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	299.2
Procedures (number)	3.4				
Time (days)	97.7				
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
Registering property (rank)	49				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.91				
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	13				
Cost (% of property value)	5.8				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

			✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
JORDAN		Middle East & North Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	4,680
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	118	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	57.30	Population 7,594,547
Starting a business (rank)	106	Getting credit (rank)	185	✓ Trading across borders (rank) DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.62	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	50 86.39
Procedures (number)	7.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export Documentary compliance (hours)
Time (days)	12.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	2 Border compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	38 Cost to export Documentary compliance (US\$)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	2.5	16 Border compliance (US\$)
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	109	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Time to import Documentary compliance (hours)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.19	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	55 Border compliance (hours)
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	75 Cost to import Documentary compliance (US\$)
Time (days)	63	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	30 Border compliance (US\$)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	10.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	181 Border compliance (US\$)
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	
Getting electricity (rank)	48	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.93	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	79	Enforcing contracts (rank) DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Time (days)	50	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	73.94	124 52.42
Cost (% of income per capita)	325.3	Payments (number per year)	25	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	145	31.2 Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
Registering property (rank)	96	Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.6	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.18	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31	Resolving insolvency (rank) DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)
Procedures (number)	7			142 30.38
Time (days)	21			Time (years) Cost (% of estate)
Cost (% of property value)	9.0			20.0 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	20.5			5.0 Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)
KAZAKHSTAN		Europe & Central Asia	GNI per capita (US\$)	11,580
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	35	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	75.09	Population 17,544,126
Starting a business (rank)	45	Getting credit (rank)	75	✓ Trading across borders (rank) DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.94	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	119 63.19
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Time to export Documentary compliance (hours)
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	128 Border compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	52.0	320 Border compliance (US\$)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	574 Border compliance (US\$)
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	22	Protecting minority investors (rank)	3	Time to import Documentary compliance (hours)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.05	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	80.00	2 Border compliance (hours)
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Cost to import Documentary compliance (US\$)
Time (days)	68	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	0 Border compliance (US\$)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	
Getting electricity (rank)	75	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	73.64	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Enforcing contracts (rank) DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	60	9 75.70
Time (days)	77	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	79.54	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)
Cost (% of income per capita)	50.6	Payments (number per year)	7	22.0 Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	178	13.0
Registering property (rank)	18	Total tax rate (% of profit)	29.2	Resolving insolvency (rank) DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	83.72	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.08	37 69.17
Procedures (number)	3			Time (years) Cost (% of estate)
Time (days)	3.5			15.0 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)
Cost (% of property value)	0.1			41.4 Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.0			15.0
KENYA		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,340
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	92	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	61.22	Population 46,050,302
Starting a business (rank)	116	Getting credit (rank)	32	✓ Trading across borders (rank) DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	105 66.38
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export Documentary compliance (hours)
Time (days)	22	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	19 Border compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	21.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	25.8	Cost to export Documentary compliance (US\$)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	191 Border compliance (US\$)
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	152	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Time to import Documentary compliance (hours)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	57.18	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	84 Border compliance (hours)
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Cost to import Documentary compliance (US\$)
Time (days)	160	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	115 Border compliance (US\$)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	833 Border compliance (US\$)
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	
Getting electricity (rank)	106	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	64.43	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank) DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	125	87 58.27
Time (days)	97	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	61.72	Time (days) Cost (% of claim)
Cost (% of income per capita)	642.0	Payments (number per year)	31	41.8 Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	195.5	9.0
Registering property (rank)	121	Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.4	Resolving insolvency (rank) DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	54.40	Postfiling index (0–100)	32.12	92 43.39
Procedures (number)	9			Time (years) Cost (% of estate)
Time (days)	61			22.0 Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)
Cost (% of property value)	6.1			28.4 Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.0			9.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
KIRIBATI		East Asia & Pacific		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	140	Getting credit (rank)	167	Trading across borders (rank)	124
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.17	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	20.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	62.08
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Time to export	
Time (days)	31	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	72
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	13.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	119	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Documentary compliance (US\$)	310
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.13	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Border compliance (US\$)	420
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	149	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	96
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	164	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	120
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	43.95	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	685
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	73	Enforcing contracts (rank)	118
Time (days)	97	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	75.08	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	53.39
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,228.7	Payments (number per year)	11	Time (days)	660
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	168	Cost (% of claim)	25.8
Registering property (rank)	144	Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.13	Postfiling index (0–100)	41.30	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	5	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	513	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.0	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
KOREA, REP.	OECD high income	GNP per capita (US\$)	27,440	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	5	Population	50,617,045		
Starting a business (rank)	11	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	32
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.83	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.52
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	14.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	13
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	31	Protecting minority investors (rank)	13	Documentary compliance (US\$)	11
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	77.84	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33	Border compliance (US\$)	185
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	28	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	6
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	1	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	27
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	99.88	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	315
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	23	Enforcing contracts (rank)	1
Time (days)	18	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	86.56	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	84.15
Cost (% of income per capita)	38.3	Payments (number per year)	12	Time (days)	290
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	188	Cost (% of claim)	12.7
Registering property (rank)	39	Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.34	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.58	Resolving insolvency (rank)	4
Procedures (number)	7	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	89.22
Time (days)	5.5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	84.5
KOSOVO	Europe & Central Asia	GNP per capita (US\$)	3,950	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	14.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	60	Population	1,797,151		
Starting a business (rank)	13	Getting credit (rank)	20	Trading across borders (rank)	51
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	95.54	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	85.93
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	38
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	42
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	38.1	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	129	Protecting minority investors (rank)	63	Documentary compliance (US\$)	127
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.31	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	137
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	152	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	16
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	114	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	42
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	61.85	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	83
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	43	Enforcing contracts (rank)	44
Time (days)	36	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.24	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.66
Cost (% of income per capita)	253.1	Payments (number per year)	10	Time (days)	330
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Time (hours per year)	155	Cost (% of claim)	34.4
Registering property (rank)	33	Total tax rate (% of profit)	15.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	78.11	Postfiling index (0–100)	61.00	Resolving insolvency (rank)	163
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	20.88
Time (days)	27	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	0.3	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	20.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.8
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0	Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

KUWAIT		Middle East & North Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	40,930
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	3,892,115
✗ Starting a business (rank)	173	Getting credit (rank)	118	✓ Trading across borders (rank)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	66.77	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	12.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export
Time (days)	61.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	31.0	Border compliance (hours)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	10.2	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	14.5	Cost to export
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	144	Protecting minority investors (rank)	81	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	60.72	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	23	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import
Time (days)	216	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Cost to import
Getting electricity (rank)	115	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	61.47	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	8	Paying taxes (rank)	6	Enforcing contracts (rank)
Time (days)	64	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	92.48	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Cost (% of income per capita)	64.6	Payments (number per year)	12	Time (days)
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	98	Cost (% of claim)
Registering property (rank)	67	Total tax rate (% of profit)	13.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	68.37	Postfiling index (0–100)	NOT APPLICABLE	Resolving insolvency (rank)
Procedures (number)	8	Getting credit (rank)	32	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)
Time (days)	49	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	Time (years)
Cost (% of property value)	0.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Cost (% of estate)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)
KYRGYZ REPUBLIC		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	30.6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
KYRGYZ REPUBLIC		Europe & Central Asia	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,170
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,957,000
Starting a business (rank)	30	Getting credit (rank)	32	✓ Trading across borders (rank)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.95	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	30.6	Border compliance (hours)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	32	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import
Time (days)	142	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import
Getting electricity (rank)	163	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.05	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	148	Enforcing contracts (rank)
Time (days)	125	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	56.43	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Cost (% of income per capita)	858.1	Payments (number per year)	51	Time (days)
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	225	Cost (% of claim)
Registering property (rank)	8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	29.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	90.60	Postfiling index (0–100)	36.93	Resolving insolvency (rank)
Procedures (number)	3	Getting credit (rank)	75	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)
Time (days)	3.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	Time (years)
Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of estate)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)
LAO PDR		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)
LAO PDR		East Asia & Pacific	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,730
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	6,802,023
✓ Starting a business (rank)	160	Getting credit (rank)	75	✓ Trading across borders (rank)
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	72.42	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export
Time (days)	67	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	10.9	Cost to export
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	47	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.11	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import
Time (days)	83	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import
Getting electricity (rank)	155	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	48.67	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	146	Enforcing contracts (rank)
Time (days)	134	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	56.98	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,408.7	Payments (number per year)	35	Time (days)
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	1	Time (hours per year)	362	Cost (% of claim)
Registering property (rank)	65	Total tax rate (% of profit)	26.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	68.70	Postfiling index (0–100)	29.76	Resolving insolvency (rank)
Procedures (number)	4	Getting credit (rank)	75	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)
Time (days)	53	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	Time (years)
Cost (% of property value)	1.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Cost (% of estate)
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
LATVIA		Europe & Central Asia		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	22	✓ Getting credit (rank)	7		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.15	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00		
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9		
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8		
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	63.2		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	84.9		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	23	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	42		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.93	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33		
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5		
Time (days)	147	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10		
Getting electricity (rank)	42	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.14	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	107				
Cost (% of income per capita)	289.6				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
Registering property (rank)	23	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	15		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.87	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	89.79		
Procedures (number)	4	Payments (number per year)	7		
Time (days)	16.5	Time (hours per year)	168.5		
Cost (% of property value)	2.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.9		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.11		
LEBANON					
Middle East & North Africa		GNP per capita (US\$)		7,930	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	139	✓ Getting credit (rank)	118		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.45	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00		
Procedures (number)	8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2		
Time (days)	15	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6		
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	40.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	22.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	135	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	145		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.85	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00		
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9		
Time (days)	244	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Getting electricity (rank)	122	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	75				
Cost (% of income per capita)	114.8	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	67		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.17		
Registering property (rank)	103	Payments (number per year)	20		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.94	Time (hours per year)	181		
Procedures (number)	8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.3		
Time (days)	34	Postfiling index (0–100)	63.32		
Cost (% of property value)	5.9				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.0				
LESOTHO					
Sub-Saharan Africa		GNP per capita (US\$)		1,214	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	117	✓ Getting credit (rank)	82		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.00	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5		
Time (days)	29	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5		
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.1		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	171	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	106		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	52.39	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00		
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	179	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	11.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9		
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
Getting electricity (rank)	150	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	51.84	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	114				
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,421.7	✓ Paying taxes (rank)	91		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.03		
Registering property (rank)	108	Payments (number per year)	32		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.42	Time (hours per year)	324		
Procedures (number)	4	Total tax rate (% of profit)	13.6		
Time (days)	43	Postfiling index (0–100)	78.94		
Cost (% of property value)	8.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0				

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

LIBERIA		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	380		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		174	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	41.41	Population	4,503,438
Starting a business (rank)	37	Getting credit (rank)	101			
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.49	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00			
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9			
Time (days)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0			
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0			
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.7			
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	175	Protecting minority investors (rank)	179			
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	49.21	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33			
Procedures (number)	22	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4			
Time (days)	74	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6			
Building quality control index (0–15)	2.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3			
Getting electricity (rank)	177	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2			
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	33.28	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1			
Procedures (number)	4					
Time (days)	465					
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,066.6					
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0					
Registering property (rank)	179	Paying taxes (rank)	72			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	33.62	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.07			
Procedures (number)	10	Payments (number per year)	33			
Time (days)	44	Time (hours per year)	139.5			
Cost (% of property value)	13.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.9			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	96.79			
LIBYA		Middle East & North Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	6,030		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		188	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	33.19	Population	6,278,438
Starting a business (rank)	163	Getting credit (rank)	185			
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	71.48	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00			
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0			
Time (days)	35	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0			
Cost (% of income per capita)	31.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0			
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	43.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.5			
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	187	Protecting minority investors (rank)	185			
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	25.00			
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4			
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	NO PRACTICE	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4			
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4			
Getting electricity (rank)	128	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1			
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.60	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1			
Procedures (number)	4					
Time (days)	118					
Cost (% of income per capita)	441.6					
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0					
Registering property (rank)	187	Paying taxes (rank)	121			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	63.78			
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Payments (number per year)	19			
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Time (hours per year)	889			
Cost (% of property value)	NO PRACTICE	Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.6			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	NO PRACTICE	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.83			
LITHUANIA		Europe & Central Asia	GNI per capita (US\$)	15,000		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		21	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	78.84	Population	2,910,199
Starting a business (rank)	29	Getting credit (rank)	32			
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.99	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00			
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6			
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8			
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	84.2			
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	20.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	37.8			
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	16	Protecting minority investors (rank)	51			
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.44	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	61.67			
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7			
Time (days)	103	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7			
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7			
Getting electricity (rank)	55	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6			
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.08	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6			
Procedures (number)	6					
Time (days)	85					
Cost (% of income per capita)	43.7					
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8					
Registering property (rank)	2	Paying taxes (rank)	27			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	92.93	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	85.44			
Procedures (number)	3	Payments (number per year)	11			
Time (days)	3.5	Time (hours per year)	171			
Cost (% of property value)	0.8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.7			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	97.57			
✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business				

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
LUXEMBOURG		OECD high income	GNP per capita (US\$)	77,000	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		59	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	68.81	Population
Starting a business (rank)	67	Getting credit (rank)	170		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.66	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00		
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3		
Time (days)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	19.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	7	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	83.70	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00		
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6		
Time (days)	157	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3		
Building quality control index (0–15)	15.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		
Getting electricity (rank)	32	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.30	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	56				
Cost (% of income per capita)	36.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
Registering property (rank)	88	Paying taxes (rank)	16		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.84	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	88.92		
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	23		
Time (days)	26.5	Time (hours per year)	55		
Cost (% of property value)	10.1	Total tax rate (% of profit)	20.8		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	25.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	89.94		
MACEDONIA, FYR					
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		10	Europe & Central Asia	GNP per capita (US\$)	5,140
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		81.74	Population	2,078,453	
Starting a business (rank)	4	Getting credit (rank)	16		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	98.14	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00		
Procedures (number)	2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9		
Time (days)	2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	94.5		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	40.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	11	Protecting minority investors (rank)	13		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	81.71	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	73.33		
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8		
Time (days)	89	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8		
Getting electricity (rank)	29	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.51	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7		
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	97				
Cost (% of income per capita)	212.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	9		
Registering property (rank)	48	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	91.67		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.05	Payments (number per year)	7		
Procedures (number)	7	Time (hours per year)	119		
Time (days)	30	Total tax rate (% of profit)	13.0		
Cost (% of property value)	3.2	Postfiling index (0–100)	84.17		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5				
MADAGASCAR					
Sub-Saharan Africa			GNP per capita (US\$)	420	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		167	Population	24,235,390	
Starting a business (rank)	113	Getting credit (rank)	170		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.48	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3		
Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	184	Protecting minority investors (rank)	114		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	36.88	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	48.33		
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	185	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	28.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5		
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Getting electricity (rank)	185	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	19.91	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	450				
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,699.2	Paying taxes (rank)	117		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	64.80		
Registering property (rank)	159	Payments (number per year)	23		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.56	Time (hours per year)	183		
Procedures (number)	6	Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.1		
Time (days)	100	Postfiling index (0–100)	30.21		
Cost (% of property value)	9.2				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5				

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
MALAWI		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	150	✓ Getting credit (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	118
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	76.73	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.32
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9	Time to export	
Time (days)	37	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	83
Cost (% of income per capita)	42.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	85
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	65	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132	Documentary compliance (US\$)	342
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.45	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Border compliance (US\$)	243
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	153	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	63
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	64
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	169	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	162
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	42.36	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	143
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	102	Enforcing contracts (rank)	148
Time (days)	127	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.58	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	46.48
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,688.0	Payments (number per year)	35	Time (days)	522
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	177.5	Cost (% of claim)	69.1
Registering property (rank)	95	Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.41	Postfiling index (0–100)	63.35	Resolving insolvency (rank)	162
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	22.25
Time (days)	69	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	2.6
Cost (% of property value)	1.7	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	25.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	12.3
Malaysia (rank)	23	Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	23	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Starting a business (rank)	112	Protecting minority investors (rank)	3	Trading across borders (rank)	60
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.67	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	82.38
Procedures (number)	8.5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	18.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	10
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	13	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	45
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	81.10	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	321
Procedures (number)	15	Paying taxes (rank)	61	Time to import	
Time (days)	79	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	79.20	Documentary compliance (hours)	10
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.4	Payments (number per year)	9	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Time (hours per year)	164	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	94.34	Postfiling index (0–100)	64.31	Border compliance (US\$)	321
Procedures (number)	4	Enforcing contracts (rank)		Enforcing contracts (rank)	42
Time (days)	31	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	66.61
Cost (% of income per capita)	26.6	Payments (number per year)		Time (days)	425
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)		Cost (% of claim)	37.3
Registering property (rank)	40	Total tax rate (% of profit)		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.29	Postfiling index (0–100)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	46
Procedures (number)	8	Resolving insolvency (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	62.49
Time (days)	13	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)		Time (years)	1.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.4	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	81.3
Maldives (rank)	135	Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	135	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Starting a business (rank)	65	Getting credit (rank)	133	Trading across borders (rank)	147
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.98	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	55.87
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	42
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	22.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	62	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Documentary compliance (US\$)	300
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.80	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Border compliance (US\$)	596
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	
Time (days)	140	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	61
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	100
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	145	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	180
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	53.57	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	981
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	134	Enforcing contracts (rank)	105
Time (days)	91	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.02	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.07
Cost (% of income per capita)	321.7	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	760
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	405.5	Cost (% of claim)	16.5
Registering property (rank)	172	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	39.97	Postfiling index (0–100)	45.87	Resolving insolvency (rank)	135
Procedures (number)	6	Resolving insolvency (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	33.14
Time (days)	57	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)		Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	15.9	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	4.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	50.0
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	2.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
MALI		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		141	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	52.96	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	108	✓ Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	89
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.12	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	70.79
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	61.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	5.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	142	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	33
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.02	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	242
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	124	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	77
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	98
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	152	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	375
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	50.60	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	298
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	144	Enforcing contracts (rank)	156
Time (days)	120	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	57.50	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	43.73
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,964.7	Payments (number per year)	35	Time (days)	620
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	270	Cost (% of claim)	52.0
Registering property (rank)	135	Total tax rate (% of profit)	48.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	50.37	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	99
Procedures (number)	5	Getting credit (rank)	139	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	41.46
Time (days)	29	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Time (years)	3.6
Cost (% of property value)	11.8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	24.8
MALTA		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		76	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	65.01	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	132	✓ Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	40
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.21	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.01
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	26	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	24
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	1.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	53.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	82	Protecting minority investors (rank)	32	Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.99	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Border compliance (US\$)	325
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	167	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	2
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	77	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	73.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	230
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	33	Enforcing contracts (rank)	58
Time (days)	121	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.59	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	62.17
Cost (% of income per capita)	394.7	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	505
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	139	Cost (% of claim)	35.9
Registering property (rank)	147	Total tax rate (% of profit)	43.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	48.81	Postfiling index (0–100)	85.95	Resolving insolvency (rank)	84
Procedures (number)	7	Getting credit (rank)	82	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.35
Time (days)	15	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	13.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	40.7
MARSHALL ISLANDS		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		143	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	51.92	Population
Starting a business (rank)	70	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	64
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.41	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	80.59
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	17	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	60
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	79	Protecting minority investors (rank)	175	Documentary compliance (US\$)	20
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.77	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67	Border compliance (US\$)	220
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	38	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	60
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	84
Building quality control index (0–15)	1.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	126	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	43
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	59.14	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	220
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	82	Enforcing contracts (rank)	99
Time (days)	67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	73.45	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.93
Cost (% of income per capita)	712.1	Payments (number per year)	9	Time (days)	616
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	120	Cost (% of claim)	32.1
Registering property (rank)	187	Total tax rate (% of profit)	64.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00	Postfiling index (0–100)	NOT APPLICABLE	Resolving insolvency (rank)	167
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	9.19
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE			Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	NO PRACTICE			Cost (% of estate)	38.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	NO PRACTICE			Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	17.1
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

			✓ Reform making it easier to do business	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
MAURITANIA		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,200	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	160	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	47.21	Population 4,067,564	
Starting a business (rank)	80	Getting credit (rank)	157	Trading across borders (rank)	137
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.87	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	58.82
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	8	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	51
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	72
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	6.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	118	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Documentary compliance (US\$)	92
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.17	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Border compliance (US\$)	749
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	104	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	64
Cost (% of warehouse value)	4.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	84
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	146	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	400
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.98	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	582
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	188	Enforcing contracts (rank)	83
Time (days)	67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	19.69	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.58
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,735.1	Payments (number per year)	45	Time (days)	370
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Time (hours per year)	724	Cost (% of claim)	23.2
Registering property (rank)	102	Total tax rate (% of profit)	71.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.97	Postfiling index (0–100)	18.98	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.0
Time (days)	49	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of property value)	4.6	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
MAURITIUS		Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	9,610	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	49	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	72.27	Population	1,262,605
Starting a business (rank)	48	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	74
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.65	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	78.67
Procedures (number)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	9
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	83.3	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	33	Protecting minority investors (rank)	32	Documentary compliance (US\$)	128
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.55	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Border compliance (US\$)	303
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	156	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	9
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	51
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	110	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	166
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.22	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	372
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	45	Enforcing contracts (rank)	34
Time (days)	81	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	82.96	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	68.65
Cost (% of income per capita)	247.7	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	519
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	152	Cost (% of claim)	25.0
Registering property (rank)	98	Total tax rate (% of profit)	21.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	61.99	Postfiling index (0–100)	56.08	Resolving insolvency (rank)	39
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	69.06
Time (days)	14	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.7
Cost (% of property value)	10.6	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.0	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	67.4
MEXICO		Latin America & Caribbean	GNI per capita (US\$)	9,710	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	47	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	72.29	Population	127,017,224
Starting a business (rank)	93	Getting credit (rank)	5	Trading across borders (rank)	61
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.74	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	90.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	82.09
Procedures (number)	7.8	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	8.4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	8
Cost (% of income per capita)	17.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	20.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	83	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.79	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	400
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	86.4	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	17.6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	9.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	44.2
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.7	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	98	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.32	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	450
Procedures (number)	6.8	Paying taxes (rank)	114	Enforcing contracts (rank)	40
Time (days)	100.4	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	65.81	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.01
Cost (% of income per capita)	336.7	Payments (number per year)	6	Time (days)	340.7
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6.2	Time (hours per year)	286	Cost (% of claim)	33.0
Registering property (rank)	101	Total tax rate (% of profit)	52.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.1
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	61.05	Postfiling index (0–100)	42.64	Resolving insolvency (rank)	30
Procedures (number)	7.7	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	73.11
Time (days)	42.1	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.8
Cost (% of property value)	5.2	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.3	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	69.1
		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
MICRONESIA, FED. STS.		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	167	Getting credit (rank)	75	Trading across borders (rank)	57
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	69.73	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	84.00
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Time to export	
Time (days)	16	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	140.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	36
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	143	Protecting minority investors (rank)	185	Documentary compliance (US\$)	60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	60.92	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	25.00	Border compliance (US\$)	168
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	
Time (days)	86	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	35
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	56
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	107	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	64.32	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (US\$)	180
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	105				
Cost (% of income per capita)	397.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	187				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00				
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE				
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE				
Cost (% of property value)	NO PRACTICE				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	NO PRACTICE				
MOLDOVA		Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,220
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	44	Getting credit (rank)	32	Trading across borders (rank)	34
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.96	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	92.32
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	11.4	Border compliance (hours)	3
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	165	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42	Documentary compliance (US\$)	44
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.14	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	76
Procedures (number)	27	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	276	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	4
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	73	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	41
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	74.60	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	83
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	87				
Cost (% of income per capita)	738.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
Registering property (rank)	21	Paying taxes (rank)	31	Enforcing contracts (rank)	62
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	82.92	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.76	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.87
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	10	Time (days)	585
Time (days)	5.5	Time (hours per year)	181	Cost (% of claim)	28.6
Cost (% of property value)	0.9	Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	91.36	Resolving insolvency (rank)	60
MONGOLIA		East Asia & Pacific		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	52.61
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Time (years)	
Starting a business (rank)	36	Getting credit (rank)	62	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.55	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.1
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	42.2		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	29	Protecting minority investors (rank)	26		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.19	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	68.33		
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6		
Time (days)	137	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7		
Building quality control index (0–15)	14.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Getting electricity (rank)	137	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	55.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8		
Procedures (number)	8				
Time (days)	79				
Cost (% of income per capita)	579.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3				
Registering property (rank)	46	Paying taxes (rank)	35	Enforcing contracts (rank)	85
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.18	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.19	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.48
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	19	Time (days)	374
Time (days)	10.5	Time (hours per year)	148	Cost (% of claim)	30.6
Cost (% of property value)	2.1	Total tax rate (% of profit)	24.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	78.73	Resolving insolvency (rank)	91
Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)				

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
MONTENEGRO		Europe & Central Asia		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		51	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	72.08	Population
Starting a business (rank)	58	Getting credit (rank)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	43
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	90.07	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	88.75
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	12	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	5
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	8
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	30.8	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	93	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42	Documentary compliance (US\$)	67
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.82	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	158
Procedures (number)	8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	152	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	10
Cost (% of warehouse value)	11.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	23
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	167	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	43.50	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	306
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	57	Enforcing contracts (rank)	41
Time (days)	142	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.42	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	66.75
Cost (% of income per capita)	440.5	Payments (number per year)	18	Time (days)	545
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	300	Cost (% of claim)	25.7
Registering property (rank)	78	Total tax rate (% of profit)	22.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.82	Postfiling index (0–100)	85.48	Resolving insolvency (rank)	40
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	68.37
Time (days)	69	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.4
Cost (% of property value)	3.1	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	8.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	48.6
MOROCCO		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		68	Middle East & North Africa	GNP per capita (US\$)	
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		67.50	Population	3,040	
Starting a business (rank)	40	Getting credit (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	63
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.34	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	81.12
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	9.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	24.6	Border compliance (hours)	19
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	18	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Documentary compliance (US\$)	107
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.77	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	156
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Time (days)	88.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	106
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	57	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	116
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.71	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	228
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	41	Enforcing contracts (rank)	57
Time (days)	49	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.51	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	62.34
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,770.2	Payments (number per year)	6	Time (days)	510
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	211	Cost (% of claim)	25.2
Registering property (rank)	87	Total tax rate (% of profit)	49.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.94	Postfiling index (0–100)	97.71	Resolving insolvency (rank)	131
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	33.89
Time (days)	22	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	3.5
Cost (% of property value)	5.9	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	28.1
MOZAMBIQUE		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		137	Sub-Saharan Africa	GNP per capita (US\$)	
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		53.78	Population	580	
Starting a business (rank)	134	Getting credit (rank)	157	Trading across borders (rank)	106
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	79.86	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	66.31
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	19	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	70
Cost (% of income per capita)	18.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	78
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	5.3	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	30	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132	Documentary compliance (US\$)	220
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	77.85	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Border compliance (US\$)	602
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	111	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	14
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	168	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	171
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	42.65	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	354
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	112	Enforcing contracts (rank)	185
Time (days)	91	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	67.11	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	27.32
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,509.0	Payments (number per year)	37	Time (days)	950
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	200	Cost (% of claim)	119.0
Registering property (rank)	107	Total tax rate (% of profit)	36.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.76	Postfiling index (0–100)	62.49	Resolving insolvency (rank)	65
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	49.61
Time (days)	40	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	5.4	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	20.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.1
MOZAMBIQUE		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
MYANMAR		East Asia & Pacific	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,293	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	53,897,154	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	146	✓ Getting credit (rank)	175	✗ Trading across borders (rank)	159
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.10	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	47.40
Procedures (number)	11	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	144
Cost (% of income per capita)	40.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	144
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	66	Protecting minority investors (rank)	179	Documentary compliance (US\$)	140
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.23	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33	Border compliance (US\$)	432
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	95	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	232
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	149	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	210
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.17	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	457
Procedures (number)	6	Pay taxes (rank)	119	Enforcing contracts (rank)	188
Time (days)	77	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	64.05	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	24.53
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,270.1	Payments (number per year)	31	Time (days)	1,160
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	282	Cost (% of claim)	51.5
Registering property (rank)	143	Total tax rate (% of profit)	31.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.37	Postfiling index (0–100)	46.10	Resolving insolvency (rank)	164
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	20.39
Time (days)	85	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	5.0
Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Time to export		Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	14.7
NAMIBIA		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	4.0
NAMIBIA		Cost to export		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Documentary compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	
Starting a business (rank)	170	Border compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	127
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	68.87	Trading across borders (rank)		Cost to import	61.47
Procedures (number)	10	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Documentary compliance (hours)	
Time (days)	66	Time to export		Border compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	67	Getting credit (rank)	62	Border compliance (US\$)	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.22	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Time to import	
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Time (days)	137	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Border compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	61.2	Cost to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	
Getting electricity (rank)	124	Protecting minority investors (rank)	81	Border compliance (US\$)	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	59.36	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	55.00	Enforcing contracts (rank)	98
Procedures (number)	6	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	56.03
Time (days)	37	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Time (days)	460
Cost (% of income per capita)	349.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Cost (% of claim)	35.8
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
Registering property (rank)	174	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	97
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	38.35	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	41.96
Procedures (number)	8	Pay taxes (rank)	74	Time (years)	2.5
Time (days)	52	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	74.97	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Cost (% of property value)	13.8	Payments (number per year)	27	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.4
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	8.5	Time (hours per year)	302	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5
NEPAL		Total tax rate (% of profit)	20.7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
NEPAL		Postfiling index (0–100)	78.99	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		South Asia	GNI per capita (US\$)	730	
Starting a business (rank)	109	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	58.88	Population	28,513,700
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	83.77	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	69
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	79.75
Time (days)	17	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	26.1	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	1.8	Border compliance (hours)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	123	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.18	Protecting minority investors (rank)	63	Documentary compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	10	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Border compliance (US\$)	
Time (days)	86	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	13.2	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	
Getting electricity (rank)	131	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	57.80	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	
Time (days)	70	Pay taxes (rank)	142	Enforcing contracts (rank)	152
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,042.1	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	58.05	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.26
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Payments (number per year)	34	Time (days)	910
Registering property (rank)	72	Time (hours per year)	339	Cost (% of claim)	26.8
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	67.00	Total tax rate (% of profit)	29.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
Procedures (number)	3	Postfiling index (0–100)	33.48	Resolving insolvency (rank)	89
Time (days)	5	Getting credit (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	44.64
Cost (% of property value)	4.8	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)		Time (years)	2.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
		Depth of credit information index (0–8)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	42.3
		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

NETHERLANDS		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	48,940
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	16,936,520
Starting a business (rank)	22	Getting credit (rank)	82	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.15	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	75.7	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	87	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.33	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	
Time (days)	161	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	
Getting electricity (rank)	45	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.57	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	110			
Cost (% of income per capita)	29.9			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8			
Registering property (rank)	29			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	80.04			
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	2.5			
Cost (% of property value)	6.1			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.5			
NEW ZEALAND		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	40,080
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	4,595,700
Starting a business (rank)	1	Getting credit (rank)	1	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	99.96	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	100.00	
Procedures (number)	1	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	12	
Time (days)	0.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	1	Protecting minority investors (rank)	1	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	87.40	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	83.33	
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	
Time (days)	93	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	
Building quality control index (0–15)	15.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	
Getting electricity (rank)	34	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.96	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	58			
Cost (% of income per capita)	76.0			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7			
Registering property (rank)	1			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	94.46			
Procedures (number)	2			
Time (days)	1			
Cost (% of property value)	0.1			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.0			
NICARAGUA		Latin America & Caribbean	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,940
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	6,082,032
Starting a business (rank)	128	Getting credit (rank)	101	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.00	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	
Cost (% of income per capita)	68.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	53.9	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	17.9	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	168	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	52.97	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	
Time (days)	207	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	
Building quality control index (0–15)	3.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	
Getting electricity (rank)	99	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	68.19	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	
Procedures (number)	6			
Time (days)	55			
Cost (% of income per capita)	904.2			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4			
Registering property (rank)	146			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	48.86			
Procedures (number)	9			
Time (days)	56			
Cost (% of property value)	5.0			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5			
✓ Reform making it easier to do business ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business				

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
NIGER		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	88	✓ Getting credit (rank)	139	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	132
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.16	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	60.48
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	51
Cost (% of income per capita)	32.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	48.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.3	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	179	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	39
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	46.40	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	543
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	112	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	156
Cost (% of warehouse value)	16.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	78
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	166	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	457
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	43.54	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	462
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	165	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	150
Time (days)	115	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	50.19	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	45.55
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,426.8	Payments (number per year)	41	Time (days)	545
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	270	Cost (% of claim)	52.6
Registering property (rank)	125	Total tax rate (% of profit)	48.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.98	Postfiling index (0–100)	30.16	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	105
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	40.36
Time (days)	35	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	5.0
Cost (% of property value)	9.0	Time to export		Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	22.7
NIGERIA		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.0
NIGERIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	138	✓ Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	181
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.62	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	19.93
Procedures (number)	8.7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
Time (days)	25.2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	131.4
Cost (% of income per capita)	31.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.7	Border compliance (hours)	135.4
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.1	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	174	Protecting minority investors (rank)	32	Documentary compliance (US\$)	250
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	49.63	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Border compliance (US\$)	785.7
Procedures (number)	16.1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	106.3	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	172.7
Cost (% of warehouse value)	23.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	283.7
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	180	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	564.3
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	29.43	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	1,076.8
Procedures (number)	9	Paying taxes (rank)	182	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	139
Time (days)	195.2	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	28.09	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.59
Cost (% of income per capita)	422.8	Payments (number per year)	59	Time (days)	509.8
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	907.9	Cost (% of claim)	57.7
Registering property (rank)	182	Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.3	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.7
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	31.44	Postfiling index (0–100)	17.19	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	140
Procedures (number)	12.1	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	30.60
Time (days)	69.6	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	10.5	Time to export		Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.3	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	27.8
NORWAY		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
NORWAY		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	21	Getting credit (rank)	75	Trading across borders (rank)	22
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.30	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	96.97
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	43	Protecting minority investors (rank)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.52	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Border compliance (US\$)	125
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	110.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	12	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.58	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	125
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	26	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	4
Time (days)	66	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	85.53	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	78.99
Cost (% of income per capita)	11.3	Payments (number per year)	4	Time (days)	280
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	83	Cost (% of claim)	9.9
Registering property (rank)	14	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	87.26	Postfiling index (0–100)	67.99	✓ Resolving insolvency (rank)	6
Procedures (number)	1	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	89.06
Time (days)	3	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	0.9
Cost (% of property value)	2.5	Time to export		Cost (% of estate)	1.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	20.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	92.9
Border compliance (hours)		Border compliance (US\$)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.5

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
OMAN		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		66	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	67.73	Population
✓ Starting a business (rank)	32	Getting credit (rank)	133	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	67
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.85	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	80.17
Procedures (number)	4.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	6.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	22
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	52
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	22.7	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	52	Protecting minority investors (rank)	118	Documentary compliance (US\$)	107
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.64	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	46.67	Border compliance (US\$)	223
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	157	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	23
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	70
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	69	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	20
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.22	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	354
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	12	Enforcing contracts (rank)	60
Time (days)	62	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	90.60	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	61.55
Cost (% of income per capita)	80.7	Payments (number per year)	15	Time (days)	598
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	68	Cost (% of claim)	13.5
Registering property (rank)	35	Total tax rate (% of profit)	23.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.95	Postfiling index (0–100)	85.32	Resolving insolvency (rank)	94
Procedures (number)	2	Protecting minority investors (rank)	27	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	42.65
Time (days)	16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Time (years)	4.0
Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Cost (% of estate)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.6
PAKISTAN		Getting credit (rank)	82	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Trading across borders (rank)	172
Starting a business (rank)	141	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	39.41
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.88	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Time to export	
Procedures (number)	12	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	5.8	Documentary compliance (hours)	59
Time (days)	18	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	9.4	Border compliance (hours)	75
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.4	Protecting minority investors (rank)	27	Cost to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Documentary compliance (US\$)	307.1
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	150	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	426.4
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.07	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Procedures (number)	15	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	147
Time (days)	264.2	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	129.3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	785.7
Getting electricity (rank)	170	Paying taxes (rank)	156	Border compliance (US\$)	957.1
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	42.05	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	53.40	Enforcing contracts (rank)	157
Procedures (number)	5.4	Payments (number per year)	47	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	43.49
Time (days)	180.7	Time (hours per year)	311.5	Time (days)	1,071.2
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,771.9	Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.3	Cost (% of claim)	20.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Postfiling index (0–100)	37.61	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.7
✓ Registering property (rank)	169	Protecting minority investors (rank)	179	Resolving insolvency (rank)	85
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	40.70	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.01
Procedures (number)	7.7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time (years)	2.6
Time (days)	154.8	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Cost (% of estate)	4.0
Cost (% of property value)	4.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0
PALAU		Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	163
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	46.22
Starting a business (rank)	120	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.95	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	168
Procedures (number)	8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	102
Time (days)	28	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.9	Protecting minority investors (rank)	179	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	7.7	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33	Border compliance (US\$)	505
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	98	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.38	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	168
Procedures (number)	19	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	84
Time (days)	72	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	143
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	605
Getting electricity (rank)	138	Paying taxes (rank)	118	Enforcing contracts (rank)	126
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	54.84	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	64.65	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	52.21
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	11	Time (days)	810
Time (days)	125	Time (hours per year)	142	Cost (% of claim)	35.3
Cost (% of income per capita)	65.2	Total tax rate (% of profit)	75.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Postfiling index (0–100)	NOT APPLICABLE	Resolving insolvency (rank)	166
Registering property (rank)	44	Protecting minority investors (rank)	179	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	16.38
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	75.16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33	Time (years)	2.0
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Cost (% of estate)	22.5
Time (days)	14	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	30.4
Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
PANAMA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	43	Getting credit (rank)	20		12,050
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.01	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	Population	3,929,141
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7		
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8		
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	58.1		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	73	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.31	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67		
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4		
Time (days)	98	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10		
Getting electricity (rank)	23	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	86.67	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	35				
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7				
Registering property (rank)	84	Paying taxes (rank)	170		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.17	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	48.09		
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	52		
Time (days)	22.5	Time (hours per year)	417		
Cost (% of property value)	2.4	Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.2		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	46.56		
PAPUA NEW GUINEA		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	2,112
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	119	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	57.29	Population	7,619,321
Starting a business (rank)	130	Getting credit (rank)	32		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.53	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00		
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	9		
Time (days)	41	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5		
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.1		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	126	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	63.89	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33		
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4		
Time (days)	217	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9		
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		
Getting electricity (rank)	103	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	65.50	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	66				
Cost (% of income per capita)	38.0				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	119	Paying taxes (rank)	94		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.27	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.40		
Procedures (number)	4	Payments (number per year)	32		
Time (days)	72	Time (hours per year)	207		
Cost (% of property value)	5.2	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	77.12		
PARAGUAY		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	4,220
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	106	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	59.03	Population	6,639,123
Starting a business (rank)	143	Getting credit (rank)	101		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.53	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2		
Time (days)	35	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	39.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	45.3		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	24.4		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	56	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.70	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67		
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6		
Time (days)	120	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Getting electricity (rank)	102	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	67.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	67				
Cost (% of income per capita)	152.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2				
Registering property (rank)	76	Paying taxes (rank)	153		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.12	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	54.64		
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	20		
Time (days)	46	Time (hours per year)	378		
Cost (% of property value)	1.9	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.0		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	10.22		
		Trading across borders (rank)	116		
		DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	64.03		
		Time to export			
		Documentary compliance (hours)	24		
		Border compliance (hours)	120		
		Cost to export			
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	120		
		Border compliance (US\$)	815		
		Time to import			
		Documentary compliance (hours)	36		
		Border compliance (hours)	48		
		Cost to import			
		Documentary compliance (US\$)	135		
		Border compliance (US\$)	500		
		Enforcing contracts	74		
		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.77		
		Time (days)	606		
		Cost (% of claim)	30.0		
		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5		
		Resolving insolvency (rank)	137		
		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	32.15		
		Time (years)	3.0		
		Cost (% of estate)	23.0		
		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	24.9		
		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
PERU		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		54	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	70.25	Population
Starting a business (rank)	103	Getting credit (rank)	16	Trading across borders (rank)	86
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.01	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	80.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.45
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	26	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	48
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	37.4	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	51	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.70	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	460
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Time (days)	174	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	62	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	80
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.06	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	583
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	105	Enforcing contracts (rank)	63
Time (days)	67	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.04	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.70
Cost (% of income per capita)	335.5	Payments (number per year)	9	Time (days)	426
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	260	Cost (% of claim)	35.7
Registering property (rank)	37	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.69	Postfiling index (0–100)	32.17	Resolving insolvency (rank)	79
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.85
Time (days)	6.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Time (years)	3.1
Cost (% of property value)	3.3	Border compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	7.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.0	Cost to export		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	30.0
PHILIPPINES		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	60.40	Philippines	3,540
Starting a business (rank)	171	Getting credit (rank)	118	Trading across borders (rank)	95
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	68.86	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.39
Procedures (number)	16	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	
Time (days)	28	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	10.2	Border compliance (hours)	42
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	3.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	85	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137	Documentary compliance (US\$)	53
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.45	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Border compliance (US\$)	456
Procedures (number)	24	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	98	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	1	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	22	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	50
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	86.90	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	580
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	115	Enforcing contracts (rank)	136
Time (days)	42	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	65.74	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	49.24
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.7	Payments (number per year)	28	Time (days)	842
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	185.6	Cost (% of claim)	31.0
Registering property (rank)	112	Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.54	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.77	Resolving insolvency (rank)	56
Procedures (number)	9	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	55.24
Time (days)	35	Documentary compliance (hours)		Time (years)	2.7
Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Border compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	32.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5	Cost to export		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	21.3
POLAND		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	14.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		OECD high income		Poland	13,370
Starting a business (rank)	107	Getting credit (rank)	20	Trading across borders (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.22	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
Time (days)	37	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	92.5	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	10.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	46	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.15	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	153	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	46	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.35	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	47	Enforcing contracts (rank)	55
Time (days)	122	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	82.73	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	63.44
Cost (% of income per capita)	19.0	Payments (number per year)	7	Time (days)	685
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	271	Cost (% of claim)	19.4
Registering property (rank)	38	Total tax rate (% of profit)	40.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	10.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.49	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.18	Resolving insolvency (rank)	27
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	76.37
Time (days)	33	Documentary compliance (hours)		Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	0.3	Border compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	19.5	Cost to export		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	60.6
		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	14.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
PORTUGAL		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		25	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	77.40	Population
Starting a business (rank)	32	Getting credit (rank)	101		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.85	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00		
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2		
Time (days)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	7.8		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	100.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	35	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.47	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67		
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6		
Time (days)	113	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7		
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Getting electricity (rank)	50	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.72	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	7				
Time (days)	41				
Cost (% of income per capita)	37.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
Registering property (rank)	27				
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	80.26				
Procedures (number)	1				
Time (days)	1				
Cost (% of property value)	7.3				
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0				
PUERTO RICO (U.S.)		Latin America & Caribbean		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		55	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	69.82	Population
Starting a business (rank)	51	Getting credit (rank)	7		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00		
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10		
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7		
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	131	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	62.17	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33		
Procedures (number)	20	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7		
Time (days)	165	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Getting electricity (rank)	65	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.55	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6		
Procedures (number)	5				
Time (days)	32				
Cost (% of income per capita)	354.1				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
Registering property (rank)	153	Paying taxes (rank)	135		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.29	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	59.82		
Procedures (number)	8	Payments (number per year)	16		
Time (days)	191	Time (hours per year)	218		
Cost (% of property value)	1.2	Total tax rate (% of profit)	62.3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	41.42		
QATAR		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		83	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	63.66	Population
Starting a business (rank)	91	Getting credit (rank)	139		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.06	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00		
Procedures (number)	8.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1		
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5		
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	30.5		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	21	Protecting minority investors (rank)	183		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	79.16	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67		
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	58	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2		
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Getting electricity (rank)	44	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	81.72	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	90				
Cost (% of income per capita)	10.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
Registering property (rank)	26	Paying taxes (rank)	1		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	81.06	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	99.44		
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	4		
Time (days)	13	Time (hours per year)	41		
Cost (% of property value)	0.3	Total tax rate (% of profit)	11.3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	NOT APPLICABLE		

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
ROMANIA		Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✗ Starting a business (rank)	62	Getting credit (rank)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.48	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	85.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Time (days)	12	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	51.1	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	16.8	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	95	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.67	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	20	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Time (days)	171	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	134	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	56.48	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	8	Paying taxes (rank)	50	Enforcing contracts (rank)	26
Time (days)	182	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	81.64	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	71.08
Cost (% of income per capita)	561.1	Payments (number per year)	14	Time (days)	512
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	161	Cost (% of claim)	28.9
Registering property (rank)	57	Total tax rate (% of profit)	38.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	14.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	71.11	Postfiling index (0–100)	79.62	Resolving insolvency (rank)	49
Procedures (number)	7	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	59.16
Time (days)	21	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	3.3
Cost (% of property value)	1.4	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	10.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.0	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.4
RUSSIAN FEDERATION		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
RUSSIAN FEDERATION		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Border compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	59.16
Starting a business (rank)	26	Time to import		Time (years)	3.3
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.57	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	10.5
Procedures (number)	3.7	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	34.4
Time (days)	9.8	Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	115	Time to import		Time (years)	2.0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.86	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of claim)	16.5
Procedures (number)	13.7	Border compliance (hours)		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
Time (days)	239.3	Cost to import		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.4	Documentary compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Border compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	2.0
Getting electricity (rank)	30	Time to import		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.37	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.6
Procedures (number)	3	Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Time (days)	160.5	Cost to export		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Cost (% of income per capita)	44.1	Documentary compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Border compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	2.0
Registering property (rank)	9	Time to import		Cost (% of claim)	16.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	90.55	Documentary compliance (hours)		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
Procedures (number)	3	Border compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Time (days)	15	Cost to export		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	2.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26	Border compliance (US\$)		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
RWANDA		Time to import		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.6
RWANDA		Documentary compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Border compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Starting a business (rank)	76	Cost to export		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.17	Documentary compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
Procedures (number)	5	Border compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	2.0
Time (days)	4	Time to import		Cost (% of claim)	16.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	48.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	158	Cost to export		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	55.40	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	2.0
Procedures (number)	15	Border compliance (US\$)		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Time (days)	113	Time to import		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.6
Cost (% of warehouse value)	42.4	Documentary compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Border compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Getting electricity (rank)	117	Cost to export		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.69	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	2.0
Procedures (number)	4	Border compliance (US\$)		Cost (% of claim)	16.5
Time (days)	34	Time to import		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,722.6	Documentary compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Border compliance (hours)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69
Registering property (rank)	4	Cost to export		Time (years)	2.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	92.67	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Procedures (number)	3	Border compliance (US\$)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.6
Time (days)	12	Time to import		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.5
Cost (% of property value)	0.1	Documentary compliance (hours)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.0	Border compliance (hours)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	56.69

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
SAMOA		East Asia & Pacific		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		89	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	62.17	Population
Starting a business (rank)	37	Getting credit (rank)	157		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.49	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00		
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5		
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	96	Protecting minority investors (rank)	63		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.63	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33		
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5		
Time (days)	58	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9		
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8		
Getting electricity (rank)	59	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.61	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	34				
Cost (% of income per capita)	641.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
Registering property (rank)	64	Paying taxes (rank)	71		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.12	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	76.93		
Procedures (number)	5	Payments (number per year)	37		
Time (days)	15	Time (hours per year)	224		
Cost (% of property value)	3.8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	18.5		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	91.42		
SAN MARINO					
SAN MARINO		Europe & Central Asia		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		79	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	64.11	Population
Starting a business (rank)	98	Getting credit (rank)	181		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.46	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	5.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1		
Time (days)	11.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	9.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	29.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	72	Protecting minority investors (rank)	162		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.43	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	36.67		
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	149.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8		
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7		
Getting electricity (rank)	11	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	90.63	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0		
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	45				
Cost (% of income per capita)	58.8				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6				
Registering property (rank)	80	Paying taxes (rank)	14		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.66	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	90.02		
Procedures (number)	9	Payments (number per year)	18		
Time (days)	42.5	Time (hours per year)	52		
Cost (% of property value)	4.1	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.4		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	98.62		
SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE					
SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		162	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	46.75	Population
Starting a business (rank)	35	Getting credit (rank)	185		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	92.56	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00		
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0		
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0		
Cost (% of income per capita)	15.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	121	Protecting minority investors (rank)	183		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	64.53	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	26.67		
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3		
Time (days)	104	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3		
Getting electricity (rank)	119	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	60.56	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1		
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	89				
Cost (% of income per capita)	827.2				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	161	Paying taxes (rank)	127		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.04	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	61.22		
Procedures (number)	7	Payments (number per year)	46		
Time (days)	62	Time (hours per year)	424		
Cost (% of property value)	9.0	Total tax rate (% of profit)	37.4		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	4.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.37		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
SAUDI ARABIA		Middle East & North Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	147	Getting credit (rank)	82	23,550	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.09	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Population	31,540,372
Procedures (number)	13.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Trading across borders (rank)	158
Time (days)	16.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	49.62
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	48.3	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	90
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	15	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	63	Border compliance (hours)	69
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.66	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	58.33	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	105
Time (days)	106	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	264
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	131
Getting electricity (rank)	28	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	228
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.81	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	69	Documentary compliance (US\$)	390
Time (days)	61	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.04	Border compliance (US\$)	779
Cost (% of income per capita)	31.4	Payments (number per year)	3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	105
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	67	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.07
Registering property (rank)	32	Total tax rate (% of profit)	15.7	Time (days)	575
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	78.51	Postfiling index (0–100)	10.94	Cost (% of claim)	27.5
Procedures (number)	3	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
Time (days)	3	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Cost (% of property value)	0.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
SENEGAL		Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Sub-Saharan Africa	Population	1,000
Starting a business (rank)	90	Getting credit (rank)	139	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,000
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.07	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	Population	15,129,273
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	130
Time (days)	6	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	60.85
Cost (% of income per capita)	62.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.6	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	4.7	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.6	Documentary compliance (hours)	26
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	139	Protecting minority investors (rank)	137	Border compliance (hours)	61
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.47	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	41.67	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	96
Time (days)	202	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Border compliance (US\$)	547
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Getting electricity (rank)	162	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	53
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.51	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	174	Documentary compliance (US\$)	545
Time (days)	75	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	43.70	Border compliance (US\$)	702
Cost (% of income per capita)	3,822.3	Payments (number per year)	58	Enforcing contracts (rank)	144
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	441	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.15
✓ Registering property (rank)	142	Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.1	Time (days)	740
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.60	Postfiling index (0–100)	54.32	Cost (% of claim)	36.4
Procedures (number)	5	Protecting minority investors (rank)	101	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
Time (days)	71	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.74	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Cost (% of property value)	10.2	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3.0	Time (years)	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	20.0	Cost (% of estate)	
SERBIA		Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	Europe & Central Asia	Population	5,500
Starting a business (rank)	47	Getting credit (rank)	44	GNI per capita (US\$)	5,500
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.67	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	Population	7,098,247
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	23
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	96.64
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	36	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	Border compliance (hours)	4
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	76.30	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	35
Time (days)	156	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	47
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	3
Getting electricity (rank)	92	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	4
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.93	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	78	Documentary compliance (US\$)	35
Time (days)	125	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	74.36	Border compliance (US\$)	52
Cost (% of income per capita)	235.8	Payments (number per year)	33	Enforcing contracts (rank)	61
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	225.5	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	61.41
✓ Registering property (rank)	56	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.7	Time (days)	635
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	71.31	Postfiling index (0–100)	94.00	Cost (% of claim)	40.8
Procedures (number)	6	Protecting minority investors (rank)	47	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0
Time (days)	21	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	59.66	Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Cost (% of property value)	2.8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2.0	Time (years)	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	16.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	20.0	Cost (% of estate)	
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)		Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	32.5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	32.5
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)		Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.5

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
SEYCHELLES		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	137	Getting credit (rank)	118	Trading across borders (rank)	84
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.64	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.79
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	32	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	44
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	82
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	146	Protecting minority investors (rank)	106	Documentary compliance (US\$)	115
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	60.22	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	332
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	151	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	33
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	97
Building quality control index (0–15)	4.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	140	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	93
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	54.69	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	341
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	32	Enforcing contracts (rank)	129
Time (days)	137	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.66	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	51.25
Cost (% of income per capita)	364.9	Payments (number per year)	29	Time (days)	915
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	2	Time (hours per year)	85	Cost (% of claim)	15.4
Registering property (rank)	66	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	68.67	Postfiling index (0–100)	93.19	Resolving insolvency (rank)	62
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	52.14
Time (days)	33	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	11.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.8
SIERRA LEONE		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	10.0
Sub-Saharan Africa		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Enforcing contracts (rank)	100
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Border compliance (US\$)		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	55.92
Population		Time to export		Time (days)	515
6,453,184		Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of claim)	39.5
Starting a business (rank)	87	Border compliance (hours)		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.48	Cost to export		Resolving insolvency (rank)	148
Procedures (number)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	27.76
Time (days)	10	Border compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	2.3
Cost (% of income per capita)	30.3	Time to import		Cost (% of estate)	42.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	10.9
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	132	Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	62.06	Cost to import			
Procedures (number)	16	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Time (days)	166	Border compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.8	Time to export			
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Getting electricity (rank)	176	Border compliance (hours)			
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	33.58	Cost to import			
Procedures (number)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Time (days)	82	Border compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of income per capita)	4,417.3	Time to export			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)			
Registering property (rank)	163	Border compliance (hours)			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.47	Cost to import			
Procedures (number)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Time (days)	56	Border compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of property value)	10.7	Time to export			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.5	Documentary compliance (hours)			
SINGAPORE		Border compliance (hours)			
East Asia & Pacific		Cost to import			
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Population		Border compliance (US\$)			
52,090		Time to export			
Starting a business (rank)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)			
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	96.49	Border compliance (hours)			
Procedures (number)	3	Cost to import			
Time (days)	2.5	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Border compliance (US\$)			
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Time to export			
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	10	Documentary compliance (hours)			
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	81.75	Border compliance (hours)			
Procedures (number)	9	Cost to import			
Time (days)	48	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	6.1	Border compliance (US\$)			
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Time to export			
Getting electricity (rank)	10	Documentary compliance (hours)			
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	91.32	Border compliance (hours)			
Procedures (number)	4	Cost to import			
Time (days)	30	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.8	Border compliance (US\$)			
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time to export			
Registering property (rank)	19	Documentary compliance (hours)			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	83.58	Border compliance (hours)			
Procedures (number)	6	Cost to import			
Time (days)	4.5	Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of property value)	2.9	Border compliance (US\$)			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	29.0	Time to export			
GNP per capita (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)			
Population		Border compliance (hours)			
5,535,002		Cost to import			
52,090		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Trading across borders		Border compliance (hours)			
(rank)		Cost to import			
41		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Border compliance (hours)			
89.30		Cost to import			
Time to export		Documentary compliance (hours)			
2		Border compliance (hours)			
Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import			
12		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Border compliance (hours)		Border compliance (US\$)			
37		Time to export			
335		Documentary compliance (hours)			
Border compliance (hours)		Border compliance (US\$)			
3		Time to import			
35		Documentary compliance (hours)			
Cost to import		Border compliance (hours)			
40		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Border compliance (US\$)		Border compliance (US\$)			
220		Time to export			
Enforcing contracts		Documentary compliance (hours)			
(rank)		Border compliance (hours)			
2		Cost to import			
DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
83.61		Border compliance (hours)			
Time (days)		Cost to import			
164		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Cost (% of claim)		Border compliance (hours)			
25.8		Cost to import			
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
15.0		Border compliance (hours)			
Resolving insolvency		Cost to import			
(rank)		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
29		Border compliance (hours)			
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)		Cost to import			
74.31		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Time (years)		Border compliance (hours)			
0.8		Cost to import			
Cost (% of estate)		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
4.0		Border compliance (hours)			
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)		Cost to import			
88.7		Documentary compliance (US\$)			
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)		Border compliance (hours)			
8.5		Cost to import			

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

✓ Reform making it easier to do business ✗ Change making it more difficult to do business

SLOVAK REPUBLIC		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	17,310
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	5,424,050
Starting a business (rank)	68	Getting credit (rank)	44	✓ Reform making it easier to do business
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.62	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	
Time (days)	11.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	76.4	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	17.8	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.1	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	103	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.82	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	
Time (days)	286	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	
Getting electricity (rank)	53	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	80.31	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	56	
Time (days)	121	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.57	
Cost (% of income per capita)	52.6	Payments (number per year)	8	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	192	
Registering property (rank)	7	Total tax rate (% of profit)	51.6	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	91.00	Postfiling index (0–100)	89.91	
Procedures (number)	3			
Time (days)	16.5			
Cost (% of property value)	0.0			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	26.5			
SLOVENIA		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	22,610
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	2,063,768
Starting a business (rank)	49	Getting credit (rank)	133	✓ Reform making it easier to do business
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.42	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	35.00	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	40.6	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	3.1	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	80	Protecting minority investors (rank)	9	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.32	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	
Procedures (number)	12	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	
Time (days)	224.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	
Getting electricity (rank)	16	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	89.15	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	24	
Time (days)	38	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	86.55	
Cost (% of income per capita)	109.8	Payments (number per year)	10	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	245	
Registering property (rank)	34	Total tax rate (% of profit)	31.0	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	77.05	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.03	
Procedures (number)	5			
Time (days)	49.5			
Cost (% of property value)	2.0			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.5			
SOLOMON ISLANDS		East Asia & Pacific	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,940
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	583,591
Starting a business (rank)	97	Getting credit (rank)	82	✓ Reform making it easier to do business
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.48	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	✗ Change making it more difficult to do business
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	
Cost (% of income per capita)	28.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	1.1	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	63	Protecting minority investors (rank)	106	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	72.76	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	
Time (days)	98	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	
Getting electricity (rank)	80	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.53	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	39	
Time (days)	53	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.58	
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,253.7	Payments (number per year)	34	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	Time (hours per year)	80	
Registering property (rank)	152	Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.0	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.38	Postfiling index (0–100)	99.08	
Procedures (number)	10			
Time (days)	86.5			
Cost (% of property value)	4.7			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.0			

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
SOMALIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	184	Getting credit (rank)	185	Trading across borders (rank)	156
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	48.71	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	51.60
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	73
Time (days)	70	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Border compliance (hours)	44
Cost (% of income per capita)	176.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	350
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	495
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	187	Protecting minority investors (rank)	190	Border compliance (US\$)	300
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	0.00	Time to import	85
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	76
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (hours)	952
Cost (% of warehouse value)	NO PRACTICE	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	0	Cost to import	300
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	4.5
Getting electricity (rank)	188	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (US\$)	952
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	109
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Paying taxes (rank)	190	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.58
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	0.00	Time (days)	575
Cost (% of income per capita)	NO PRACTICE	Payments (number per year)	NO PRACTICE	Cost (% of claim)	21.4
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	NO PRACTICE	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
Registering property (rank)	148	Total tax rate (% of profit)	NO PRACTICE	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.97	Postfiling index (0–100)	NO PRACTICE	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Procedures (number)	5	Getting credit (rank)	62	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	188	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of property value)	1.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
SOUTH AFRICA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	6,050
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	74	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	65.20	Population	54,956,920
Starting a business (rank)	131	Getting credit (rank)	62	Trading across borders (rank)	139
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.47	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	58.01
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	68
Time (days)	43	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	100
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	63.7	Border compliance (hours)	170
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	428
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	99	Protecting minority investors (rank)	22	Documentary compliance (US\$)	36
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.21	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (US\$)	144
Procedures (number)	19	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	213
Time (days)	141	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	657
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	657
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Enforcing contracts (rank)	113
Getting electricity (rank)	111	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.10
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.18	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Time (days)	600
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	51	Cost (% of claim)	33.2
Time (days)	84	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	81.09	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	156.1	Payments (number per year)	7	Resolving insolvency (rank)	50
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	203	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	57.94
Registering property (rank)	105	Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.8	Time (years)	2.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.03	Postfiling index (0–100)	58.61	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Procedures (number)	7	Getting credit (rank)	175	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.1
Time (days)	23	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.5
Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	SOUTH SUDAN	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	186	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	GNI per capita (US\$)	790
Starting a business (rank)	181	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Population	12,339,812
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	53.96	Getting credit (rank)	175	Trading across borders (rank)	177
Procedures (number)	13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	26.19
Time (days)	14	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	192
Cost (% of income per capita)	422.4	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	146
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	194
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	178	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	763
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	47.68	Protecting minority investors (rank)	179	Documentary compliance (US\$)	360
Procedures (number)	23	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33	Border compliance (US\$)	179
Time (days)	124	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	350
Cost (% of warehouse value)	11.1	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	781
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	781
Getting electricity (rank)	188	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Enforcing contracts (rank)	73
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.91
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Time (days)	228
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Paying taxes (rank)	68	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	NO PRACTICE	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.09	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Payments (number per year)	37	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Registering property (rank)	181	Time (hours per year)	210	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	31.64	Total tax rate (% of profit)	29.1	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Procedures (number)	9	Postfiling index (0–100)	94.04	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	50	Getting credit (rank)	175	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Cost (% of property value)	15.9	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.0	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	SOMALIA	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	190	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Starting a business (rank)	184	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	GNI per capita (US\$)	510
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	48.71	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Population	10,787,104
Procedures (number)	9	Getting credit (rank)	185	Trading across borders (rank)	156
Time (days)	70	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	51.60
Cost (% of income per capita)	176.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	73
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	44
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	187	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	44
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	350
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Protecting minority investors (rank)	190	Documentary compliance (US\$)	495
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	0.00	Border compliance (US\$)	495
Cost (% of warehouse value)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	76
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	85
Getting electricity (rank)	188	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (hours)	85
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	0	Cost to import	300
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	952
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	0	Border compliance (US\$)	952
Cost (% of income per capita)	NO PRACTICE	Paying taxes (rank)	190	Enforcing contracts (rank)	109
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.58
Registering property (rank)	148	Payments (number per year)	NO PRACTICE	Time (days)	575
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.97	Time (hours per year)	NO PRACTICE	Cost (% of claim)	21.4
Procedures (number)	5	Total tax rate (% of profit)	NO PRACTICE	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
Time (days)	188	Postfiling index (0–100)	NO PRACTICE	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Cost (% of property value)	1.5	Getting credit (rank)	185	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
SOUTH AFRICA		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	74	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Starting a business (rank)	131	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.47	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	SOMALIA	
Procedures (number)	7	Getting credit (rank)	62	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Time (days)	43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	GNI per capita (US\$)	510
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Population	10,787,104
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	156
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	99	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	63.7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	51.60
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.21	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Time to export	73
Procedures (number)	19	Protecting minority investors (rank)	22	Documentary compliance (hours)	44
Time (days)	141	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (hours)	44
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Cost to export	350
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	495
Getting electricity (rank)	111	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	495
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.18	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Time to import	76
Procedures (number)	4	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	85
Time (days)	84	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	952
Cost (% of income per capita)	156.1	Paying taxes (rank)	51	Cost to import	300
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	81.09	Documentary compliance (US\$)	952
Registering property (rank)	105	Payments (number per year)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	952
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.03	Time (hours per year)	203	Time to import	213
Procedures (number)	7	Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	657
Time (days)	23	Postfiling index (0–100)	58.61	Border compliance (US\$)	657
Cost (% of property value)	7.3	Getting credit (rank)	175	Enforcing contracts (rank)	113
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.10
SOUTH SUDAN		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time (days)	600
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	186	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Cost (% of claim)	33.2
Starting a business (rank)	181	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	53.96	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	50
Procedures (number)	13	Getting credit (rank)	175	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	57.94
Time (days)	14	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	Time (years)	2.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	422.4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.1
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	178	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.5
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	47.68	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	SOMALIA	
Procedures (number)	23	Protecting minority investors (rank)	179	Sub-Saharan Africa	
Time (days)	124	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	28.33	GNI per capita (US\$)	790
Cost (% of warehouse value)	11.1	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Population	12,339,812
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Trading across borders (rank)	177
Getting electricity (rank)	188	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	26.19
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Time to export	192
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	146
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	194
Cost (% of income per capita)	NO PRACTICE	Paying taxes (rank)	68	Cost to export	763
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	77.09	Documentary compliance (US\$)	360
Registering property (rank)	181	Payments (number per year)	37	Border compliance (US\$)	179
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	31.64	Time (hours per year)	210	Time to import	350
Procedures (number)	9	Total tax rate (% of profit)	29.1	Documentary compliance (hours)	781
Time (days)	50	Postfiling index (0–100)	94.04	Border compliance (hours)	781
Cost (% of property value)	15.9	Getting credit (rank)	175	Enforcing contracts (rank)	73
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.0	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.91
SOUTH AFRICA		Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time (days)	228
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	74	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
Starting a business (rank)	131	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	80.47	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	7	Getting credit (rank)	62	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	43	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.2	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	99	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	63.7	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.21				

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
SPAIN		OECD high income		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		32	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	75.73	Population
Starting a business (rank)	85	Getting credit (rank)	62	Trading across borders (rank)	1
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.61	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	100.00
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	13	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of income per capita)	5.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	17.9	Border compliance (hours)	0
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	12.9	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	49.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	113	Protecting minority investors (rank)	32	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	65.95	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	65.00	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	205	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	1
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	0
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	10	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	78	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.99	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	7	Paying taxes (rank)	37	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	29
Time (days)	107	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	83.80	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	69.48
Cost (% of income per capita)	216.1	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	510
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	152	Cost (% of claim)	18.5
Registering property (rank)	50	Total tax rate (% of profit)	49.0	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.88	Postfiling index (0–100)	92.55	Resolving insolvency (rank)	18
Procedures (number)	5	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	79.62
Time (days)	12.5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	6.1	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	11.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	22.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	78.3
SRI LANKA		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		South Asia	58.79	GNI per capita (US\$)	
Starting a business (rank)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	58.79	Population	
Starting a business (rank)	74	Getting credit (rank)	118	Trading across borders (rank)	90
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.52	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	70.70
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	76
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	57.2	Border compliance (hours)	43
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	88	Protecting minority investors (rank)	42	Documentary compliance (US\$)	58
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	69.31	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	63.33	Border compliance (US\$)	366
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	115	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	58
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	86	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	283
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	300
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	158	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	163
Time (days)	100	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	53.16	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	39.31
Cost (% of income per capita)	732.1	Payments (number per year)	47	Time (days)	1,318
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	179	Cost (% of claim)	22.8
Registering property (rank)	155	Total tax rate (% of profit)	55.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	46.76	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.85	Resolving insolvency (rank)	75
Procedures (number)	9	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	46.73
Time (days)	51	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.7
Cost (% of property value)	5.1	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	3.5	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	46.2
ST. KITTS AND NEVIS		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Latin America & Caribbean	53.96	GNI per capita (US\$)	
Starting a business (rank)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	53.96	Population	
Starting a business (rank)	92	Getting credit (rank)	157	Trading across borders (rank)	72
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.75	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	79.26
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	18.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	7.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	27
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	41	Protecting minority investors (rank)	102	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.68	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	335
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	104	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	33
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	37
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	90	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.09	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	311
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	143	✓ Enforcing contracts (rank)	46
Time (days)	18	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	57.86	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	65.51
Cost (% of income per capita)	241.0	Payments (number per year)	39	Time (days)	578
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	203	Cost (% of claim)	26.6
Registering property (rank)	184	Total tax rate (% of profit)	49.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	28.79	Postfiling index (0–100)	49.54	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	224	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of property value)	11.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.0	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
ST. LUCIA		Latin America & Caribbean		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	66	Getting credit (rank)	157	Trading across borders (rank)	81
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.80	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	73.87
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	19
Time (days)	11	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Border compliance (hours)	27
Cost (% of income per capita)	21.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	63
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	718
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	54	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Border compliance (US\$)	63
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.54	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Time to import	14
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	27
Time (days)	116	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	98
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	98
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	842
Getting electricity (rank)	56	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Enforcing contracts (rank)	71
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.78	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.94
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	65	Time (days)	635
Time (days)	26	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	78.09	Cost (% of claim)	37.3
Cost (% of income per capita)	193.1	Payments (number per year)	35	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	110	Resolving insolvency (rank)	114
Registering property (rank)	104	Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.7	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	38.79
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	59.16	Postfiling index (0–100)	87.24	Time (years)	2.0
Procedures (number)	9	Trading across borders (rank)	88	Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Time (days)	17	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.08	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	43.0
Cost (% of property value)	7.6	Time to export	72	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	28		
ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	Latin America & Caribbean	GNP per capita (US\$)	6,670	Cost to export	80
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	125	Population	109,462	Documentary compliance (US\$)	425
Starting a business (rank)	83	Getting credit (rank)	157	Border compliance (US\$)	425
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.78	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	25.00	Time to import	24
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Border compliance (hours)	48
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Cost to import	90
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)	875
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	55	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Enforcing contracts (rank)	53
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	74.42	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	63.66
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time (days)	595
Time (days)	92	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	8	Cost (% of claim)	30.3
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	11.5
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Getting electricity (rank)	85	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.13	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	98	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	52	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	70.56	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	56.6	Payments (number per year)	36	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	108		
Registering property (rank)	165	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.3		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	43.10	Postfiling index (0–100)	65.07		
Procedures (number)	7	Trading across borders (rank)	88		
Time (days)	47	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.08		
Cost (% of property value)	11.8	Time to export	72		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	28		
SUDAN	Sub-Saharan Africa	GNP per capita (US\$)	1,840	Cost to export	428
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	168	Population	40,234,882	Documentary compliance (US\$)	428
Starting a business (rank)	156	Getting credit (rank)	170	Border compliance (US\$)	950
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	73.78	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00	Time to import	132
Procedures (number)	10.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Border compliance (hours)	144
Time (days)	36.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Cost to import	420
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	2.1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	420
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)	1,093
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	145	Protecting minority investors (rank)	187	Enforcing contracts (rank)	147
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	60.52	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	21.67	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	46.91
Procedures (number)	15	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	Time (days)	810
Time (days)	270	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Cost (% of claim)	19.8
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.5
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	3	Resolving insolvency (rank)	153
Getting electricity (rank)	113	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	26.45
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	62.10	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Time (years)	2.0
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	141	Cost (% of estate)	20.0
Time (days)	70	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	58.39	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	31.7
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,686.8	Payments (number per year)	42	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	3.0
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	Time (hours per year)	180		
Registering property (rank)	89	Total tax rate (% of profit)	45.4		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.61	Postfiling index (0–100)	46.56		
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	184		
Time (days)	11	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	19.16		
Cost (% of property value)	2.6	Time to export	190		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	162		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
SURINAME		Latin America & Caribbean		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	185	Getting credit (rank)	175	Trading across borders (rank)	78
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	47.82	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	10.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	75.02
Procedures (number)	13.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	12
Time (days)	84.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	84
Cost (% of income per capita)	101.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	112	Protecting minority investors (rank)	165	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	66.43	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	35.00	Border compliance (US\$)	468
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	1	Time to import	
Time (days)	223	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	48
Building quality control index (0–15)	6.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	84	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	40
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.51	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	658
Procedures (number)	4				
Time (days)	113				
Cost (% of income per capita)	484.4				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4				
Registering property (rank)	176	Paying taxes (rank)	103	Enforcing contracts (rank)	187
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	37.52	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.44	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	25.94
Procedures (number)	6	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	1,715
Time (days)	106	Time (hours per year)	199	Cost (% of claim)	37.1
Cost (% of property value)	13.7	Total tax rate (% of profit)	27.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	3.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	48.39		
SWAZILAND		Sub-Saharan Africa		Resolving insolvency (rank)	129
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	111	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	58.34	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	34.14
Starting a business (rank)	154	Getting credit (rank)	82	Time (years)	5.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	74.32	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	Cost (% of estate)	30.0
Procedures (number)	12	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	8.3
Time (days)	30	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	46.1		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	91	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.96	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33		
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2		
Time (days)	116	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.6	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6		
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6		
Getting electricity (rank)	157	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	47.28	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3		
Procedures (number)	6				
Time (days)	137				
Cost (% of income per capita)	739.9				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0				
Registering property (rank)	117	Paying taxes (rank)	76	Enforcing contracts (rank)	175
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.73	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	74.65	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	33.94
Procedures (number)	9	Payments (number per year)	33	Time (days)	956
Time (days)	21	Time (hours per year)	122	Cost (% of claim)	56.1
Cost (% of property value)	7.1	Total tax rate (% of profit)	35.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	Postfiling index (0–100)	72.54		
SWEDEN		OECD high income		Resolving insolvency (rank)	95
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	9	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	82.13	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	42.47
Starting a business (rank)	15	Getting credit (rank)	75	Time (years)	2.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.64	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	55.00	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Procedures (number)	3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.3
Time (days)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	11.5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	25	Protecting minority investors (rank)	19		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.85	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	71.67		
Procedures (number)	7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8		
Time (days)	116	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7		
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9		
Getting electricity (rank)	6	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	8		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	96.20	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7		
Procedures (number)	3				
Time (days)	52				
Cost (% of income per capita)	32.3				
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8				
Registering property (rank)	10	Paying taxes (rank)	28	Enforcing contracts (rank)	22
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	90.11	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	85.28	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	72.04
Procedures (number)	1	Payments (number per year)	6	Time (days)	321
Time (days)	7	Time (hours per year)	122	Cost (% of claim)	30.4
Cost (% of property value)	4.3	Total tax rate (% of profit)	49.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	27.5	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.75	Resolving insolvency (rank)	19
				DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	79.44
				Time (years)	2.0
				Cost (% of estate)	9.0
				Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	77.9
				Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
SWITZERLAND		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	84,180	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	8,286,976	
Starting a business (rank)	71	Getting credit (rank)	62	Trading across borders (rank)	37
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.39	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	91.79
Procedures (number)	6	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	25.8	Border compliance (hours)	1
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	25.3	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	68	Protecting minority investors (rank)	106	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	71.74	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	201
Procedures (number)	13	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	0	Time to import	
Time (days)	156	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.7	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	1
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	7	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	75
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	94.41	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	201
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	18	Enforcing contracts (rank)	39
Time (days)	39	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	88.49	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.10
Cost (% of income per capita)	58.9	Payments (number per year)	19	Time (days)	420
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7	Time (hours per year)	63	Cost (% of claim)	24.0
Registering property (rank)	16	Total tax rate (% of profit)	28.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	86.12	Postfiling index (0–100)	86.56	Resolving insolvency (rank)	45
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	62.61
Time (days)	16	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	0.3	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Cost (% of estate)	4.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	46.6
SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	12.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	173	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.2		
Starting a business (rank)	136	Getting credit (rank)	170	Trading across borders (rank)	176
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	78.93	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	15.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	29.83
Procedures (number)	7.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	15.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Cost (% of income per capita)	8.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	84
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	106.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	7.2	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	187	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Documentary compliance (US\$)	725
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	0.00	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	1,113
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	149
Cost (% of warehouse value)	NO PRACTICE	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	141
Building quality control index (0–15)	0.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	151	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	742
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	51.79	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	828
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	81	Enforcing contracts (rank)	159
Time (days)	146	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	73.51	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	42.58
Cost (% of income per capita)	312.4	Payments (number per year)	20	Time (days)	872
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	336	Cost (% of claim)	29.3
Registering property (rank)	154	Total tax rate (% of profit)	42.7	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	46.88	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.37	Resolving insolvency (rank)	161
Procedures (number)	4	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	22.44
Time (days)	48	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	4.1
Cost (% of property value)	27.9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Cost (% of estate)	16.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	12.7
TAIWAN, CHINA		Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	97.1	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	11	Getting credit (rank)	62		
Starting a business (rank)	19	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Trading across borders (rank)	68
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.42	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	80.11
Procedures (number)	3	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	10	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	97.1	Documentary compliance (hours)	31
Cost (% of income per capita)	2.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	17
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Protecting minority investors (rank)	22	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	3	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Documentary compliance (US\$)	84
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	86.30	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	335
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	93	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	41
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.4	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	47
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	2	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	90
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	99.44	Paying taxes (rank)	30	Border compliance (US\$)	389
Procedures (number)	3	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	84.78	Enforcing contracts (rank)	14
Time (days)	22	Payments (number per year)	11	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	73.49
Cost (% of income per capita)	41.3	Time (hours per year)	221	Time (days)	510
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Total tax rate (% of profit)	34.5	Cost (% of claim)	17.7
Registering property (rank)	17	Postfiling index (0–100)	90.82	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	83.89	Trading across borders (rank)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	22
Procedures (number)	3	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	78.46
Time (days)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time (years)	1.9
Cost (% of property value)	6.2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	2	Cost (% of estate)	4.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	28.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	97.1	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	81.9
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)		Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
TAJIKISTAN		Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✗ Starting a business (rank)	85	Getting credit (rank)	118	Trading across borders (rank)	144
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.61	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	57.05
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Time to export	
Time (days)	22	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	66
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.8	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	35.8	Border compliance (hours)	75
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	162	Protecting minority investors (rank)	27	Documentary compliance (US\$)	330
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.84	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	313
Procedures (number)	27	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	242	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	126
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	108
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	9	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	173	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	260
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	35.21	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	223
Procedures (number)	9	Paying taxes (rank)	140	Enforcing contracts (rank)	54
Time (days)	133	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	58.79	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	63.49
Cost (% of income per capita)	742.5	Payments (number per year)	12	Time (days)	430
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	258	Cost (% of claim)	25.5
Registering property (rank)	97	Total tax rate (% of profit)	65.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.00	Postfiling index (0–100)	41.75	Resolving insolvency (rank)	144
Procedures (number)	6	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	28.70
Time (days)	37	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Time (years)	1.7
Cost (% of property value)	2.7	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	35.9
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	3.0
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
TANZANIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	135	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	180
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	79.14	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	20.21
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	26	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of income per capita)	21.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.5	Border compliance (hours)	96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	136	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	275
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.69	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	1,160
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Time to import	
Time (days)	205	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	240
Cost (% of warehouse value)	5.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	402
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	87	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (US\$)	375
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	70.52	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	1,350
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	154	Enforcing contracts (rank)	59
Time (days)	109	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	54.13	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	61.66
Cost (% of income per capita)	948.0	Payments (number per year)	53	Time (days)	515
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	195	Cost (% of claim)	14.3
Registering property (rank)	132	Total tax rate (% of profit)	43.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	51.37	Postfiling index (0–100)	47.94	Resolving insolvency (rank)	100
Procedures (number)	8	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	41.04
Time (days)	67	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Time (years)	3.0
Cost (% of property value)	4.4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	21.1
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		
THAILAND		East Asia & Pacific		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	78	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	56
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	87.01	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	84.10
Procedures (number)	5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	
Time (days)	25.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	11
Cost (% of income per capita)	6.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	53.0	Border compliance (hours)	51
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	42	Protecting minority investors (rank)	27	Documentary compliance (US\$)	97
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.65	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	66.67	Border compliance (US\$)	223
Procedures (number)	17	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	
Time (days)	103	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	50
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	37	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	43
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.22	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	233
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	109	Enforcing contracts (rank)	51
Time (days)	37	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	68.68	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	64.54
Cost (% of income per capita)	42.5	Payments (number per year)	21	Time (days)	440
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	266	Cost (% of claim)	19.5
Registering property (rank)	68	Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	68.34	Postfiling index (0–100)	47.32	Resolving insolvency (rank)	23
Procedures (number)	4	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	77.08
Time (days)	6	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Time (years)	1.5
Cost (% of property value)	7.4	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	2	Cost (% of estate)	18.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	67.7
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	13.0
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4		
Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	2		
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
TIMOR-LESTE		East Asia & Pacific	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,920	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	1,245,015	
Starting a business (rank)	145	Getting credit (rank)	167	Trading across borders (rank)	94
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	77.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	20.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.90
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Time to export	
Time (days)	9	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	33
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	96
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	260.1	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	5.6	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	159	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	55.31	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	350
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Time (days)	207	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	44
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	100
Building quality control index (0–15)	3.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	112	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	115
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	63.09	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	410
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	130	Enforcing contracts (rank)	190
Time (days)	93	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.55	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	6.13
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,218.0	Payments (number per year)	18	Time (days)	1,285
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	276	Cost (% of claim)	163.2
Registering property (rank)	187	Total tax rate (% of profit)	11.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	2.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	0.00	Postfiling index (0–100)	2.29	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Sub-Saharan Africa	GNI per capita (US\$)	540	
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	7,304,578	
Cost (% of property value)	NO PRACTICE	Getting credit (rank)	139	Trading across borders (rank)	117
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	NO PRACTICE	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	30.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	63.66
TOGO	154	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Starting a business (rank)	123	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Documentary compliance (hours)	11
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.71	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	67
Procedures (number)	5	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.5	Cost to export	
Time (days)	6	Protecting minority investors (rank)	145	Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
Cost (% of income per capita)	71.2	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	40.00	Border compliance (US\$)	163
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	34.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	180	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (hours)	180
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	45.09	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	168
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Time (days)	163	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (US\$)	252
Cost (% of warehouse value)	14.6	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	612
Building quality control index (0–15)	2.5	Paying taxes (rank)	169	Enforcing contracts (rank)	145
Getting electricity (rank)	147	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	48.22	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.10
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	52.78	Payments (number per year)	49	Time (days)	488
Procedures (number)	3	Time (hours per year)	216	Cost (% of claim)	47.5
Time (days)	66	Total tax rate (% of profit)	48.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	5.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	5,508.3	Postfiling index (0–100)	27.79	Resolving insolvency (rank)	87
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	TONGA	GNI per capita (US\$)	4,067	
Registering property (rank)	183	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	106,170	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	31.40	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	100
Procedures (number)	5	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	68.20
Time (days)	283	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	10	Time to export	
Cost (% of property value)	9.2	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	168
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	6.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	17.3	Border compliance (hours)	52
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	14	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.96	Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Documentary compliance (US\$)	70
Procedures (number)	13	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Border compliance (US\$)	201
Time (days)	77	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.9	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (hours)	26
Getting electricity (rank)	67	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	2	Cost to import	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	76.29	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	148
Procedures (number)	5	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	330
Time (days)	42	Paying taxes (rank)	80	Enforcing contracts (rank)	92
Cost (% of income per capita)	85.9	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	73.76	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	57.32
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Payments (number per year)	30	Time (days)	350
Registering property (rank)	158	Time (hours per year)	200	Cost (% of claim)	30.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	44.64	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.5
Procedures (number)	4	Postfiling index (0–100)	68.90	Resolving insolvency (rank)	132
Time (days)	112	COUNTRY TABLES	GNI per capita (US\$)	4,067	
Cost (% of property value)	15.1	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	106,170	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.0	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	100

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO		Latin America & Caribbean		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	69	Getting credit (rank)	44	Trading across borders (rank)	123
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	88.59	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	62.60
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
Time (days)	10.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	32
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	72.3	Border compliance (hours)	60
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	149	Protecting minority investors (rank)	53	Documentary compliance (US\$)	250
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.21	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	60.00	Border compliance (US\$)	499
Procedures (number)	16	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	253	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	44
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	78
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	31	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	250
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	84.36	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	635
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	145	Enforcing contracts (rank)	168
Time (days)	61	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	57.33	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	36.55
Cost (% of income per capita)	177.4	Payments (number per year)	39	Time (days)	1,340
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	210	Cost (% of claim)	33.5
Registering property (rank)	150	Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.2	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	47.51	Postfiling index (0–100)	22.67	Resolving insolvency (rank)	70
Procedures (number)	9	Trading across borders (rank)	92		
Time (days)	77	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	70.50		
Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Time to export			
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	12.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
TUNISIA		Border compliance (hours)	50		
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Cost to export			
Starting a business (rank)	103	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200		
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	85.01	Border compliance (US\$)	469		
Procedures (number)	9	Time to import			
Time (days)	11	Documentary compliance (hours)	27		
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.7	Border compliance (hours)	80		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost to import			
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	59	Documentary compliance (US\$)	144		
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	73.34	Border compliance (US\$)	596		
Procedures (number)	17	Enforcing contracts (rank)	76		
Time (days)	93	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	59.33		
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.5	Time (days)	565		
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Cost (% of claim)	21.8		
Getting electricity (rank)	40	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0		
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.32	Resolving insolvency (rank)	58		
Procedures (number)	4	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	54.53		
Time (days)	65	Time (years)	1.3		
Cost (% of income per capita)	696.6	Cost (% of estate)	7.0		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	52.0		
Registering property (rank)	92	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.5		
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	63.22	TURKEY			
Procedures (number)	4	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		GNP per capita (US\$)	
Time (days)	39	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Cost (% of property value)	6.1	67.19		78,665,830	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	11.0	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	70
Starting a business (rank)	79	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	79.71
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.98	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	3	Time to export	
Procedures (number)	7	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	5
Time (days)	6.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Border compliance (hours)	16
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.4	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	76.6	Cost to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	10.2	Protecting minority investors (rank)	22	Documentary compliance (US\$)	87
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	102	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (US\$)	376
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.86	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import	
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	11
Time (days)	103	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	41
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	142
Getting electricity (rank)	58	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	655
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.66	Paying taxes (rank)	128	Enforcing contracts (rank)	33
Procedures (number)	4	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.83	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	68.87
Time (days)	63	Payments (number per year)	11	Time (days)	580
Cost (% of income per capita)	617.3	Time (hours per year)	216.5	Cost (% of claim)	24.9
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.1	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0
Registering property (rank)	54	Postfiling index (0–100)	3.90	Resolving insolvency (rank)	126
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.01	Trading across borders (rank)	92		
Procedures (number)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	70.50		
Time (days)	7	Time to export			
Cost (% of property value)	4.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5	Border compliance (hours)	50		
Europe & Central Asia		Cost to export			
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	200		
Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Border compliance (US\$)	469		
67.19		Time to import			
Population		Documentary compliance (hours)	27		
78,665,830		Border compliance (hours)	80		
Starting a business (rank)	79	Cost to import			
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	86.98	Documentary compliance (US\$)	144		
Procedures (number)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	596		
Time (days)	6.5	Time to export			
Cost (% of income per capita)	16.4	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	10.2	Border compliance (hours)	50		
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	102	Cost to export			
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	67.86	Documentary compliance (US\$)	87		
Procedures (number)	18	Border compliance (US\$)	376		
Time (days)	103	Time to import			
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	11		
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.5	Border compliance (hours)	41		
Getting electricity (rank)	58	Cost to import			
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	79.66	Documentary compliance (US\$)	142		
Procedures (number)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	655		
Time (days)	63	Time to export			
Cost (% of income per capita)	617.3	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Border compliance (hours)	50		
Registering property (rank)	54	Cost to import			
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	73.01	Documentary compliance (US\$)	144		
Procedures (number)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	596		
Time (days)	7	Time to export			
Cost (% of property value)	4.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.5	Border compliance (hours)	50		
ENFORCEMENT		Cost to import			
Getting credit (rank)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	87		
DTF score for getting credit (0–100)		Border compliance (US\$)	376		
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)		Time to export			
Depth of credit information index (0–8)		Documentary compliance (hours)	5		
Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)		Border compliance (hours)	16		
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)		Cost to export			
Protecting minority investors (rank)	22	Documentary compliance (US\$)	87		
DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	70.00	Border compliance (US\$)	376		
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	9	Time to import			
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	11		
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	41		
Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import			
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (US\$)	142		
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	655		
Paying taxes (rank)	128	Time to export			
DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	60.83	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
Payments (number per year)	11	Border compliance (hours)	50		
Time (hours per year)	216.5	Cost to import			
Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.1	Documentary compliance (US\$)	142		
Postfiling index (0–100)	3.90	Border compliance (US\$)	655		
Enforcing contracts (rank)	33	Time to export			
DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	68.87	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
Time (days)	580	Border compliance (hours)	50		
Cost (% of claim)	24.9	Cost to import			
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	142		
Resolving insolvency (rank)	126	Border compliance (US\$)	655		
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	34.98	Time to export			
Time (years)	4.5	Documentary compliance (hours)	3		
Cost (% of estate)	14.5	Border compliance (hours)	50		
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.5	Cost to import			
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)	142		

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
UGANDA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
✓ Starting a business (rank)	165	Getting credit (rank)	44	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	136
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	71.30	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	58.90
Procedures (number)	13	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Time to export	
Time (days)	26	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	64
Cost (% of income per capita)	37.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	6.6	Border compliance (hours)	71
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	151	Protecting minority investors (rank)	106	Documentary compliance (US\$)	102
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	57.19	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Border compliance (US\$)	287
Procedures (number)	18	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Time (days)	122	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	138
Cost (% of warehouse value)	9.0	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	154
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	161	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	296
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	44.78	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (US\$)	489
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	75	Enforcing contracts (rank)	64
Time (days)	66	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	74.71	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.60
Cost (% of income per capita)	8,449.0	Payments (number per year)	31	Time (days)	490
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	4	Time (hours per year)	195	Cost (% of claim)	31.3
Registering property (rank)	116	Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.5	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	8.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	55.81	Postfiling index (0–100)	78.44	Resolving insolvency (rank)	111
Procedures (number)	10	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	39.40
Time (days)	42	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Time (years)	2.2
Cost (% of property value)	2.6	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Cost (% of estate)	29.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	10.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	38.4
UNITRADE	80	Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	80	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
Starting a business (rank)	20	Getting credit (rank)	20	Trading across borders (rank)	115
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.40	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	64.26
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	8	Time to export	
Time (days)	5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	96
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.5	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	40.0	Border compliance (hours)	26
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	140	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	Documentary compliance (US\$)	292
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.42	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Border compliance (US\$)	75
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time to import	
Time (days)	67	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	168
Cost (% of warehouse value)	15.2	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (hours)	72
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	130	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	212
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	58.45	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	9	Border compliance (US\$)	100
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	84	Enforcing contracts (rank)	81
Time (days)	281	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	72.72	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	58.96
Cost (% of income per capita)	637.6	Payments (number per year)	5	Time (days)	378
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Time (hours per year)	355.5	Cost (% of claim)	46.3
Registering property (rank)	63	Total tax rate (% of profit)	51.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	9.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	69.61	Postfiling index (0–100)	79.26	Resolving insolvency (rank)	150
Procedures (number)	7	Protecting minority investors (rank)	9	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	27.50
Time (days)	23	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Time (years)	2.9
Cost (% of property value)	1.9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Cost (% of estate)	42.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	15.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	7.5
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	26	Middle East & North Africa	26	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	26	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	76.89	GNI per capita (US\$)	43,170
Population		Population		Population	9,156,963
✓ Starting a business (rank)	53	Getting credit (rank)	101	Trading across borders (rank)	85
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.21	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	45.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	71.50
Procedures (number)	4.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	2	Time to export	
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	6
Cost (% of income per capita)	13.0	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	53.8	Border compliance (hours)	27
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	8.9	Cost to export	
✓ Dealing with construction permits (rank)	4	Protecting minority investors (rank)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	178
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	86.15	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Border compliance (US\$)	462
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	
Time (days)	49	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (hours)	12
Cost (% of warehouse value)	2.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	54
Building quality control index (0–15)	13.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
✓ Getting electricity (rank)	4	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	9	Documentary compliance (US\$)	283
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	98.84	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	678
Procedures (number)	3	Paying taxes (rank)	1	Enforcing contracts (rank)	25
Time (days)	28	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	99.44	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	71.14
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.7	Payments (number per year)	4	Time (days)	495
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	12	Cost (% of claim)	20.1
✓ Registering property (rank)	11	Total tax rate (% of profit)	15.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	12.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	90.04	Postfiling index (0–100)	NOT APPLICABLE	Resolving insolvency (rank)	104
Procedures (number)	2	Protecting minority investors (rank)	9	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	40.61
Time (days)	1.5	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	75.00	Time (years)	3.2
Cost (% of property value)	0.2	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Cost (% of estate)	20.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	21.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	9	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	29.0
Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
UNITED KINGDOM		OECD high income	GNI per capita (US\$)	43,340	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	Population	65,138,232	
Starting a business (rank)	16	Getting credit (rank)	20	Trading across borders (rank)	28
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	94.58	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	93.76
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
Time (days)	4.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	4
Cost (% of income per capita)	0.1	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)	24
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	17	Protecting minority investors (rank)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	25
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	80.34	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	78.33	Border compliance (US\$)	280
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	10	Time to import	
Time (days)	86	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	2
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (hours)	3
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	8	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	17	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (US\$)	0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	89.12	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	8	Border compliance (US\$)	0
Procedures (number)	4	Paying taxes (rank)	10	Enforcing contracts (rank)	31
Time (days)	79	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	90.74	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	69.36
Cost (% of income per capita)	25.8	Payments (number per year)	8	Time (days)	437
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8	Time (hours per year)	110	Cost (% of claim)	43.9
Registering property (rank)	47	Total tax rate (% of profit)	30.9	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	15.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	74.11	Postfiling index (0–100)	87.44	Resolving insolvency (rank)	13
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	82.04
Time (days)	21.5	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)		Time (years)	1.0
Cost (% of property value)	4.8	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	6.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	24.0	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	88.6
UNITED STATES		Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
UNITED STATES		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Resolving insolvency (rank)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Border compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	82.04
Starting a business (rank)	51	Time to export		Time (years)	1.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	91.23	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of estate)	6.0
Procedures (number)	6	Border compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	88.6
Time (days)	5.6	Cost to export		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	11.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	1.1	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Enforcing contracts (rank)	20
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)		DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	72.61
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	39	Time to import		Time (days)	420
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	75.74	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost (% of claim)	30.5
Procedures (number)	15.8	Border compliance (hours)		Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	13.8
Time (days)	80.6	Cost to import		Resolving insolvency (rank)	5
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	89.19
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Border compliance (US\$)		Time (years)	1.5
Getting electricity (rank)	36	Time to export		Cost (% of estate)	10.0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	83.39	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	78.6
Procedures (number)	4.8	Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	15.0
Time (days)	89.6	Cost to export		Trading across borders (rank)	35
Cost (% of income per capita)	24.4	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	1.5
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	7.6	Border compliance (US\$)		Border compliance (hours)	1.5
Registering property (rank)	36	Time to import		Cost to import	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	76.80	Documentary compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	100
Procedures (number)	4.4	Border compliance (hours)		Border compliance (US\$)	175
Time (days)	15.2	Cost to export		Time to export	
Cost (% of property value)	2.4	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	1.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	17.6	Border compliance (US\$)		Border compliance (hours)	1.5
URUGUAY		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Cost to import	
URUGUAY		Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Time to export		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
Starting a business (rank)	60	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to export	
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.79	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Procedures (number)	5	Time to import		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
Time (days)	6.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.5	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	163	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.79	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Procedures (number)	21	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	120
Time (days)	251	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Getting electricity (rank)	43	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.12	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Procedures (number)	5	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Time (days)	48	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.5	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Registering property (rank)	110	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.01	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Procedures (number)	9	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Time (days)	66	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Latin America & Caribbean		Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	24
Starting a business (rank)	60	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	120
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	89.79	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	5	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Time (days)	6.5	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Cost (% of income per capita)	22.5	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	163	Time to import		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	54.79	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	21	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Time (days)	251	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Building quality control index (0–15)	8.0	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Getting electricity (rank)	43	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	82.12	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	5	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Time (days)	48	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Cost (% of income per capita)	12.5	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	6	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Registering property (rank)	110	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	58.01	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	9	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	285
Time (days)	66	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	375
Cost (% of property value)	7.0	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	23.0	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
GNI per capita (US\$)		Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Population		Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
90		Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Getting credit (rank)	62	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	60.00	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	4	Border compliance (US\$)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	100.0	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Protecting minority investors (rank)	123	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	45.00	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	6	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	1	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Paying taxes (rank)	113	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	66.08	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Payments (number per year)	20	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Time (hours per year)	271	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Total tax rate (% of profit)	41.8	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
Postfiling index (0–100)	49.31	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
Enforcing contracts (rank)	111	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	54.44	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Time (days)	725	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Cost (% of claim)	23.2	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.0	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095
Resolving insolvency (rank)	61	Documentary compliance (US\$)		Time to export	
DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	52.26	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (hours)	231
Time (years)	1.8	Time to import		Border compliance (hours)	1,095
Cost (% of estate)	7.0	Documentary compliance (hours)		Cost to import	
Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	41.9	Border compliance (hours)		Documentary compliance (US\$)	231
Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	9.5	Cost to export		Border compliance (US\$)	1,095

Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
UZBEKISTAN		Europe & Central Asia		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		87	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	63.03	Population
Starting a business (rank)	25	Getting credit (rank)	44		2,150
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	93.93	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	65.00	Population	31,299,500
Procedures (number)	4	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	165
Time (days)	5.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	44.31
Cost (% of income per capita)	3.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	27.8	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	174
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	147	Protecting minority investors (rank)	70	Border compliance (hours)	112
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	59.79	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	56.67	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	23	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Documentary compliance (US\$)	292
Time (days)	176	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (US\$)	278
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	11.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	174
Getting electricity (rank)	83	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	111
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	71.81	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	7			Documentary compliance (US\$)	292
Time (days)	89			Border compliance (US\$)	278
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,232.5			Enforcing contracts (rank)	38
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	8			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	67.26
Registering property (rank)	75			Time (days)	225
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	66.23			Cost (% of claim)	20.5
Procedures (number)	9			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
Time (days)	46			Resolving insolvency (rank)	77
Cost (% of property value)	1.3			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	46.29
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.5			Time (years)	2.0
VANUATU		East Asia & Pacific		Cost (% of estate)	10.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	83	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	63.66	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	39.5
Starting a business (rank)	126	Getting credit (rank)	20	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	8.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.24	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00		
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	11	Trading across borders (rank)	145
Time (days)	18	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	4	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	56.27
Cost (% of income per capita)	44.3	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	9.6	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	72
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	134	Protecting minority investors (rank)	106	Border compliance (hours)	38
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.91	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	50.00	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	14	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	282
Time (days)	64	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Border compliance (US\$)	709
Cost (% of warehouse value)	7.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	5.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	48
Getting electricity (rank)	81	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	3	Border compliance (hours)	126
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	72.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	5	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	4			Documentary compliance (US\$)	183
Time (days)	120			Border compliance (US\$)	681
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,091.0			Enforcing contracts (rank)	130
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	51.13
Registering property (rank)	81			Time (days)	430
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.63			Cost (% of claim)	56.0
Procedures (number)	4			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	7.5
Time (days)	58			Resolving insolvency (rank)	93
Cost (% of property value)	7.0			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	42.85
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	18.5			Time (years)	2.6
VENEZUELA, RB		Latin America & Caribbean		Cost (% of estate)	38.0
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	187	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	33.37	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	44.8
Starting a business (rank)	189	Getting credit (rank)	118	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	32.94	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00		
Procedures (number)	20	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	1	Trading across borders (rank)	187
Time (days)	230	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	7.93
Cost (% of income per capita)	136.4	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	27.9	Time to export	
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Documentary compliance (hours)	528
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	137	Protecting minority investors (rank)	175	Border compliance (hours)	288
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	61.65	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	31.67	Cost to export	
Procedures (number)	9	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	3	Documentary compliance (US\$)	375
Time (days)	434	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (US\$)	1,250
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Time to import	
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	1,090
Getting electricity (rank)	186	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (hours)	240
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	16.85	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	3	Cost to import	
Procedures (number)	6			Documentary compliance (US\$)	400
Time (days)	208			Border compliance (US\$)	1,500
Cost (% of income per capita)	18,867.2			Enforcing contracts (rank)	137
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0			DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.97
Registering property (rank)	129			Time (days)	610
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	52.36			Cost (% of claim)	43.7
Procedures (number)	9			Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
Time (days)	52			Resolving insolvency (rank)	165
Cost (% of property value)	2.7			DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	18.80
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	5.5			Time (years)	4.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
VIETNAM		East Asia & Pacific	GNI per capita (US\$)	1,980	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		82	Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)	63.83	Population 91,703,800
✗ Starting a business (rank)	121	Getting credit (rank)	32	✓ Trading across borders (rank)	93
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	81.76	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	70.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	69.92
Procedures (number)	9	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
Time (days)	24	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	7	Documentary compliance (hours)	50
Cost (% of income per capita)	4.6	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	14.8	Border compliance (hours)	58
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	41.8	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	24	✓ Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Documentary compliance (US\$)	139
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	78.89	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	309
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	7	Time to import	
Time (days)	166	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Documentary compliance (hours)	76
Cost (% of warehouse value)	0.8	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	2	Border compliance (hours)	62
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	96	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	183
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	69.11	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (US\$)	392
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	167	Enforcing contracts (rank)	69
Time (days)	46	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	49.39	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	60.22
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,261.3	Payments (number per year)	31	Time (days)	400
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	3	Time (hours per year)	540	Cost (% of claim)	29.0
Registering property (rank)	59	Total tax rate (% of profit)	39.4	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.5
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	70.61	Postfiling index (0–100)	38.94	Resolving insolvency (rank)	125
Procedures (number)	5	Protecting minority investors (rank)	158	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	35.08
Time (days)	57.5	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	38.33	Time (years)	5.0
Cost (% of property value)	0.6	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Cost (% of estate)	14.5
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	14.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	5	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	21.6
WEST BANK AND GAZA		Egypt		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	7.5
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	140	Middle East & North Africa		YEMEN, REP.	
Starting a business (rank)	169	Getting credit (rank)	118	Starting a business (rank)	161
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	69.36	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	40.00	DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	71.59
Procedures (number)	10.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Procedures (number)	6.5
Time (days)	43.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Time (days)	40.5
Cost (% of income per capita)	46.9	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost (% of income per capita)	82.2
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.3	Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	157	Protecting minority investors (rank)	158	Dealing with construction permits (rank)	94
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	55.98	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.79
Procedures (number)	20	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Procedures (number)	11
Time (days)	108	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Time (days)	184
Cost (% of warehouse value)	14.5	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1
Building quality control index (0–15)	12.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Building quality control index (0–15)	7.5
Getting electricity (rank)	70	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Getting electricity (rank)	188
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	75.25	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00
Procedures (number)	5	Paying taxes (rank)	101	Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE
Time (days)	47	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	69.71	Time (days)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of income per capita)	1,259.3	Payments (number per year)	28	Cost (% of income per capita)	NO PRACTICE
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	5	Time (hours per year)	162	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
Registering property (rank)	93	Total tax rate (% of profit)	15.3	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	0.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	62.71	Postfiling index (0–100)	37.99	Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Procedures (number)	7	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	0.00
Time (days)	51	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Time (years)	NO PRACTICE
Cost (% of property value)	3.0	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Cost (% of estate)	NO PRACTICE
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	13.5	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	0.0
YEMEN, REP.		Egypt		Resolving insolvency (rank)	169
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)	179	Middle East & North Africa		DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	26.65
Starting a business (rank)	161	Getting credit (rank)	185	Time (years)	3.0
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	71.59	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	0.00	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Procedures (number)	6.5	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	0	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.5
Time (days)	40.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	0	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Cost (% of income per capita)	82.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Enforcing contracts (rank)	142
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	1.3	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.52
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	94	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132	Time (days)	645
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	68.79	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
Procedures (number)	11	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
Time (days)	184	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Resolving insolvency (rank)	152
Cost (% of warehouse value)	1.1	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	3	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	26.65
Building quality control index (0–15)	7.5	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	5	Time (years)	3.0
Getting electricity (rank)	188	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	0.00	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	20.5
Procedures (number)	NO PRACTICE	Paying taxes (rank)	92	Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	5.0
Time (days)	NO PRACTICE	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	71.64	Enforcing contracts (rank)	142
Cost (% of income per capita)	NO PRACTICE	Payments (number per year)	44	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	48.52
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	248	Time (days)	645
Registering property (rank)	83	Total tax rate (% of profit)	33.1	Cost (% of claim)	30.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	65.20	Postfiling index (0–100)	95.42	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	4.0
Procedures (number)	6	Protecting minority investors (rank)	132	Resolving insolvency (rank)	152
Time (days)	19	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	43.33	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	26.65
Cost (% of property value)	1.8	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	6	Time (years)	3.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.0	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	4	Cost (% of estate)	15.0
Note: Most indicators sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.					

		✓ Reform making it easier to do business		✗ Change making it more difficult to do business	
ZAMBIA		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	105	Getting credit (rank)	20	Trading across borders (rank)	161
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	84.95	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	75.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	46.99
Procedures (number)	7	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	7	Time to export	
Time (days)	8.5	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	8	Documentary compliance (hours)	130
Cost (% of income per capita)	33.7	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	16.8	Border compliance (hours)	148
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	78	Protecting minority investors (rank)	87	Documentary compliance (US\$)	200
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	70.85	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	53.33	Border compliance (US\$)	370
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	4	Time to import	
Time (days)	189	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	6	Documentary compliance (hours)	134
Cost (% of warehouse value)	3.3	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	7	Border compliance (hours)	163
Building quality control index (0–15)	10.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	6	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	153	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	175
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	49.86	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	380
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	58	Enforcing contracts (rank)	135
Time (days)	117	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	80.16	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	49.89
Cost (% of income per capita)	609.6	Payments (number per year)	26	Time (days)	611
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	185.5	Cost (% of claim)	38.7
Registering property (rank)	145	Total tax rate (% of profit)	18.6	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	49.00	Postfiling index (0–100)	80.06	Resolving insolvency (rank)	83
Procedures (number)	6	Trading across borders (rank)	148	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	45.36
Time (days)	45	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	55.65	Time (years)	1.0
Cost (% of property value)	9.9	Strength to export		Cost (% of estate)	9.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	7.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	49.4
ZIMBABWE		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0
ZIMBABWE		Sub-Saharan Africa		GNI per capita (US\$)	
Ease of doing business rank (1–190)		Overall distance to frontier (DTF) score (0–100)		Population	
Starting a business (rank)	183	Getting credit (rank)	82	Trading across borders (rank)	148
DTF score for starting a business (0–100)	49.13	DTF score for getting credit (0–100)	50.00	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	55.65
Procedures (number)	10	Strength of legal rights index (0–12)	5	Time to export	
Time (days)	91	Depth of credit information index (0–8)	5	Documentary compliance (hours)	99
Cost (% of income per capita)	119.2	Credit bureau coverage (% of adults)	31.4	Border compliance (hours)	72
Minimum capital (% of income per capita)	0.0	Credit registry coverage (% of adults)	0.0	Cost to export	
Dealing with construction permits (rank)	181	Protecting minority investors (rank)	102	Documentary compliance (US\$)	170
DTF score for dealing with construction permits (0–100)	44.73	DTF score for protecting minority investors (0–100)	51.67	Border compliance (US\$)	285
Procedures (number)	10	Extent of disclosure index (0–10)	8	Time to import	
Time (days)	238	Extent of director liability index (0–10)	2	Documentary compliance (hours)	81
Cost (% of warehouse value)	29.4	Ease of shareholder suits index (0–10)	5	Border compliance (hours)	228
Building quality control index (0–15)	9.0	Extent of shareholder rights index (0–10)	7	Cost to import	
Getting electricity (rank)	165	Extent of ownership and control index (0–10)	5	Documentary compliance (US\$)	150
DTF score for getting electricity (0–100)	43.81	Extent of corporate transparency index (0–10)	4	Border compliance (US\$)	562
Procedures (number)	6	Paying taxes (rank)	164	Enforcing contracts (rank)	165
Time (days)	106	DTF score for paying taxes (0–100)	51.15	DTF score for enforcing contracts (0–100)	38.73
Cost (% of income per capita)	2,957.9	Payments (number per year)	51	Time (days)	410
Reliability of supply and transparency of tariffs index (0–8)	0	Time (hours per year)	242	Cost (% of claim)	83.1
Registering property (rank)	111	Total tax rate (% of profit)	32.8	Quality of judicial processes index (0–18)	6.0
DTF score for registering property (0–100)	57.67	Postfiling index (0–100)	23.78	Resolving insolvency (rank)	145
Procedures (number)	5	Trading across borders (rank)	148	DTF score for resolving insolvency (0–100)	28.46
Time (days)	36	DTF score for trading across borders (0–100)	55.65	Time (years)	3.3
Cost (% of property value)	7.6	Strength to export		Cost (% of estate)	22.0
Quality of land administration index (0–30)	9.5	Documentary compliance (hours)		Recovery rate (cents on the dollar)	18.0
		Border compliance (hours)		Strength of insolvency framework index (0–16)	6.0

Note: Most indicator sets refer to a case scenario in the largest business city of an economy, though for 11 economies the data are a population-weighted average for the two largest business cities. For some indicators a result of "no practice" may be recorded for an economy; see the data notes for more details. In starting a business, procedures (number), time (days) and cost (% of income per capita) are calculated as the average of both men and women. For the postfiling index, a result of "not applicable" may be recorded for an economy.

Labor Market Regulation Data

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Afghanistan	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	25	50	25	Yes	No	No	Yes	20.0
Albania	Yes	No limit	191.60	0.37	5.5	50	25	25	Yes	Yes	No	No	20.0
Algeria	Yes	No limit	212.65	0.34	6	0	0	50	Yes	No	No	No	22.0
Angola	No	120	217.90	0.31	6	10	75	20	Yes	No	Yes	No	22.0
Antigua and Barbuda	No	No limit	618.72	0.38	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	12.0
Argentina	Yes	60	1,424.20	0.75	5.5	13	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	18.0
Armenia	Yes	No limit	122.88	0.27	6	30	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Australia	No	No limit	2,266.32	0.30	6	25	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Austria	No	No limit	1,674.51	0.29	5.5	67	100	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	25.0
Azerbaijan	No	60	126.66	0.17	6	40	150	100	Yes	No	No	No	17.0
Bahamas, The	No	No limit	878.85	0.35	5	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	11.7
Bahrain	No	60	0.00	0.00	6	50	50	38	No	No	No	No	30.0
Bangladesh (Chittagong)	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	5.5	0	0	100	No	Yes	No	No	17.0
Bangladesh (Dhaka)	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	5.5	0	0	100	No	Yes	No	No	17.0
Barbados	No	No limit	522.88	0.28	5	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	20.3
Belarus	No	No limit	170.35	0.22	6	20	100	100	No	Yes	No	No	18.0
Belgium	No	No limit	2,420.23	0.42	6	0	0	50	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	20.0
Belize	No	No limit	376.93	0.65	6	0	50	50	No	Yes	No	Yes	10.0
Benin	No	48	74.95	0.57	6	0	0	12	No	Yes	No	No	24.0

Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^a	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Redundancy rules							Redundancy cost	Job quality								
		Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?		Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?**	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days)*	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	17.3	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	10.1	10.7	No	Yes	Yes	365	No	No	Yes	12
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	4.3	13.0	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	No	No	36
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	13.6	Yes	No	Yes	90	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	3.4	12.8	No	Yes	Yes	91	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	23.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	6.0	5.0	Yes	No	Yes	140	Yes	No	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	3.3	8.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	0
1.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	2.0	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	8.7	13.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	2.0	10.7	No	Yes	Yes	91	Yes	Yes	Yes	3
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	2.4	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	Yes	0
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	26.7	Yes	No	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	26.7	Yes	No	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	2.7	13.3	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	13.0	Yes	No	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	0
0.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	19.7	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	105	No	Yes	No	14.4
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.7	8.3	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	7.3	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$ monthly) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Bhutan	No	No limit	58.17	0.20	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Bolivia ^h	Yes	24	239.85	0.57	6	25	100	100	No	No	No	No	21.7
Bosnia and Herzegovina	No	24	353.60	0.64	6	30	20	30	No	Yes	No	No	18.0
Botswana	No	No limit	100.58	0.12	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Brazil (Rio de Janeiro)	Yes	24	418.98	0.35	6	20	100	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	26.0
Brazil (São Paulo)	Yes	24	383.99	0.32	6	20	100	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	26.0
Brunei Darussalam	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	50	50	No	Yes	No	No	13.3
Bulgaria	No	36	262.92	0.29	6	3	0	50	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	20.0
Burkina Faso	No	No limit	98.95	0.94	6	0	0	15	No	Yes	Yes	No	22.0
Burundi	No	No limit	2.40	0.06	6	35	0	35	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Cabo Verde	Yes	60	121.69	0.29	6	25	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Cambodia	No	24	0.00	0.00	6	130	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	19.3
Cameroon	No	48	66.36	0.32	6	0	0	20	No	Yes	No	No	25.0
Canada	No	No limit	1,687.14	0.29	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	Yes	10.0
Central African Republic	Yes	24	83.08	1.78	6	0	50	..	No	Yes	Yes	No	25.3
Chad	No	48	118.22	0.80	6	0	100	10	Yes	No	No	No	24.7
Chile	No	12	412.29	0.24	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
China (Beijing)	No	No limit	274.07	0.31	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	6.7
China (Shanghai)	No	No limit	348.96	0.39	6	34	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	6.7
Colombia	No	No limit	302.43	0.35	6	35	75	25	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Comoros	No	36	0.00	0.00	6	28	0	25	No	Yes	Yes	No	22.0
Congo, Dem. Rep.	Yes	48	65.00	0.00	5	25	0	38	Yes	Yes	No	No	13.0

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost		Job quality							
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^d	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?**	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{1,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	8.3	0.0	No	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	Yes	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	2.0	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	365	No	Yes	Yes	8
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.9	16.8	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	6.6	8.9	No	Yes	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	6.6	8.9	No	Yes	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	91	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	410	No	Yes	Yes	9
2.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	6.1	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	7.2	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	..	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	6.4	23.1	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
1.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	7.9	11.4	Yes	Yes	Yes	90	No	No	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	11.6	8.3	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	5.0	5.0	Yes	No	Yes	105	No	No	Yes	3.6
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	17.3	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	7.2	5.8	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	126	Yes	No	Yes	12
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	128	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
2.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	16.7	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	5.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	..	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	10.3	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	98	No	No	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Congo, Rep.	Yes	24	231.81	0.59	6	0	0	14	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	29.7
Costa Rica	Yes	12	605.46	0.49	6	0	100	50	Yes	No	No	No	12.0
Côte d'Ivoire	No	24	110.51	0.51	6	38	0	24	No	Yes	No	No	27.4
Croatia	Yes	No limit	503.96	0.32	6	10	35	50	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	20.0
Cyprus	No	30	1,153.63	0.38	5.5	0	100	100	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Czech Republic	No	108	545.05	0.24	6	10	10	25	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Denmark	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	25.0
Djibouti	Yes	24	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	Yes	30.0
Dominica	No	No limit	349.02	0.42	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	13.3
Dominican Republic	Yes	No limit	311.87	0.39	5.5	0	100	35	No	Yes	Yes	No	16.7
Ecuador	Yes	No limit	418.09	0.54	5	25	100	50	No	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Egypt, Arab Rep.	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	35	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
El Salvador	Yes	No limit	213.00	0.42	6	25	100	125	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	11.0
Equatorial Guinea	Yes	24	812.30	0.72	6	25	50	25	No	Yes	Yes	No	22.0
Eritrea	Yes	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	25	No	Yes	No	No	19.0
Estonia	Yes	120	520.44	0.22	5	25	0	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	24.0
Ethiopia	Yes	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	25	No	Yes	No	No	18.3
Fiji	No	No Limit	311.97	0.51	6	4	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	10.0
Finland	Yes	60	2,169.37	0.35	6	16	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	30.0
France	Yes	18	1,866.90	0.34	6	20	20	25	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	30.3
Gabon	No	48	299.00	0.23	6	0	0	10	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
Gambia, The	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	5	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	21.0

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost		Job quality							
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^a	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value? [*]	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring? [*]	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? ^{e,*}	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{f,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave? [*]	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
4.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	6.9	No	No	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	14.4	No	No	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	5.8	7.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	7.9	7.2	Yes	No	Yes	208	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
24.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	5.7	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	No	Yes	6
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	8.7	11.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	196	No	No	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	12
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4.3	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	5.8	9.3	No	No	Yes	84	No	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.0	22.2	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	0.0	31.8	Yes	No	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	10.1	26.7	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	No	Yes	6
1.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	22.9	No	No	Yes	112	Yes	No	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	34.3	Yes	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.1	12.3	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
4.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.6	4.3	No	Yes	Yes	140	Yes	No	Yes	12
1.5	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	10.5	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	5.3	Yes	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	10.1	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	105	No	Yes	Yes	6
2.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	7.2	4.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	No	No	Yes	4
6.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	14.4	4.3	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
12.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	26.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	180	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Georgia	No	30	19.86	0.04	7	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
Germany	No	No limit	1,777.63	0.31	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
Ghana	No	No limit	51.86	0.24	5	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Greece	Yes	No limit	740.67	0.28	6	25	75	28	No	Yes	Yes	No	22.3
Grenada	Yes	No limit	247.31	0.23	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	13.3
Guatemala	Yes	No limit	394.84	0.77	6	0	50	50	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	15.0
Guinea	No	24	56.43	0.78	6	20	0	30	No	Yes	Yes	No	30.0
Guinea-Bissau	Yes	12	0.00	0.00	6	25	50	0	No	No	No	No	21.0
Guyana	No	No limit	167.72	0.33	7	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	12.0
Haiti	No	No limit	137.23	1.24	6	50	50	50	No	Yes	No	No	13.0
Honduras	Yes	24	453.73	1.52	6	25	100	38	Yes	Yes	No	No	16.7
Hong Kong SAR, China	No	No limit	829.13	0.18	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	10.3
Hungary	No	60	437.42	0.27	5	15	50	50	No	Yes	No	No	21.3
Iceland	No	24	1,958.82	0.31	6	1	1	1	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
India (Delhi)	No	No limit	180.65	0.89	6	0	0	100	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	15.0
India (Mumbai)	No	No limit	136.06	0.67	6	0	0	100	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	21.0
Indonesia (Jakarta)	Yes	36	262.64	0.62	6	0	0	75	No	Yes	No	No	12.0
Indonesia (Surabaya)	Yes	36	241.91	0.57	6	0	0	75	No	Yes	No	No	12.0
Iran, Islamic Rep.	No	No limit	279.95	0.40	6	35	40	40	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
Iraq	Yes	No limit	123.55	0.15	6	0	50	50	Yes	No	No	No	22.0
Ireland	No	No limit	1,697.67	0.28	6	1	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Israel	No	No limit	1,216.95	0.25	5.5	0	50	25	No	Yes	Yes	No	18.0

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost		Job quality							
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^d	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?*	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{i,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	No	No	Yes	183	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	10.0	11.6	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
6.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	3.6	46.2	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
12.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	0.0	15.9	Yes	No	Yes	119	Yes	No	Yes	4
1.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	5.3	Yes	No	Yes	90	No	Yes	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	27.0	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	5.8	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	26.0	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	12.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	91	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
0.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	10.1	0.0	No	No	Yes	42	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	7.2	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	1.4	No	Yes	Yes	70	No	No	Yes	0
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	6.2	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	168	No	Yes	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	13.0	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	90	No	Yes	Yes	3
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	11.4	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	11.4	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.0	57.8	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0.0	57.8	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	0.0	23.1	No	No	Yes	180	No	No	Yes	6
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	72	Yes	Yes
12.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	3.7	10.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	182	No	No	No	24
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	23.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	No	Yes	12

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Italy	No	36	2,083.94	0.49	6	15	30	15	No	Yes	No	No	26.0
Jamaica	No	No limit	229.40	0.37	6	0	100	0	No	Yes	No	No	11.7
Japan (Osaka)	No	No limit	1,332.36	0.27	6	25	35	25	No	Yes	No	Yes	15.3
Japan (Tokyo)	No	No limit	1,408.45	0.28	6	25	35	25	No	Yes	No	Yes	15.3
Jordan	No	No limit	256.83	0.40	6	0	50	25	Yes	No	No	Yes	18.7
Kazakhstan	No	No limit	121.21	0.08	6	50	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	18.0
Kenya	No	No limit	247.26	1.22	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Kiribati	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	7	0	0	50	No	No	No	No	0.0
Korea, Rep.	No	24	927.49	0.30	6	50	50	50	No	Yes	No	No	17.0
Kosovo	No	No limit	158.32	0.33	6	30	50	30	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Kuwait	No	No limit	251.08	0.06	6	0	50	25	No	No	Yes	Yes	30.0
Kyrgyz Republic	Yes	60	18.14	0.12	6	50	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Lao PDR	No	36	111.10	0.47	6	15	150	50	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Latvia	Yes	60	448.83	0.24	5.5	50	0	100	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Lebanon	No	24	435.22	0.45	6	0	50	50	No	Yes	No	Yes	15.0
Lesotho	No	No limit	150.09	0.89	6	0	100	25	Yes	Yes	No	No	12.0
Liberia	No	No limit	141.44	0.03	5.5	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	Yes	16.5
Libya	No	48	392.86	0.51	6	0	0	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	30.0
Lithuania	No	60	427.34	0.23	5.5	50	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	20.7
Luxembourg	Yes	24	2,798.24	0.30	5.5	0	70	40	No	Yes	Yes	No	25.0
Macedonia, FYR	No	60	287.46	0.47	6	35	50	35	Yes	Yes	No	No	20
Madagascar	Yes	24	58.03	0.92	6	30	40	30	No	Yes	No	No	24.0

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost		Job quality							
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^d	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?*	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{i,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.5	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	150	No	No	Yes	3
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.0	10.0	No	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	4.3	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	98	No	No	Yes	12
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	4.3	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	98	No	No	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.3	0.0	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	No	36
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	No	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	0
12.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	4.3	2.1	Yes	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	84	No	..	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	Yes	Yes	90	Yes	No	Yes	6
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	270	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	13.0	15.1	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	13.0	No	No	Yes	126	No	No	Yes	12
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	6.4	27.7	No	No	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	4.3	8.7	Yes	No	Yes	112	No	No	Yes	9
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	8.7	0.0	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
4.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	10.7	Yes	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	21.3	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	15.2	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	15.9	Yes	No	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	No	18
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	17.3	4.3	Yes	No	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	8.7	No	Yes	Yes	270	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	5.8	8.9	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Malawi	Yes	No limit	34.47	0.61	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	18.0
Malaysia	No	No limit	256.61	0.20	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	13.3
Maldives	No	24	0.00	0.00	6	0	50	25	No	Yes	No	No	30.0
Mali	Yes	72	74.17	0.56	6	0	0	10	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Malta	No	48	813.07	0.33	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
Marshall Islands	No	No limit	416.00	0.66	7	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	0.0
Mauritania	No	24	99.50	0.56	6	0	0	15	No	Yes	No	No	18.0
Mauritius	No	24	292.99	0.26	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	17.0
Mexico (Mexico City)	Yes	No limit	168.32	0.14	6	0	25	100	No	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Mexico (Monterrey)	Yes	No limit	168.32	0.14	6	0	25	100	No	Yes	No	Yes	12.0
Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	No	No limit	364.00	0.84	7	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	0.0
Moldova	Yes	No limit	115.81	0.47	6	50	100	50	Yes	No	Yes	No	20.0
Mongolia	No	No limit	103.47	0.22	5	0	50	50	No	No	No	Yes	16.0
Montenegro	No	24	235.36	0.26	6	40	0	40	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Morocco	Yes	12	282.93	0.74	6	0	0	25	No	Yes	Yes	No	19.5
Mozambique	Yes	72	132.21	1.40	6	25	100	50	No	Yes	Yes	No	24.0
Myanmar	No	No limit	73.80	0.46	6	0	100	100	Yes	Yes	No	No	10.0
Namibia	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	5.5	6	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Nepal	Yes	No limit	93.46	0.95	6	0	50	50	No	No	No	No	18.0
Netherlands	No	24	978.75	0.16	5.5	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
New Zealand	No	No limit	2,025.35	0.39	7	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Nicaragua	No	No limit	214.50	0.86	6	0	100	100	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	30.0

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost		Job quality							
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^d	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?*	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{i,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
12.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	12.3	Yes	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	6.7	22.8	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	60	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	9.3	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	7.3	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	6
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	6.1	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
No limit	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	6.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	22.0	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	22.0	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
0.5	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	13.9	No	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	4.3	No	No	Yes	120	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	4.3	6.9	Yes	Yes	Yes	365	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
1.5	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	7.2	13.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	No	No	No	36
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	33.2	No	No	Yes	60	Yes	No	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	18.8	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	36
n.a.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	5.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
12.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	22.9	No	No	Yes	52	Yes	No	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	8.7	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	Yes	No	Yes	6
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	112	No	Yes	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	14.9	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	No	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Niger	Yes	48	56.19	0.81	6	38	0	10	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Nigeria (Kano)	No	No limit	100.47	0.23	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	6.0
Nigeria (Lagos)	No	No limit	100.47	0.23	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	6.0
Norway	No	48	3,582.00	0.30	6	0	0	40	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	21.0
Oman ^h	No	No limit	935.77	0.51	5	50	100	25	Yes	No	No	Yes	22.0
Pakistan (Karachi)	Yes	9	121.94	0.61	6	0	100	100	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	14.0
Pakistan (Lahore)	Yes	9	121.94	0.61	6	0	100	100	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	14.0
Palau	No	No limit	634.54	0.46	7	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	0.0
Panama	Yes	12	558.72	0.36	6	13	50	50	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	22.0
Papua New Guinea	No	No limit	231.41	0.79	6	0	0	50	No	No	No	No	11.0
Paraguay	Yes	No limit	376.81	0.68	6	30	100	50	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	20.0
Peru	Yes	60	280.28	0.35	6	35	100	25	No	Yes	No	No	13.0
Philippines	No	No limit	301.08	0.65	6	10	30	25	No	Yes	No	No	5.0
Poland	No	33	540.66	0.34	5.5	20	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Portugal	Yes	36	748.46	0.29	6	25	50	31	No	Yes	Yes	No	22.0
Puerto Rico (U.S.)	No	No limit	1,256.67	0.52	7	0	100	100	No	Yes	No	No	15.0
Qatar	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	25	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	22.0
Romania	Yes	60	338.23	0.29	5	25	100	75	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Russian Federation (Moscow)	Yes	60	367.24	0.27	6	20	100	50	No	Yes	Yes	No	22.0
Russian Federation (St. Petersburg)	Yes	60	248.36	0.18	6	20	100	50	No	Yes	Yes	No	22.0
Rwanda	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	19.3
Samoa	No	No limit	209.65	0.37	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	Yes	No	10.0

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost		Job quality							
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^d	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?**	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{1,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	9.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	3.2	0.0	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	3.2	0.0	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	8.7	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	343	Yes	Yes	Yes	0
3.0	No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	Yes	50	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	22.9	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	22.9	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	0.0	18.1	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.3	9.2	No	No	Yes	0	n.a.	Yes	No	n.a.
1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	10.8	18.6	Yes	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	0.0	11.4	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	4.3	23.1	Yes	No	Yes	60	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	10.1	8.7	Yes	No	Yes	182	Yes	No	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	7.9	9.1	Yes	Yes	Yes	120	Yes	No	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	7.2	16.0	No	No	Yes	50	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.0	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	126	No	Yes	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	8.7	No	No	Yes	140	Yes	Yes	Yes	0
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	8.7	No	No	Yes	140	Yes	Yes	Yes	0
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	4.3	8.7	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.3	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	28	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
San Marino	Yes	18	2,369.93	0.40	6	35	0	26	No	Yes	No	No	26.0
São Tomé and Príncipe	Yes	36	72.43	0.31	6	25	100	38	No	No	Yes	No	26.0
Saudi Arabia	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	50	50	No	No	Yes	No	23.3
Senegal	Yes	24	175.04	1.12	6	38	0	10	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	24.3
Serbia	Yes	24	216.87	0.32	6	26	26	26	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Seychelles	Yes	No limit	420.62	0.24	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Sierra Leone	Yes	No limit	90.79	0.95	5.5	15	100	50	No	No	No	No	23.0
Singapore	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	10.7
Slovak Republic	No	24	498.83	0.25	6	20	0	25	No	Yes	No	No	25.0
Slovenia	Yes	24	966.65	0.35	6	75	100	30	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Solomon Islands	No	No limit	116.23	0.41	6	0	0	50	No	No	No	No	15.0
Somalia	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	0	No	No	No	No	15.0
South Africa	Yes	No limit	287.39	0.37	6	0	100	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	18.33
South Sudan	No	48	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	50	No	No	No	No	23.3
Spain	Yes	48	1,054.47	0.29	5.5	7	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	22.0
Sri Lanka	No	No limit	78.53	0.16	5.5	0	0	50	Yes	Yes	No	No	14.0
St. Kitts and Nevis	No	No limit	557.23	0.31	7	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	14.0
St. Lucia	No	24	0.00	0.00	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	No	No limit	234.49	0.29	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	18.7
Sudan	No	48	63.70	0.23	6	0	0	50	No	No	No	No	23.3
Suriname	No	No limit	363.66	0.31	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	16.0
Swaziland	No	No limit	140.91	0.31	5.5	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	11.0

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost	Job quality								
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^d	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?		Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?**	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{1,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
1.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	0.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	150	Yes	Yes	Yes	..
1.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.3	26.0	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	8.6	15.2	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	10.5	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	0.0	7.7	No	Yes	Yes	135	Yes	No	Yes	12
6.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	7.6	No	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	13.0	62.5	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	3.0	0.0	No	No	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	11.6	7.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	238	No	No	No	24
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	5.3	5.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	105	Yes	Yes	Yes	9
n.a.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	10.7	No	No	Yes	42	No	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	4.0	5.3	Yes	No	Yes	120	No	Yes	Yes	0
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	21.7	No	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	2.1	15.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	112	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
n.a.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	4.3	54.2	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	8.7	0.0	No	No	Yes	91	No	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	3.7	9.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	91	No	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4.0	10.0	No	No	Yes	91	No	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	4.3	21.7	No	No	Yes	56	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	0.0	8.8	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	5.9	8.7	No	No	Yes	14	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$/month) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men?*	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Sweden	No	24	0.00	0.00	5.5	0	0	50	No	Yes	Yes	No	25.0
Switzerland	No	120	0.00	0.00	6	25	50	25	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	20.0
Syrian Arab Republic	No	60	54.46	0.30	6	0	100	38	No	No	Yes	No	21.7
Taiwan, China	Yes	12	627.01	0.25	6	0	100	33	No	Yes	No	No	12.0
Tajikistan	Yes	No limit	45.37	0.27	6	50	100	100	Yes	No	No	No	23.3
Tanzania	Yes	No limit	61.94	0.42	6	5	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	20.0
Thailand	Yes	No limit	235.68	0.36	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	6.0
Timor-Leste	Yes	36	114.85	0.37	6	25	100	50	No	Yes	Yes	No	12.0
Togo	Yes	48	99.18	1.21	6	0	0	20	No	Yes	No	No	30.0
Tonga	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	Yes	No	0.0
Trinidad and Tobago	No	No limit	408.04	0.18	6	0	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	10.0
Tunisia	No	48	256.82	0.54	6	0	100	25	No	No	No	No	19.0
Turkey	Yes	No limit	669.00	0.54	6	0	100	50	Yes	No	No	No	18.0
Uganda	No	No limit	2.16	0.02	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Ukraine	Yes	No limit	83.46	0.27	5.5	20	100	100	No	No	Yes	Yes	18.0
United Arab Emirates	No	No limit	0.00	0.00	6	0	50	25	No	No	Yes	No	26.0
United Kingdom	No	No limit	1,417.39	0.25	6	0	0	0	No	Yes	No	No	28.0
United States (Los Angeles)	No	No limit	1,687.97	0.24	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	0.0
United States (New York City)	No	No limit	1,519.17	0.22	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	0.0
Uruguay	Yes	No limit	579.80	0.28	6	0	100	100	No	Yes	No	No	21.0
Uzbekistan	Yes	60	124.41	0.46	6	50	100	100	Yes	Yes	No	No	15.0
Vanuatu	No	No limit	276.94	0.69	6	0	50	25	No	No	No	No	17.0

	Redundancy rules							Redundancy cost		Job quality								
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^d	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?	Priority rules for reemployment?	Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value?*	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring?*	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law?**	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{1)*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave?*	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?
6.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	14.4	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	480	No	No	Yes	6
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	10.1	0.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	No	Yes	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	8.7	0.0	No	No	Yes	120	Yes	No	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	3.8	18.8	Yes	Yes	Yes	56	Yes	No	Yes	12
3.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	6.9	Yes	Yes	Yes	140	Yes	No	No	18
6.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	4.0	5.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
4.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	31.7	No	No	Yes	90	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
1.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	3.6	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	4.3	8.8	Yes	No	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	No	No	n.a.	n.a.	No	No	n.a.
n.a.	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	6.4	14.1	No	Yes	Yes	98	No	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	4.3	17.2	No	No	Yes	30	No	Yes	No	n.a.
2.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	6.7	23.1	Yes	No	Yes	112	No	Yes	Yes	6
12.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	8.7	0.0	Yes	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	8.7	4.3	No	Yes	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	6
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	4.3	0.0	No	No	Yes	45	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	5.3	4.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	14	No	No	Yes	0
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	0	n.a.	No	Yes	12
n.a.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	0.0	No	Yes	Yes	0	n.a.	Yes	Yes	6
3.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.0	20.8	No	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	No	Yes	6
3.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	8.7	8.7	No	No	Yes	126	Yes	Yes	Yes	0
6.0	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	9.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	84	No	Yes	No	n.a.

LABOR MARKET REGULATION DATA

Economy	Hiring				Working hours								
	Fixed-term contracts prohibited for permanent tasks?	Maximum length of fixed-term contracts (months) ^a	Minimum wage for a full-time worker (US\$ monthly) ^b	Ratio of minimum wage to value added per worker	Maximum number of working days per week	Premium for night work (% of hourly pay)	Premium for work on weekly rest day (% of hourly pay)	Premium for overtime work (% of hourly pay)	Restrictions on night work?	Nonpregnant and nonnursing women permitted to work same night hours as men? ^{c*}	Restrictions on weekly holiday work?	Restrictions on overtime work?	Paid annual leave (working days) ^c
Venezuela, RB ^h	Yes	24	1,842.43	1.20	5	30	50	50	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	19.3
Vietnam	No	72	160.68	0.68	6	30	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	13.0
West Bank and Gaza	No	24	373.06	0.82	6	0	150	50	Yes	No	Yes	No	12.0
Yemen, Rep.	No	No limit	93.07	0.56	6	15	100	50	No	No	No	No	30.0
Zambia	Yes	No limit	211.71	0.87	6	4	100	50	No	Yes	No	No	24.0
Zimbabwe	No	No limit	261.75	2.05	6	0	0	50	No	Yes	No	No	22.0

Source: Doing Business database.

.. No Doing Business data available.

* Data were collected jointly with the World Bank Group's *Women, Business and the Law* team.

a. Including renewals.

b. Refers to the worker in the *Doing Business* case study: a cashier, age 19, with one year of work experience. Economies for which 0.0 is shown have no minimum wage in the private sector.

c. Average for workers with 1, 5 and 10 years of tenure.

d. Not applicable (n.a.) for economies with no statutory provision for a probationary period.

e. If no maternity leave is mandated by law, parental leave is measured if applicable.

f. The minimum number of days that legally have to be paid by the government, the employer or both.

g. Not applicable (n.a.) for economies with no unemployment protection scheme.

h. Some answers are not applicable (n.a.) for economies where dismissal due to redundancy is disallowed.

	Redundancy rules								Redundancy cost	Job quality									
	Maximum length of probationary period (months) ^a	Dismissal due to redundancy allowed by law?	Third-party notification if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party approval if one worker is dismissed?	Third-party notification if nine workers are dismissed?	Third-party approval if nine workers are dismissed?	Retraining or reassignment compulsory before redundancy?	Priority rules for redundancies?		Notice period for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Severance pay for redundancy dismissal (weeks of salary) ^c	Equal remuneration for work of equal value? [*]	Gender nondiscrimination in hiring? [*]	Paid or unpaid maternity leave mandated by law? ^{e,*}	Minimum length of maternity leave (calendar days) ^{f,*}	Receive 100% of wages on maternity leave? [*]	Five fully paid days of sick leave a year?	Unemployment protection after one year of employment?	Minimum contribution period for unemployment protection (months) ^g
1.0	No	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0.0	24.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	180	Yes	Yes	Yes	12
6.0	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.	
6.0	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	4.3	23.1	No	No	Yes	70	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.	
n.a.	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	4.3	46.2	No	No	Yes	84	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.	
3.0	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	13.0	12.3	Yes	Yes	Yes	98	Yes	Yes	No	n.a.	



Acknowledgments

Data collection and analysis for *Doing Business 2017* were conducted by a team led by Rita Ramalho (Manager, *Doing Business*) under the general direction of Augusto Lopez-Claros (Director, Global Indicators Group, Development Economics). Overall guidance for the preparation of the report was provided by Kaushik Basu, Senior Vice President and Chief Economist of the World Bank. The project was managed with the support of Santiago Croci Downes, Carolin Geginat, Adrian Gonzalez and Hulya Ulku. Other team members included Nadine Abi Chakra, Jean Arlet, Yuriy Valentinovich Avramov, Rodrigo Sarmento de Beires, Erica Bosio, Emily Bourke, Edgar Chavez Sanchez, Maria Magdalena Chiquier, Selima Daadouche Crum, Baria Nabil Daye, Marcio Augusto De La Cruz Gómez, Christian De la Medina Soto, Marie Lily Delion, Laura Diniz, Varun Eknath, Faiza El Fezzazi El Maziani, Cécile Ferro, Felipe Abel Flores Meregote, Albina Gasanbekova, Dorina Georgieva, Anushavan Hambardzumyan, Volha Hrytskevich, Maksym Iavorskyi, Joyce Antoine Ibrahim, Nan Jiang, Hervé Kaddoura, Klaus Koch Saldarriaga, Olena Koltko, Magdalini Konidari, Khrystyna Kushnir, Mathilde Lugger, Frédéric Meunier, Haya Mortada, Joanna Nasr, Marie-Jeanne Ndiaye, Albert Nogués i Comas, Nadia Novik, Tigran Parvanyan, María Antonia Quesada Gámez, Parvina Rakhimova, Morgann Courtney Reeves, Anna Reva, Margarida Rodrigues, Julie Ryan, Valentina Saltane, Jayashree Srinivasan, Mihaela Stangu, Shraddha Suresh, Brandon Thompson, Erick Tjong, Camille Henri Vaillon, Yelizaveta Yanovich,

Marilyne Youbi, Inés Zabalbeitia Múgica and Yasmin Zand. Thuraiya Alhashmi, Ahmad Famm AlKhuzam, Noimot Olaide Bakare, Kate Aoife Brolley, Ana Paula Cañedo Guichard, Imani Cherry, Adelaida Correa Miranda, Flavio Cesar Cultrera Munoz, Bidisha Das, Diane Davoine, Stephanie Desjardins, Imane Fahli, Emma Valentina Fernandez Diaz, Leo Forder, McSwain Pello Forkoh, Juan David Garcia Vidal, Albe Gjonbalaj, Baya Hariche, Dimitra Christina Heliotis, Fjolla Kondirolli, Gbogbo Nina Marie-Laure Kouadio, Margaux Veronica Roussel, Roxanne Moin-Safa, Aurelio Nascimento de Amaral, Albert D. Nyuangar Jr., Madwani Phanord-Cadet, Renaud Poizat, Lourdes Sabina Poma Canazaca, Katerina Louisa Roumeliotis, Tetyana Sydorenko, Alessandra Volpe Martinez, Yaxin Yan and Ana Maria Zárate Moreno assisted in the months before publication.

The *Doing Business* Advisory Board, which includes leading academics, corporate leaders and policy makers, provides guidance and advice on broader strategic opportunities for *Doing Business* and on areas for expansion and improvement. Its members are: Timothy Besley, Robert D. Cooter, Eleni Gabre-Madhin, Aart Kraay, Felipe Larraín Bascuñán, Ann-Marie Leroy, Anand Mahindra and Dani Rodrik.

The selling to the government data was collected by Elisabeth Danon, Natalia Del Valle Catoni, Maria Paula Gutierrez Casadiego, Raquel Mayer Cuesta, Sophie Pouget and Dima Rbeiz. The team was supervised by Tania Ghossein and Federica Saliola.

The online service of the *Doing Business* database is managed by Andres Baquero Franco, Varun Doiphode, Fengsheng Huang, Arun Chakravarthi Nageswaran, Kunal Patel, Jiawen Peng, Kamalesh Sengaonkar, Bishal Raj Thakuri and Hashim Zia. The *Doing Business* 2017 outreach strategy is managed by Indira Chand, under the general direction of Phillip Jeremy Hay with support from World Bank Group communications colleagues around the world.

The team is grateful for the valuable comments provided by colleagues in the World Bank Group (both on the draft report and on the changes in the methodology) and outside the World Bank Group (on the changes in the methodology) and for the guidance provided by World Bank Group Executive Directors. The team would especially like to acknowledge the comments and guidance of Gabi Afram, Ahmad Ahsan, Ratchada Anantavrasilpa, Pedro Antmann, Leah April, Elmas Arisoy, Rajul Awasthi, Katherine Baer, Svetlana Bagaudinova, Amina A. Bajwa, Jennifer Barsky, Amjad Bashir, David Bridgman, Abel L. Caamano, James A. Brumby, Rodrigo Chaves, Simon Chirwa, Tamoya Christie, Anna Y. Chytla, Julian Clarke, Richard Damania, Thomas

Dane, Arsala Deane, Jorge Familiar Calderon, Marianne Fay, Elsa Felipe, Ana Margarida Fernandes, Achim Fock, Vivien Foster, Charles Fox, Fabrizio Fraboni, Ernesto Franco-Temple, Indermit Gill, Anabel Gonzalez, Caren Grown, Eva Gutierrez, Faris Hadad-Zervos, Lucia Hammer, Antony Bryan Hazeldon Lythgoe, Caroline Heider, Vivian Y.N. Hon, Mombert Hoppe, Neville Howlett, Yoichiro Ishihara, Melissa Johns, Michael Keen, Saida Khamidova, Arthur Kochnayyan, Aphichoke (Andy) Kotikula, Arvo Kuddo, Keith E. Hansen, Peter Ladegaard, John Litwack, Jean Michel Lobet, Gladys Lopez-Acevedo, Elaine MacEachern, Oscar Madeddu, Sanja Madzarevic-Sujster, William F. Maloney, Susan Maslen, Shabih Ali Mohib, Fredesvinda F. Montes Herraiz, Alejandro Moreno, Rafael Moreno, Khampao Nanthavong, Andrew Kazora Okello, Maria Beatriz Orlando, Victoria Perry, Carlos Pinerua, Alban Pruthi, Alvaro Quijandría, Martin Rama, Colin Ewell Wesley Raymond, Alberto Rodriguez, Luz Maria Salamina, Shalini Sankaranarayanan, Inka Schomer, Sudhir Shetty, Vannara Sok, Richard Spencer, Murat Sultanov, Laura Tuck, Joel A. Turkewitz, Aman Ullah, Mahesh Uttamchandani, Robert Utz, Jos Verbeek, Marijn Verhoeven and Justin O.S. Zake.

The paying taxes project was conducted in collaboration with PwC, led by Stef van Weeghel.

Bronwen Brown edited the manuscript. Corporate Visions, Inc. designed the report and the graphs.

Doing Business would not be possible without the expertise and generous input of a network of more than 12,500 local partners, including legal experts, business consultants, accountants, freight forwarders, government officials and other professionals routinely administering or advising on the relevant legal and regulatory requirements in the 190 economies covered. Contact details for local partners are available on the *Doing Business* website at <http://www.doingbusiness.org>.

The names of the local partners wishing to be acknowledged individually are listed below. The global and regional contributors listed are firms that have completed multiple questionnaires in their various offices around the world.

GLOBAL CONTRIBUTORS

*ADVOCATES FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT
AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION, SECTION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW
BAKER & MCKENZIE
BDO
COUBRI LAW FIRM
DELOITTE
DENTONS
DLA PIPER
ERNST & YOUNG
FIABCI, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION
GRATA LAW FIRM
IUS LABORIS - ALLIANCE OF LABOR, EMPLOYMENT, BENEFITS AND PENSIONS LAW FIRMS
KPMG
LAW SOCIETY OF ENGLAND AND WALES
LEX MUNDI, ASSOCIATION OF INDEPENDENT LAW FIRMS
PANALPINA
PwC¹
RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
SDV INTERNATIONAL LOGISTICS*

REGIONAL CONTRIBUTOR

*A.P. MOLLER - MAERSK GROUP
ARIAS & MUÑOZ
ASHURST LLP
ASSOCIATION OF CONSUMER CREDIT INFORMATION SUPPLIERS (ACCIS)
BOGA & ASSOCIATES
DFDL
GARCÍA & BODÁN
GARRIGUES
GIDE LOYRETTE NOUVEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
GRANT THORNTON
MAYER BROWN
JOHN W. FHOOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP
MIRANDA & ASOCIADOS
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT
SORAINEN
TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)
TRANSUNION INTERNATIONAL
WHITE & CASE*

AFGHANISTAN

*ICON TRADING AND FORWARDING COMPANY
Naseer Ahmad
DA AFGHANISTAN
BRESHNA SHERKAT
Taqi Ahmad
A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PwC NETWORK
Najibullah Ahmadi
SKYWARDS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
Maroof Ahmadzai
WAHDQUDRAT LTD.*

Mirwais Alami

*DA AFGHANISTAN
BRESHNA SHERKAT*

Sayed Farzad Alavi

EUROPEAN TECHNOLOGY COMPANY

Ziaullah Astana

AFGHAN LAND CONSULTING ORGANIZATION (ALCO)

Shaheryar Aziz

A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PwC NETWORK

Zalmal Bakhtyari

BAKHTR-E-BASTAN SOLAR WIND

Mazhar Bangash

RIAA BARKER GILLETTE

Nadia Bazidwal
Nooraqa Dawari
STONECUTTER COMPANY
Suleman Fatimie
AFGHANISTAN FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC
Sultan Maqsood Fazel
QADERDAN ELECTRICITY COMPANY
Chantal Grut
ROSENSTOCK LEGAL SERVICES
Naheed Hababi
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Sayed Jawid Hashemi
MASNAD LEGAL CONSULTANCY
Ahmad Khalid Hatam
RIAA BARKER GILLETTE
Saduddin Haziq
AFGHAN UNITED BANK
Hussain Ali Hekmat
IKMAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
Conan Higgins
TSI LEGAL ENTERPRISES, PC
Mohammad Homayoon
DA AFGHANISTAN BRESHNA SHERKAT
Rashid Ibrahim
A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PwC NETWORK
Umaira Iqbal Raza
RIAA BARKER GILLETTE
Ahmad Jawid Karimzada
KABUL MUNICIPALITY
Mudassir Khan
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Wisal Khan
LEGAL ORACLES
Gaurav Lekh Raj Kukreja
AFGHAN CONTAINER TRANSPORT COMPANY
Khalid Massoudi
MASNAD LEGAL CONSULTANCY
Tali Mohammad
AFGHANISTAN INVESTMENT SUPPORT AGENCY
Abdul Qayoum Mohammadi
SKYWARDS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
Saqib Naseer
A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PwC NETWORK
Abdul Nasser Nazari
RAINBOW CONSULTING SERVICES
Tariq Nazarwall
DEHSABZ CITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, INDEPENDENT BOARD OF KABUL NEW CITY DEVELOPMENT
Gul Pacha
AFGHANISTAN INVESTMENT SUPPORT AGENCY
Essa Parwani
RIAA BARKER GILLETTE
Habiburahman Qaderdan
QADERDAN ELECTRICITY COMPANY
Tamsil Rashid
AFGHANISTAN INTERNATIONAL BANK

Abdul Sami Saber
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Ali Saberi
IKMAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
Abdul Rahim Saeedi
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY
Mohammad Ashoqullah Safi
ENSAF BLOCK CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
Zahid Safi
RIAA BARKER GILLETTE
Abdul Nasser Sahak
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Abdul Hanan Salam
AFGHAN LAND CONSULTING ORGANIZATION (ALCO)
Khalil Sediq
AFGHANISTAN INTERNATIONAL BANK
Mohammad Ismail Shahid
LEGAL ORACLES
Saeeq Shajan
SHAJIAN & ASSOCIATES
Asiyah Sharifi
AFGHANISTAN FINANCIAL SERVICES LLC
Sharifullah Shirzad
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Nagina Sultani
LEGAL ORACLES
Mohammad Mukhtar Tahwi
WIN KABUL-İSTANBUL
M. Taimur Taimur
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Gul Rahman Totakhai
Najibullah Wardak
MINISTRY OF FINANCE
Maseeh Ahmad Wassil
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Mohammadi Khan Yaqoobi
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Nesar Ahmad Yosufzai
DA AFGHANISTAN BANK
Mohammad Zarif
KABUL MUNICIPALITY
Rohullah Zarif
ACCL INTERNATIONAL

ALBANIA

*WOLF THEISS
Iris Ago
ABKONS
Marsida Agolli
ABKONS
Anjola Aliaj
OPTIMA LEGAL AND FINANCIAL
Ermelinda Alimeri
ABKONS
Enkelejda Alite
ENKELEJDA ALITE ACCOUNTANT
Artur Asllani
TONUCI & PARTNERS
Ditjon Baboci
URBAN PLANNING AND INFRASTRUCTURE
Redjan Basha
ABKONS*

Boiken Bendo
BENDO LAW, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

Jona Bica
ERNST & YOUNG

Arben Bicoku
ALBANIAN ASSOCIATION OF ARCHITECTS

Armando Bode
BOGA & ASSOCIATES

Genc Boga
BOGA & ASSOCIATES

Arta Bozo
BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM

Njazuela Braholli
GIKA & ASSOCIATES

Jori Bregasi
HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA

Ledian Bregasi
ALBANIAN UNION OF ARCHITECTS

Denada Breshanj
ABKONS

Irma Cacaj
BOGA & ASSOCIATES

Megi Caushi
AVANNITIVE CONSULTING SH.P.K

Ilir Daci
OPTIMA LEGAL AND FINANCIAL

Deniz Deralla
BANK OF ALBANIA

Eniana Dupi
AEKO CONSULTING

Ana Dylgjeri
BANK OF ALBANIA

Sokol Elmazaj
BOGA & ASSOCIATES

Jonida Gaba
MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Lorena Gega
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.

Aurela Gjokutaj
AL-TAX CENTER

Ermira Gjoncaj
KUEHNE + NAGEL LTD.

Valbona Gjonçari
BOGA & ASSOCIATES

Shirli Gorencë
KALO & ASSOCIATES

Florian Hasko
TASHKO PUSTINA - ATTORNEYS

Maksim R. Haxhia
HAXHIA & HAJDARI

Enis Hoxha
IMMOVABLE PROPERTY REGISTRATION OFFICE

Shpati Hoxha
HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA

Elona Hoxhaj
BOGA & ASSOCIATES

Jolita Hoxholli
TASHKO PUSTINA - ATTORNEYS

Elira Hroni
KALO & ASSOCIATES

Eris Hysi
HAXHIA & HAJDARI

¹. "PwC" refers to the network of member firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited (PwCIL), or, as the context requires, individual member firms of the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity and does not act as agent of PwCIL or any other member firm. PwCIL does not provide any services to clients. PwCIL is not responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any of its member firms nor can it control the exercise of their professional judgment or bind them in any way. No member firm is responsible or liable for the acts or omissions of any other member firm nor can it control the exercise of another member firm's professional judgment or bind another member firm or PwCIL in any way.

Brunilda Jegeni <i>REGISTRY OF SECURITY PLEDGES</i>	Ketrin Topçiu <i>BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Mohand Larbi Ikram Chikhi	Filipa Faustino Arenga <i>ADCA LAW FIRM</i>	Sandra Saraiva <i>GABINETE LÉGAL ANGOLA – ADVOGADOS</i>
Ilir Joholari <i>HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA</i>	Oltion Toro <i>GJKA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Said Dib <i>BANQUE D'ALGERIE</i>	Augusto Balaso <i>ENDE-EP</i>	Maikel Steve <i>CENTER FOR ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN CAZENGA</i>
Neritan Kallfa <i>TONUCCI & PARTNERS</i>	Floralba Trebicka <i>HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA</i>	Ahmed Djouadi <i>LAW FIRM HADI-HAMOU & DJOUADI - ASSOCIATE OFFICE OF DENTONS</i>	Guilherme Carreira <i>EDIFER ANGOLA</i>	Renata Valenti <i>GABINETE LÉGAL ANGOLA – ADVOGADOS</i>
Miranda Kapllani <i>BENIMPEX & CO.</i>	Alketa Uruçi <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Mourad El Besseggi <i>CABINET EL BESSEGGI</i>	Luis Filipe Carvalho <i>ADCA LAW FIRM</i>	M.C. Vasnani <i>CONSOLIDATED SHIPPING AGENCIES LIMITED</i>
Olta Kazaj <i>AVANTTIVE CONSULTING SH.P.K</i>	Gerhard Velaj <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Brahima Embouazza <i>MCD CONSULTING</i>	Ricardo Cassenda <i>ENDE-EP</i>	Patrícia Viana <i>ABREU ADVOGADOS</i>
Aliel Kika <i>KIKA SH.P.K.</i>	Vasilika Vjero <i>TIRANA MUNICIPALITY REGISTRATION</i>	Hamil Faidi <i>STUDIO A</i>	Irineu Chingala <i>LOURDES CAPOSSO FERNANDES & ASSOCIADOS (LCF)</i>	Antônio Vicente Marques <i>AVM ADVOGADOS</i>
Avenir Kika <i>KIKA SH.P.K.</i>	Flavia Xhafo <i>KALO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Khaled Goussanem <i>LAW FIRM GOUSSANEM & ALOUI</i>	Marie-Laurence Ciccarone <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	Amaury Vrignaud <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS ANGOLA</i>
Merita Kola <i>REGISTRY OF SECURITY PLEDGES</i>	Elona Xhepa <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Mohamed El-Amine Haddad <i>CABINET DE MAÎTRE AMINE HADDAD</i>	Nelson Couto-Cabral <i>3C INTERNATIONAL</i>	
Evelina Koldashi <i>MCL SH.P.K. (MANAGEMENT CONSULTING & LAW)</i>	Enida Zeneli <i>BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Sakina Haddad <i>CRÉDIT POPULAIRE D'ALGERIE</i>	Inês Cunha <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	
Dionis Kolila <i>KALO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Lareda Zenuna <i>GJKA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Ali Hamadache <i>CONSERVATION FONCIÈRE D'ALGER</i>	Miguel de Avillez Pereira <i>ABREU ADVOGADOS</i>	
Ilir Korbi <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>		Samir Hamouda <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS SAMIR HAMOUDA</i>	Alexandre Fernandes <i>AFBS PARTNERS</i>	
Rudi Laze <i>BOZO & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>		Issaad M. Hand <i>MINISTÈRE DES FINANCES - DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Lourdes Caposso Fernandes <i>LOURDES CAPOSSO FERNANDES & ASSOCIADOS</i>	
Renata Leka <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>		Halim Karabadjji <i>SOCIÉTÉ DISTRIBUTION DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ D'ALGER (SDA)</i>	Arnold Ferreira <i>FBL ADVOGADOS</i>	
Arbëri Lloshi <i>OPTIMA LEGAL AND FINANCIAL</i>		Yamina Kebir <i>LAW OFFICE OF YAMINA KEBIR</i>	Beatriz Ferreira de Andrade dos Santos <i>BANCO NACIONAL DE ANGOLA</i>	
Ari Luarasi <i>OSHEE (OPERATORI I SHPERNDARJES SE ENERGIJSE ELEKTRIKE)</i>		Abdelmalek Kherbachene <i>BOUCHEMLA LANOUAR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Luís Fraústo Varona <i>ABREU ADVOGADOS</i>	
Emirjon Marku <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>		Bachir Khodja <i>SNC KHODJA ET CIE</i>	Mafalda Granjo <i>PWC PORTUGAL</i>	
Rezarta Mataj <i>TIRANA DISTRICT COURT</i>		Farouk Lakli <i>LAKELEC</i>	José Helder da Conceição <i>INSTITUTO DE PLANEAMENTO E GESTÃO URBANA DO GOVERNO PROVINCIAL DE LUANDA</i>	
Arbjan Mazniku <i>MUNICIPALITY OF TIRANA</i>		Samira Lalig <i>GLOBAL ASSISTANCE</i>	Guimara Lopes <i>FBL ADVOGADOS</i>	
Andi Memi <i>HOXHA, MEMI & HOXHA</i>		Mohamed Lanouar <i>BOUCHEMLA LANOUAR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	António Manuel da Silva <i>INSTITUTO REGULADOR DOS SERVIÇOS DE ELECTRICIDADE E ÁGUAS (IRSEA)</i>	
Eglon Metalia <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>		Vincent Lunel <i>DS AVOCATS</i>	Arcelio Matias <i>ARCÉLIO INÁCIO DE ALMEIDA MATIAS – ARDIA-PRESTAÇÃO DE SERVIÇOS E CONSULTORIA, LDA</i>	
Bora Mužaqi <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.</i>		Nabil Belloula <i>CABINET BELLOULA</i>	Marcos Neto <i>BANCO NACIONAL DE ANGOLA</i>	
Drini Nushi <i>ABKONS</i>		Tayeb Belloula <i>CABINET BELLOULA</i>	Luis Miguel Nunes <i>AVM ADVOGADOS</i>	
Albulen Pano <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.</i>		Farid Beloui <i>STUDIO A</i>	Júlio Pascoal <i>ENDE-EP</i>	
Loreta Peci <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.</i>		Mohammed Tahar Benabd <i>CABINET MOHAMMED TAHAR BENABD</i>	Alexandre Pegado <i>ALEXANDRE PEGADO - ESCRITÓRIO DE ADVOGADOS</i>	
Ilda Perdhiku <i>ENKO STUDIO KONTABILITETI</i>		Abdelouahab Benali <i>TRANSIT MOUHOUB KAMAL</i>	Joaquim Piedade <i>UNICARGAS</i>	
Florian Piperi <i>OPTIMA LEGAL AND FINANCIAL</i>		Amina Bencharif <i>SHERCA CONCEPT</i>	Laurinda Prazeres Cardoso <i>FBL ADVOGADOS</i>	
Floran Pustina <i>TASHKO PUSTINA - ATTORNEYS</i>		Mohamed Salah Benhammou <i>ACCOUNTANT</i>	José Quarta <i>INSTITUTO REGULADOR DOS SERVIÇOS DE ELECTRICIDADE E ÁGUAS (IRSEA)</i>	
Krisela Qirushi <i>GJKA & ASSOCIATES</i>		Adnane Bouchaib <i>BOUCHAIB LAW FIRM</i>	Maurilson Ramos <i>GABINETE LÉGAL ANGOLA – ADVOGADOS</i>	
Loriana Robo <i>KALO & ASSOCIATES</i>		Mohamed Boudaoud <i>SARL GCELEC</i>	Amine Zerhouni <i>BDO</i>	
Jonida Skendaj <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>		Hamid Bougħenou <i>BECOME SCP</i>	ANGOLA	
Ardjana Shehi <i>KALO & ASSOCIATES</i>		Rachida Bougħenou <i>BECOME SCP</i>		
Flonia Tashko-Borici <i>TASHKO PUSTINA - ATTORNEYS</i>		Hafida Bounefrat <i>ACCOUNTANT</i>		
Besa Tauzi <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>		Merouane Chabane <i>SOCIÉTÉ DISTRIBUTION DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ D'ALGER (SDA)</i>		

Arthur Thomas THOMAS, JOHN & CO.	Javier M. Gattó Bicain CANDIOTI GATTO BICAIN & OCANTOS	Juan Ignacio Ruiz ALFARO ABOGADOS	Karapet Badalyan PRUDENCE LEGAL CJSC	Sargis Manukyan YEREVAN CITY MUNICIPALITY
Marietta Warren INTERFREIGHT LTD.	Giselle Rita Geuna ALFARO ABOGADOS	Luz María Salomón J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS	Sayad Badalyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC	Nshan Martirosyan MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT
ARGENTINA		Ramiro Santurio LEONHARDT, DIETL, GRAF & VON DER FECHT	Anush Baghdasaryan AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP	Lilit Matevosyan PwC ARMENIA
PETROBRAS	Juan Jose Glusman PwC ARGENTINA	Enrique Schinelli LEONHARDT, DIETL, GRAF & VON DER FECHT	Vahagn Balyan AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP	Vahagn Mikayelyan HSBC BANK
Martinica Abal Gallardon WIENER SOTO CAPARRÓS	Matías Grinberg SEVERGNINI, ROBIOLA, GRINBERG & TOMBEUR	Liliana Cecilia Segade LAPRIDA, GOÑI MORENO & GONZÁLEZ URROZ	Irina Belubekyan UNION OF MANUFACTURERS AND BUSINESSMEN (EMPLOYERS) OF ARMENIA	Vahe Movsisyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC
Ignacio Acedo GONZALEZ & FERRARO MILA	Gonzalo María Gros J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS	Carolina Serra ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Vartan Bezhanyan LAW FACULTY, YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY	Rajiv Nagri GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP
Sebastian Alvarez BRONS & SALAS ABOGADOS	José Guerracino HOPE, DUGGAN & SILVA	Federico Guillermo G. BREUER	Aharon Chilingaryan PARADIGMA ARMENIA CJSC	Narine Nersisyan PwC ARMENIA
Natalia Artmann ALFARO ABOGADOS	Federico Guillermo Absi G. BREUER	Federico Sosa ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Azat Dunamalyan ARSHINBANK CJSC	Nerves Nersisyan PwC ARMENIA
Alejo Baca Castex G. BREUER	Federico Guillermo Absi G. BREUER	Maria Florencia Sota Vazquez ALFARO ABOGADOS	Ani Galstyan MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Satenik Nubaryan LEGAL LAB
Vanesa Balda VITALE, MANOFF & FEILBOGEN	Gabriela Hidalgo GABRIELA HIDALGO	Pablo Staszewski STASZEWSKI & ASSOCIATES	Avetis Gevorgyan PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION ACADEMY OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA	Karen Petrosyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC
Gonzalo Carlos Ballester J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS	Daniel Intile RUSSELL BEDFORD ARGENTINA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Javier Tarasido SEVERGNINI, ROBIOLA, GRINBERG & TOMBEUR	Shoghik Gharibyan KPMG	Naira Petrosyan PARADIGMA ARMENIA CJSC
Néstor J. Belgrano M. & M. BOMCHIL	Russell Bedford Argentina - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Ricardo Tavieres PwC ARGENTINA	Arsen Ghazaryan UNION OF MANUFACTURERS AND BUSINESSMEN (EMPLOYERS) OF ARMENIA	Sarhat Petrosyan URBANLAB YEREVAN
Fiorella Belisito SEVERGNINI, ROBIOLA, GRINBERG & TOMBEUR	Andrea Junquera CANDIOTI GATTO BICAIN & OCANTOS	Adolfo Tombolini RUSSELL BEDFORD ARGENTINA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Mihran Grigoryan AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP	Suren Petrosyan SP CONSULTING LLC
Pilar Etcheverry Boneo MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Federico Leonhardt LEONHARDT, DIETL, GRAF & VON DER FECHT	Marcos Torassa TORASSA & O'DONNEL	Tigran Grigoryan AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP	Hayk Pogosyan ARSAROTEX LLC
Ignacio Fernández Borzese LUNA REQUENA & FERNÁNDEZ BORZESE TAX LAW FIRM	Pilar Lodewyckx Hardy ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Martín Torres Girotti M. & M. BOMCHIL	Alla Hakhnazaryan LEGELATA	Nare Sahakyan ARSHINBANK CJSC
Nicolás Bühler HOPE, DUGGAN & SILVA	Tomás M. Fiorito FIORITO MURRAY & DIAZ CORDERO	María Paola Trigiani ALFARO ABOGADOS	Anahit Hakhumyan MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT	Thomas Samuelian ARLEX INTERNATIONAL CJSC
Adriana Paola Caballero WIENER SOTO CAPARRÓS	Juan Manuel Magadan PwC ARGENTINA	Emilio Beccar Varela ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Artak Hovakimyan BIG ENERGO LLC	Gor Shahbazyan PwC ARMENIA
Federico Carenzo LEONHARDT & DIETL	Julian Melis CANDIOTI GATTO BICAIN & OCANTOS	Abraham Viera PLANOSNET.COM CONSULTORIA MUNICIPAL	Izabela Hovhannisyani EBRD BUSINESS SUPPORT OFFICE	Ruben Shakhmuradyan COMFORT R&V
Gabriela Caríssimo ALFARO ABOGADOS	Maria Fernanda Mierez ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Roberto Wiman GREEN INGENIERÍA	Mariam Hovsepyan TER-TACHATYAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING	Hakob Tadevosyan GRANT THORNTON LLP
Mariano E. Carricart BADENI, CANTILLO, LAPLACETTE & CARRICART	José Oscar Mira CENTRAL BANK OF ARGENTINA	Joaquín Emilio Zappa J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS	Angela Hovshannisyani TER-TACHATYAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING	Mikael Vardgesyan HSBC BANK
Luciano Cativa LUNA REQUENA & FERNÁNDEZ BORZESE TAX LAW FIRM	Jorge Miranda CLIPPERS SA	ARMENIA	Diana Javadyan CENTRAL BANK OF ARMENIA	Tserun Voskanyan ELECTRIC NETWORKS OF ARMENIA
Agustín Comastri G. BREUER	Miguel P. Murray MURRAY, ANGUILLESI, GUYOT, ROSSI & SIRITO DE ZAVALÍA	URBAN LOGISTIC SERVICES	Vahram Jotyan GOSSELIN	Emilia Yeghiazaryan CENTRAL BANK OF ARMENIA
Roberto H. Crouzel ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Pablo Murray FIORITO MURRAY & DIAZ CORDERO	Sergey Aghinyan PUBLIC SERVICES REGULATORY COMMISSION OF ARMENIA	Vahe G. Kakoyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC	Arman Yesayan ALFA SYSTEM TECHNOLOGIES
Valeria D'Alessandro MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Pedro Nicholson ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Ani Alaverdyan AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP	Arshak Karapetyan INVESTMENT LAW GROUP LLC	Liana Yordanyan TER-TACHATYAN LEGAL AND BUSINESS CONSULTING
Nicolás Debernardi HOPE, DUGGAN & SILVA	Luciano Jose Nistico J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS	Amalia Artemyan PARADIGMA ARMENIA CJSC	Rafik Khachatryan KPMG	Aram Zakaryan ACRA CREDIT BUREAU
Carola Del Rio SEVERGNINI, ROBIOLA, GRINBERG & TOMBEUR	Alfredo Miguel O'Farrell MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Zaruhi Arzuamyan LEGELATA	Vigen Khachatryan AVENUE CONSULTING GROUP	AUSTRALIA
Oscar Alberto del Rio CENTRAL BANK OF ARGENTINA	Gabriela E. Orsini SENTIDO COMÚN	Hayk Asatryan YEREVAN CITY MUNICIPALITY	Stepan Khzrtian LEGAL LAB	ATTORNEY-GENERAL'S DEPARTMENT AUSGRID
Noelia Aldana Di Stefano J.P. O'FARRELL ABOGADOS	Federico Jorge Panero INTERNATIONAL NOTARY OF ARGENTINA	Narek Ashughatoyan LEGAL LAB	Nelli Kirakosyan CENTRAL BANK OF ARMENIA	Harold Bolitho King & Wood MALLESONS
Andrés Edelstein PwC ARGENTINA	Javier M. Petrantonio M. & M. BOMCHIL	Ella Atoyan PwC ARMENIA	Sergey Avetisyan MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Lynda Brumm PwC AUSTRALIA
Joaquín Eppens Echague FIORITO MURRAY & DIAZ CORDERO	Alejandro Poletto ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Aram Ayvazyan YEREVAN MUNICIPALITY	Aram Ayvazyan YEREVAN MUNICIPALITY	Amanda Coneyworth FERRIER HODGSON LIMITED
Pablo Ferraro Mila GONZALEZ & FERRARO MILA	María Clara Pujol WIENER SOTO CAPARRÓS	Sebastián Rodrigo ALFARO ABOGADOS	Albert Babayan MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Mark Dalby OFFICE OF STATE REVENUE, NSW TREASURY
Diego M. Fissore G. BREUER	Federico José Reibestein REIBESTEIN & ASOCIADOS	Teodoro Rodríguez Cáceres G. BREUER	Arayik Kurdyan YEREVAN MUNICIPALITY	Stephen Davis NEXIA AUSTRALIA
Arq. Eduardo Galleazzi ARCHITECT	Sebastián Rodrigo ALFARO ABOGADOS		Hayk Mamajanyan ARLEX INTERNATIONAL CJSC	Kristy Dixon MARQUE LAWYERS
Martín Gastaldi ESTUDIO BECCAR VARELA	Teodoro Rodríguez Cáceres G. BREUER			Ali Dogan M+K LAWYERS
				Steven Dowsley DOWSLEY ELECTRICAL

Mike Gooley <i>MCKENZIE GROUP</i>	Georg Brandstetter <i>BRANDSTETTER, BAURECHT, PRITZ & PARTNER RECHTSANWÄLTE KG</i>	Johannes Mrazek <i>AUSTRIAN REGULATORY AUTHORITY</i>	Kathrin Zeller <i>FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER</i>	Zumrud Ibrahim <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED</i>
Philip Harvey <i>KING & WOOD MALLESONS</i>	Manfred Buric <i>FEDERAL MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Gerhard Muggenhuber <i>BEV - FEDERAL OFFICE OF METROLOGY & SURVEYING</i>	Jasna Zwitter-Tehovnik <i>DLA PIPER WEISS-TESSBACH RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Elchin Ibrahimov <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND INDUSTRY</i>
Owen Hayford <i>CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Marta Chalupa <i>REVISIONSTREUHAND - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Thomas Müller <i>FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER</i>	AZERBAIJAN	Mehti Ilgar <i>EKVITA</i>
Ian Humphreys <i>ASHURST LLP</i>	Thomas Deutinger <i>FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER</i>	Elke Napokoj <i>BPV HÜGEL RECHTSANWÄLTE OG</i>	Aygun Abasova <i>MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Alakbar Ismayilzada <i>CENTRAL BANK OF AZERBAIJAN</i>
Jennifer Ingram <i>CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Martin Eckel <i>TAYLOR WESSING ENWMC NATLACEN WALDERDORF CANCOLA RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Nikolaus Neubauer <i>PWC AUSTRIA</i>	Ophelia Abdulaeva <i>DENTONS</i>	Ummi Jalilova <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>
Eric Janssens <i>VEDA (AN EQUIFAX COMPANY)</i>	Agnes Eigner <i>BRANDSTETTER, BAURECHT, PRITZ & PARTNER RECHTSANWÄLTE KG</i>	Felix Neuwirth <i>FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER</i>	Parviz Abdullayev <i>PWC AZERBAIJAN</i>	Elshad Khanalibayli <i>THE STATE COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY ISSUES</i>
Stephen Jauncey <i>HENRY DAVIS YORK</i>	Julius Ernst <i>BEV</i>	Martin Österreicher <i>GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Husniyye Abdullayeva <i>MINISTRY OF TAXES</i>	Umit Konyar <i>AZERSUN</i>
James Johnston <i>ASHURST LLP</i>	Tibor Fabian <i>BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Christopher Peitsch <i>CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Chingiz Agarzaev <i>CHIZA ARCHITECTURAL BUREAU</i>	Elena Lee <i>MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.</i>
Onkar Kale <i>PWC AUSTRALIA</i>	Julian Feichtinger <i>CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Angelika Prichystal <i>KSV 1870</i>	Hamid Aghahuseynov <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Elnur Mammadov <i>PWC AZERBAIJAN</i>
John Karantonis <i>CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Leopold Ferch <i>GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Moritz Salzgeber <i>BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Ilham Ahmedov <i>BAKU ADMINISTRATIVE-ECONOMICAL COURT NO. 1</i>	Elshad Mammadov <i>THE STATE COMMITTEE ON PROPERTY ISSUES</i>
Morgan Kelly <i>FERRIER HODGSON LIMITED</i>	Martin Foerster <i>GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Johannes Samaan <i>FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER</i>	Nigar Aimova <i>MINISTRY OF TAXES</i>	Sahib Mammadov <i>CITIZENS' LABOUR RIGHTS PROTECTION LEAGUE</i>
Felicia Lal <i>MARQUE LAWYERS</i>	Ferdinand Graf <i>GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Edwin Scharf <i>SCWP SCHINDHELM AUSTRIA</i>	Eldar Abuzarovich Aliev <i>STATE AGENCY FOR THE CONTROL OF CONSTRUCTION SAFETY</i>	Zaur Mammadov <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>
Peter Leonard <i>GILBERT + TOBIN LAWYERS</i>	Andreas Hable <i>BINDER GRÖSSWANG RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Georg Schima <i>KUNZ SCHIMA WALLENTIN RECHTSANWÄLTE OG, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Jamil Alizada <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED</i>	Kamal Mammadzada <i>DENTONS</i>
Angus Luffman <i>VEDA (AN EQUIFAX COMPANY)</i>	Sebastian Haensen <i>GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Stephan Schmalzl <i>GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Farid Amirov <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND INDUSTRY</i>	Safar Mehdiyev <i>AZERBAIJAN CUSTOMS COMMITTEE</i>
John Martin <i>THOMSON GEER</i>	Herbert Herzig <i>AUSTRIAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</i>	Ernst Schmidt <i>HALPERN & PRINZ</i>	Aykhan Asadov <i>BM MORRISON PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Gumru Mehdiyeva <i>BHM BAKU LAW CENTRE LLC</i>
Nicholas Mavrikis <i>CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Verena Hitzinger <i>PWC AUSTRIA</i>	Alexander Schultmeyer <i>DLA PIPER WEISS-TESSBACH RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Ismail Askerov <i>MGB LAW OFFICES</i>	Rauf Memmedov <i>AZERBAIJAN CUSTOMS COMMITTEE</i>
Mark Maxwell <i>FUSION INDUSTRIES PTY LTD.</i>	Alexander Hofmann <i>RA DR. ALEXANDER HOFMANN, LL.M.</i>	Helmut Sprongl <i>AUSTRIAN REGULATORY AUTHORITY</i>	Iftixar Axundov <i>MINISTRY OF TAXES</i>	Telman Memmedov <i>MINISTRY OF TAXES</i>
Denis McCarthy <i>PWC AUSTRALIA</i>	Armin Immervoll <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Thomas Strassner <i>ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP</i>	Kamran Babayev <i>STATE COMMITTEE FOR SECURITIES</i>	Farhad Mirzayev <i>BM MORRISON PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Aaron McKenzie <i>MARQUE LAWYERS</i>	Alexander Isola <i>GRAF & PITKOWITZ RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Thomas Trettnak <i>CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Jamal Baghirov <i>BM MORRISON PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Ruslan Mukhtarov <i>BM MORRISON PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Des Mooney <i>DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE, SERVICES & INNOVATION</i>	Rudolf Kaindl <i>KAINDL DUERR SCHULLER-KOehler ANTENREITER & PARTNER CIVIL LAW NOTARIES</i>	Eugen Velicu <i>STRABAG SE</i>	Shahin Bagirov <i>AZERBAIJAN CUSTOMS COMMITTEE</i>	Farida Musayeva <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Patricia Muscat <i>PWC AUSTRALIA</i>	Rudolf Kettlgruber <i>FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER</i>	Birgit Vogt-Majarek <i>KUNZ SCHIMA WALLENTIN RECHTSANWÄLTE OG, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Farid Bakhshihev <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>	Altay Mustafayev <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED</i>
Garry Pritchard <i>EMIL FORD LAWYERS</i>	Alexander Klauser <i>BRAUNEIS KLAUSER PRÄNDL RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Gerhard Wagner <i>KSV 1870</i>	Erik Cahangir <i>ASNAF GROUP</i>	Turkan Mustafayeva <i>BHM BAKU LAW CENTRE LLC</i>
Mitchell Robertson <i>ASHURST LLP</i>	Christian Köttl <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Lukas A. Weber <i>BRAUNEIS KLAUSER PRÄNDL RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH</i>	Parviz Ilham Gasanov <i>EVRASCON</i>	Vusal Novruzov <i>AZERBAIJAN CUSTOMS COMMITTEE</i>
Cameron Robinson <i>TREASURY OF AUSTRALIA</i>	Rudolf Krickl <i>PwC AUSTRIA</i>	Arno Weigand <i>ÖFFENTLICHER NOTAR MMAG. DR. ARNO WEIGAND</i>	Arif Gulyev <i>Pwc AZERBAIJAN</i>	Sabina Orujova <i>DENTONS</i>
Dean Schiller <i>FAYMAN INTERNATIONAL PTY. LTD.</i>	Michaela Krist <i>CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Markus Winkler <i>CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Konul Guliyeva <i>Pwc AZERBAIJAN</i>	Ramiz Rustamov <i>SIN RRG MMC</i>
Ruwan Senanayake	Peter Madl <i>SCHOENHERR</i>	Natalia Wolfschwenger <i>SCHOENHERR</i>	Fatima Gurbanova <i>Pwc AZERBAIJAN</i>	Leyla Safarova <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED</i>
Damian Sturzaker <i>MARQUE LAWYERS</i>	Mario Maier <i>ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP</i>	Elisabeth Zehetner-Piewald <i>AUSTRIAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</i>	Elchin Habibov <i>CENTRAL BANK OF AZERBAIJAN</i>	Mustafa Salamov <i>BM MORRISON PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Simon Truskett <i>CLAYTON UTZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Gerald Mitteregger <i>INTERNATIONAL LOGISTIC GATEWAY</i>	Anton Zeilinger <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Arzu Hajiyeva <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Elchin Shirinov <i>AZERBAIJAN CUSTOMS COMMITTEE</i>
Dilini Waidyanatha			Nigar Hajiyeva <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED</i>	Sona Taghiyeva <i>DENTONS</i>
AUSTRIA			Shamkhal Hasanov <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED</i>	Anar A. Umudov <i>ALIBI PROFESSIONAL LEGAL & CONSULTING SERVICES</i>
<i>MINISTRY FOR SCIENCE, RESEARCH AND ECONOMY</i>			Qalib Hemidov <i>AZERISHIQ</i>	Ilkin Veliyev <i>MINISTRY OF TAXES</i>
Thomas Bareder <i>ÖSTERREICHISCHE NATIONAL BANK</i>			Farid Huseynov <i>EKVITA</i>	Michael Wilson <i>MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.</i>
Constantin Benes <i>SCHOENHERR</i>				
Nicole Bergsleitner <i>SCWP SCHINDHELM AUSTRIA</i>				

Aygun Zeynalova <i>MGB LAW OFFICES</i>	Burlington Strachan <i>BAHAMAS ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>	Ken Healy <i>PWC BAHRAIN</i>	Saady Amin <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSTRUCTIONS LTD.</i>	Rafiqul Islam <i>AMS & ASSOCIATES</i>
Ulvia Zeynalova-Bockin <i>DENTONS</i>	Roy Sweeting <i>GLINTON SWEETING O'BRIEN</i>	Brian Howard <i>TROWERS & HAMLINS</i>	Imran Anwar <i>TANJIB ALAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Aminul Islam Nazir <i>ASSURANCE MARITIME BANGLADESH LIMITED</i>
BAHAMAS, THE	Jody Wells <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Hessa Hussain <i>THE BENEFIT COMPANY</i>	Mohammed Asaduzzaman <i>SYED ISHTIAQ AHMED & ASSOCIATES</i>	Abdul Jabbar <i>A.S. & ASSOCIATES</i>
APD LIMITED	Dwayne Whilly <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Noora Janahi <i>HASSAN RADHI & ASSOCIATES</i>	A.S.A. Bari <i>A.S. & ASSOCIATES</i>	Karishma Jahan <i>THE LEGAL CIRCLE</i>
Bryan A. Glinton <i>GLINTON SWEETING O'BRIEN</i>	Nadia A. Wright <i>CHANCELLOR CHAMBERS</i>	Jawad Habib Jawad <i>BDO</i>	Avijit Barua <i>GRIHAYAN LIMITED</i>	Md. Kamruzzaman <i>KPMG</i>
Kevin Basden <i>BAHAMAS ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>		Sara Jawahery <i>ELHAM ALI HASSAN & ASSOCIATES</i>	Kapil Basu <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS PVT. LTD.</i>	Adnan M. L. Karim <i>KARIM & CO.</i>
Gowon Bowe <i>PwC BAHAMAS</i>		Ronald Langat <i>HAYA RASHED AL KHALIFA</i>	Sushmita Basu <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS PVT. LTD.</i>	Abdul Khaleque <i>FIALKA</i>
Sonia Brown <i>GRAPHITE ENGINEERING LTD.</i>		Saifuddin Mahmood <i>HASSAN RADHI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Md. Halim Bepari <i>HAFIZ AND HAQUE SOLICITORS</i>	A. R. M. Ahsanul Haq Khan <i>THE LEGAL CIRCLE</i>
Dayrrl Butler <i>MOORE STEPHENS BUTLER & TAYLOR CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS AND BUSINESS ADVISORS</i>		Omar Manassaki <i>ZU'BI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Gouranga Chakraborty <i>BANGLADESH BANK</i>	Abdul Monem Khan <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>
Jeremy Cafferata <i>FREEPORT SHIPPING SERVICES</i>		Hadeel Mohammed <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	Paavan Chhabra <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS</i>	Farhana Islam Khan <i>SYED ISHTIAQ AHMED & ASSOCIATES</i>
Anastasia Campbell <i>GRAHAM, THOMPSON & CO.</i>	Rana Abdulghaffar Al Alawi <i>ZU'BI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Eman Omar <i>ZU'BI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Jamilur Reza Chowdhury <i>UNIVERSITY OF ASIA PACIFIC</i>	Hafizur Rahaman Khan <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS CLP</i>
Surinder Deal <i>HIGGS & JOHNSON</i>	Ahmed Abdullah <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS, MUNICIPALITIES AND URBAN PLANNING</i>	Hassan Ali Radhi <i>HASSAN RADHI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Abu Naser Chowdhury <i>UNIVERSITY OF ASIA PACIFIC</i>	Mashfiqul Haque Khan <i>LEX JURIS</i>
Craig G. Delancy <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS & TRANSPORT</i>	Savio Aguiar <i>PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT LLP</i>	Najib F. Saade <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS</i>	Arif Moinuddin Chowdhury <i>MUNIM & ASSOCIATES</i>	Narita Khan <i>THE LEGAL CIRCLE</i>
Amos J. Ferguson Jr. <i>FERGUSON ASSOCIATES & PLANNERS</i>	Amel Al Aseeri <i>ZEENAT AL MANSOORI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Naji Sabt <i>SURVEY AND LAND REGISTRATION BUREAU</i>	Md. Liaquat H. Chowdhury <i>M.L.H. CHOWDHURY & CO.</i>	Sarjean Rahman Lian <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>
Wendy Forsythe <i>IMPORT EXPORT BROKERS LTD.</i>	Mahmood Al Asheeri <i>THE BENEFIT COMPANY</i>	Oleg Shmal <i>PWC BAHRAIN</i>	Prajna Roy Chowdhury <i>KARIM & CO.</i>	Kazi Mahboob <i>A. WAHAB & CO.</i>
Amanda John <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Zeenat Al Mansoori <i>ZEENAT AL MANSOORI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Esmond Hugh Stokes <i>ZU'BI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Junayed A. Chowdury <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>	Mohammad Moniruzzaman <i>THE LAW COUNSEL</i>
Kenneth L. Lightbourne <i>GRAHAM THOMPSON ATTORNEYS</i>	Salem Al Quti <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS, MUNICIPALITIES AND URBAN PLANNING</i>	Baiju Thomas <i>AGILITY LOGISTICS</i>	Md. Khademul Islam Choyon <i>RAHMAN'S CHAMBERS</i>	Mehran Morshed <i>HUQ AND CO.</i>
Ja'Ann Major <i>HIGGS & JOHNSON</i>	Reem Al Rayes <i>ZEENAT AL MANSOORI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Aseel Zimmo <i>SUPREME JUDICIAL COUNCIL</i>	Monjur Elahi <i>S.A. KHAN & ASSOCIATES</i>	Sayedul Munim <i>KARIM & CO.</i>
Simone Morgan-Gomez <i>CALLENDERS & CO.</i>	Waleed Al Sabbagh <i>BAHRAIN CUSTOMS</i>	BANGLADESH	Sheikh Faisal Ziad <i>TANJIB ALAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Nooshad Parvez <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS CLP</i>
Lester J. Mortimer Jr. <i>CALLENDERS & CO.</i>	Noor Al Taraif <i>ZU'BI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Chittagong Water Supply and Sewerage Authority	Imtaz Farooq <i>AHMED AND FAROOQ LP</i>	Fahad Qader <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS CLP</i>
Michael Moss <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Raju Alagarsamy <i>HASSAN RADHI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Dhaka Electricity Supply Company Ltd. (DESCO)	Abdullah Faruque <i>FACULTY OF LAW, UNIVERSITY OF CHITTAGONG</i>	Tanvir Quader <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>
Andrea Moultrie <i>HIGGS & JOHNSON</i>	Hazar Al-Sayed <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS, MUNICIPALITIES AND URBAN PLANNING</i>	Office of the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies and Firms	Osman Goni <i>OGR LEGAL</i>	Md. Faysal Rahaman <i>KPMG</i>
Portia Nicholson <i>HIGGS & JOHNSON</i>	Sana Amin <i>AMIN LAW FIRM</i>	Rahman's Chambers	Simon Guidecoq <i>HEALY CONSULTANTS</i>	Al Amin Rahman <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>
Andrew G.S. O'Brien II <i>GLINTON SWEETING O'BRIEN</i>	Nada Azmi <i>BAHRAIN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BOARD</i>	Mohammed Abu Sayed <i>ASSURANCE MARITIME BANGLADESH LIMITED</i>	M. Rezwanul Haque <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS CLP</i>	Anita Ghazi Rahman <i>THE LEGAL CIRCLE</i>
Courtney Pearce-Hanna <i>CALLENDERS & CO.</i>	Steven Brown <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS</i>	Sumaiya Ifrit Binte Ahmed <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>	Rafinur Haque <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS CLP</i>	Akther Rezvi <i>KPMG</i>
Lindsay Pinders <i>PINDERS CUSTOMS BROKERAGE</i>	Yousif Bubshait <i>PORTS AND MARITIME AFFAIRS - MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION</i>	Shammi Ahsan <i>VERTEX CHAMBERS</i>	Rashedul Haque <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS CLP</i>	Ridi Rubaiyat <i>TANJIB ALAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>
Kamala Richardson <i>GLINTON SWEETING O'BRIEN</i>	Samir Can'an <i>GULF HOUSE ENGINEERING S.P.C.</i>	Sayeed Abdullah Al Mamun Khan <i>A.S. & ASSOCIATES</i>	Mirza Quamrul Hasan <i>ADVISER'S LEGAL ALLIANCE FIRM</i>	S M Golam Sahria <i>KARIM & CO.</i>
Chad D. Roberts <i>CALLENDERS & CO.</i>	Laith Damer <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	K. M. Tanjib-ul Alam <i>TANJIB ALAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Muhammad Tanvir Hashem Munim <i>MUNIM & ASSOCIATES</i>	Toufiq Seraj <i>SHELTECH (PVT.) LTD.</i>
Alvan K. Rolle <i>ALVAN K. ROLLE & ASSOCIATES CO. LTD.</i>	Yousif Bubshait <i>PORTS AND MARITIME AFFAIRS - MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION</i>	Shajib Mahmood Alam <i>COUNSELS LAW PARTNERS CLP</i>	Anam Hossain <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>	Mohd. Shariful Islam Shaheen <i>BANGLADESH ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION</i>
Sophie Rolle <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Samir Can'an <i>GULF HOUSE ENGINEERING S.P.C.</i>	M.D. Nurul Amin <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSTRUCTIONS LTD.</i>	Farhana Hossain <i>FM ASSOCIATES</i>	Sohail Shakoor <i>PRONAYON</i>
Castino D. Sands <i>LENNOX PATON</i>	Laith Damer <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	Mehedy Amin <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSTRUCTIONS LTD.</i>	Faria Huq <i>A.S. & ASSOCIATES</i>	Imran Siddiq <i>THE LAW COUNSEL</i>
Rochelle Sealy <i>PwC BAHAMAS</i>	Yays H. Zu'bi <i>ZU'BI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Ashiq Imran <i>FIALKA</i>	Shakhwat Sumon <i>SHODESH SHIPPING & LOGISTIC COMPANY</i>	Khandher Tahmid Tishad <i>A.S. & ASSOCIATES</i>
Giahna Soles <i>GRAHAM THOMPSON ATTORNEYS</i>	Najma Hassan <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS, MUNICIPALITIES AND URBAN PLANNING</i>	Md. Aminul Islam <i>CITY APPAREL-TEX CO</i>	Mahbub Uddin <i>MAHBUB & COMPANY</i>	Mahbub Uddin <i>MAHBUB & COMPANY</i>
Merrit A. Storr <i>CHANCELLOR CHAMBERS</i>				

Rashid ul Haque SHELTECH (PVT.) LTD.	Stephen Worme THE BARBADOS LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY LTD.	Tatsiana Klochko ALIANCE OF INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVISERS	Marianna Schimanowitsch RÖDL & PARTNER, BELARUS	BELGIUM
Ammatul Uzma A.S. & ASSOCIATES		Nina Knyazeva VERKHOVODKO & PARTNERS LLC	Dmitry Igorevich Semenkevich MINISTRY OF ARCHITECTURE AND CONSTRUCTION	SPF FINANCES DOCUMENTATION PATRIMONIALE INSPECTION GÉNÉRALE
Abdul Wahab A. WAHAB & CO.	RUP BELENERGOSETPROEKT	Nadezhda Koroleva SYSOUEV, BONDAR, KHRAPOUTSKI SBH LAW OFFICE	Vadzim Senkin MINSK CABLE (ELECTRICAL) NETWORK	Hubert André-Dumont McGUIREWOODS LLP
Nurul Wahab A. WAHAB & CO.	SORAINEN BELARUS	Anastasia Akulich BOROVTSOV & SALEI LEGAL SERVICES	Liubov Sergeevna Boris GOELLNER SPEDITION	Jan Bael NOTARISANTOOR JAN BAEL - ILSE DE BRAUWERE
Sabrina Zarin FM ASSOCIATES		Natalia Aleksandrovna Vysotskaya ASSOCIATION OF INTERNATIONAL ROAD CARRIERS (BAMAP)	Yuliya Shuba BOROVTSOV & SALEI LEGAL SERVICES	Herlinde Baert NOTARISANTOOR JAN BAEL - ILSE DE BRAUWERE
BARBADOS	BELARUS	Alexander Korsak ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Natalia Shulzenko SCHNEIDER GROUP	Quentin Baudrihaye NAUTAUTILH
CLARKE GITTENS FARMER	RUP BELENERGOSETPROEKT	Mikhail E. Kostyukov ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	Valentina Silina GRANT THORNTON	Dennis Beyers PWC BELGIUM
PwC BARBADOS	SORAINEN BELARUS	Dmitry Kovalchik STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL AND PARTNERS LTD.	Anna Skorodulina JURZNAK LAW FIRM LLC	Thierry Bosly WHITE & CASE
Alicia Archer ARTEMIS LAW	Aliaksandr Anisovich PROMAUDIT	Iryna Kozikava BOROVTSOV & SALEI LEGAL SERVICES	Maksim Slepitch ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Hakim Boularbah LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Patricia Boyce EVERSON R. ELOCK & CO. LTD.	Dzmitry Barouka ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Inna Leus MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Vitaliy Sorokin NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS	Stan Brijs NAUTAUTILH
Andrew F. Brathwaite KPMG BARBADOS	Vladimir G. Biruk CAPITAL GROUP	Yulya Liashenko VLASOVA MIKHAI & PARTNERS	Klim Stashevsky ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Laura Charlier STIBBE
Kevin Burke ROTHERLEY CONSTRUCTION INC.	Kiril Bizunok OSIPOVA, KOLTUNOVICH AND PARTNERS	Alexander Liebem BNT LEGAL & TAX	Uladzimir Sukalo MINISTRY OF TAXES AND DUTIES	Karolien Coenen PWC BELGIUM
Vincent Burnett MINISTRY OF LABOR AND SOCIAL SECURITY AND HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT	Denis Bogdanov REVERA CONSULTING GROUP	Valery Lovtsov LOVTSOV KLOCHKO & PARTNERS	Alla Sundukova MINISTRY OF TAXES AND DUTIES	Adriaan Dauwe ALTIAS
Rosalind Bynoe BCF ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Dmitry Bokhan VERKHOVODKO & PARTNERS LLC	Natalya Mahanek GRANT THORNTON	Elena Svirid SOFTWARE APPLIED SYSTEM INSTITUTE	Martijn De Meulemeester PWC BELGIUM
Trevor A. Carmichael CHANCERY CHAMBERS	Alexander Botian BOROVTSOV & SALEI LEGAL SERVICES	Sergei Makarchuk CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI	Dmitry Tihno PwC BELARUS	Kris De Schutter LOYENS & LOEFF
Adrian Carter THE BARBADOS LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY LTD.	Diana Bovdey GRANT THORNTON	Sergey Mashonsky ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Nikita Tolkanitsa CHSH CERHA HEMPEL SPIEGELFELD HLAWATI	Didier De Vliegher NAUTAUTILH
Berkeley Clark BJS CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.	Eugenija Chetverikova PwC BELARUS	Aleksandr Mironichenko MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Andrey Tolochko REVERA CONSULTING GROUP	Vincent Dieudonné SIBELGA
Andrew Cox MINISTRY OF LABOR AND SOCIAL SECURITY AND HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT	Sergey Chistyakov STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL AND PARTNERS LTD.	Yuliya Matsiuk ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Elizaveta Trakhalina ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Eric Dirix COUR DE CASSATION
Adrian M. Elcock EVERSON R. ELOCK & CO. LTD.	Alexey Daryin REVERA CONSULTING GROUP	Valentina Neizvestnaya RSM BEL AUDIT	Nikita Nikolayevich Trosko VLASOVA MIKHAI & PARTNERS	Camille Dümm NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUM
Antonio Elcock EVERSON R. ELOCK & CO. LTD.	Tatsiana Fadzeyeva BNT LEGAL & TAX	Sergey Odintsov SCHNEIDER GROUP	Dennis Turovets EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV AND PARTNERS (EPA&P)	Jürgen Egger LAGA
Marcel El-Daher DAHER & ASSOCIATES	Aliaksei Fidzek PwC BELARUS	Elena Orda NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS	Alena Usenia ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Danaïs Fol LOYENS & LOEFF
Andrew C. Ferreira CHANCERY CHAMBERS	Valentin Galich SB-GLOBAL	Ekaterina Pedo REVERA CONSULTING GROUP	Irina Veremeichuk VERKHOVODKO & PARTNERS LLC	Alex Franchimont CROWELL & MORING
Mark Franklin	Nikolai Gorelik ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Dzina Pinchuk PwC BELARUS	Igor Verkhovodko VERKHOVODKO & PARTNERS LLC	Alain François EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS
Sharalee Gittens CHANCERY CHAMBERS	Elena Hmeleva VERKHOVODKO & PARTNERS LLC	Victor Pleonkin NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS	Dmitry Viltovsky ARZINGER & PARTNERS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM	Pierre-Yves Gillet CABINET D'ARCHITECTE
Anice C.N. Granville LEX CARIBBEAN	Olga Vladimirovna Kakovka SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS	Tatyana Pozdneeva VLASOVA MIKHAI & PARTNERS	Ekaterina Zabello VLASOVA MIKHAI & PARTNERS	Conny Grenson EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS
Liza A. Harridyal-Sodha HARRIDYAL-SODHA & ASSOCIATES	Ulyana Kavalionak BNT LEGAL & TAX	Pavel Pravdikov JURZNAK LAW FIRM LLC	Vadzim Zakreuski MINISTRY OF ENERGY	Sophie Jacmain NAUTAUTILH
Jomo Crowther McGlinne Hope ARTEMIS LAW	Yurij Kazakevitch RÖDL & PARTNER, BELARUS	Raman Ramanau MINSK CABLE (ELECTRICAL) NETWORK	Olga Zdobnova VLASOVA MIKHAI & PARTNERS	An Jacobs LIEDEKERKE WOLTERS WAELBROECK KIRKPATRICK, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Keisha N Hyde Porchetta HARRIDYAL-SODHA & ASSOCIATES	Dmitry Khalimonchyk SOFTCLUB LLC	Aleksey Reneyskiy FBK-BEL LLC - MEMBER OF PKF	Dmitri Zikratski PETERKA & PARTNERS	Everlien Jamaels CROWELL & MORING
Ruan C. Martinez BCF ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Vitaly Khmelnitsky ALFORD MORISSON	Olga Rybakovskaya MINISTRY OF ENERGY	Ekaterina Zheltonoga VERDICT LAW OFFICE	Stéphanie Kervyn de Meerendré DEMINOR INTERNATIONAL SCRL
Jennivieve Maynard INN CHAMBERS	Alexandre Khrapoutska SYSOUEV, BONDAR, KHRAPOUTSKI SBH LAW OFFICE	Illia Salei BOROVTSOV & SALEI LEGAL SERVICES	Maksim Zhukov SYSOUEV, BONDAR, KHRAPOUTSKI SBH LAW OFFICE	Laurent Lantonnais WHITE & CASE
Noel M. Nurse THE BOOTH STEAMSHIP CO. BARBADOS LTD.	Sergey Khromov VERKHOVODKO & PARTNERS LLC	Vassili I. Salei BOROVTSOV & SALEI LEGAL SERVICES	Maksim Znak BOROVTSOV & SALEI LEGAL SERVICES	Marianne Laruelle CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL DU NOTARIAT BELGE
Karen Perreira INTERCARIBBEAN LEGAL	Siarhei Khvastovich LEGAL COMPANY ANTICRISIS CONSULTING LTD.	Elena Sapego STEPANOVSKI, PAPAKUL AND PARTNERS LTD.		Stephan Legein FEDERAL PUBLIC SERVICE FINANCE
Tony Selby SRM ARCHITECTS LTD.	Alexander Kirienko AGENCY OF TURNAROUND TECHNOLOGIES			Axel Maeterlinck SIMONT BRAUN
Heather Tull DAVID KING & CO., ATTORNEYS AT LAW				

Allan Magerotte EUBELIUS ATTORNEYS	Ana Maria Espat STRUKTURE ARCHITECTS	Djakaridja Fofana PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Tenzin Rabgay ROYAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE OF BHUTAN LTD.	Ivar Fernando Zabaleta Rioja SOCIEDAD DE INGENIEROS DE BOLIVIA
Philippe Massart SIBELGA	Fred Lumar FRED LUMOR & CO.	Nadege Honvo ETUDE DE NADEGE HONVO	Jamyang Sherab GARUDA LEGAL SERVICES	Alejandra Guevara GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ SC
Pascale Moreau PWC BELGIUM	Tania Moody BARROW & WILLIAMS	Cyprien Hounsounou SOCIÉTÉ BÉNINOISE D'ÉNERGIE ÉLECTRIQUE	Karma Tshewang VISIT ASIA	Primitivo Gutiérrez GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ SC
Sabrina Otten PWC BELGIUM	Aldo Reyes REYES RETREAGE LLP	Noel Kelembho SDV LOGISTICS	Kinley Wangdi CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU OF BHUTAN LTD.	Jorge Luis Inchauste GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ SC
Leo Peeters PEETERS ADVOCATEN-AVOCATS	Wilfred Rhaburn W. RHABURN CONSULTING	William Kodjoh-Kpakpassou TRIBUNAL DE PREMIÈRE INSTANCE DE COTONOU	Karma Yeshey MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS	Jaime M. Jiménez Alvarez COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS ELECTRICISTAS Y ELECTRÓNICOS LA PAZ
Emmanuel Plasschaert CROWELL & MORING	Patricia Rodriguez BELIZE COMPANIES AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS REGISTRY	Monique Kotchofa ETUDE MAÎTRE KOTCHOFA	BOLIVIA	Rodrigo Jimenez-Cusicanqui SALAZAR SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS
Johan Poedts SIBELGA	Giacomo Sanchez GRANT THORNTON LLP	Alain René Kpetehoto CABINET ARTECH	PRIME TECHNOLOGIES	Paola Justiniano Arias SANJINÉS & ASOCIADOS - ABOGADOS
Julie Salteur NAUTAUTILH	Llewelyn Usher INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION	Adeline Messou Couassi-Blé PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	PWC BOLIVIA	Julio César Landivar Castro GUEVARA & GUTIÉRREZ SC
Eric Schmitz PWC BELGIUM	Saidi Vaccaro ARGUELLES & COMPANY LLC	Sakariyaou Nourou-Guiwa CABINET MAÎTRE SAKARIYAOU NOURO-GUIWA	Fernando Aguirre BUFETE AGUIRRE SOC. CIV.	Omar Martinez Velasquez AUTORIDAD DE FISCALIZACIÓN Y CONTROL SOCIAL DE ELECTRICIDAD (AE)
Axel Smits PWC BELGIUM	Darlene Margaret Vernon VERNON & LOCHAN	Arouna Oloulade SOCIÉTÉ BÉNINOISE D'ÉNERGIE ÉLECTRIQUE	Carolina Aguirre Urioste BUFETE AGUIRRE SOC. CIV.	Alejandra Bernal Mercado C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Frédéric Souchon PWC BELGIUM	Lisa Zayden HORWATH BELIZE LLP	Alexandrine Filalitau Saizonou-Bedie	René Alcázar AUTORIDAD DE SUPERVISIÓN DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO	Ariel Morales Vasquez C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Timothy Speelman MCGUIREWOODS LLP	BENIN	CABINET D'AVOCATS ALEXANDRINE F. SAIZONOU-BEDIE	Richard Cesar Alcocer Garnica AUTORIDAD DE FISCALIZACIÓN Y CONTROL SOCIAL DE ELECTRICIDAD (AE)	Ana Carola Muñoz Añez INDACOCHA & ASOCIADOS
Bernard Thysbaert DEMINOR INTERNATIONAL SCRL	AGBANTOU LAW FIRM	Adegbindin Saliou CABINET DES EXPERTS ASSOCIÉS - CEA SARL	Christian Amestegui ASESORES LEGALES CP	Rocio Plata RIGOBERTO PAREDES & ASSOCIATES
Hans Van Bavel STIBBE	BCEAO	Hermann Senou ENTREPRISE GÉNÉRALE DE CONSTRUCTION MACKHO	Daniela Aragones Cortez SANJINÉS & ASOCIADOS - ABOGADOS	Oscar Antonio Plaza Ponte Sosa BURO DE INFORMACIÓN INFOCENTER SA
Jan Van Celst DLA PIPER UK LLP	CABINET D'HUISSIER DE JUSTICE	Nelly Tagnon Gambor FIDUCIAIRE CONSEIL ET ASSISTANCE (FCA)	Johnny Arteaga Chavez DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE TIERRAS DE SANTA CRUZ	Gerardo Quelca AUTORIDAD DE SUPERVISIÓN DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO
Gill Van Damme PWC BELGIUM	SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE DES EAUX DU BÉNIN	Brice Allassane Tamba LA Mairie DE COTONOU - SERVICE DES AFFAIRES DOMANIALES	Pedro Asturizaga AUTORIDAD DE SUPERVISIÓN DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO	Joaquin Rodriguez AUTORIDAD DE FISCALIZACIÓN Y CONTROL SOCIAL DE ELECTRICIDAD (AE)
Bart Van Rossum B.T.V.	Eric Fadhil Adamon NOTAIRE ADAMON	Désiré H. Aihou FADESPUAC	Sergio Avendaño RIGOBERTO PAREDES & ASSOCIATES	Patricio Rojas C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Grégory Vandenbussche AREN ARCHITECTS AND ENGINEERS SPRL	Abdou Kabir Adoumbou CABINET MAÎTRE SAKARIYAOU NOURO-GUIWA	Rodolphe Kadoukpe Akoto CBCT SARL	Rigoberto Paredes Ayllón RIGOBERTO PAREDES & ASSOCIATES	Mariela Rojas de Hamel BURO DE INFORMACIÓN INFOCENTER SA
Robert Vermetten TRANSPORT & PROJECT LOGISTICS	Désiré H. Aihou FADESPUAC	Sybel Akuesson FIDUCIAIRE CONSEIL ET ASSISTANCE (FCA)	Leonardo Azurduy Saunero QUINTANILLA, SORIA & NISHIZAWA SOC. CIV.	Sergio Salazar-Arce SALAZAR SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS
Ivan Verougstraete COUR DE CASSATION	Rodolphe Kadoukpe Akoto CBCT SARL	Rafikou Agnila Alabi CABINET MAÎTRE RAFIKOU ALABI	Raúl A. Baldivia BALDIVIA UNZAGA & ASOCIADOS	Sergio Salazar-Machicado SALAZAR SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS
Bart Volders STIBBE	Sybel Akuesson FIDUCIAIRE CONSEIL ET ASSISTANCE (FCA)	Aum Rockas Amoussouvi CABINET RAFIKOU A. ALABI	Mauricio Becerra de la Roca DONOSO BECERRA DE LA ROCA DONOSO & ASOCIADOS	Sandra Salinas C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Katrien Vorlat STIBBE	Rafikou Agnila Alabi CABINET MAÎTRE RAFIKOU ALABI	Zachari Baba Body CABINET SPA BABA BODY, QUENUM ET SAMBAOU	Hugo Berthin BDO BERTHIN AMENGUAL & ASOCIADOS	Raúl Sanjinés Elizagoyen SANJINÉS & ASOCIADOS - ABOGADOS
Bram Vuylsteke NOTARY BRAM VUYLSTEKE	Charles Badou CABINET D'AVOCATS CHARLES BADOU	Charles Badou CABINET D'AVOCATS CHARLES BADOU	Andrea Bollmann-Duarte SALAZAR SALAZAR & ASOCIADOS	Claudio Sejas BERATERS
Tom Wallyn PWC BELGIUM	Is-Dine Bouraima AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES IMPORTATIONS ET DES EXPORTATIONS (APIEX)	Is-Dine Bouraima AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES IMPORTATIONS ET DES EXPORTATIONS (APIEX)	Estefani Cabrera WBC ABOGADOS SRL	Rosa Serrano BERATERS
Luc Weyts CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL DU NOTARIAT BELGE	Sétondji Pierre Codjia CABINET D'AVOCATS CHARLES BADOU	Sétondji Pierre Codjia CABINET D'AVOCATS CHARLES BADOU	Walter B. Calla Cardenas COLEGIO DEPARTAMENTAL DE ARQUITECTOS DE LA PAZ	Jorge N. Serrate WÜRTH BEDOYA COSTA DU RELS ABOGADOS
Dirk Wouters WOUTERS, VAN MERODE & CO. BEDRUFREVISOREN BVBA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Johannès Dagnon GROUPE HELIOS AFRIQUE	Johannès Dagnon GROUPE HELIOS AFRIQUE	Grisset Carrasco C.R. & F. ROJAS ABOGADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Diego Tamayo WÜRTH BEDOYA COSTA DU RELS ABOGADOS
BELIZE	Bonaventure Dansou AFRICA HANDLING AND LOGISTICS	Bonaventure Dansou AFRICA HANDLING AND LOGISTICS	Ibling Chavarria BECERRA DE LA ROCA DONOSO & ASOCIADOS	A. Mauricio Torrico Galindo QUINTANILLA, SORIA & NISHIZAWA SOC. CIV.
W.H. COURTEMAY & CO.	Magloire Daoudou CABINET DES EXPERTS ASSOCIÉS - CEA SARL	Mukesh Dave DRUK PNB BANK	Asdrualdo Columba Jofre AC CONSULTORES LEGALES	Carla De la Barra RIGOBERTO PAREDES & ASSOCIATES
Emil Arguelles ARGUELLES & COMPANY LLC	Nadine Dossou Sakponou CABINET ROBERT M. DOSSOU	Bhim Dhungel ZORIG CONSULTANCY PVT LTD.	Tashi Dorji JUDICIARY OF BHUTAN	Jose Luis Diaz Romero SERVICIOS GENERALES EN ELECTRICIDAD Y CONSTRUCCIÓN (SGEC)
Jenny Armstrong BELIZE COMPANIES AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS REGISTRY	Rodrigue Dossou-Togbe	Dorji Phuntsho ROYAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE OF BHUTAN LTD.	N.B. Gurung GLOBAL LOGISTICS	
Harry Bradley HARRY BRADLEY CUSTOMS BROKERAGE	Franck Wilfried Fakeye AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES IMPORTATIONS ET DES EXPORTATIONS (APIEX)	Tashi Penjor MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC AFFAIRS	Shrowan Pradhan NICHE FINANCIAL SERVICES	
Herbert Bradley HERBERT BRADLEY CUSTOM HOUSE BROKERS				
Christopher Coye COURTENAY COYE LLP				

Ramiro Velasco
COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS
ELECTRICISTAS Y
ELECTRÓNICOS LA PAZ

Vanessa Villarroel
BALDIVIA UNZAGA & ASOCIADOS

BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Tajana Batlak
MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM

Jesenko Behlilovic
ADVOVATSKO DRUŠTVO
SPAHO D.O.O. SARAJEVO

Jasmin Bešo
FERK (REGULATORY COMMISSION
FOR ELECTRICITY IN THE FEDERATION
OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA)

Bojana Bošnjak-London
MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM

Mubera Brkovic
PWC BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA

Femil Čurt
LAW OFFICE FEMIL CURT - PART
OF DLA PIPER GROUP

Naida Čustović
LAW OFFICE ČUSTOVIC IN
ASSOCIATION WITH WOLF THEISS

Mia Delić
SPAHO LAW OFFICE

Đorđe Dimitrijevic
Stevan Dimitrijevic

Višnja Dizdarević
MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM

Amina Djugum
MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM

Feđa Dupovac
ADVOVATSKO DRUŠTVO
SPAHO D.O.O. SARAJEVO

Samra Hadžović
WOLF THEISS

Zijad Hasović
KOMORA REVIZORA FBIH

Lajla Hastor
WOLF THEISS

Ahmet Hukic
FERK (REGULATORY COMMISSION
FOR ELECTRICITY IN THE FEDERATION
OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA)

Amir Husić
LAGERMAX AED BOSNA I
HERZEGOVINA D.O.O.

Nusmir Huskić
HUSKIĆ LAW OFFICE

Emir Ibisevic
DELOITTE ADVISORY
SERVICES D.O.O.

Arela Jusufbasić-Goloman
LAWYERS OFFICE TKALCIC-
DULIC, PREBANIC, RIZVIC &
JUSUFBASIC-GOLOMAN

Admir Jusufbegovic
ADVOVATSKO DRUŠTVO
SPAHO D.O.O. SARAJEVO

Harun Kahvedžić
UNIVERSITY IN ZENICA

Selma Kahvedžić
Nedžada Kapidžić
NOTARY

Ilma Kasumagić
LAW OFFICE ČUSTOVIC IN
ASSOCIATION WITH WOLF THEISS

Sejda Kršćica-Fejzić
JP ELEKTROPRIVREDA
BIH PODRUZNICA
ELEKTRODISTRIBUCIA SARAJEVO

Emil Kučković
LRC CREDIT BUREAU

Saša Lemez
CENTRAL BANK OF BOSNIA
AND HERZEGOVINA

Muamer Mahmutovic
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
OF CANTON SARAJEVO -
LEGAL DEPARTMENT

Branko Marić
MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM

Davorin Marinković
Adnan Mataradžija
MERFI D.O.O.

Međrima Memić-Drino
PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT OFFICE
OF ŽENICA-DOBOK CANTON

Kristijan Meršpah
LRC CREDIT BUREAU

Emir Naimkadić
JP ELEKTROPRIVREDA
BIH PODRUZNICA
ELEKTRODISTRIBUCIA SARAJEVO

Monija Nogulic
FERK (REGULATORY COMMISSION
FOR ELECTRICITY IN THE FEDERATION
OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA)

Međuhmed Omeragić
ČOVIJEK I PROSTOR

Lejla Popara
Ołodar Prebanić
LAWYERS OFFICE TKALCIC-
DULIC, PREBANIC, RIZVIC &
JUSUFBASIC-GOLOMAN

Đorđe Racković
CENTRAL BANK OF BOSNIA
AND HERZEGOVINA

Predrag Radovanović
MARIĆ & CO. LAW FIRM

Banka Rajić
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS
CONSULTING D.O.O.

Nedžida Salihović-Whalen
CMS REICH-ROHRWIG
HAINZ D.O.O.

Hasib Salkić
JUMP LOGISTICS D.O.O.

Lana Sarajlić
Adnan Sarajlić
LAW OFFICE DURAKOVIC IN
ASSOCIATION WITH WOLF THEISS

Jasmin Saric
LAW OFFICE ŠARIĆ IN COOPERATION
WITH WOLF THEISS

Arjana Selimić
JP ELEKTROPRIVREDA
BIH PODRUZNICA
ELEKTRODISTRIBUCIA SARAJEVO

Nihad Sijerčić
Ivana Soce
FERK (REGULATORY COMMISSION
FOR ELECTRICITY IN THE FEDERATION
OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA)

Mehmed Spaho
ADVOVATSKO DRUŠTVO
SPAHO D.O.O. SARAJEVO

Bojana Tkaličić-Djulić
LAWYERS OFFICE TKALCIC-
DULIC, PREBANIC, RIZVIC &
JUSUFBASIC-GOLOMAN

Edin Zametica
DERK (STATE ELECTRICITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION)

BOTSWANA

BOTSWANA UNIFIED REVENUE
SERVICE (BURS)

PIYUSH SHARMA ATTORNEYS
TRANSUNION ITC (Pty) LTD.

John Carr-Hartley
ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS

Alice Chiusiwa
LUKE & ASSOCIATES

One Damane
MODIMO & ASSOCIATES

Nigel Dixon-Warren
KPMG

Edward W. Fasholé-Luke II
LUKE & ASSOCIATES

Vasile Hager
PWC BOTSWANA

Julius Mwanjila Kanja
CHIBANDA, MAKGALEMELE & Co.

Queen Letshabo
LUKE & ASSOCIATES

Bokani Machinya
COLLINS NEWMAN & Co.

City Mafa
TECTURA INTERNATIONAL
BOTSWANA

Spokes Makabo
BOTSWANA POWER CORPORATION

Mercia Bonzo Makgalemele
CHIBANDA, MAKGALEMELE & Co.

Jonathan Maphepa
GABORONE CITY COUNCIL

Kgaotsang Matthews
MORIBAME MATTHEWS

Finola McMahon
OSEI-OFEI SWABI & Co.

Rebecca M. Mgadla
BOTSWANA POWER CORPORATION

Neo Thelma Moatlodi
LAWYER

Abel Walter Modimo
MODIMO & ASSOCIATES

Justice Moilwa
ZISMO ENGINEERING (PTY.) LTD.

Mmathshipi Motsepe
MANICA ÁFRICA PTY. LTD.

Robert Mpabanga
TRANSUNION BOTSWANA
(Pty) LTD.

Walter Mushi
COLLINS NEWMAN & Co.

Godfrey N. Nthominiwa
ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE -
HIGH COURT OF BOTSWANA

Benjamin Olebile
ZERO DESIGN CONSULTING
ENGINEERS LTD.

Kwadwo Osei-Ofei
OSEI-OFEI SWABI & Co.

Butler Phirie
PWC BOTSWANA

Jacob Raleru
BOTSWANA POWER CORPORATION

Moemedi J. Tafa
ARMSTRONGS ATTORNEYS

Nilusha Weeraratne
PWC BOTSWANA

BRAZIL
STIL - SOCIEDADE TÉCNICA
DE INSTALAÇÕES LTDA

Eduardo Abrantes
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Marina Agueda
DE LUCA, DERENUNSSON,
SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO
ADVOGADOS

Antônio Aires
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Alice Almeida
LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS

Pedro Almeida
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Guilherme Almeida de Oliveira
VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI

Maria Lúcia Almeida Prado
e Silva
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Pedro Almeida Sampaio Lima
VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI

Franklin Alves de Oliveira
Gomes Filho
LOBO & DE RIZZO ADVOGADOS

Ivana Amorim de Coelho
Bomfim
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
& OPICE ADVOGADOS

Isabela Amorim Lobo
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
& OPICE ADVOGADOS

Ednilson Apolinário
PWC BRAZIL

Gianvito Arditó
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Amanda Arêas
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Matheus Azevedo Bastos de
Oliveira
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Josef Azulay
BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &
ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Bruno Balducci
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Armando Balteiro
VITOR COSTA ADVOGADOS

Rafael Baptista Baleroni
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Priscyla Barbosa
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Marcus Phelipe Barbosa de
Souza
GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA
DE LIMA ADVOGADOS

Matheus Barcelos
BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &
ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Fernanda Bastos
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Leonardo Bastos Carvalho
LETECH ENGENHARIA

Júlio Henrique Batista
GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS

Gilberto Belleza
BELLEZA & BATALHA C. DO
LAGO ARQUITETOS ASSOCIADOS

David Benoliel
NORONHA ADVOGADOS

Marcello Bernardes
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Camila Biral Vieira da Cunha
Martins
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Amir Bocayuva Cunha
BARBOSA, MÜSSNICH &
ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Joana Bontempo
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Adriano Borges
DE VIVO, WHITAKER E
CASTRO ADVOGADOS

Pedro Pio Borges
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
& OPICE ADVOGADOS

Carlos Braga
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Natalia Brasil Correa da Silva

Sergio Bronstein
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

João Henrique Brum
DOMINGES E PINHO CONTADORES

Marcus Brumano
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Ana Flávia Buck
PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

Frederico Buosi
VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI

Fernanda Camarinha
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Raíssa Campelo
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Renato Canizares
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Luiz Henrique Capeli
BRAZILIAN ELECTRICITY
REGULATORY AGENCY (ANEEL)

Paula Carlini
DE LUCA, DERENUNSSON,
SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO
ADVOGADOS

Angela Carvalho
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

David Carvalho
KRAFT ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

Marcos Carvalho
LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS

Thiago Carvalho Stob
NORONHA ADVOGADOS

Mariana Castro
DE LUCA, DERENUNSSON,
SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO
ADVOGADOS

Roberto Castro
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
& OPICE ADVOGADOS

Eduardo Chaves
RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Ricardo E. Vieira Coelho
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Roberta Coelho de Souza
Batalha
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Vivian Coelho dos Santos
Breder
ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E
GUERRA-ADVOGADOS

Jarbas Contin
PwC BRAZIL

Caroline Cordeiro
COSTA E TAVARES PAES
SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS

Luiz Felipe Cordeiro
CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA,
CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES,
RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Marcel Cordeiro
PwC BRAZIL

Frederico Costa
MACEDO & COSTA AVALIAÇÕES
E CONSULTORIA TÉCNICA LTDA

Pedro Costa
BARBOSA, MUSSNICH &
ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Bruno Henrique Coutinho de
Aguiar
RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Maria Cibele Crepaldi Affonso
dos Santos
COSTA & TAVARES PAES
SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS

Juliana Cristina Ramos de
Carvalho
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Camilla Cunha
BARBOSA, MUSSNICH &
ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Nathalia Cunha
ERNST & YOUNG SERVIÇOS
TRIBUTÁRIOS SS

Gabriel da Câmara de Queiroz
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Carlos da Costa e Silva Filho
VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Gisela da Silva Freire
PORTO ADVOGADOS

Adriana Daito
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

João Luís Ribeiro de Almeida
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Ana Beatriz de Almeida Lobo
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Rodrigo de Castro
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Aldo de Cresci Neto
GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA
DE LIMA ADVOGADOS

João Claudio De Luca Junior
DE LUCA, DERENUSSON,
SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO
ADVOGADOS

Pedro Ivo de Menezes
Cavalcante
NORONHA ADVOGADOS

Beatriz Gross Bueno de
Moraes Gomes de Sá
DE VIVO, WHITAKER E
CASTRO ADVOGADOS

Dennis Christofer
de Paula Silva
COMPANHIA DOCAS DO
ESTADO DE SÃO PAULO

Daniela de Pontes Andrade
LOBO & DE RIZZO ADVOGADOS

Gabriela Dell Agnolo de
Carvalho
ZÉIGLER E MENDONÇA DE BARROS
SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS (ZMB)

Eduardo Depassier
LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS

Claudia Derenussou Riedel
DE LUCA, DERENUSSON,
SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO
ADVOGADOS

Heloisa Bonciani Nader di
Cunto
DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI
GUIMARÃES E TERRA ADVOGADOS

Renata Dias
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Rodrigo Yves Dias
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Antonio Luiz Diniz
DINIZ INSTALAÇÕES ELÉTRICAS
E HIDRÁULICAS LTDA

Wagner Douglas Dockhorn

José Ricardo dos Santos Luz
Júnior
DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI
GUIMARÃES E TERRA ADVOGADOS

Brigida Melo e Cruz Gama
Filho
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Marcelo Elias
PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

João Paulo F.A. Fagundes
RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS

Fabio Falkenburger
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Thomas Benes Felsberg
FELSBERG ADVOGADOS

Iara Ferfoglia Gomes Dias
Vilaridi
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Alexander Fernandes de
Andrade
DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI
GUIMARÃES E TERRA ADVOGADOS

João Guilherme Ferreira
NORONHA ADVOGADOS

Marcelo Ferreira
ERNST & YOUNG SERVIÇOS
TRIBUTÁRIOS SS

Marilia Ferreira de Miranda
TABELLÍA DE NOTAS E PROTESTO
DE SANTA BRANCA/SP

Gabriella Ferreira do
Nascimento

Tatiane Ferreti

LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS

Guilherme Filardi
DE LUCA, DERENUSSON,
SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO
ADVOGADOS

Silvia Rajsfeld Fiszman

Gabriel Fiua
CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA,
CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES,
RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Paulo Roberto Fogarolli Filho
DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI
GUIMARÃES E TERRA ADVOGADOS

Leandro Amorim C. Fonseca
COSTA & TAVARES PAES
SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS

Alessandra Fonseca de Moraes
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Julian Fonseca Peña Chediak
CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA,
CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES,
RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Renato G.R. Maggio
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Rafael Gagliardi

DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Rodrigo Garcia da Fonseca
FONSECA E SALES LIMA
ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

João Genesca
NCM SERVIÇOS ADUANEIROS LTDA

Rafaella Gentil Gervaerd
CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA,
CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES,
RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Murilo Germíniani
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Luiz Marcelo Gois
BARBOSA, MUSSNICH &
ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Rodrigo Gomes Maia
NORONHA ADVOGADOS

Diógenes Gonçalves
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Renata Gonçalves

HALLIBURTON PRODUTOS LTDA

Maria Eduarda Goston Tisi
Ferraz
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Gustavo Guedes
PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

Eduardo Ferraz Guerra
GUERRA & BATISTA ADVOGADOS

António Carlos Guidoni Filho
VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI

Ubiratan Pereira Guimarães
COLEGIO NOTARIAL DO
BRASIL-CONSELHO FEDERAL

Bruno Habib Negreiros
Barbosa
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Felipe Hanszmann

VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Alexandre Herlin
CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA,
CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES,
RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Luis Hiar

LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS

Jaili Isabel Santos Quinta
Cunha
PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

Ilie Jardim

VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Rogério Jorge

AES ELETROPAULO

Breno Kingma

VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Dan Kraft

KRAFT ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS

Everaldo Lacerda

CARTÓRIO MARÍTIMO

José Paulo Lago Alves

Pequeno

NORONHA ADVOGADOS

Daniel Lago Rodrigues

REGISTRO DE IMÓVEIS DE

TABOÃO DA SERRA

Thomás Lampster

PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Juliano Lazzarini Moretti

LAZZARINI MORETTI ADVOGADOS

José Augusto Leal

CASTRO, BARROS, SOBRAL,

GOMES ADVOGADOS

Ana Flavia Leandro

LAZZARINI MORETTI ADVOGADOS

Alexandre Leite

SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &

FLESCH ADVOGADOS

João Genesca

NCM SERVIÇOS ADUANEIROS LTDA

Rodrigo Leite Moreira
VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Alexandre Leite Ribeiro do
Valle
VM&L SOCIEDADE
DE ADVOGADOS

Karina Lerner
BARBOSA, MUSSNICH &
ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Paloma Valeria Lima Martins
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Maury Lobo de Athayde
CHAVES, GELMAN, MACHADO,
GILBERTO E BARBOZA

Fernando Loeser

LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS

Marcelo Lopes
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Maria Emilia Lopes
ERNST & YOUNG ASSESSORIA
EMPRESARIAL LTDA.

Tiago Lopes
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Zora Lyra
VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Marina Maccabelli
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Henrique Macedo
MACEDO & COSTA AVALIAÇÕES
E CONSULTORIA TÉCNICA LTDA

Pedro Maciel

LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS

Alceu Maitino Junior
COMPANHIA DOCAS DO
ESTADO DE SÃO PAULO

José Guilherme do Nascimento
Malheiros
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Estêvão Mallet
MALLET E ADVOGADOS
ASSOCIADOS

Camila Mansur Haddad O.
Santos
LAZZARINI MORETTI ADVOGADOS

Stephanie Manzi Lopes
Schiavinato
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Glaucia Mara Coelho
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Johnatan Maranhão
PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

Juliana Marteli

LOESER E PORTELA ADVOGADOS

Stefânia Martignago
DE LUCA, DERENUSSON,
SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO

ADVOGADOS

Aldo Martinez
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Vinicius Martins
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Renata Martins de Oliveira
MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ
E OPICE ADVOGADOS

Roberta R. Matheus
LEFOSSE ADVOGADOS

Eduardo Augusto Mattar
PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS

Gustavo Mattos

VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI

Marcelo Mattos
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Felipe Oliveira Mavignier
GASPARINI, DE CRESCI E NOGUEIRA
DE LIMA ADVOGADOS

Thiago Medaglia
FELSBERG ADVOGADOS

Davi Medina Vilela
VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Camila Mendes Vianna
Cardoso
KINCAID | MENDES
VIANNA ADVOGADOS

Aloysio Meirelles de Miranda
ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E
GUERRA-ADVOGADOS

Marianne Mendes Webber
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Mônica Missaka
NORONHA ADVOGADOS

Renata M. Moreira Lima
LAZZARINI MORETTI ADVOGADOS

Gustavo Morel
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Vladimir Mucury Cardoso
CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA,
CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES,
RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS

Ian Muniz
VEIRANO ADVOGADOS

Ana Carolina Musa
VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E
GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS

Cássio S. Namur
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Jorge Nemr
LEITE, TOSTO E BARROS

Flávio Nicoletti Siqueira
STTAS

Walter Nimir
ZIGGLER E MENDONÇA DE BARROS
SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS (ZMB)

Sergio Niskier
Flávio Nunes

Michael O'Connor
GUERRA E BATISTA ADVOGADOS

Daniel Oliveira
SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU &
FLESCH ADVOGADOS

Evany Oliveira
PwC BRAZIL

Lidia Amalia Oliveira Ferranti
VM&L SOCIEDADE
DE ADVOGADOS

Eduardo Ono Terashima
DEMAREST ADVOGADOS

Gyedre Palma Carneiro de Oliveira <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Vitor Rogério da Costa <i>VITOR COSTA ADVOGADOS</i>	Celina Teixeira <i>18º OFÍCIO DE NOTAS</i>	Erma Ali Rahman <i>REGISTRY OF COMPANIES & BUSINESS NAMES</i>	Wong Shu Ah <i>BMS ENGINEERING & PARTNERS SDN BHD</i>
Luis Filipe Pedro	Suzanna Romero <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Rodrigo Teixeira <i>LOBO & DE RIZZO ADVOGADOS</i>	Najibah Aziz <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Shran Singh <i>GLAMCO AVIATION SDN</i>
Rogério Rabelo Peixoto <i>BANCO CENTRAL DO BRASIL</i>	José Luiz Rossi <i>SERASA S.A.</i>	Maurício Teixeira dos Santos <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Khalid Bin Hj Awg Sirat <i>KHA ARKITEK</i>	Karthigeyan Srinivasan <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>
Gabrielle Pelegrini <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	Lia Roston <i>RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Milena Tesser <i>RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Mahri Bin Hj Latif <i>GEMILANG LATIF ASSOCIATES</i>	Shazali Sulaiman <i>KPMG</i>
Nívio Perez dos Santos <i>NEW-LINK COM. EXT. LTDA</i>	Luis Augusto Roux Azevedo <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Carlos Augusto Texeira da Silva	HJ Abd Ghani Bin HJ Mohamed A.G.A-ABDUL GHANI ARKITEK	Aidah Suleiman <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>
Leila Pigozzi Alves <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcelo Saciotto <i>RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Gisele Trindade <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Siti Norzainah Binti Azharan <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Wario Tacbad <i>ARKITEK HAZA</i>
Antonio Claudio Pinto da Fonseca <i>CONSTRUTORA MG LTDA</i>	Leandro Santos <i>ATOS SOLUÇÕES TÉCNICAS</i>	Oswaldo Cesar Trunzi de Oliveira <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Jonathan Cheok <i>CHEOK ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>	Bernard Tan Thiam Swee <i>TING TIU PHENG ARKITEK TING</i>
Nelson Pires <i>NELTEK ELÉTRICA</i>	Thiago Santos Barroca <i>NÓRONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Suslei Tufaniuk <i>AES ELETROPAULO</i>	Hajah Norajimah Haji Aji <i>DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS</i>	Cecilia Wong <i>TRICOR (B) SDN BHD</i>
Cássia Pizzotti <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Priscilla Saraiva <i>ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E GUERRA-ADVOGADOS</i>	Bruno Valente <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Mohammad Faizal Haji Ali <i>BRUNEI METHANOL COMPANY</i>	Johnny Wong <i>BMS ENGINEERING & PARTNERS SDN BHD</i>
Raphael Polito <i>RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Carolina Guerra Sarti <i>COSTA E TAVARES PAES SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Luiz Fernando Valente De Paiva <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Amal Hayati Haji Suhaili <i>TABUNG AMANAH PEKERJA</i>	Lucy Wong <i>LUCY WONG & ASSOCIATES</i>
Renato Poltronieri <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Anelise Maria Jircik Sasson <i>AES ELETROPAULO</i>	Guilherme Vaz <i>PINHEIRO GUIMARÃES ADVOGADOS</i>	Khalid Halim <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Zulina Zainal Abidin <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>
Durval Araulo Portela Filho <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Julia Schulz Rotenberg <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Ronaldo C. Veirano <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Hj Abdullah Hj Ahmad <i>ABDULLAH AHMAD ARCHITECTS</i>	BULGARIA
Marcos Prado <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Sabine Schuthoff <i>DE LUCA, DERENUSSON, SCHUTTOFF E AZEVEDO ADVOGADOS</i>	Anna Carolina Venturini <i>PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS</i>	Norizah Hazirah Husin <i>DEPARTMENT OF LABOR, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS</i>	<i>BULGARIAN NATIONAL BANK</i>
Antonio Celso Pugliese <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Lucas Seabra <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Ademilson Viana <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	H. Jamin <i>BELAIT SHIPPING CO. (B) SDN BHD</i>	Svetlin Adrianov <i>PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS</i>
Marcelo Pupo <i>FELSBERG ADVOGADOS</i>	Fernando Semerdjian <i>VELLA PUGLIESE BUOSI GUIDONI</i>	Marcelo Viegas <i>MAR & MAR ENGENHARIA</i>	Mohammed Roaizan bin Haji Johari <i>AUTORITI MONETARI BRUNEI DARUSSALAM</i>	Leni Andonova <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
João Ramos <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Rafael Setoguti Julio Pereira Gasparini, De Cresci e Nogueira De Lima Advoquados	Eric Visini <i>FELSBERG ADVOGADOS</i>	Rafael Vitelli Depieri <i>1º CARTÓRIO DE NOTAS DE SÃO JOSÉ DOS CAMPOS</i>	Stefan Angelov <i>V CONSULTING BULGARIA</i>
Carlos Alberto Ramos de Vasconcelos <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	Isaque Soares Ribeiro <i>COLEGIO NOTARIAL DO BRASIL-CONSELHO FEDERAL</i>	José Carlos Wahle <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Thiago Wscieklica <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Rusalena Angelova <i>DINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV & VElichkov</i>
Ronaldo Rayes <i>RAYES & FAGUNDES ADVOGADOS</i>	Donizetti Antonio Silva <i>DAS CONSULTORIA</i>	Eduardo Guimarães Wanderley <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Karin Yamauti Hatanaka <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Ina Bankovska <i>KINKIN & PARTNERS</i>
Gabriella Reao <i>ULHÔA CANTO, REZENDE E GUERRA-ADVOGADOS</i>	Sydney Simonaggio <i>AES ELETROPAULO</i>	Thiago Wscieklica <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Natalia Yazbek <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Ganka Belcheva <i>BELCHEVA & KARADJOVA LLP</i>
Marília Rennó <i>CHEDIAK, LOPES DA COSTA, CRISTOFARO, MENEZES CÓRTES, RENNÓ E ARAGÃO ADVOGADOS</i>	Michel Siqueira Batista <i>VIEIRA, REZENDE, BARBOSA E GUERREIRO ADVOGADOS</i>	BRUNEI DARUSSALAM	Simon Leong <i>KR KAMARULZAMAN & ASSOCIATES</i>	Svilena Bogdantchova <i>ORBIT</i>
Bruna Rey <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Isaque Soares Ribeiro <i>COLEGIO NOTARIAL DO BRASIL-CONSELHO FEDERAL</i>	C H Williams Talhar & Wong Sdn Bhd	Muhammad Billy Lim Abdul Aziz <i>ARKITEK REKAYA</i>	Marina Borisova <i>KINKIN & PARTNERS</i>
Elisa Rezende <i>VEIRANO ADVOGADOS</i>	Livia Sousa Borges Leal <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	CCW PARTNERSHIP	Will Meikle <i>ARKITEK IBRAHIM</i>	Christopher Christov <i>PENEV LLP</i>
Eliane Ribeiro Gago <i>DUARTE GARCIA, CASELLI GUIMARÃES E TERRA ADVOGADOS</i>	Beatriz Souza <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Eco Bumi Arkitek	Hajah Naimah Ali <i>REGISTRY OF COMPANIES & BUSINESS NAMES</i>	Ralitsa Damyanova <i>DELCHEV & PARTNERS</i>
Laura Ribeiro Vissotto <i>1º CARTÓRIO DE NOTAS DE SÃO JOSÉ DOS CAMPOS</i>	Guilherme Spinacé <i>DEMAREST ADVOGADOS</i>	LEDGERPLUS SERVICES	Zainor Abang <i>LANDS DEPARTMENT, MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT</i>	Maria Danailova <i>DANAILOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Luis Fernando Riskalla <i>LEITE, TOSTO E BARROS ADVOGADOS</i>	Walter Stuber <i>WALTER STUBER CONSULTORIA JURÍDICA</i>	PENGGERAK, PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE	Rena Azlina Abd Aziz <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Emil Delchev <i>DELCHEV & PARTNERS</i>
Beatriz Roditi Lilienbaum <i>NORONHA ADVOGADOS</i>	Daniel Szfyman <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Nur Shahreena Abdullah TABUNG AMANAH PEKERJA	Harold Ng <i>CCW PARTNERSHIP</i>	Kostadinka Deleva <i>GUGUSHEV & PARTNERS</i>
Carolina Rodrigues <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Marcos Tabatschnic <i>PWC BRAZIL</i>	Rodrigo Takano <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Ahmad Norhayati <i>SEPAKAT SETIA PERUNDING ENGINEERING CONSULTANT</i>	Valeria Dieva <i>KALAJDIEV & GEORGIEV</i>
Mariana Rodrigues <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Rodrigo Takano <i>MACHADO, MEYER, SENDACZ E OPICE ADVOGADOS</i>	Bruno Tanus Job e Meira Souza, Cescon, Barrieu & Flesch Advoquados	Khairul Bariah Ahmad <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Irina Dilkinska <i>PENEV LLP</i>
Viviane Rodrigues <i>SOUZA, CESCON, BARRIEU & FLESCH ADVOGADOS</i>	Nur Shahreena Abdullah TABUNG AMANAH PEKERJA	Khairul Bariah Ahmad <i>ROYAL CUSTOMS AND EXCISE DEPARTMENT</i>	Awangku Aziz Pengiran Ali Hassan <i>ENERGY AND INDUSTRY DEPARTMENT</i>	George Dimitrov <i>DIMITROV, PETROV & Co.</i>

Ina Dobriyanova CEZ DISTRIBUTION BULGARIA AD, MEMBER OF CEZ GROUP	Todor Manev DOBREV & LYUTSKANOV	Yordan Terziev ARSOV, NACHEV, GENEVA	Vincent Armand Kobiané ARDI – ARCHITECTES CONSEILS	Remy Bigirimana GUICHET UNIQUE DE BURUNDI
Vasilena Goranova PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS	Ivan Marinov DELCHEV & PARTNERS	Alexandrina Terziyska GUGUSHEV & PARTNERS	Moumouny Kopaho CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPHO	Joseph Gitonyotsi JOSEPH GITONYOTSI
Ralitsa Gougleva DJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV & VElichkov	Dimitrinka Metodieva GUGUSHEV & PARTNERS	Kaloyan Todorov DANAIOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Armand Kpoda SCPA THEMIS-B	Emmanuel Hakizimana CABINET D'AVOCATS-CONSEILS
Kristina Gouneva DOBREV & LYUTSKANOV	Slavi Mikinski LEGALEX LAW OFFICE	Svilen Todorov TODOROV & DOYKOVA LAW FIRM	Colette Lefebvre INSPECTION DU TRAVAIL	Ange-Dorine Irakoze RUEBEYA & CO ADVOCATES
Katerina Gramatikova DOBREV & LYUTSKANOV	Vladimir Natchev ARSOV, NACHEV, GENEVA	Lyubomira Todorova KINKIN & PARTNERS	Abraham Liadan PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Arnold Issa FREIGHT IN TIME RWANDA
Hristian Gueorguiev DINOVA RUSEV & PARTNERS	Yordan Naydenov BOYANOV & Co.	Georgi Tsonechev SCHOENHERR	Adeline Messou Couassi-Blé PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Jean-Marie Karitunze BUJUMBURA ASSOCIATED AND PROFESSIONAL ADVOCATES (BAPA)
Stefan Gugushev GUGUSHEV & PARTNERS	Alexander Nikolov ORBIT	Irina Tsvetkova PwC BULGARIA	Ali Neya CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA	Gloria Kubwimana KIYUKU & CO. ADVOCATES
Nadia Hadjov SPASOV & BRATANOV LAWYERS' PARTNERSHIP	Elitsa Nikolova-Dimitrova ORBIT	Georgi Tzvetkov DJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV & VElichkov	Sayouba Neya CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA	Augustin Mabushi A & JN MABUSHI CABINET D'AVOCATS
Vassil Hadjov SPASOV & BRATANOV LAWYERS' PARTNERSHIP	Maria Pashalieva PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS	Miroslav Varnaliev UNIMASTERS LOGISTICS PLC.	Karim Ouedraogo MINISTÈRE DES FINANCES ET DU BUDGET	René-Claude Madebari LEGAL SOLUTION CHAMBERS
Yassen Hristev KINKIN & PARTNERS	Mariya Pendeva GEORGIEV, TODOROV & Co.	Siyana Veleva KINKIN & PARTNERS	Madina Ouedraogo BUREAU D'ASSISTANCE À LA CONSTRUCTION (BAC) SARL	Rodrigue Majambere BNM & Co. ADVOCATES
Hristina Hristova DHL EXPRESS BULGARIA	Sergey Penev PENEV LLP	Mariana Velichkova TSVETKOVA BEBOV KOMAREVSKI	Oumarou Ouedraogo CABINET OUEDRAOGO	Stanislas Makoroka UNIVERSITÉ DU BURUNDI
Velyana Hristova PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS	Ilian Petkov ISPDD	Nedyalka Vylcheva DELCHEV & PARTNERS	Ousmane Honore Ouedraogo MAISON DE L'ENTREPRISE DU BURKINA FASO	Anatole Nahayo UNIVERSITÉ DU BURUNDI
Krasimira Ignatova PwC BULGARIA	Vladimir Popov SANORA	Monika Yaneva KALAIIDIEV & GEORGIEV	Roger Omer Ouédraogo ASSOCIATION PROFESSIONNELLE DES TRANSATAIRES & COMMISSIONNAIRES EN DOUANE AGRÉES	Horace Ncutiyumuheto NCUTI LAW FIRM & CONSULTANCY
Iliya Iliev PRIMORSKA AUDIT COMPANY - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Teodora Popova PENEV LLP	Iliyana Zhoteva REGISTRY AGENCY OF BULGARIA	Assana Pare CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUMOUNY KOPHO	Charles Nihangaza CONSULTANT CHARLES NIHANGAZA
Ginka Iskrova PwC BULGARIA	Bozko Poryazov DELCHEV & PARTNERS	BURKINA FASO	Jean Georges Sanogoh MOVIS BURKINA FASO SA	Mireille Niyongabo RUEBEYA & CO. ADVOCATES
Vesela Kabatliyska DINOVA RUSEV & PARTNERS	Maria Pramatarova SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL	BCEAO	Hermann Lambert Sanon GROUPE HAGE	Prosper Niyoyankana CABINET D'AVOCAT PROSPER NIYOYANKANA
Angel Kalaidijiev KALAIIDIEV & GEORGIEV	Konstantin Rizov GYUROV & RIZOV LAW OFFICE	CABINET KAM ET SOME	Emile Sawadogo SONABEL	Janvier Nsengiyumva REGIDESO
Vladi Kalinov SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL	Milen Rusev DINOVA RUSEV & PARTNERS	GIFA SRL	Moussa Ousmane Sawadogo DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS	Jocelyne Ntibangana CABINET DE MAÎTRE NTIBANGANA
Niya Kehayova CEZ DISTRIBUTION BULGARIA AD, MEMBER OF CEZ GROUP	Aneta Sarafova DANAIOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Dieudonne Bonkoungou SCPA THEMIS-B	Dominique Taty PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Happy Ntwari LEGAL SOLUTION CHAMBERS
Stoena Kirazova PENEV LLP	Kalina Savova PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS	Roland Patrick Bouda SCPA CONSILIUM	Alassane Tiemtore AUTORITÉ DE RÉGULATION DU SOUS-SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)	Gilbert L.P. Nyatanyi ENSAFRICA BURUNDI LIMITED
Hristina Kirilova KAMBOUROV & PARTNERS	Boiko Sekiranov SOFIA MUNICIPALITY - TOWN HALL	Ilboudo Clovice MAISON DE L'ENTREPRISE	Fousséni Traoré PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Déogratias Nzembwa AVOCAT À LA COUR
Violeta Kirova BOYANOV & Co.	Gergana Shinikova KINKIN & PARTNERS	Bobson Coulibaly CABINET D'AVOCATS BARTHÉLEMY KERE	Yacouba Traoré COMMUNE DE OUAGADOUGOU	Willy Rubeya RUEBEYA & CO. ADVOCATES
Donko Kolev ADDRESS REAL ESTATE JSCo	Vanya Shubelieva DANAIOVA, TODOROV AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Denis Dawende OFFICE NOTARIAL ME JEAN CELESTIN ZOURE	Bouba Yaguibou SCPA YAGUIBOU & ASSOCIÉS	Fabien Segatwa ETUDE ME SEGATWA
Ilya Komarevski TSVETKOVA BEBOV KOMAREVSKI	Georgi Slanchev DOKOVSKA, ATANASOV & PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Sylvie Dembelé SCPA CONSILIUM	Raïssa Yo CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA	Jérôme Vejuru TRANSPORT AND TRADING COMPANY, AGENT OF DSV GLOBAL TRANSPORT AND LOGISTICS
Yavor Kostov ARSOV, NACHEV, GENEVA	Dimitar Slavchev PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS	Paul Gnaleko PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Albert Zoma CABINET D'AVOCATS ALI NEYA	CABO VERDE
Yordan Kostov LEGALEX LAW OFFICE	Julian Spassov McGREGOR & PARTNERS	Pascal Hema SONABEL	BURUNDI	SAMP - SOCIEDADES DE ADVOGADOS
Zisis Kotsias ORBIT	Krum Stanchev ELIA PLC.	Brice Marie Valentin Ilboudo MAISON DE L'ENTREPRISE DU BURKINA FASO	AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES INVESTISSEMENTS	Tiago Albuquerque Dias DELOITTE
Svetlin Krastanov PwC BULGARIA	Teodor Stefanov RUTEX	Willerminne Laurence Edwige Kabore CABINET PIERRE ABADIE	BANQUE DE LA RéPUBLIQUE DU BURUNDI	José Manuel Andrade NÚCLEO OPERACIONAL DA SOCIEDADE DE INFORMAÇÃO
Tsvetan Krumov SCHOENHERR	Nina Stoeva LEGALEX LAW OFFICE	Olé Alain Kam DEMBS ASSOCIATES SARL	MINISTÈRE DES FINANCES	Luis Filipe Bernardo DELOITTE
Stephan Kyutchukov DJINGOV, GOUGINSKI, KYUTCHUKOV & VElichkov	Tsvetelina Stoilova-Valkanova KINKIN & PARTNERS	Sansan Césaire Kambou CABINET D'ARCHITECTURE AGORA BURKINA	OBR	Constantino Cabral MTCV CABO VERDE
Nina Lazarova REGISTRY AGENCY OF BULGARIA	Roman Stoyanov PENKOV, MARKOV & PARTNERS	Alain Gilbert Koala ORDRE DES ARCHITECTES DU BURKINA	PSD	Nádia Cardoso BTOC - CABO VERDE
Ivan Linev SPASOV & BRATANOV LAWYERS' PARTNERSHIP	Vessela Tcherneva-Yankova V CONSULTING BULGARIA	Cyprien Bigirimana MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE	Gahama Alain FINABANK SA	Vasco Carvalho Oliveira Ramos ENGIC ENGENHEIROS ASSOCIADOS Lda
			Jean Marie Barambona UNIVERSITÉ DU BURUNDI	João Manuel Chantre CÂMARA DE COMÉRCIO PORTUGAL CABO VERDE

Eurico Correia Monteiro ADVOGADOS & JURISCONSULTOS	Huot Channa KOKI ENGINEERING Co., Ltd.	Sovannith Nget P&A ASIA LAW FIRM	Vannak Seng MUNICIPALITY OF PHNOM PENH BUREAU OF URBAN AFFAIRS	Roland Abeng THE ABENG LAW FIRM
Geraldo da Cruz Almeida ADVOGADOS E CONSULTORES ASSOCIADOS	Chanthearith Chea VDB LOI	Vandeth Nguon PWC CAMBODIA	Patrick Smith SCIARONI & ASSOCIATES	Tocke Adrien DGI CAMEROUN (DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS DU CAMEROUN)
Dúnia Delgado PWC PORTUGAL	Phanin Cheam MUNICIPALITY OF PHNOM PENH BUREAU OF URBAN AFFAIRS	Daniel Noonan	San Socheata HBS LAW FIRM & CONSULTANTS	Oscar Alebga THE ABENG LAW FIRM
Jorge Lima Delgado Lopes NÚCLEO OPERACIONAL DA SOCIEDADE DE INFORMAÇÃO	Rithy Chey BNG LEGAL	Clint O'Connell DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Lor Sok SOK XING & HWANG	Rosine Pauline Amboia MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL
Vanda Evaora ADVOGADOS & JURISCONSULTOS	Heng Chhay R&T SOK & HENG LAW OFFICE	Heng Pagnawat P&A ASIA LAW FIRM	Phany Sok HBS LAW	Abel Piskopanis Armelle Silvana MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL
Sofia Fonseca ADVOGADOS & JURISCONSULTOS	Cheah Chhaynora HBS LAW	Navy Pat ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION	Sin Sokantha BUN & ASSOCIATES	Queenta Asibong THE ABENG LAW FIRM
Tomás Garcia Vasconcelos DELOITTE	Ouk Chittra ELECTRICITÉ DU CAMBODGE (EDC)	Piseth Path BNG LEGAL	Sum Sokhamphou	Cyrano Atoka CABINET FRANCINE NYOBE
António Gonçalves CV LEXIS ADVOGADOS	Om Dararith MINISTRY OF COMMERCE	Keo Penta GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION	Sim Sokheng MINISTRY OF COMMERCE	Lolita Bakala Mpessa SCPA NGALLE-MIANO
Teresa Livramento Monteiro DULCE LOPES, SOLANGE LISBOA RAMOS, TERESA LIVRAMENTO MONTEIRO- SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS	Kun Dirang MINISTRY OF COMMERCE	Ham Phea THE GLORY LEGAL	Pheang Sokvirak PWC CAMBODIA	Thomas Didier Remy Batoumbouck CADIRE
Ana Cristina Lopes Semedo BANCO DE CABO VERDE	Pha Eng Veng GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS AND EXCISE OF CAMBODIA	Ham Pheamarina THE GLORY LEGAL	Suon Sophra MINISTRY OF LAND MANAGEMENT, URBAN PLANNING AND CONSTRUCTION	Jean-Marie Bendégué IG/MINDCAF
Julio Martins Junior RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS	Bradley J. Gordon EDENBRIDGE ASIA	Porchhay Phoung SCIARONI & ASSOCIATES	H.E. Sok Sopheap MINISTRY OF COMMERCE	Pierre Bertin Simbafo BICEC
Fernando Aguiar Monteiro ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS	Vireen Hak DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Blake Theo Porter R&T SOK & HENG LAW OFFICE	Leng Sopheap GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS AND EXCISE OF CAMBODIA	Lilie Betsama Eloundou CABINET FRANCINE NYOBE
João Pereira FPS	Darwin Hem BNG LEGAL	Robert Porter VDB LOI	Tiv Sophonnora R&T SOK & HENG LAW OFFICE	Xavier Martial Biwoli Ayissi CABINET FRANCINE NYOBE
Eurico Pinto Monteiro ADVOGADOS & JURISCONSULTOS	Sreyros Heng PWC CAMBODIA	Allen Prak P&A ASIA LAW FIRM	Samnangvathanha Sor DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Nah Christabel Achu BARMI-NJOH CHAMBERS
José Manuel Pinto Monteiro ADVOGADOS & JURISCONSULTOS	Charles Ngoc-Khoi Hoang HBS LAW	Vann Puthipol GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION	Bou Sothearith GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS AND EXCISE OF CAMBODIA	Paul Marie Djamen MOBILE TELEPHONE NETWORKS CAMEROON (MTN)
Angela Rodrigues TIBA GROUP	Sotheary Hout R&T SOK & HENG LAW OFFICE	Borapyn Py DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Tep Sotheavy THE FLAG ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW	Aurélien Djengue Kotte CABINET EKOBO
José Rui de Sena AGÊNCIA DE DESPACHO ADUANEIRO FERREIRA E SENA LDA	Seng Vuoch Hun ASIA CAMBODIA LAW GROUP	Sok Rattana GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS AND EXCISE OF CAMBODIA	Nika Sour VDB LOI	Joseph Djega SOTRAFIC
Leida Santos ADVOGADOS & JURISCONSULTOS	Sujeet Karkala BNG LEGAL	Paul Redfern RED FURNESSE CO. LTD.	Vannaroth Sovann BNG LEGAL	Etienne Donfack GIEA
Tito Lívio Santos Oliveira Ramos ENGIC ENGENHEIROS ASSOCIADOS LDA	Sophorne Kheang DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Chris Robinson DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Phin Sovath BUN & ASSOCIATES	Laurent Dongmo JING & PARTNERS
Arnaldo Silva ARNALDO SILVA & ASSOCIADOS	Taingpor Kheng ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION	Kunthy Roy KN LEGAL CONSULTING	Sochiyy Suong PAT PROFESSIONAL LIMITED	Narcisse Ekome Essake EKOME ESSAKE & ASSOCIÉS
Armindo Sousa FPS	Robert M. King ERNST & YOUNG	Somarith Sam ELECTRICITÉ DU CAMBODGE (EDC)	Chesda Teng ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION	Simon Ekotto Ndembia VILLE DE DOUALA COMMUNAUTÉ URBAINE DE DOUALA
José Spinola FPS	Sieng Komira SECURED TRANSACTIONS FILING OFFICE	Mar Samborana MAR ASSOCIATES	Mom Thana MINISTRY OF COMMERCE	Ebot Elias Arrey ARC CONSULTANTS LTD.
Salvador Varela ADVOCACIA CONSULTORIA JURÍDICA	Alex Larkin DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Kiri San R&T SOK & HENG LAW OFFICE	Heng Thy PWC CAMBODIA	Philippe Claude Elimbi Elokan Marie Marceline Enganalim ETUDE ME ENGNALIM MARCELINE
Liza Helena Vaz PWC PORTUGAL	Vicheka Lay ASIA CENTURY LAW OFFICE	Sokla San P&A ASIA LAW FIRM	Thavsothaly Tok BNG LEGAL	Etchu Epey Begona LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM
Leendert Verschoor PWC PORTUGAL	Sok Leaksmy GENERAL DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS AND EXCISE OF CAMBODIA	Vathana Sar DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Hem Tola HR INC. (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Joseph Evagile Dime CONSEIL NATIONAL DU CRÉDIT
CAMBODIA	Kang Leap HML LAW FIRM & CONSULTANTS	Kem Saroeung SECURED TRANSACTIONS FILING OFFICE	Reangsrey Darith Touch ERNST & YOUNG	Elvis Eyong THE ABENG LAW FIRM
CREDIT BUREAU (CAMBODIA) Co. LTD.	Chanmakara Ly DFDL MEKONG (CAMBODIA) Co., Ltd.	Piseth Sea PAT PROFESSIONAL LIMITED	Vichan Nadeth Uy R&T SOK & HENG LAW OFFICE	Hyacinthe Clément Fansi Ngamou SCP NGASSAM NJIKE & ASSOCIES
TROIS S (CAMBODGE) LOGISTICS SOLUTION	Tayseng Ly HBS LAW	Neak Seakirin NEAK LAW OFFICE	Bun Youdy BUN & ASSOCIATES	Berlise Fimeni Djieya ATANGA LAW OFFICE
Borany Bon ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION	Nimmitth Men ARBITRATION COUNCIL FOUNDATION	Prum Sear KOMKIT DESIGN	Potim Yun VDB LOI	Isabelle Fomukong CABINET D'AVOCATS FOMUKONG
Lam Bui MAERSK LINE CAMBODIA	Tom Mizukoshi FORVAL CAMBODIA	Dave L. Seibert EDENBRIDGE ASIA	CAMEROON	Georges Fopa GIEA
Seng Bun Huy MAR ASSOCIATES	Eng Monyrih HML LAW FIRM & CONSULTANTS	Leung Seng KCP CAMBODIA LTD.	ÉTUDE ME ETOKE	Carine Danielle Fossey MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL
Buth Bunsayha ACLEDA BANK PLC.	Koy Neam KN LEGAL CONSULTING	Leung Seng PYT & ASSOCIATES	Stanley Abane THE ABENG LAW FIRM	
Sreypeou Chaing CSP & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM			Armelle Silvana Abel MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL	

Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC CAMEROON	Pierre Morgant Tchuikwa CADIRE	Adam Kurnik PWC CANADA	Serge Médard Missamou CLUB OHADA RÉPUBLIQUE CENTRAFRICAINE	Massiel Toudjoum Melyoel OFFICE NOTARIAL
Bertrand Gieangnitchoke GIEA	Nadine Tinen Tchadgoum PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL	Catherine MacInnis IBI GROUP INC.	Richard Moulet SUTTER & PEARCE (RCA) LTD.	Masrangue Trahogra CABINET D'AVOCATS ASSOCIÉS
Nicaise Ibohn THE ABENG LAW FIRM	Chrétien Toudjui AFRIQUE AUDIT CONSEIL BAKER TILLY	Alfred Page BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP	Jacob Ngaya MINISTÈRE DES FINANCES - DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS ET DES DOMAINES	Sobdibé Zoua CABINET SOBDIBÉ ZOUA
Samuel lyug lyug GROUPEMENT DES ENTREPRISES DE FRÉT ET MESSEAGERIE DU CAMEROUN (GEFCAM)	Tamfu Ngarka Tristel Richard TAMFU & CO. LAW FIRM	Meaghan Parry BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Rigo-Beyah Parse CABINET PARSE	CHILE
Paul T. Jing JING & PARTNERS	Bergerele Reine Tsafack Dongmo MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL	Martin Pinard CORPORATIONS CANADA	Ghislain Samba Mokamanede BAMELEC	BoLETÍN DE INFORMACIONES COMERCIALES
Thérèse Journessi ATANGA LAW OFFICE	Tanwie Walson Emmanuel UNICS PLC	Zac Resnick BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Bruno Sambia AGENCE CENTRAFRICAINE POUR LA FORMATION PROFESSIONNELLE ET L'EMPLOI (A.C.F.P.E.)	Leticia Acosta Aguirre REDUNES GROUP
Christian Kamdoum PWC CAMEROUN	Aghen Yannick Encho LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM	Gautam Rishi PWC CANADA	Bandiba Max Symphorien CLUB OHADA RÉPUBLIQUE CENTRAFRICAINE	Paula Aguilera URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Denis Kengni TRANSIMEX SA	Philippe Zouna PWC CAMEROUN	Jim Robson BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Sergio Andreu COLEGIO DE ARQUITECTOS DE CHILE
Jean-Aime Kounga THE ABENG LAW FIRM		Gaynor Roger SHIBLEY RIGHTON LLP		Jonathan Arendt ALBAGU ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS
Merlin Arsene Kouogang SOCIÉTÉ QUIFEUROU		Harris M. Rosen FOGLER RUBINOFF		Jorge Arredondo ALBAGU ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS
Serge Madola		John Tobin TORYS LLP		Luis Avello PwC CHILE
Tchande Magloire PWC CAMEROUN		Shane Todd FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP	Dana Abdulkader Waya CABINET NOTARIAL BONGORO	Angeles Barria PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA
Augustin Yves Mbock Keked CADIRE	Bekhzod Abdurazzakov BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP	Sharon Vogel BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP	Abdelkerim Ahmat BOLLORÉ LOGISTICS ET TRANSPORT	María José Bernal PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA
Charles Melchior Moudouthe UNION DE CONSIGNATAIRES ET ARMATEURS DU CAMEROUN	David Bish TORYS LLP	George Waggot McMILLAN LLP	Nirilala Antsa Andriantsoa JOHN W. FOOKS & Co., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Mario Bezanilla ALCAINO ABOGADOS
Danielle Moukouri D. MOUKOURI & PARTNERS	Paul Boshyk McMILLAN LLP		Théophile B. Bongoro CABINET NOTARIAL BONGORO	Rodrigo Cabrera Ortiz CHILECTRA
Arielle Christiane Marthe Mpeck ATANGA LAW OFFICE	Richard Cantin NERO BOUTIQUE LAW FIRM		Oscar d'Estaing Deffosso	Raimundo Camus Varas YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA
Joan Landry Wilfried Mpeck ATANGA LAW OFFICE	John Craig FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP		Thomas Dingamgoto CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO	Miguel Capo Valdes BESALCO SA
Marie Agathe Ndeme CADIRE	Rod Davidge OSLER, HOSKIN & HARcourt LLP		Philippe Fouda Fouda BEAC CAMEROON	Héctor Carrasco SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS Y INSTITUCIONES FINANCIERAS CHILE
Bernard Ngaiabe THE ABENG LAW FIRM	Audrey Diamant PWC CANADA		Francis Kadjilembaye CABINET THOMAS DINGAMGOTO	Javier Carrasco NÚÑEZ MUÑOZ VERDUGO & CIA LTDA ABOGADOS
Ntah Charlotte Ngara ATANGA LAW OFFICE	Abe Dube AMERINDE LAW GROUP		Prosper Kemayou TRANSIMEX TCHAD SA	Isaac Cea ICEA PROYECTOS E INSTALACIONES ELÉCTRICAS
Virgile Ngassam Njiké SCP NGASSAM NJIKE & ASSOCIES	Esmat El-Bacha PWC CANADA		Mahamat Kikigne	Andrés Chirgwin CHIRGIN LARRETA PEÑAFIEL
Dieu le Fit Nguiyan UNIVERSITÉ DE DOUALA	Michael Elder BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Abakar Adam Nassour STMT (GROUPE SNER)	Nury Clavería BESALCO SA
Urbain Nini Teunda CAMEROON CUSTOMS	Isabelle Foley CORPORATIONS CANADA		Hayatte N'Djiaye PROFESSION LIBÉRALE	Manuel Concha Oyaneder COLEGIO DE ARQUITECTOS DE CHILE
Moliki Nitua Tabot LEGAL POWER LAW FIRM	Paul Gasparatto ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD		Guy Emmanuel Ngankam PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL	Maria Alejandra Corvalán YRARRÁZAVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA
Francine Nyobe CABINET FRANCINE NYOBE	Attila Gaspardy PWC CANADA		Abba Oumar Ngarbyo CABINET NOTARIAL BONGORO	Gracia Curtze URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Jacob Oben JING & PARTNERS	Rachel April Giguère McMILLAN LLP		Issa Ngambassa ÉTUDE ME ISSA NGAR MBASSA	Andrés Dighero ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS
Ilias Poskipanis MOJUFISC MONDE JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL	Christopher Gillespie GILLESPIE-MUNRO INC.		Joseph Papog Noupoué ERNST & YOUNG JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL TCHAD	Gloria Drogett PwC CHILE
Paul-Gérard Pougoe	Yoine Goldstein McMILLAN LLP		Jean Bernard Padare CABINET PADARE	Gonzalo Errázuriz URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Olivier Priso VILLE DE DOUALA COMMUNAUTÉ URBAINE DE DOUALA	Talia Gordner BLANEY McMURTRY, LLP		Tchouafiene Pandare CABINET NOTARIAL BONGORO	Maira Esteban URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Boller Pym UNIVERSITÉ DE DOUALA	Mary Grozdanis FOGLER RUBINOFF		Anselme Patipewé Njaijin ERNST & YOUNG JURIDIQUE ET FISCAL TCHAD	Peter Faillé URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR
Claude Simo CL AUDIT ET CONSEI	Andrew Kent McMILLAN LLP		Claudia Randrianavory JOHN W. FOOKS & Co., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Raquel Frattini CHIRGIN LARRETA PEÑAFIEL
Linda Tataabod Amuteng	Jordan Knowles BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Nastasia Schnorfeil-Pauthue	
Hélène Florette Tchidjip Kapnang ATANGA LAW OFFICE	Joshua Kochath COMAGE CONTAINER LINES		Nadine Tinen Tchadgoum PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SARL	
Emmanuel Tchiffo ATANGA LAW OFFICE				

Cristobal Giogoux NUÑEZ MUÑOZ VERDUGO & CIA LTDA ABOGADOS	Luis Parada Hoyl BAHAMONDEZ, ÁLVAREZ & ZEGERS	Víctor Hugo Valenzuela Millán	Grace Geng ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM
Felipe González URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Daniela Peña Fergadiott BARROS & ERRÁZURIZ	Felipe Valle CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ- COTAPOS & CIA	Zichen Guo KUNLUN LAW FIRM
Maureen Grob GUERRERO, OLIVOS, NOVOA & ERRÁZURIZ ABOGADOS	Andrés Pennycook Castro SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INSOLVENCIA Y REEMPRENDIMIENTO	Nicolás Velasco Jenschke SUPERINTENDENCIA DE INSOLVENCIA Y REEMPRENDIMIENTO	Huizhong Hu BEIJING HUANZHONG & PARTNERS
Sofía Haupt ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	Alberto Pulido A. PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Santiago Verdugo URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Sherry Hu HOGAN LOVELLS
Cristian Hermansen Rebollo ACTIC CONSULTORES	Nina Radovic Fanta BESALCO SA	Liliana Vergara COLEGIO DE ARQUITECTOS DE CHILE	Ziyan Huang JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Jorge Hirmas ALBAGUZ ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS	Gianfranco Raglanti CAREY Y CIA LTDA	Javiera Vicuña URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Wilson Huo ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM
Daniela Hirsch ALBAGUZ ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS	Felipe Rencoret URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Gonzalo Villazon NUÑEZ MUÑOZ VERDUGO & CIA LTDA ABOGADOS	Shan Jin KING & WOOD MALLESONS LAWYERS
Javier Hurtado CÁMARA CHILENA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN	Gonzalo Rencoret URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Kenneth Werner AGENCIA DE ADUANA JORGE VIO Y CIA LTDA	Yiru Jin PUDONG GARMENTS IMPORT & EXPORT CO. LTD. OIE
Fernando Jamarne ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	Alfonso Reymond Larraín REYMOND & FLEISCHMANN ABOGADOS	Sergio Yávar GUERRERO, OLIVOS, NOVOA & ERRÁZURIZ ABOGADOS	Jiang Junlu KING & WOOD MALLESONS
Rodrigo Jería Selaiwe CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ- COTAPOS & CIA	Ricardo Riesco PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Arturo Yrrázaval Covarrubias YRARRÁZVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Edward E. Lehman LEHMAN, LEE & XU
José Ignacio Jiménez GUERRERO, OLIVOS, NOVOA & ERRÁZURIZ ABOGADOS	Constanza Rodríguez PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Jean Paul Zalaquett CHILECTRA	Jack Kai Lei KUNLUN LAW FIRM
Ignacio Larraín PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Nelson Contador Rosales NELSON CONTADOR Y CIA. ABOGADOS	Matías Zegers BAHAMONDEZ, ÁLVAREZ & ZEGERS	Ian Lewis MAYER BROWN JSM
Juan Ignacio León Lira REYMOND & FLEISCHMANN ABOGADOS	Alvaro Rosenblut ALBAGUZ ZALIASNIK ABOGADOS	Barbara Zlatar Ayuso CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ- COTAPOS & CIA	Audry Li ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM
Jose Luis Letelier CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ- COTAPOS & CIA	Bernardita Saez ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	CHINA	Qing Li JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Nicole Lüer URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Hugo Salinas PwC CHILE	KING & WOOD MALLESONS LAWYERS	Ellen Liu MAYER BROWN JSM
Nicolás Maillard MAILLARD & CELIS ABOGADOS	Jaime Salinas PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Jacob Blacklock LEHMAN, LEE & XU	Grace Liu RUSSELL BEDFORD HUA-ANDER CPAS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Juan Pablo Matus CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ- COTAPOS & CIA	Andrés Sanfuentes PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Russell Brown LEHMANBROWN	Jingjing Liu BEIJING HUANZHONG & PARTNERS
Sebastian Melero PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Martín Santa María O. GUERRERO, OLIVOS, NOVOA & ERRÁZURIZ ABOGADOS	Elliott Youchun Chen JUNZEJUN LAW OFFICES	Ning Liu JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Nicolás Miranda Larraguibel ALESSANDRI ABOGADOS	Bernardita Schmidt URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Jie Chen JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Sherry Liu NORONHA ADVOCADOS
Rodrigo Muñoz NUÑEZ MUÑOZ VERDUGO & CIA LTDA ABOGADOS	Francisco Selamé PwC CHILE	Mingqiang Chen JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Tony Liu KUNLUN LAW FIRM
Fernanda Nash NUÑEZ MUÑOZ VERDUGO & CIA LTDA ABOGADOS	Marcela Silva PHILIPPI, PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Samuel Chen BYC	Yanyan Liu KUNLUN LAW FIRM
Juan Pablo Navarrete CAREY Y CIA LTDA	Luis Fernando Silva Ibañez YRARRÁZVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Tao Chen JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Kuan Lu CHANCE & BRIDGE PARTNERS
Sebastián Nieme URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Alan Smith SMITH Y CIA	Xinping Chen ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM	Xiaomin Luo PENGYUAN CREDIT SERVICES CO. LTD.
Nicolás Ocampo CAREY Y CIA LTDA	Consuelo Tarud URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Michael Diaz Jr. DIAZ, REUS & TARG, LLP	Hongli Ma JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Alberto Oltra DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING	Victor Tavera CHILECTRA	Zhitong Ding CREDIT REFERENCE CENTER OF PEOPLE'S BANK OF CHINA	Jonathan Mok ANGELA WANG & CO.
Sergio Orrego URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR	Jorge Timmermann BAHAMONDEZ, ÁLVAREZ & ZEGERS	Tina Dong LEHMAN, LEE & XU	Matthew Murphy MMLC GROUP
Gerardo Ovalle Mahns YRARRÁZVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Ricardo Tisi L. CARIOLA DIEZ PEREZ- COTAPOS & CIA	Lijing Du JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Winnie Nie HOGAN LOVELLS
Pablo Oyarce NUÑEZ MUÑOZ VERDUGO & CIA LTDA ABOGADOS	Carlos Torres REDLINES GROUP	Helen Feng ANGELA WANG & CO.	Lei Niu ZHONG LUN LAW FIRM
Orlando Palomino MORELLES, BESA & CIA. LTDA	Javier Ugarte Undurraga YRARRÁZVAL, RUIZ-TAGLE, GOLDENBERG, LAGOS & SILVA	Christopher Fung LEHMAN, LEE & XU	Peng Pan KING & WOOD MALLESONS
		Shuai Gao AEROSPACE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY OF CHINA	Giovanni Pisacane GWA GREATWAY ADVISORY
			Lori Qi BYC
			Xin Zhang GLOBAL LAW OFFICE
			Long Rao JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
			Chen Rumeng FUJIAN SOUTH PHARMACEUTICAL CO. LTD.
			Tina Shi MAYER BROWN JSM
			Peng Tan FANGDA PARTNERS
			John Thompson JONES LANG LASALLE
			Terence Tung MAYER BROWN JSM
			Angela Wang ANGELA WANG & CO.
			Ariel Wang CHANCE & BRIDGE PARTNERS
			Eileen Wang MAYER BROWN JSM
			Guoqi Wang RUSSELL BEDFORD HUA-ANDER CPAS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
			Jinghua Wang JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
			Lihua Wang JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
			Thomas Wang SHANGHAI BOSS & YOUNG
			Xiaolei Wang CREDIT REFERENCE CENTER OF PEOPLE'S BANK OF CHINA
			Xuehua Wang BEIJING HUANZHONG & PARTNERS
			Yu Wang LEHMAN, LEE & XU
			Kent Woo GUANGDA LAW FIRM
			Vincent Wu MAYER BROWN JSM
			Xiaohong Xiong PENGYUAN CREDIT SERVICES CO. LTD.
			Di Xu JUNZEJUN LAW OFFICES
			Sunny Xu LEHMAN, LEE & XU
			Wenliang Xu SUMAVISION
			Qing (Christine) Yang KUNLUN LAW FIRM
			Tiecheng Yang CLIFFORD CHANCE
			Andy Yeo MAYER BROWN JSM
			Nancy Yu MAYER BROWN JSM
			Natalie Yu SHANGHAI LI YAN LAW FIRM
			Xia Yu MMLC GROUP
			Jianan Yuan JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
			Bonnie Zhang LEHMAN, LEE & XU
			Sarah Zhang HOGAN LOVELLS
			Xin Zhang GLOBAL LAW OFFICE

George Zhao KING & WOOD MALLESONS	Juan Pablo Concha Delgado BAKER & MCKENZIE	Luis Gabriel Morcillo-Méndez BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Raúl Alberto Suárez Arcila SUÁREZ ARCILA & ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	Haroussi Idrissa TRIBUNAL DE PREMIERE INSTANCE DE MORONI
Xingjian Zhao DIAZ, REUS & TARG, LLP	Felipe Cuberos PHILIPPI PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Francisco Javier Morón López PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Diego Muñoz Tamayo MUÑOZ TAMAYO & ASOCIADOS	Madiane Mohamed Issa CABINET D'AVOCAT BAHASSANI
Crys Zheng LEHMAN, LEE & XU	Lyana De Luca BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Luis E. Nieto NIETO & CHALELA	Gustavo Tamayo Arango JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & CO.	Said Bacar Kaab PRÉFECTURE MORONI
Fei Zheng JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Juan Carlos Diaz GENELEC DE COLOMBIA SAS	Caterine Noriega Cardenas GESTIÓN LEGAL COLOMBIA	Olga Viviana Tapias RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Faouzi Mohamed Lakj TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE COMOROS
Jianying Zheng JUN HE LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Maria Fernanda Diaz Chacon BAKER & MCKENZIE	Juan Sebastian Noriega Cardenas GESTIÓN LEGAL COLOMBIA	Faunier David Toro Heredia CODENA SA ESP	Mohamed Maoulida AUDIT CONSEIL-INTERNATIONAL
Jiong Zhu	Maria Lucia Echandia POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Adriana Carolina Ospina Jiménez BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Jose Alejandro Torres POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Abdoulbastoi Moudjahidi CABINET D'AVOCATS SAÏD IBRAHIM
Ning Zhu CHANCE & BRIDGE PARTNERS	Juan Camilo Fandiño-Bravo CARDENAS & CARDENAS	Juan Guillermo Otero Gonzalez BAKER & MCKENZIE	Natalia Tovar Ibagos EXPERIAN - DATACRÉDITO	Farahati Moussa MOUVEMENT DES ENTREPRENEURS COMORIENS (MODEC)
Simon Zhu SIMMONS & SIMMONS	Lina María Fernández PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Juan Andres Palacios LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	Juan Uribe-Holguin Borda PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Azad Mze CABINET D'AVOCATS MZE
Roy Zou HOGAN LOVELLS	Jairo Flechas GENELEC DE COLOMBIA SAS	Alvaro Parra PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Daniel Vargas Umaña EXPERIAN - DATACRÉDITO	Ibrahim A. Mzimba CABINET MZIMBA AVOCATS
COLOMBIA				
BIMBO	Carlos Fradique-Méndez BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Santiago Parra Salazar PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Frank Velandia TELOGIC LTDA	Halidi Ali Omar MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE ET DU COMMERCE
PwC COLOMBIA	Luis Hernando Gallo Medina GALLO MEDINA ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	Carlos Felipe Pinilla Acevedo PINILLA GONZÁLEZ & PRIETO ABOGADOS	Patricia Vergara-Gomez GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA	Marco Raymond
Enrique Alvarez JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & Co.	Wilman Garzón CODENA SA ESP	Estefanía Ponce Durán POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Lilalba Vinasco INSTITUTO COLOMBIANO AGROPECUARIO	Salimou Yahaya TRIBUNAL DE PREMIERE INSTANCE DE MORONI
Alexandra Arbeláez Cardona RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Julianna Giorgi POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Carolina Posada POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Alirio Virviescas NOTARIA 41 DE BOGOTÁ	CONGO, DEM. REP.
María Alejandra Arboleda POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Carlos Gómez Guzmán PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Daniel Posse POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Adriana Zapata CAVELIER ABOGADOS	Albert-Blaise Akoka DELOITTE RDC
Felipe Aristizabal NIETO & CHALELA	Wilman Garzón CODENA SA ESP	Natalia Eugenia Quijano Uribe CODENA SA ESP	Alberto Zuleta CÁRDENAS & CÁRDENAS	Salavatrice Bahindwa Bahati ETUDE KABINDA - CABINET D'AVOCATS
Patricia Arrázola-Bustillo GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA	Sandra Liliana Gutiérrez RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Santiago Renjifo Ortega CONFECAMARAS	Natalia Zuleta CÁRDENAS & CÁRDENAS	Boniface Baluti CABINET NTOTO
Cesar Barajas PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Juliana Gutiérrez JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & Co.	William Rene Gutierrez Oregon INSTITUTO COLOMBIANO AGROPECUARIO	Alirio Virviescas NOTARIA 41 DE BOGOTÁ	Nathalie Banza SDV LOGISTICS
Luis Alfredo Barragán BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	William Rene Gutierrez Oregon INSTITUTO COLOMBIANO AGROPECUARIO	Edwar Hernandez GENELEC DE COLOMBIA SAS	Andrés Rincón CÁRDENAS & CÁRDENAS	Romain Battajon CABINET BATTAJON
Aurora Barroso PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Susana Hidvegi BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Irma Isabel Rivera BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Irma Isabel Rivera BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Billy Batunzy CABINET BATUNZY
Claudia Benavides Galvis BAKER & MCKENZIE	Santiago Gutiérrez JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & Co.	Luis Carlos Robayo Higuera RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Adrián Rodríguez LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	Jean Timothée Bisimwa PROVINCIAL COMMISSION
Fernando Bermúdez Durana MUÑOZ TAMAYO & ASOCIADOS	Ana María Iregui PHILIPPI PRIETOCARRIZOSA FERRERO DU & URÍA	Andrés Rincón CÁRDENAS & CÁRDENAS	Mauricio Rodriguez Gallego CONFECAMARAS	Hugo Bolanshi YAV & ASSOCIATES
Juan Pablo Bonilla POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Jhovanna Jiménez BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Irma Isabel Rivera BRIGARD & URRUTIA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Bernardo Rodriguez Ossa PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Deo Bukayafwa MBM CONSEIL
Joe Ignacio Bonilla Gálvez MUÑOZ TAMAYO & ASOCIADOS	Juan Camilo Jiménez CÁRDENAS & CÁRDENAS	Luis Carlos Robayo Higuera RUSSELL BEDFORD COLOMBIA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Sonia Elizabeth Rojas Izaquita GALLO MEDINA ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	Nicaise Chikuru MUNYIOWARWA CHIKURU & ASSOCIÉS
Jimmy Buenaventura JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & Co.	Nubia Lamprea CODENA SA ESP	Adrián Rodríguez LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	Paula Samper Salazar GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA	Siegfried Dibong PwC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)
Carolina Camacho POSSE HERRERA RUIZ	Jorge Lara-Urbaneja ARCINIEGAS LARA BRICEÑO PLANA	Mauricio Rodriguez Gallego CONFECAMARAS	Katherine Romero Hinestrosa PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Prosper Djuma Bilali CABINET MASAMBA
Samuel Cano JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & Co.	Federico Lewin LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	Bernardo Rodriguez Ossa PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Diego Sastoque PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Holly Embonga Tomboli CHIKURU & ASSOCIÉS
Dario Cárdenas CÁRDENAS & CÁRDENAS	Alejandro Linares-Cantillo GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA	Sonia Elizabeth Rojas Izaquita GALLO MEDINA ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS	Diego Sastoque PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Jose Engbanda Mananga GUICHET UNIQUE DE CREATION D'ENTREPRISE
Natalia Caroprese JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & Co.	William Marin PRODUCTOS FAMILIA	Paula Samper Salazar GÓMEZ-PINZÓN ZULETA ABOGADOS SA	Diego Sastoque PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Irénée Falanka CABINET IRÉNÉE FALANKA
Carlos Carvajal JOSÉ LLOREDA CAMACHO & Co.	Miguel Martinez GENELEC DE COLOMBIA SAS	Katherine Romero Hinestrosa PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Cristina Stiefken LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	Safari Habibu CABINET D'AVOCATS
Luis Miguel Carvajal CODENA SA ESP	Christoph Möller PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Diego Sastoque PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Yousououb Ibn Ismael Aticki BARREAU DE MORONI	Amisi Herady GUICHET UNIQUE DE CREATION D'ENTREPRISE
Fernando Castañeda ORGANIZACIÓN CORONA	Juan Reinaldo Suarez CURADURIA URBANA 1	Cristina Stiefken LEWIN & WILLS ABOGADOS	Daoud Saidali Toihiri ANPI INVEST IN COMOROS	Patou Ikoko Tangamu BANQUE CENTRALE DU CONGO
Alejandro Castilla PARRA RODRÍGUEZ ABOGADOS SAS	Juan Reinaldo Suarez CURADURIA URBANA 1	Juan Reinaldo Suarez CURADURIA URBANA 1	Ernesto Jorge Clavijo Sierra CURADURIA URBANA 1	Parfait-Didier Kabongo Mukadi NTN & PARTNERS SCRL

Cedrick Kala Konga EGEC	Steve Manuana CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES	Moise Tangala CABINET IRÉNÉE FALANKA	Gloriana Alvarado PACHECO COTO	Donato Rivas ARIAS & MUÑOZ
Rene Kala Konga EGEC	Rigobert Nzundu Mawunga EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIATES	Mamie Gisèle Tshibalabala Banga	Rocio Amador ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Ricardo Rodríguez CENTRAL LAW - QUIROS ABOGADOS
Vincent Kangulumba Mbambi ANDRÉ & VINCENT AVOCATS ASSOCIES	Aristide Mbayo Makytaya DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES ET ACCISES	Christian Tshibanda Mulunda NTV & PARTNERS SCRL	Arnoldo André LEXINCORP COSTA RICA	Oscar Rodríguez IPRA-CINDÉR
Benoit Kapila SDV LOGISTICS	Gérard Mosolo MBM CONSEIL	Antoine Tshibuabua Mbui SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ÉLECTRICITÉ (SNEL)	Alejandro Antillon PACHECO COTO	Manrique Rojas LEXINCORP
Clément Mukendi Kashingi SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ÉLECTRICITÉ (SNEL)	Christine Mpunga Tshim BANQUE CENTRALE DU CONGO	Seraphin Umba YAV & ASSOCIATES	Carlos Araya CENTRAL LAW - QUIROS ABOGADOS	Mauricio Salas BLP ABOGADOS
Gracia Kavumvula MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES FONCIÈRES	Fabrice Muabila Mutamba CABINET AVOCATS ASSOCIES ANDRE ET VINCENT	Ngalima Zephyrin CABINET NGALIEMA	Daniel Araya ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Jose Luis Salinas GRUPO INMOBILIARIO DEL PARQUE
Pixii Kazyumba CABINET MASAMBA	Tarin Muongo PWC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)	CONGO, REP.	Luis Diego Barahona PWC COSTA RICA	Luis Sánchez FACIO & CAÑAS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Dieu Donné Kfuma CABINET KHUMA ET BEKOMBE	Célestine Mukalay Kionde SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ÉLECTRICITÉ (SNEL)	Patrice Bazolo PwC	Alejandro Bettoni Traube DONINELLI & DONINELLI - ASESORES JURÍDICOS ASOCIADOS	Adriana Segura PWC COSTA RICA
Arly Khuty CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES	Emery Mukendi Wafwana CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES	Prosper Bizitou PwC	Eduardo Calderón-Odio BLP ABOGADOS	Ronny Michel Valverde Mena
Dolores Sonia Kimpwene ETUDE KABINDA - CABINET D'AVOCATS	Eliance Muloji Wa Mbui CABINET NGALIEMA	Alexis Debi PwC	Giorginella Carranza G LOGISTICS COSTA RICA, SA	Tracy Varela Calderón ARIAS & MUÑOZ
Baby Kitoko EXPERTS FREIGHT - RDC	Jacques Munday CABINET NTOTO	Mathias Essereke CABINET D'AVOCATS MATHIAS ESSEREKE	Monica Castillo Quesada CÁMARA COSTARRICENSE DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN	Marianela Vargas PWC COSTA RICA
Marc Kongomai Mulumba SOCIÉTÉ NATIONALE D'ÉLECTRICITÉ (SNEL)	Yannick Muwawa BANQUE CENTRALE DU CONGO	Joe Pépin Foundoux PwC	Adriana Castro BLP ABOGADOS	Diego Villalobos
Phistian Kubangusu Makiese CABINET MASAMBA	Jean-Pierre Muyaya EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES	Gaston Gapo ATELIER D'ARCHITECTURE ET D'URBANISME	Leonardo Castro OLLER ABOGADOS	Jonathan Villegas Alvarado SOCIACO
Christ Kuty CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES	Philippe Mvita Kabasele BANQUE CENTRALE DU CONGO	Dieudonné Patrice Kitoto PwC	Silvia Chacon SOLEY, SABORIO & ASOCIADOS	Jafet Zúñiga Salas SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS
Fénelon Kyangulaka SOCIÉTÉ DE TECHNIQUES SPÉCIALES (STS)	Jean-Paul Mvuni Malanda CABINET NGALIEMA	Ado Patricia Marlene Matisse CABINET NOTARIAL MATISSA	Margot Chinchilla SOCIACO	CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Jean-Marie Lepriya Molengue CABINET NGALIEMA	Gabriel Mwepu Numbi DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES ET ACCISES	Benic Mbanwie Sarr PwC	Alejandra Dobles PROYECTOS ICC SA	AUTORITÉ NATIONALE DE RÉGULATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ
Desiré Likolo EGEC	Nicaise Navanga SDV LOGISTICS	Françoise Mbongo CABINET MBONGO	Andrey Dorado ARIAS & MUÑOZ	BCEAO
Ilan Liongi Ilankaka CABINET MASAMBA	Eric Ngabo Kalesh NTN & PARTNERS SCRL	Fortuné Mbouma Peya CONGO TERMINAL	Roberto Esquivel OLLER ABOGADOS	CABINET EXPERTISES
Jules Wemby Lofudu MINISTÈRE DE L'ENSEIGNEMENT SUPÉRIEUR, INSTITUT SUPÉRIEUR D'ARCHITECTURE ET D'URBANISME	Emmanuel Ngalamulume Kalala NTN & PARTNERS SCRL	Firmin Moukengue CABINET MOUKENGUE	Dieter Gallop Fernandez G LOGISTICS COSTA RICA, SA	SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS (SCPA)
Jean-Pierre Kevin Lofumbwa DELOITTE RDC	Placide Nkala Basadilua GUICHET UNIQUE DE CRÉATION D'ENTREPRISE	Prospér K. Nzengue MINISTÈRE DE LA CONSTRUCTION, DE L'URBANISME ET HABITAT	Randall Zamora Hidalgo COSTA RICA ABC	IMBOUA-KOUAO-TELLA (IKT) & ASSOCIÉS
Francis Lugunda Lubamba CABINET LUKOMBE & LES AVOCATS	Bernard Nsimba Bilandu CABINET MASAMBA	Aimé Pambou BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS CONGO	Henry Lang LANG & ASOCIADOS	Narcisse Aka COUR COMMUNE DE JUSTICE ET D'ARBITRAGE (CCJA) DE L'OHADA
Carol Lutaladio DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES ET ACCISES	Marcel Ntoto CABINET NTOTO	Andre François Quenum CABINET ANDRE FRANCOIS QUENUM	Vicente Lines ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Patricia Akpangni FDKA
Brigitte Luyambuladio EGEC	Jean-Bienvenu Ntwali Byavulwa ÉTUDE KABINDA - CABINET D'AVOCATS	Sariaka Randrianarisoa JOHN W. FOOKS & Co., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Andres Mercado OLLER ABOGADOS	Claude Aman BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS
Aubin Mabanza KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS	Marlyne Nzailu PWC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)	Jean Jacques Youlou MINISTÈRE DE LA CONSTRUCTION, DE L'URBANISME ET HABITAT	Jaime Molina PROYECTOS ICC SA	Nirilala Antsa Andriantsoa JOHN W. FOOKS & Co., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP
Béatrice Mabanza KLAM & PARTNERS AVOCATS	Léon Nzimbi PWC CONGO (DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF)	Alpha Zinga Moko PwC	Jorge Montenegro SCGM/T ARQUITECTURA Y DISEÑO	Simplice Anounga CIE
Yves Madre DELOITTE RDC	Destin Pelete LA GÉNÉRALE DE SERVICES LA FONTAINE	COSTA RICA	Eduardo Montoya Solano SUPERINTENDENCIA GENERAL DE ENTIDADES FINANCIERAS	Oumar Bane JURIFIS CONSULT
Jimmy Mafamvula CABINET EMERY MUKENDI WAFWANA & ASSOCIES	Gérard Pointe KPMG	BATALLA SALTO LUNA SERVICIOS ELÉCTRICOS DEL SUR SA TRANSUNION	Ana Cristina Mora EXPERTIS GHP ABOGADOS	Altiné Amadou Belko CREDITINFO VOLO
Robert Majambo YAV & ASSOCIATES	Freddy Mulamba Senene MULAMBA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Luis Acuna ASESORES LEGALES EN PROPIEDAD INDUSTRIAL	Magda Morales LANG & ASOCIADOS	Abou Berte TIERI
Andre Malangu Muabila CABINET FAMILLE	Serge Mangungu DHL GLOBAL	Aisha Acuña LEXINCORP COSTA RICA	Ricardo Murillo SOCIACO	Binde Binde AFRICA TRANS-LOGISTICS INTERNATIONAL
Noel Mangala CABINET CERTAC	Christie Madudu Sulubika CABINET MADUDU SULUBIKA	Cecilia Naranjo LEX COUNSEL	Cecilia Naranjo LEX COUNSEL	Michel Kizito Brizoua-Bi BILE-AKA, BRIZOUA-BI & ASSOCIÉS
		Pedro Oller OLLER ABOGADOS	Pedro Oller OLLER ABOGADOS	Lassiney Kathann Camara CLK AVOCATS
		Mauricio Paris EXPERTIS GHP ABOGADOS	Mauricio Paris EXPERTIS GHP ABOGADOS	Thierry Court TIERI
		Sergio Pérez LEXINCORP	Sergio Pérez LEXINCORP	Arsène Dablé SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO & ASSOCIÉS
		Roger Petersen P LAW GROUP	Roger Petersen P LAW GROUP	

Zirignon Constant Delbe MINISTÈRE DE L'AGRICULTURE ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT RURAL	Sylvere Koyo SABKA	Mahamadou Traore AVOCAT À LA COUR	Ivana Delalić BOŽIĆ AND PARTNERS	Josip Madirazza MADIRAZZA & PARTNERS
Issa Diabaté KOSSI & DIABATÉ	Sidibé Lancina GUICHET UNIQUE DU PERMIS DE CONSTRUIRE	Fousséni Traoré PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Saša Divjak DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ	Mihaela Malenica VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
Lynn Diagou SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO & ASSOCIES	Claude Lath UNIVERSAL SERVICE COMPANY	Pauline Traoré SIMAT	Mladen Dragičević LAW FIRM DRAGIČEVIĆ & PARTNERS	Ivana Manovelo MAČEŠIĆ & PARTNERS LTD.
Aboubakar-Sidiki Diarrassouba CLK AVOCATS	Marie Leonard WEBB FONTAINE CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Flora Vabry SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO & ASSOCIES	Renata Duka MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Josip Martinić WOLF THEISS
Cheick Diop CABINET DU DOCTEUR CHEICK DIOP AVOCATS	Faty Balla Lo CREDITINFO VOLO	Eloi Kouakou Yao CLK AVOCATS	Mirta Dusparić CROATIAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT	Iva Masten VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
Aly Djiohou IIF CONSEILS JURIDIQUES	Cisse Mamadou TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE	Koffi Noël Yao CABINET YZAS BAKER TILLY	Bozidar Feldman MATIĆ, FELDMAN & HERMAN LAW FIRM	Tin Matić TIN MATIĆ LAW OFFICE
Marius Doh CIE	Christian Marmignon C C M - AUDIT & CONSEIL	Didier Yao Koffi Kan AITM	Tomislav Fridrich CARGO-PARTNER D.O.O.	Jan Mokos KOPFER & PARTNERI LAW FIRM
Yannick Dossongui ASSOCIATION DES CABINETS D'AVOCATS D'AFFARIES AFRICAINS	Desire Racine M'Bengue ATELIER M-RAUD	Seydou Zerbo SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO & ASSOCIES	Tomislava Furčić LAW OFFICE FURČIC	Željana Muslim FINANCIAL AGENCY - HITRO.HR CENTER
Yolande Doukoure Séhinabou DSY ARCHITECTE	Roger M'Bengue ATELIER M-RAUD	Tiede Robert Zizonhi MINISTÈRE DE L'AGRICULTURE ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT RURAL	Tonka Gjojić GLINSKA & MIŠKOVIĆ LTD.	Harun Omerbasic CENTRAL CITY ADMINISTRATION OF ZAGREB
Dorothée K. Dreesen ETUDE MAÎTRE DREESEN	Adeline Messou Couassi-Blé PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	SYRIA	Ivan Gjurgjan GIURGJAN & ŠRIBAR RADIĆ LAW FIRM	Jadranka Orešković ČAĆIĆ & PARTNERS LAW FIRM
Stéphane Eholie SIMAT	Sylla Mory CIE	HEP DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM OPERATOR LTD.	Krešimir Golubiž GOLMAX D.O.O.	Jelena Orlic WOLF THEISS
Ramatou Fall GUICHET UNIQUE DE L'INVESTISSEMENT EN CÔTE D'IVOIRE - CEPICI	Xavier Edouard N'cho MINISTÈRE DE L'AGRICULTURE ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT RURAL	PwC CROATIA	Lidija Hanžek HROK D.O.O.	Nataša Owens OWENS AND HOUŠKA
Joseph Gbegnon CREDITINFO VOLO	Jean-Charles N'Dri SIMAT	Gordana Adamović ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO LEKO I PARTNERI	Sonja Herceg CROATIAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT	Ana Padjen MAČEŠIĆ & PARTNERS LTD.
Koupo Gnoleba MINISTÈRE DE LA CONSTRUCTION, DU LOGEMENT, DE L'ASSAINISSEMENT ET DE L'URBANISME	Georges N'Goan CABINET N'GOAN, ASMAN & ASSOCIES	Sara Al Hamad DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ	Branimir Ivezoviž IVEKOVIĆ LAW OFFICE	Andrea Pavlek GIURGJAN & ŠRIBAR RADIĆ LAW FIRM
Fares Goli EGEI	Isabelle Niamkey CLK AVOCATS	Andrea August AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS	Tina Jakupak COMMERCIAL COURT	Tomislav Pedišić VUKMIR & ASSOCIATES
Claude-Andrée Grogat CABINET JEAN-FRANÇOIS CHAUVEAU	Odette N'zi CAP TRANSIT INTERNATIONAL	Milan Bandić CENTRAL CITY ADMINISTRATION OF ZAGREB	Vinka Jelavić AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS	Igor Periša HIGH COMMERCIAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA
Nanette Kaba Ackah BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS	Madou Ouattara TIERI	Hrvoje Bardek CMS LEGAL	Irina Jelčić HANŽEKOVIC & PARTNERS LTD., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Miroslav Plaščar ŽURIĆ I PARTNERI D.O.O.
Barnabe Kabore NOVELEC SARL	Nanakan Ouattara MINISTÈRE DE L'AGRICULTURE ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT RURAL	Marija Bartoluci LEKO I PARTNERI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Saša Jovičić WOLF THEISS	Snežana Premus Baltić LAW FIRM DRAGIČEVIĆ & PARTNERS
Colette Kacoutié FDKA	Paul-Gérard Pougoue	Nera Beroš ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO LEKO I PARTNERI	Josipa Jurčić PRALJAK & SVIĆ	Hrvoje Radić GIURGJAN & ŠRIBAR RADIĆ LAW FIRM
Charles Kakou Kande ÉNERGIE ET TÉLÉCOMMUNICATION DE CÔTE D'IVOIRE (ETEL-Cl)	Sariaka Randrianarisoa JOHN W. FOOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Karmen Boban Jerolimov GLINKA & MIŠKOVIĆ LTD.	Petra Jurković Mutabžija CROATIAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT	Iva Rašić MINISTRY OF JUSTICE
Kitifolo Kignaman-Soro WEBB FONTAINE CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Athanase Raux CABINET RAUX, AMIEN & ASSOCIES	Zoran Bohaček CROATIAN BANKING ASSOCIATION	Andrijana Kastelan ŽURIĆ I PARTNERI D.O.O.	Ana Redžić MINISTRY OF FINANCE, TAX ADMINISTRATION
Noël Koffi CABINET NOËL Y. KOFFI	Felix Sally UNIVERSAL SERVICE COMPANY	Maja Zadravec Boloban EUGEN ZADRavec LAW FIRM	Filip Kocić AGENCY FOR INVESTMENTS AND COMPETITIVENESS	Hana Renić Heni DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ
Raymond Koffi SIMAT	Adamou Sambaré CREDITINFO VOLO	Ivana Bos ZAGREB STOCK EXCHANGE	Iva Kemec Kokot ZAGREB CIVIL LAW NOTARY	Sanja Rodek ODVJETNIČKO DRUŠTVO LEKO I PARTNERI
François Komoin TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE	Idrissa Seynou MINISTÈRE DE L'AGRICULTURE ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT RURAL	Željka Bregeš COMMERCIAL COURT	Vesna Kadić Komadina CUSTOMS DIRECTORATE OF CROATIA	Boris Šavorić ŠAVORIĆ & PARTNERS
Antoine Kona Yoha DSY ARCHITECTE	Serge Kouassy Siekouo CREDITINFO VOLO	Mijo Brković HROK D.O.O.	Linda Krizić DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ	Slaven Šego ŠEGO LAW OFFICE
Grace Yocoli Konan SCPA DOGUÉ-ABBÉ YAO & ASSOCIES	Isabelle Sokolo-Boni BILE-AKA, BRIZOUA-BI & ASSOCIES	Rajka Bunjevac CROATIAN CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS	Anita Krizmanić MAČEŠIĆ & PARTNERS LTD.	Dino Simonoski Bukovski ŽURIĆ I PARTNERI D.O.O.
Fatoumata Konate Toure-B. ETUDE DE ME KONATE TOURE-B. FATOUMATA	Mamadou Sylla LABORATOIRE DU BATIMENT ET DES TRAVAUX PUBLICS	Belinda Čačić ČAĆIĆ & PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Dinko Lauš LAURA D.O.O.	Dušanka Šimunović CROATIAN CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS
Marc Arthur Kouacou MAZARS CI	Souleymane Sylla CREDITINFO VOLO	Vanja Caratan Krenedić LAW OFFICE VANJA CARATAN KRENEDIĆ	Sandra Lauš LAURA D.O.O.	Andrej Skočić MERVIS D.O.O. - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Blaise Kouadio Blaise SIELD	Dominique Taty PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Vlatka Cikac LAW OFFICE CIKAC	Ivan Ljubic CROATIAN CHAMBER OF ARCHITECTS	Vladimir Skočić MERVIS D.O.O. - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Guillaume Kouame BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS	Sandrine Tegnan GUICHET UNIQUE DE L'INVESTISSEMENT EN CÔTE D'IVOIRE - CEPICI	Lucija Colak ŽURIĆ I PARTNERI D.O.O.	Marko Lovrić DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ	Ana-Marija Skoko CMS LEGAL
Gilles Kouamé PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Gwénaelle Teruin CABINET JEAN-FRANÇOIS CHAUVEAU	Iva Crnogorac DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ	Miran Mačesić MAČEŠIĆ & PARTNERS LTD.	Alan Soric ALAN SORIC & ALEKSANDRA TOMEKOVIC DUNDA LAW OFFICE

Morena Šoštarić <i>GIURGJAN & ŠRIBAR RADIĆ LAW FIRM</i>	Andreas Anagnostou <i>HARRIS KYRIAKIDES LLC</i>	Georgios Karrotsakis <i>INSOLVENCY SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES AND OFFICIAL RECEIVER</i>	Costas Petrou <i>ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS</i>	Peter Chrenko <i>PwC CZECH REPUBLIC</i>
Irena Šribar Radić <i>GIURGJAN & ŠRIBAR RADIĆ LAW FIRM</i>	Irene Anastasiou <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</i>	Maria Katsikidou <i>ALEXANDROS ECONOMOU LLC</i>	Ioanna Petrou <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Pavel Círek <i>ENERGY REGULATOR OFFICE CZECH REPUBLIC</i>
Marko Stilinović <i>ČAČIĆ & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Anaxagoras Anaxagora <i>DEMOS ANAXAGORAS LTD. ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS</i>	Eleni Kitrou <i>SOCIAL INSURANCE SERVICES, MINISTRY OF LABOUR, WELFARE AND SOCIAL INSURANCE</i>	Chryso Pitsilli - Dekatris <i>Dr. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES & Co. LLC</i>	Vladimír Čížek <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
Silva Stipić Kobali <i>CROATIAN CHAMBER OF ECONOMY</i>	Marios Andreou <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Spyros G. Kokkinos <i>DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES AND OFFICIAL RECEIVER</i>	Haris Satsias <i>LELLOS P. DEMETRIADES LAW OFFICE LLC</i>	Martin Dančišin <i>GLATZOVÁ & Co.</i>
Vatroslav Subotic <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND PENSION SYSTEM</i>	Chryso Antoniou <i>ALEXANDROS ECONOMOU LLC</i>	Iacovos Kounnamas <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Andreas Sokratous <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</i>	Kamila Dankova <i>WHITE & CASE</i>
Goranka Šumonja Laktić <i>LAKTIC & PARTNERS LAW FIRM LTD.</i>	Ioannis Antoniou <i>TMA REAL ESTATE LTD.</i>	Kyriacos Kouros <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR - TECHNICAL SERVICES</i>	Rafaella Sordini <i>HARRIS KYRIAKIDES LLC</i>	Svatava Dokoupilova <i>CZECH OFFICE FOR SURVEYING, MAPPING AND CADASTRE</i>
Marin Švić <i>PRALIJK & ŠVIĆ</i>	Katia Argyridou <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Theodoros Kringou <i>FIRST CYPRUS CREDIT BUREAU</i>	George Soteriou <i>CYPRUS PORTS AUTHORITY</i>	Dagmar Dubecka <i>KOČIÁN ŠOLC BALÁSTIK, ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, S.R.O.</i>
Branka Tabak Parasic <i>CENTRAL CITY ADMINISTRATION OF ZAGREB</i>	Pavlos Aristodemou <i>HARNEYS ARISTODEMOU LOIZIDES YIOLITIS LLC</i>	Nicholas Ktenas <i>ANDREAS NEOCLEOUS & Co. LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Costas Stasopoulos <i>ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS</i>	Jiří Dvořák <i>TH ENERGO</i>
Zoran Tasić <i>CMS LEGAL</i>	Anita Boyadjian <i>INFOCREDIT GROUP LTD.</i>	Michalis Kyriakides <i>HARRIS KYRIAKIDES LLC</i>	Eliza Stasopoulou <i>CYPRUS STOCK EXCHANGE</i>	Tereza Erényi <i>PRK PARTNERS S.R.O. ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Tin Težak <i>MADIRAZZA & PARTNERS</i>	Antonis Charalambous <i>LIMASSOL MUNICIPALITY</i>	Olga Lambrou <i>MOUAIMIS & MOUAIMIS LLC</i>	Athina Stephanou <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE, TAX DEPARTMENT</i>	Jindřich Fuka <i>GLATZOVÁ & Co.</i>
Marko Topiž <i>CROATIAN BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT</i>	Charalambos Charalambous <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</i>	Andreas Lelekis <i>CHYSSES DEMETRIADES & Co. LLC</i>	Anna Stylianou <i>ARTEMIS BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS LTD.</i>	Michal Hanko <i>BUBNIK, MYSLIL & PARTNERS</i>
Aleksander Topol <i>CARGO-PARTNER D.O.O.</i>	Antonis Christodoulides <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Margarita Liasi <i>KPMG</i>	Stelios Stylianou <i>ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS</i>	Marie Hasíková <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
Jan Torjanac <i>KORPER & PARTNERI LAW FIRM</i>	Kypros Chrysostomides <i>DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES & Co. LLC</i>	Stella Livadiotou <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Naya Symeonidou <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE, TAX DEPARTMENT</i>	Martin Hofman <i>CRIF - CZECH CREDIT BUREAU AS</i>
Ana Udiljak <i>PRALIJK & ŠVIĆ</i>	Chrysostomos Chrysostomou <i>TOWN PLANNING AND HOUSING DEPARTMENT</i>	Antonis Loizou <i>ANTONIS LOIZOU & ASSOCIATES</i>	Georgia Theodorou <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Vít Horaček <i>LEGALITÉ ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ S.R.O.</i>
Hrvoje Vidan <i>VIDAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Achilleas Demetriadis <i>LELLOS P. DEMETRIADES LAW OFFICE LLC</i>	Michalis Marcou <i>ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS</i>	Criton Tornaritis <i>TORNARITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Ondřej Hromádko <i>MUNICIPALITY OF PRAGUE 1, TRADE LICENSING DEPARTMENT</i>
Igor Vidra <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Eleni Droussioti <i>DR. K. CHRYSOSTOMIDES & Co. LLC</i>	George V. Markides <i>KPMG</i>	Stelios Triantafyllides <i>ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC</i>	Lukáš Hron <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>
Laurenz Vuchetich <i>VUCHETICH LAW OFFICE IN COOPERATION WITH SPECHT & PARTNER</i>	Alexandros Economou <i>ALEXANDROS ECONOMOU LLC</i>	Pieris M. Markou <i>DELOITTE</i>	Alexandros Tsirides <i>COSTAS TSIRIDES & Co. LLC</i>	Lucie Janouskova <i>CZECH ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY SECTOR EMPLOYERS</i>
Mario Vukelić <i>HIGH COMMERCIAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA</i>	Letteris S. Eleftheriou <i>CYPRUS INVESTMENT PROMOTION AGENCY</i>	Joseph Merhi <i>AGC CONTRACTORS</i>	Christiana Vassiliou Miliou <i>ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC</i>	Veronika Jašová <i>KPMG ČESKÁ REPUBLIKA, S.R.O.</i>
Eugen Zadravec <i>EUGEN ZADRAVEC LAW FIRM</i>	Elikkos Elia <i>DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND SURVEYS</i>	Michalis Mouaimis <i>MOUAIMIS & MOUAIMIS LLC</i>	CZECH REPUBLIC	Jan Klas <i>CZECH ASSOCIATION OF ENERGY SECTOR EMPLOYERS</i>
Boris Zelenika <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Elena Frixou <i>ARTEMIS BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS LTD.</i>	Panayotis Mouaimis <i>MOUAIMIS & MOUAIMIS LLC</i>	Ondrej Antoš <i>SQUIRE SANDERS V.O.S. ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ</i>	Martina Kneiflová <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>
Inga Žic <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND PENSION SYSTEM</i>	Charis Georgakis <i>ENERGIA AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS</i>	Loukia Mouskou <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Denisa Assefová <i>SCHOENHERR</i>	Filip Koštál <i>WOLF THEISS RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH & Co.</i>
Petar Živković <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ</i>	Demetris Georgiades <i>HARNEYS ARISTODEMOU LOIZIDES YIOLITIS LLC</i>	Kyriaki Myrianthopoulou <i>DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS & EXCISE</i>	Lukáš Balada <i>MUNICIPALITY OF PRAGUE 1, TRADE LICENSING DEPARTMENT</i>	Jan Krampera <i>DVOŘÁK HAGER & PARTNERS</i>
Jelena Zjac <i>MAČEŠIĆ & PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Phedra Gregoriou <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE AND PUBLIC ORDER</i>	Demetris Nicolaou <i>HARNEYS ARISTODEMOU LOIZIDES YIOLITIS LLC</i>	Libor Basl <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Petr Kucera <i>CRIF - CZECH CREDIT BUREAU AS</i>
Bosiljko Zlopša <i>CUSTOMS DIRECTORATE OF CROATIA</i>	Froso Gypsioti <i>TORNARITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Varnavas Nicolaou <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Tomáš Běhounek <i>BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW S.R.O.</i>	Bohumil Kunc <i>NOTARY CHAMBER, CZECH REPUBLIC</i>
Andrej Žmikić <i>DIVJAK, TOPIĆ & BAHTIJAREVIĆ LAW FIRM</i>	Marios Hadjigavriel <i>ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC</i>	Andry Panteli <i>P.G. ECONOMIDES & CO LIMITED - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Rudolf Bicek <i>SCHOENHERR</i>	Petr Kusy <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Ivan Zornada <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Costas Hadjimarcou <i>LEPTOS ESTATES</i>	Christos Papamarkides <i>DELOITTE</i>	Simona Bradáčová <i>METROPOLITAN COURT OF PRAGUE</i>	Lukas Lejcek <i>BDP-WAKESTONE S.R.O.</i>
CYPRUS	Andreas Ioannides <i>ENERGIA AUTHORITY OF CYPRUS</i>	Mikaella Papanicolaoou <i>PRESIDENCY OF THE REPUBLIC OF CYPRUS</i>	Tomas Brozek <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Jakub Lichnovský <i>PRK PARTNERS S.R.O. ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Olga Adamidou <i>ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC</i>	Georgia Karamallī <i>HARRIS KYRIAKIDES LLC</i>	Andriana Patsalosawvi <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR - TECHNICAL SERVICES</i>	David Bujgl <i>SQUIRE SANDERS V.O.S. ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ</i>	Ondřej Lukas Machala <i>ATTORNEY</i>
Alexandros Alexandrou <i>TORNARITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Chrysilios Pelekanos <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Marilou Pavlou <i>ANTIS TRIANTAFYLLOIDES & SONS LLC</i>	Jan Capek <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Daniela Machova <i>NOTARIAL CHAMBER OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC</i>
Achilleas Amvrosiou <i>ARTEMIS BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS LTD.</i>		Chrysilios Pelekanos <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Petra Cechova <i>PwC CYPRUS</i>	Peter Maysenhölder <i>BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW S.R.O.</i>
			Ivan Chalupa <i>SQUIRE SANDERS V.O.S. ADVOKÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ</i>	Petr Měšťánek <i>KINSTELLAR</i>

David Musil PwC CZECH REPUBLIC	Frants Dalgaard-Knudsen PLESNER	Jens Zilstorff PLESNER	Michelle Matthew NATIONAL CO-OPERATIVE CREDIT UNION LIMITED	Leandro Corral ESTRELLA & TUPETE
Tereza Naučová KINSTELLAR	Sonny Gaarslev KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	DJIBOUTI	Bertilia Mckenzie DOMLEC	José Cruz Campillo JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA
Veronika Odrobinova DVOŘÁK HAGER & PARTNERS	Anne Birgitte Gammeljord GAMMELJORD ADVOKATER	DIRECTION DE L'HABITAT ET DE L'URBANISME	Eric Mendes HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY	Jean Louis de Boyrie ASOCIACIÓN DOMINICANA DE AGENTES DE CARGA AÉREA Y MARÍTIMA, INC., ADACAM
Jakub Porod KOCIÁN ŠOLC BALÁŘTÍK, ADVOVÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, S.R.O.	Line Geisler Havelund KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Mohamed Abayazid Houmed	Richard Peterkin GRANT THORNTON	Caleb de la Rosa DYNATEC
Tomáš Procházka DVOŘÁK HAGER & PARTNERS	Henrik Groos ACCURA ADVOKATPARTNERSKAB	Ouloufa Ismail Abdo OFFICE DJIBOUTIEN DE LA PROPRIÉTÉ INDUSTRIELLE ET COMMERCIALE (ODPIC)	Joan K.R. Prevost PREVOST & ROBERTS	Leonardo de la Rosa DYNATEC
Štěpán Radkovský CZECH NATIONAL BANK	Thomas Hansen PLESNER	Ahmed Abdourahman Cheik	Eugene G. Royer ÉUGENE G. ROYER CHARTERED ARCHITECT	Sarah de León Perelló HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ
Michal Rohacek FINANČNÍ SPRÁVA - GENERAL FINANCIAL DIRECTORATE	Silan Harmankaya PwC DENMARK	Iwad Ali Mohamed	Anya Trim GRANT THORNTON	Rosa Diaz JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA
Barbora Rovenská WHITE & CASE	Jens Steen Jensen KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Idriss Assoweh CABINET ASSOWEH & ASSOCIES	Pearl Williams SUPREME COURT REGISTRY	Rafael Dickson Morales DICKSON MORALES - ABOGADOS CONSULTORES
Mike Silin DHL CZECH REPUBLIC	Jacob C. Jørgensen LAWYER	Loubna Bawazir BANK OF AFRICA MER ROUGE	Dawn Yearwood YEARWOOD CHAMBERS	Ruben Edmead MARÍTIMA DOMINICANA
Ivo Skolil GLATZOVÁ & Co.	Lars Kjaer BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	Sofia Curradi	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	Michel El-Hage HAGECO INGENIEROS & ARQUITECTOS
Dana Sládečková CZECH NATIONAL BANK	Troels Kjersgaard LETT LAW FIRM	Ali Dini AVOCAT À LA COUR	María Teresa Acta Morales HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Zenon Felipe MARÍTIMA DOMINICANA
Kristýna Solomonová MUNICIPALITY OF PRAGUE 1, TRADE LICENSING DEPARTMENT	Christian Kjølbye PLESNER	Félix Emok N'Dolo GROUPE CHD	Juan Alcalde OMG	Ingrid Fermín-Terrero SEIBEL DARGAM HENRÍQUEZ & HERRERA
Pavel Srb WOLF THEISS RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH & Co.	Ida Kjølbye BRUUN & HJELDE	Mourad Farah ETUDE MAÎTRE MOURAD FARAH	Melba Alcántara HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Maria Fernández A. de Pou RUSSIN, VECCHI & HEREDIA BONETTI
Jana Stavjanova MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Michael Krath KILTING A/S	Fahmi Fouad SELECT	Merielin Almonte ASOCIACION DOMINICANA DE CONSTRUCTORES Y PROMOTORES DE VIVIENDA (ACOPROVI)	Alejandro Fernández de Castro PwC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC
Tomas Strelecek MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Mikkel Stig Larsen KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Tolmone A. Haid GOBAD ARCHITECTS	Merielin Almonte MERIELIN ALMONTE ESTUDIO LEGAL	Mary Fernández Rodríguez HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ
Petra Stupkova PRK PARTNERS S.R.O. ADVOVÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Susanne Schjølin Larsen KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Ramiss Houmed ALEPHE-CONSULTING	Patricia Alvarez MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS	Milagros Figueredo JOB, BÁEZ, SOTO & ASOCIADOS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Marek Švehlík ŠVEHLÍ & MIKULÁŠ ADVOVÁTNÍ S.R.O.	Lise Lauridsen BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	Zeinab Kamil Ali CABINET ZK	Larry Azcona SCHAD CONSULTING	Leontio García ELECTROMECÁNICA GARCIA SRL
Sarka Tlaskova NOTARIAL CHAMBER OF THE CZECH REPUBLIC	Kasper Lykkegaard Sorensen SPEDMAN GLOBAL LOGISTICS AB	Ismael Mahamoud UNIVERSITÉ DE DJIBOUTI	Lissette Balbuena STEWART TITLE DOMINICANA, SA	Gloria Gasso OMG
Růžena Trojáneková KINSTELLAR	Robert Mikelsons NJORD LAW FIRM	Oubah Mohamed BARAKA TRANSIT & TRANSPORT SERVICE	Jennifer Beauchamps JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Sandra Goico SEIBEL DARGAM HENRÍQUEZ & HERRERA
Lenka Valesova VEJMELKÁ & WÜNSCH, s.r.o.	Morten Bang Mikkelsen PwC DENMARK	Alsane Mohamed Elmi CABINET ZK	Luís Eduardo Bernard GONZALEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS AND COISCOU & ASOCIADOS	Pablo Gonzalez Tapia GONZALEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS
Zuzana Valoušková KINSTELLAR	Jesper Mortensen PLESNER	Ibrahim Mohamed Omar CABINET CECA	Ayman Said AYMAN SAID LAW FIRM	Fabio Guzmán-Arizá GUZMÁN-ARIZÁ
Daniel Vejsada PRK PARTNERS S.R.O. ADVOVÁTNÍ KANCELÁŘ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Andreas Nielsen BRUUN & HJELDE	Abdallah Mohammed Kamil ETUDE MAÎTRE MOHAMMED KAMIL	Aicha Youssouf Abdi CABINET CECA	Marco Henríquez ASOCIACIÓN DOMINICANA DE AGENTES DE CARGA AÉREA Y MARÍTIMA, INC., ADACAM
Aneta Vermachová MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Michael Vilhelm Nielsen PLESNER	DOMINICA	Ana Isabel Caceres TRONCOSO Y CACERES	Rosa Hernandez ASOCIACIÓN DOMINICANA DE AGENTES DE CARGA AÉREA Y MARÍTIMA, INC., ADACAM
Jiri Vlastník VEJMELKÁ & WÜNSCH, s.r.o.	Susanne Norgaard PwC DENMARK	Kertist Augustus WATERFRONT AND ALLIED WORKERS UNION	Maité Camilo ERNST & YOUNG	María Hernández ERNST & YOUNG
Tomáš Volejník BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW S.R.O.	Jim Øksnebjerg ADVOKATPARTNERSKABET HORTEN	Jo-Anne Commodore SUPREME COURT REGISTRY	Eileen Jimenez Cantisano HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Paula Hernández GONZALEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS
Stanislav Votruba PREDISTRIBUCE	Carsten Pedersen BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	Gina Dyer DYER & DYER	Marvin Cardoza DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE IMPUESTOS INTERNOS	David Infante DELOITTE RD, SRL
Luděk Vrána VRÁNA & PARTNERS	Lars Lindencrone Petersen BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	Evelina E-M. Baptiste MAGISTRATE COURT	Roberto Carvajal Polanco CARVAJAL POLANCO & ASOCIADOS SRL	Luis J. Jiménez JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA
DENMARK	Sofie Precht Poulsen BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	Marlyn Estrado KPB CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS	Milvio Coiscou Castro COISCOU & ASOCIADOS	Alejandro Lama HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ
JUMBO TRANSPORT A/S	Tina Reissmann PLESNER	Nathaniel George DOMLEC	José Colón EDESUR	Paola Manon Taveras SEIBEL DARGAM HENRÍQUEZ & HERRERA
Elsebeth Aaes-Jørgensen NORRBOM VINDING, MEMBER OF IJS LABORIS	Tessa Maria Rosenberg BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	F. Adler Hamlet REALCO COMPANY LIMITED	Ludovino Colón ERNST & YOUNG	
Peter Bang PLESNER	Christian Sander COBE ARCHITECTS	Rhoda Joseph INVEST DOMINICA AUTHORITY	Pamela Contreras JJ ROCA & ASSOCIATES	
Thomas Bang LETT LAW FIRM	Louise Krarup Simonsen KROMANN REUMERT, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Justinn Kase INDEPENDENT REGULATORY COMMISSION		
Ole Borch BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	Thomas Christian Thune BECH-BRUUN LAW FIRM	Noelize Knight Didier HARRIS & HARRIS		
		Frankie Lowe DOMLEC		

Fernando Marranzini HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Jaime Senior HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Bolívar Figueroa FERRERE ABOGADOS	Mohamed Abd El-Sadek INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR LAW, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ARBITRATION (ICLIP)	Naser Al-Qormani OFFICE OF THE MINISTER OF JUSTICE FOR REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION AFFAIRS		
Carlos Marte AGENCIA DE COMERCIO EXTERIOR CM	Elizabeth Silfa HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Paola Gachet FERRERE ABOGADOS	Shereen Abdallah EGYPTIAN ELECTRICITY UTILITY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION	Sayed Ammar AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE		
Jesús Geraldo Martínez Alcántara SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS	Melissa Silie MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS	Martín Galarza Lanas PUENTE REYES & GALARZA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW CIA. LTDA	Ibrahim Mustafa Ibrahim Abdel Khalek GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAFI	Amr Ibrahim As Sarwy MLSN LAW FIRM		
Fabiola Medina MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS	Manuel Silverio JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Cristina García FERRERE ABOGADOS	Sara Abdelghafar YOUSSRY SALEH & PARTNERS	Hoda Attia MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT		
Laura Medina JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Liilida Solano DMK LAWYERS SANTO DOMINGO	Jaime Gordillo PWC ECUADOR	Ahmed S. Abdelnabi YOUSSRY SALEH & PARTNERS	Khaled Balbaa KPMG HAZEM HASSAN		
Vitelio Mejía Ortiz PELLERANO & HERRERA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Juan Tejeda PWC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	Arturo Griffin Valdiveo PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Mostafa Abdel-Rahim HELMY, HAMZA & PARTNERS, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL	Wagih Barakat AAW CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
Rodolfo Mesa Chavez MESA & MESA ABOGADOS	Laura Troncoso OMG	Sebastián Jarrín EQUIFAX ECUADOR BURÓ DE INFORMACIÓN CREDITICIA C.A.	Mohamed Abdul Aziz SOUTH CAIRO ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY	Mansour Boriek ALEXANDRIA PORT AUTHORITY, MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION		
Natia Núñez HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Richard Troncoso DELOITTE RD, SRL	María Isabel Machado FALCONI PUIG ABOGADOS	Sherein Abdulla EGYPTIAN ELECTRIC UTILITY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION REGULATORY AGENCY	Mohamed Darwish EL SAID DARWISH & PARTNERS		
Ramón Ortega PWC EL SALVADOR	Paola Ureña GONZALEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS	Francisco Javier Naranjo Grijalva FEDLEX	Nour Mostafa Abo Elella GENERAL ORGANIZATION OF EXPORT & IMPORT CONTROL	Amal Afifi Dawood DENTONS		
Ricardo Pallerano Paradas PELLERANO & HERRERA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Tony Vázquez ASOCIACIÓN DOMINICANA DE AGENTES DE CARGA AÉREA Y MARÍTIMA, INC., ADACAM	Letty Ordoñez EMPRESA PÚBLICA DE MOVILIDAD Y OBRAS PÚBLICAS	Amr Abo Elfetouh MINISTRY OF INVESTMENT	Reham Eissa SHARKAWY & SARHAN LAW FIRM		
Arvelyn Peña GONZALEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS AND COISCOU & ASOCIADOS	Vilma Veras Terrero JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Julian Pastor SÉMPERTEGUI ONTANEDA	Ahmed Abou Ali HASOUNA & ABOU ALI	Abdallah El Adly PWC EGYPT		
Kaulynam Peralta EDESUR	Jeannerette Vergez Soto JOB, BÁEZ, SOTO & ASOCIADOS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Andrea Pavon VICSAN LOGISTICS SA	Gamal A. Abou Ali HASOUNA & ABOU ALI	Amany El Bagoury ATTORNEY-AT-LAW		
Yakaira Pérez ERNST & YOUNG	Pedro Vilas EDESUR	Ciro Pazmiño Yáñez P&P ABOGADOS	Ashraf Abou Elkheir ALLIANCE LAW FIRM	Mohamed Refaat El Houshi THE EGYPTIAN CREDIT BUREAU I-SCORE		
Luisa Ericka Pérez Hernández SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS	Chery Zacarías MEDINA GARRIGÓ ABOGADOS	Hernán Pérez Loose CORONEL Y PÉREZ	Sherief Abu Saree MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN COMMUNITIES	Hassan El Maraashly AAW CONSULTING ENGINEERS		
Edward Piña Fernandez BIAGGI & MESSINA	ECUADOR					
Julio Pinedo PWC DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	Claudio Mesias Agama Chiluiza EMPRESA ELÉCTRICA QUITO SA	Rodrigo Martín Pesantes Saenz PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Sayed Abuelkomsan MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Aly El Shalakany SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		
Aimee Prieto PRIETO CABRERA & ASOCIADOS	Pablo Aguirre PWC ECUADOR	Daniel Pino Arroba CORONEL Y PÉREZ	Nermine Abulata MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Emad El Shalakany SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		
Martha Ramirez GONZALEZ TAPIA ABOGADOS AND COISCOU & ASOCIADOS	Maria Isabel Aillon PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Clementina Pomar Anta BUSTAMANTE & BUSTAMANTE	Mona Adel Hussein TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)	Khaled El Shalakany SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		
Sayra J. Ramirez PRIETO CABRERA & ASOCIADOS	Mario Armendáriz DLL LAW OFFICE	Patricia Ponce Arteta BUSTAMANTE & BUSTAMANTE	Mohamed Reda Afifi ENGINEERING CONSULTANCIES OFFICE	Sherry El Shalakany SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		
Alejandro Miguel Ramirez Suzaña RAMIREZ SUZANA & ASOC.	Esteban Baquero FERRERE ABOGADOS	Sandra Reed-Serrano PÉREZ, BUSTAMANTE Y PONCE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Ahmed Agami IBRACHY & PARTNERS	Passant El Tabei PWC EGYPT		
Eduardo Ramos E. RAMOS MOREL & ASOCs.	Alberto Brown FERRERE ABOGADOS	Santiago Reyes DLL LAW OFFICE	Mohamed Aggag MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Menna Elabdeeny MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE		
Reynaldo Ramos Morel RAMOS MOREL & ASOCs.	Maria Gabriela Cando FERRERE ABOGADOS	Daniel Robalino-Orellana FERRERE ABOGADOS	Suzan Saad Ahmed AL-SAAD FOR ENGINEERING DESIGNS	Salma ElAmir IBRACHY & DERMARKAR LAW FIRM		
Aida Ripoll GUZMÁN-ARIZA	Daniela Cifuentes CAMICON CÁMARA DE LA INDUSTRIA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN	Felipe Ron	Vivian Ahmed Hassan MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN COMMUNITIES	Soheir Elbanna IBRACHY & PARTNERS		
Jaime Roca JJ ROCA & ASSOCIATES	Lucía Cordero Ledergerber FALCONI PUIG ABOGADOS	Leonardo Sempértegui SÉMPERTEGUI ONTANEDA	Yulia V. Akinfeva YOUSSRY SALEH & PARTNERS	Amr Eleish GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAFI		
Solanyi Rodriguez SCHAD CONSULTING	David Cornejo PWC ECUADOR	María Sol Sevilla SÉMPERTEGUI ONTANEDA	Omnia Al Banna GOVERNMENT SERVICES DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	Naser Elfarmany OFFICE OF THE MINISTER OF JUSTICE FOR REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION AFFAIRS		
Naomi Rodríguez HEADRICK RIZIK ALVAREZ & FERNÁNDEZ	Augusto Curillo EMPRESA ELÉCTRICA QUITO SA	Estefanía Sigcha Orrico DLL LAW OFFICE	Mahmoud AlFeki Shaimaa Ali MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Samir El-Gammal MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE		
Mariel Romero EDESUR	Juan Carlos Darquea FERRERE ABOGADOS	Andrés Terán BUSTAMANTE & BUSTAMANTE	Ashraf Alkafrawy CAIRO ECONOMIC COURT	Ashraf Elibrachy IBRACHY & PARTNERS		
Katherine Rosa JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA	Pablo Davila Jaramillo DLL LAW OFFICE	Sebastián Yépez PWC ECUADOR	Osama Abd Al-Monem MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Ihab El-Mahdy REGISTERING PROPERTY PROJECT		
Juan Rosario EDESUR	Miguel Falconi-Puig FALCONI PUIG ABOGADOS	Manuel Zurita MZ SISTEMAS ELÉCTRICOS Y ELECTRÓNICOS	Omar Abd el Salam AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	Mohammad Elsayed MINISTRY OF JUSTICE		
Wendy Sánchez TRANSUNION DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	Andrea Fernández de Córdoba FERRERE ABOGADOS	EGYPT, ARAB REP.		Mostafa Elshafei IBRACHY & PARTNERS		
Felicia Santana JJ ROCA & ASSOCIATES				Emad El-Tamawy OFFICE OF THE MINISTER OF JUSTICE FOR REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION AFFAIRS		
Victor Santana Díaz JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEÑA						

Amany Elwessal MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Mohamed Kafafi THE EGYPTIAN CREDIT BUREAU I-SCORE	Mohamed Serry SERRY LAW OFFICE	Eduardo Iván Colacho Catota INNOVATIONS & INTEGRATED SOLUTIONS, SA DE CV	Luis Orlando Liévano INSTITUTO SALVADOREÑO DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN - ISC
Karim Emam PWC EGYPT	Ahmed Kamal GOVERNMENT SERVICES DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	Doaa M. Shabaan INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR LAW, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ARBITRATION (ICLIPA)	Luis Alfredo Cornejo Martínez CORNEJO & UMAÑA, LTDA DE CV - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Geraldine Palma AES EL SALVADOR
Shahdan Essam TALAL ABEU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)	Omar Sheriff Kamal El Din SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Mohamed Shafik MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Celina Cruz LA OFICINA DE PLANIFICACIÓN DEL ÁREA METROPOLITANA DE SAN SALVADOR (OPAMSS)	Sergio Perez AES EL SALVADOR
Mariam Fahmy SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Khaled Sheriff Kamal El Dine SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Mohammad Shamroukh MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Porfirio Díaz Fuentes DLM, ABOGADOS, NOTARIOS & CONSULTORES	Mónica Pineda Machuca PACHECO COTO
Hazem Fathi HASSOUNA & ABOU ALI	Mohamed Kamel AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	Sharif Shihata SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Lorena Dueñas SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO	Ana Patricia Portillo Reyes GUANDIQUE SEGOVIA QUINTANILLA - LATAMLEX
Osama Fathy LAWS ANSWER	Rasheed Kamel AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	Zeinab Shohdy KHOEIR, NOUR, & TAHAA LAW FIRM (IN ASSOCIATION WITH AL TAMIMI & COMPANY)	David Ernesto Claro Flores GARCIA & BODÁN	Carlos Roberto Rodriguez CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMERICA ABOGADOS
Sheren Foad EGYPTIAN ELECTRICITY HOLDING COMPANY	Mohand Khaled BDO KHALED & CO.	Shaimaa Solaiman CHALLENGE LAW FIRM	Enrique Escobar LEXINCORP	Otto Rodríguez Salazar BENJAMÍN VALDÉZ & ASOCIADOS
Tamim Foda AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	Taha Khaled BDO KHALED & CO.	Frédéric Soliman SOLIMAN, HASHISH AND PARTNERS	Guillermo Escobar LEXINCORP	Kelly Beatriz Romero NASSAR ABOGADOS
Tarek Gadallah IBRACHY & PARTNERS	Gomaa M. Madny MINISTRY OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY	Hesham Soliman MEDSTAR FOR TRADING & STEVEDORING CO. SAE	Pablo Espinoza DLM, ABOGADOS, NOTARIOS & CONSULTORES	Jaime Salinas GARCIA & BODÁN
Mahmoud Gamal El-Din MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Lamia Mahgoub PWC EGYPT	Ehab Taha IBRACHY & PARTNERS	Roberta Gallardo de Cromeyer ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Oscar Samour CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMERICA ABOGADOS
Merna George YOUSSRY SALEH & PARTNERS	Ahmed Makky SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Mamdouh Taha GENERAL ORGANIZATION OF EXPORT & IMPORT CONTROL	Edwin Gálvez AES EL SALVADOR	Ernesto Sánchez ARIAS & MUÑOZ
Mohamed Gomaa Ali MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Mustafa Makram BDO KHALED & CO.	Hatem Waheed EGYPTIAN ELECTRICITY UTILITY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION	Raúl González CONSEJO NACIONAL DE ENERGÍA (CNE)	Oscar Torres GARCIA & BODÁN
Mohamed H. El Ehwany AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	Abdel Monam Mattar EGYPTIAN TAX AUTHORITY	Nabil A.B. Yehia CAIRO UNIVERSITY	Antonio Guirola Moze LEXINCORP	Mauricio Antonio Urrutia Urrutia SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO
Dalia Habib THE EGYPTIAN CREDIT BUREAU I-SCORE	Hassan Fahmy Mohamed GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAIFI	Darah Zakaria SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	America Hernandez ALE CARGO SA DE CV	Julio Vargas GARCIA & BODÁN
Farah Ahmed Haggag MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Yasmin Mohamed Mahran TALAL ABEU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)	Mona Zobaa GENERAL AUTHORITY FOR INVESTMENT GAIFI	Luis Roberto Hernández Arita HERNANDEZ Arita INGENIEROS	EQUATORIAL GUINEA
Hany Hanna MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Ola Mohammed Hassan TALAL ABEU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)	Eman Mohey HASSOUNA & ABOU ALI	Sandra Hernandez de Cabezas CENTRAL LAW (EL SALVADOR)	Construcciones Vinguema N.J. Ayuk CENTURION LLP
Mahmoud Hany SHARKAWY & SARHAN LAW FIRM	Alia Monieb IBRACHY & PARTNERS	EL SALVADOR	Hexiell Jarquin DLM, ABOGADOS, NOTARIOS & CONSULTORES	Irene Balaguer Delgado L&S ABOGADOS
Nafisa Mahmoud Hashem MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN COMMUNITIES	Hossam Mostafa Ali HOSSAM AVOCAT	León Sol Arquitectos	Thelma Dinora Lizama de Osorio SUPERINTENDENCIA DEL SISTEMA FINANCIERO	Francisco Campos Braz SOLEGE
Mohamed Hashish SOLIMAN, HASHISH AND PARTNERS	Yousr Mounib MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Miguel Angel Aguilar ALE CARGO SA DE CV	Martha de Jesús López Méndez GUANDIQUE SEGOVIA QUINTANILLA - LATAMLEX	Keseena Chengadu CENTURION LLP
Dina Hassan SHALAKANY LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Marina Mouris IBRACHY & DERMARKAR LAW FIRM	Francisco Armando Arias Rivera	Mario Lozano ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Gustavo Ndong Edu AFRI LOGISTICS
Mohab Hassan HELMY, HAMZA & PARTNERS, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL	Youssef Nassef AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Diego Martin-Menjivar CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMERICA ABOGADOS	Angel-Francisco Ela Ngomo Nchama JUZGADO DE INSTRUCCION DE BATA
Tarek Hassib AL KAMEL LAW OFFICE	Shimaa Omar READYMADE GARMENTS EXPORT COUNCIL	Mauricio Bernal AES EL SALVADOR	Astrud María Meléndez ASOCIACIÓN PROTECTORA DE CRÉDITOS DE EL SALVADOR (PROCREDITO)	Philippe Fouad Fouada BEAC CAMEROON
Mostafa Helmy IBRACHY & PARTNERS	Alya Rady MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Abraham Bichara AES EL SALVADOR	Claudia Meléndez de Solis PACHECO COTO	Javier Iñiguez PwC EQUATORIAL GUINEA
Omnia Helmy FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE, CAIRO UNIVERSITY	Ahmad Bahaa Rashed AM LAW FIRM	Rafael Burgos ARIAS & MUÑOZ	José Walter Meléndez Ramírez DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE ADUANAS, MINISTERIO DE HACIENDA DE EL SALVADOR	Marcel Jeutspop
Taher Helmy HELMY, HAMZA & PARTNERS, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL	Ahmed Nour El-Din Rashed DENTONS	Hazel Alexandra Cabezas AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE	Miriam Eleana Mixco Reyna GOLD SERVICEx	Soraia Lacerda MIRANDA ALLIANCE
Mohamed Hisham Hassan MINISTRY OF INVESTMENT	Mayar Rashed YOUSSRY SALEH & PARTNERS	Juan Cabezas JC ELECTRICISTA	Fernando Montano ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Sébastien Lechêne PwC EQUATORIAL GUINEA
Ramy Hussein MINISTRY OF INVESTMENT	Mirna Saad YOUSSRY SALEH & PARTNERS	Alexander Cader PWC EL SALVADOR	Armando Chacon LEXINCORP	Marta López-Peña González L&S ABOGADOS
Ahmed I. Hegazy THE LAW OFFICES OF IBRAHIM HEGAZY	Doaa Mohamed Ahmed Sangak EGYPTIAN TAX AUTHORITY	Claudia Castellanos LA OFICINA DE PLANIFICACIÓN DEL ÁREA METROPOLITANA DE SAN SALVADOR (OPAMSS)	Walter Chávez GOLD SERVICE	Angel Mba Abeso CENTURION LLP
Badawi Ibrahim MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY AND FOREIGN TRADE	Karim Sarhan SHARKAWY & SARHAN LAW FIRM	Carlos Roberto Alfaro Castillo AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE	Christian Castro AES EL SALVADOR	Carl Mbeng CENTURION LLP
	Muhammad Omar Sarwy CHUBB	Armando Chacon LEXINCORP	Armando Chacon LEXINCORP	Paulino Mbo Obama OFICINA DE ESTUDIOS - ATEG
		Walter Chávez GOLD SERVICE	Walter Chávez GOLD SERVICE	Ponciano Mbomio Nvo GABINETE JURIDICO
				Jose Emilio Ndong ABUY ASESORES
				Honorio Ndong Obama ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

Nanda Nzambi PWC EQUATORIAL GUINEA	Kerstin Linnart ALIANCE OF INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVISERS	Peeter Viirsalu PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Amanuel Teshome AMAN & PARTNERS	Eparama Tawake FIJI ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY
Jacinto Ona CENTURION LLP	Berit Loog MINISTRY OF JUSTICE		Gizeshwork Tessema GIZE PLC	Nancy Toganivalu TOGANIVALU VALENITABUA BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS
Cristina Sánchez Cosme CENTURION LLP	Karin Madisson ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Dagnachew Tesfaye Abetew DAGNACHEW TESFAYE AND MAHLET MEGANAW LAW OFFICE	Wossenyleh Tigu MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE	Vuliseri Tukama SUVA CITY COUNCIL
Raquel Teresa Serón Calvo L&S ABOGADOS	Kaps Meelis ELEKTRILEVI OÜ	Abdella Ali ABELLA ALI LAW OFFICE	Shimelis Tilahun NET CONSULT	Jay Udit HOWARDS LAWYERS
ERITREA	Veiko Meos KREDIDIINFO AS	Dibaba Amensissa	Emebet Worku LAWYER	Eddie Yuen WILLIAMS & GOSLING LTD.
Senai Andemariam BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM	Teele Mikk ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Gebre Amlak TADESSE KIROS LAW OFFICE	Mekidem Yehiyes MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE	FINLAND
Berhane Gilamichael BERHANE GILA-MICHAEL LAW FIRM	Sandra-Kristin Noot RAIDL ELLEX ADVOKAADIBÜROO OÜ	Hailemariam Tesfaye Asnake HAILEMARIAM TESFAYE LAW OFFICE	Sintayehu Zeleke FIRST INSTANCE FEDERAL COURT	Manne Airaksinen ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.
Victor Majani PARKER RANDALL EA – CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS	Anre Ots RAIDL ELLEX ADVOKAADIBÜROO OÜ	Yodit Assefa THE MOTOR & ENGINEERING COMPANY	FIJI	Timo Airisto WHITE & CASE
Mewael Tekle DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	Olavi Ottenson DELOITTE ADVISORY AS	Filipos Aynalem LAWYER	David Aidney WILLIAMS & GOSLING LTD.	Roope Apponen HELEN SÄHKÖVERKKO OY
ESTONIA	Hanna Pahk ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Nega Binalfew BINALFEW LAW FIRM	Eddielin Almonte PWC FIJI	Petri Avikainen ASIANAJOTOIMISTO WHITE & CASE OY
Aet Bergmann BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW ADVOKAADIBÜROO OÜ	Kirsti Pent LAW OFFICE FORT	Semere Wolde Bonger NATIONAL BANK OF ETHIOPIA	Nicholas Barnes MUNRO LEYS	Johanna Ellonen ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.
Ülle Eerik ESTONIAN LAND BOARD	Kaitti Persidski ESTONIAN CHAMBER OF NOTARIES	Hailu Burayu LAWYER	Nehla Basawaya MUNRO LEYS	Marja Eskola PwC FINLAND
Kelli Eilart ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Tõnu Roosve ELEKTRILEVI OÜ	Marina Bwile TESHOME GABRE-MARIAM BOKAN LAW FIRM	Suresh Chandra MC LAWYERS	Maria Fagerström-Ryder ASIANAJOTOIMISTO WHITE & CASE OY
Alger Ers AE PROJEKTI INSENER	Einar Rosin KPMG BALTIMOS OÜ	Abinet Damtachew CONSTRUCTION PROXY	William Wylie Clarke HOWARDS LAWYERS	Elina Finnilä PwC FINLAND
Carri Ginter ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Kertu Ruus ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Yonas Kidane Demiyesus DASHEN BANK S.C.	Lawrence Fung MUNRO LEYS	Oona Fromholdt CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.
Janek Hamidžanov METAPRINT LTD.	Tuuli Saarits BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW ADVOKAADIBÜROO OÜ	Fekadu Gebremeskel FEKADU PETROS LEGAL SERVICE	Jerome Kado PWC FIJI	Emma Grönroos KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.
Kristjan Hänni KAWE KAPITAL	Piret Saartee CENTRE OF REGISTERS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS	Asheber Hailesilassie TRANS ETHIOPIA PLC - TEPLCO	Viren Kapadia SHERANI & CO.	Esa Halmari HEDMAN PARTNERS
Andres Juss ESTONIAN LAND BOARD	Katrin Sarap NJORD LAW FIRM	Nuru Hassen TRANS ETHIOPIA PLC - TEPLCO	Netani Kau SUVA CITY COUNCIL	Johanna Haltia-Tapio HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.
Erica Kaldre HOUGH, HUTT & PARTNERS OÜ	Veronika Selge LAW OFFICE FORT	Yosef Kebede DASHEN BANK S.C.	Mohammed Afzal Khan KHAN & CO BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS	Joni Hatanmaa HEDMAN PARTNERS
Kadri Kallas ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Lisette Suik ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Belay Ketema BELAY KETEMA LAW OFFICE	Emily King MUNRO LEYS	Seppo Havia DITTMAR & INDRENIEUS
Katre Kasepold ESTONIAN LOGISTICS AND FREIGHT FORWARDING ASSOCIATION	Maria Teder RAIDL ELLEX ADVOKAADIBÜROO OÜ	Tadesse Kiros TADESSE KIROS LAW OFFICE	Peter Ian Knight CROMPTONS SOLICITORS	Harri Hirvonen PwC FINLAND
Jevgeni Kazutkin HOUGH, HUTT & PARTNERS OÜ	Trin Tigane ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Mehrteab Leul MEHRTEAB LEUL & ASSOCIATES	Roneel Lal WILLIAMS & GOSLING LTD.	Lauri Ignatius ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.
Kätlin Klaas PwC ESTONIA	Neve Uudelt ALIANCE OF INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVISERS	Tamrat Assefa Liban TAMRAT ASSEFA LIBAN LAW OFFICES	Taina Leweny TL LAWYERS	Nina Isokorpi ROSCHEIER ATTORNEYS LTD.
Igor Kostjuk HOUGH, HUTT & PARTNERS OÜ	Veikko Toomere NJORD LAW FIRM	Getnet Yawkal Mebratu GETNET YAWKAL MEBRATU	Hemendra Nagin SHERANI & CO.	Lauri Jääskeläinen BUILDING CONTROL DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF HELSINKI
Villi Köve ESTONIAN SUPREME COURT	Triin Toom ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Alem Mengsteab ETHIOPIAN GENERAL INSTALLATION SUPPLY	Jon Orton ORTON ARCHITECTS	Pekka Jaatinen CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.
Tanja Krisa PwC ESTONIA	Veikko Toomere NJORD LAW FIRM	Mahlet Megenaw Getu MAHLET MEGANAW LEGAL ADVISORY OFFICE	Pradeep Patel BDO	Sarianna Järviö ASIANAJOTOIMISTO WHITE & CASE OY
Timo Kullerkupp NJORD LAW FIRM	Neve Uudelt ALIANCE OF INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVISERS	Getu Shiferaw MEHRTEAB LEUL & ASSOCIATES	Nilesh Prasad MITCHELL, KEIL & ASSOCIATES	Johanna Jarvinen PANALPINA AB
Paul Künnap ADVOKAADIBÜROO SORAINEN AS	Erle Uus KPMG BALTIMOS OÜ	Mekdes Shiferaw GREEN INTERNATIONAL LOGISTIC SERVICES	Ramesh Prasad Lal CARPENTERS SHIPPING	Juuso Jokela SUOMEN ASIAKASTIETO OY
Süri Kuusik NJORD LAW FIRM	Hannes Vallikivi LAW OFFICE TARK GRUNTE SUTKIENE	Ameha Sime AMEHA SIME B.C.	Mele Rakai SHERANI & CO.	Vilja Juvonen PwC FINLAND
Martti Lemendik METAPRINT LTD.	Ivo Vanasaun DELOITTE ADVISORY AS	L.H. Solomon NET ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY	Rahul Ral CARPENTERS SHIPPING	Mika Karpinnen HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.
Hannes Lentsius PwC ESTONIA	Paul Varul PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Mesfin Tafesse MESFIN TAFESSE AND ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE	Abhi Ram COMPANIES REGISTRAR	Milla Kokko-Lehtinen PwC FINLAND
Tanel Lilleesaar ASTORFI	Anne Veerpalu NJORD LAW FIRM	Brook Tefera BIGAR ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING	Jagindar Singh CARPENTERS SHIPPING	Kaisa Lampu PwC FINLAND
			Shelvin Singh SHELVIN SINGH LAWYERS	
			Narotam Solanki PwC FIJI	

Ina Lehto <i>FINNISH ENERGY INDUSTRIES</i>	Julien Bellapianta <i>ATS INTERNATIONAL</i>	Laurent Karila <i>KARILA</i>	François Vergne <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Victoria Andrews <i>FARAGE ANDREWS LAW PRACTICE</i>
Anna-Ilona Lehtonen <i>ROSCIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Florence Bequet-Abdou <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Abdelmalek Kherbachene <i>BOUCHEMIA LANOUAR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Ronène Zana <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Malick Bah <i>NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT AGENCY</i>
Pertteri Leinonen	Sylvain Bergès <i>PAUL HASTINGS</i>	Kaela Kim <i>CAPSTAN</i>	Mathias Zenou <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Amie N.D. Bensouda <i>AMIE BENSOUDA & CO.</i>
Jan Lilius <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Vincent Berthet <i>SCP BERTHAT-SCHIHIN-DUCHANOVY-HÉRITER</i>	Paul Lafuste <i>VEIL JOURDE</i>	Claire Zuliani <i>TRANSPARENCE - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Lamin B.S. Camara <i>DANDIMAYO LAW CHAMBERS</i>
Patrik Lindfors <i>LINDFORS & CO., ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>	Pierre Binon <i>BANQUE DE FRANCE</i>	Mohamed Lanouar <i>BOUCHEMIA LANOUAR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	GABON	Ida Denise Drameh <i>IDA D. DRAMEH & ASSOCIATES</i>
Patrick Lindgren <i>LAW OFFICE ADVOCARE</i>	Andrew Booth <i>ANDREW BOOTH ARCHITECT</i>	Daniel Arthur Laprès <i>AVOCAT À LA COUR D'APPEL DE PARIS</i>	JOHN W. FOOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Loubna Farage <i>FARAGE ANDREWS LAW PRACTICE</i>
Olli Mäkelä <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Guillaume Bordier <i>CAPSTAN</i>	Vanessa Li <i>DLA PIPER FRANCE LLP</i>	Jean-Pierre Bozec <i>PROJECT LAWYERS</i>	Dzidzede Fiadjoé <i>PwC GHANA</i>
Kimmo Mettälä <i>KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Isabelle-Victoria Carbuccia <i>IVCH PARIS</i>	Florian Lindtch <i>GONDTRAN DE ROBERT AVOCATS</i>	Jean Paul Camus <i>SOCIÉTÉ D'ÉNERGIE ET D'EAU DU GABON (SEEG)</i>	Alexander Iifi-Yankson <i>PwC GHANA</i>
Linda Miettinen <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Frédéric Cauvin <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Olivier Lopez <i>COHEN & GRESSLER, AARPI</i>	Myriam Diallo <i>PANALPINA TRANSPORTS MONDIAUX GABON</i>	Sarane Hydara <i>MAHOUS ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>
Marta Monteiro <i>HANNES SNELLMAN ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Georges Cavalier <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Elsa Lourdeau <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Gilbert Erangah <i>ÉTUDE MAÎTRE ERANGAH</i>	Lamin S. Jatta <i>ACCORD ASSOCIATES</i>
Eeva-Leena Niemelä <i>ROSCIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Gwendal Chatain <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Alexandre Majbruch <i>DENTONS</i>	Anaïs Edzang Pouzere <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	Sulayman Jobe <i>DT ASSOCIATES, INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENCE FIRM OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>
Jani Pitkänen <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Jean-Pierre Clavel <i>SCP JEAN-PIERRE CLAVEL</i>	Wladimir Mangel <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Augustin Fang <i>CABINET AUGUSTIN FANG</i>	Sulayman M. Joof <i>S.M. JOOF AGENCY</i>
Mikko Rajala <i>BIRD & BIRD ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Jean-Paul Decrops <i>ÉTUDE MAÎTRE JEAN-PAUL DECROS</i>	Lucie Maurice <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Philippe Fouda Fouda <i>BEAC CAMEROON</i>	Abdullah Konateh <i>MAHOUS ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>
Ingrid Remmigas <i>ROSCIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Marie d'Ocagne <i>DLA PIPER FRANCE LLP</i>	Frederic Mercier <i>MATHEZ TRANSPORTS INTERNATIONAUX S.A</i>	Athanase Ndoye Loury <i>SYNDIC JUDICIAIRE</i>	George Kwatia <i>PwC GHANA</i>
Jasse Ritakallio <i>LINDFORS & CO., ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD.</i>	Florence Druesne <i>SCP JEAN-PIERRE CLAVEL</i>	Nathalie Morel <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Pélagie Massamba Mouckocko <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	Omar Njie <i>LAW FIRM OMAR NJIE</i>
Peter Salovaara <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Segolene Dufetel <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Thierry Morgant <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Jean-Joel Mebaley <i>DESTINY EXECUTIVES ARCHITECTS - AGENCE DU BORD DE MER</i>	Baboucar Owl <i>NATIONAL WATER AND ELECTRICITY COMPANY LTD.</i>
Claus Schmidt <i>PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT</i>	Jean-Marc Dufour <i>FRANCE E-COMMERCE INTERNATIONAL</i>	Wye-Peygn Morter <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Célestin Ndélia <i>ÉTUDE MAÎTRE NDÉLIA CÉLESTIN</i>	Janet Ramatoulie Sallah-Njie <i>TORODO CHAMBERS</i>
Petri Seppälä <i>PwC FINLAND</i>	Philippe Durand <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Lamia Naamoune <i>BOUCHEMIA LANOUAR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Clotaire N'dong <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'ÉCONOMIE, DU COMMERCE, DE L'INDUSTRIE ET DU TOURISME</i>	Aji Penda B. Sankareh <i>DT ASSOCIATES, INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENCE FIRM OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>
Nikolas Sjöberg <i>KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Olivier Everaere <i>AGENCE EPURE SARL</i>	Michel Nisse <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Ruben Mindonga Ndongo <i>DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL PLANNING AND HOUSING</i>	Bakary Sanneh <i>YASSIN SENGHORE</i>
Aatos Solhagen <i>ASIANAJOTIOMISTO WHITE & CASE OY</i>	Benoit Fauvelet <i>BANQUE DE FRANCE</i>	Arnaud Pelpel <i>PELPEL AVOCATS</i>	Thierry Ngomo <i>ARCHI PRO INTERNATIONAL</i>	Mama Fatima Singhateh <i>ATTORNEY GENERAL CHAMBERS OF GAMBIA</i>
Dina Stolt <i>ROSCIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Ingrid Fauvelière <i>JEANTET AARPI</i>	Thomas Philippe <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Lubin Ntoutoume <i>CABINET SCP NTOUTOUME ET MEZHER</i>	Hawa Sisay-Sabally <i>LAWYER</i>
Petri Taivalkoski <i>ROSCIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Ivan Féron <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Nathalène Pierard <i>GONDTRAN DE ROBERT AVOCATS</i>	Josette Cadie Olendo <i>FULGENCE ONGAMA TRIBUNAL DE PREMIÈRE INSTANCE DE LIBREVILLE</i>	Salieu Taal <i>TEMPLE LÉGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Tanja Törnkvist <i>ASIANAJOTIOMISTO WHITE & CASE OY</i>	Lionel Galliez <i>CONSEIL SUPÉRIEUR DU NOTARIAT (PARIS)</i>	Vanessa Raindre <i>TRANSPARENCE - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Marie-Jose Ongo Mendou <i>BUSINESS CONSULTING</i>	Kimbeng T. Tah <i>ATTORNEY GENERAL CHAMBERS OF GAMBIA</i>
Tuuli Vapaavuori-Vartiainen <i>EVERSHEDS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Nassim Ghalimi <i>VEIL JOURDE</i>	Pierre-Yves Rossignol <i>FIABC, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION</i>	Laurent Pommera <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	GEORGIA
Seija Vartiainen <i>PwC FINLAND</i>	Jacques Gondran de Robert <i>GONDTRAN DE ROBERT AVOCATS</i>	Philippe Roussel Galle <i>UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DESCARTES</i>	Christophe Adrien Relongué <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	Mgaloblishvili, Kipiani, Dzidziguri (MKD) LAW FIRM
Marko Vuori <i>KROGERUS ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Pierre-Edouard Gondran de Robert <i>GONDTRAN DE ROBERT AVOCATS</i>	Hugues Roux <i>BANQUE DE FRANCE</i>	Erwan Rouxel <i>SOCIÉTÉ D'ÉNERGIE ET D'EAU DU GABON (SEEG)</i>	Wakhtang Alania <i>SOFMAR SHIPPING AGENCY</i>
Gunnar Westerlund <i>ROSCIER ATTORNEYS LTD.</i>	Régine Goury <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Maxime Simonnet <i>DENTONS</i>	Fabien Tannhof <i>SOCIÉTÉ D'ÉNERGIE ET D'EAU DU GABON (SEEG)</i>	Marekh Amirashvili <i>AMIRASHVILI, GOGISHVILI & SHENGELIA AGS</i>
FRANCE	François Grenier	Johannes Singelinstein <i>RACINE AVOCATS</i>	Steven Theallier <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>	Kakhaber Arabidze <i>ARCO LTD.</i>
AIR CARGO INTERNATIONAL GROUP	Frederic Gros <i>JONES DAY</i>	Isabelle Smith Monnerville <i>SMITH D'ORIA</i>	Lucas Vergnaud <i>GONDTRAN DE ROBERT AVOCATS</i>	Niko Bakashvili <i>BAKASHVILI AND COMPANY</i>
COMMISSION DE RÉGULATION DE L'ÉNERGIE	Kevin Grossmann <i>CABINET GROSSMANN</i>	Camille Sparfel <i>CAPSTAN</i>	GAMBIA, THE	
EAU DE PARIS	Jérôme Halphen <i>DLA PIPER FRANCE LLP</i>	Jean Tarrade <i>CONSEIL SUPÉRIEUR DU NOTARIAT (PARIS)</i>	Lamin A.K. Touray <i>ATTORNEY GENERAL CHAMBERS OF GAMBIA</i>	
MAIRIE DE PARIS	Karl Hepp de Sevelinges <i>JEANTET AARPI</i>	Steven Theallier <i>MAYER BROWN INTERNATIONAL LLP</i>		
Nadhia Ameziane <i>DENTONS</i>	Michael Jaffe <i>PwC SOCIÉTÉ D'AVOCATS</i>	Lucas Vergnaud <i>GONDTRAN DE ROBERT AVOCATS</i>		
Alexandre Avrillon <i>GONDTRAN DE ROBERT AVOCATS</i>	Marc Jobert <i>JOBERT & ASSOCIÉS</i>			
Nicolas Barberis <i>ASHURST LLP</i>				

Nino Bakhia NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY	Dachi Kinkladze GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE	Tea Sonishvili MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Wladimir Kern PwC GERMANY	Benjamin Siering PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT
Mikheil Balashvili ARCHITECTURAL BUREAU	Sergi Kobakhidze PwC GEORGIA	Giorgi Tavartkiladze DELOITTE	Johann Klein BEEH & HAPPICH GMBH - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Stefanie Skoruppa MAYER BROWN LLP
Giorgi Begiashvili BEGIASHVILI & Co. LIMITED LAW OFFICES	Aieti Kukava ALLIANCE GROUP HOLDING	Tamara Tevdoradze BGI LEGAL	Artur Korn REED SMITH LLP	Thomas Strassner ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
Lasha Beria RUSTAVI METALLURGICAL PLANT	Sophio Kurtauli NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT	Antonina Tsvelovalnikova GIANTI LOGISTICS	Ernst-Otto Kuchenbrandt DEUTSCHE BUNDES BANK	Jürgen Streng
Tatia Berekashvili MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Nino Kvinkladze NODIA, URUMASHVILI & PARTNERS	Khatuna Turmanidze NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT	Stefan Kuhl MAYER BROWN LLP	Dr. Tobias Taetzner PwC GERMANY
Revaz Beridze ERISTAVI & PARTNERS	Archil Lezhava LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC	Samson Uridia GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE	Baerbel Kuhlmann ERNST & YOUNG	Kévin Paul-Hervé Tanguy PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT
Nino Bezhitashvili MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Tea Loladze MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Zviad Voshakidze TELASI	Andreas Lange MAYER BROWN LLP	Holger Thomas WILMERHALE
Temur Bolotashvili TBILISI MUNICIPALITY CITY HALL	Mirab-Dmitry Lomadze		Sabine Läufer SCHUFA HOLDING AG	Heiko Vogt PANALPINA WELTTRANSPORT GMBH
Aleksandre Cheishvili JSC TBC BANK	Sofia Machaladze ERISTAVI & PARTNERS		Peter Limmer NOTARE DR. LIMMER & DR. FRIEDERIC	Bernd Weller HEUKING KÜHN LÜER WOITEK
Giorgi Chichinadze MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Irakli Mamaladze TEGETA MOTORS	Daja Apetz-Dreier	Rene Lochmann REED SMITH LLP	Hartmut Wicke NOTARE DR. WICKE UND HERRLER
Mikheil Daushvili ECONOMIC COUNCIL ADMINISTRATION (GOVERNMENT OF GEORGIA)	Jaba Mamulashvili BEGIASHVILI & Co. LIMITED LAW OFFICES	Judith Becker REED SMITH LLP	Mario Maier ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	Marco Wilhelm MAYER BROWN LLP
Khatia Esebua ALLIANCE GROUP HOLDING	Marekh Merabishvili OFFICE OF THE BUSINESS OMBUDSMAN OF GEORGIA	Mark Bekker BEKKER LOGISTICA	Sabine Malik SCHUFA HOLDING AG	Thomas Winkler DOMUS AG - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Mariam Gabashvili ERISTAVI & PARTNERS	Salome Meunargia LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC	Henning Berger WHITE & CASE	Nora Matthaei AVOCADO RECHTSANWÄLTE	Gerlind Wisskirchen CMS HASCHE SIGLE
Teymuraz Gamrelashvili TELASI	Roin Migriauli LAW OFFICE MIGRIAULI & PARTNERS	Eva Bergmann SCHUFA HOLDING AG	Jan Geert Meents DLA PIPER UK LLP	Uwe Witt PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT
Teona Gaprindashvili NODIA, URUMASHVILI & PARTNERS	Ia Mikhelidze GEORGIA REVENUE SERVICE	Philipp Johannes Bergmann REED SMITH LLP	Werner Meier KING & SPALDING LLP	Michael Wuebbeke PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT
Rusudan Gergauli LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC	Tamar Mochiladze BGI LEGAL	Jennifer Bierly GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN	Daniel Meier-Greve PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	GHANA
Givi Giorgadze INVESTORS COUNCIL	Kakhaber Nariaishvili Sophie Natroshvili BGI LEGAL	Tom Braegelmann DLA PIPER UK LLP	NOTARE DR. LIMMER & DR. FRIEDERIC	
Lasha Gogiberidze BGI LEGAL	Levan Nikoladze LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC	Jan Bunnemann DLA PIPER UK LLP	RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT	
Alexander Gomiashvili JSC CREDIT INFO GEORGIA	Gamkrelidze Nikoloz CAUCASTRANS EXPRESS LTD.	Thomas Büssow PwC GERMANY	Frank Mizera REED SMITH LLP	
Goga Gujejani KAUKASUS TRANSPORT LOGISTIK	Lasha Nodia NODIA, URUMASHVILI & PARTNERS	Andreas Eckhardt PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT	Marius Moeller PwC GERMANY	
Nana Gurgenidze LEGAL PARTNERS ASSOCIATED (LPA) LLC	Tamta Nutsubidze BEGIASHVILI & Co. LIMITED LAW OFFICES	Alexander Freiherr von Aretin GRAF VON WESTPHALEN RECHTSANWÄLTE PARTNERSCHAFT	Wolfgang Nardi KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP GERMANY MÜNICH	
Batu Gasalia NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY	Maia Okrushvili GEORGIAN LEGAL PARTNERSHIP	Andrea Gruss MERGET + PARTNER	Dirk Otto GOBBERS & DENK	
David Javakhadze MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Papuna Papiaishvili NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT	Klaus Günther OPPENHOFF & PARTNER	John Piotrowski JAKOBY RECHTSANWÄLTE	
Revaz Javelidze COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Simon Parsons PwC GEORGIA	Daniel Hacker PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT	Sebastian Prügel WHITE & CASE	
George Jugeli INVESTORS COUNCIL	Tamara Pkhakadze THE UNIVERSAL CONSULTING	Marc Alexander Häger OPPENHOFF & PARTNER	Anselm Reinertshofer REED SMITH LLP	
David Kakabadze COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Tsitsnami Sabadze MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Nadine Haubner MAYER BROWN LLP	Sebastian Reinsch JANKE & REINSCH	
Grigol Kakauridze MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Natia Sakhokia NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT	Tina Hoffmann MAYER BROWN LLP	Carl Renner DLA PIPER UK LLP	
Ana Kamkhadze ERISTAVI & PARTNERS	Giorgi Salakaia NATIONAL BUREAU OF ENFORCEMENT	Götz-Sebastian Hök Dr. Hök STIEGLMEIER & PARTNER	Philipp Ruehland PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LEGAL AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT RECHTSANWALTSGESELLSCHAFT	
Nino Khachapuridze MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	Joseph Salukvadze TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY	Ralph Hummel AVOCADO RECHTSANWÄLTE	Marvin Ruth DLA PIPER UK LLP	
Mari Khardziani NATIONAL AGENCY OF PUBLIC REGISTRY	Manzoor Shah GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP	Wiebke Jakob DLA PIPER UK LLP	Jana Schlimgene GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN	
Nino Khoperia NOTARY CHAMBER OF GEORGIA		Markus Jakoby JAKOBY RECHTSANWÄLTE	Astrid Schnabel DLA PIPER UK LLP	
		Peter Jark DLA PIPER UK LLP	Volker Schwarz HEUSSEN RECHTSANWALTS- GESELLSCHAFT MBH	
		Helmut Jordan JORDAN & WAGNER	Kirstin Schwedt LINKLATORS LLP	

Ellis Arthur <i>BEYUO & COMPANY</i>	Wordsworth Odame Larbi <i>CONSULTANT</i>	Alkistis Marina Christofilou <i>IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS</i>	Anastasia Kelveridou <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Stavros Papantonis <i>ACTION AUDITING SA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Kwabena Asante Offei <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Kwaku D. Ofori <i>OFORI LAW FIRM, LLC</i>	Evangelia Christopoulou-Stamelou <i>NOTARY</i>	Eirini Kikarea <i>KARATZAS & PARTNERS</i>	Martha Papasotiriou <i>UNITYFOUR</i>
Akousa Akoma Asiamah <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>	Sam Okudzeto <i>SAM OKUDZETO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Vasiliki Christou <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>	Efthymios Kleftogiannis <i>PwC GREECE</i>	Alexios Papastavrou <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>
Fred Asiamah-Koranteng <i>BANK OF GHANA</i>	Rexford Assasie Oppong <i>KNUST</i>	Leda Condoyanni <i>HELLENIC CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COUNCIL</i>	Ioanna Komporou <i>PwC GREECE</i>	Dimitris E. Paraskevas <i>ELIAS PARASKEVAS ATTORNEYS 1933</i>
Bridget Atta-Konadu <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>	Mike Oppong Adusah <i>BANK OF GHANA</i>	Theodora D. Karagiorgou <i>KOUTALIDIS LAW FIRM</i>	Alexandra Kondyli <i>KARATZAS & PARTNERS</i>	Marios Petropoulos <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>
Nana Akwasi Awuah <i>AB LEXMALL & ASSOCIATES</i>	Prince Oppong Boakye <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH</i>	Nikolaos Demiroglou <i>TAXEXPERTS</i>	Lena Kontogeorgou <i>NOTARY</i>	Spiros Pilios <i>PHOENIX</i>
Kwadwo Baafi <i>SELL RIGHT GHANA LIMITED</i>	Daniel Osei-Kufuor <i>OSEI-KUFUOR, SOHNE & PARTNERS</i>	Panagiota Dikaiou <i>KOUTALIDIS LAW FIRM</i>	Panos Koromantzos <i>BAHAS, GRAMATIDIS & PARTNERS</i>	Katerina Politis <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>
Sena Bakar <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>	Patience Ose-Nyarko <i>TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT</i>	Eleni Dikonimaki <i>TEIRESIAS SA - BANK INFORMATION SYSTEMS</i>	Olga Koromilia <i>PwC GREECE</i>	Panagiotis Polychronopoulos <i>KELEMENIS & Co.</i>
Ayesha Bedwei <i>PwC GHANA</i>	Vera Owusu Osei <i>AB & DAVID</i>	Sotirios Douklas <i>KG LAW FIRM</i>	Dimitrios Kotsionis <i>MICHAEL KYPRIANOU & Co. LLC</i>	Stathis Potamitis <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>
Kizito Beyuo <i>BEYUO & COMPANY</i>	Benjamin Quaye <i>MINISTRY OF LAND AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF GHANA</i>	Anastasia Dritsa <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Aggeliki Kounadi <i>TAXEXPERTS</i>	Anthony Poulopoulos <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>
Thomas Blankson <i>XDSDATA GHANA LTD.</i>	Jacob Saah <i>SAAH & Co.</i>	Elisabeth Eleftheriades <i>KG LAW FIRM</i>	Dimitrios Kremalis <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Vicky Psaltaki <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>
C. Kwesi Buckman <i>ARCHI-DEV CONSULT</i>	Shirley Somuah <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>	Katerina Filippatou <i>C. PAPACOSTOPOULOS & ASSOCIATES</i>	Irene C. Kyriakides <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Mary Psylla <i>PwC GREECE</i>
Diana Asonaba Dapaah <i>SAM OKUDZETO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Ebenezer Teye Agawu <i>CONSOLIDATED SHIPPING AGENCIES LIMITED</i>	Spyros Foulias <i>VGENOPOULOS AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Donna Kyrzopoulou <i>K P LAW FIRM</i>	Terina Raptis <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>
Jerry Dei <i>SAM OKUDZETO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Joyce Franklyn Thompson <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>	Sophia Fourlari <i>COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE</i>	Antonis Mantonanakis <i>PANMONOTIKI PROSTASIA</i>	Kyriaki (Korina) Raptopoulou <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>
Saviour Dzuali <i>BOLLORE AFRICA LOGISTICS GHANA</i>	Samuel Twerefour <i>LAWFIELDS CONSULTING</i>	Stergios Frastanlis <i>ZEPOS & YANNOPoulos LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Evangelos Margaritis <i>DRAKOPOULOS LAW FIRM</i>	Eva Rodaki <i>PwC GREECE</i>
Frank Fugar <i>COLLEGE OF ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING</i>	M.C. Vasnani <i>CONSOLIDATED SHIPPING AGENCIES LIMITED</i>	Dionysia I. Gamvrakis <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Emmanuel Mastromanolis <i>ZEPOS & YANNOPoulos LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Ioannis Sarakinos <i>IOANNIS A. SARAKINOS (IAS) LAW OFFICE</i>
Christina Furler <i>FURLER ARCHITECTS LTD.</i>	Kwadwo Yeboah <i>TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT</i>	Georgios Garoufis <i>PwC GREECE</i>	John Mazarakos <i>ELIAS PARASKEVAS ATTORNEYS 1933</i>	Nikolaos Siakantaris <i>UNITYFOUR</i>
Abeku Gyan-Quansah <i>PwC GHANA</i>	GREECE	Dionysios Gavounelis <i>K P LAW FIRM</i>	Alexandros N. Metaxas <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Ioannis Skandalis <i>PwC GREECE</i>
Roland Horsoo <i>BOUYGUES CONSTRUCTION</i>	Sophia Ampoulidou <i>DRAKOPOULOS LAW FIRM</i>	Dimitra Georgaraki <i>TAXEXPERTS</i>	Effie G. Mitsopoulou <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Fani Skartouli <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>
Daniel Imadi <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Alexander Anagnostopoulos <i>KARATZAS & PARTNERS</i>	Antonis Giannakodimos <i>ZEPOS & YANNOPoulos LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Theodora G. Monochartzi <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Ioanna Stamou <i>KARATZAS & PARTNERS</i>
Adam Imoru Ayarna <i>CADESMEET INTERNATIONAL</i>	Evangelos Angelopoulos <i>E ANGELOPOULOS LAW OFFICE</i>	Antonios Gkiokas <i>PwC GREECE</i>	Athena Moraiti <i>STRATOS - MORAITI - STAMELOS LAW OFFICES</i>	Natassa Stamou <i>HELLENIC EXCHANGES SA</i>
Cynthia Jumu <i>CQ LEGAL & CONSULTING</i>	Eve Athanasekou <i>HELLENIC NOTARY ASSOCIATION</i>	Christos Goulas <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Konstantinos Nanopoulos <i>TAXEXPERTS</i>	Alexia Stratou <i>KREMALIS LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>
Edem Kofi Penty <i>RENAISSANCE LAW CHAMBERS</i>	Anastasia Baka <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Amalia Balla <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Anthony Narlis <i>CALBERSON SA</i>	Evangelia Tasiopoulou <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>
Rosa Kudoadzi <i>BENTSI-ENCHILL, LETSA & ANKOMAH, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Georgia Balopoulou <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Aikaterini Grivaki <i>PwC GREECE</i>	Effie Nestorides <i>EYDAP SA</i>	Georgios Thanopoulos <i>IME GSEVEE</i>
Mary Kwarteng <i>PwC GHANA</i>	George Bersis <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Dimitris V. Hatzihristidis <i>ELECTRICAL ENGINEER</i>	Panagiota (Yiota) Ntassiou <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Athanasiос Thedorou <i>Fotini Trigazi NOTARY</i>
George Kwiatka <i>PwC GHANA</i>	Theodora Betsi <i>KARATZAS & PARTNERS</i>	Efthymios Kallitsis <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Anastasia Oikonomopoulou <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>	John Tripidakis <i>JOHN TRIPIDAKIS & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>
Musah Masahudu <i>ARCHSYNTAX CONSULT</i>	Dimitris Bimpas <i>IME GSEVEE</i>	Artemis Karathanassi <i>PwC GREECE</i>	Kyriacos Oikonomou <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Kimon Tsakiris <i>KG LAW FIRM</i>
Eric Nii Yarboi Mensah <i>SAM OKUDZETO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Athanasia Braimi <i>PANTAZIS & ASSOCIATES</i>	Catherine Karatzas <i>KARATZAS & PARTNERS</i>	Dionysis Pantazis <i>PANTAZIS & ASSOCIATES</i>	Angeliki Tsatsi <i>KARATZAS & PARTNERS</i>
Paul Kobina Mensah <i>MASS LOGISTICS GHANA LIMITED</i>	Ira Charisiadou <i>CHARISIADOU LAW OFFICE</i>	Rita Katsoula <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Stefanos Pantazis <i>PANTAZIS & ASSOCIATES</i>	Antonios Tsavdaridis <i>IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS</i>
Victor Mensah <i>TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DEPARTMENT</i>	Viktoria Chatzara <i>IKRP ROKAS & PARTNERS</i>	Dionysis Kazaglis <i>SARANTITIS LAW FIRM</i>	Christina Papachristopoulou <i>K P LAW FIRM</i>	Panagiota Tsinouli <i>KYRIAKIDES GEORGOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>
Kwadwo Ntrakwah <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>	George Chousos <i>XCON Xousos CONSTRUCTION</i>	Anna Kazantzidou <i>VAINANIDIS ECONOMOU & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Kelly Papadaki <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Chryssi Tsirogianni <i>NOTARY</i>
Nana Yaw Ntrakwah <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>	Theodora Christodoulou <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>	Constantinos Papadiamantis <i>POTAMITIS-VEKRIS</i>	Dimitris Papamantzelopoulos <i>KLC LAW FIRM</i>	Panagiota D. Tsitsa <i>PANAGIOTA TSITSA</i>
Abena Ntrakwah-Mensah <i>NTRAKWAH & Co.</i>				

Achillefs Tsoutsis SARANTITIS LAV FIRM	Garvey Louison LOUISON CONSULTING	Maria Mercedes Castro GARCIA & BODÁN	Salvador Augusto Saravia Castillo SARAVIA & MUÑOZ	Soriba Sidibé ELECTRICITÉ DE GUINÉE
Katerina Tzamalouka KYRIAKIDES GEORGPOULOS LAW FIRM	Kelly Roberts SCOTIABANK GRENADA	Juan Carlos Chavarría ERNST & YOUNG	José Augusto Toledo Cruz ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Mohamed Sidiki Sylla SYLLA & PARTNERS
Ioanna Tzinieri GREEK LAND REGISTRARS ASSOCIATION	Karen Samuel SAMUEL PHILLIP & ASSOCIATES	Juan Carlos Corona BERMEJO & ASOCIADOS	Arelis Yariza Torres de Alfaro SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS	Paul Tchagna PWC GUINEA
Alexia Tzouni POTAMITIS-VEKRI	Safiya Sawney TRADSHIP INTERNATIONAL	Rafael Garavito BUFFETE GARAVITO	Rodrigo Valladares REGISTRO MERCANTIL	Abdourahamane Tounkara GUINÉE CONSULTING
Spyros Valvis PWC GREECE	Valentino Sawney TRADSHIP INTERNATIONAL	Jose Gonzalez PRECON	Elmer Vargas PACHECO COTO	Aboubacar Salimatou Toure BANQUE DE DÉVELOPPEMENT DE GUINÉE
Aris Velentzas VGENOPOULOS AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM	David R. Sinclair SINCLAIR ENTERPRISES LIMITED	Carlos Guillermo Herrera REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)	GUINEA	Mohamed Lamine Touré BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)
Penny Vithoulka C. PAPACOSTOPOULOS & ASSOCIATES	Trevor St. Bernard LEWIS & RENWICK	Pamela Jimenez ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Yves Constant Amani CABINET D'AVOCATS BAO & FILS	GUINEA-BISSAU
Constantinos Vlachakis NOTARY	Shireen Wilkinson WILKINSON, WILKINSON & WILKINSON	Eva Maria Lima CITY HALL OF GUATEMALA CITY	Pierre Kodjo Avode SYLLA & PARTNERS	BCEAO
Kalliopi Vlachopoulou KELEMENIS & Co.	GUATEMALA	Alma Mejia ERNST & YOUNG	Ayelama Bah NOTAIRE AYELAMA BAH	Luis Antunes LUFTEC – TÉCNICAS ELÉCTRICAS, LDA.
Ioanna Vourvoulia KYRIAKIDES GEORGPOULOS LAW FIRM	EMPRESA ELÉCTRICA DE GUATEMALA, S. A.	Edgar Mendoza PWC GUATEMALA	Aminata Bah Tall NIMBA CONSEIL SARL	Humílio Alves Cardoso GABINETE ADVOCACIA
Sofia Xanthoulea JOHN TRIPIDAKIS & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	PROTECTORA DE CRÉDITO COMERCIAL	Jorge Mario Mendoza SANDOVAL	Mohamed Aly Baldé PWC GUINEA	Rui Paulo Coutinho de Mascarenhas Ataíde PROFESSOR OF LAW
Panagiotis Xenitelis KARATZAS & PARTNERS	SUPERINTENDENCIA DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA	Gonzalo Menéndez González FEDEX	Mamadou Barry NIMBA CONSEIL SARL	Adelaida Mesa D'Almeida JURISCONTA SRL
Fredy Yatracou PWC GREECE	Julio Aparicio LEXINCORP	Edgar Montes LEXINCORP	Ismaila Camara MAERSK LOGISTICS SA	Octávio Lopes GB LEGAL - MIRANDA ALLIANCE
Sergios Zygouras KOUTALIDIS LAW FIRM	Pedro Aragón ARAGÓN & ARAGÓN	Jorge Meoño INPROLEGAL	Gabriel Curtis AGENCE DE PROMOTION DES INVESTISSEMENTS PRIVÉS	Gregorio Malu TRANSMAR SERVICES LDA
GRENADE	Mario R. Archila Cruz CONSORTIUM - RACSA	Enrique Moller ERNST & YOUNG	Abdelaziz Derrahi ELECTRICITÉ DE GUINÉE	Miguel Mango AUDI - CONTA LDA
DANNY WILLIAMS & Co. GRENADA ELECTRICITY SERVICES LTD.	Jorge Luis Arenales de la Roca ARIAS & MUÑOZ	Edgar Montes REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)	Zakaria Diakité NIMBA CONSEIL SARL	Ismael Mendes de Medina GB LEGAL - MIRANDA ALLIANCE
W.R. Agostini W. R. AGOSTINI & Co.	José Alejandro Arévalo Alburez	Edvin Montoya LEXINCORP	Ahmadou Diallo CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES	Ruth Monteiro TSK LEGAL ADVOGADOS E JURISCONSULTOS
Roger Archer SCOTIABANK GRENADA	SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS	Maria José Najera CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS	Youssouf Diallo CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES	Eduardo Pimentel CENTRO DE FORMALIZAÇÃO DE EMPRESAS
James Bristol HENRY, HENRY & BRISTOL	Elias Arriaza Sáenz CONSORTIUM - RACSA	Anajoyce Oliva CITY HALL OF GUATEMALA CITY	Housseyni Fofana MERS	Fernando Resina da Silva VEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS PORTUGAL
Linda Dolland SEON & ASSOCIATES	María de los Angeles Barillas Buchhalter	Monica Ordoñez REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)	Naby Moussa Fofana BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)	Marta Sampaio MEDITERRANEAN SHIPPING COMPANY LISBON (MSC)
Ruggles Ferguson CIBONEY CHAMBERS	SARAVIA & MUÑOZ	Hugo Rafael Oroxóm Mérida SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS	Soukeina Fofana BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)	Fernando Tavares TRANSMAR SERVICES LDA
Corland Forrester INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT	Nancy Barrera PwC GUATEMALA	Carlos Ortega MAYORA & MAYORA, S.C.	Joachim Gbilimou SYLLA & PARTNERS	Carlos Vamain GOMES & VAMAIN ASSOCIADOS
Aubrey Garcia SEON & ASSOCIATES	Jorge Rolando Barrios BONILLA, MONTANO, TORIELLO & BARRIOS	Jorge Osøy CITY HALL OF GUATEMALA CITY	Mariama Ciré Keita Diallo NIMBA CONSEIL SARL	GUYANA
Kim George KIM GEORGE & ASSOCIATES	Elmer Erasmo Beltetón Morales	Roberto Ozaeta PWC GUATEMALA	Fatoumata Koulibaly BANQUE CENTRALE DE GUINÉE (BCRG)	DIGICOM
Carlyle Glean Jr. GLEAN'S CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CO.	REGISTRO GENERAL DE LA PROPIEDAD DE GUATEMALA (RGP)	Marco Antonio Palacios PALACIOS & ASOCIADOS	Nounké Kourouma ADMINISTRATION ET CONTRÔLE DES GRANDS PROJETS	Noels Electrical and Engineering Service
Annette Henry MINISTRY OF LEGAL AFFAIRS	Roberto Bermejo Q. BERMEJO & ASOCIADOS	Maria Jose Pepio Pensabene CÁMARA GUATEMALTECA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN	Mohamed Lahiou PWC GUINEA	Rodrigues Architects Ltd.
Keith Hosten HOSTEN'S (ELECTRICAL SERVICES) LTD.	Axel Beteta CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS	Claudia Pereira MAYORA & MAYORA, S.C.	Augustin Lovichi ELECTRICITÉ DE GUINÉE	Tracey Bancroft CITY ENGINEERS OFFICE
Ernie James MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PLANNING, TRADE, COOPERATIVES AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS	Jean Paul Brichaux ASOCIACIÓN DE EXPORTADORES DE CAFÉ (ADEC)	Patrocinio Pérez y Pérez SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS	Souleymane Mariama Dalde ORABANK	Mayor & Councillors of City of Georgetown
Henry Joseph PKF INTERNATIONAL	Eva Cacacho González QIL+4 ABOGADOS, SA	Mélida Pineda CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS	Enang Odile Mbøe Ntungwe NIMBA CONSEIL SARL	Wiston Beckles CORREIA & CORREIA LTD.
Michell Julien-Farry INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT	Emanuel Callejas CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS	Manuel Ramírez ERNST & YOUNG	Stéphane Ntsogo Pana NIMBA CONSEIL SARL	Marcel Bobb FRASER, HOUSTY & YEARWOOD ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
Anselm LaTouche CREATIVE DESIGN	Rodrigo Callejas Aquino CARRILLO & ASOCIADOS	Diego Ramírez Bathen GRUPO ICC	Guy Piam Kaptue NIMBA CONSEIL SARL	Ronald Burch-Smith WALDRON & BURCH-SMITH
	Delia Cantoral ERNST & YOUNG	Alfredo Rodríguez Mahuad CONSORTIUM - RACSA	Amadou Salif Kébé CABINET AVOCAT SALIF KÉBÉ	Julius Campbell CORREIA & CORREIA LTD.
	Jorge Castañeda SPEC	Luis Alfonso Ruano CGW		Desmond Correia CORREIA & CORREIA LTD.
	Raul Castañeda SPEC	Glendy Salguero PwC GUATEMALA		Lucia Desir-John D & I SHIPPING SERVICES
	Francisco José Castillo Chacón AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE			
	Juan Carlos Castillo Chacón AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE			

Orin Hinds <i>ORIN HINDS & ASSOCIATES ARCH. LTD.</i>	Karine Chenet <i>Martine Chevalier CABINET LEBLANC & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Carlos Chavarria <i>CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA ABOGADOS</i>	Albert P.C. Chan <i>THE HONG KONG POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY</i>	Holden Slutsky <i>PACIFIC CHAMBERS</i>
Renford Homer <i>GUYANA POWER & LIGHT INC.</i>	Karl B. Couba <i>Rigaud Duplan</i>	Jaime Alberto Colindres Rosales <i>DYCELES S DE R.L.</i>	Leonard Chan <i>JLA-ASIA</i>	Keith Tam <i>DUN & BRADSTREET (HK) LTD.</i>
Teni Housty <i>FRASER, HOUSTY & YEARWOOD ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Lucien Fresnel <i>CABINET GASSANT</i>	Kenia Cortés <i>ACZALAW</i>	Nick Chan <i>SQUIRE SANDERS</i>	Tammie Tam <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>
Kalam Azad Juman-Yassin <i>GUYANA OLYMPIC ASSOCIATION</i>	Enerlio Gassant <i>CABINET GASSANT</i>	Graciela Cruz <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>	Jacqueline Chiu <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Eric Tang <i>ASIA BUSINESS SERVICE LIMITED</i>
Kashir Khan <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Nadyne M. Joseph <i>UNIBANK</i>	Heidy Cruz <i>GARCÍA & BODÁN</i>	Selraniy Chow <i>PwC HONG KONG</i>	Anita Tsang <i>PwC HONG KONG</i>
Rakesh Latchana <i>RAM & MCRAE CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Ronald Laraque <i>AAU</i>	Terencio Garcia Montenegro <i>GARCÍA & BODÁN</i>	Robert Chu <i>ECONOMIC ANALYSIS AND BUSINESS FACILITATION UNIT</i>	William Tsang <i>Y H TSANG & CO.</i>
Harry Noel Narine <i>PKF INTERNATIONAL</i>	Camille Leblanc <i>CABINET LEBLANC & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Dennis Emilio Hércules Rosa <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Tony Chu <i>VICTON REGISTRATIONS LTD.</i>	Cliff Tsui <i>JLA-ASIA</i>
Charles Ogle <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR, HUMAN SERVICES AND SOCIAL SECURITY</i>	Ludwig Leblanc <i>CABINET LEBLANC & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Evangelina Lardizábal Arias & Muñoz <i>CÁMARA DE COMERCIO E INDUSTRIA DE TEGUCIGALPA</i>	Jimmy Chung <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD HONG KONG - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Paul Tsui <i>HONG KONG ASSOCIATION OF FREIGHT FORWARDING & LOGISTICS LTD. (HAFFA)</i>
Carolyn Paul <i>AMICE LEGAL CONSULTANTS INC.</i>	Roberson Louis <i>CABINET GASSANT</i>	Armida María López de Arguello <i>ACZALAW</i>	Victor Dawes <i>TEMPLE CHAMBERS</i>	Christopher Whiteley <i>ASHURST HONG KONG</i>
Deryck Phyll <i>GUYANA POWER & LIGHT INC.</i>	Kathia Magloire <i>CABINET GASSANT</i>	Rafael Enrique Medina Elvir <i>CÁMARA DE COMERCIO E INDUSTRIA DE TEGUCIGALPA</i>	Vickie Fan <i>FAN, CHAN & CO.</i>	Agnes Wong <i>COMPANIES REGISTRY</i>
Christopher Ram <i>RAM & MCRAE CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Jean Paul Nazon <i>HAITI SHIPPING SA</i>	Juan Carlos Mejía Cotto <i>INSTITUTO DE LA PROPIEDAD</i>	Wilson Fung <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Danny Wong <i>DUN & BRADSTREET (HK) LTD.</i>
Vishwamint Ramnarine <i>PKF BARCELLOS, NARINE & CO.</i>	Joel Neixil <i>AIR COURRIER & SHIPPING</i>	Vanessa Oquelí García & Bodán <i>GARCÍA & BODÁN</i>	Dominic Gregory <i>ASHURST HONG KONG</i>	Fergus Wong <i>PwC HONG KONG</i>
Reginald Roach <i>R&D ENGINEERING SERVICES</i>	Jean Yves Noël <i>NOËL, CABINET D'EXPERTS-COMPTABLES</i>	José Ramón Paz <i>CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA ABOGADOS</i>	Keith Man Kei Ho <i>WILKINSON & GRIST</i>	Kwok Kuen Yu <i>COMPANIES REGISTRY</i>
Ryan Ross <i>GUYANA POWER & LIGHT INC.</i>	Joseph Paillant <i>BUCOFISC</i>	Dino Rietti <i>ARQUITECNIC</i>	Reynold Hung <i>PwC HONG KONG</i>	HUNGARY
Shantel Scott <i>FRASER, HOUSTY & YEARWOOD ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Micosky Pomplius <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS CHALMERS</i>	Julio Rivera <i>GARCÍA & BODÁN</i>	Denise Jong <i>REED SMITH RICHARDS BUTLER</i>	PALLÉR CSARNOK KFT.
Judy Semple-Joseph <i>CREDITINFO GUYANA</i>	Margarette Antoine Sanon <i>CABINET MARGARETTE ANTOINE SANON</i>	Milton Rivera <i>PwC HONDURAS</i>	Peter Kwon <i>ASHURST HONG KONG</i>	Balázs Balog <i>RETI, ANTALL AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Terry Singh <i>GALAXY ELECTRICAL SALES AND SERVICE</i>	Michel Succar <i>CABINET LISSADE</i>	José Rafael Rivera Ferrari <i>CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA ABOGADOS</i>	Billy Lam <i>MAYER BROWN JSM</i>	Bela Banati <i>BÁNÁTI + HARTVIG ÉPÍTESZ IRODA KFT.</i>
Leslie Sobers <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Salim Succar <i>CABINET LISSADE</i>	Enrique Rodriguez Burchard <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Christie Lam <i>HONG KONG FINANCIAL SECRETARY</i>	Sándor Békési <i>PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS</i>
Gidel Thomsidé <i>NATIONAL SHIPPING CORPORATION LTD.</i>	Sibylle Theard Mevs <i>THEARD & ASSOCIES</i>	Fanny Rodríguez del Cid <i>ARIAS & MUÑOZ</i>	Kai Chiu Lam <i>CLP POWER HONG KONG LIMITED</i>	Blanka Börzsönyi <i>SIEGLER LAW OFFICE / WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES</i>
Allyson West <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>	Jean Vandal <i>VLANDAL & VLANDAL</i>	René Serrano <i>ARIAS & MUÑOZ</i>	Ka Shi Lau <i>BCT FINANCIAL LIMITED (BCTF) / BANK CONSORTIUM TRUST COMPANY LIMITED (BCTC)</i>	Hédi Bozsonyk <i>SZÉCSKAY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Tonika Wilson-Gabriel <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>	HONDURAS			
Horace Woolford <i>GUYANA POWER & LIGHT INC.</i>	Juan José Alcerro Milla <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Godofredo Siercke <i>GARCÍA & BODÁN</i>	John Robert Lees <i>JLA-ASIA</i>	Sárosi Csanád <i>OBUDA-UJALIK</i>
HAITI				
BANQUE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE D'HAITI	Vanessa Aguilera <i>TRANSCOMA</i>	Mariano Turnes <i>OPERADORA PORTUARIA CENTROAMERICANA</i>	Camille Leung <i>SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS LLC</i>	Zsuzsanna Cseri <i>CSERI & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES</i>
MÉROVÉ-PIERRE - CABINET D'EXPERTS-COMPTABLES	Juan José Alcerro Milla <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Daysi Gricelda Urquía Hernández <i>TRANSUNION</i>	Clara Leung <i>REED SMITH RICHARDS BUTLER</i>	Gábor Dohány <i>PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS</i>
MINISTÈRE DES TRAVAUX PUBLICS, TRANSPORTS ET COMMUNICATIONS	Karla Andino Peñalva <i>CONSORTIUM CENTRO AMÉRICA ABOGADOS</i>	Armando Urtecho López COHEP (CONSEJO HONDUREÑO DE LA EMPRESA PRIVADA)	Terry LK Kan <i>SHINEWING SPECIALIST ADVISORY SERVICES LIMITED</i>	Peter Eles <i>BÁNÁTI + HARTVIG ÉPÍTESZ IRODA KFT.</i>
Theodore Achille III <i>UNOPS</i>	Mario Aguero <i>ARIAS & MUÑOZ</i>	Hilsy Villalobos <i>GARCÍA & BODÁN</i>	Psyche S.F. Luk <i>FAIRBAIRN CATLEY LOW & KONG</i>	Tamás Esze <i>BPV JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Marc Kinson Antoine <i>ADEKO ENTERPRISES</i>	Valmir Araujo <i>OPERADORA PORTUARIA CENTROAMERICANA</i>	Mauricio Villeda Gutierrez Falla & Asociados	Louise Ng <i>SQUIRE SANDERS</i>	Zsuzsa File <i>PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS</i>
Marie-Alice Belisaire <i>SYNDICAT DES NOTAIRES D'HAITI</i>	José Simón Azcona <i>INMOBILIARIA ALIANZA SA</i>	Jose Emilio Zablah Ulloa <i>PwC HONDURAS</i>	Mat Ng <i>JLA-ASIA</i>	Veronika Francis-Hegedűs <i>BPV JÁDI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Michelle Bien-Aimé <i>CABINET LISSADE</i>	Andrea Casco <i>BUFETE CASCO & ASOCIADOS</i>	Mario Rubén Zelaya <i>ENERGIA INTEGRAL S. DE RL DE CV</i>	James Ngai <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD HONG KONG - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Gyula Gábel <i>BOGSC & PARTNERS</i>
Erica Bouchereau Godefroy <i>BROWN LEGAL GROUP</i>	Jorge Omar Casco <i>BUFETE CASCO & ASOCIADOS</i>	Carlos F. Zúniga <i>IRIAS & ASOCIADOS S. DE RL - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Kok Leong Ngan <i>CLP POWER HONG KONG LIMITED</i>	Anna Gáspár <i>BUILD-ECON LTD.</i>
Jean Baptiste Brown <i>BROWN LEGAL GROUP</i>	Tania Vanessa Casco <i>BUFETE CASCO & ASOCIADOS</i>	HONG KONG SAR, CHINA		
Djacaman Charles <i>CABINET GASSANT</i>	Freddy Castillo <i>GARCÍA & BODÁN</i>	AECOM ASIA COMPANY LIMITED	Yeung Or <i>INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT, HKSAF</i>	Ervin Gombos <i>GMBS KFT.</i>
		ATRIX BUSINESS SERVICES LIMITED	Martinal Quan <i>METOPRO ASSOCIATES LIMITED</i>	Zoltán Gurszky <i>ELMŰ HALÓZATI KFT.</i>
		TRANSUNION LIMITED	Matthias Schemuth <i>ASHURST HONG KONG</i>	Tamas Halmos <i>PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS</i>
				Dóra Horváth <i>RETI, ANTALL AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>

Andrea Jádi Németh BPV JADI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Gábor Zoltán Szabó SIEGLER LAW OFFICE / WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES	Jon Vilhjalmsson EFLA CONSULTING ENGINEERS	Gopal Bhansali LAW AND JUDICIARY DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA	Manish Dhingra DHINGRA & SINGH - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
Atilla Jambor DR. JÁMBOR ATTILA LAW OFFICE			M P Bharucha BHARUCHA & PARTNERS	Farida Dholkawala DESAI & DIWANJI
Frerenc Kalla GTF KFT	REYKJAVIK MUNICIPAL BUILDING CONTROL OFFICER		Deepak Bhaskar TRILEGAL	Samir D'Monte SDMARCHITECTS
Veronika Kiss PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Asta Sóleig Andrésdóttir REGISTERS ICELAND		Moksha Bhat TRILEGAL	Anagha Dongre SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.
Andrea Kladiva CSERI & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Benedit Egill Árnason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Rachita Bhat LEX MUNDI ASSOCIATION OF LAW FIRMS	Rajesh Dongre ABRD ARCHITECTS
Andrea Koczinha PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HUNGARY LTD.	Ragnar Tomas Árnason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Gurpriya Bhatia I.L.A. PASRICH & COMPANY	Jigar Doshi SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP
Dóra Máté PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS HUNGARY LTD.	Heiðar Ásberg Atlason LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Anirban Bhattacharya LUTHRA & LUTHRA	Maulik Doshi SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP
Mariann Miskovics SÁNDOR SZEGEDI SZENT-IVÁNY KOMÁROMI EVERSHEDS	Stefán Ární Auðólfsson LÖGMENN BÁRGÓTU - LMB		Saurav Bhattacharya PWC INDIA	Atul Dua SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES
László Mohai MOHAI LAW OFFICE	Margrét Berg Sværissdóttir COURT OF ARBITRATION OF THE ICELAND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE		Sukanya Bhattacharya LUTHRA & LUTHRA	Rahul Dubey INFINI JURIDIQUE
Orsolya Molnar PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Karen Bragadóttir TOLLSTÍÓRI - DIRECTORATE OF CUSTOMS		Mona Bhide DAVE & GIRISH & CO.	Ferdinand Duraimanickam BFS LEGAL
Tibor Molnár CEF INVEST	Eymundur Einarsson CPA.IS ENDURSKODUN OG RÁÐGJÓF EHF		Kajal Bhimani AXON PARTNERS LLP	Shweta Dutta TRILEGAL
Noemi Nasca GMBS KFT.	Ólafur Eirkísson LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Ujwal Bhole UV ARCHITECTS	Shanha Farah INFINI JURIDIQUE
Aniko Nagy BPV JADI NÉMETH ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Sigríður Anna Ellerup REGISTERS ICELAND		Hetal Bilaye NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES	Sreya Ganguly JURIS CORP
Viktor Nagy BISZ CENTRAL CREDIT INFORMATION PLC	Björð Finnbagadóttir REGISTERS ICELAND		Nidhi Bothra VINOD KOTHARI & CO. PRACTICING COMPANY SECRETARIES	Sushmita Ganguly TRILEGAL
Sándor Németh SZECSKAY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Anna Björð Guðjónsdóttir BBA LEGAL		Leena Chacko Shubhabrata Chakraborti JURIS CORP	Ritika Ganju PHOENIX LEGAL
Christopher Noblet PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Gudrun Gudmundsdottir JÓNAR TRANSPORT		Biswadeep Chakravarty DAVE & GIRISH & CO.	Anuj Garg INDIA LAW OFFICES
Örs Péntez	Halldor Karl Halldorsson FJELDSTED & BLÖNDAL LEGAL SERVICES		Harshala Chandorkar CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU (INDIA) LTD.	Manoj Gidwani SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP
Rita Rado CSERI & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Reynir Haraldsson JÓNAR TRANSPORT		Jyoti Chaudhari LEGASIS SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED	Mukund Godbole GODOBLEMUKADAM AND ASSOCIATES
Richard Safcsák BISZ CENTRAL CREDIT INFORMATION PLC	Hörður Davið Harðarson TOLLSTÍÓRI - DIRECTORATE OF CUSTOMS		Aseem Chawla MPC LEGAL, SOLICITORS & ADVOCATES	Rakesh Goel NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
István Sándor KELEMEN, MESZAROS, SANDOR & PARTNERS	Burkni Maack Helgason CREDITINFO ICELAND		Manjula Chawla PHOENIX LEGAL	A. D. Gosavi MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI
Konrád Siegler SIEGLER LAW OFFICE / WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES	Jón Ingi Ingibergsson PWC ICELAND		Prem Chhatpar Vinita Chhatwal I.L.A. PASRICH & COMPANY	Niranjan Govindkar BSR & ASSOCIATES LLP
Tamas Sotet INTERNATIONAL LOGISTIC GATEWAY	Aðalsteinn E. Jónasson LEX LAW OFFICES		Arzineh Chinoy DESAI & DIWANJI	Anil Kumar Gulati DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE
Botond Szalma HUNGARIAN SHIPBROKERS & SHIPPING AGENTS ASSOCIATION	Dagbjört Oddsdóttir BBA LEGAL		Vinod Chithore MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI	Arun Gupta FACTUM LEGAL
Gábor Szanka BISZ CENTRAL CREDIT INFORMATION PLC	Helga Melkorka Óttarsdóttir LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Sachin Chugh SINGHI CHUGH & KUMAR, CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS	Atul Gupta TRILEGAL
Rita Szarva PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Kristján Pálsson JÓNAR TRANSPORT		Chetan Daga SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.	N K Gupta SS KOTHARI MEHTA & CO.
Szilvia Szeleczky BUDAPEST 1ST DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY	Ásgeir Á. Ragnarsson BBA LEGAL		Hitesh Darji YES BANK	Parika Gupta DIWAN ADVOCATES
Agnes Szent-Ivány SÁNDOR SZEGEDI SZENT-IVÁNY KOMÁROMI EVERSHEDS	Arna Sigurjónsdóttir LÖGMENN BÁRGÓTU - LMB		Detty Davis JURIS CORP	Rajeev Gupta NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL
Gergely Szoboszlai SIEGLER LAW OFFICE / WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES	Eyvindur Sólnes CATO LÖGMENN		Amin Dayani Rhuta Deobagkar TRILEGAL	Ruchira Gupta THE JURIS SOCIUS
Ádám Tóth Dr. Tóth Ádám KÖZJEGYZŐ IRODA	Gunnar Sturluson LOGOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI		Vishwahng Desai DESAI & DIWANJI	Sunil K. Gupta TCF CONSULTING SERVICES (INDIA) PRIVATE LIMITED
Annamária Tóth PARTOS & NOBLET HOGAN LOVELLS	Rúnar Svarav Svavarsson ORKUVEITA REYKJAVÍKUR, DISTRIBUTION-ELECTRICAL SYSTEM		Kaustubh Deshpande EQUIFAX CREDIT INFORMATION SERVICES PVT. LTD.	Surabhi Handa TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LIMITED
	Helgi Þór Þorsteinsson LEX LAW OFFICES		Pradeep Bhandari INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY	Parma Nand Hans MNC MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS & KAPSON LAW
	Steinþór Þorsteinsson TOLLSTÍÓRI - DIRECTORATE OF CUSTOMS		M.L. Bhakta KANGA & CO.	Anil Harish D. M. HARISH & CO.
	Runólfur Vigfússon PWC ICELAND		Saratha Devi BFS LEGAL	Akil Hirani MAJMUDAR & PARTNERS

Michael Holland <i>FIABCI, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION</i>	Salar M Khan <i>DIWAN ADVOCATES</i>	Ajoy Mehta <i>MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI</i>	Ajay Pant <i>INDUS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Manu Sehgal <i>EQUIFAX CREDIT INFORMATION SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>
Akshaya Iyer <i>MAJMUADAR & PARTNERS</i>	Durgesh Khanapurkar <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Amrit Mehta <i>MAJMUADAR & PARTNERS</i>	Amir Z. Singh Pasrich <i>I.L.A. PASRICH & COMPANY</i>	Sukrit Seth <i>SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES</i>
Jomy Jacob <i>OFFICE OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS</i>	Pooja Khanna <i>PHOENIX LEGAL</i>	K.S. Mehta <i>SS KOTHARI MEHTA & CO.</i>	Supriya Patange <i>LAW AND JUDICIARY DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA</i>	Dilip S. Shah <i>RELIANCE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.</i>
Paraag Jain Nainuttia <i>MAHARASHTRA SALES TAX DEPARTMENT</i>	Shinjini Kharbanda <i>PHOENIX LEGAL</i>	Pankaj Mehta <i>FORTUNE LEGAL ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Sameer Patel <i>DESAI & DIWANJI</i>	Manish Shah <i>SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.</i>
Anshul Jain <i>LUTHRA & LUTHRA</i>	Tanya Khare <i>KHAITAN AND COMPANY</i>	Preeti G. Mehta <i>KANGA & CO.</i>	Latik Patil <i>UV ARCHITECTS</i>	Parag Shah <i>PARAG G SHAH AND ASSOCIATES</i>
Radhika Jain <i>WALKER CHANDIOK & CO. LLP</i>	Rajeev Kharyal <i>TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LIMITED</i>	Sushil Mehta <i>SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Sanjay Patil <i>BDH INDUSTRIES LIMITED</i>	Pratik Shah <i>SUDIT K. PAREKH AND CO.</i>
Sarul Jain <i>K N J PARTNERS</i>	Gautam Khurana <i>INDIA LAW OFFICES</i>	Sachin Menon <i>KPMG</i>	Soumya Patnaik <i>J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>	Raj Shah <i>NINA ELECTRICAL CORPORATION</i>
Rajiv Jalota <i>MAHARASHTRA SALES TAX DEPARTMENT</i>	Ankit Khushu <i>KACHWAHA & PARTNERS</i>	Dhiraj Mhetre <i>DESAI & DIWANJI</i>	Sunil Kumar Pillai <i>EQUIFAX CREDIT INFORMATION SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Avnish Sharma <i>LEX MUNDI ASSOCIATION OF LAW FIRMS</i>
N.J. Jamadar <i>LAW AND JUDICIARY DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA</i>	Shinoj Koshy <i>LUTHRA & LUTHRA</i>	Avinash Mishra <i>SHETTY INFRA SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Himani Sharma <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>	Himani Sharma <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>
Haresh Jani <i>HARESH JANI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Vinod Kothari <i>VINOD KOTHARI & CO. PRACTICING COMPANY SECRETARIES</i>	Gunjan Mishra <i>LUTHRA & LUTHRA</i>	Kartika Sharma <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>	Kartika Sharma <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>
Rajat Jariwal <i>KHAITAN & CO.</i>	Gordhan Kukreja <i>LAWYER</i>	Sushmit Mishra <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>	Manoranjan Sharma <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>	Manoranjan Sharma <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>
H. Jayesh <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Ajai Kumar <i>Mihir Kumar</i>	Saurabh Misra <i>SAURABH MISRA & ASSOCIATES, INTERNATIONAL LAWYERS</i>	Rashmi Pradeep <i>CYRIL AMARCHAND MANGALDAS</i>	Pramod Sharma <i>NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL</i>
Saloni Jhaveri <i>SKP BUSINESS CONSULTING LLP</i>	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES, MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Ajay Mital <i>SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION</i>	Anush Raajan <i>BHARUCHA & PARTNERS</i>	Rupali Sharma <i>KOCHHAR & CO.</i>
Abhijit Joglekar <i>RELIANCE INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.</i>	Mrinal Kumar <i>LEX MUNDI ASSOCIATION OF LAW FIRMS</i>	Hemal Modi <i>SHARP & TANNAN GROUP - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Ajay Raghavan <i>TRILEGAL</i>	Saumya Sharma <i>LEXCOUNSEL</i>
Kunal Juneja <i>MPC LEGAL, SOLICITORS & ADVOCATES</i>	Mrityunjay Kumar <i>DHINGRA & SINGH - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Priyanka Mongia <i>MPC LEGAL, SOLICITORS & ADVOCATES</i>	Ravishankar Raghavan <i>MAJMUADAR & PARTNERS</i>	Sunil Dutt Sharma <i>KHALSHA CONSULTANCY SERVICES</i>
Manmohan Juneja <i>MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS</i>	Mukesh Kumar <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>	Avikshit Moral <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Faridi Saifur Rahman <i>SHARDUL AMARCHAND MANGALDAS & CO. ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>	K.M. Aasim Shehzad <i>BFS LEGAL</i>
Sumeet Kachwaha <i>KACHWAHA & PARTNERS</i>	S. Kumar <i>CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE & CUSTOMS</i>	Jitendra Mukadam <i>GODBOLE MUKADAM AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Hafeez Rahman <i>I.L.A. PASRICH & COMPANY</i>	Amit Shetye <i>LUTHRA & LUTHRA</i>
Ravi Kaimal <i>KAIMAL CHATTERJEE AND ASSOCIATES</i>	ShrutiKirti Kumar <i>LEX MUNDI ASSOCIATION OF LAW FIRMS</i>	Aaheree Mukherjee <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Vasanth Rajasekeran <i>SETH DUA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Arjun Shiv <i>TRILEGAL</i>
Ashish Kalia <i>DEBTS RECOVERY TRIBUNAL MUMBAI</i>	Shreedhar T. Kunte <i>SHARP & TANNAN GROUP - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Rajat Mukherjee <i>KHAITAN & CO.</i>	Madhav Raman <i>ANAGRAM ARCHITECTS</i>	Vishnu Shriram <i>PHOENIX LEGAL</i>
Jilas Kannappan <i>PIONEER ELECTRICALS</i>	Samira Lalani <i>PHOENIX LEGAL</i>	Aanchal Mulick <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>	Yomesh Rao <i>YOMES CONSULTANTS LTD.</i>	Prabhat Shroff <i>SHROFF & COMPANY</i>
Atul Kansal <i>INDUS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Harjeet Lall <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>	KVR Murty <i>MINISTRY OF CORPORATE AFFAIRS - REGISTRAR</i>	Siva Rathinam <i>VINZAS SOLUTIONS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED</i>	Vikram Shroff <i>NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES</i>
Apurva Kanvinde <i>JURIS CORP</i>	Minhaz Lokhandwala <i>DESAI & DIWANJI</i>	Ramaswami N. <i>DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND STAMPS</i>	Ankita Ray <i>CYRIL AMARCHAND MANGALDAS</i>	Aakarsh Singh <i>UNIVERSAL LEGAL</i>
Aditi Kapoor <i>TRILEGAL</i>	Rajiv K. Luthra <i>LUTHRA & LUTHRA</i>	Ramesh Nair <i>FIABCI, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION</i>	C.K. Reejonia <i>DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE</i>	Sajai Singh <i>J. SAGAR ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>
Vishal Kapoor <i>MINISTRY OF POWER</i>	Manish Madhukar <i>INFINI JURIDIQUE</i>	Aseem Nanda <i>CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE & CUSTOMS</i>	Suparna Sachar <i>O.P. KHAITAN & CO.</i>	Sandeep Singh <i>HARTING INDIA PVT. LTD.</i>
Kripi Kathuria <i>PHOENIX LEGAL</i>	Ruchi Mahajan <i>SHARDUL AMARCHAND MANGALDAS & CO. ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>	Nikhil Narayanan <i>KHAITAN & CO.</i>	Shamik Saha <i>MPC LEGAL, SOLICITORS & ADVOCATES</i>	Shakti Singh Champawat <i>DESAI & DIWANJI</i>
Charandeep Kaur <i>TRILEGAL</i>	Divya Malcolm <i>KOCHHAR & CO.</i>	Vaibhav Nautiyal <i>INDUS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Priyanka Sahi <i>WALKER CHANDIOK & CO. LLP</i>	Mukesh Singhal <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>
Mitalee Kaushal <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>	Neeraj Mandloi <i>MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT</i>	Harshakumar Nikam <i>MAHARASHTRA SALES TAX DEPARTMENT</i>	Keshav Saini <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>	Neha Sinha <i>LUTHRA & LUTHRA</i>
Sanjay Kesari <i>EMPLOYEE'S PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION</i>	Shilpa Mankar Ahluwalia <i>SHARDUL AMARCHAND MANGALDAS & CO. ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>	Sanjay Nirmal <i>MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI</i>	Abhishek Saket <i>INFINI JURIDIQUE</i>	Praveer Sinha <i>TATA POWER DELHI DISTRIBUTION LIMITED</i>
Giridhar Kesavan <i>VINZAS SOLUTIONS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED</i>	Vipender Mann <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>	Shubham Palwal <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>	Sirisha Sampat <i>KANGA & CO.</i>	Sanjay Sinha <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT</i>
Gautam Khatan <i>O.P. KHAITAN & CO.</i>	Janak Pandya <i>NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES</i>	Janak Pandya <i>NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES</i>	Hitesh Sanghvi <i>HITESH SANGHVI LAW OFFICES</i>	Vineet Sinha <i>KNM & PARTNERS</i>
Farrukh Khan <i>DIWAN ADVOCATES</i>			Piyush Sangoi <i>BSR & ASSOCIATES LLP</i>	Vinay Sirohia <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>
			Rakesh Saraf <i>POWER POST</i>	Veena Sivaramakrishnan <i>JURIS CORP</i>
			Navneet Sehdev <i>AXON PARTNERS LLP</i>	Preetha Soman <i>NISHITH DESAI ASSOCIATES</i>
				Rajeev Sood <i>NEW DELHI MUNICIPAL COUNCIL</i>

Akash Suri YES BANK	Bambang Agus Mulyono <i>CJ KOREA EXPRESS INDONESIA</i>	Ahmad Fikri Assegaf <i>ASSEGAF HAMZAH & PARTNERS</i>	Henry N. Kurniawan <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Lila Pratiwi <i>MARKUS SAJOGO & ASSOCIATES</i>
Manpreet Singh Suri KNM & PARTNERS	Eko Agus Supiadi <i>UPTSA (UNIT PELAYANAN TERPADU SATU ATAP) SURABAYA TIMUR (ONE-STOP SHOP)</i>	Aprilda Fiona Butarbutar <i>APRILDA FIONA & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Winita E. Kusnandar <i>KUSNANDAR & CO.</i>	Njoto Rachmat <i>ARCHITECT</i>
Medha Tamhanekar UNIVERSAL LEGAL	Andri Alfian <i>PT PAPUA UTAMA MITRA</i>	Widigdyta Gitaya <i>WSG & COMPANY</i>	Diana Kusumasari <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Atiek Rahayu <i>WELGROW</i>
Rajesh Tayal KNM & PARTNERS	Musdig Ali Suhudi <i>UKL/UPL</i>	S. Hadarisa <i>MINISTRY OF LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS</i>	Jatmiko Adi Kusumo <i>INTERIORS & CO.</i>	Tantia Rahmadhina <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO & PARTNERS</i>
Praveen Teotia GRANT THORNTON INDIA LLP	Lia Alizia <i>MAKARIM & TAIRA S.</i>	Michael Hadi <i>PT KREDIT BIRO INDONESIA JAYA (KBIJ)</i>	Andrew L. Las Marias <i>HEINT LOGISTICS</i>	Ilman Rakhmat <i>KARIMSYAH LAW FIRM</i>
Chetan Thakkar KANGA & CO.	Irina Anindita <i>MAKARIM & TAIRA S.</i>	Retno Hadi <i>PT TIGA BINTANG BERKARYA</i>	Eddy M. Leks <i>LEKS&CO LAWYERS</i>	Dhamma Ratna <i>NOTARIS & PEJABAT PEMBUAT AKTA TANAH</i>
Pooja Thomas PHOENIX LEGAL	Charles Antoine Morgan Ludovic Guinot <i>ONLINEPAJAK</i>	Didik S. Hadiwidodo <i>PT NASIO KARYA PRATAMA</i>	Noorfini Luthfiani <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>	Sophia Rengganis <i>PwC INDONESIA</i>
Anurag Tomar ANURAG TOMAR & ASSOCIATES	Karina Antonio <i>NURJADIN SUMONO MULYADI & PARTNERS</i>	Mohammad Iqbal Hadromi <i>HADROMI & PARTNERS</i>	Syamsul Ma'Arif <i>MAHKAMAH AGUNG RI</i>	Rengganis Renanganis <i>HADROMI & PARTNERS</i>
Tanya Uppal KHAITAN & CO.	Almer Apon <i>IWA LOGISTICS (INDONESIA)</i>	Eko Haidi Prasetyo <i>SAMUDERA LOGISTICS</i>	Marvin Mahendra <i>MARKUS SAJOGO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Natalia Rizky <i>LEKS&CO LAWYERS</i>
Uday Y. Vajendar THE BRIHAN MUMBIAI ELECTRIC SUPPLY & TRANSPORT UNDERTAKING	Sasibi Ardi Hersubeno <i>PT PLN (PERSERO), EAST JAVA DISTRIBUTION</i>	Melanie Sri Handayani <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>	Benny Marbun <i>PT PLN (PERSERO) INDONESIA STATE ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>	Maheza Rumondor <i>ADAN KELANA HARYANTO & HERMANTO</i>
Chahat Varma INDIA LAW OFFICES	Hizkia Ardianto <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Siti Harni Harahap <i>PT PAM LYONNAISE JAYA</i>	Roni Marpaung <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>	Valdano Ruru <i>MAKARIM & TAIRA S.</i>
Dipankar Vig MPC LEGAL, SOLICITORS & ADVOCATES	Cucu Asmawati <i>SIMBOLON & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Dedet Hardiansyah <i>BUDIMAN AND PARTNERS</i>	Mario Maurice Sinjal <i>NURJADIN SUMONO MULYADI & PARTNERS</i>	Indra Safitri <i>HIMPUNAN KONSULTAN HUKUM PASAR MODAL</i>
Sameep Vijayvergiya DHINGRA & SINGH - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Surja Teruna Bahari <i>PT KREDIT BIRO INDONESIA JAYA (KBIJ)</i>	Abdul Haris M. Rum <i>HIMPUNAN KONSULTAN HUKUM PASAR MODAL</i>	Amalia Mayasari <i>SIMBOLON & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Ayundha Sahar <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>
Neetu Vinayek BSR & ASSOCIATES LLP	Hamud M. Balfas <i>LAW OFFICE OF HBP & PARTNERS</i>	Soeko Hartoyo <i>PT SUKOI TEKNIK JAYA</i>	Ella Melany <i>HANAFIAH PONGGAWA & PARTNERS</i>	Markus Sajogo <i>MARKUS SAJOGO & ASSOCIATES</i>
Rajiv Wadhwa PLVK POWER ENGINEERS & CONSULTANTS	Dimas Bimo <i>MELLI DARSA & CO.</i>	Stefanus Haryanto <i>ADAN KELANA HARYANTO & HERMANTO</i>	Any Miami <i>PwC INDONESIA</i>	Rika Salim <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>
Vasant Walavalkar NAVRANG ELECTRICALS	Fabian Buddy Pascoal <i>HANAFIAH PONGGAWA & PARTNERS</i>	Ibnu Hasan <i>TNB & PARTNERS</i>	Sri Mulyati <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO & PARTNERS</i>	Darma Saputra <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>
Abhijeet Yadav THE TATA POWER COMPANY LIMITED	Ita Budhi <i>PwC INDONESIA</i>	Anang Hidayat <i>PT GPI LOGISTICS</i>	Alexander Nainggolan <i>HADROMI & PARTNERS</i>	Perdana Saputro <i>MELLI DARSA & CO.</i>
Kuldeep Yadav ANURAG TOMAR & ASSOCIATES	Tony Budidjaja <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Brigitta Imam Rahayoe <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE & PARTNERS</i>	Alfin Nainggolan <i>MATARAM PARTNERS</i>	Mahardika K. Sardjana <i>HADIPUTRANTO, HADINOTO & PARTNERS</i>
Neha Yadav LEXCOUNSEL	Noor Budian <i>PT TERMINAL PETIKEMAS SURABAYA</i>	Adividya Imam Rahayu <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE & PARTNERS</i>	Dimas Nandaraditya <i>HADIPUTRANTO, HADINOTO & PARTNERS</i>	Brimanti Sari <i>MAKARIM & TAIRA S.</i>
Sanjay Yadav SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION	Heru Chandra <i>BPJS KETENAGAKERJAAN</i>	Deshaputra Intanperdana <i>HADROMI & PARTNERS</i>	Adam Nasution <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>	Nur Asyura Anggini Sari <i>BANK INDONESIA</i>
Mohammad Yunus INFINI JURIDIQUE	Juni Dani <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Iasnovidiar Jatmiko <i>BPJS KETENAGAKERJAAN</i>	Chandra Nataadmadja <i>SURIA NATAADMADJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Erwin Setiawan <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>
INDONESIA				
ABDIANGUN BUANA ERNST & YOUNG	Melli Darsa <i>MELLI DARSA & CO.</i>	Virgo Eresta Jaya <i>MINISTRY OF AGRARIAN AND SPATIAL PLANNING / NATIONAL LAND AGENCY</i>	Suria Nataadmadja <i>SURIA NATAADMADJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Indra Setiawan <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
FIABI, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION	Nawangwulan Dilla Savitri <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO & PARTNERS</i>	Edy Junaedji <i>BADAN PELAYANAN TERPADU SATU PINTU (BPTS)</i>	Ratih Nawangsari <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>	Agatha Sherly <i>LEKS&CO LAWYERS</i>
ZAMRO LAW FIRM	Mita Djajadiredja <i>MD & PARTNERS</i>	Fitra Kadarina <i>KEMENTERIAN HUKUM DANHAM, DIREKTORAT JENDERAL ADMINISTRASI HUKUM</i>	Mia Noni Yuniar <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE & PARTNERS</i>	Taji M. Sianturi
Wiba Abdul Habib PT PEMBINA JAYA	Natasha Djamin <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>	Brinanda Lidwina Kaliska <i>MAKARIM & TAIRA S.</i>	Reza Nurtjahja <i>PT URBANE INDONESIA</i>	Bonar Sidabukke <i>SIDABUKKE CLAN & ASSOCIATES</i>
Hizban Achmad INDO KARYA SENIOR	Bama Djokonugroho <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Iswahjudi A. Karim <i>KARIMSYAH LAW FIRM</i>	Oza Olavia <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Bernard Sihombing <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>
Adhika Aditya OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS	Kristen Natalia Doloksaribu <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Mirza Karim <i>KARIMSYAH LAW FIRM</i>	Inta Oviyantari <i>PTI ARCHITECTS</i>	Obed Simamora <i>LAND OFFICE OF SURABAYA</i>
Shamy Adrian LAND DATA AND INFORMATION CENTER	Lukman Efendi <i>WILMAR NABATI INDONESIA</i>	Shakuntala Kartika <i>PTI ARCHITECTS</i>	Heru Tambudi <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Ricardo Simanjuntak <i>RICARDO SIMANJUNTAK & PARTNERS</i>
Nafis Adwani ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Asma El Moufti <i>PT TERMINAL PETIKEMAS SURABAYA</i>	Anita Lucia Kendarto <i>NOTARIS & PEJABAT PEMBUAT AKTA TANAH</i>	Ay Tjihing Phan <i>PwC INDONESIA</i>	Berlian Dumaris Simbolon <i>SURIA NATAADMADJA & ASSOCIATES</i>
Widiarhami Afandiara NURJADIN SUMONO MULYADI & PARTNERS	Goesyen Erinda Resti <i>LEKS&CO LAWYERS</i>	Theo Kumait <i>INDONESIAN LOGISTICS AND FORWARDERS ASSOCIATION</i>	Abraham Pierre <i>KPMG</i>	Kristian Takaso Simorangkir <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>
Monica Agnes MARKUS SAJOGO & ASSOCIATES	Ahmad Fadli <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE & PARTNERS</i>	Nurulita Fauzie <i>BRIGITTA I. RAHAYOE & PARTNERS</i>	Deni Prasetyo <i>LAND DATA AND INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Fransiska Sintia <i>LEKS&CO LAWYERS</i>
	Edly Febrian Widjaja <i>BUDIDAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>		Erwin Prasetyo <i>PDAM SURYA SEMBADA SURABAYA</i>	Nadia Soraya <i>TNB & PARTNERS</i>

Selvana Stella Oviona <i>BUDIDJAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Nazem Ahmadian Nasr Abadi <i>MORTEZA</i>	Gholam Reza Malekshoar <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN</i>	Azadeh Zarei	Haider Salman <i>BHC LAW FIRM LLC</i>
Fath Armada Sukardi <i>HANAFIAH PONGGAWA & PARTNERS</i>	Behrooz Akhlaghi <i>INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Mahdi Mas'oudnia <i>PASAK ENDURING DESIGN</i>	IRAQ	Zuhair Jassim Shahad <i>BHC LAW FIRM LLC</i>
Debby Sulaiman <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>	Ali Amani <i>DAYA-RAHYAFT AUDITING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES</i>	Seyed Ali Mirshafiei <i>TEHRAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRIES AND MINES</i>	<i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Stephan Stephan <i>PwC JORDAN</i>
Iwan Supriadi <i>MINISTRY OF LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS</i>	Mohammad Amin <i>SAHRA RUBY CO.</i>	Fatemeh Sadat Mirsharifi <i>MINISTRY OF COMMERCE</i>	<i>MINISTRY OF ELECTRICITY</i>	Khaled Yaseen <i>AL-SAQER ADVISERS & LEGAL SERVICES</i>
Bambang Suprijanto <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Hassan Amirshahi <i>LAW OFFICES OF DR. HASSAN AMIRSHAH</i>	Ali Mirzaie <i>STATE ORGANIZATION FOR REGISTRATION OF DEEDS & PROPERTIES</i>	Hussam Arzooghi Abbas <i>HUSSAM ARZOOGHI ABAS FIRM FOR LEGAL AND INVESTMENT SERVICES AND REAL ESTATE DEVELOPMENT</i>	Haythem Zayed <i>PwC JORDAN</i>
Atik Susanto <i>OENTOENG SURIA & PARTNERS</i>	Gholam Ali Asghari <i>GREAT TEHRAN ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY (GTEDC)</i>	Hamidreza Mokhtarian <i>MEHR INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM</i>	Nisreen Abdul Hadi Al-Hamiri <i>NISREEN ABDUL HADI AL-HAMIRI LAW OFFICE</i>	Dawood Zayer <i>DAWWOD</i>
Otje Sutedi <i>PTI ARCHITECTS</i>	Fatemeh Bagherzadeh <i>FARJAM LAW OFFICE</i>	Mehdi Mousavi <i>PERSOL CORPORATION</i>	Omar Abidi <i>OHA GROUP</i>	IRELAND
Teuku Anggra Syahreza <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Rambod Barandoust <i>CONSULTANT</i>	Rasoul Nowrouzi	Riyadh Adnan Al-Haidary <i>USAID-TARABOT</i>	<i>ESB INTERNATIONAL</i>
Hefli Syarifuddin <i>COMMUNICATION AND INFORMATION AGENCY</i>	Hamid Berenjkar <i>OFFICE OF HAMID BERENJKAR</i>	Zohreh Papi <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN</i>	Ammar Al Rubaye <i>INFRASTRUCTURE ASSOCIATES</i>	John Comerford <i>COONEY CAREY CONSULTING LTD., MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Kurniawan Tanzil <i>MAKARIM & TAIRA S.</i>	Pouyan Bohloul <i>BOHLOUL & ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE</i>	Farmand Pourkaram <i>TEHRAN MUNICIPALITY - FANAVARAN SHAHR CO.</i>	Abdulhussein Alanbaki <i>OFFICE OF THE PRIME MINISTER</i>	Miranda Cox <i>PwC IRELAND</i>
Doddy Tjahjadi <i>PTI ARCHITECTS</i>	Gholam-Hosseini Davani <i>DAYA-RAHYAFT AUDITING & MANAGEMENT SERVICES</i>	Mohsen Rahimi <i>MINISTRY OF COMMERCE</i>	Ibrahim Al-Baghddadi <i>AL-BARAKA GROUP</i>	Majella Crennan <i>PHILIP LEE SOLICITORS</i>
Bapak Tjahjadi Aquasa <i>WISMA</i>	Morteza Dezfooulian <i>MORTEZA</i>	Mehdi Ranjkesh <i>ZAGROS PETROCHEMICAL COMPANY</i>	Rashid Al-Khoury <i>RASHID AL-KHOURI</i>	Kiara Daly <i>DANIEL MURPHY SOLICITORS</i>
Gatot Triprasetio <i>RIVAI TRIPRASETIO & PARTNERS</i>	Meysam Doaei <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ORGANIZATION OF IRAN</i>	Yahya Rayegani <i>FARJAM LAW OFFICE</i>	Adil Al-Lami <i>MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL</i>	Helen Dixon <i>COMPANIES REGISTRATION OFFICE</i>
Wahyu Tunggono <i>ARAMEX INTERNATIONAL INDONESIA</i>	Sepideh Dowlatshahi <i>BARTAR ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Atiye Rezaei <i>DR. SHIRIN O. ENTEZARI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Zuhair Al-Maliki <i>AL-MALIKI & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Emma Doherty <i>MATHESON</i>
Runi Tusita <i>PwC INDONESIA</i>	Mahmoud Ebadi Tabrizi <i>LAW OFFICES M. EBADI TABRIZI & ASSOCIATES ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Mohammad Rezayi Mazrae <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN</i>	Azhar Al-Rubaie <i>MINISTRY OF PLANNING</i>	Gavin Doherty <i>EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS</i>
Trina Uli <i>SIMBOLON & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Maryam Ebrahimi <i>TEHRAN STOCK EXCHANGE (TSE)</i>	Mohammadali Rezvani <i>AZIN SAMAND PETROCHEMICAL COMPLEX</i>	Meervat Altaie <i>UNIVERSITY OF BAGHDAD</i>	Eoghan Doyle <i>PHILIP LEE SOLICITORS</i>
Francine E.V. W. <i>BUDIDJAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Jamal Seifi <i>DR. JAMAL SEIFI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Jamal Seifi <i>INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Hussam Arzoky <i>HUSSAM ARZOKY LAW FIRM</i>	Jamie Ensor <i>DILLON EUSTACE</i>
Ilham Wahyu <i>ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mahmoud Eskandari	Amin Setayesh <i>STATE ORGANIZATION FOR REGISTRATION OF DEEDS & PROPERTIES</i>	Munther B. Hamoudi <i>AL-BURAQ ENGINEERING CO. LTD.</i>	Garret Farrelly <i>MATHESON</i>
A.R. Kendista Wantah <i>FRANS WINARTA & PARTNERS</i>	Seyyed Amir Hossein Etesami <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ORGANIZATION OF IRAN</i>	Encyeh Seyed Sadr <i>INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE OF DR. BEHROOZ AKHLAGHI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Majid Botrous <i>LEGAL CONSULTATIONS</i>	Frank Flanagan <i>MASON HAYES & CURRAN</i>
Tjuk Winarjo <i>PT CATUPILAR PERKASATANGGUH</i>	Maryam Farah Bakhsh <i>TRADE PROMOTION OF IRAN</i>	Ahmad Shabanifard <i>AZIN SAMAND PETROCHEMICAL COMPLEX</i>	Ahmed Dawood <i>BHC LAW FIRM LLC</i>	Paul Gough <i>EUGENE F. COLLINS SOLICITORS</i>
Frans Winarta <i>FRANS WINARTA & PARTNERS</i>	Zahra Farzalian <i>MORTEZA</i>	Mir Shahbz Shafe'e <i>Dr. JAMAL SEIFI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Kamal Field Al-Basri <i>IRAQI INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC REFORM</i>	Orla Hegarty <i>UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN - RICHVIEW</i>
Garry Wood <i>PT KREDIT BIRO INDONESIA JAYA (KBI)</i>	Hosein Hashemi <i>AZIN SAMAND PETROCHEMICAL COMPLEX</i>	Farzan Shirvanbeigi <i>TEHRAN MUNICIPALITY - FANAVARAN SHAHR CO.</i>	Ali Fikiki <i>MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL</i>	Thomas Johnson <i>IRISH BUILDING CONTROL INSTITUTE</i>
Pelopor Yanto <i>LAND DATA AND INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Rahman Hassan <i>AUSTRALIAN GREEN MANAGEMENT & SERVICES</i>	Rajat Ratan Sinha <i>RCS PVT. LTD. BUSINESS ADVISORS GROUP</i>	Ahmed J. Hammoodi <i>BHC LAW FIRM LLC</i>	William Johnston <i>ARTHUR COX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Jono Yeo <i>BUDIDJAJA & ASSOCIATES</i>	S. Hamid Hosseini <i>MERAAT INTERNATIONAL GROUP</i>	Pedram Soltani <i>PERSOL CORPORATION</i>	Alain Hannouche <i>HANNOUCHE ASSOCIATES</i>	Emann Madden <i>COONEY CAREY CONSULTING LTD., MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Akbar Zainuri <i>KARIMSYAH LAW FIRM</i>	Nasim Jahanbani <i>GREAT TEHRAN ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY (GTEDC)</i>	Mohammad Reza Talischchi <i>PERSOL CORPORATION</i>	Ihsan Jaseem <i>MINISTRY OF PLANNING</i>	Mary Liz Mahony <i>ARTHUR COX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Andi Zulfikar <i>MATARAM PARTNERS</i>	Mohammad Jallili <i>IRAN CREDIT SCORING</i>	Ebrahim Tavakoli <i>BARTAR ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Firas Jawhari <i>BHC LAW FIRM LLC</i>	Joseph Maxwell <i>MASON HAYES & CURRAN</i>
Jacob Zwaan <i>KPMG</i>	Jafar Jamali	Vrej Torossian <i>TOROSSIAN, AVANESSIAN & ASSOCIATE</i>	Deepak John <i>SKYBRIDGE FREIGHT SOLUTIONS LLC</i>	Bernadette McArdle <i>IRISH BUILDING CONTROL INSTITUTE</i>
IRAN, ISLAMIC REP.	Majid Amin Javahery <i>ARCHITECT</i>	Gholam Hossein Vahidi	Sham Kadern <i>MINISTRY OF PLANNING</i>	Brid McCoy <i>AMOSS SOLICITORS</i>
SADID BAR INTERNATIONAL TRANSPORT	Seyed Hamid Jelveh Tabaei <i>REGISTRATION COMPANIES OFFICE</i>	Hamid Vakili <i>OFOGHE SABZ IDALAT</i>	Zaid Mahdi <i>TRADE FINANCE</i>	Thomas McGovern <i>COMPANIES REGISTRATION OFFICE</i>
Morteza Adab <i>REGISTRATION COMPANIES OFFICE</i>	Tannaz Jourabchi-Eisenhut <i>AMEREELLER LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Ahmad Yousefi <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Mzahim Mahmud Al-Jubouri <i>SANAD LAW FIRM</i>	Eddie Meaney <i>DHL EXPRESS</i>
Ali Ahmad <i>TEHRAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRIES AND MINES</i>	Majid Mahallati <i>A.M. MAHALLATI & Co.</i>		Rasha Nadeem <i>BHC LAW FIRM LLC</i>	Kevin Meehan <i>COMPASS MARITIME LTD.</i>
Mousa Ahmadi <i>ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY OF ABHAR BRANCH</i>			Ammar Naji <i>CONFLUENT LAW GROUP</i>	Heather Murphy <i>MATHESON</i>
			Rukaya Sabaah <i>MINISTRY OF PLANNING</i>	James O'Boyle <i>THE PROPERTY REGISTRATION AUTHORITY</i>
			Kareem Salim Kamash <i>GENERAL COMMISSION FOR TAXES</i>	

Brian O'Malley <i>A&L GOODBODY</i>	Zeev Katz <i>PwC ISRAEL</i>	Edoardo Augusto Bononi <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Marco Cosa <i>NCTM - STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO</i>	Federico Guasti <i>STUDIO LEGALE GUASTI</i>
David O'Shea <i>DILLON EUSTACE</i>	Vered Kirshner <i>PwC ISRAEL</i>	Gianluca Borraccia <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Salvatore Cuzzocrea <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Francesco Iodice <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP</i>
Laura O'Sullivan <i>MASON HAYES & CURRAN</i>	Adam Klein <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.</i>	Giampaolo Botta <i>SPEDIPORTO - ASSOCIAZIONE SPEDIZIONIERI CORRIERI E TRASPORTATORI DI GENOVA</i>	Carlo Andrea D'Addetta <i>STUDIO LEGALE GHIA</i>	Alberto Irace <i>ACEA S.P.A.</i>
Maurice Phelan <i>MASON HAYES & CURRAN</i>	Gideon Koren <i>GIDEON KOREN & CO. LAW OFFICES</i>	Giuseppe Broccoli <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Mariano Davoli <i>PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI & ASSOCIATI</i>	Giovanni Izzo <i>ABBATESCIANNI STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO</i>
Kevin Quinn <i>PwC IRELAND</i>	Hadas Lavi <i>S. HOROWITZ & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Carlo Andrea Bruno <i>GITI RAYNAUD AND PARTNERS - STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Antonio De Martinis <i>SPASARO DE MARTINIS LAW FIRM</i>	Ignazio La Candia <i>PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI & ASSOCIATI</i>
Laura Rafferty <i>ARTHUR COX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Michelle Liberman <i>S. HOROWITZ & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Alice Buonafede <i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>	Raffaella De Martinis <i>SPASARO DE MARTINIS LAW FIRM</i>	Carlo Lanfranchi <i>BANK OF ITALY</i>
Geoffrey Rooney <i>AMOSS SOLICITORS</i>	Liron Mendelevitz <i>KRIEF ALBATROS LTD.</i>	Raffaele Buono <i>DLA PIPER</i>	Francesca De Paolis <i>STUDIO LEGALE SALVATORE DE PAOLIS</i>	Cecilia Laporta <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>
Gavin Simons <i>AMOSS SOLICITORS</i>	Mirit Reif <i>DAVE WOLF & CO. LAW FIRM</i>	Claudio Burello <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Rosa Del Sindaco <i>ABBATESCIANNI STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO</i>	Luca Lavazza <i>PwC ITALY</i>
Mark Traynor <i>A&L GOODBODY</i>	Doron Sadan <i>PwC ISRAEL</i>	Sergio Calderara <i>ALMAVINIA S.P.A. - DIREZIONE AFFARI LEGALI</i>	Claudio Di Falco <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP</i>	Francesco Liberatori <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP</i>
Joe Tynan <i>PwC IRELAND</i>	Dan Sharon <i>DAN SHARON - CONSULTING ENGINEERS 2002 LTD.</i>	Federico Calloni <i>STUDIO CORNO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Federico Di Mario <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Stefano Liotta <i>ACEA S.P.A.</i>
Maeve Walsh <i>AMOSS SOLICITORS</i>	Daniel Singerman <i>COFACEBDI</i>	Flavia Caltagirone <i>PIROLA PENNUTO ZEI & ASSOCIATI</i>	Alessio Di Pietro <i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>	Alessandra Liverri <i>A. HARTRODT ITALIANA SRL</i>
Patrick Walshe <i>PHILIP LEE SOLICITORS</i>	Yoav Tal <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Claudia Caluori <i>STUDIO DELL'AVVOCATO ANTICH</i>	Silvia Digregorio <i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>	Agostina Lodde <i>ITALIAN REVENUE AGENCY</i>
Emma Weld-Moore <i>DANIEL MURPHY SOLICITORS</i>	Eran Taussig <i>BALTER, GUTH, ALONI LLP</i>	Gianluca Cambareri <i>TONUCCI & PARTNERS</i>	Giampiero Ferrante <i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>	Enrico Lodi <i>CRIF S.P.A.</i>
Maura Young <i>IRISH CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Eylam Weiss <i>WEISS-PORAT & CO.</i>	Antonio Campagnoli <i>IL PUNTO REAL ESTATE ADVISOR</i>	Maddalena Ferrari <i>STUDIO NOTARIE FERRARI</i>	Stefano Macchi di Cellere <i>Federico Magi</i>
ISRAEL	Zeev Weiss <i>WEISS-PORAT & CO.</i>	Paolo Canal <i>ORSINGER ORTU - AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	Guiseppe Ferrelli <i>STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA</i>	<i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>
Jacob Ben-Chitrit <i>YIGAL ARNON & CO.</i>	Ita Yarmish <i>DAVE WOLF & CO. LAW FIRM</i>	Stefano Cancarini <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Barbara Mirta Ferri <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	<i>Marta Magistrelli</i>
Jeremy Benjamin <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.</i>	Liora Zaaidman <i>MINISTRY OF CONSTRUCTION AND HOUSING</i>	Gianni Carfi Pavia <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Gianclaudio Fischetti <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	<i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>
Roy Caner <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	ITALY	Cecilia Carrara <i>LEGANCE AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	Emanuele Franchi <i>PwC ITALY</i>	<i>Luigi Fabrizio Mancuso</i>
Doron Cohen <i>RAVEH, RAVIV & CO. CPAs - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Fabrizio Acerbis <i>PwC ITALY</i>	Fausto Caruso <i>NCTM - STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO</i>	Pier Andrea Fré Torelli Massini <i>CARABA & PARTNERS</i>	<i>COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME</i>
Clifford Davis <i>S. HOROWITZ & CO., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Giuseppe Alemani <i>ALEMANI E ASSOCIATI</i>	Iacopo Aliverti Piuri <i>DENTONS</i>	Filippo Frigerio <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	<i>Fabrizio Mariotti</i>
Danny Dilbary <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.</i>	Alberto Angeloni <i>DLA PIPER</i>	Maria Castiglione Minischetti <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	MariaLaura Frittella <i>COCUZZA E ASSOCIATI</i>	<i>STUDIO LEGALE BELTRAMO</i>
Jonathan Finklestone <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Federico Antich <i>STUDIO DELL'AVVOCATO ANTICH</i>	Sandro Cecili <i>ACEA S.P.A.</i>	Bruno Frugis <i>ITALIAN REVENUE AGENCY</i>	<i>Donatella Martinelli</i>
Shmulik Fried <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.</i>	Umberto Antonelli <i>STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP</i>	Nicla Cimmino <i>PwC ITALY</i>	Paolo Gallarati <i>NCTM - STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO</i>	<i>TOMMASINI E MARTINELLI</i>
Viva Gayer <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Gea Arcella <i>CIVIL LAW NOTARY, LAWYER</i>	Ludovica Citarella <i>BDA STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Luca Gambini <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	<i>Dania Marzo</i>
Tuvia Geffen <i>NASCHITZ, BRANDES & CO.</i>	Claudia Adele Aresu <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Michela Cocchi <i>LADY LAWYER FOUNDATION</i>	Andrea Gangemi <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	<i>DE GAYARDON BUREAU</i>
Yael Gershon Gobernik <i>ERDINAST BEN NATHAN & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Roberto Argeri <i>CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP</i>	Domenico Coletta <i>ORSINGER ORTU - AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	Daniele Geronzi <i>LEGANCE AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI</i>	<i>Gennaro Mazzuoccolo</i>
Ido Gonen <i>GOLDFARB SELIGMAN & CO.</i>	Gaetano Arnò <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Stefano Colla <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Carlo Ghia <i>STUDIO LEGALE GHIA</i>	<i>NORTON ROSE FULBRIDGE</i>
Amos Hacmun <i>HESKIA-HACMUN LAW FIRM</i>	Romina Ballanca <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Mattia Colonnelli de Gasperis <i>COLONNELLI DE GASPERIS STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Enrica Maria Ghia <i>STUDIO LEGALE GHIA</i>	<i>Carloandrea Meacci</i>
Liron HaCohen <i>YIGAL ARNON & CO.</i>	Gianluigi Baroni <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Marianna Concordia <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Lucio Ghia <i>STUDIO LEGALE GHIA</i>	<i>Studio LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP</i>
Tova Hilman <i>HILMAN & CO. CPAs (Isr.)</i>	Alvise Becker <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Barbara Corsetti <i>PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Vincenzo Fabrizio Giglio <i>GIGLIO & SCOFERI STUDIO LEGALE DEL LAVORO</i>	<i>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</i>
Tali Hirsch-Sherman <i>MINISTRY OF CONSTRUCTION AND HOUSING</i>	Susanna Beltramo <i>STUDIO LEGALE BELTRAMO</i>	Filippo Corsini <i>CHIOMENTI STUDIO LEGALE</i>	Antonio Grieco <i>GRIECO E ASSOCIATI</i>	<i>Michele Melchiorri</i>
	Marta Bianchi <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Barbara Cortesi <i>STUDIO LEGALE GUASTI</i>	Valentino Guarini <i>PwC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES</i>	<i>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</i>

Valeria Morosini TOFFOLETTO E SOCI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS	Ginevra Sforza PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE	Terrence Cooper CRIF NM CREDIT ASSURE LIMITED	Alton Morgan LEGIS ALTON E. MORGAN & CO. ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Maxine Whyte TRANSWORLD SHIPPING SERVICES
Gianmatteo Nunziante NUNZIANTE MAGRONE	Massimiliano Silvetti LEGALIA	Angela Cousins-Robinson ROBINSON & CLARKE	Sharon Neil Smith PATTERSON MAIR HAMILTON	Dominic Williams JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED
Luca Occhetta PIROLA PENNUO ZEI & ASSOCIATI	Carlo Sinatra STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA	Sixto P. Coy MAIR RUSSELL GRANT THORNTON	Shyvonne Osborne FOGA DALEY	Lisa Williams LIVINGSTON, ALEXANDER & LEVY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
Fabiana Padroni RISTUCCIA & TUFARELLI	Manlio Carlo Soldani	Jemelia Davis THE SUPREME COURT OF JAMAICA	Gina Phillipps Black MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Anna-Kay Wilson KPMG
Marcella Panucci CONFINDUSTRIA (NATIONAL BUSINESS ASSOCIATION)	Luca Spallarossa APRILE S.P.A.	Everald Dewar BDO	Kevin Powell HYLTON POWELL	Kelley C. Wong LIVINGSTON, ALEXANDER & LEVY
Luciano Panzani COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME	Piervincenzo Spasaro SPASARO DE MARTINIS LAW FIRM	Natalie Farrell-Ross MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Norman Rainford KPMG	Anwar Wright TAYLOR-WRIGHT & COMPANY
Giovanni Patti ABBATESCIANI STUDIO LEGALE E TRIBUTARIO	Luca Sportelli CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP	Joan Ferreira-Dallas ABTAX LIMITED	Judith Ramlogan COMPANIES OFFICE	Scott Wright TAYLOR-WRIGHT & COMPANY
Fabio Pazzini SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP	Elisa Sulcis STUDIO LEGALE SINATRA	Nicole Foga FOGA DALEY	Paul Randall CREDITINFO JAMAICA LIMITED	Angelean Young-Daley JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED
Yan Pecoraro PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE	Maria Antonietta Tanico STUDIO LEGALE TANICO	Licia Gaye Taylor HYLTON & HYLTON	Hilary Reid MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	JAPAN
Federica Periale STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP	Andrea Tedoli STUDIO LEGALE TEDOLI	Gavin Goffe MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Natasha Richards MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	OSAKA BUSINESS AND INVESTMENT CENTER
Davide Petris PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE	Roberto Tirone COCUZZA E ASSOCIATI	Narda Graham DUNNCOX	Alexis Robinson MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Daiki Akahane LAW OFFICE OF DAIKI AKAHANE
Annamaria Pinzuti STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO AD ASHURST LLP	Francesca Tironi PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES	Herbert Winston Grant GRANT, STEWART, PHILLIPS & CO.	Camile Rose JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED	Takashi Asakura CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER CORP.
Emanuele Plini COURT OF APPEAL OF ROME	Giacinto Tommasini STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO TOMMASINI E MARTINELLI	Inger Hainsley-Bennett COMPANIES OFFICE	Judy Schoenbein LTN LOGISTICS INTERNATIONAL CO. LTD.	Takuya Eguchi MORI HAMADA & MATSUMOTO - OSAKA
Carlo Pozzi APRILE S.P.A.	Nicola Toscano STUDIO LEGALE ASSOCIATO	Howard Harris FOGA DALEY	Stephen Shelton MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Toyoki Emoto ATSUMI & SAKAI
Maria Progida PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES	Stefano Tresca ISEED	Marsha Henry-Martin MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Jacqueline Simmonds JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED	Miho Fujita ADACHI, HENDERSON, MIYATAKE & FUJITA
Daniele Raynaud GITTI RAYNAUD AND PARTNERS - STUDIO LEGALE	Luca Tufarelli RISTUCCIA & TUFARELLI	Michael Hylton HYLTON POWELL	John Sinclair SINCO'S ELECTRICAL CO. LTD.	Tatsuya Fukui ATSUMI & SAKAI
Consuelo Rigo CRIF S.P.A.	Valentina Turco PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE	Donovan Jackson NUNES, SCHOLEFIELD DELEON & CO.	Tana'ania Small Davis LIVINGSTON, ALEXANDER & LEVY ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Shinnosuke Fukuoka NISHIMURA & ASAHI
Marianna Ristuccia RISTUCCIA & TUFARELLI	Rachele Vacca de Dominicis GRIECO E ASSOCIATI	Beverly Johnson JLB INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Hakon Stefansson CREDITINFO JAMAICA LIMITED	Tomoko Goto NISHIMURA & ASAHI
Filippo Maria Riva PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES	Mario Valentini PIROLA PENNUO ZEI & ASSOCIATI	Jeniffer Johnson MAIR RUSSELL GRANT THORNTON	Craig Stephen CREDITINFO JAMAICA LIMITED	Norio Harasawa ISHIKAWA-GUMI LTD.
Carlo Romano PWC ITALY	Elisabetta Ventrella BDA STUDIO LEGALE	Andrea Kinach PATTERSON MAIR HAMILTON	Danielle Stiebel MYERS, FLETCHER & GORDON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Miyu Harashima ATSUMI & SAKAI
Cinzia Romano STUDIO LEGALE SALVATORE DE PAOLIS	Francesco Vitali ORSINGER ORTU - AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI	Michael Lake MICHAEL LAKE & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS	Melinda Lloyd JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED	Yuichi Hasegawa ADACHI, HENDERSON, MIYATAKE & FUJITA
Carlo Umberto Rossi ROSSI & ROSSI LAW FIRM	Emilio Zendri ACEA S.P.A.	Joan Lawla UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY	Marlon Lowe JAMAICA CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT	Shunsuke Honda ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE
Michele Salemo STUDIO CREDIT	Domenico Zuccaro CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP	Kenneth Lewis MAIR RUSSELL GRANT THORNTON	Zaila McCalla THE SUPREME COURT OF JAMAICA	Hiroshi Inagaki HANKYU HANSHIN EXPRESS CO. LTD.
Francesca Salerno LEGANCE AVVOCATI ASSOCIATI	Filippo Zucchinelli PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES	Filipino Zucchinelli PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES	Raynold McFarlane BDO	Katsunori Irie
Chiara Sannasardo PORTOLANO CAVALLO STUDIO LEGALE	JAMAICA	Sonia McFarlane BDO	Karlene McKenzie CABINET OFFICE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF JAMAICA	Akiko Isoyama PWC TAX JAPAN
Giuseppe Santarelli TONUCCI & PARTNERS	PwC JAMAICA	Andrine McLaren KINGSTON AND ST. ANDREW CORPORATION	Andrine McLaren KINGSTON AND ST. ANDREW CORPORATION	Jun Ito KINTETSU WORLD EXPRESS, INC.
Arturo Santoro PIROLA PENNUO ZEI & ASSOCIATI	RATTRAY PATTERSON RATTRAY	Horace Messado JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED	Humphrey Taylor TAYLOR CONSTRUCTION LTD.	Ruriko Iwase ATSUMI & SAKAI
Marzio Scaglioni PWC - TAX AND LEGAL SERVICES	Rollin Alveranga MINISTRY OF WATER, LAND, ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE	Errington Case JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED	Marvalyn Taylor-Wright TAYLOR-WRIGHT & COMPANY	Saki Kamiya ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE
Alice Scotti STUDIO LEGALE GUASTI	Althea Anderson LEX CARIBBEAN		Lorraine Thomas-Harris LTN LOGISTICS INTERNATIONAL CO. LTD.	Hiroshi Kasuya BAKER & MCKENZIE
Lidia Maria Sella STUDIO CORNO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Danielle Archer THE LAW PRACTICE OF DANIELLE S. ARCHER & ASSOCIATES		Lori-Ann Thompson NATIONAL LAND AGENCY	Yuijiro Katayama NISHIMURA & ASAHI
Susanna Servi CARABBA & PARTNERS	Christopher Bovell DUNNCOX		Cheriese Walcott NATIONAL LAND AGENCY	Takahiro Kato NISHIMURA & ASAHI
	Raymond Campbell KPMG			Toriuchi Kazuki ALPS LOGISTICS CO. LTD.
	Errington Case JAMAICA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LIMITED			Takumi Kiriyama NISHIMURA & ASAHI

Akemi Kito PwC TAX JAPAN	Junya Suzuki BAKER & MCKENZIE	Tariq Hammouri HAMMOURI & PARTNERS	Emil Halileyevich Abdrazhitov NOTARY ASSOCIATION OF THE ALMATY CITY	Galiya Joldybayeva MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY
Akiko Kobayashi CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER CORP.	Yasuyuki Suzuki STANDARD CHARTERED BANK	Nadim Hattar SAED KARAJAH & PARTNERS LLP	Sardar Inarovich Abdysadykov NOTARY ASSOCIATION OF THE ALMATY CITY	Mariyash Kabikenova REHABILITATION MANAGER
Masayoshi Kobayashi BAKER & MCKENZIE	Yoshimasa Takagi ERNST & YOUNG	George Hazboun INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATED FOR LEGAL CONSULTATIONS	Zhanar Abuova OLYMPLEX ADVISERS	Elena Kaeva PwC KAZAKHSTAN
Kazushige Koide KPMG TAX CORPORATION	Hiroaki Takahashi ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE	Reem Hazboun INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATED FOR LEGAL CONSULTATIONS	Kuben Abzhanov GRATA LAW FIRM	Marina Kahiani GRATA LAW FIRM
Yasuyuki Kuribayashi CITY-YUWA PARTNERS	Y. Takahashi SANKYU INC.	Farah Jaradat HAMMOURI & PARTNERS	Gaukhar Alibekova MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY	Aelita Kakimova MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY
Xiquan Li KANSAI ELECTRIC POWER	Junichi Tobimatsu MORI HAMADA & MATSUMOTO	Emad Karkar PwC JORDAN	Nurtay Almashov SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN	Assel Kalmagambetova SYNERGY PARTNERS LAW FIRM
Takafumi Masukata NIPPON EXPRESS CO., LTD.	Yamamoto Tomohide KINDEN CORP.	Basel Kawar KAWAR TRANSPORT & TRANSIT KARGO	Andrey Artyushenko ARTYUSHENKO & PARTNERS	Aybek Kambaliev GRATA LAW FIRM
Torahiko Masutani ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE	Takaharu Totsuka ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE	Rakan Kawar ALI SHARIF ZU'BI, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Samat Aryshev ALMATY ENERGO ZBYT	Mira Kamzina THE NATIONAL BANK OF KAZAKHSTAN
Hiroaki Matsui NISHIMURA & ASAHI	Naohiro Toyoda AEON FINANCIAL SERVICE CO. LTD.	Ahmed Khalifeh HAMMOURI & PARTNERS	Yermek Aubakirov MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.	Maksud Karaketov LINKAGE & MIND LLP
Naoki Matsuo CITY-YUWA PARTNERS	Yoshito Tsuji OBAYASHI CORPORATION	Ola Khalil CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN	Zarina Baikenzhina WHITE & CASE	Madina Kazhimova MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY
Nobuaki Matsuoka OSAKA INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICES	Shougo Tsuruta PwC TAX JAPAN	Hussein Kofahy CENTRAL BANK OF JORDAN	Yuriy Bakulin AEQUITAS LAW FIRM	Saltanat Kemalova SIGNUM LAW FIRM
Nakano Michiaki SOUTH TORANOMON LAW OFFICES	Shino Uenuma SOUTH TORANOMON LAW OFFICES	Rasha Laswi ZALLOUM & LASWI LAW FIRM	Samal Bapinova MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Aigoul Kenjebayeva DENTONS KAZAKHSTAN LLP
Hideaki Mitani MITANI CPA OFFICE	Kengo Watanabe ISHIKAWA-GUMI LTD.	Firas Malhas INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LEGAL ASSOCIATES	Aliya Baysenova ASSISTANCE, LLC LAW FIRM	Altynay Kenzhegalyeva STATE REVENUE COMMITTEE
Kumi Mitani MITANI CPA OFFICE	Tatsuya Yagishita DAITO KOUN CO. LTD.	Akio Yamamoto KAJIMA CORPORATION	Ruslan Bayshev ALMATY CITY COURT	Yekaterina Khamidullina AEQUITAS LAW FIRM
Kazuya Miyakawa PwC TAX JAPAN	Michi Yamagami ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE	Suhail Mjalli JORDAN CUSTOMS	Jypar Beishenaleeva MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.	Olga Kim COLIBRI LAW FIRM
Toshio Miyatake ADACHI, HENDERSON, MIYATAKE & FUJITA	Ibrahim Akel SAED KARAJAH & PARTNERS LLP	Omar B. Nairn NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Madiyar Bekturganov ORIS LAW FIRM	Stanislav Kocherov GEODERM+
Teppei Mogi OH-EBAISHI LPC & PARTNERS	Rawan Alameddin HAMMOURI & PARTNERS	Khalidoun Nazer KHALIFEH & PARTNERS LAWYERS	Arman Berdalın SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS	Marina Kolesnikova GRATA LAW FIRM
Michihiro Mori NISHIMURA & ASAHI	Eman M. Al-Dabbas INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS LEGAL ASSOCIATES	Majd Nemeh INTERNATIONAL CONSOLIDATED FOR LEGAL CONSULTATIONS	Berik Berkimbayev MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Askar Konyabayev GRATA LAW FIRM
Hirosato Nabika CITY-YUWA PARTNERS	Mazen Abu Alghanam WEBB FONTAINE	Main Nsair NSAIR & PARTNERS - LAWYERS	Aidyn Bikebayev SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS	Alexander Korobeinikov BAKER & MCKENZIE
Hideto Nakai KINDEN CORP.	Omar Aljazy ALJAZY & CO. ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Mutasem Nsair NSAIR & PARTNERS - LAWYERS	Aralym Bisembayeva MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY	Nurlan Kubenov KPMG KAZAKHSTAN
Miho Niunoya ATSUMI & SAKAI	Sabri S. Al-Khassib AMMAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Yotta Pantoula-Bulmer HAMMOURI & PARTNERS	Dmitriy Chumakov SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS	Gaukhar Kudaibergenova SIGNUM LAW FIRM
Takeshi Ogura OGURA ACCOUNTING OFFICE	Faris Allouzi KHALIFEH & PARTNERS LAWYERS	Ahmad Quandour KHALIFEH & PARTNERS LAWYERS	Dariga Dairambek GRATA LAW FIRM	Tair Kulteleev AEQUITAS LAW FIRM
Kotaro Okamoto ERNST & YOUNG	Naser Al-Mughrabi PwC JORDAN	Hala Qutteinah ALI SHARIF ZU'BI, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Saltanat Dauletova KPMG KAZAKHSTAN	Meruert Kulzhabaeva TIGROHAUD LLP
Takashi Saito CITY-YUWA PARTNERS	Nisreen Alsayed AMMAN CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Majdi Salata ALI SHARIF ZU'BI, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Aigerim Dyussembekova MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Asset Kussaiyn MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS, LTD.
Yuka Sakai CITY-YUWA PARTNERS	Essa Amawi AMAWI & CO. ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Omar Sawadha HAMMOURI & PARTNERS	Inara Elemanova COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Elena Lee MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.
Tetsumichi Sakaki WHITE & CASE	Mohammed Amawi AMAWI & CO. ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Nour Staitieh SAED KARAJAH & PARTNERS LLP	Sungat Essimkhanov NUCLEAR AND ENERGY SUPERVISION AND CONTROL COMMITTEE OF THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY	Kenneth Mack DECHERT
Sara Sandford GARVEY SCHUBERT BARER LAW FIRM	Khaled Asfour ALI SHARIF ZU'BI, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Stephan Stephan PwC JORDAN	Abzhanı Gali MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Aituar Madin ORIS LAW FIRM
Noriyuki Sano MAERSK LINE	Jafar Barham JORDAN CUSTOMS	Azzam Zalloum ZALLOUM & LASWI LAW FIRM	Alexander Giros PARADIGM PROJECTS KAZAKHSTAN	Madina Makanova
Hitoshi Saruwatari KINKI TSUKAN CO. LTD.	Rasha Dabbouri NATHAN INC.	Kareem Zureikat KAZAKHSTAN	Umigul Gubasheva MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY	Yerzhan Manasov LINKAGE & MIND LLP
Kei Sasaki ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE	Michael T. Dabit MICHAEL T. DABIT & ASSOCIATES	ALMATY BAR ASSOCIATION KEDEN CUSTOMS MUNICIPAL STATE ENTERPRISE - ALMATY DEPARTMENT OF ARCHITECTURE AND URBAN PLANNING	Ardak Idayatova AEQUITAS LAW FIRM Majra Iskakova ALMATY ENERGO ZBYT Yerlan Ismailov THE NATIONAL BANK OF KAZAKHSTAN Kamil Jambakiyev NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT	Marzhan Mardenova PwC KAZAKHSTAN
Tetsuro Sato BAKER & MCKENZIE				Yessen Massalın OLYMPLEX ADVISERS
Takashi Shinbo ISHIKAWA-GUMI LTD.				Assel Meiramgaliyeva COLIBRI LAW FIRM
Yuri Sugano NISHIMURA & ASAHI				Nurhan Mermankulov SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN
Sachiko Sugawara ATSUMI & SAKAI				Victor Mokrousov DECHERT KAZAKHSTAN LLP
				Elena Motilova MINISTRY OF FINANCE

Assel Mukhambekova <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>	Nurysh Tasbulatov <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Simon B. Luseno <i>KENYA REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>	Peter Momanyi <i>MAZARS KENYA</i>	Ishwarlal B. Patel <i>MANGAT I.B. PATEL & PARTNERS</i>
Daniyar Mussakhan <i>NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT</i>	Olzhas Taubayev <i>JSC STATE CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Mohammed A. Bhatti <i>BHATTI ELECTRICAL LIMITED</i>	Bernard Muange <i>ANJARWALLA & KHANNA ADVOCATES</i>	Charlotte Patrick-Patel <i>ANJARWALLA & KHANNA ADVOCATES</i>
Oxana Neplokhova <i>TRANSATLANTIC LONE STAR</i>	Zhandos Taukenov <i>OLYMPLEX ADVISERS</i>	Philip Coulson <i>COULSON HARNEY ADVOCATES</i>	George Muchiri <i>DALY & FIGGIS ADVOCATES</i>	Andrew Ragui <i>PwC KENYA</i>
Yevgeniya Nossova <i>DECHERT</i>	Lailiya S. Tleulina <i>AEQUITAS LAW FIRM</i>	Oliver Fowler <i>KAPLAN & STRATTON</i>	Titus Mukora <i>PwC KENYA</i>	Dominic Rebello <i>ANJARWALLA & KHANNA ADVOCATES</i>
Kulzhiyan Nurbayeva <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Dana Tokmurzina <i>PwC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Bernice Gachagu <i>COMPANIES REGISTRY</i>	John Muoria <i>WARUHII K'OWADE & NG'ANG'A ADVOCATES</i>	Sonal Sejpal <i>ANJARWALLA & KHANNA ADVOCATES</i>
Kulbatyrov Nurlan <i>ECONOMIC RESEARCH INSTITUTE KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Yerzhan Toktarov <i>SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS</i>	Peter Gachuhu <i>KAPLAN & STRATTON</i>	Murigu Murithi <i>ARCS AFRICA</i>	Deepen Shah <i>WALKER KONTOS ADVOCATES</i>
Perizat Nurlankzy <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Botanova Totynur <i>STATE REVENUE COMMITTEE</i>	Roy Gathecha <i>DALY & FIGGIS ADVOCATES</i>	Benjamin Musau <i>B.M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Elizabeth Tanui <i>MILIMANI LAW COURTS IN NAIROBI</i>
Kanat Olzhabayev <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>	Victoriya Trofimovich <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Agnes Nicole Gichuhi <i>ANJARWALLA & KHANNA ADVOCATES</i>	Susan Mutinda <i>B.M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Joseph Taracha <i>CENTRAL BANK OF KENYA</i>
Ruslan Omarov <i>FIRST CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Aigul Turetayeva <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>	Francis Gichuki Kamau <i>A4 ARCHITECT</i>	Joshua Mutua <i>KENYA POWER</i>	Angela Waki <i>COULSON HARNEY ADVOCATES</i>
Sergazy Omash <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Amir Tussupkhanov <i>ORIS LAW FIRM</i>	Doris Gitau <i>COMPANIES REGISTRY</i>	Jane Mutulili <i>LA FEMME ENGINEERING SERVICES LTD.</i>	Eugene Waluvengo <i>KENYA TRADE NETWORK AGENCY (KENTRADE)</i>
Nadezhda Oparina <i>CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP</i>	Aigerim Tyurebayeva <i>KPMG KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Ben Githinji <i>APT DESIGN SOLUTIONS</i>	Jacob W. Mwangi <i>THE ARCHITECTURAL ASSOCIATION OF KENYA</i>	Margaret Wangu <i>COMPANIES REGISTRY</i>
Kazieva Orynkul <i>STATE REVENUE COMMITTEE</i>	Azim Usmanov <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>	R.M. Hirani <i>MANGAT I.B. PATEL & PARTNERS</i>	Angela Namwakira <i>AXIS KENYA</i>	Angela Waweru <i>KAPLAN & STRATTON</i>
Andrey Yuriyevich Ponomarenko <i>ALMATY BRANCH OF THE RSE RESEARCH AND PRODUCTION CENTER OF LAND CADASTRE</i>	Tim Ussen <i>ASSISTANCE, LLC LAW FIRM</i>	Milly Jalega <i>ISEME, KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES</i>	James Ndegwa <i>KENYA POWER</i>	John Wekesa <i>KENYA POWER</i>
Adilbek Primbetov <i>STATE REVENUE COMMITTEE</i>	Zhaniya Ussen <i>ASSISTANCE, LLC LAW FIRM</i>	Isaac Kalua <i>HONDA MOTORCYCLE KENYA LTD</i>	Sammy Ndolo <i>HAMILTON HARRISON & MATHEWS (INCORPORATING ORARO & Co.)</i>	Edmond Wesonga <i>B.M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>
Darya Ryapissova <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>	Aliya Utegaliyeva <i>PwC KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Kenneth Kamaitha <i>KAPLAN & STRATTON</i>	Christina Nduba-Banja <i>COULSON HARNEY ADVOCATES</i>	KIRIBATI
Berikbol Samenov <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Nikita Sergeevich Vasilchuk <i>ENERGOPROMSTROIPIROEK LLC</i>	Martha Kamantu-Mutugi <i>KENYA POWER</i>	Mbage Nganga <i>KENYA LAW REFORM</i>	<i>MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES</i>
Gaukhar Sapina <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY</i>	Sergei Vataev <i>DECHERT KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Reuben Njoroge Kamau <i>Kabbau DREAMS ARCHITECTS</i>	Mbage Ng'ang'a <i>WARUHII K'OWADE & NG'ANG'A ADVOCATES</i>	<i>PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD</i>
Talgat Sariev <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Vitaliy Vodolazkin <i>SAYAT ZHOLSHY & PARTNERS</i>	Apollo Karumba <i>PwC KENYA</i>	Victor Njenga <i>KAPLAN & STRATTON</i>	Kibae Akaaka <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Yerlan Serikbayev <i>MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Michael Wilson <i>MICHAEL WILSON & PARTNERS LTD.</i>	Ronald Khavagali <i>B.M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Alex Nyagah <i>ARCHBUILD LIMITED</i>	Arian Arintetaake Vai <i>HIGH COURT OF KIRIBATI</i>
Aida Shadirova <i>DECHERT KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Olga Olegovna Yershova <i>NOTARY ASSOCIATION OF THE ALMATY CITY</i>	Njoroge Kibatia <i>KIBATIA & COMPANY ADVOCATES</i>	Conrad Nyukuri <i>CHUNGA ASSOCIATES</i>	Kenneth Barden <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>
Abai Shaikenov <i>DENTONS KAZAKHSTAN LLP</i>	Yerzhan Yessimkhanov <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>	Alan Kigen <i>KAMOTHO MAIYO & MBATIA ADVOCATES</i>	Desmond Odhiambo <i>DALY & FIGGIS ADVOCATES</i>	Susan Barrie <i>TOBARAOI TRAVEL</i>
Zhanel Shakenova <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>	Saken Zhailauov <i>SAEN ENGINEERING GROUP</i>	Nancy Kinyanjui <i>CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU AFRICA LTD.</i>	John Ojwang <i>NAIROBI CITY COUNTY GOVERNMENT</i>	Rengaua Bauro <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Elmira Shamayeva <i>WHITE & CASE</i>	Gulzat Zhanzukova <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Calystus Kisaka <i>B.M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	James Okeyo <i>MUTHOGA, GATURU & COMPANY ADVOCATES</i>	Raweita Benita <i>OLP KIRIBATI</i>
Sofia Shaykhrazieva <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>	Birzhan Zharasbayev <i>DENTONS KAZAKHSTAN, LLP</i>	Owen Koimburi <i>MAZARS KENYA</i>	Esther Omulele <i>MURIU MUNGAI & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Taake Cama <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Yerzhan Shermakhanbetov <i>THE NATIONAL BANK OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Bolat Zhulamanov <i>SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN</i>	Evelyn Kyania <i>B.M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Richard Ormwela <i>HAMILTON HARRISON & MATHEWS (INCORPORATING ORARO & Co.)</i>	Sister Bernadette Eberi <i>HIGH COURT OF KIRIBATI</i>
Alzhan Stamkulov <i>SYNERGY PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Daniyar Zhumabekuly <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>	David Lekera <i>ISEME, KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES</i>	Andrew Ondiek <i>PwC KENYA</i>	Tomitiana Eritama <i>MINISTRY OF LABOUR & HUMAN RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT</i>
Nurzhan Stamkulov <i>SYNERGY PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>	Liza Zhumakhmetova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Eric Lukoye <i>KENYA TRADE NETWORK AGENCY (KENTRADE)</i>	Belinda Ongonga <i>COULSON HARNEY ADVOCATES</i>	Anthony Frazier <i>ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Ulan Stybayev <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Sofiya Zhyllkaidarova <i>SIGNUM LAW FIRM</i>	Jacob Malelu <i>B.M. MUSAU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Phillip Onyango <i>KAPLAN & STRATTON</i>	Pesega laribwebwe <i>MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND COOPERATIVES</i>
Otabek Suleimanov <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>	Anton Zinoviev <i>BOOZ ALLEN HAMILTON</i>	Nicholas Malonza <i>SISULE MUNYI KILONZO & ASSOCIATES</i>	Tony Osambo <i>UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI</i>	Motiti Moriaty Koe <i>DEVELOPMENT BANK OF KIRIBATI</i>
Yerzhan Suleimenov <i>APK OLZHA HOLDING LLP</i>	Egor Zudilin <i>STELA ELECTRIC LLC</i>	James Mburu Kamau <i>ISEME, KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES</i>	Cephas Osoro <i>HORWATH ERASTUS & CO. MEMBER, CROWE HORWARTH INTERNATIONAL</i>	Iaokiri Koreaua <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Zhaslan Alimgazinovich Sultanbekov <i>FIRMA PARITET LTD.</i>	Job Achoki <i>DALY & FIGGIS ADVOCATES</i>	Ken Melly <i>ISEME KAMAU & MAEMA ADVOCATES</i>	Charles Osundwa <i>KAPLAN & STRATTON</i>	Aaron Levine <i>ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Zarina Syzdykova <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>				Tion Neemia <i>SHIPPING AGENCY OF KIRIBATI</i>
				Tetiro Semilota <i>HIGH COURT OF KIRIBATI</i>
KENYA				
	<i>METROPOL CORPORATION LTD.</i>			
	<i>PYRAMID BUILDERS</i>			
	<i>Job Achoki</i>			
	<i>DALY & FIGGIS ADVOCATES</i>			

Batitea Tekanito	Jeong Ho Kim <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Kiwon Suh <i>CHEONJI ACCOUNTING CORPORATION</i>	Fitore Mekaj <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Abdulrazzaq Abdullah <i>ABDULRAZZAQ ABDULLAH & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Tauniu Teraoi Moy <i>TOBARAOI TRAVEL</i>	Ju-mi Kim <i>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Jae Wook Oh <i>BARUN LAW LLC</i>	Christian Mikosch <i>WOLF THEISS RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH & CO KG</i>	Hossam Abdullah <i>LINA A.K. ADLOUNI</i>
Reei Tioti <i>MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, LANDS & AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT (MELAD)</i>	Kwang Soo Kim <i>WOOSUN ELECTRIC COMPANY LTD.</i>	Sou Hee Sophie Yang <i>WHITE & CASE LLC</i>	Delvina Nallbani <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Hossam Afify <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI & CO.</i>
KOREA, REP.	Rieu Kim <i>BARUN LAW LLC</i>	Catherine J. Yeo <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Driton Nikaj <i>INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Basma Akbar <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>
<i>KOREA CREDIT BUREAU</i>	Sang-jin Kim <i>KEPCO</i>	Elizabeth Shinwon Yoon <i>SHINHAN CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.</i>	Valdet Osmani <i>ARCHITECT ASSOCIATION OF KOSOVO</i>	Lamia Al Abbasi <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Kyoung Soo Chang <i>SHIN & KIM</i>	Seong Won (David) Kim <i>HANARO TNS</i>	Jae-Yoon Yoon <i>KOREA CUSTOMS SERVICE</i>	Naxhije Pajaziti-Arifaj <i>KEDS</i>	Ghada Al Ajami <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Seung Hee Grace Chang <i>SHINHAN CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.</i>	Wonhyung Kim <i>YOON & YANG LLC</i>	KOSOVO	Loreta Peci <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AUDIT SH.P.K.</i>	Khaldah Al Ali <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>
Yoonyoung Chang <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Yoon Young Kim <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	KPMG ALBANIA SHPK <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (MED)</i>	Naser Papashtica <i>CRIMSON CAPITAL</i>	Hanan Al Gharabally <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>
Hyoeing-Tae Cho <i>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Youn Jong Kim <i>SHINHAN CUSTOMS SERVICE INC.</i>	Flamur Abdullahu <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Vigan Rogova <i>ETHEM ROGOVA LAW FIRM</i>	Jasim Mohammad Al Habib <i>KUWAIT MUNICIPALITY</i>
Junghoon Cho <i>KOREAN ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION</i>	Seong-Cheon Ko <i>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Shaqir Behrami <i>N.P.SH TOMI ELEKTRO</i>	Ariana Rozhaja <i>VALA CONSULTING</i>	Amina Al India <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Sung-Min Cho <i>JOYANG LOGISTICS</i>	Joonghoon Kwak <i>LEE & KO</i>	Gene Boga <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES TIRANA</i>	Shendrit Sadiku <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS KOSOVO</i>	Faisal Al Jihayem <i>KUWAIT MUNICIPALITY</i>
Young-Dae Cho <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Alex Joong-Hyun Lee <i>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Alexander Borg Olivier <i>INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Sami Salihu <i>TAX ADMINISTRATION OF KOSOVO</i>	Hamad M. Al Mashaan <i>AL-AHLIA CONTRACTING GROUP</i>
Jinhyuk Choi <i>BARUN LAW LLC</i>	Ann Seung-Eun Lee <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Shyqiri Bytyqi <i>VALA CONSULTING</i>	Arbena Shehu <i>NOTARY CHAMBER OF THE REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO</i>	Waleed Al Nasser <i>CUSTOMS - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION</i>
Kyung-Joon Choi <i>KIM, CHANGE & LEE</i>	Hongyou Lee <i>PANALPINA KOREA LTD.</i>	Jae-Hahn Lee <i>KIM, CHANGE & LEE</i>	Teki Shehu <i>THE USAID CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT (CLE) PROGRAM</i>	Hanaa Al Razzouqi <i>CREDIT INFORMATION NETWORK</i>
Paul Choi <i>BARUN LAW LLC</i>	Kyu Wha Lee <i>LEE & KO</i>	Kyu Wha Lee <i>SOJONG PARTNERS</i>	Sokol Elmazaj <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES TIRANA</i>	Shuruq Al Zayed <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
Sung-Soo Choi <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Moonsub Lee <i>SOJONG PARTNERS</i>	Young Shin Lee <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Mirjeta Emini <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Fahad Al Zumai <i>KUWAIT UNIVERSITY</i>
Jin Yeong Chung <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Seung Yoon Lee <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Yunseok Lim <i>SUPREME COURT OF KOREA</i>	Yllka Emini <i>TAX ADMINISTRATION OF KOSOVO</i>	Aiman Alaraj <i>KEO INTERNATIONAL CONSULTANTS</i>
Robert Flemer <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Young Shin Lee <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	David MacArthur <i>BAE, KIM & LEE LLC</i>	Haxhi Gashi <i>UNIVERSITY OF PRISHTINA, LAW FACULTY</i>	Waleed Al-Awadhi <i>CENTRAL BANK OF KUWAIT</i>
Mark Goodrich <i>WHITE & CASE LLC</i>	Yunseok Lim <i>SUPREME COURT OF KOREA</i>	Young Min Kim <i>YOON & YANG LLC</i>	Lorena Gega <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Abdullah Al-Ayoub <i>ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Jason Ha <i>BARUN LAW LLC</i>	Yon Kyun Oh <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Jihe Park <i>LEE & KO</i>	Audit Sh.p.k. <i>INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Waleed Al-Ayoub <i>ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Sang Hoon Han <i>SHIN & KIM</i>	Sang Il Park <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Sang Il Park <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Besmir F. Grezda <i>GREZDA TRADE N. T. SH</i>	Arta Xherma <i>BAKER TILLY KOSOVO</i>
Sang-goo Han <i>YOON & YANG LLC</i>	Yong Seok Park <i>SHIN & KIM</i>	Yong Seok Park <i>SHIN & KIM</i>	Valon Hasani <i>INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Lulzim Zeka <i>BAKER TILLY KOSOVO</i>
Ji-Sang Hur <i>KOREA CUSTOMS SERVICE</i>	Sang-ug Ryu <i>SUPREME COURT OF KOREA</i>	Minah Seo <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Rahim Hoxha <i>ISARS</i>	Petrit Zeka <i>BAKER TILLY KOSOVO</i>
C.W. Hyun <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Jeong Seo <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Sungjean Seo <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Rifat Hyseni <i>TAX ADMINISTRATION OF KOSOVO</i>	Shpend Zeka <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS KOSOVO</i>
James I.S. Jeon <i>SOJONG PARTNERS</i>	Minah Seo <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Minah Seo <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Bejtush Isufi <i>INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Ruzhdzi Zenelaj <i>DELOITTE</i>
Changho Jo <i>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Changho Seong <i>SEOUL CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT</i>	Sungjean Seo <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Nijazi Jakupi <i>AUDIT CHECK</i>	Ruzhdzi Zeqiri <i>CRIMSON CAPITAL</i>
Bo Moon Jung <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Mi-Jin Shin <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Changho Seong <i>SEOUL CENTRAL DISTRICT COURT</i>	Liresa Kadriu <i>VALA CONSULTING</i>	Shaha Zylfiu <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO</i>
Haeng Chang Jung <i>HANARO TNS</i>	Philippe Shin <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Mi-Jin Shin <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Besarta Klokoqi <i>BOGA & ASSOCIATES</i>	KUWAIT
Hyukjun Jung <i>BARUN LAW LLC</i>	Ahn Sooyoung <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Philippe Shin <i>KIM & CHANG</i>	Abedin Matoshi <i>INTERLEX ASSOCIATES LLC</i>	Al Hamad Legal Group <i>THE LAW FIRM OF LABEED ABDAL</i>
Jinku Kang <i>LEE & KO</i>	Jiwon Suh <i>MINISTRY OF STRATEGY AND FINANCE</i>	Ahn Sooyoung <i>HWANG MOK PARK PC</i>	Leonik Mehmeti <i>DELOITTE</i>	Labeed Abdal <i>THE LAW FIRM OF LABEED ABDAL</i>
Kyung-won Kang <i>SAMIL PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Jiwon Suh <i>MINISTRY OF STRATEGY AND FINANCE</i>	Jiwon Suh <i>MINISTRY OF STRATEGY AND FINANCE</i>	Gazmend Mejzini <i>MINISTRY OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY</i>	Nader Abdalaziz <i>ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS</i>
Young Seok Ki <i>SHIN & KIM</i>				Aisha Al-Nusf <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>
Byung-Tae Kim <i>SHIN & KIM</i>				Waleed Alowaiyesh <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>
Hyo-Sang Kim <i>KIM & CHANG</i>				Rasha Al-Naibar <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>
Jennifer Min Sun Kim <i>SOJONG PARTNERS</i>				Yousef Alroumi <i>CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT</i>

Akusa Batwala ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Prateek Shete ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Kuttubai Marzabaev ORION CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Agnès Couriol DFDL	Martins Aljens ALIANCE OF INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVISERS
Waleed BenHassan CREDIT INFORMATION NETWORK	David Walker ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Asel Momoshova KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Bounyong Dalasone LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE	Ilona Bauda LOZE & PARTNERS
Kevin J. Burke THE LAW OFFICE OF BADER SAUD AL-BADER & PARTNERS		Umtul Muratkzy KOAN LORENZ	Bounyasisith Daopasith LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE	Marija Berdova COBALT ATTORNEYS
Maysaa Mousa Bushihi KWAIT MUNICIPALITY		Mariya Nazarova PWC KAZAKHSTAN	Aristote David ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE Co., LTD.	Raivis Busmanis STATE LABOUR INSPECTORATE
Twinkle Anie Chacko ABDULRAZZAQ ABDULLAH & PARTNERS LAW FIRM	KYRGYZ REPUBLIC	Aidar Oruzbaev KOAN LORENZ	Simeuang Douangboudy XANGLAO ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS	Andris Čonka LATVIJAS BANKA
Alok Chugh ERNST & YOUNG	GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP	Myktybek Osmonaliev ANALYTICAL CENTER BIZEXPERT	Daodeuane Duangdara VDB LOI	Airis Dabols LATVIAN ASSOCIATION OF TAX ADVISERS
Luis Nene Cunha ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Almaz Abdiev DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY	Karlygash Ospankulova IGROUP, PUBLIC ASSOCIATION	Valnya Keochomsi LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO)	Andris Dimants COBALT ATTORNEYS
Bader Ali Dashti CUSTOMS - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION	Yulia Abdumanapova BAKER TILLY BISHKEK LLC	Nargiz Sabryova VERITAS LAW AGENCY	Kan Khuprasert LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE	Valters Diure KLAVINS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Fouad Douglas PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI & CO.	Alexander Ahn KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Aisanat Safarbek kyzy GRATA LAW FIRM	Natchar Leedae LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE	Edvins Draba SORAINEN
Sulaiman Al Fahad CUSTOMS - GENERAL ADMINISTRATION	Myrzagul Aidaralieva KOAN LORENZ	Kanat Seidaliev GRATA LAW FIRM	Soulignasack Liemphrachanh ARION LEGAL (LAO) SOLE COMPANY LIMITED	Jānis Dreimanis COURT ADMINISTRATION
Michel Ghanem MEYSAN PARTNERS	Gulnara Akhmatova LAWYER	Temirbek Shabdanaliev FREIGHT OPERATORS ASSOCIATION OF KYRGYZSTAN	Anna Linden SCIARONI & ASSOCIATES	Zlata Elksniņa-Zaščirinska PWC LATVIA
Sam Habbas ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Atabek Akhmedov GRATA LAW FIRM, TAJIKISTAN	Saadat Shakirova ARTE LAW FIRM	Phayboun Nampaniya ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE Co., LTD.	Kristīne Gailīte COBALT ATTORNEYS
Yousef Hamadh MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Niyazbek Aldashev KOAN LORENZ	Anna Shirshova CUSTOMS CARGO SERVICE LTD.	Souvanno S. Phambixay SV LEGAL ADVOCATE (LAO) Co. LTD.	Janis Gavars KLAVINS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Hussein Hassan ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Sanzhar Aldashev GRATA LAW FIRM, TAJIKISTAN	Maksim Smirnov KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Somphone Phasavath LAO FREIGHT FORWARDER CO. LTD.	Andris Ignatenko ESTMA LTD.
Jad Jabre ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Elena Babitskaya VERITAS LAW AGENCY	Anvar Suleimanov PWC KAZAKHSTAN	Komonchanh Phet-asa ELECTRICITE DU LAOS	Viesturs Kadikis PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Dany Labaky THE LAW OFFICE OF AL-ESSA & PARTNERS	Omurgul Balanova ARTE LAW FIRM	Zhyldyz Tagaeva KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Vassana Phetlamphanh ELECTRICITE DU LAOS	Valters Kalme PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Ahmed Labib ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Bayansulu Bassepova PWC KAZAKHSTAN	Meerim Talantbek kyzy KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Khamphaeng Phochanthilath ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE Co., LTD.	Snezhina Kazakova DHL EXPRESS LATVIA
Areej Marwan Al Dulimi MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Kerim Begaliev COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Guljan Tashimova ORION CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Ketsana Phommachanh MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Anna Kontere LOZE & PARTNERS
Anju Menon ABDULLAH KH. AL-AYOUB & ASSOCIATES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Elena Bit-Avragim VERITAS LAW AGENCY	Jibek Tenizbaeva KOAN LORENZ	Phompasit Sadettan VDB LOI	Irina Kostina KLAVINS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Abdulrahman Mohamad CAPITAL MARKET AUTHORITY OF KUWAIT	Daria Bulatova KOAN LORENZ	Gulnara Uskenbaeva AUDIT PLUS	Aparat Sanipbil ZICOLAW (LAOS) SOLE Co., LTD.	Dainis Leons SADALES TIKS AS
Ayman Nada AL MARKAZ LAW FIRM	Elmira Chikieva SEVERELEKTRO	Gulnara Uskenbaeva SUPPLIER ASSOCIATION - COMMITTEE MEMBER OF CCI	Prachith Sayavong SOCIETE MIXTE DE TRANSPORT (SMT)	Indriķis Liepa COBALT ATTORNEYS
Mohammed Ramadan AL MARKAZ LAW FIRM	Samara Dumanaeva KOAN LORENZ	Mansur Usmanov MEGA STROY LLC	Sivath Sengdouangchanh ALLEN & GLEDHILL CO. LTD.	Dainis Locs COURT ADMINISTRATION
Ganesh Ramanath PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI & CO.	Bakytbek Dzhusupbekov DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY	Lydia Vasilyeva VERITAS LAW AGENCY	Phonexay Southiphong DESIGN GROUP	Janis Loze LOZE & PARTNERS
Abdul Qayum Saeed GH LAW FIRM	Akjaltoi Elebesova CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU ISHENIM	LAO PDR	Manilin Souvannakham LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE	Kristaps Loze LOZE & PARTNERS
Mohamed Saeed ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Askar Eshimbekov SEVERELEKTRO	Vinay Ahuja DFDL	Latsamys Sysamouth MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Rolands Lūsveris SADALES TIKS AS
Nadyn Saleh AL MARKAZ LAW FIRM	Albina Fakerdinova DELOTTE	Chonchanok Akarakitkasem LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO)	Somsack Taybounlack THE PEOPLE'S CENTRAL HIGH COURT	Zane Markvarite MARKVARTE LEXCHANGE LAW OFFICE
Ibrahim Sattout ASAR – AL RUWAYEH & PARTNERS	Kymbat Ibakova KOAN LORENZ	Anthony Assasssa VDB LOI	Danel Thomson DFDL	Ivo Maskalans COBALT ATTORNEYS
Sherif Shawki PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AL-SHATTI & CO.	Indira Ibraimova MEGA STROY LLC	Kate Baillie ARION LEGAL (LAO) SOLE COMPANY LIMITED	Arpon Tunjurmas LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE	Linda Matisane STATE LABOUR INSPECTORATE
	Aidaraliev Erkin Isagalievich ALTERNATIVA GARANT LAW FIRM	Thatsnachone Bounthanh XANGLAO ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS	LATVIA	Alexey Melstiov MTA MARITIME TRANSPORT & AGENCIES
	Elena Kaeva PWC KAZAKHSTAN	Ciela Marie Cantuba PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS (LAO) LTD.	AB WAYS	Baiba Orbidanė KLAVINS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
	Gulnara Kalikova KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Xaynari Chanthala LS HORIZON LIMITED (LAO)	BALTIC LEGAL	Guna Paidere REGISTER OF ENTERPRISES
	Amanbek Kebekov DEPARTMENT OF CADASTRE AND REGISTRATION OF RIGHTS ON IMMOVABLE PROPERTY	Lasonexay Chanthavong DFDL	Colliers International	Kristine Parsonse ECB SIA
	Sultan Khalilov KALIKOVA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM	Rawat Chomsri LAO PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE		
	Evgeny Kim KOAN LORENZ			

Kristine Patmalniece <i>ALIANCE OF INDEPENDENT LEGAL ADVISERS</i>	Mario El Cheikh <i>AGC SAL</i>	Mirvat Moustapha <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Mothae Nonyana <i>LESOTHO ELECTRICITY COMPANY (PTY) LTD.</i>	Robert Smallwood <i>PwC</i>
Galina Pilutina <i>ECB SIA</i>	Hanadi El Hajj <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Andre Nader <i>NADER LAW OFFICE</i>	Bafokeng Noosi <i>CENTRAL BANK OF LESOTHO</i>	Justin Tengbeh <i>NATIONAL CUSTOM BROKERS ASSOCIATION OF LIBERIA</i>
Anastasija Pimenova <i>ZOOM ROOM</i>	Amanda El Madani <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Rana Nader <i>NADER LAW OFFICE</i>	Duduzile Seamatha <i>SHEERAN & ASSOCIATES</i>	Emmanuel Total <i>HERITAGE PARTNER & ASSOCIATES, INC.</i>
Baiba Plaude <i>LAW OFFICES BLUEGER & PLAUDE</i>	Richard El Mouallem <i>PwC LEBANON</i>	Toufic Nehme <i>LAW OFFICES OF TOUCIF NEHME</i>	Tiisetso Sello-Mafatle <i>SELLO-MAFATLE ATTORNEYS</i>	J. Awia Vankan <i>HERITAGE PARTNER & ASSOCIATES, INC.</i>
Ilze Rauza <i>PwC LATVIA</i>	Nada Elsayed <i>PwC LEBANON</i>	Nehman Rhayem <i>ELECTRICITÉ DU LIBAN</i>	Lindive Sephomolo <i>ASSOCIATION OF LESOTHO EMPLOYERS AND BUSINESS</i>	Taweh J Veikai <i>PwC</i>
Lelde Rozentale <i>STATE LAND SERVICE OF THE REPUBLIC OF LATVIA</i>	Jenny Fares <i>HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM</i>	Mireille Richa <i>TYAN & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM</i>	Starford Sharite <i>HIGH COURT</i>	Madlyne Wah <i>CENTER FOR NATIONAL DOCUMENTS & RECORDS (NATIONAL ARCHIVES)</i>
Elīna Rožulapa <i>LATVIAN ASSOCIATION OF ARCHITECTS (LAA)</i>	Hadi Fathallah <i>ESCO FATHALLAH & Co.</i>	Jihan Rizk Khattar <i>KHATTAR ASSOCIATES</i>	Mooresi Tau Thabane	T. Negbalee Warner <i>HERITAGE PARTNER & ASSOCIATES, INC.</i>
Sandra Stipniece <i>CHAMBER OF SWORN NOTARIES OF LATVIA</i>	Izzat Fathallah <i>ESCO FATHALLAH & Co.</i>	Jihad Rizkallah <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i>	James J. Tesele <i>COMPUSCAN LESOTHO</i>	Mustapha Wesseh <i>CENTER FOR NATIONAL DOCUMENTS & RECORDS (NATIONAL ARCHIVES)</i>
Darja Tagajeva <i>PwC LATVIA</i>	Wafic Fathallah <i>ESCO FATHALLAH & Co.</i>	Mustafa Saadeh <i>TYAN & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM</i>	Marorisang Thekiso <i>SHEERAN & ASSOCIATES</i>	
Ruta Teresko <i>AZ SERVICE LTD.</i>	Ribal Fattal <i>LAW OFFICE OF A. ABBOUD & ASSOCIATES</i>	Nisrine Mary Salhab <i>HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM</i>	Phoka Thene <i>LETSENG DIAMONDS</i>	
Jānis Timermanis <i>AS KREDITINFORMACIJAS BIROJS</i>	Lea Ferzli <i>BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Rached Sarkis <i>CONSULTANT</i>	George Thokoa <i>MASERU ELECTRO SERVICES PTY LTD.</i>	
Edgars Timpa <i>STATE LABOUR INSPECTORATE</i>	Samir Francis <i>FREIGHT LEADER SARL</i>	Antoine Sfeir <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i>	Mark Frederick Webber <i>HARLEY & MORRIS</i>	
Ingus Užulis <i>PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION</i>	Serena Ghaniemeh <i>ABELLSATER ABUSAMRA & ASSOCIATES - ASAS LAW</i>	Mona Sfeir <i>HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM</i>		LIBYA
Maris Vainovskis <i>EVERSHEDS BITANS</i>	Ghassan Haddad <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i>	Rami Smayra <i>SMAYRA LAW OFFICE</i>	Joseph Anim <i>INTERNATIONAL BANK OF LIBERIA</i>	<i>ZAHAF & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Elina Vilde <i>EVERSHEDS BITANS</i>	Abdallah Hayek <i>HAYEK GROUP</i>	Ida Elise Tommerup <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Francis Baiden <i>INTERNATIONAL BANK OF LIBERIA</i>	Ahmed Abdulaziz <i>MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABL</i>
Krista Zariņa <i>KLAVINS ĒLLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Nicolas Hayek <i>HAYEK GROUP</i>	Nady Tyan <i>TYAN & ZGHEIB LAW FIRM</i>	Yafar Baikeph <i>HERITAGE PARTNER & ASSOCIATES, INC.</i>	Wael Al-Shagagi <i>ALTERAZ ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>
Agate Ziverte <i>PwC LATVIA</i>	Walid Honein <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i>	Alaa Zeineddine <i>EMEA LEGAL COUNSEL</i>	F. Augustus Caesar Jr. <i>CAESAR ARCHITECTS, INC.</i>	Abdudayem Elgharabli <i>MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABL</i>
Daiga Zivtina <i>KLAVINS ĒLLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Maher Jaber <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	LESOTHO	Jenkins Charles <i>PwC</i>	Abdul Salam El-Marghani <i>PwC</i>
LEBANON	Fady Jammaliddine <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	<i>BIDVEST PANALPINA LOGISTICS</i>	Henry Reed Cooper <i>COOPER & TOGBAH LAW OFFICE</i>	Husam Elnaili <i>PwC</i>
Nadim Abboud <i>LAW OFFICE OF A. ABBOUD & ASSOCIATES</i>	Mohammad Joumaa <i>PwC LEBANON</i>	<i>KHATELI TOMANE MOTEANE (KTM) ARCHITECTS</i>	John Davis <i>LIBERIA BANK FOR DEVELOPMENT AND INVESTMENT</i>	Mahmoud ELSheikh <i>UNIVERSITY OF TRIPOLI</i>
Nada Abdelsater-Abusamra <i>ABELLSATER ABUSAMRA & ASSOCIATES - ASAS LAW</i>	Georges Jureidini <i>COSERV SARL - PANALPINA AGENTS</i>	<i>MASERU MUNICIPAL COUNCIL</i>	Jamal Dehtho <i>PwC</i>	Ahmed Ghattour <i>AHMED GHATTOUR & CO.</i>
Marie Abi-Antoun <i>ABELLSATER ABUSAMRA & ASSOCIATES - ASAS LAW</i>	Elie Kachouh <i>ELC TRANSPORT SERVICES SAL</i>	<i>Mahashe Chaka LAND ADMINISTRATION AUTHORITY</i>	Valery Djamby <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>	Bahloul Kelbash <i>MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABL</i>
Riham Al Ali <i>SMAYRA LAW OFFICE</i>	Georges Kadige <i>KADIGE & KADIGE LAW FIRM</i>	<i>Emile du Toit ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Fonsia Donzo <i>CENTRAL BANK OF LIBERIA</i>	Belkasem Magid Obadi <i>GENERAL ELECTRICITY COMPANY OF LIBYA (GECOL)</i>
Zeina Azzi <i>OBEID & MEDAWAR LAW FIRM</i>	Michel Kadige <i>KADIGE & KADIGE LAW FIRM</i>	<i>Jacobus J. Eksteen COMPUSCAN LESOTHO</i>	Christine Sonpon Freeman <i>COOPER & TOGBAH LAW OFFICE</i>	Ibrahim Maher <i>DLA MATOUK BASSIOUNY (PART OF DLA PIPER GROUP)</i>
Corinne Baaklini <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Hussein Kazan <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	<i>Corne Fourie COMPUSCAN SOUTH AFRICA</i>	Robert Freeman <i>COOPER & TOGBAH LAW OFFICE</i>	Mahmud Mukhtar <i>MUKHTAR, KELBASH & ELGHARABL</i>
Jean Baroudi <i>BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES</i>	Tatiana Kehdy <i>BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES</i>	<i>Motselisi Khiba HARLEY & MORRIS</i>	Peter Graham <i>LIBERIA ELECTRICITY CORPORATION</i>	Ali Naser <i>LIBYAN CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>
Boutros Bou Lattouf <i>EBL BUREAU IN BEIRUT</i>	Najib Khattar <i>KHATTAR ASSOCIATES</i>	<i>Mannette Khotle COMPUSCAN LESOTHO</i>	Cyril Jones <i>JONES & JONES</i>	Abuejila Saif Annaser <i>SAIF ANNASER LAW OFFICE</i>
Constantin Calliondji <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Abdo Maatouk <i>SMAYRA LAW OFFICE</i>	<i>Albertus Kleingeld WEBBER NEWDIGATE</i>	Theophilus Dekonty Joseph <i>BAKER TILLY LIBERIA</i>	Muftah Saif Annaser <i>SAIF ANNASER LAW OFFICE</i>
Nayla Chemaly <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Fady Mahfouz <i>HBD-T LAW FIRM</i>	<i>Thakane Makume LESOTHO ELECTRICITY COMPANY (PTY) LTD.</i>	Abu Kamara <i>LIBERIA BUSINESS REGISTRY</i>	Abdulkarim Tayeb <i>LIBYAN CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>
Najib Choucair <i>CENTRAL BANK OF LEBANON</i>	Georges Mallat <i>HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM</i>	<i>Tihobohano Matela NATIONAL ENVIRONMENT SECRETARIAT</i>	Sophie Kayemba Mutеби <i>PwC</i>	Mazen Tumi <i>TUMI LAW FIRM</i>
Alice Choueiri <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Nabil Mallat <i>HYAM G. MALLAT LAW FIRM</i>	<i>Veronica Matiea Denis Molyneaux WEBBER NEWDIGATE</i>	Bob Weetol Livingstone <i>Barnabas Norris CENTER FOR NATIONAL DOCUMENTS & RECORDS (NATIONAL ARCHIVES)</i>	Raif Wafa
Lina El Cheikh <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>	Rachad Medawar <i>OBEID & MEDAWAR LAW FIRM</i>	<i>Ntlatlapa Mosae SELLO-MAFATLE ATTORNEYS</i>	Philomena Bloh Sayeh <i>CENTER FOR NATIONAL DOCUMENTS & RECORDS (NATIONAL ARCHIVES)</i>	LITHUANIA
	Mario Mohanna <i>PATRIMOINE CONSEIL SARL</i>	<i>Molupe Mothropu LESOTHO REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>		Loreta Andziulyte <i>ECOVIS PROVENTUS LAW FIRM</i>
	Romanos Mouawad <i>MENA CITY LAWYERS</i>			Asta Avizaite <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>
				Greta Bagdonaviciūtė <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>

Liutauras Baikštys <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Žilvinas Kvietkus <i>RAIDLÄ LEJINS & NORCOURS</i>	Adrijus Vegys <i>BANK OF LITHUANIA</i>	Roger Schintgen <i>PAUL WÜRTH GEPROLUX SA</i>	Risto Kitev <i>MEPOS OPERATIVA LTD.</i>
Pavel Balbatunov	Linas Liktorius <i>KPMG LITHUANIA</i>	Agnietė Venckienė <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>	Philippe Schmit <i>ARENDT & MEDERNACH SA</i>	Dejan Knežević <i>LAW OFFICE KNEZOVIC & ASSOCIATES</i>
Petras Baltusevičius <i>DSV TRANSPORT UAB</i>	Odetta Maksytė <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Tomas Venckus <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Alex Schmitt <i>BONN & SCHMITT</i>	Stanko Korunoski <i>CENTRAL REGISTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>
Donatas Baranauskas <i>VILNIUS MIESTO 14 - ASIS NOTARU BIURAS</i>	Linas Margevicius <i>LEGAL BUREAU OF LINAS MARGEVICIUS</i>	Darius Zabiela <i>LAW FIRM ZABIELA, ZABIELAITĖ & PARTNERS</i>	Valerio Scollo <i>GSK STOCKMANN + KOLLEGEN</i>	Ivana Lekic <i>PwC MACEDONIA</i>
Šarūnas Basijokas <i>GLIMSTEDT</i>	Vilius Martišius <i>LAW FIRM OF REDA ZABOLIENE</i>	Ernesta Žiogienė <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Marielle Stevenot <i>MNKS LAW FIRM</i>	Georgi Markov <i>PwC MACEDONIA</i>
Vilius Bernatonis <i>TARK GRUNTE SŪTKIENE</i>	Laura Matuzaite <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>	Povilas Žukauskas <i>LAW FIRM VALIUNAS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Jill Thinnies <i>INSTITUT LUXEMBOURGOIS DE RÉGULATION</i>	Emil Miftari <i>EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE</i>
Andrius Bogdanovičius <i>JSC CREDITINFO LIETUVA</i>	Jolita Meškelytė <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Audrius Žybas <i>GLIMSTEDT</i>	Candice Wiser <i>BONN & SCHMITT</i>	Vlatko Mihailov <i>EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE</i>
Kornelija Bogniuikaitė <i>GLIMSTEDT</i>	Bronislovas Mikūta <i>STATE ENTERPRISE CENTRE OF REGISTERS</i>			Petra Mihajlovska <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>
Alina Burlakova <i>LAW FIRM VALIUNAS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Donata Montvydaitė <i>LAW FIRM VALIUNAS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>			Oliver Mirchevski <i>EVN MACEDONIA</i>
Monika Casado <i>AMERINDE CONSOLIDATED, INC.</i>	Nerijus Nedžinskas <i>PwC LITHUANIA</i>			Irena Mitkovska <i>LAWYERS ANTEVSKI</i>
Robertas Čiočys <i>LAW FIRM VALIUNAS ELLEX, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Greta Obadauskaitė <i>AAA BALTIC SERVICE COMPANY - LAW FIRM</i>			Biljana Mladenovska Dimitrova <i>LAWYERS ANTEVSKI</i>
Justas Ciomanas <i>LITHUANIAN CHAMBER OF NOTARIES</i>	Algirdas Pekšys <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>			Martin Monevski <i>MONEVSKI LAW FIRM</i>
Giedre Dailidienėta <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Michail Parchimovič <i>MOTIEKA & AUDZEVIČIUS</i>			Vojdan Monevski <i>MONEVSKI LAW FIRM</i>
Ignas Dargužas <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>	Nicolai Portelli <i>BALTIC FREIGHT SERVICES</i>			Marijana Naumovska <i>CENTRAL REGISTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>
Aurelijus Daubaraitė <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>	Justina Rakauskaitė <i>GLIMSTEDT</i>			Svetlana Neceva <i>LAW OFFICE PEPELJUGOSKI</i>
Gintaras Daugela <i>BANK OF LITHUANIA</i>	Lina Ramanauskaitė <i>LIUDAS RAMANAUSKAS</i>			Ilija Nedelkoski <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>
Darius Dieckus <i>BANK OF LITHUANIA</i>	Liudas Ramanauskas <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>			Elena Nikodinovska <i>EMIL MIFTARI LAW OFFICE</i>
Giedre Domkute <i>AAA BALTIC SERVICE COMPANY - LAW FIRM</i>	Vytautas Sabalys <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>			Marina Nikoloska <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>
Reda Gabrilaviciūtė <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>	Simona Šarkauskaitė <i>LAW FIRM ZABIELA, ZABIELAITĖ & PARTNERS</i>			Vesna Nikolovska <i>LAW OFFICE NIKOLOVSKI</i>
Karolina Gasparke <i>BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Arvydas Sedekerskis <i>LITHUANIAN ELECTRIC ENERGY ASSOCIATION</i>			Goran Nikolovski <i>LAW OFFICE NIKOLOVSKI</i>
Yvonne Goldammer <i>BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Aušra Sičiūnienė <i>VILNIUS CITY MUNICIPALITY</i>			Nino Noveksi <i>LAW OFFICE NIKOLOVSKI</i>
Joana Gramakovaitė <i>PwC LITHUANIA</i>	Justina Šilinskaitė <i>EVERSHEDS SALADZIUS</i>			Martin Odzaklieski <i>MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS</i>
Dovile Greblakiene <i>VALIUNAS ELLEX</i>	Mingailė Šilkūnaitė <i>GLIMSTEDT</i>			Bojana Paneva <i>LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI</i>
Tania Griškienė <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Rimantas Simaitis <i>COBALT</i>			Aleksandar Penovski <i>LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI</i>
Arturas Gutauskas <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Donatas Šliora <i>TARK GRUNTE SŪTKIENE</i>			Ana Pepeljugska <i>LAW OFFICE PEPELJUGOSKI</i>
Frank Heemann <i>BNT ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Agneska Stanulevic <i>PwC LITHUANIA</i>			Valentin Pepeljugska <i>LAW OFFICE PEPELJUGOSKI</i>
Robert Juodka <i>PRIMUS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Marius Stračkaitis <i>LITHUANIAN CHAMBER OF NOTARIES</i>			Iva Petrovska <i>CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES</i>
Ieva Kairytė <i>PwC LITHUANIA</i>	Alina Streckytė <i>VALIUNAS ELLEX</i>			Andrea Popovski <i>CENTRAL REGISTRY OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>
Inga Karulaityte-Kvainauskienė <i>ECOVIS PROVENTUSLAW LAW FIRM</i>	Arnoldas Tomasevicius <i>MINISTRY OF JUSTICE</i>			Ljubica Ruben <i>MENS LEGIS LAW FIRM</i>
Romualdas Kasperavičius <i>STATE ENTERPRISE CENTRE OF REGISTERS</i>	Daiva Ušinskaitė-Filonovičė <i>TARK GRUNTE SŪTKIENE</i>			Sasho Saltirovski <i>EVN MACEDONIA</i>
Aušra Keniausytė <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Irma Vagulytė <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>			Radovan Sanclic <i>LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI</i>
Ieva Krivickaitė <i>LAW FIRM SORAINEN & PARTNERS</i>	Vilija Vaikitė-Pavani <i>VALIUNAS ELLEX</i>			Lidija Sarafimova-Danevska <i>NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA</i>
Egidijus Kundelis <i>PwC LITHUANIA</i>				Tatjana Siskovska <i>POLENAK LAW FIRM</i>

Sonja Stojcevska CAKMAKOVA ADVOCATES	Pascaline R. Rabearisoa DELTA AUDIT DELOITTE	Ramarolanto Ratiaray UNIVERSITE D'ANTANANARIVO	Modecaï Msisha NYIRENDRA & MSISHA LAW OFFICES	Shamsol Zakri bin Zakaria Pengarah MALAYSIA DEPARTMENT OF INSOLVENCY
Blagoj Stojevski EVN MACEDONIA	Rija Rabeharisoa CABINET MAZARS FIVOARANA	Henintsoa Ratiarison MADAGASCAR LAW OFFICES	Misheck Msiska ERNST & YOUNG	YM Tengku Rohana Binti Tengku Nawawi LAND & MINES OFFICE
Ana Stojilovska ANALYTICA MK	Ketakandriana Rabemananjara OFFICE DE REGULATION ÉLECTRICITÉ	Hasina Ratsimanarisoa KALIANA CORPORATION	Arthur Alick Msowoya WILSON & MORGAN	KC Chan FREIGHT TRANSPORT NETWORK SDN. BHD.
Dragica Tasevska NATIONAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA	Sahondra Rabenarivo MADAGASCAR LAW OFFICES	Mialy Ratsimba PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Charles Mvula DUMA ELECTRICS LTD. - CONTROL SYSTEMS AND ENERGY MANAGEMENT	Hong Yun Chang TAY & PARTNERS
Borjanka Todorovska DONEVSKI LAW FIRM	Jeannot Julien Padoue Rafanomezana ETUDE DE ME JEANNOT RAFANOMEZANA	TAX & LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PwC MADAGASCAR	Patricia Mwase CREDIT DATA CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU LTD.	Ar. Teoh Chee Wui ARCHICENTRE SDN BHD
Dragan Trajkovski ELTEK	Andry Rajaona CABINET SIGMA CONSULTING	Princy Raveloharison PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Grant Nyirongo ELEMECH DESIGNS	Chow Keng Chin INDRA GANDHI & CO.
Stefan Trost EVN MACEDONIA	Tahina Rajaona MADAGASCAR LAW OFFICES	TAX & LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PwC MADAGASCAR	Ted Roka KALEKENI KAPHALE	Eric Chin CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD
Natasha Trpenoska Trenchevska LAW FIRM TRPENOSKI	Pierrette Rajaonarisoa SDV LOGISTICS	Andriamisa Ravelomanana PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Krishna Savjani SAVJANI & CO.	Michelle Choo DUN & BRADSTREET (D&B) MALAYSIA SDN BHD
Slavce Trpeski AGENCY FOR REAL ESTATE CADASTRE	Manantsoa Rakoto JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	TAX & LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PwC MADAGASCAR	Donns Shawa RD CONSULTANTS	Jack Chor CHRISTOPHER LEE & CO.
Vladimir Vasilevski BETASPED D.O.O.	Fetrahanta Sylviane Rakotomanana PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Parson Harivel Razafindrainibe ETUDE RAZAFINDRAINIBE / RAVOAJAHANARY	Samuel Tembenu TEMBENU, MASUMB & CO.	Melinda Marie D'Angelus AZMI & ASSOCIATES
Metodija Velkov POLENAK LAW FIRM	TAX & LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PwC MADAGASCAR	Lisinaina Razafindrakoto BUREAU DE LIAISON SGS		Ruzaida Daud ENERGY COMMISSION
Ivana Velkovska PwC MACEDONIA	Harivola Joan Rakotomanjaka	Olivier Ribot LEXEL JURIDIQUE & FISCAL		J. Wilfred Durai ZAIN & CO.
Tome Velkovski AAG - ANALYSIS AND ADVISORY GROUP	Corinne Holy Rakotonaina PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Ida Soamiliarimana MADAGASCAR CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL		Indra Gandhi INDRA GANDHI & CO.
Sladjana Zafirova TIVA-AS D.O.O.E.L. - VALANDOVO	TAX & LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PwC MADAGASCAR	Hariniaina Soloarivelo COMMUNE URBAINE D'ANTANANARIVO		Mohammed Rhiza Ghazi RHIZA & RICHARD
Dragisa Zlatkovski SISKON LTD.	Fidèle Armand Rakotonirina CABINET MAZARS FIVOARANA	Fidèle Armand Rakotonirina LEGISLINK CONSULTING		Date Zainal Abidin Haji Kamarudin PEJABAT KETUA PENDAFTAR
MADAGASCAR	Heritiana Rakotosalama Harotsilavo Rakotoson SMR & HR ASSOCIATES SA	Harotsilavo Rakotoson LANTO TIANA RALISON PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS		Ar. Ezumi Harzani Ismail ARKITEK MAA
Natacha Adrianjakamanarivo CABINET MAZARS FIVOARANA	Lanto Tiana Ralison PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	TAX & LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PwC MADAGASCAR		Khalid Hashim AZMI & ASSOCIATES
Serge Andretseheno CABINET AS ARCHITECTE	Harotsilavo Rakotoson LANTO TIANA RALISON PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Parson Harivel Razafindrainibe ETUDE RAZAFINDRAINIBE / RAVOAJAHANARY		Hasniza Ahmad Hassan ZAIN & CO.
Liva Harisoa Andriamahady MADAGASCAR LAW OFFICES	Heritiana Rakotosalama LEGISLINK CONSULTING	Lisinaina Razafindrakoto BUREAU DE LIAISON SGS		Andrew Heng FERRIER HODGSON LIMITED
Tsiry Andriamisananana	Harotsilavo Rakotoson LANTO TIANA RALISON PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Olivier Ribot LEXEL JURIDIQUE & FISCAL		Abdul Hafiz Bin Hidzir TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD
Aimée Andrianasolo OFFICE DE REGULATION ÉLECTRICITÉ	Aviva Ramanitra LEXEL JURIDIQUE & FISCAL	Alan Chinula WILLIAM FAULKNER		Wong Hin Loong AZMAN, DAVIDSON & CO.
Rivo Andrianirina-Ratsialonana OBSERVATOIRE DU FONCIER	Roland Ramarijaona DELTA AUDIT DELOITTE	Gautoni D. Kainja KAINJA & DZONZI		Ivan Yue Chan Ho SHOOK LIN & BOK
Sarah Andrianjatovo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Laingo Ramarimbahoaka MADAGASCAR CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL	Chirmwemwe Kalua GOLDEN & LAW		Mohamad Ali Abdul Husain NORTH PORT (MALAYSIA) BHD.
Jocelyn Marie Claude Andrianoasy ORDRE DES ARCHITECTES	André Randranto RANDRANTO	Griffin Kamanga SPINE CARGO CO.		Ahmad Hafiz bin Hussin CUSTOMS WESTPORT
Nirilala Antsa Andriantsoa JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Desire Marcel Randrianarisoa JIRO SY RANO MALAGASY (JIRAMA)	Dannie J. Kamwaza KAMWAZA DESIGN PARTNERSHIP		Mazrina Mohd Ibramsah MPC
Cedric Catheline BUREAU DE LIAISON SGS	Sariaka Randrianarisoa JOHN W. FFOOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Frank Edgar Kapanda SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL		Dato' Dr. Sallehudin Ishak LAND & MINES OFFICE
Yves Duchateau BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS MADAGASCAR	William Randrianarivo PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS	Kalekeni Kaphale KALEKENI KAPHALE		Rohani Ismail SESSIONS COURT KUALA LUMPUR
Raphaël Jakoba MADAGASCAR CONSEIL INTERNATIONAL	TAX & LEGAL MADAGASCAR - PwC MADAGASCAR	Andrews Katuya DOWELL & JONES, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW		Rosnani Ismail INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT LEMBAGA HASIL DALAM NEGERI (LHDN)
Rakotomalala Mamy Njatoson REGISTRE DU COMMERCE ET DES SOCIÉTÉS (RNCS)	Fanja Ranisamananjalarala DHL DANZAS	Mabvuto Khoza BOLLORÉ LOGISTICS - MALAWI		Kumarakuru Jai FERRIER HODGSON LIMITED
Clara Michel Nala COMMUNE URBAINE D'ANTANANARIVO	Sylvia Rasoirilala BANQUE CENTRALE DE MADAGASCAR	James Masumbu TEMBENU, MASUMB & CO.		Hisamuddin Bin Jarudy ROYAL MALAYSIAN CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT
Namindranasoa Ny Haja SMR & HR ASSOCIATES SA	Sahondra Rasoirisoa DELTA AUDIT DELOITTE	Raphael Mhone RACANE ASSOCIATES		Norhaiza Jemon COMPANIES COMMISSION
	Rivaharilala Rasolojaona OFFICE DE REGULATION ÉLECTRICITÉ	Noel Misanjo SAVJANI & CO.		Dato' Dr. Ir. Andy K. H. Seo Amos Kok JEFF LEONG, POON & WONG
		Vyamala Aggriel Moyo PwC MALAWI		

Richard Kok RHIZA & RICHARD	Kim Yong Pang FERRIER HODGSON LIMITED	Sue Wan WONG & PARTNERS	MALI	Alassane T. Sangaré NOTARY
LOH Kok Leong RUSSELL BEDFORD LC & COMPANY - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Tan Kar Peng KAMARUDDIN WEE & CO. ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS	Tuan Wan Zaid SESSIONS COURT KUALA LUMPUR	BCEAO	Oumar Sanogo DIRECTION DE L'INSPECTION DU TRAVAIL
Dawn Lai RAM CREDIT INFORMATION SDN BHD	Aurobindo Ponniah PwC MALAYSIA	Chee Lin Wong SKRINE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Nirilala Antsa Andriantsoa JOHN W. FROOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Fatoumata Sidibe-Diarra FSD CONSEILS LAW FIRM
Christopher Lee CHRISTOPHER LEE & CO.	Aminah Bt Abd Rahman MINISTRY OF URBAN WELLBEING, HOUSING AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT	Justin Wong AZMI & ASSOCIATES	Faradjid Baba TRIBUNAL DE GRANDE INSTANCE DE LA COMMUNE III DE BAMAKO	Serge Kouassy Siekou CREDITINFO VOLÔ
Cing-Cing Lee AZMI & ASSOCIATES	Nirmala Ramadass COMPANIES COMMISSION	Wei Kwang Woo WONG & PARTNERS	Oumar Bane JURIFIS CONSULT	Mamadou Moustapha Sow CABINET SOW & ASSOCIES
Marc Lee RAHMAT LIM & PARTNERS	Nurul Lidya Razali INDAH WATER HOME	Yeo Yao Huang WONG & PARTNERS	Altiné Amadou Belko CREDITINFO VOLÔ	Souleymane Sylla CREDITINFO VOLÔ
Jeff Leong JEFF LEONG, POON & WONG	Siti Zurina Sabarudin AZMI & ASSOCIATES	Elaine Yap WONG & PARTNERS	Nadia Biouélé HERA CONSEILS	Dominique Taty PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Alex Lian JEFF LEONG, POON & WONG	Muzawipah Bt Md. Salim TENAGA NASIONAL BERHAD	Moy Pui Yee RAHMAT LIM & PARTNERS	Mariam Bocoum MATRANS	Cheick Oumar Tounkara HERA CONSEILS
Anne Liew RAM CREDIT INFORMATION SDN BHD	Sugumar Saminathan MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION	MALDIVES	Amadou Camara SCP CAMARA TRAORÉ	Abdoulaye Toure CELLULE TECHNIQUE DES REFORMES ET DU CLIMAT DES AFFAIRES
Lim Lift FERRIER HODGSON LIMITED	Victor Saw Seng Kee PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS ADVISORY SERVICES SDN BHD	Asna Ahmed BANK OF MALDIVES PLC	Boubacar Coulibaly MATRANS	Moctar Toure COMMISSION DE REGULATION DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DE L'EAU
Joo Ho Lim AZMAN, DAVIDSON & CO.	Sharifah Marina Sayeid MALAYSIA DEPARTMENT OF INSOLVENCY	Izuan Ahmed AMIN CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD.	Elvis Danon PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Imirane A. Touré DIRECTION NATIONALE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'HABITAT
Koon Huan Lim SKRINE, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Zamzuri Selamat SYARIKAT BEKALAN AIR SELANGOR SDN. BHD (SYABAS)	Junaina Ahmed SHAH, HUSSAIN & CO. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS	Sekou Dembele ÉTUDE MAÎTRE SEKOU DEMBELE	Lasseni Touré ÉTUDE GAOUSSOU HAIDARA
Ahmad Lutfi Abdull Mutalip AZMI & ASSOCIATES	Thong Ming Sen SHOOK LIN & BOK	Mohamed Shahdy Anwar SUOOD ANWAR & CO. - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Abou Diallo API MALI	Mahamadou Traore AVOCAT À LA COUR
Ir. Bashir Ahamed Maideen NADI CONSULT ERA SDN BHD	Fiona Sequerah CHRISTOPHER LEE & CO.	Jatindra Bhattry PwC MALDIVES	Aboubacar S. Diarra HERA CONSEILS	Alassane Traoré ICON SARL
John Matthew CHRISTOPHER LEE & CO.	Thirilogachandran Shanmugasundaram TLC ARCHITECT	Asma Chan-Rahim SHAH, HUSSAIN & CO. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS	Mamadou Diarra CABINET JURI-PARTNER	Fousséni Traoré PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE
Khairon Niza Md Akhir COMPANIES COMMISSION	Devi Sheela NORLIZA, SHEELA, PARAM & CO.	Aishath Haifa SHAH, HUSSAIN & CO. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS	Almahamoud Ibrahima Dicko HERA CONSEILS	MALTA
Arvind Menon RANHILL BERSEKUTU SDN BHD	Jagdev Singh PwC MALAYSIA	Mohamed Hameed ANTRAC MALDIVES PVT. LTD.	Mariama Doumbia MATRANS	CENTRAL BANK OF MALTA
Hanani Hayati Mohd Adhan AZMI & ASSOCIATES	Noeline Chanan Singh MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION	Dheena Hussain SHAH, HUSSAIN & CO. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS	Abdoulaye Fofana MATRANS	Christabelle Agius CSB INTERNATIONAL
Azmi Mohd Ali AZMI & ASSOCIATES	Adeline Thor Sue Lyn RUSSELL BEDFORD LC & COMPANY - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Yudhishtran Kanagasabai PwC SRI LANKA	Joseph Gbegnon CREDITINFO VOLÔ	Shawn Agius INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Nik Mohd Fadhil Bin Salleh FIRE AND RESCUE DEPARTMENT OF KUALA LUMPUR	Prasanta Misra PwC MALDIVES	Prasanta Misra PwC MALDIVES	Djibril Guindo JURIFIS CONSULT	Yasmine Aquilina GVZH ADVOCATES
Suzana Mohd Razali COMPANIES COMMISSION	Nor Fajariah Sulaiman CITY HALL OF KUALA LUMPUR	Abdulla Muizzu PRAXIS LAW FIRM	Baba Haidara ÉTUDE GAOUSSOU HAIDARA	Matthew Attard GANADO ADVOCATES
Zuhaidi Mohd Shahari AZMI & ASSOCIATES	Muhendaran Suppiyah MUHENDARAN SRI	Sulakshan Ramanan ERNST & YOUNG	Mansour Haidara API MALI	Nicole Attard GVZH ADVOCATES
Rohaizad Mohd Yusof NORTH PORT (MALAYSIA) BHD.	Jamilah Haji Mohd Taib COMPANIES COMMISSION	Aishath Samah BANK OF MALDIVES PLC	Abdoul Karim Samba Timbo Konaté	Carla Barthet GVZH ADVOCATES
Shameen Mohd. Haaziq Pillay WONG & PARTNERS	Ee Ling Tan TAY & PARTNERS	Mohamed Shafaz Wajeeh PRAXIS LAW FIRM	Agence d'Architecture CADET	Leonard Bonello GANADO ADVOCATES
Mohd Yusoff Mokhzani Aris MALAYSIA PRODUCTIVITY CORPORATION	Esther Tan ZUL RAFIQUE & PARTNERS, ADVOCATE & SOLICITORS	Shuaib M. Shah SHAH, HUSSAIN & CO. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS	Mamadou Ismaïla Konate JURIFIS CONSULT	Kris Borg Dr. KRIS BORG & ASSOCIATES - ADVOCATES
Nanthakumar Murokana WESTPORT MALAYSIA	Emilia Tee BURSA MALAYSIA	Mizna Shareef SHAH, HUSSAIN & CO. BARRISTERS & ATTORNEYS	Gaussou A.G. Konaté AGENCE D'ARCHITECTURE CADET	Mario Raymond Borg INLAND REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Marina Nathan COMPANIES COMMISSION	Hemant Thakore RANHILL BERSEKUTU SDN BHD	Manal Shihab SUOOD ANWAR & CO. - ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Abdoul Karim Kone CABINET BERTH - KONE - AVOCATS ASSOCIES	Martina Borg Steven GVZH ADVOCATES
Henry Ng JEFF LEONG, POON & WONG	Lim Liu Ting AZMAN, DAVIDSON & CO.	A. Shimhaz A. AHMED LEGAL CHAMBERS	Faty Balla Lo CREDITINFO VOLÔ	Josianne Brimmer FENECH & FENECH ADVOCATES
Oy Moon Ng CTOS DATA SYSTEMS SDN BHD	Sahrom Ujang KUALA LUMPUR CITY HALL	Tyronne Soza JOHN KEELLS LOGISTICS	Celestin Maiga SYTRAM	Joseph Buahagar MALTA ENTERPRISE
Swee Kee Ng SHEARN DELAMORE & CO.	Siti Wahida binti Sheikh Hussien CREDIT BUREAU MALAYSIA SDN BHD	Mariyam Visam MINISTRY OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Adeline Messou Couassi-Blé PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Brian Camilleri MALTA ENTERPRISE
Hock An Ong BDO	Anne Wai Yee Wong JEFF LEONG, POON & WONG	Sumudu Wijesundara ERNST & YOUNG	Bérenger Y. Meuke JURIFIS CONSULT	Simon Camilleri CREDITINFO
Allison Ong Lee Fong AZMAN, DAVIDSON & CO.			Claudia Randrianavory JOHN W. FROOKS & CO., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP	Joseph Caruana MALTA FINANCIAL SERVICES AUTHORITY (MFSA)
Tamilmaran A/L Palaniappan NORTH PORT (MALAYSIA) BHD.			Bourema Sagara JURIFIS CONSULT	Kirsten Cassar CAMILLERI PREZIOSI
			Adamou Sambaré CREDITINFO VOLÔ	Sandro Chetcuti MALTA DEVELOPERS ASSOCIATION

Claude Cuschieri <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Jerry Kramer <i>PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.</i>	Mohamed Koum Maloum <i>BETEEM INGENIERIES DE L'ENERGIE ET DE L'EAU</i>	Mohamed Yahia Eba <i>SOCIÉTÉ MAURITANIENNE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SOMELEC)</i>	Elodie Hermelin <i>LEGIS & PARTNERS</i>
David Felice <i>ARCHITECTURE PROJECT</i>	Aaron Levine <i>ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>	Mohamed Lemine Selmane <i>MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES ÉCONOMIQUES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT</i>	Mohamed Yarguett <i>MINISTÈRE DU PÉTROLE, DE L'ÉNERGIE ET DES MINES</i>	Nitish Hurnaum <i>THE CHAMBERS OF GAVIN GLOVER, SC</i>
Neville Gatt <i>PWC MALTA</i>	Lani Milne <i>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY - MARSHALL ISLANDS</i>	Taleb Mohamed Lemrabott <i>SOMECOMPT</i>		Yhesma Jankee Chukoory <i>ACUTUS MANAGEMENT LTD.</i>
Joseph Ghio <i>FENECH & FENECH ADVOCATES</i>	Steve Philip <i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</i>	Abraham Liadan <i>PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE</i>		Nalini Jhowny <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>
Steve Gingell <i>PWC MALTA</i>	Dennis James Reeder <i>REEDER & SIMPSON</i>	Bah Elbar M'beirk <i>TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NOUAKCHOTT</i>		Navin Jowaheer <i>WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY</i>
Paul Gonzi <i>FENECH & FENECH ADVOCATES</i>	Michael Slinger <i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</i>	Abdou M'Bodj		Nishi Kichenin <i>JURISTAX</i>
Cain Grech <i>MALTA ENTERPRISE</i>	Scott H. Stege <i>LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT STEGE</i>	Mohamed M'Boyrik <i>SOCIÉTÉ DE DÉVELOPPEMENT DES INFRASTRUCTURES PORTUAIRES (SDIP)</i>		Thierry Koenig <i>DE COMARMOND & KOENIG</i>
Karl Grech Orr <i>GANADO ADVOCATES</i>	David M. Strauss <i>CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</i>	Mazar Mohamed Mahmoud Mettou <i>SOCIÉTÉ MAURITANIENNE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SOMELEC)</i>		Anthony Leung Shing <i>PWC MAURITIUS</i>
Roberta Gulic Hammett <i>PWC MALTA</i>	Bori Ysawa <i>MAJURO MARINE</i>	Laytti Ndiaye <i>SOGECO MAURITANIA</i>		Jayram Luximon <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>
Kurt Hyzler <i>GVZH ADVOCATES</i>		Mine Ould Abdallah <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT OULD ABDULLAH</i>		Antish Maroam <i>PWC MAURITIUS</i>
Henri Mizzi <i>CAMILLERI PREZIOSI</i>	Mohamed Abdallahi Belil	Jemal Ould Ahmed <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES</i>		Jean Pierre Montocchio
John Paris <i>CREDITINFO</i>	Mohamed Yahya Abdel Ghahar	Ishagh Ould Ahmed Miské <i>CABINET ISHAGH MISKE</i>		Bala Moonsamy <i>CMT INTERNATIONAL LTD.</i>
Claude Sapiano <i>LAND REGISTRY</i>	Wane Abdelaziz <i>CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE, D'INDUSTRIE ET D'AGRICULTURE DE MAURITANIE</i>	Moustapha Ould Bilal <i>TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NOUAKCHOTT</i>		Ramdas Mootanah <i>ARCHITECTURE & DESIGN LTD.</i>
Jonathan Scerri <i>ENEMALTA CORPORATION</i>	Sid'Ahmed Abeidna <i>SOGECO MAURITANIA</i>	M'Hamed Ould Boubout <i>MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES ÉCONOMIQUES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT</i>		Ashwin Mudhoo <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>
Jude Schembri <i>PWC MALTA</i>	Kane Aly <i>GUICHET UNIQUE MAURITANIA</i>	Abdellahi Ould Charrouck <i>ATELIER ARCHITECTURE ET DESIGN</i>		Mushtaq Namdarkhan <i>BLC CHAMBERS</i>
Joseph Scicluna <i>SCICLUNA & ASSOCIATES</i>	Mohamed Lemine Ould Babiyé <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</i>	Mohamed Yeslem Ould El Vil <i>RÉSEAU DES PETITES ET MOYENNES ENTREPRISES MAURITANIENNES</i>		Khemila Narraidoor <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>
Ian Stafrace <i>IAN STAFRACE LEGAL</i>	Dieng Adama Boubou <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</i>	Hassene Ould Ely <i>PORT AUTONOME DE NOUAKCHOTT</i>		Daniel Ng Cheong Hin <i>MAURITIUS CARGO COMMUNITY SERVICES LTD.</i>
Pierre Theuma <i>MALTA ENTERPRISE</i>	Mohamed Marouf Bousbè <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</i>	Mohamed Ould Hanine <i>MINISTÈRE DU COMMERCE</i>		Stéphanie Odayen <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>
Carina Vasallo <i>GVZH ADVOCATES</i>	Moulaye Ahmed Boussabou <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</i>	Moulaye El Ghali Ould <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</i>		Cristelle Parsooramen <i>BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS</i>
Simone Vella Lenicker <i>ARCHITECTURE PROJECT</i>	Hadrami Boydia <i>THB</i>	Moulaye Ely <i>AVOCAT</i>		Ashwina Pitte <i>BANYMANDHUB BOOLELL CHAMBERS</i>
Quentin Zahra <i>EUFREIGHT</i>	Mohamed Cheikh Abdallah <i>AFACOR - AUDIT FINANCE ASSISTANCE COMPTABLE ORGANISATION SARL</i>	Ahmed Ould Radhi <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE MAURITANIE</i>		Iqbal Rajahbalee <i>BLC CHAMBERS</i>
Andrew J. Zammit <i>GVZH ADVOCATES</i>	Demba Diawara <i>DAMCO</i>	Haimoud Ould Ramdan <i>MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE</i>		Vidoula <i>Ramkurren-Mohungoo CITY COUNCIL OF PORT LOUIS</i>
MARSHALL ISLANDS				
<i>MARSHALLS ENERGY COMPANY</i>				
Helkena Anni <i>MARSHALL ISLANDS REGISTRY</i>	Abdoulaye Dieng Yaré <i>ÉTABLISSEMENT DIENG YARÉ</i>	Abdel Fettah Ould Sidi <i>SOCIÉTÉ MAURITANIENNE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SOMELEC)</i>	J. Gilbert Gnany <i>MCB GROUP LIMITED</i>	Jayshen Rammah <i>MERITS CONSULTING ENGINEERS LTD.</i>
Kenneth Barden <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Hamath Diop <i>ASSURIM CONSULTING</i>	Mohamed Mohamed <i>SOCIÉTÉ MAURITANIENNE D'ELECTRICITÉ (SOMELEC)</i>	Tilotma Gobin Jhurry <i>BANK OF MAURITIUS</i>	Annabelle Ribet <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>
Tune Carlos <i>PACIFIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.</i>	Brahim Ebety	Abdelkader Said <i>ETUDE ME ALIOU SALL & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Yandraduth Googoolye <i>BANK OF MAURITIUS</i>	Nicolas Richard <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>
Tatyana E. Cerullo <i>MARSHALL ISLANDS LAWYERS</i>	Moulaye El Hassen Kamara <i>SOCERE LAMBERT SOMECS MAURITANIE (SLSM)</i>	Aliou Sall <i>ETUDE ME ALIOU SALL & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Darmalingum Gooriah <i>ETUDE MÉ DARMALINGUM GOORIAH</i>	André Robert <i>ETUDE ANDRÉ ROBERT</i>
Melvin Dacillo <i>MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS</i>	Socere Lambert Somecs <i>MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES ÉCONOMIQUES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT</i>	Abdellahi Seyidi <i>SOULEMANE SIDI MOHAMED EL HAIBA</i>	Gopaul Gupta <i>VELOGIC LTD.</i>	Keeranllalsing Santokhee <i>CITY COUNCIL OF PORT LOUIS</i>
Raquel De Leon <i>MARSHALL ISLANDS SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION</i>	Sidi Mohamed Elemine <i>CONEX</i>	Souleimane Sidi Mohamed <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS</i>	Arvin Halkhoree <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	Wenda Sawmyaden <i>CABINET DE NOTAIRE SAWMYNADEEN</i>
William Fife <i>MICRONESIAN LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION</i>	Mame Fall <i>GHA MAURITANIE</i>	Sophie Teffahi <i>PORT AUTONOME DE NOUAKCHOTT</i>	Arzeenah Hassunally <i>MCB GROUP LIMITED</i>	Shailesh Seebaruth <i>THE CHAMBERS OF GAVIN GLOVER, SC</i>
Anthony Frazier	Abdallah Gah <i>ETUDE GAH</i>	Zakaria Thiam <i>TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NOUAKCHOTT</i>	Hurrydeo Seebchurrun <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>	Hurrydeo Seebchurrun <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>
Kenneth Gideon <i>PII SHIPPING</i>	Amadou Hamady Ndiaye <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES DOUANES</i>	Hamoud Ismail <i>SMPN</i>	Geetanjali Seewoosurrun <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>	Geetanjali Seewoosurrun <i>CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD</i>
Avelino R. Gimao Jr. <i>MARSHALL ISLANDS SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION</i>	Cheikhany Jules	Cheikhany Jules <i>Yahedhous</i>	Raymond Marie Marc Hein <i>JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS</i>	Gilbert Seeyave <i>BDO FINANCIAL SERVICES LTD.</i>
Don Hess <i>COLLEGE OF THE MARSHALL ISLANDS</i>	Oumar Sada Kelly <i>ASSURIM CONSULTING</i>	Mohamed Mokhtar O. <i>BUREAU CAUPID</i>		

Deviantee Sobarun MINISTRY OF FINANCE & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Jose Francisco Caballero García SEDECO	María del Rocío Romero Meza REGISTRO PÚBLICO DE LA PROPIEDAD Y DEL COMERCIO DEL DISTRITO FEDERAL	Heriberto Garza SANTAMARINA Y STETA SC	Jorge Jiménez LOPEZ VELARDE, HEFTYE Y SORIA SC
Menzie Sunglee CENTRAL ELECTRICITY BOARD	Gilberto Calderon Lachino GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOTTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED	Franco Alberto Del Valle Prado P&A LEGAL SERVICES, S.C.	Mauricio Garza Bulnes J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS SA DE CV	Jorge Jiménez RUSSELL BEDFORD MÉXICO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Dhanesswurnath Vikash Thakoor BANK OF MAURITIUS	Adrian Martin Camacho Fernandez COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD	Tracy Delgadillo Miranda J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS SA DE CV	Nohemi Gpe. Garza Olguin INSTITUTO REGISTRAL Y CATASTRAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN	Diana Juárez Martínez BAKER & MCKENZIE
Natasha Towokul Jigoo ACUTUS MANAGEMENT LTD.	Samuel Campos Leal GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC	Carlos Ramon Diaz Sordo LOPEZ VELARDE, HEFTYE Y SORIA SC	Jose Alberto Gonzalez KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL, S.C.	Alfredo Kupfer-Dominguez SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC
Muhammad R.C. Uteem UTEEM CHAMBERS	Carlos Cano PwC México	Carlos Diez Garcia GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC	Ricardo Gonzalez Orta GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOTTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED	Luis Lavalle Moreno GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOTTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED
Amy Vaulbert de Chantilly JURISTCONSULT CHAMBERS	Tomás Cantú González CANTU ESTRADA Y MARTINEZ (CEM ABOGADOS)	Felipe Dominguez P. MOORE STEPHENS OROZCO MEDINA, S.C.	Jesús Martín González Rodríguez PODER JUDICIAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN	Daniel Antonio Del Rio Loiza BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS
Aynur Visram BANYMANDHUB BOLELL CHAMBERS	Fernando Antonio Cardenas Gonzalez NOTARY PUBLIC #44	Ivonne A. Elizondo de la Garza CANTU ESTRADA Y MARTINEZ (CEM ABOGADOS)	Alvaro Gonzalez-Schiaffino PwC México	Carlos Leal-Isla Garza LEAH ISLA HORVATH
Nashenta Vuddamalay DE COMARMOND & KOENIG	Pedro Carreon PwC Mexico	Dolores Enríquez PwC Mexico	James Graham 3CT	Josue Lee ÍÑAKI ECHEVERRIA ARQUITECTOS
Bobby Yerkiah KPMG	Maria Casas López BAKER & MCKENZIE	David Escalante KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL, S.C.	Adrian Guarneros SERVICIO DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA	Ricardo León-Santacruz SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC
MEXICO	José Luis Castellanos Pérez COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS MÉCANICOS Y ELECTRICISTAS (CIME)	Natalia Espinoza BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS	Andres Guerra Gomez GUERRA GOMEZ ABOGADOS	Lic. Rafael Licea Alvarez MEXICAN CONSTRUCTION CHAMBER (CMIC)
Andrea Melissa Alanís Ochoa PENA MOURET ABOGADOS, S.C.	Kathalina Chapa Peña CAF-SIAC CONTADORES	Miguel Espitia BUFETE INTERNACIONAL	Antonio Guerra Gomez GUERRA GOMEZ ABOGADOS	Giovanna Lizárraga Osuna SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC
Rogelio Alanis Robles LITTLER EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR LAW SOLUTIONS WORLDWIDE	Carlos Chávez GALICIA ABOGADOS SC	Victor Fernandez Sanchez COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD	Benito Ivan Guerra Silla NOTARIA 7, D.F.	Leonor Llamas GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS
Jaime Alejandro Gutierrez Vidal INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE ESPECIALISTAS DE CONCURSOS MERCANTILES	Carlos A. Chávez Pereda J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS SA DE CV	Othón Flores RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, S.C.	Ignacio Oswaldo Guillén Ángel LOPEZ VELARDE, HEFTYE Y SORIA SC	Eduardo Lobatón Guzmán BAKER & MCKENZIE
Frida Alonso MEXICAN CONSTRUCTION CHAMBER (CMIC)	Rodrigo Conesa RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, S.C.	Pedro Flores Carillo MOORE STEPHENS OROZCO MEDINA, S.C.	Mario Alberto Gutiérrez PwC Mexico	Alfonso López Lajud SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC
José Manuel Arce Ruiz STANDARD GO	Lic. Samanta Cornu Sandoval SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE) - SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY	Julio Flores Luna GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS	Arq. Miguel Angel Gutierrez Garcia MEXICAN CONSTRUCTION CHAMBER (CMIC)	Cesar Inaki Lorda Dumont HINOJOSA ABOGADOS
Francisco Samuel Arias González NOTARY PUBLIC 28	Francisco Coronado SANTAMARINA Y STETA SC	Juan Francisco Galarza PwC Mexico	Yves Hayaux-du-Tilly NADER, HAYAUX & GOEBEL	Miguel Ángel Loredo Gutiérrez COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD
Francisco Javier Arias Vazque MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Nancy Mireya Coronado Perez DIRECCION DE PROTECCION CIVIL (CIVIL PROTECTION AGENCY)	Manuel Galicia GALICIA ABOGADOS SC	Francisco Abimael Hernández SOLÓRZANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA, S.C.	Jose Antonio Lozada Capetillo TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DE JUSTICIA DEL DISTRITO FEDERAL
José Alejandro Astorga Hilbert INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE ESPECIALISTAS DE CONCURSOS MERCANTILES	Abel Cotoñeto NOTARIA 7, D.F.	Maria Antonieta Galvan Carriles TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DE JUSTICIA DEL DISTRITO FEDERAL	Lic. Jose Antonio Hernandez Balbuena MEXICAN CONSTRUCTION CHAMBER (CMIC)	Arturo Lozano Guerrero CANTU ESTRADA Y MARTINEZ (CEM ABOGADOS)
Rodrigo Avendano WHITE & CASE SC	Jose Covarrubias-Azuela SOLÓRZANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA, S.C.	Mauricio Gamboa TRANSUNION DE MEXICO SA SIC	Roberto Hernandez Garcia COMAD SC	Laura Macarty Cortes CRUZ ABREGO ABOGADOS
Alberto Avila FEDERATION OF INTERAMERICAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY (FIIC)	Victor Cruz KUNDISO REDLOGISTICA	Brenda Garcia PwC Mexico	Angel Herrera Gonzalez RAIGOSA CONSULTORES	Alejandro Madero SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC
Ana Rosa Avila CRUZ ABREGO CONSORCIO JURIDICO S.C.	Maria Teresa Cruz Abrego CRUZ ABREGO CONSORCIO JURIDICO S.C.	Emilio García SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC	Oscar Octavio Hinojosa Guerra HINOJOSA ABOGADOS	Gerardo Maltos GRUPO SYS
Juan Guillermo Avila Sarabia AVILA & LOZANO S.C.	Sergio Cuevas Villalobos NOTARIA 197	Jorge García GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS	Zita Horvath LEAH ISLA HORVATH	Gabriel Manrique RUSSELL BEDFORD MÉXICO - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Elsa Regina Ayala Gómez SECRETARIA DE ECONOMIA, DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE NORMATIVIDAD MERCANTIL (RUG)	Carlos De la Garza MARTINEZ, ALGABA, DE HARO, CURIEL Y GALVAN-DUQUE SC	Jose Martin Garcia Bautista GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOTTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED	Mauricio Hurtado PwC Mexico	Roberto Márquez Arjona PODER JUDICIAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN
Alfonso Azcona Anaya ZITYMERKA SA DE CV	Arq. Jorge Armando Jose De Los Santos SECRETARIA DE SERVICIOS PUBLICOS (PUBLIC SERVICES AGENCY)	Lic. Blanca Garcia Camargo SISTEMA DE AGUAS DE LA CIUDAD DE MEXICO (MEXICO CITY WATER SERVICES AGENCY)	Ricardo Ibarra SERVICIO DE ADMINISTRACIÓN TRIBUTARIA	José Antonio Marquez González NOTARY PUBLIC #2
Antonio Barrera Ríos SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC	Jorge de Presno BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS	Eduardo Garcia Fraschetto SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC	Jose Ricardo Ibarra Cordova SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC	Ing. Adrian Martinez Arzarte SISTEMA DE AGUAS DE LA CIUDAD DE MEXICO (MEXICO CITY WATER SERVICES AGENCY)
Jorge Barrero Stahl SANTAMARINA Y STETA SC	Maria del Carmen Cercós KUNDISO REDLOGISTICA	Francisco Garcia Lerma SÁNCHEZ DE VANNY ESEVERRI SC	Carlos Marco Iga ARIZPE, VALDÉS & MARCOS ABOGADOS - SAN PEDRO GARZA GARCIA	Lic. Patricia Martinez Ayala SISTEMA DE AGUAS DE LA CIUDAD DE MEXICO (MEXICO CITY WATER SERVICES AGENCY)
Rodrigo Barros MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Jose Manuel del Rio Zolezzi SEDECO	Ing. Carlos Garcia Salazar SERVICIOS DE AGUA Y DRENAGE DE MONTERREY (WATER AND SEWAGE SERVICES AGENCY)	Ivan Imperial KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL, S.C.	Juan Sergio Alfonso Martinez González COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD

Griselda Martínez Vázquez <i>REGISTRO PÚBLICO DE LA PROPIEDAD Y DEL COMERCIO DEL DISTRITO FEDERAL</i>	Arturo Perdomo <i>GALICIA ABOGADOS SC</i>	Alejandro Rodríguez Montemayor <i>PODER JUDICIAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Yazbek Taja <i>RIVADENEYRA, TREVINO & DE CAMPO SC</i>	Mark Heath <i>MICRONESIA REGISTRATION ADVISORS, INC.</i>
Mariana Maxinez Hernández GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE <i>TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Eduardo Pérez Armenta <i>MOORE STEPHENS OROZCO MEDINA, S.C.</i>	Víctor Mauricio Rodríguez Ramos <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Miguel Téllez <i>CREEL, GARCÍA-CUÉLLAR, AIZA Y ENRIQUEZ SC</i>	Eric Iban <i>OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL</i>
Arq. Fernando Mendez Bernal <i>DIRECCION GENERAL DE ADMINISTRACION URBANA DE LA CIUDAD DE MEXICO</i>	Luis Uriel Pérez Delgado <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Cecilia Rojas <i>GALICIA ABOGADOS SC</i>	Juan Francisco Torres Landa Ruffo <i>HOGAN LOVELLS</i>	Douglas Nelber <i>POHNPEI STATE DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES</i>
Carla E. Mendoza Pérez <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	José Jacinto Pérez Silva <i>KE DESARROLLADORA SA DE CV</i>	Héctor Rosas <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Cesar Treviño J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS SA DE CV	Ronald Pangelinan <i>A&P ENTERPRISES INC.</i>
Tania Paola Miranda Reyes <i>DELEGACIÓN DE AZCAPOTZALCO</i>	Pablo Perezalonso Eguía <i>RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, S.C.</i>	Luis Enrique Ruiz Chirinos <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO Y VIVIENDA</i>	Jaime A. Treviño J.A. TREVINO ABOGADOS	Sam Peterson <i>POHNPEI EXPORT ASSOCIATION</i>
Daniela Montero <i>PwC MEXICO</i>	Fernando Perez-Corra <i>SOLÓRZANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA, S.C.</i>	Raúl Sahagún <i>BUFETE INTERNACIONAL</i>	Magda Treviño Morales <i>INSTITUTO RÉGISTRAL Y CATASTRAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Salomon Saimon <i>MICRONESIAN LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION</i>
Angel Humberto Montiel Trujano <i>TRIBUNAL SUPERIOR DE JUSTICIA DEL DISTRITO FEDERAL</i>	Guillermo Piecarchic <i>PMC & ASOCIADOS</i>	Pedro Said Nader <i>BASHAM, RINGE Y CORREA, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Roberto Treviño Ramos <i>PODER JUDICIAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Nora Sigrah <i>FSM DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Ing. Alma Elisa Montoya Rodríguez <i>SERVICIOS DE AGUA Y DRENAGE DE MONTERREY (WATER AND SEWAGE SERVICES AGENCY)</i>	Federico Pineda <i>HUB LOGISTICS MEXICO</i>	Juan Pablo Sainz NADER, HAYAUX & GOEBEL	Maribel Trigo Aja <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Brad Soram <i>POHNPEI STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</i>
Erika Mora <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Ricardo Platt <i>FEDERATION OF INTERAMERICAN CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY (FIIC)</i>	José Roberto Salinas <i>SALINAS PADILLA & ASSOCIATES LAW FIRM</i>	Yeny Trinidad Orduño <i>REGISTRO PÚBLICO DE LA PROPIEDAD Y DEL COMERCIO DEL DISTRITO FEDERAL</i>	Mike Thomas <i>MICROPC</i>
Ignacio R. Morales Lechuga <i>NOTARIA 116</i>	David Puente-Tostado <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Javier Sanchez <i>SUBESTACIONES, SA DE CV</i>	Favio Camilo Vazquez Lopez <i>SANTAMARINA Y STETA SC</i>	Joseph Vitt <i>POHNPEI TRANSFER & STORAGE, INC.</i>
Ricardo Morales Salazar <i>EMEESA</i>	Eric Quiles Gutierrez <i>WHITE & CASE LLP</i>	Lucero Sánchez de la Concha <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Denise Carla Vazquez Wallach <i>SECRETARÍA DE ECONOMÍA, DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE NORMATIVIDAD MERCANTIL (RUG)</i>	Larry Wentworth <i>FSM SUPREME COURT</i>
Ruben Morales Zamora <i>CYMIMEX</i>	Arq. Olga Cristina Ramirez Acosta <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE) - SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY</i>	Juan Pablo Sanchez Enríquez <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO Y VIVIENDA</i>	José Luis Vega Garrido <i>GOODRICH, RIQUELME Y ASOCIADOS</i>	MOLDOVA
Daniel Moran <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC</i>	David Ramírez Ramírez <i>D&J INGENIERIA Y SERVICIOS, SA DE CV</i>	Luis Sanchez Galguera <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Luis Miguel Velasco Lizárraga <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	<i>UNION FENOSA</i>
Guillermo Moran Franco GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE <i>TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Juan Carlos Ramirez Vertiz <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO Y VIVIENDA</i>	Jorge Sanchez Hernández <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Alejandra Velazquez <i>COMAD SC</i>	Veronica Bradautanu <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Giovanni Moreno <i>CAF-SIAC CONTADORES</i>	Alberto Revilla <i>PwC MEXICO</i>	Rodrigo Sanchez Mejorada <i>SÁNCHEZ-MEJORADA, VELASCO Y RIBÉ</i>	Jose Vidana <i>TRADE UP</i>	Daniel Cobzac <i>COBZAC & PARTNERS</i>
Eloy F. Muñoz M. <i>IMEYEL SOLUCIONES INTEGRALES SA DE CV</i>	Brindisi Reyes Delgado <i>RITCH MUELLER, HEATHER Y NICOLAU, S.C.</i>	Arq. Alberto Sanchez Rodriguez <i>DIRECCION DE PROTECCION CIVIL (CIVIL PROTECTION AGENCY)</i>	Adrian Roberto Villagomez Aleman <i>COMAD SC</i>	Stanislav Copetchi <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Juan Nájera <i>NDA NAJERA DANIEL & ASOC.</i>	Eduardo Reyes Diaz-Leal <i>BUFFETE INTERNACIONAL</i>	Cristina Sanchez Vebber <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Rafael Villamar-Ramos <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Anastasia Derevanchina <i>PwC MOLDOVA</i>
Jorge Narváez Hasfura <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Héctor Reyes Freaner <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Cristina Sánchez-Urtiz <i>MIRANDA & ESTAVILLO SC</i>	Guillermo Villaseñor <i>SÁNCHEZ DEVANNY ESEVERRI SC</i>	Silviu Foca <i>BIROU DE CREDIT - MOLDOVA</i>
Marco Nava <i>PwC Mexico</i>	Lic. Hector Francisco Reyes Lopez <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE) - SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY</i>	Jose Antonio Sandoval <i>SEDECO</i>	Claudio Villavicencio <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Iulia Furtuna <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>
Octavio Gerardo Navarro Gómez del Campo <i>INSTITUTO RÉGISTRAL Y CATASTRAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Claudia Ríos <i>PwC MEXICO</i>	Quetzalcoatl Sandoval Mata <i>VELEZ Y SANDOVAL S.C.</i>	Eloy Zambrano <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD MONTERREY SC</i>	Ana Galus <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>
Javier Luis Navarro Velasco <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Fernando Rivadeneira <i>RIVADENEYRA, TREVINO & DE CAMPO SC</i>	Ricardo Sandoval Ortega <i>COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD</i>	Mayela E. Zapata Cisneros <i>INSTITUTO RÉGISTRAL Y CATASTRAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Roger Gladei <i>GLADEI & PARTNERS</i>
Pablo Nosti Herrera <i>MIRANDA & ESTAVILLO SC</i>	Blanca Berenice Rivas Penilla <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	María Esther Sandoval Salgado <i>INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE ESPECIALISTAS DE CONCURSOS MERCANTILES</i>	Jose I. Zertuche Guerrero <i>ZERTUCHE ABOGADOS</i>	Victoria Goncaruc <i>COBZAC & PARTNERS</i>
Juan Manuel Ochoa <i>RIVADENEYRA, TREVINO & DE CAMPO SC</i>	Jose Ignacio Rivero <i>GONZALEZ CALVILLO SC</i>	Monica Schiaffino Pérez <i>LITTILER MEXICO</i>	Claudio Villavicencio <i>GALAZ, YAMAZAKI, RUIZ URQUIZA SC, MEMBER OF DELOITTE TOUCHE TOHMATSU LIMITED</i>	Andrian Guzun <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
Maria José Ortiz Haro <i>GALICIA ABOGADOS SC</i>	Beatriz A. Robles Acosta <i>CAF-SIAC CONTADORES</i>	Francisco Serna Báez <i>COLEGIO DE INGENIEROS MECÁNICOS ELECTRICISTAS Y ELECTRÓNICOS DE NUEVO LEÓN (CIME-NL)</i>	Eloy Zambrano <i>RUSSELL BEDFORD MONTERREY SC</i>	Ana Iovu <i>COBZAC & PARTNERS</i>
Gilberto Osio <i>SOLÓRZANO, CARVAJAL, GONZÁLEZ Y PÉREZ-CORREA, S.C.</i>	Irazu Rodríguez Garza <i>COMISIÓN FEDERAL DE ELECTRICIDAD</i>	Daniel Sosa <i>SKYNET</i>	Mayela E. Zapata Cisneros <i>INSTITUTO RÉGISTRAL Y CATASTRAL DEL ESTADO DE NUEVO LEÓN</i>	Vladimir Iurkovski <i>SCHOENHERR</i>
Raúl Paniahua <i>NADER, HAYAUX & GOEBEL</i>	Oscar Rodriguez Loera <i>SECRETARIA DE DESARROLLO URBANO (SEDUE) - SECRETARIAT FOR URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND ECOLOGY</i>	Arturo Suárez <i>KPMG CARDENAS DOSAL, S.C.</i>	Nixon Anson <i>POHNPEI UTILITIES CORPORATION</i>	Roman Ivanov <i>VERNON DAVID & ASSOCIATES</i>
Gabriel Peña Mouret <i>PEÑA MOURET ABOGADOS, S.C.</i>			Kenneth Barden <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Giubaciuc Ludmila <i>PwC MOLDOVA</i>
Sergio Peña Zazueta <i>TRANSUNION DE MEXICO SA SIC</i>			Lam Dang <i>CONGRESS OF THE FSM</i>	Cristina Martin <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Oscar Peralta <i>WUMA INTEGRAL CARGO</i>			Erick Divinagracia <i>RAMP & MIDA LAW FIRM</i>	Mihaela Mitroi <i>PwC ROMANIA</i>
				Alexandru Munteanu <i>PwC MOLDOVA</i>
				Oxana Novicov <i>NATIONAL UNION OF JUDICIAL OFFICERS</i>
				Vladimir Palamarciuc <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>
				Bodiu Pantelimon <i>SRL RECONSCIVIL</i>
				Carolina Parcalab <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>

Vladimir Plehov	Onchinsuren Dendevsambuu <i>DELOITTE</i>	Bojana Bošković <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Radovan Radulovic <i>MONTENOMAX</i>	Ali Bougrine <i>UGGC LAW FIRM</i>
Dumitru Popescu	Gerel Enebish <i>LEHMAN, LEE & XU</i>	Jelena Brajković <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Ivan Radulović <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Khalid Boumichi <i>TECNOMAR</i>
PwC MOLDOVA	Tsolmonchimeg Enkhbat <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>	ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Dražen Raičković <i>FINANCEPLUS</i>	Richard Cantin <i>NERO BOUTIQUE LAW FIRM</i>
Laura Šambra <i>COBZAC & PARTNERS</i>	Naranchimeg Erdembileg <i>ARLEX CONSULTING SERVICES</i>	Dragoljub Cibulić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Branka Rajcic <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Bouchaïb Chahi <i>AGENCE NATIONALE DE LA CONSERVATION FONCIÈRE DU CADASTRE ET DE LA CARTOGRAPHIE (ANCFCC)</i>
Olga Saveliev <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>	Tsewegrash Erdenechuluun <i>MONGOLIAN NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION ASSOCIATION</i>	ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Consulting d.o.o.	Abdallah Chater <i>CENTRE RÉGIONAL D'INVESTISSEMENT</i>
Alexandru Savaa <i>CHEMONICS INTERNATIONAL INC. REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE IN MOLDOVA</i>	Oyunbold Ganchimeg <i>THE BANK OF MONGOLIA</i>	Ognjen Cipovic <i>HARRISONS SOLICITORS</i>	Sead Salkovic <i>FINANCEPLUS</i>	Mahat Chraibi <i>PwC ADVISORY MAROC</i>
Alexandru Sipitca <i>ICS ERNST AND YOUNG SRL</i>	Selenge Gantulga <i>MAHONEY LIOTTA LLP</i>	Milan Dakic <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Slaven Šćepanović <i>LEGAL CONSULTANT</i>	Driss Debbagh <i>KETTANI LAW FIRM</i>
Adrian Sorocean <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Tuvshin Javkhlan <i>DELOITTE</i>	ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Marko Tintor <i>CENTRAL BANK OF MONTENEGRO</i>	Hamid Errida <i>ACCOUNTHINK MAROC SARLAU</i>
Mariana Stratan <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>	Bat-Ulzii Lkhaasuren <i>MONSAR LLC</i>	Mesud Delagić <i>LAW OFFICE VUJAČIĆ</i>	Danka Tošković <i>LAW OFFICE VUJAČIĆ</i>	Driss Ettaki <i>ADMINISTRATION DES DOUANES ET IMPOTS INDIRECTS</i>
Lilia Tapu <i>PwC MOLDOVA</i>	Ganzorig Luvsan <i>UBEDN</i>	Savo Djurović <i>ADRIATIC MARINAS D.O.O.</i>	Vera Vucelic <i>HARRISONS SOLICITORS</i>	Nadia Fajr <i>AVOCATE AU BARREAU DE CASABLANCA</i>
Cristina Tiscul-Diaconu <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Daniel Mahoney <i>MAHONEY LIOTTA LLP</i>	Dragan Draca <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Saša Vujačić <i>LAW OFFICE VUJAČIĆ</i>	Youssef Fassi Fihri <i>FYBA LAWYERS</i>
Alexander Tuceac <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>	Bayarmanla Manljav <i>MONGOLYN ALT (MAK) CORPORATION</i>	Veliselin Dragičević <i>CHAMBER OF ECONOMY OF MONTENEGRO, SECTOR FOR ASSOCIATIONS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>	Jelena Vujišić <i>LAW OFFICE VUJASIĆ</i>	Houda Habachi <i>BAKOUCHE & HABACHI - HB LAW FIRM LLP</i>
Alexander Turcan <i>TURCAN CAZAC</i>	Christopher Melville <i>HOGAN LOVELLS</i>	Sladana Dragović <i>NORMAL COMPANY</i>	Tatjana Vujosevic <i>MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM</i>	Kamal Habachi <i>BAKOUCHE & HABACHI - HB LAW FIRM LLP</i>
Irina Verhovetchi <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Tsogt Natsagdorj <i>TSOGT & NANDIN</i>	Dragana Filipovic <i>MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM</i>	Lana Vukmirovic Misic <i>HARRISONS SOLICITORS</i>	Amin Hajji <i>HAJJI & ASSOCIES</i>
Carolina Vieru <i>IM PAA SRL</i>	Enkhtsetseg Nergui <i>ANAND ADVOCATES LAW FIRM</i>	Mile Gujić <i>NORMAL COMPANY</i>	Sandra Zdravkovic <i>MONTECCO INC D.O.O.</i>	Djamilia Hamel <i>OULAMINE LAW GROUP</i>
Marina Zanoga <i>ACI PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Bayarsaikhan Nyamragchaa <i>TSAST CONSTRUCTION LLC</i>	Danilo Gvozdenović <i>MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM</i>	Djordje Zejak <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Mehdi Kettani <i>DLA PIPER</i>
MONGOLIA				
Amarmurun Amartuvshin <i>LEHMAN, LEE & XU</i>	Munkhsoyombo Nyamsuren <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>	Mile Gujić <i>NORMAL COMPANY</i>	ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Nadia Kettani <i>KETTANI LAW FIRM</i>
Odgerel Amgalan <i>MONLOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLC</i>	Nomindari Otgonbayar <i>MAHONEY LIOTTA LLP</i>	Ana Jankov <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Abdelkrim Karim Adyel <i>CABINET ADYEL</i>	Rita Kettani <i>KETTANI LAW FIRM</i>
Tumurkhuyag Azjargal <i>MONGOLIAN NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION ASSOCIATION</i>	Oyunseren Oyunbat <i>MONGOLIAN NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION ASSOCIATION</i>	ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Amina Ammor <i>CREDITINFO MAROC</i>	Yassir Khalil <i>YASSIR STUDIO</i>
Hishigtaya B. <i>Tsast CONSTRUCTION LLC</i>	Ariuntuya Rentsen <i>MAHONEY LIOTTA LLP</i>	Maja Jokanović <i>MINISTRY OF ECONOMY</i>	Maïlis Andrieu <i>CHASSANY WATRELOT & ASSOCIES</i>	Mohammed Lahlou <i>ETUDE DE NOTARIAT MODERNE</i>
Telenged Baast <i>MONLOGISTICS WORLDWIDE LLC</i>	Gandolgor Sambuu <i>ERDENET TEX CORPORATION</i>	Nada Jovanovic <i>CENTRAL BANK OF MONTENEGRO</i>	Redouane Assakhen <i>CENTRE RÉGIONAL D'INVESTISSEMENT</i>	Nabyl Lakhdar <i>ADMINISTRATION DES DOUANES ET IMPOTS INDIRECTS</i>
Lkhagvasuren Baigal <i>MONGOLIAN NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION ASSOCIATION</i>	Tumurkhuu Sukgabaatar <i>UBEDN</i>	Jelena Jovetic <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Salima Bakouchi <i>BAKOUCHE & HABACHI - HB LAW FIRM LLP</i>	Zineb Laraqui <i>CABINET ZINEB LARAQUI</i>
Molor Bakhdal <i>TSETS LLP</i>	Oyun Surenjav <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	Milica Jovicevic <i>MONTENOMAX</i>	Fassi-Fihri Bassamat <i>CABINET BASSAMAT & ASSOCIEE</i>	Mohamed Amine Mahboub <i>ETUDE DE ME MAHBOUB</i>
Nandinchimeg Banzragch <i>TSOGT & NANDIN</i>	Bolortulgag Tsedendamba <i>PwC MONGOLIA</i>	Radoš-Lolo Kastratović <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>	Maria Belafia <i>ETUDE MAÎTRE BELAFIA</i>	Amine Mahfoud <i>AMINE MAHFLOUD NOTAIRE</i>
Uranzaya Batdorj <i>TSETS LLP</i>	Enkhtuvshin Tsetsegmaa <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	Bojana Krkovic <i>BUSINESS CENTER ČELEBIĆ</i>	Toufiq Benali <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'AMÉNAGEMENT DU TERRITOIRE</i>	Noureddine Marzouk <i>PwC ADVISORY MAROC</i>
Javkhlan Batmunkh <i>ANAND ADVOCATES LAW FIRM</i>	Ganzaya Tsogtgerel <i>ANDERSON AND ANDERSON LLP</i>	Ana Krsmanović <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Meriem Benis <i>HAJJI & ASSOCIES</i>	Kenza Mejbar <i>CREDITINFO MAROC</i>
Azzaya Batsuuri <i>ELECTROSETIPROJECT, LLC</i>	Dudgen Turbat <i>THE BANK OF MONGOLIA</i>	Elma Kurtanovic <i>HARRISONS SOLICITORS</i>	Azel-Arab Benjelloun <i>AGENCE D'ARCHITECTURE D'URBANISME ET DE DECORATION</i>	Abdelkhalek Merzouki <i>ADMINISTRATION DES DOUANES ET IMPOTS INDIRECTS</i>
Solongo Battulga <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>	Bolormaa Volodya <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>	Nikola Martinović <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>	Badria Benjelloun <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'AMÉNAGEMENT DU TERRITOIRE</i>	Adil Morsad <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MORSAD</i>
Altanduulga Bazarragchaa <i>UBEDN</i>	Khosbayar Zorig <i>ARLEX CONSULTING SERVICES</i>	Milomir Matovic <i>BOJOVIC & PARTNERS</i>	Meriem Benzakour <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MORSAD</i>	Ahmed Morsad <i>CABINET D'AVOCATS MORSAD</i>
David Beckstead <i>LEHMAN, LEE & XU</i>	MONTENEGRO	Edita Mehović <i>LAW OFFICE VUJAČIĆ</i>	Oussama Boualam <i>LYDEC</i>	Réda Oulamine <i>OULAMINE LAW GROUP</i>
Jacob Blacklock <i>LEHMAN, LEE & XU</i>	Veselin Anđušić <i>BUSINESS CENTER ČELEBIĆ</i>	Milica Milanovic <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i>	Abderrahim Outass <i>FONCTION LIBÉRALE</i>	
Bayar Budragchaa <i>ELC LLP ADVOCATES</i>	Asheet Awasthi <i>AMERINDE CONSOLIDATED, INC.</i>	Novica Pesic <i>PESIC & BAUCETA</i>		
Tsendmaa Choijamts <i>PwC MONGOLIA</i>	Tara Bogdan <i>AMERINDE CONSOLIDATED, INC.</i>	Zorica Pesic Bajceta <i>PESIC & BAUCETA</i>		
Khatanbat Dashdarjaa <i>ARLEX CONSULTING SERVICES</i>	Marija Bojović <i>BOJOVIC & PARTNERS</i>	Luka Popovic <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>		
Zoljargal Dashnyam <i>GTS ADVOCATES LLP</i>		ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW		

Hassane Rahmoun <i>ETUDE NOTARIALE HASSANE RAHMOUN</i>	Abdul Satar Hamid <i>BDO MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Thomas Chan <i>KPMG (ADVISORY) MYANMAR LTD.</i>	Su Wai Phyoe <i>ZICOLAW MYANMAR LTD.</i>	Edward Kawesha <i>CITY OF WINDHOEK ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT</i>
Mohamed Rifi <i>PwC ADVISORY MAROC</i>	Fabricia Henriques <i>HENRIQUES, ROCHA & ASSOCIADOS (MOZAMBIQUE LEGAL CIRCLE ADVOGADOS)</i>	Stefan Chapman <i>BERWIN LEIGHTON PAISNER MYANMAR</i>	Alessio Polastri <i>POLASTRI WINT & PARTNERS</i>	Frank Köpplinger <i>KÖPPLINGER BOLTMAN</i>
Nesrine Roudane <i>NERO BOUTIQUE LAW FIRM</i>	Zara Jamal <i>FERREIRA ROCHA & ADVOGADOS</i>	Mark D'Alelio <i>SELVAM & PARTNERS</i>	Key Pwint Phoo Wai <i>CARE FREIGHT SERVICES LTD.</i>	Cameron Kotze <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>
Kenza Yamani <i>CHASSANY WATRELLOT & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Adriano João <i>PwC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	William Greenlee <i>DFDL</i>	San Lwin <i>JLPW LEGAL SERVICES</i>	Norbert Liebich <i>TRANSWORLD CARGO PTY. LTD.</i>
Meryem Zoubir <i>CHASSANY WATRELLOT & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Katia Jussub <i>CM&A - CARLOS MARTINES & ASSOCIADOS</i>	Daw Mary Htwe <i>HITACHI SOE ELECTRIC & MACHINERY CO., LTD.</i>	Kyaw Soe Min <i>MYANMA APEX BANK</i>	Prisca Mandimika <i>MINISTRY OF LANDS AND RESETTLEMENT</i>
MOZAMBIQUE				
AVM ADVOGADOS MOZAMBIQUE	Gimina Langa <i>SAL & CALDEIRA, ADVOGADOS, LDA.</i>	Kyawt Kay Khaing <i>UNITED AMARA BANK LIMITED</i>	Kevin Thant Aung <i>FIFTH GENERATION CO. LTD.</i>	Marie Mandy <i>MMM CONSULTANCY</i>
Luís Antunes <i>LUFTEC – TÉCNICAS ELÉCTRICAS, LDA.</i>	Rui Loforte <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Yu Lin Khoo <i>ZICOLAW MYANMAR LTD.</i>	Min Thein <i>U Myint Thein MYINT THEIN & SON</i>	Johan Nel <i>PwC NAMIBIA</i>
Henrique Castro Amaro Arqto Castro Amaro <i>AMARO ARQUITECTOS E ASSOCIADOS LDA</i>	Fernanda Lopes <i>FERNANDA LÓPES & ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>	U Nyein Kyaw <i>U MOE KYAW AYE MYANMAR CUSTOMS</i>	U Tet Htut Aung <i>MINISTRY OF FINANCE</i>	Mari-Nelia Nieuwoudt <i>PwC NAMIBIA</i>
Carolina Balate <i>PwC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Mara Lopes <i>HENRIQUES, ROCHA & ASSOCIADOS (MOZAMBIQUE LEGAL CIRCLE ADVOGADOS)</i>	Yan Lin <i>YANGON CITY ELECTRICITY SUPPLY BOARDS</i>	Hnin Thet Wai <i>ZICOLAW MYANMAR LTD.</i>	Tim Parkhouse <i>NAMIBIAN EMPLOYER'S FEDERATION</i>
Ebrahim Bhikhá <i>PwC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Yussuf Mahomed <i>KPMG AUDITORES E CONSULTORES SA</i>	Zaw Lin Aung <i>KBZ BANK (KANBAWZA BANK LTD.)</i>	Lucy Wayne Mbe <i>LUCY WAYNE & ASSOCIATES LIMITED</i>	Lukas Siremo <i>CITY OF WINDHOEK ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT</i>
Abubacar Calú <i>ELECTROVISA LDA</i>	Carlos Martins <i>CM&A - CARLOS MARTINES & ASSOCIADOS</i>	Moe Lwin <i>MOE LWIN MOE & TUN ASSOCIATES LIMITED</i>	Htut Khaung Win <i>YANGON CITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</i>	Johny M. Smith <i>WALVIS BAY CORRIDOR GROUP</i>
Eduardo Calú <i>SAL & CALDEIRA ADVOGADOS LDA</i>	João Martins <i>PwC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Oliver Thant Lwin <i>FIFTH GENERATION CO. LTD.</i>	Zaw Win <i>YANGON CITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE</i>	Helmut Stolze <i>CONRADIE & DAMASEB</i>
Adelia Canda <i>SILVA GARCIA ADVOGADOS & CONSULTORES</i>	Jean-Louis Neves Mandelli <i>SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP</i>	Yu Lwin <i>MYAWADDY BANK LIMITED</i>	Cho Cho Wynn <i>MINISTRY OF NATIONAL PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>	Axel Stritter <i>ENGLING, STRITTER & PARTNERS</i>
Alexandra Carvalho Monjardino <i>ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>	Ilídio Nhamahango <i>BDO MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Marlar Mala Dutta <i>BAY LINE SHIPPING PTE LTD.</i>	NAMIBIA	Andre Swanepoel <i>DR. WEDER, KAUTA & HOVEKA INC.</i>
Natércio Chambule <i>MAPUTO CITY COURT (COMMERCIAL CHAMBER)</i>	Diana Ramalho <i>SAL & CALDEIRA ADVOGADOS LDA</i>	Mar Mar Aung <i>DFDL</i>	Gino Absa <i>KPMG ADVISORY SERVICES (NAMIBIA) (PTY) LTD.</i>	Erentia Tromp <i>INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF NAMIBIA</i>
Pedro Chilengue <i>MOTT MACDONALD PDNA MOÇAMBIQUE, LDA</i>	Malaika Ribeiro <i>PwC MOZAMBIQUE</i>	Ah Lonn Maung <i>DFDL</i>	Joos Agenbach <i>KOEP & PARTNERS</i>	Hugo Van den Berg <i>KOEP & PARTNERS</i>
Pedro Couto <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Liana Utxavo <i>MANICA FREIGHT SERVICES SARL</i>	Aye Chan Maung <i>HITACHI SOE ELECTRIC & MACHINERY CO., LTD.</i>	Tiaan Bazuin <i>NAMIBIAN STOCK EXCHANGE</i>	Stefan van Zijl <i>KOEP & PARTNERS</i>
Avelar da Silva <i>INTERTEK INTERNATIONAL LTD.</i>	Cesar Vamos Ver <i>SAL & CALDEIRA, ADVOGADOS, LDA.</i>	U Khin Mg Soe <i>ELECTRIC MFG. CO. LTD.</i>	Adeline Beukes <i>KPMG ADVISORY SERVICES (NAMIBIA) (PTY) LTD.</i>	Nevadia van Zyl <i>Dr. WEDER, KAUTA & HOVEKA INC.</i>
Thera Dai <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Joaquim Vilanculos <i>FERNANDA LÓPES & ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>	Alex Minn Thu Aung <i>HELO BUSINESS CORPORATION</i>	Clifford Bezuidenhout <i>ENGLING, STRITTER & PARTNERS</i>	NEPAL
Carla de Sousa <i>FERNANDA LOPES & ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>	AGX LOGISTICS <i>MYANMAR CO. LTD.</i>	Yee Mon Mon <i>YANGON CITY ELECTRICITY SUPPLY BOARDS</i>	Benita Blume <i>H.D. BOSSAU & CO.</i>	Lalit Aryal <i>LA & ASSOCIATES CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>
Alferio Dgedge <i>FERNANDA LOPES & ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS, LDA</i>	DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL LAND MANAGEMENT AND STATISTICS	Cho Cho Myint <i>INTERACTIVE CO. LTD.</i>	Hanno D. Bossau <i>H.D. BOSSAU & CO.</i>	Narayan Bajaj <i>NARAYAN BAJAJ & ASSOCIATES</i>
Fulgêncio Dimande <i>MANICA FREIGHT SERVICES SARL</i>	MYANMAR GLOBAL LAW FIRM	Kyaw Swa Myint <i>RÖDL & PARTNER CO. LTD.</i>	Dirk Hendrik Conradie <i>CONRADIE & DAMASEB</i>	Jaya Raj Bhandari <i>NEPAL ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY</i>
Vanessa Fernandes <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS <i>MYANMAR CO. LTD.</i>	Si Thu Myint Swe <i>S T & T ARCHITECTS</i>	Myra Craven <i>ENS</i>	Tulasi Bhatta <i>UNITY LAW FIRM & CONSULTANCY</i>
Telmo Ferreira <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	TIELKE & GIBBINS MYANMAR LTD.	Win Naing <i>LUCY WAYNE & ASSOCIATES LIMITED</i>	André Davids <i>MAERSK LINE</i>	BM Dhungana <i>B&B ASSOCIATES - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Maria Fatima Fonseca <i>MAPUTO CITY COURT (COMMERCIAL CHAMBER)</i>	WIN THIN & ASSOCIATES	Minn Naing Oo <i>ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP</i>	Luziem Diergaardt <i>TRANSWORLD CARGO PTY. LTD.</i>	Naresh Giri <i>ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Jorge Graça <i>CGA - COUTO, GRAÇA E ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS</i>	Quamruddin Ahmed <i>BAY LINE SHIPPING PTE LTD.</i>	Ursus-Mortimer Negenborn <i>RÖDL & PARTNER CO. LTD.</i>	Britt du Plessis <i>STANDARD BANK NAMIBIA</i>	Sunil Gupta <i>GUPTA COUNSEL</i>
Nilza Guivala <i>FERNANDA LOPES & ASSOCIADOS ADVOGADOS</i>	Vacheslav Baksheev <i>DFDL</i>	Sa Sa Nyunt <i>INTERACTIVE CO. LTD.</i>	Marcha Erni <i>TRANSUNION</i>	Gourish K. Kharel <i>KTO INC.</i>
	Jürgen Baur <i>RÖDL & PARTNER CO. LTD.</i>	Wint Thandar Oo <i>POLASTRI WINT & PARTNERS</i>	Johann Espag <i>CLARKE ARCHITECTS</i>	Parsuram Koirala <i>KOIRALA & ASSOCIATES</i>
	Sam Britton <i>ZICOLAW MYANMAR LTD.</i>	Hiroyuki Ota <i>Sebastian Pawlita LINCOLN LEGAL SERVICES (MYANMAR) LTD.</i>	Ulrich Etzold <i>ETZOLD-DUVENHAGE FIRM</i>	Amir Maherjan <i>SAFE CONSULTING ARCHITECTS & ENGINEERS PVT. LTD.</i>
	Jaime Casanova <i>DFDL</i>	Claudia Petrat <i>RAJAH & TANN LLP</i>	Ismeralda Hangue <i>DEEDS OFFICE</i>	Bikash Malla Thakuri <i>UNITY LAW FIRM & CONSULTANCY</i>
	Francesco Cassinero <i>DFDL</i>		Stefan Hugo <i>PwC NAMIBIA</i>	Anjan Neupane <i>NEUPANE LAW ASSOCIATES</i>
			Stefan Hyman <i>H.D. BOSSAU & CO.</i>	Matrika Niraula <i>NIRaula LAW CHAMBER & Co.</i>

Arun Pant <i>DESIGN CELL LTD.</i>	Marcel Kettenis <i>PwC NETHERLANDS</i>	Philip Coombe <i>PANALPINA WORLD TRANSPORT LLP</i>	Murray Tingey <i>BELL GULLY</i>	Rodrigo Ibarra Rodney <i>ARIAS & MUÑOZ</i>
Dev Raj Paudyal <i>UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND</i>	Edwin M.A.J. Kleefstra <i>STOLP+KAB ADVISEURS EN ACCOUNTANTS BV</i>	John Cuthbertson <i>PWC NEW ZEALAND</i>	Ben Upton <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Myriam Jarquín <i>IPRA-CINDER</i>
Purnachitra Pradhan <i>KARJA SUCHANA KENDRA LTD. (CIB)</i>	Christian Koedam <i>PwC NETHERLANDS</i>	Corey Dixon <i>PWC NEW ZEALAND</i>	Simon Vannini	Eduardo Lacayo <i>TRANSUNION</i>
Sakar Pradhan <i>INTER-SPACE DESIGN GROUP</i>	Gerard Koster <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	Igor Drinkovic <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Richard Wilson <i>JACKSON RUSSELL</i>	Brenda Ninoska Martínez Aragón <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>
Anup Raj Upadhyay <i>PIONEER LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Ashton Dunn <i>ASTECH ELECTRICAL LTD.</i>			José Ivan Mejía Miranda <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>
Rajan Sharma <i>NEPAL FREIGHT FORWARDERS ASSOCIATION</i>	Ian Gault <i>BELL GULLY</i>			Alvaro Molina <i>MOLINA & ASOCIADOS CENTRAL LAW</i>
Deepak K. Shrestha <i>NEPAL INVESTMENT BANK</i>	Andy Glenie <i>BELL GULLY</i>			Soraya Montoya Herrera <i>MOLINA & ASOCIADOS CENTRAL LAW</i>
P. L. Shrestha <i>EVERGREEN CARGO SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Lucy Harris <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>			Norma Elena Morales Barquero <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>
Rajeshwor Shrestha <i>SINHA VERMA LAW CONCERN</i>	James Hawes <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>			Jeaneth Morales Núñez <i>SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS</i>
Suman Lal Shrestha <i>H.R. LOGISTIC PVT. LTD.</i>	Paul Heaslip <i>PAUL HEASLIP LAWYER</i>			Tania Muñoz <i>KPMG</i>
Mahesh Kumar Thapa <i>SINHA VERMA LAW CONCERN</i>	Arun Jain <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>			Alonso Porras <i>PACHECO COTO</i>
NETHERLANDS				
Joost Achterberg <i>KENNEDY VAN DER LAAN</i>	Jeroen Postma <i>KENNEDY VAN DER LAAN</i>	Paul Jennings <i>JACKSON RUSSELL</i>	Ana Carolina Baquero Urroz <i>LATIN ALLIANCE</i>	Jessica Porras Martinez <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>
Maarten Appels <i>VAN DOORNE NV</i>	Peter Radema <i>MERZARIO</i>	Matthew Kersey <i>RUSSELL MCVEAGH</i>	Minerva Adriana Bellorín Rodríguez <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Olga Renee Torres <i>LATIN ALLIANCE</i>
Mieke Bestebreurtje <i>LEEMAN VERHEIJDEN HUNTJENS ADVOCATEN</i>	Hugo Reumkens <i>VAN DOORNE NV</i>	Bernard Lagane <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	Flavio Andrés Berrios Zepeda <i>MULTICONSULT & CIA LTDA</i>	Erwin Rodriguez <i>PwC NICARAGUA</i>
Reint Bolhuis <i>AKD LAWYERS & CIVIL LAW NOTARIES</i>	Jan Willem Schenk <i>HVK STEVENS LEGAL B.V.</i>	Jeffrey Lai <i>ANDERSON CREAGH LAI LIMITED</i>	Blanca Buitrago <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>	Ricardo Trillos Rodriguez <i>MULTITRANS</i>
Matthijs Bolkenstein <i>EVERSHEDS B.V.</i>	Rutger Schimmelpenninck <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	Kate Lane <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Rodolfo Cano <i>DISNORTE-DISSUR</i>	Patricia Rodríguez <i>MULTICONSULT & CIA LTDA</i>
Syts Boonstra <i>PwC NETHERLANDS</i>	Jack Schrijver <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	Michael Langdon <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Orlando Cardozo <i>BUFETE JURIDICO OBREGON Y ASOCIADOS</i>	Hansel Saborio <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>
Peter Bouterse <i>OCEANEXPRESS NETHERLANDS BV</i>	Fedor Tanke <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	Dan Lowe <i>GRANT THORNTON AUCKLAND LIMITED</i>	Thelma Carrion <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	Alfonso José Sandino Granera <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>
Roland Brandsma <i>PwC NETHERLANDS</i>	Jaap Jan Trommel <i>NAUTADUTILH ATTORNEYS</i>	Himmy Lui <i>BELL GULLY</i>	Tito Castillo <i>INNCSA</i>	Oscar A. Silva Peter <i>DELANEY & ABOGADOS</i>
Mirjam de Blecourt <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	Manon Ultee <i>PwC NETHERLANDS</i>	Mandy McDonald <i>MINISTRY OF BUSINESS, INNOVATION & EMPLOYMENT</i>	Francisco Castro <i>PWC NICARAGUA</i>	Maryleing Suyen Guevara Sequira <i>ARIAS & MUÑOZ</i>
Margriet de Boer <i>JUST LITIGATION ADVOCATUUR B.V.</i>	Gert-Jan van Gijss <i>VAT LOGISTICS (OCEAN FREIGHT) BV</i>	Andrew Minturn <i>QUALTECH INTERNATIONAL LTD.</i>	Jorge Cubillo <i>INGERSA INGENIERIA Y SERVICIOS SA</i>	Rodrigo Taboada <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>
Wyneke de Gelder <i>PwC NETHERLANDS</i>	Sjaak van Leeuwen <i>STICHTING BUREAU KREDIET REGISTRatie</i>	Nick Moffatt <i>BELL GULLY</i>	Brenda Darce <i>CETREX</i>	Carlos Téllez <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>
Taco de Lange <i>AKD LAWYERS & CIVIL LAW NOTARIES</i>	Jan van Oorschot <i>LIANDER</i>	Phillipa Muir <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Miriam Espinosa <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	Joe Henry Thompson <i>Diógenes Velásquez V. PACHECO COTO</i>
Rolef de Weijns <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	IJsbrand Van Straten <i>STIBBE</i>	Robert Muir <i>LAND INFORMATION NEW ZEALAND</i>	Ana Gabriel Espinoza <i>ARIAS & MUÑOZ</i>	Gustavo Viales
Noël Ellens <i>FRUYTIER LAWYERS IN BUSINESS</i>	Frédéric Verhoeven <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	Geof Nightingale <i>PWC NEW ZEALAND</i>	Diana Fonseca <i>ARIAS & MUÑOZ</i>	
Arjan Enneman <i>EXPATAX BV</i>	Reinout Vriesendorp <i>DE BRAUW BLACKSTONE WESTBROEK</i>	Ian Page <i>BRANZ</i>	Luis Fuentes Balladares <i>ARQUITECTURA FUENTES</i>	
Ingrid Greveling <i>NAUTADUTILH ATTORNEYS</i>	Stephan Westera <i>LEXENCE</i>	Chris Park <i>PWC NEW ZEALAND</i>	Terencio Garcia Montenegro <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>	
Jan Hockx <i>LEXENCE</i>	Marcel Willems <i>KENNEDY VAN DER LAAN</i>	Mihai Pascariu <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Claudia Guevara <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	
Niels Huurdeman <i>HOUTHOFF BURUMA</i>	Bianco Witjes <i>LIANDER</i>	Jose Paul <i>AUCKLAND CITY COUNCIL</i>	Federico Gurdian <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>	
Alfred Kers <i>BDO CAMPSOBERS ACCOUNTANTS & BELASTINGADVISEURS</i>	Marleen Zandbergen <i>NAUTADUTILH ATTORNEYS</i>	David Quigg <i>QUIGG PARTNERS</i>	Eduardo Gutierrez <i>PACHECO COTO</i>	
Ilse Kersten <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE AMSTERDAM NV</i>	NEW ZEALAND			
Michael Brosnanah <i>MINISTRY OF BUSINESS, INNOVATION & EMPLOYMENT</i>	Michael Brosnanah <i>MINISTRY OF BUSINESS, INNOVATION & EMPLOYMENT</i>	John Rooney <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Marianela Gutierrez <i>AGUILAR CASTILLO LOVE</i>	
Paul Chambers <i>ANDERSON CREAGH LAI LIMITED</i>	Silvana Schenone <i>MINTER ELLISON RUDD WATTS</i>	Andrew Tetzlaff <i>SIMPSON GRIERSON, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Denisse Gutierrez Rayo <i>GARCIA & BODÁN</i>	
			Gerardo Hernandez <i>CONSORTIUM LEGAL</i>	

Sidi Sanoussi Baba Sidi CABINET D'AVOCATS SOUNA-COULIBALY	Souleymane Sylla CREDITINFO VoLo	Halimah Ahmed PWC NIGERIA	Emmanuel Egwuagu OBLA & Co.	Abubakar Mahmoud DIKKO AND MAHMOUD SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES
Issouf Baco SOCIÉTÉ NIÉGUÉRIENNE DE TRANSIT (NITRA)	Dominique Taty PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Michael Ajaegbo ALLIANCE LAW FIRM	Oyindamola Ehiwere UDO UDOMA & BELO-OOSAGIE	Bello Mahmud CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION
Altiné Amadou Belko CREDITINFO VoLo	Idrissa Tchernaka ÉTUDE D'AVOCATS MARC LE BIHAN & COLLABORATEURS	Kunle Ajagbe PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS	Nnenna Ejekam NNENNA EJEKAM ASSOCIATES	Tosanbami Mene-Afejuku AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Amadou Boukar CELLULE DE PARTENARIAT PUBLIC PRIVÉ	Fousséni Traoré PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Olaoluwa Ajala GBENGA BIOBAKU & Co.	Ijezie Emedosi ALUKO & OYEBODE	Victor Munis TRLPLAW
Moustapha Boukari CABINET BOUKARI	Ramatou Wankoye OFFICE NOTARIAL ÉTUDE WANKOYE	Ayodele Ajayi SPA AJIBADE & Co.	Harrison Emmanuel ABDULAI, TAIWO & Co.	Ugochi Ndebbio KPMG
Moussa Coulibaly CABINET D'AVOCATS SOUNA-COULIBALY	Hamadou Yacouba ÉTUDE DE MAÎTRE DODO DAN GADO HAOUA	Konyin Ajayi OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Samuel Etuk 1ST ATTORNEYS	Juliet Ndoh IMO STATE UNIVERSITY
Moussa Dantia MAISON DE L'ENTREPRISE NIGER	Wouro Yahia ÉTUDE D'AVOCATS MARC LE BIHAN & COLLABORATEURS	Babatunde Ajibade SPA AJIBADE & Co.	Chibuzo Ezezgamba OBLA & Co.	Justine Nidiya CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION
Aïssatou Djibo ÉTUDE DE MAÎTRE DJIBO AÏSSATOU	Souleymane Yankori SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS YANKORI ET ASSOCIÉS	Blessing Ajunwo ALLIANCE LAW FIRM	Anse Agu Ezetah CHIEF LAW AGU EZETAH & Co.	Victor Nwakasi OLISA AGBAKOBA & ASSOCIATES
Mai Moussa Ellahadj Basshir TRIBUNAL DE GRANDE INSTANCE HORS CLASSE DE NIAMEY	Ali Yeya DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS	Ahmed Akanbi AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Lateef O. Fagbemi San LATEEF O. FAGBEMI SAN & Co.	Victor Obaro LIBRA LAW OFFICE
Ismael Ganda CHAMBRE NATIONALE DES NOTAIRES DU NIGER	NIGERIA	Raodat Akanji-Aderibigbe KPMG	Babatunde Fagbohunlu ALUKO & OYEBODE	V. Uche Obi ALLIANCE LAW FIRM
Joseph Gbegnon CREDITINFO VoLo	DE SPLENDOR SOLICITORS	Olatoye Akinboro KPMG	Olubunmi Fayokun ALUKO & OYEBODE	Godwin Obla OBLA & Co.
Abder Rhamane Halidou Abdoulaye CHAMBRE NATIONALE DES NOTAIRES DU NIGER	Reason Abajuo OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Dafe Akpeneye PWC NIGERIA	Yetunde Filani WTS ADEBIYI & ASSOCIATES	Chijioke Odo DELOITTE
Souley Hammi Illiassou CABINET KOUAOVI	Ijeoma Abalogu GBENGA BIOBAKU & Co.	Folake Alabi OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Fatai Folarin DELOTTE	Onyinye Odogwu PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS
Moussa Douma Hmidou MINISTÈRE DE LA JUSTICE	Abdullateef Abdul IKEYI & ARIFAYAN	Ezinne Alajemba AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Bolaji Gabari SPA AJIBADE & Co.	Oluwakemi Oduntan JADE & STONE SOLICITORS
Diori Maïmouna Idi Malé LAITIÈRE DU SAHEL SARL	Ismail Abdulaziz POINTBLANK ATTORNEYS	Usman Aliyu Mahmud NIGERIAN COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION	Abba Galadima KANO URBAN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Anthony Ogbuanu PWC NIGERIA
Ali Idrissa Souenna TOUTELEC NIGER SA	Lateefah Abdulkareem LATEEF O. FAGBEMI SAN & Co.	Jonathan Aluju OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Sagir Gezawa S. S. GEZAWA & Co.	Nelson Ogbuanya NOCS CONSULTS
Seybou Issifi URBAMED CONSULT	Fariha Abdullahi DIKKO AND MAHMOUD SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES	Chioma Amadi AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Lateefat Hakeem-Bakare AJUMOGOBIA & OKEKE	Godson Ogheonechukwu UDO UDOMA & BELO-OOSAGIE
Habibou Kane Kadoure AGENCE PROJEDIS AFRIQUE	Innocent Abidoye NNENNA EJEKAM ASSOCIATES	Nnenna Anowai KPMG	Aminu Isa Hashim Ibrahim Hashim	Ozofu Ogiemudia UDO UDOMA & BELO-OOSAGIE
Bernard-Olivier Kouaovi CABINET KOUAOVI	Lemea Abina STERLING PARTNERSHIP	Sola Arifayan IKEYI & ARIFAYAN	Abukar Ibrahim PWC NIGERIA	Alayo Ogunbiyi ABDULAI, TAIWO & Co.
Faty Balla Lo CREDITINFO VoLo	Oluseyi Abiodun Akinwunmi AKINWUNMI & BUSARI LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Temitayo Arikenbi CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED	Ezinne Igbowewe AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Peter Ogundele ELEKTRINT (NIGERIA) LIMITED
Boubacra Mai Aiki MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DU LOGEMENT	Alhaji Garba Abubakar CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION	Oluseye Arowolo DELOTTE	Chidinma Ihemedu ALLIANCE LAW FIRM	Yvonne Ogunoiki IKEYI & ARIFAYAN
Sabiou Mamane Naïssa TRIBUNAL DE COMMERCE DE NIAMEY	Olaaleye Adebiyi WTS ADEBIYI & ASSOCIATES	Oluwapelumi Asiwaju G. ELIAS & CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES	Bukola Iji SPA AJIBADE & Co.	Adebola Ogunsanya OLANIWUN AJAYI LP
Adeline Messou Couassi-Blé PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Kunle Adegbite CANAAN SOLICITORS	Popoola Atilola Omosanya LATEEF O. FAGBEMI SAN & Co.	Emmanuel Ikeakonwu DELOTTE	Oladimeji Ojo ALUKO & OYEBODE
André Abboh Joseph Monso PWC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Bode Adegoke BLOOMFIELD LAW PRACTICE	Ebunoluwa Awosika AJUMOGOBIA & OKEKE	Nduka Ikeyi IKEYI & ARIFAYAN	Cindy Ojogbo OLANIWUN AJAYI LP
Sadou Mounkaila HASKÉ SOLAIRE	Steve Adehi STEVE ADEHI AND CO.	Zainab Babalola AKINWUNMI & BUSARI LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Meshach Ikpe ABUBAKAR D. SANI & Co.	Chudi Ojukwu INFRASTRUCTURE CONSULTING PARTNERSHIP
Yayé Mounkaila CABINET D'AVOCATS MOUNKAÏLA-NIANDOU	Oni-Orisan Aderemi Ademola MINISTRY OF PHYSICAL PLANNING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT	Bisola Babington PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS	Olakekan Ikuomola SPA AJIBADE & Co.	Ikenna Okafor PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS
Ali Hamidou Nafissatou CELLULE DE PARTENARIAT PUBLIC PRIVÉ	Adekunle Adewale JACKSON, ETI & EDU	Gilbert Benson-Olaideinbo G. ELIAS & CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES	Ifedayo Iroche PERCHSTONE & GRAEYS	Ngo-Martins Okonmah ALUKO & OYEBODE
Insa Abari Noufou CELLULE DE PARTENARIAT PUBLIC PRIVÉ	Agbolade Adeyemi UDO UDOMA & BELO-OOSAGIE	Aliyu Yusuf Dada KANO URBAN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Maryam Jaji DIKKO AND MAHMOUD SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES	Chidubem Okoye OLANIWUN AJAYI LP
Mamoudou Ousseini NIGELEC	Mary Adeyi DIKKO AND MAHMOUD SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES	Matthias Dawodu SPA AJIBADE & Co.	Okorie Kalu PUNUKA ATTORNEYS & SOLICITORS	Oluwatosin Okunrinboye AJUMOGOBIA & OKEKE
Adamou Sambaré CREDITINFO VoLo	Albert Adu ALLIANCE LAW FIRM	Obinna Dike ALLIANCE LAW FIRM	Babatunde Kolawole HLB Z.O. OSOSANYA & Co.	Dozie Okwuosah CENTRAL BANK OF NIGERIA
Serge Kouassy Siekou CREDITINFO VoLo	Dayo Adu BLOOMFIELD LAW PRACTICE	Haliru Dikko NIGERIAN ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (NERC)	Mobolaji Ladapo OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Stephen Ola Jagun JAGUN ASSOCIATES

Uma Olugo 1ST ATTORNEYS	Ololade Sowemimo SPA AJIBADE & CO.	Katrine Gjestemoen PWC NORWAY	Hamed Amur Al Hajri OMAN CABLES INDUSTRY (SAOG)	Yasser Taqi SNR DENTON & CO.
Adanna Omaka 1ST ATTORNEYS	Adeola Sunmola UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Erlend Haaskjold ARNTZEN DE BESCHE ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Ahmed Al Khatib SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS	Roy Thomas OMAN CABLES INDUSTRY (SAOG)
Tolulope Omidiji PWC NIGERIA	Rafiu Sunmonu DELMORE ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION COMPANY LIMITED	Johan Astrup Heber WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Al Waleed Al Kiyumi SNR DENTON & CO.	Rajesh Vaidyanathan KHIMJI RAMDAS
Emmanuel Omoju WTS ADEBIYI & ASSOCIATES	Kelechi Ugbeva KCU LEGAL	Hilde Høksnes ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Shariffa Al Maskary INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AUTHORITY	
David Omoloye KANO DISTRIBUTION ELECTRICITY COMPANY	Uchenna Ugonabo OBLA & CO.	Heidi Holmelin ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Hamood Al Rawahi SALEGAL	PAKISTAN
Chris Erhi Omoru ORBIS CHANCERY SOLICITORS	Ovie E. Ukiru AJUMOGOBIA & OKEKE	Odd Hylland PWC NORWAY	Eman Al Shahry SASLO - SAID AL SHAHRY & PARTNERS	MAERSK LINE
Ekundayo Onajobi UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Aniekan Ukpahan UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Anette Istre ADVOKATFIRMA SIMONSEN	Majid Al Toky TROWERS & HAMLINS	PORT LINE SHIPPING & LOGISTIC
Adefunke Onakoya AKINWUNMI & BUSARI LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Adamu M. Usman F.O. AKINRELE & CO.	VOGT WIIG	Fatima Al-Sabahi TROWERS & HAMLINS	PUNJAB BAR COUNCIL
Gabriel Onojason ALLIANCE LAW FIRM	Ebere Uzum UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE	Andreas Jarbø ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Mohammed Alshahri MOHAMMED ALSHABRI & ASSOCIATES	Ghulam Abbas LAND REGISTRY
Kelechi Onouha AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Uchechukwu Wigwe AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Kyrre Width Kielland ADVOKATFIRMA RÆDER DA	Umaima Al-Wahaibi SNR DENTON & CO.	Zaheer Abbas Chughtai QAISER & ABBA'S ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE COUNSELLORS
Joseph Onugwu OLISA AGBAKO & ASSOCIATES	Kamaluddeen Yahaya KAMALUDEEN YAHAYA & CO.	Bente Langsrød ARNTZEN DE BESCHE ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Ahmed Amar Al Esry ERNST & YOUNG	Mahmood Abdul Ghani MAHMOOD ABDUL GHANI & CO.
Fred Onuobia G. ELIAS & CO. SOLICITORS AND ADVOCATES	Umar Bala Yahaya KANO URBAN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	Per Einar Lunde PWC NORWAY	Sahar Askalan SALEGAL	Umer Abdullah ABDULLAH & HUSSAIN
Emmanuel Onyilofor OLANIWUN AJAYI LP	Olufunke Yesufu AKINWUNMI & BUSARI LEGAL PRACTITIONERS	Leif Petter Madsen WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Sadaf Buchanan SNR DENTON & CO.	Imran Ahmad STATE BANK OF PAKISTAN
Kola Osholeye ELEKTRINT (NIGERIA) LIMITED	Isma'il M. Zakari AHMED ZAKARI & CO.	Bjørn Rustad Nilssen STATENS KARTVERK	Francis D'Souza	Khalil Ahmad KARIM CHAMBER
Olufemi Ososanya HLB Z.O. OSOSANYA & CO.		William Peter Nordan ADVOKATFIRMA SIMONSEN	Raza Elahi SALEGAL	Nadeem Ahmad ORR, DIGNAM & CO. ADVOCATES
Ignatius Nwosu Owelle HOMELUX CONSTRUCTION & EQUIPMENT CO. LTD.		VOGT WIIG	Jamie Gibson TROWERS & HAMLINS	Taqi Ahmad A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PWC NETWORK
Maryam Oyebode OLANIWUN AJAYI LP		Tore Tosse Notoy PWC NORWAY	Sarah Glover PWC OMAN	Zahra Ahmad EBRAHIM HOSAIN, ADVOCATES AND CORPORATE COUNSEL
Olajumoke Oyebode PWC NIGERIA		Hege Oftedal PWC NORWAY	Justine Harding SNR DENTON & CO.	Munir Ahmad Bhatti MUNIR BHATTI LAW ASSOCIATES
Taiwo Oyedele PwC NIGERIA		Ole Kristian Olsby HOMBLE OLSBY ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Davis Kallukaran HORWATH MAK GHAZALI LLC	Akhtiar Ahmed STATE BANK OF PAKISTAN
Bukola Oyeneyin AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS		Haldis Framstad Skaare STATENS KARTVERK	O.A. Kuraishi HASAN JUMA BACKER TRADING & CONTRACTING	Ijaz Ahmed IJAZ AHMED & ASSOCIATES
Feyisola Oyeti SPA AJIBADE & CO.		Ståle Skutle Arneson ADVOKATFIRMA SIMONSEN	P.E. Lalachen MJ KHALIFA AL HINAI ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANCY	Mansoor Ahmed BOARD OF REVENUE SCANNING UNIT
Femi Oyetosho BIOS 2 LIMITED		VOGT WIIG	Pushpa Malani PwC OMAN	Salahuddin Ahmed MCAS&W LAW ASSOCIATES
Tunde Popoola CRC CREDIT BUREAU LIMITED		Fredrik Sparre-Enger ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Yashpal Mehta	Waqar Ahmed
Temitope Salami AKANBI & WIGWE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS		Carina Strom HOMBLE OLSBY ADVOKATFIRMA AS	Githa Nair CURTIS MALLETT - PREVOST, COLT & MOSLE LLP	Jamil Ahmed Khan ERCTION ENGINEERS AND CONTRACTORS
Simisola Salu PwC NIGERIA		Eli Beck Nilsen PWC NORWAY	Svein Sulland ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Majid Ahmed Khan ERCTION ENGINEERS AND CONTRACTORS
Abubakar Sani ABUBAKAR D. SANI & CO.		Stig Berge ADVOKATFIRMAET THOMMESSEN AS	Liss Sunde ADVOKATFIRMA RÆDER DA	Abbas Ali ERNST & YOUNG
Isiaku Sani DIGIBITS CONTROLS NIGERIA LTD.		Jan L. Backer WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Ragnar Ulsund HAFSLUND	Ashraf Ali ABRAHAM & SARWANA
Yewande Senbore OLANIWUN AJAYI LP		Guro Bakke Haga PWC NORWAY	Kai Solve Urke WIKBORG, REIN & CO.	Tabassum Ali TMT LAW SERVICES
Eric Sesu PwC NIGERIA		Eli Beck Nilsen PWC NORWAY	Oyvind Vagan THE BRONNOYSUND REGISTER CENTER	Liaqat Ali Dolla SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Jameelah Sharrieff-Ayedun CREDIT REGISTRY SERVICES (CREDIT BUREAU) PLC		Per Arne Dæhlí ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA	Øystein Valanes NORWEGIAN SEAFOOD COUNCIL	Ayoob Ali Pathan EXCISE, TAXATION & NARCOTICS DEPARTMENT
Taofeek Shittu IKEYI & ARIFAYAN		Tron Dalheim ARNTZEN DE BESCHE ADVOKATFIRMA AS	OMAN	Syed Ali Zafar MANDIVIWALLA & ZAFAR
Christine Sijuwade UDO UDOMA & BELO-OSAGIE		Lars Davidsen HAFSLUND	Al Busaidy Mansoor JAMAL & CO.	Ali Ameel Malik PARVEZ & COMPANY
Olugbenga Sodipo IKEYI & ARIFAYAN		Lill Egeland ADVOKATFIRMA SIMONSEN	MUSCAT ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY	Armughan Ashfaq SURRIDGE & BEECHENO

Zeeshan Ashraf Meer <i>MEER & HASAN</i>	Dilawar Hussain <i>DS ENGINEERING SERVICES</i>	Rashid Rahman Mir <i>RAHMAN SARFARAZ RAHIM IQBAL RAFIQ - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Abdur Razzaq <i>QAMAR ABBAS & CO.</i>	Murtaza Zahoor <i>CKR & ZIA</i>
Jam Asif Mejmoond <i>AHMED & QAZI</i>	Muhammad Hussain <i>LESCO</i>	Waqqas Mir <i>MOHSIN TAYABALY & CO.</i>	Taffazul Haider Rizvi <i>RIZVI AND RIZVI</i>	Hussain Tahir Zaidi <i>ABDULLAH & HUSSAIN</i>
Zarina Aslam <i>ABRAHAM & SARWANA</i>	Riaz Hussain Rizvi <i>MASS CONSULTANT</i>	Abdul Moeez <i>MEINHARDT PAKISTAN PVT. LTD.</i>	Saad Saboor <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Syed Zeeshan Ali <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>
Ambreen Atta	Ahmad Hyder <i>LAHORE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY (LCCI)</i>	Atif Mufassir <i>DELOTTE YOUSUF ADIL, CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	Ahmed Saeed <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	PALAU
Jahanzeb Awan <i>KHALID ANWER & CO.</i>	Rashid Ibrahim <i>A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PwC NETWORK</i>	Rana Muhammad <i>RANA IJAZ & PARTNERS</i>	Farooq Saeed <i>FAJAR INC.</i>	<i>WESTERN CAROLINE TRADING CO.</i>
Anum Azhar <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Saman Rafat Imtiaz <i>THE LAW OFFICES OF SAMAN R. IMTIAZ</i>	Syed Muhammad Ijaz <i>HUZAIMA & IKRAM</i>	Rana Sajjad <i>RANA IJAZ & PARTNERS</i>	<i>Alfia Alfonso SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER (SBDC)</i>
Shaheryar Aziz <i>A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PwC NETWORK</i>	Zafar Iqbal <i>AZIMUDDIN LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Anwar Kashif Mumtaz <i>SAIDUDDIN & CO.</i>	Aftab Salahuddin <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	<i>Kenneth Barden ATTORNEY-AT-LAW</i>
Nadeem Babar <i>BABAR BUILDERS & DEVELOPERS</i>	Fiza Islam <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Faiza Muzaffar <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Muhammad Saleem Iqbal <i>WINSTON & SALEEM</i>	<i>Kassi Berg THE PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT LAW GROUP</i>
Fawad Baluch <i>KHALID ANWER & CO.</i>	Muzaffar Islam <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Naemuddin N. A. Siddiqui <i>ZCL-ZIAUDDIN AHMED & COMPANY (PVT) LIMITED</i>	Jawad A. Sarwana <i>ABRAHAM & SARWANA</i>	<i>Tito Cabunagan PALAU PUBLIC UTILITY CORPORATION</i>
Hasan Hameed Bhatti	Sidrah Jameel <i>MANDVIWALLA & ZAFAR</i>	Saqib Naseer <i>A.F. FERGUSON & CO., CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS, A MEMBER FIRM OF PwC NETWORK</i>	Hafiz Adnan Sarwar <i>WINSTON & SALEEM</i>	<i>Anthony Frazier</i>
Huzaima Bukhari <i>HUZAIMA & IKRAM</i>	Saila Jamshaid <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	Faryal Nazir <i>EBRAHIM HOSAIN, ADVOCATES AND CORPORATE COUNSEL</i>	Mohammad Ali Seena <i>SURRIDGE & BEECHENO</i>	<i>Ltelat LT Fritz SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER (SBDC)</i>
Waheed Chaudhary <i>LEGIS INN ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE CONSULTANTS</i>	Tariq Nasim Jan <i>DATACHECK PVT. LTD.</i>	Shahid Bhatti Orion <i>ORION</i>	Syed Mansoor Ali Sha <i>HIGH COURT</i>	<i>Larry Goddard THE PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT LAW GROUP</i>
Muhammad Saifullah Chaudhry <i>DEVELOPMENT IMPACT SOLUTIONS (SMC-PVT) LTD.</i>	Assad Ullah Jaral <i>AUI LAWYERS</i>	Nawaz Osmani <i>A. NAWAZ OSMANI LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Huma Shah <i>SHEIKH SHAH RANA & IJAZ</i>	<i>Wilbert Kamerang PALAU SHIPPING COMPANY, INC.</i>
Salman Chima <i>CHIMA & IBRAHIM</i>	Majid Jehangir <i>FAM & PARTNERS</i>	Owais Patel <i>DATACHECK PVT. LTD.</i>	Zulfiqar Shah <i>LAND RECORD MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM</i>	<i>Mouias Kangichi KOROR STATE GOVERNMENT</i>
Khurram Shehzad Chughtai <i>JUS & REM</i>	Bilal Kashmiri <i>ADIL & BILAL</i>	Anees Ahmed Pechuho <i>ABRAHAM & SARWANA</i>	Muhammad Shahid <i>SYNTHETIC PRODUCTS ENTERPRISES LIMITED</i>	<i>Ramsey Ngiraibai KOROR PLANNING AND ZONING OFFICE</i>
Faisal Daudpotra <i>KHALID DAUDPOTRA & CO.</i>	Nadim Kausar <i>LALI, MORRIS SR. NADIM & CO.</i>	Ahmad Pervez Mizra <i>ARCHITECTS AFFILIATION</i>	Arshad Shehzad <i>TAXPERTS</i>	<i>David O'Brien SENATE OF THE REPUBLIC OF PALAU</i>
Junaid Daudpotra <i>KHALID DAUDPOTRA & CO.</i>	Habib Kazi <i>KHALID ANWER & CO.</i>	Khushbakht Qaiser <i>QAISER & ABABAS ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE COUNSELLORS</i>	Adnan Sheikh <i>AKRAM SHEIKH LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	<i>William L. Ridpath WILLIAM L. RIDPATH, ATTORNEY-AT-LAW (AMCIT)</i>
Diana Dsouza <i>DATACHECK PVT. LTD.</i>	Aftab Ahmed Khan <i>SURRIDGE & BEECHENO</i>	Zarfishan Qaiser <i>QAISER & ABABAS ATTORNEYS & CORPORATE COUNSELLORS</i>	Muneeb Admed Sheikh <i>MANDVIWALLA & ZAFAR</i>	<i>V. Tikey Sbal FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS COMMISSION</i>
Huma Ejaz Zaman <i>MANDVIWALLA & ZAFAR</i>	Haider Ali Khan <i>RIZVI AND RIZVI</i>	Fayez Qamar Rasheed <i>CRK & ZIA</i>	Barrister Sherjeel <i>AKRAM SHEIKH LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	<i>Ken Sugiyama PALAU PUBLIC UTILITY CORPORATION</i>
Mahwish Elahi <i>ABRAHAM & SARWANA</i>	Mudassir Khan <i>DA AFGHANISTAN BANK</i>	Adnan Qureshi <i>QURESHI LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Zafar Sherwani <i>SINDH HIGH COURT</i>	<i>Sylcerius Tewalei BUREAU OF LABOUR</i>
Hashim Arshad Faruqui <i>SURRIDGE & BEECHENO</i>	Fariyal Khizar <i>Yousaf Khosa RIAA BARKER GILLETTE</i>	Haider Qureshi <i>HASSAN, QURESHI & MAMDOT</i>	Muhammad Siddique <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	<i>Lynna Thomas PALAU ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PROTECTION BOARD</i>
Aman Ghanchi <i>UNILEVER PAKISTAN LIMITED</i>	Ali Abbas Lali <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Khalid A. Rahman <i>SURRIDGE & BEECHENO</i>	Mian Hamdoon Subhani <i>M.H.S. ASSOCIATES</i>	<i>Ann Tirso PALAU LAND REGISTRY</i>
Asma Ghayoor <i>SINDH BUILDING CONTROL AUTHORITY</i>	Husnain Lotia <i>SLA ASSOCIATES</i>	Zaki Rahman <i>EBRAHIM HOSAIN, ADVOCATES AND CORPORATE COUNSEL</i>	Namdar Subhani <i>PUNJAB LAW & PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT</i>	PANAMA
Syed Yadullah Haider <i>MEINHARDT PAKISTAN PVT. LTD.</i>	Faisal Mahmood <i>MAHMOOD ABDUL GHANI & CO.</i>	Rai Muhammad Saleh Azam <i>AZAM & RAI ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Fawad Sufi <i>FAWAD SUFI AND ASSOCIATES</i>	<i>De Obaldia y Garcia de Paredes</i>
Asma Hameed Khan <i>SURRIDGE & BEECHENO</i>	Jawwad Rafique Malik <i>PUNJAB BOARD OF REVENUE</i>	Ameeruddin Rana <i>ABRAHAM & SARWANA</i>	Khaled Suleman Chima <i>CHIMA & IBRAHIM</i>	<i>Alejandro Alemán ALFARO, FERRER & RAMÍREZ</i>
Ikramul Haq <i>HUZAIMA & IKRAM</i>	Mohsin Malik <i>BUILDERS ASSOCIATES PVT. LTD.</i>	Bilal Rana <i>KAZMI AND RANA</i>	Waqas Ahmed Tamimi <i>DELTOFF YOUSUF ADIL, CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS</i>	<i>Aristides Anguizola MORGAN & MORGAN</i>
Salman Haq <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Arshad Malik Awan <i>MALIK NOOR MUHAMMAD AWAN & AMA LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Mobeen Rana <i>Mazar Iqbal Ranja</i>	Mahmood Masood Tammana <i>TMA</i>	<i>Mercedes Araúz de Grimaldo MORGAN & MORGAN</i>
Qamar Hashmat <i>HASHMAT LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Abdul Manan <i>RIAA BARKER GILLETTE</i>	Saad Rasool <i>SAAD RASOOL LAW ASSOCIATES</i>	Ahmed Tauqueer <i>ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY</i>	<i>Francisco Arias G. MORGAN & MORGAN</i>
Khalil Hashmi <i>SYNTHETIC PRODUCTS ENTERPRISES LIMITED</i>	Zara Mandiwalla <i>MANDVIWALLA & ZAFAR</i>	Khurram Raza <i>CRK & ZIA</i>	Saud ul-Hassan <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	<i>Khatiya Asvat PATTON, MORENO & ASVAT</i>
Saim Hashmi <i>AHMED & QAZI</i>	Sidra Mansur <i>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	Tayyab Raza <i>TMT LAW SERVICES</i>	Chaudhary Usman <i>EBRAHIM HOSAIN, ADVOCATES AND CORPORATE COUNSEL</i>	<i>Francisco A. Barrios G. PwC PANAMA</i>
Faiz Hassan <i>LAND RECORD MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM</i>	Shahbano Masud <i>HASSAN, QURESHI & MAMDOT</i>	Shahbano Masud <i>HASSAN, QURESHI & MAMDOT</i>	Hafiz Waqar Ahmed <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	<i>Gustavo Adolfo Bernal ETESA</i>
Jawad Hassan <i>HASSAN, QURESHI & MAMDOT</i>			Mehek Zafar <i>MANDVIWALLA & ZAFAR</i>	<i>Klaus Bieberach Schriebl ERNST & YOUNG</i>
Mohammad Hassan Bakshi <i>ASSOCIATION OF BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS OF PAKISTAN (ABAD)</i>			Muneeb Zafar <i>ZAFAR & ASSOCIATES LLP</i>	<i>Luis Carlos Bustamante PANAMÁ SOLUCIONES LOGÍSTICAS INT. - PSLI</i>

Giovanna Cardellicchio APC BURÓ SA	Simon Bendo DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND PHYSICAL PLANNING	PARAGUAY	Maria Esmeralda Moreno Rodríguez Alcalá MORENO RUFFINELLI & ASOCIADOS
José Carrizo Durling MORGAN & MORGAN	Ian Clarke GADENS LAWYERS	Magalí Rodríguez Alcalá BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	Gustavo Olmedo OLMEDO ABOGADOS
Luis Chalhoub ICAZA, GONZALEZ-RUIZ & ALEMAN	Greta Cooper GADENS LAWYERS	Perla Alderete VOUGA ABOGADOS	Anibal Pangrazio KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS Y CONSULTORES
Julio Cesar Contreras III AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS	Paul Cullen GADENS LAWYERS	Enrique Benitez BDO AUDITORES CONSULTORES	Rocío Penayo MORENO RUFFINELLI & ASOCIADOS
Gonzalo Córdoba APC BURÓ SA	Anthony Frazier Gibson Gerro LEAHY LEWIN NUTLEY SULLIVAN	Maximo Gustavo Benitez Gimenez SUPERINTENDENCIA DE BANCOS - BCP	Yolanda Pereira BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
Juan Carlos Croston MANZANILLO INTERNATIONAL TERMINAL OPERATOR MIT	Lea Henao STEAMSHIPS TRADING COMPANY LTD.	Alex Berkemeyer BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	María Antonia Ramírez de Gwynn Gwynn & Gwynn - LEGAL COUNSELLORS
Eduardo De Alba ARIAS, FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA	Clarence Hoot INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Hugo T. Berkemeyer BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS	Adolfo Rautenberg FIORIO, CARDENAS & ALVARADO
Claudio De Castro ARIAS, FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA	Joshua Hunt GADENS LAWYERS	Esteban Burt PERONI, SOSA, TELLECHEA, BURT & NARVAJA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Veronica Recalde KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS Y CONSULTORES
Felipe Escalona GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Samuel James INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Carlos Cañete BDO AUDITORES CONSULTORES	Mauricio Salgueiro VOUGA ABOGADOS
Michael Fernandez CAPAC (CÁMARA PANAMEÑA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN)	Thompson Kama MASTER FREELANCE SERVICES	Pedro Cuevas ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE ELECTRICIDAD	Ruben Taboada PwC PARAGUAY
Enna Ferrer ALFARO, FERRER & RAMÍREZ	Steven Kami S & L KAMI CONSULTANTS LTD.	Sergio Dejesus KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS Y CONSULTORES	Ninfa Rolanda Torres de Paredes AGENCIA PAREDES
Angie Guzmán MORGAN & MORGAN	Jack Kariko INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Paolo Doria PERONI, SOSA, TELLECHEA, BURT & NARVAJA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Maria Gloria Trigués Gonzalez BERKEMEYER, ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS
Edgar Herrera GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Timothy Koris PNG POWER LTD.	Natalia Enciso Benitez NOTARY PUBLIC	Emmanuel Trulls FERRERE ABOGADOS
Cristina Lewis de la Guardia GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Kristophe Kup NINAI LAWYERS	Bruno Fiorio Carrizosa FIORIO, CARDENAS & ALVARADO	Andres Vera VOUGA ABOGADOS
Ivette Elisa Martínez Saenz PATTON, MORENO & ASVAT	John Leahy LEAHY LEWIN NUTLEY SULLIVAN	Juan Bautista Fiorio Gimenez FIORIO, CARDENAS & ALVARADO	Walter Vera VOUGA ABOGADOS
Olmedo Miranda B. AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS	Doug Mageo PNG POWER LTD.	Edgardo Fleitas ABC CONSULTORES	Rodolfo Vouga Muller VOUGA ABOGADOS
Erick Rogelio Muñoz SUCRE, ARIAS & REYES	Greg Manda GREG MANDA LAWYERS	Néstor Gamara SERVIMEX SACI	Roberto Vuyk CODAS VUYK SA
Hassim Patel PwC PANAMA	Stephen Massa GADENS LAWYERS	Liliana Maria Giménez de Castillo DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE LOS REGISTROS PÚBLICOS	PERU
Mario Rognoni AROSEMENA NORIEGA & CONTRERAS	Steve Patrick GADENS LAWYERS	Jorge Guillermo Gomez PwC PARAGUAY	Guillermo Acuña Roeder RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND
Nelson E. Sales ALFARO, FERRER & RAMÍREZ	Darao Peter INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Lourdes Gonzalez DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE LOS REGISTROS PÚBLICOS	Fanny Aguirre ESTUDIO ALVAREZ CALDERON
Mayte Sánchez González MORGAN & MORGAN	Lou Pipi NCDC MUNICIPALITY	Nadia Gorostiaga PwC PARAGUAY	Walter Aguirre AGUIRRE ABOGADOS & ASORES
Daniel Sessa GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Nancy Pogla ALLENS LINKLETERS	Sigfrido Gross Brown ESTUDIO JURIDICO GROSS BROWN	Marco Antonio Alarcón Piana ESTUDIO LUIS ECHECOPAR GARCIA SRL
Yannis Solís de Amaya UNION FENOSA - EDEMET - EDECHI	Ivan Pomaleu INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Carl Gwynn Gwynn & Gwynn - LEGAL COUNSELLORS	Alfonso Alvarez Calderón ESTUDIO ALVAREZ CALDERON
Natasha Sucre FIABCI, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION	Greg Runnegar PACIFIC ARCHITECTS CONSORTIUM	Thomas Taberia LEAHY LEWIN NUTLEY SULLIVAN	Guilhermo Auler FORSYTH ABOGADOS
Hermes Tello ELECTROMECHANICAL CONSULTING GROUP	Ian Shepherd ASHURST LLP	Thomas Tarabu INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Brian Avalos PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PÉREZ ABOGADOS
Ramón Varela MORGAN & MORGAN	Lilian Sukot PNG POWER LTD.	Alex Tongayu INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Norman Gwynn SUPREME COURT OF JUSTICE
Gabriela Vasquez GALINDO, ARIAS & LÓPEZ	Thomas Taberia LEAHY LEWIN NUTLEY SULLIVAN	Manfred Heyn FERRERE ABOGADOS	Manfred Heyn FERRERE ABOGADOS
Jorge Ventre PATTON, MORENO & ASVAT	Thomas Tarabu INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Christian Kemper KEMPER – DEJESUS & PANGRAZIO ABOGADOS Y CONSULTORES	Arelys Avila Tagle CONUDFI
PAPUA NEW GUINEA	Alex Tongayu INVESTMENT PROMOTION AUTHORITY	Gabriel Lamas ONIX SACI CONSULTING + ENGINEERING	Maritza Barzola RUSSELL BEDFORD
CREDIT & DATA BUREAU LIMITED PNG PORTS CORPORATION LTD.	Stuart Wilson LCS ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS	Pablo Livieres Guggiari ESTUDIO JURIDICO LIVIERES GUGGIARI	PERU – MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
PwC Papua New Guinea	Veronica Yobone Randy GREG MANDA LAWYERS	Nestor Loizaga FERRERE ABOGADOS	Stephany Giovanna Bravo de Rueda Arce RANSAS COMERCIAL SA
Paul Barker CONSULTATIVE IMPLEMENTATION & MONITORING COUNCIL	Oscar A. Mersan Galli MERSAN ABOGADOS	Cristian Calderon ADEX	Cristian Calderon ADEX
		Renzo Camaiora GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN	Renzo Camaiora GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN
			Maria Alejandra Cano Mujica AGUIRRE ABOGADOS & ASORES
			Alfredo Cardona EXPERIAN PERU SAC
			Ursula Caro RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND
			Norka Chirinos La Torre SUNARP
			Alvaro Chuquipiondo BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			Sandra Copacondori BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			Tomas Cosco RUSSELL BEDFORD PERU – MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
			Ricardo de la Piedra ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
			Jose Dedios PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PÉREZ ABOGADOS
			Pierre Alexander Duobert Abarca PIZARRO, BOTTO & ESCOBAR ABOGADOS
			Alex Espinoza PwC PERU
			Maria del Pilar Falcon Castro ESTUDIO LLONA & BUSTAMANTE ABOGADOS
			Fiamma Fernandez Saldamando CONUDFI
			Luis Fuentes BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			Julio Gallo GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN
			Alfredo Gastañeta GARCIA SAYÁN ABOGADOS
			Maria Alejandra Giufra Chavez ESTUDIO LLONA & BUSTAMANTE ABOGADOS
			Diego Gomez BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			Rafael Gonzales BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			Carlos Hernández Ladera RANSAS COMERCIAL SA
			Jose Antonio Honda ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
			Diego Huertas del Pino BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			César Ballón Izquierdo RANSAS COMERCIAL SA
			Alexandra Lemke BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			Gonzalo Leo BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS
			Juan Carlos Leon Siles ADEX
			Luigi Lindley EXPERIAN PERU SAC
			German Lora PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PÉREZ ABOGADOS
			Cesar Luna Victoria RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND
			Milagros Maravi Sumar RUBIO LEGUÍA NORMAND
			Orlando Marchesi GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN

Jesús Matos ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Victor Scarsi LUZ DEL SUR	Pearl Grace Cabali PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE	Vicente Gerochi IV SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Ricardo J. Romulo ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Alejandro Medina SUPERINTENDENCY OF BANKING, INSURANCE AND PRIVATE PENSION FUND ADMINISTRATOR	Martin Serkovic ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Juan Arturo Illuminado Cagampang de Castro DE CASTRO & CAGAMPANG- DE CASTRO LAW FIRM	George Matthew Habacon SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Patrick Henry Salazar QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL
Gino Menchola PWC PERU	Claudia Sevillano PIZARRO, BOTTO & ESCOBAR ABOGADOS	Brian Calinao TEXAS INSTRUMENTS	Judy Hao ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)	Rowena Fatima Salonga PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE
Hugo Mendez AGUIRRE ABOGADOS & ASESORES	Hugo Silva RODRIGO, ELIAS, MEDRANO ABOGADOS	Justina Callangan SEC	Tadeo F. Hilado ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)	Neptali Salvanera ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)
Juan Antonio Morales Bermudes CONUDFI	Ricardo P. Silva ESTUDIO MUNIZ, RAMIREZ, PEREZ-TAIMAN & OLAYA	Mylene Capangcol DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	Nancy Joan M. Javier JAVIER LAW	Ethel San Juan EMS COMPONENTS ASSEMBLY, INC.
Diego Muñiz ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Carla Sinchi PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS	Ruben Gerald Capones SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Rafael Khan SIGUION REYNA MONTECILLO & ONGSIAKO	Amando Tetangco Jr. BANGKO SENTRAL NG PILIPINAS
Alexandra Orbezo REBAZA, ALCAZAR & DE LAS CASAS ABOGADOS FINANCIEROS	Mario Solari Zerpa SUNARP	Domingo Castillo SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Hiyasmrin Lapitan SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Roland Glenn Tuazon ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Ariel Orrego-Villacorta BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS	Enrique Soto ADEX	Joseph Omar A. Castillo PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE	Everlene Lee ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)	Isagani, Jr. Versoza LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY
Cristina Oviedo PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS	Jose Steck NPG ABOGADOS	Kenneth L. Chua QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL	Innah Lim ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Priscela Verzonilla LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY
Max Panoy Cuya SUNARP	Edmundo Taboada BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS	Carlos Tapia NPG ABOGADOS	Roselle Craig ISLA LIPANA & CO.	Redentor C. Zapata QUASHA ANCHETA PENA & NOLASCO
Verónica Perea BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS	Xenia Tello ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Diwata D. de Leon CASTILLO LAMAN TAN PANTALEON & SAN JOSE	Herbert M. Bautista LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY	Gil Roberto Zerrudo QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL
Lucianna Polar ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Jack Vainstein VAINESTEIN & INGENIEROS SA	Emerico O. de Guzman ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)	Katrina Michelle Mancao QUASHA ANCHETA PENA & NOLASCO	POLAND
Juan Manuel Prado Bustamante ESTUDIO LLONA & BUSTAMANTE ABOGADOS	Mitchell Alex Valdiviezo Del Carpio RUBIO LEGUIA NORMAND	Richard De La Cruz ULTRALITE ELECTRICAL COMPANY INC.	Enriquito J. Mendoza ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	BANK ZACHODNI WBK SA
Maribel Príncipe Hidalgo RUBIO LEGUIA NORMAND	Evelyn Vargas ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Emilio S. De Quiros Jr. REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEM	Maria Teresa Mercado-Ferrer SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	ECE PROJEKTMANAGEMENT POLSKA SP. Z O.O.
Maria José Puertas GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN	Rosa Vera AGUIRRE ABOGADOS & ASESORES	Anthony Dee SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Marianne Miguel SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	ENERGY REGULATORY OFFICE
Rafael Puiggrós DELMAR UGARTE ABOGADOS	Manuel Villa-García ESTUDIO OLAECHEA, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Rafael del Rosario ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Jose Salvador Mirasol ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	NAPRAWA KABLI ENERGETYCZNYCH ANDRZEJ ROGOWSKI
Cesar Puntriano PWC PERU	Rafael Villaran ESTUDIO LUIS ECHECOPAR GARCIA SRL	Mark Kevin Dellosa SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Jesusito G. Morallos FOLLOSCO MORALLOS & HERCE	Wojciech Andrzejewski KANCELARIA PRAWNA PISZCZ, NOREK I WSPOLNICY SP.K.
Bruno Marchese Quintana RUBIO LEGUIA NORMAND	Agustin Yrigoyen GARCIA SAYAN ABOGADOS	Jenny Jean Domino SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Maria Christina Ortua SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Katarzyna Jadwiga Babicka WINDMILL GASIEWSKI & ROMAN LAW OFFICE
Fernando M. Ramos BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS	Sabino Zaconeta Torres ASOCIACION PERUANA DE AGENTES MARITIMOS	Colonel Jesus Fernandez LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY	Ma. Milagros Padernal UY SINGSON ABELLA & CO.	Andrzej Balicki DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.
Alonso Rey Bustamante PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS	Francis Avellana BAP CREDIT BUREAU, INC.	Francis Ferrer EMS COMPONENTS ASSEMBLY, INC.	Nicanor N. Padilla SIGUION REYNA MONTECILLO & ONGSIAKO	Michał Barłowski WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS
José Miguel Reyes BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS	Juan B. Santos REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEM	Rachel Follosco FOLLOSCO MORALLOS & HERCE	Ma. Patricia Paz SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Justyna Bartnik MÓRAWSKI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM
Andrea Rieckhof GALLO BARRIOS PICKMANN	Joanne Babon FOLLOSCO MORALLOS & HERCE	Florida Fomaneq ISLA LIPANA & CO.	Maybelllyn Pinpin-Malayo ISLA LIPANA & CO.	Michał Bialobrzeski HOGAN LOVELLS (WARSZAWA) LLP
Rossana Rodriguez GARCIA SAYAN ABOGADOS	Rosario Carmela Asutria SEC	Catherine Franco QUISUMBING TORRES, MEMBER FIRM OF BAKER & MCKENZIE INTERNATIONAL	Revelino Rabaja ISLA LIPANA & CO.	Paulina Blukacz MINISTRY OF FINANCE
Erick Rojas CÁMARA PERUANA DE LA CONSTRUCCIÓN	Francis Avellana BAP CREDIT BUREAU, INC.	Pablito Lito Freo POWERLOOPS	Frederika Rentoy LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF QUEZON CITY	Joanna Bugajska JAMP
Martin Ruggiero PAYET, REY, CAUVI, PEREZ ABOGADOS	Juan B. Santos REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEM	Enrique Galang CASTILLO LAMAN TAN PANTALEON & SAN JOSE	Elaine Patricia S. Reyes-Rodolfo ANGARA ABELLO CONCEPCION REGALA & CRUZ LAW OFFICES (ACCRALAW)	Rafal Burda DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.
Felix Arturo Ruiz Sanchez RUBIO LEGUIA NORMAND	Manuel Batallones BAP CREDIT BUREAU, INC.	Geraldine S. Garcia FOLLOSCO MORALLOS & HERCE	Ruben Gerald Ricasata PUYAT JACINTO SANTOS LAW OFFICE	Malgorzata Chrusińska CMS CAMERON McKENNA
Carolina Sáenz RUBIO LEGUIA NORMAND	Vera Marie Bautista SYCIP SALAZAR HERNANDEZ & GATMAITAN	Paolo Bernardo ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Agnieszka Czarnecka KPT TAX ADVISORS	
Carolina Salcedo ESTUDIO MUNIZ, RAMIREZ, PEREZ-TAMAN & OLAYA	Paolo Bernardo ROMULO, MABANTA, BUENAVENTURA, SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Jose A. Bernas BERNAS LAW	Katarzyna Czwartosz WHITE & CASE	
Adolfo Sanabria Mercado GARCIA SAYAN ABOGADOS	Jose A. Bernas BERNAS LAW		Michał Dąbrowski MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	
Raúl Sanchez BARRIOS & FUENTES ABOGADOS			Aleksandra Danielewicz DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	

Andrzej Dmowski RUSSELL BEDFORD POLAND Sp. Z.O.O. - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Adam Krolik KANCELARIA PRAWA RESTRUKTURYZACYJNEGO I UPADŁOŚCIOWEGO TATARÓ I WSPOLPRACOWNICY	Mateusz Palian KANCELARIA PRAWA RESTRUKTURYZACYJNEGO I UPADŁOŚCIOWEGO TATARÓ I WSPOLPRACOWNICY	Dominika Wagrodzka BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA & PARTNERZY SP.J.	João Bettencourt da Camara CREDINFORMAÇÕES - EQUIFAX
Bartosz Draniewicz KANCELARIA PRAWA GOSPODARCZEGO I EKOLOGICZNEGO DR BARTOSZ DRANIEWICZ	Iga Kwasny MOORE STEPHENS CENTRAL AUDIT Sp. z o.o.	Krzysztof Pawlak SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK	Jakub Warniolo KPT TAX ADVISORS	João Cadete de Matos BANCO DE PORTUGAL
Patryk Filipiak ZIMMERMAN FILIPIAK RESTRUKTURYZACJA SA	Ewa Łachowska - Broł Wojciech Langowski MILLER CANFIELD	Agata Pawlak-Jaszczał KANCELARIA PRAWNA PISZCZ, MOREK I WSPÓLNICY SP.K.	Emilia Waszkiewicz BAKER & MCKENZIE	Susana Caetano PWC PORTUGAL
Marek Firlej MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Katarzyna Lawinska BAKER & MCKENZIE	Tomasz Pietrzak HOGAN LOVELLS (WARSZAWA) LLP	Cezary Wernic MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Inês Calor SANTAREM MUNICIPALITY
Maciej Geromin ALLERHAND INSTITUTE	Konrad Piotr Lewandowski MAURICE WARD & Co. Sp.z.o.o.	Małgorzata Pietrzak-Paciorek BAKER & MCKENZIE	Jacek Wesolowski IMMOFINANZ SERVICES POLAND LTD.	Vitor Campos NATIONAL LABORATORY FOR CIVIL ENGINEERING - LNEC
Michał Gliński WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS	Agnieszka Lisiecka WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS	Kamila Piotrowska-Krół TERRAMAR LTD.	Maciej Wesolowski DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Rui Capote PLEN - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS, RL
Rafał Godlewski WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS	Tomasz Listwan MOORE STEPHENS CENTRAL AUDIT Sp. z o.o.	Mariusz Purgał TOMASIK, PAKOSIEWICZ, GROELE ADWOKACI I RADCOWIE PRAWNI SP. P.	Sebastian Wieczorek DENTONS	Fernando Cardoso da Cunha GALI MACEDO & ASSOCIADOS
Rafał Góralczyk BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA & PARTNERZY SP.J.	Paweł Ludwiniak ETECH	Bartłomiej Raczkowski RACZKOWSKI PARUCH	Anna Wietrzyńska-Ciolkowska DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	João Carneiro MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS
Jaromir Grabowski WOJEWÓDZKI INSPEKTORAT NADZORU BUDOWLANEGO W WARSZAWIE	Jarosław Malicki RWE STOEN OPERATOR Sp.	Piotr Sadownik GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW	Patrick Wilhelmsen MARCIN HÓŁWKA	Petra Carreira GARRIGUES PORTUGAL SLP - SUCURSAL
Bartosz Groele ALLERHAND INSTITUTE	Ewa Malinowska REGIONAL COMMERCIAL COURT	Marek Sawicki DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Robert Windmill WINDMILL GĄSIEWSKI & ROMAN LAW OFFICE	Jaime Carvalho Esteves PWC PORTUGAL
Dominik Gruca WINDMILL GĄSIEWSKI & ROMAN LAW OFFICE	Konrad Marciniuk MILLER CANFIELD	Karol Skibniewski SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK	Anna Wojciechowska WKB WIERCINSKI, KWIĘCINSKI, BAehr	Filipa Castanheira de Almeida MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA & ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Andrzej Grześkiewicz GRIDNET	Marta Marczak MARCIN HÓŁWKA	Michał Słoniewicz BIK	Steven Wood BLACKSTONES	Tiago Castanheira Marques ABREU ADVOGADOS
Maciej Grzeszczuk KPT TAX ADVISORS	Adam Marszałek DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Marek Sosnowski GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW	Anna Wyrzykowska WKB WIERCINSKI, KWIĘCINSKI, BAehr	Joana Correia RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS
Monika Hartung WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS	Agnieszka Marzec BIK	Agnieszka Stodolna WINDMILL GĄSIEWSKI & ROMAN LAW OFFICE	Malgorzata Zamorska BNT NEUPERT ZAMORSKA & PARTNERZY SP.J.	Joaquim Correia Teixeira EDP DISTRIBUIÇÃO - ENERGIA, SA
Mariusz Hildebrand BIG INFO MONITOR SA	Paweł Meus GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW	Tomasz Michałak MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Agnieszka Ziółek CMS CAMERON MCKENNA	Maria de Lancastre Valente SRS ADVOGADOS
Marcin Hółwka MARCIN HÓŁWKA	Tomasz Michałak MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Malgorzata Studniarek DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Katarzyna Zukowska WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS	João Duarte de Sousa GARRIGUES PORTUGAL SLP - SUCURSAL
Łukasz Iwański ERGONOMIX	Tomasz Michałek MDDP MICHALIK DŁUSKA DZIEDZIC I PARTNERZY	Michał Sznitko-Pleszko BLACKSTONES	PORTUGAL	Sara Ferraz Mendonça MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA & ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Michał Jadwiąsiak WHITE & CASE	Anna Miernik CLIFFORD CHANCE	Jadwiga Szabat ECOVIS SYSTEM REWIDENT SP. Z O.O.	João Jacinto Tomé SA	Ana Luisa Ferreira ABREU ADVOGADOS
Jakub Jędrzejak WKB WIERCINSKI, KWIĘCINSKI, BAehr	Tomasz Milewski MILLER CANFIELD	Emil Szczepanik MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Victor Abrantes VICTOR ABRANTES - INTERNATIONAL SALES AGENT	Sofia Ferreira Enriquez RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS
Magdalena Kalirińska WKB WIERCINSKI, KWIĘCINSKI, BAehr	Aleksandra Minkowicz-Flanek DENTONS	Łukasz Szegda WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS	Bruno Andrade Alves PwC PORTUGAL	Rita Ferreira Lopes MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA & ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Mateusz Kalinski KANCELARIA PRAWA RESTRUKTURYZACYJNEGO I UPADŁOŚCIOWEGO TATARÓ I WSPOLPRACOWNICY	Joanna Mlot CMS CAMERON MCKENNA	Marcelina Szwed DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Joana Andrade Correia RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS	Nuno Gundar da Cruz MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA & ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Karolina Karucka DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Marcin Moj MARCIN HÓŁWKA	Maciej Szwedowski SQUIRE SANDERS ŚWIĘCICKI KRZESIŃSKI SP.K.	Luís Antunes LUFTEC - TÉCNICAS ELÉCTRICAS, Lda.	Tiago Lemos PLEN - SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS, RL
Aleksandra Kamińska DENTONS	Adam Morawski MORAWSKI & PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Paulina Szymczak-Kamińska RACZKOWSKI PARUCH	Filipa Arantes Pedroso MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA & ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Jorge Pedro Lopes POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BRAGANÇA
Tomasz Kański SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK	Grzegorz Namiotkiewicz CLIFFORD CHANCE	Anna Tarasiuk HOGAN LOVELLS (WARSZAWA) LLP	Miguel Azevedo GARRIGUES PORTUGAL SLP - SUCURSAL	Tiago Gali Macedo GALI MACEDO & ASSOCIADOS
Iwona Karasek-Wojciechowicz JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY	Michał Niemirowicz-Szczytt LEX IUVAT KANCELARIA RADCY PRAWNIEGO MICHAŁ NIEMIROWICZ-SZCZYT	Karol Tatar KANCELARIA PRAWA RESTRUKTURYZACYJNEGO I UPADŁOŚCIOWEGO TATARÓ I WSPOLPRACOWNICY	João Banza PwC PORTUGAL	Francisco Magalhães PwC PORTUGAL
Mariusz Każuch MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Zygmunt Niewiadomski WARSAW SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS	Dariusz Tokarczuk GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL POLAND WARSAW	Manuel P. Barrocas BARROCAS ADVOGADOS	Ana Margarida Maia MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS
Zbigniew Korba DELOITTE DORADZTWO PODATKOWE Sp. z o.o.	Dominika Nowak DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Ryszard Trykosko POLISH ASSOCIATION OF CIVIL ENGINEERS	Mark Bekker BEKKER LOGISTICA	Carlos Pedro Marques EDP DISTRIBUIÇÃO - ENERGIA, SA
Jacek Korzeniewski BAKER & MCKENZIE	Filip Opoka DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Mateusz Tusznio WARDYŃSKI & PARTNERS	Antonio Belmar da Costa ASSOCIAÇÃO DOS AGENTES DE NAVEGAÇÃO DE PORTUGAL (AGEPOR)	Frederica Marques-Pinto RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS
Aleksandra Kozłowska DLA PIPER WIATER SP.K.	Tomasz Ostrowski WHITE & CASE		Andreia Bento Simões MORAIS LEITÃO, GALVÃO TELES, SOARES DA SILVA & ASSOCIADOS, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Catarina Medeiros PwC PORTUGAL

Francisco Melo <i>SGOC SOUSA GUEDES, OLIVEIRA COUTO & ASSOCIADOS, SÓC. ADVOGADOS R.L.</i>	PUERTO RICO (U.S.) <i>TRANSUNION DE PUERTO RICO</i> Alfredo Alvarez-Ibañez <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> Vicente Antonetti <i>GOLDMAN ANTONETTI & CORDOVA LLC</i> Hermann Bauer <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> Nicole Berio <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> Jorge Capó Matos <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> Delia Castillo de Colorado <i>REGISTRO DE LA PROPIEDAD DE PUERTO RICO</i> Solyman Castillo-Morales <i>GOLDMAN ANTONETTI & CORDOVA LLC</i> Odemarisa Chacon <i>ESTRELLA, LLC</i> Manuel De Lemos <i>MANUEL DE LEMOS</i> Aia Arquitectos Alfonso Fernández <i>IVY GROUP</i> Julio A. Galíndez <i>FPV & GALÍNDEZ CPAs, PSC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i> Nelson William Gonzalez <i>COLEGIO DE NOTARIOS DE PUERTO RICO</i> Alexis González-Pagani <i>FERRAIOLI, LLC</i> Yarot Lafontaine-Torres <i>FERRAIOLI, LLC</i> Luis Marini <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> Oscar O. Meléndez-Sauri <i>MALLEY TAMARGO & MELÉNDEZ-SAURI LLC</i> Juan Carlos Méndez Antonio Molina <i>PETRANTONI MÉNDEZ & ALAVREZ LLC</i> Luis Mongil-Casasnoves <i>MARTINEZ ODELL & CALABRIA</i> Jose Armando Morales Rodriguez <i>JAM CARGO SALES INC.</i> Lucy Navarro Rosado <i>COLEGIO DE NOTARIOS DE PUERTO RICO</i> Jhansel Núñez <i>ATTORNEY</i> Jose O. Esquerdo <i>PwC PUERTO RICO</i> Francisco Pérez-Betancourt <i>FERRAIOLI, LLC</i> Marta Ramírez <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> Jesus Rivera <i>BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO</i> Thelma Rivera <i>GOLDMAN ANTONETTI & CORDOVA LLC</i> Kenneth Rivera-Robles <i>FPV & GALÍNDEZ CPAs, PSC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i> Victor Rodriguez <i>MULTITRANSport & MARINE CO.</i>	Victor Rodriguez <i>PwC PUERTO RICO</i> Antonio Roig <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> Edgardo Rosa-Ortiz <i>FPV & GALÍNDEZ CPAs, PSC - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i> José Fernando Rovira-Rullán <i>FERRAIOLI, LLC</i> Jorge M. Ruiz Montilla <i>McCONNELL VALDES LLC</i> Jaime Santos <i>PETRANTONI MÉNDEZ & ALAVREZ LLC</i> Tania Vazquez Maldonado <i>BANCO POPULAR DE PUERTO RICO</i> Raúl Vidal y Sepúlveda <i>OMNIA ECONOMIC SOLUTIONS LLC</i> Nayuan Zouairabani <i>O'NEILL & BORGES</i> QATAR <i>DENTONS</i> Hani Al Naddaf <i>AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i> Abdulla Mohamed Al Naimi <i>QATAR CREDIT BUREAU</i> Grace Alam <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i> Rashed Albuflasa <i>NOBLE GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i> Maryam Al-Thani <i>QATAR CREDIT BUREAU</i> Dina Al-Wahabit <i>AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i> Jason Arnedo <i>NOBLE GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i> Imran Ayub <i>KPMG QATAR</i> Monita Barghachieh <i>PINSENT MASONS LLP</i> Alexis Coleman <i>PINSENT MASONS LLP</i> Michael Earley <i>SULTAN AL-ABDULLA & PARTNERS</i> Fouad El Haddad <i>LALIVE LLC</i> Ahmed Eljaale <i>AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i> James Elwen <i>PINSENT MASONS LLP</i> Mohammed Fouad <i>SULTAN AL-ABDULLA & PARTNERS</i> Sharifah Hamzah <i>AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i> Conan Higgins <i>TSI LEGAL ENTERPRISES, PC</i> Walid Honein <i>BADRI AND SALIM EL MEOUCHI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF INTERLEGES</i> Rafiq Jaffer <i>AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i> Kristen M. Jarvis Johnson <i>SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (MEA) LLP</i> Mohamed Jeffery <i>NOBLE GLOBAL LOGISTICS</i>	Irina Elena Bănică <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i> Monica Biciusca <i>ADGHEL STABB & PARTNERS</i> Sandra Cahu <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i> Maria Cambien <i>PwC ROMANIA</i> Ioana Cercel <i>D&B DAVID ȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i> Vlad Cercel <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i> Marius Chelaru <i>STOICA & ASOCIAȚII - SOCIETATE CIVILĂ DE AVOCATI</i> Teodor Chirvase <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i> Alin Chitu <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i> Andreea Ciorapciu <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i> Veronica Cocârlaea <i>JINGA & ASOCIAȚII</i> Valentin Cocean <i>DRAKOPOULOS LAW FIRM</i> Smaranda Cojocaru <i>EVERSHEDS LINA & GUIA SCA</i> Raluca Coman <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i> Ileana Constantin <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i> Razvan Constantinescu <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i> Paula Corban <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i> Anamaria Corbescu <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i> Oana Cornescu <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i> Dorin Coza <i>SULICA PROTOPOPESCU VONICA</i> Sergius Crețu <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i> Tiberiu Csaki <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i> Radu Damaschin <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i> Anca Danilescu <i>ZAMFIRESCU RACOȚI & PARTNERS ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i> Dan Dascalu <i>D&B DAVID ȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i> Adrian Deaconu <i>TAXHOUSE SRL</i> Luminita Dima <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i> Rodica Dobre <i>PwC ROMANIA</i> Monia Dobrescu <i>MUŞAT & ASOCIAȚII</i> Laura Adina Duca <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU KINGSTON PETERSEN</i> Emil Duhnea <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i> Geanina Dumitru <i>ENEL (FORMER ELECTRICA MUNTENIA SUD)</i> Nastasia Dumitru <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>
--	---	--	---

Lidia Dutu-Carstea <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Maria Cristina Metelet <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Alexandra Radulescu <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Cristina Vedel <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Julia Borozdna <i>PEPELIAEV GROUP</i>
Serban Epure <i>BIROUL DE CREDIT</i>	Cătălina Mihăilescu <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Eugen Radulescu <i>NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA</i>	Luigi Vendrami <i>DHL INTERNATIONAL ROMANIA</i>	Thomas Brand <i>BRAND & PARTNER</i>
Sonia Fedorovici <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Stefan Mihartescu <i>D&B DAVIDȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i>	Argentina Rafail <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>	Maria Vlad <i>JINGA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Maria Bykovskaya <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL,</i> <i>MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Iulia Ferărău-Grigore <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Mihaela Mitroi <i>PwC ROMANIA</i>	Lavinia Rasmussen <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Stefan Zamfirescu <i>ZAMFIRESCU RACOȚI & PARTNERS</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Lidiya Charikova <i>LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM</i>
Adriana Gaspar <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Geanina Moraru <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Corina Ricman <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Ana Zaporojan <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Anna Chaykina <i>CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES</i>
George Ghitu <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Mona Musat <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Bogdan Riti <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Elena Chernishova <i>INTEREXPERTIZA LLC, MEMBER</i> <i>OF AGN INTERNATIONAL</i>
Fanizzi Giuseppe <i>ENEL</i>	Adriana Neagoe <i>NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA</i>	Ioan Roman <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Alexander Chizhov <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>
Laurentiu Gorun <i>DRAKOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Larisa Negoias <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Angela Rosca <i>TAXHOUSE SRL</i>		Dmitry Churin <i>CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES</i>
Laura Grădinescu <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Manuela Marina Nestor <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Cristina Sandu <i>TAXHOUSE SRL</i>		Vladimir Domashin <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL,</i> <i>MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Daniela Gramaticescu <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Andreea Nica <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	Raluca Sanucean <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Olga Duchenko <i>KACHKIN & PARTNERS</i>
Magda Grigore <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Theodor Catalin Nicolescu <i>NICOLESCU & PERIANU LAW FIRM</i>	Andrei Săvescu <i>SĂVESCU & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Anastasia Dukhina <i>CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES</i>
Emilian-Victor Grigoriu <i>SC ARHI GRUP IMPLEX SRL</i>	Raluca Onufreiciuc <i>SĂVESCU & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Corina Simion <i>PwC ROMANIA</i>		Arlan Dyakiev <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS</i> <i>RUSSIA BV</i>
Adina Grosu <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>	Gabriela Oprea <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Alina Solschi <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Olga Egorova <i>DENTONS</i>
Argentina Hincu <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>	Andrei Ormenean <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Oana Soviani <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>		Vasina Ekaterina <i>ALRUD LAW FIRM</i>
Ana-Maria Hrituc <i>SULICA PROTOPOPESCU VONICA</i>	Alexandra Ovedenie <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>	David Stabb <i>ADGHEL STABB & PARTNERS</i>		Ekaterina Ekimova <i>YUST LAW FIRM</i>
Camelia Iantuc <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE BADEA SCA</i>	Delia Paceagiu <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Georgiana Stan <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>		Victoria Feleshtin <i>LEVINE BRIDGE</i>
Alexandra Ichim <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Bogdan Papandopol <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>	Ionut Stancu <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>		Evgenia Fomicheva <i>MOSINZHPROEKT OJSC</i>
Ilinca Iliescu <i>RADU TARĂCILĂ PĂDURARI</i> <i>RETEVOSCU SPRL IN ASSOCIATION</i> <i>WITH ALLEN & OVERY</i>	Mircea Parvu <i>SCPA PARVU SI ASOCIAȚII</i>	Oana-Lavinia Stancu <i>DRAKOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>		Ilya Fomin <i>GOLSBLAT BLP</i>
Diana Emanuela Ispas <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>	Marius Pătrășcanu <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Marie-Jeanne Stefanescu <i>RATEN-CITON</i>		Elizaveta Fursova <i>LIDINGS LAW FIRM</i>
Horia Ispas <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Laurentiu Petre <i>SĂVESCU & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Tania Stefanita <i>TAXHOUSE SRL</i>		Magomed Gasanov <i>ALRUD LAW FIRM</i>
Madalina Ivan <i>ZAMFIRESCU RACOȚI & PARTNERS</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Sergiu Petrea <i>SC TECTO ARHITECTURA SRL</i>	Andrei Stoica <i>DLA PIPER DINU SCA</i>		Vladimir Vladimirovich <i>Golobokov</i>
Mihai Jelea <i>EVERSHEDS LINA & GUIA SCA</i>	Claudiu Pop <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Izabela Stoicescu <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Center for Innovation and Information Technology Foundation
Cristian Lina <i>EVERSHEDS LINA & GUIA SCA</i>	Carolina Pleșniuc <i>LINA & GUIA SCA</i>	Sorin Cornelius Stratula <i>STRATULA MOCANU & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Vladimir Gorbunov <i>TSRRN (CENTER FOR REAL</i> <i>ESTATE DEVELOPMENT)</i>
Edita Lovin <i>RETIRED JUDGE OF ROMANIAN</i> <i>SUPREME COURT OF JUSTICE</i>	Claudiu Pop <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Cătălina Sucaci <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Igor Gorokhov <i>CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES</i>
Bogdan Lucan <i>DRAKOPoulos LAW FIRM</i>	Olga Preda <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Alina Tacea <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Andrei Gusev <i>BORENIUS ATTORNEYS</i>
Ileana Lucian <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Cristian Radu <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Diana Tatulescu <i>NESTOR NESTOR DICULESCU</i> <i>KINGSTON PETERSEN</i>		George Gutiev <i>GOLSBLAT BLP</i>
Madalina Mailat <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE</i>	Liliana Popescu <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Amelia Teis <i>D&B DAVIDȘI BAIAS LAW FIRM</i>		Roman Ibrivie <i>MOESK</i>
Smaranda Mandrescu <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Mariana Popescu <i>NATIONAL BANK OF ROMANIA</i>	Andrea Todor <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>		Ekaterina Ilina <i>DS LAW</i>
Gelu Titus Maravela <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Tiberiu Potyesz <i>BITTRANS LTD.</i>	Adela Topescu <i>PwC ROMANIA</i>		Anton Isakov <i>GOLSBLAT BLP</i>
Neil McGregor <i>MCGREGOR & PARTNERS S.C.A.</i>	Olga Preda <i>POP & PARTNERS SCA</i> <i>ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Madalina Trifan <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>		Anton Kabakov <i>AWARA GROUP</i>
Mirela Metea <i>MARAVELA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Cristian Radu <i>ȚUCA ZBÂRCEA & ASOCIAȚII</i>	Ada Țucă <i>JINGA & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Polina Kachkina <i>KACHKIN & PARTNERS</i>
	Laura Radu <i>STOICA & ASOCIAȚII - SOCIETATE</i> <i>CIVILĂ DE AVOCAȚI</i>	Cristina Tutuianu <i>PwC ROMANIA</i>		Maxim Kalinin <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>
	Raluca Radu <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>	Anca Maria Ulea <i>MUȘAT & ASOCIAȚII</i>		Nadezhda Karavanova <i>DEPARTMENT OF URBAN</i> <i>PLANNING POLICY OF MOSCOW</i>
	Magdalena Raducanu <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>	Andrei Vartires <i>DENTONS EUROPE</i>		

Kamil Karibov BEITEN BURKHARDT RECHTSANWÄLTE (ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW)	Sergey Likhachev GOLSBLAT BLP	Maya Petrova BORENUS ATTORNEYS	Dagadina Svetlana CLIFF LEGAL SERVICES	Alberto Basomingera CABINET ZÉNITH LAW FIRM
Pavel Karpunin CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Anastasiya Likhanova GEOMETRIYA	Sergei Pikin ENERGY DEVELOPMENT FUND	Dmitry Tarasov AVAKOV TARASOV & PARTNERS	Flavia Busingye EAST AFRICAN COMMUNITY SECRETARIAT
Ekaterina Karunets BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED	Yulia Litovtseva PEPELIAEV GROUP	Ivan Podbereznjak DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	Vladlena Terekhina PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS RUSSIA BV	Louis de Gonzague Mukerangabo VISION TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY
Ivan Khaydurov HOUGH TROFIMOV & PARTNERS	Maxim Losik CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Anna Ponomareva GOLSBLAT BLP	Tatiana Tereshchenko PRIME ADVICE ST. PETERSBURG LAW OFFICE	Paul Frobisher Mugambwa PwC
Denis Khlopushin RUSSIN & VECCHI	Aleksandr Luboserdov ALL LAW CENTER	Sergei Vladimirovich Popov OOO SKIV	Irina Potasova OOO NEFTEKS	Charles Gahima Nkusi BUREAU D'ÉTUDES CHARLES GAHIMA ARCHITECTURE
Snezhana Kitaeva LENENERGO	Anton Luzhanin RUSSIN & VECCHI	Ivan Potekhin ESPRO REAL ESTATE	Ilya Povetkin LENENERGO	Claver Gakwau RWANDA ENERGY GROUP
Alexander Kleschev ALRUD LAW FIRM	Igor N. Makarov BAKER & MCKENZIE - CIS, LIMITED	Natalia Prisekina RUSSIN & VECCHI	Alexei Pyatigor MOESK	Patrick Gashagaza GPO PARTNERS RWANDA
Konstantin Kochetkov MORGAN LEWIS	Alexei Yurievich Makarovskiy MOESK	Svetlana Prokofieva LENENERGO	Artem Toropov GOLSBLAT BLP	Ange D'arc Habeshahomungu ANGE D'ARC HABESHOMUNGU - NOTARY
Vadim Kolomnikov DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	Bagel Maksim Anatolyevich GARANT ENERGO	Alexander Rostovsky CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Sergei Tribus HOUGH TROFIMOV & PARTNERS	Jean Havugimana ECODESEP LTD.
Aleksey Konevsky PEPELIAEV GROUP	Sofya Mamanova NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Ekaterina Rudova CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Alexander Tsakoev NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Samuel Havugimana SAMUEL HAVUGIMANA - NOTARY PUBLIC
Daria Konopolina LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Alisa Manaka MOESK	Alexander Rudyakov YUST LAW FIRM	Liubov Tsvetkova AGENCY FOR STRATEGIC INITIATIVES	Domina Izabayo IZABAYO DOMINA - NOTARY PUBLIC
Anastasia Konovalova NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Grigory Marinichev MORGAN LEWIS	Anna Rybalko DELOITTE & TOUCHE CIS	Ilya Tur EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Kabera Johnson KIGALI ALLIED ADVOCATES
Vadim Konyushkevich LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Igor Marmalidi PEPELIAEV GROUP	Gudisa Sakania MOESK	Aleksandra Ulezko KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Francois Xavier Kalinda UNIVERSITY OF RWANDA
Vladislav Korablin PEPELIAEV GROUP	Anna Maximenko DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	Artem Samoylov LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Anastasia Vasilieva BEITEN BURKHARDT RECHTSANWÄLTE (ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW)	Assiel Kamanzi ASSIEL KAMANZI - NOTARY PUBLIC
Alexander Korkin PEPELIAEV GROUP	Olga Mazina ACCOUNTOR RUSSIA	Kirill Saskov KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Igor Vasilyev JSC MR GROUP	Désiré Kamanzi ENSAFRICA RWANDA
Sergey Korolyov EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Maxim Mezentsev YUST LAW FIRM	Elena Sebastianova DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	Artem Vasytin DELOITTE & TOUCHE CIS	Tushabe Karim RWANDA DEVELOPMENT BOARD
Igor Kostennikov YUST LAW FIRM	Anastasia Mikhailova MORGAN LEWIS	Alexei Shcherbakov TsDS GROUP OF COMPANIES	Inna Vavilova PRIME ADVICE ST. PETERSBURG LAW OFFICE	Julien Kavaruganda K-SOLUTIONS AND PARTNERS
Tatiana Kovalkova DENTONS	Michael Morozov KPMG RUSSIA	Yulia Aleksandrovna Shirokova MOESK	Polina Vodogreeva LEVINE BRIDGE	Didas Kayihura FOUNTAIN ADVOCATES
Alyona Kozyreva NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (CENTRAL EUROPE) LLP	Ekaterina Motyvan YUST LAW FIRM	Victoria Sivachenko ALRUD LAW FIRM	Aleksi Volkov NATIONAL BUREAU OF CREDIT HISTORIES	Eudes Kayumba LANDMARK STUDIO
Elena Krestyantseva PEPELIAEV GROUP	Niyaz Muhametdinov ZF KAMA	Nadezhda Sizikova OOO NEFTEKS	Taisiya Vorotilova BAKER & MCKENZIE	Théophile Kazeneza CABINET D'AVOCATS KAZENEZA
Anna Kruglova BAKER & MCKENZIE	Anastasia Murzinova DLA PIPER	Yury Smolin DE BERTI JACCIA FRANCHINI FORLANI STUDIO LEGALE	Viktoria Aleksandrovna Vostrosablina MOESK	Patrice Manirakiza REPRO LTD.
Ekaterina Krylova AGENCY FOR STRATEGIC INITIATIVES	Andrey Naberezhnyi LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Mikhail Sergeevich Smolko GSP GROUP	Andrey Yakushin CENTRAL BANK OF RUSSIA	Lewis Manzi Rugema ECOBANK
Anna Kukli EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Tatiana Nikolayevna Nekrasova MOESK	Alexander Sokolov ARENKT	Vadim Yudenkov OOO GEOTECHNIC	Isaïe Mhayimana CABINET D'AVOCATS MHAYIMANA
Maria Kulikova ASB AUDIT COMPANY	Dmitry Nekrestyanov KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Julia Solomkina LEVINE BRIDGE	Vladislav Zabrodin CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Calvin Mitali EQUITY JURIS CHAMBERS
Dmitry Kunitsa MORGAN LEWIS	Tatyana Neveeva EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Ksenia Soloschenko CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Andrey Zelenin LIDINGS LAW FIRM	Merard Mpabwanamaguru CITY OF KIGALI - ONE STOP CENTER FOR CONSTRUCTION
Irina Kuyantseva ALRUD LAW FIRM	Natalie Neverovskaya UNICOMLEGAL RUSSIA	Elena Solovyeva AGENCY FOR STRATEGIC INITIATIVES	Roman Zhavner EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Alex Mugire RWANDA CUSTOMS
Roman Kuzmin LINIYA PRAVA LAW FIRM	Alexey Nikitin BORENUS ATTORNEYS	Sergey Sosnovsky PEPELIAEV GROUP	Artem Zhavoronkov DENTONS	Esperance Mukamana RWANDA NATURAL RESOURCES AUTHORITY, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF LAND TITLES
Elena Kvarnitskova EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Gennady Odarich PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS RUSSIA BV	Alexandra Stelmakh EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Evgeny Zhilin YUST LAW FIRM	Léopold Mundere CABINET D'AVOCATS-CONSEILS
David Lasfargue GIDE LOYRETTE NOUEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Elena Odud AWARA GROUP	Vladimir Stepanov DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	Maria Zhilina KACHKIN & PARTNERS	Alloys Mutabingwa AIMS CAPITAL ATTORNEYS
Ekaterina Lazorina PwC RUSSIA	Elena Ogawa LEVINE BRIDGE	Sergey Patrakeev LIDINGS LAW FIRM	RWANDA BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS	Pothin Muvara RWANDA NATURAL RESOURCES AUTHORITY, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF LAND TITLES
Sergei Lee CASTRÉN & SNELLMAN INTERNATIONAL LTD.	Irina Onikienko CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Elena Subocheva RUSSIN & VECCHI	NATIONAL BANK OF RWANDA	Sylvain Muyombano RWANDA NATURAL RESOURCES AUTHORITY, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF LAND TITLES
Elena Lepneva CAPITAL LEGAL SERVICES	Svetlana Panfilova DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP	Sergey Petrachkov ALRUD LAW FIRM	Ray Amusengeri PwC	

Geoffrey Mwine GM CORPORATE CONSULT LIMITED (GMCC)	Komisi Koria CLARKE EY LAWYERS	Davide Gasperoni UFFICIO TRIBUTARIO DELLA REPUBBLICA DI SAN MARINO	Abdulay Godinho DIRECCAO DOS REGISTOS E NOTARIADO DE SÃO TOMÉ	Khalid Al-Abdulkareem CLIFFORD CHANCE
Apollinaire Ndabategereje NDABATEGEREJE APOLLINAIRE - NOTARY PUBLIC	Upataua Lauvi MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND LABOUR	Simone Gatti WORLD LINE	Filipa Gonçalves STP COUNSEL, MEMBER OF THE MIRANDA ALLIANCE	Saud Al-Ammani BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP
Egide Niyigena NIYIGENA EGIDE - NOTARY PUBLIC	Tima Leavai LEAVAI LAW	Cinzia Guerretti WORLD LINE	Pedro Guiomar SUPERMARITIME SÃO TOMÉ	Nizar Al-Awwad SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU - SIMAH
Innocent Nizeyimana NIZEYIMANA INNOCENT - NOTARY PUBLIC	Tuala Pat Leota PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT	Anna Maria Lonfernini STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE LONFERNINI	Fernando Lima da Trindade MINISTRY OF PUBLICS WORKS, GEOGRAPHICAL-CADASTRE, NATURAL RESOURCES, AND ENVIRONMENT	Noura AlFahad BAKER & MCKENZIE
Aimable Nkuranga TRANSUNION RWANDA	Aaron Levine ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK	Erika Marani AVV. ERIKA MARANI	Sofia Martins STP COUNSEL, MEMBER OF THE MIRANDA ALLIANCE	Nasser Alfaraj LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN & PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER & MCKENZIE LIMITED
Emmanuel Nkurunziza GPO PARTNERS RWANDA	Peseta Margaret Malua MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND LABOUR	Lucia Mazza UFFICIO TECNICO DEL CATASTO	Julio Martins Junior RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS	Abdulaziz Alharthy DHABAAN AND PARTNERS
Martin Nkurunziza GPO PARTNERS RWANDA	Albert Meredith MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND LABOUR	Daniela Mina GIANLUCCA MINGUZZI ANTAO PROGETTI S.P.A.	Virna Neves STP COUNSEL, MEMBER OF THE MIRANDA ALLIANCE	Fatima Alhasan LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN & PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER & MCKENZIE LIMITED
Emile Nzabamwita CASE CONSULTANTS	Atuaisaute Misipati SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CENTRE	Alfredo Nicolini LAWYER	Zerna Nezef STP COUNSEL, MEMBER OF THE MIRANDA ALLIANCE	Omar AlHoshaan ALHOSHAAN CPAS & CONSULTANTS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL
Dieudonne Nzafashwanayo ENSAFRICA RWANDA	Ameperosa Roma FEPULEAKI & ROMA	Fabio Pazzini SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP	Catarina Nunes PWC PORTUGAL	Yousef A. Al-Joufi AL-JOIFI LAW FIRM
Richard Rwihandagaza R & PARTNERS LAW FIRM	Peato Sam Ling SAMOA SHIPPING SERVICES LTD.	Sara Pellicioni STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE AVV. MATTEO MULARONI - IN ASSOCIAZIONE CON BUSSOLETTI NUZZO & ASSOCIATI	Guilherme Posser da Costa POSSE DA COSTA ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS	Fahad I. Al-Khudairy FADHA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS
Fred Rwihunda RFM ENGINEERING LTD.	Failetasi Elaine Seuaو MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND LABOUR	Cesare Pisani TELECOM ITALIA SAN MARINO S.P.A.	Miguel Bento Ribeiro PWC PORTUGAL	Nabil Abdullah Al-Mubarak SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU - SIMAH
Yves Sangano RWANDA DEVELOPMENT BOARD, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL OF RWANDA	Sala Theodore Sialau Toalepai SAMOA SHIPPING SERVICES LTD.	Giuseppe Ragini STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE GIUSEPPE RAGINI	Cosme Bonfim Afonso Rita CÂMARA DE COMÉRCIO, AGRICULTURA E SERVIÇOS	Abdullah Aloqla DHABAAN AND PARTNERS
Sandrali Sebakara BUREAU D'ÉTUDES CAEDEC	Keilani Soloi SOLOI SURVEY SERVICES	Daniela Reffi UFFICIO TECNICO DEL CATASTO	Hugo Rita TERRA FORMA	Sultan AlQudiry SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU - SIMAH
Pierre Valery Singizumukiza SINGIZUMUKIZA PIERRE VALERY - NOTARY PUBLIC	Ladesha Stevenson STEVENSONS LAWYERS	Daniela Tombeni S.M. STUDIO SPED	Ilma Salvaterra GUICHE ÚNICO PARA EMPRESAS	Wisam AlSindi AL-SINDI LAW FIRM
Asante Twagira ENSAFRICA RWANDA	Grace Stowers STEVENSONS LAWYERS	SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE	Cláudia Santos Malaquias MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Mohammed Al-Soaib AL-SOAIIB LAW FIRM
Maureen Wamahiu TRANSUNION RWANDA	Tessa Tone SAMOA SHIPPING CORPORATION	António de Barros A. Aguiar SOCOGESTA	Daniel Vaz DIRECCAO DAS ALFÂNDEGAS	Mashhour Altubaishi RIYADH MUNICIPALITY
SAMOA	Helen Uiese MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND LABOUR	Eudes Aguiar AGUIAR & PEDRONHO STUDIO	Leendert Verschoor PWC PORTUGAL	Wicki Andersen BAKER BOTTS LLP
LESA MA PENN	SAN MARINO	Adelino Amado Pereira AMADO PEREIRA & ASSOCIADOS, SOCIEDADE DE ADVOGADOS	António Vicente Marques AVM ADVOGADOS	Haifa Bahaiان BAKER & MCKENZIE
Alatina Ioelu SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE CENTRE	Simone Arcangeli AVVOCATO E NOTAIO	Ilza Amado Vaz DIRECCAO DAS ALFÂNDEGAS	SAUDI ARABIA	John Balouziyah DENTONS
Treena F. Atoa ATOA LAW FIRM LAWYERS & NOTARY PUBLIC	Renzo Balsimelli UFFICIO URBANISTICA	Luisenda Andrade DIRECCAO DAS ALFÂNDEGAS	ALGASIM ZAMAKHCHARY ELECTRICITY & Co-GENERATION REGULATORY AUTHORITY	Nada Bashammakh AL-SINDI LAW FIRM
Terila Brown PLANNING AND URBAN MANAGEMENT AGENCY	Dennis Beccari AVV. ERIKA MARANI	Joana Andrade Correia RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASSOCIADOS	SAUDI ARABIAN GENERAL INVESTMENT AUTHORITY	Emad El-Hout ALFANAR PRECAST
Lawrie Burch QUANTUM CONTRAX LTD.	Gian Luca Belluzzi STUDIO COMMERCIALE BELLUZZI	Nuno Barata MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Asad Abedi THE LAW FIRM OF HATEM ABBAS GHAZAWI & CO.	Aisha Gondal BAKER & MCKENZIE
Shelley Burch QUANTUM CONTRAX LTD.	Gianna Burgagni STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE	Nuria Brinkmann MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Fayyaz Ahmad JONES LANG LASALLE	Fehem Hashmi CLIFFORD CHANCE
Lyndon Chu-Ling MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, INDUSTRY AND LABOUR	Cecilia Cardogna STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE	Paula Caldeira Dutschmann MIRANDA CORREIA AMENDOEIRA & ASSOCIADOS	Omar Al Ansari LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN & PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER & MCKENZIE LIMITED	Amgad Husein DENTONS
Ruby Drake DRAKE & CO.	Vincent Cecchetti CECCHETTI, ALBANI & ASSOCIATI	Jaime Carvalho Esteves PWC PORTUGAL	Mai Al Ashgar BAKER & MCKENZIE	Caroline Long LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN & PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER & MCKENZIE LIMITED
Fiona Ey CLARKE EY LAWYERS	Debora Cenni STUDIO LEGALE E NOTARILE LONFERNINI	Tânia Cascais MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Bassam Al Bassam AL-BASSAM	Zaid Mahayni SEDCO HOLDING
Patrick Pepuleai PEPULEAI & ROMA	Alberto Chezzi STUDIO CHEZZI	Elísio Cruz L.J. CRUZ	Fayez Al Debs PwC SAUDI ARABIA	Rukn Eldeen Mohammed OMRANIA & ASSOCIATES
Anthony Frazier	Sara Cupioli UFFICIO TRIBUTARIO DELLA REPUBBLICA DI SAN MARINO	Celiza Deus Lima ODL & ASSOCIADOS	Majed Al Hedayan CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY	Humaid Mudhaffr SAUDI CREDIT BUREAU - SIMAH
Anne Godinet-Milbank MINISTRY OF WORKS, TRANSPORT & INFRASTRUCTURE	Alessandro de Mattia AZIENDA AUTONOMA DI STATO PER I SERVIZI PUBBLICI	Cláudia do Carmo Santos MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Saul Fonseca MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Karim Nassar LEGAL ADVISORS, ABDULAZIZ I. AL-AJLAN & PARTNERS IN ASSOCIATION WITH BAKER & MCKENZIE LIMITED
Filisita Ikenasio-Heather MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT	Laura Ferretti SEGRETARIO DI STATO INDUSTRIA ARTIGIANATO E COMMERCIO TRASPORTI E RICERCA - DIPARTIMENTO ECONOMIA	Maria Figueiredo MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	Joza Al Rasheed BAKER & MCKENZIE	Michael Quigley BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP
Misa loane Esoto MISA ELECTRICAL	Marcello Forcellini STUDIO CHEZZI	Saul Fonseca MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS		

Mohammad Arif Saeed <i>DHABAAN AND PARTNERS</i>	Fama de Sagama Fall Gueye <i>ONAS</i>	Francois Ndiaye <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS ET DOMAINES</i>	Marija Čabarkapa <i>AVS LEGAL</i>	Miodrag Klančnik <i>MARIĆ, MALIŠIĆ & DOSTANIĆ O.A.D.</i>
Muhammad Anum Saleem <i>DHABAAN AND PARTNERS</i>	Mor Talla Faye <i>SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS FRANÇOIS SARR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Sadel Ndiaye <i>SCP NDIAYE & MBODJ</i>	Ana Čalić Turudić <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Tijana Kojović <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>
Ayman Salem <i>VAQUE CONSULTANT COMPANY</i>	Moustapha Faye <i>SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS FRANÇOIS SARR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Macodou Ndour <i>CABINET MACODOU NDOUR</i>	Dejan Certić <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>	Attorneys-at-Law
Rehana Shukkur <i>THE LAW FIRM OF HATEM ABBAS GHAZZAWI & CO.</i>	Moustapha Faye <i>SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS FRANÇOIS SARR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Moustapha Ndoye <i>CABINET MAÎTRE MOUSTAPHA NDJOYE</i>	Dragoljub Cibulić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Ivana Kopilović <i>KOPILOVIĆ & KOPILOVIĆ</i>
Fouad Sindi <i>CLIFFORD CHANCE</i>	Catherine Faye Diop <i>ORDRE DES ARCHITECTES DU SÉNÉGAL</i>	Macoumba Niang <i>REGISTRE DU COMMERCE ET DU CRÉDIT MOBILIER</i>	Vladimir Dabić <i>THE INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR FINANCIAL MARKET DEVELOPMENT</i>	Milica Košutić <i>LAW OFFICES JANKOVIĆ, POPOVIĆ & MITIĆ</i>
Faisal Tabbaa <i>DHABAAN AND PARTNERS</i>	Joseph Gbegnon <i>CREDITINFO VOLO</i>	Maître Ibrahima Niang <i>ÉTUDE DE MAÎTRE IBRAHIMA NIANG</i>	Marina Dacić <i>BELGRADE COMMERCIAL COURT</i>	Filip Kovacević <i>DELOITTE D.O.O.</i>
Zahi Younes <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Abdoulaye Gningue <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS ET DOMAINES</i>	Souleymane Niang <i>ÉTUDE DE MAÎTRE IBRAHIMA NIANG</i>	Milan Dakic <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Vidak Kovacević <i>WOLF THEISS</i>
Soudki Zawaydeh <i>PWC SAUDI ARABIA</i>	Antoine Gomis <i>SCP SENGHOR & SARR, NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS</i>	Babacar Sall <i>BDS</i>	Attorneys-at-Law	Ivan Krsikapa <i>NINKOVIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>
SENEGAL				
BCEAO	Papa Bathie Gueye <i>RMA SÉNÉGAL</i>	Mouhamadou Abass A. Sall <i>LAMTORO STUDIOS</i>	Jovica Damnjanovic <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Zach Kuvizić <i>KUVIZIC & TADIC LAW OFFICE</i>
CHAMBRE DES NOTAIRES DU SÉNÉGAL	Matthias Hubert <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	Adamou Sambaré <i>CREDITINFO VOLO</i>	Tanja Danojević <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Kosta D. Lazic <i>KOSTA D. LAZIC</i>
SENELEC	Alioune Ka <i>ÉTUDE SCP MES KA</i>	Abdou Ben J. Sambou <i>DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE DES IMPÔTS ET DOMAINES</i>	Vladimir Dasić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Milan Lazić <i>KN KARANOVIĆ & NIKOLIĆ</i>
Khaled Abou El Houda <i>CABINET KANJO KOITA</i>	Abdou Kader Konaté <i>ARCHITECTE DPLG</i>	François Sarr <i>SOCIÉTÉ CIVILE PROFESSIONNELLE D'AVOCATS FRANÇOIS SARR & ASSOCIÉS</i>	Attorneys-at-Law	Ružica Mačukat <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY</i>
Baba Aly Barro <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	Oumy Kalsoum Gaye <i>CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE D'INDUSTRIE ET D'AGRICULTURE DE DAKAR</i>	Daniel-Sédar Senghor <i>SCP SENGHOR & SARR, NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS</i>	Simon Dayes <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA</i>	Miladin Maglov <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY</i>
Altiné Amadou Belko <i>CREDITINFO VOLO</i>	Abdou Dialy Kane <i>CABINET MAÎTRE ABDOU DIALY KANE</i>	Serge Kouasssy Siekouo <i>CREDITINFO VOLO</i>	Gili Dekel <i>DIRECT CAPITAL S D.O.O.</i>	Rastko Malisic <i>MARIĆ, MALIŠIĆ & DOSTANIĆ O.A.D.</i>
Mamadou Berthe <i>ATELIER D' ARCHITECTURE</i>	Mahi Kane <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	Souleymane Sylla <i>CREDITINFO VOLO</i>	Lidija Djerić <i>LAW OFFICES POPOVIC, POPOVIC & PARTNERS</i>	Aleksandar Mančev <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Ibrahima Beye <i>PRÉSIDENCE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE DU TOGO</i>	Abdou Dialy Kane <i>CABINET MAÎTRE ABDOU DIALY KANE</i>	Ndèye Khoudia Tounkara <i>ÉTUDE ME MAYACINE TOUNKARA ET ASSOCIÉS</i>	Uroš Djordjević <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Dragana Marković <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING GROUP</i>
Yolande Boissy Kabou <i>ÉTUDE ME PATRICIA LAKE DIOP</i>	Mahi Kane <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS TAX & LEGAL SA</i>	SERBIA	Zeljko Djuric <i>CONTINENTAL WIND</i>	Djordje Mijatov <i>LAW OFFICE ILIĆ</i>
Ibrahima Diagne <i>GAINDE 2000</i>	Osseynou Lagnane <i>BDS</i>	Senka Andelković <i>NATIONAL ALLIANCE FOR LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>	Jelena Kuveljic Dmitric <i>LAW OFFICES ŽECEVIC & LUKIC</i>	Predrag Milenković <i>DRAŽIĆ, BEATOVIĆ & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Amadou Diouldé Diallo <i>MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'ASSAINISSEMENT</i>	Patricia Lake Diop <i>ÉTUDE ME PATRICIA LAKE DIOP</i>	Milos Andelković <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Stefan Dobrić <i>LAW OFFICES JANKOVIĆ, POPOVIC & MITIĆ</i>	Nenad Milić <i>PD ELEKTRODISTRIBUCIJA BEograd D.O.O.</i>
Macré Diallo <i>SCP NDIAYE DIAGNE & DIALLO NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS</i>	Malick Lamotte <i>TRIBUNAL DE GRANDE INSTANCE DE DAKAR</i>	Aleksandar Andrejić <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Dragan Draca <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>	Branko Milovanović <i>TEBODIN D.O.O.</i>
Abdoul Aziz Dieng <i>CENTRE DE GESTION AGREE DE DAKAR</i>	Armel Lane Zogning <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT CHEIKH FALL</i>	Faty Balla Lo <i>CREDITINFO VOLO</i>	Ilija Drazic <i>DRAŽIĆ, BEATOVIĆ & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Milena Mitić <i>KN KARANOVIĆ & NIKOLIĆ</i>
Aziz Dieye <i>CABINET AZIZ DIEYE</i>	Malick Lamotte <i>TRIBUNAL DE GRANDE INSTANCE DE DAKAR</i>	Aleksandar Arsić <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>	Jovana Gavrilovic <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Aleksandar Mladenović <i>ROKAS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM</i>
Mohamed Dieye <i>TAX & LEGAL SERVICE AFRIQUE SA</i>	Ngouda Mbaye <i>HECTO ENERGY</i>	Bojana Arsić <i>TEBODIN CONSULTANTS AND ENGINEERS</i>	Jelena Gazivoda <i>LAW OFFICES JANKOVIĆ, POPOVIC & MITIĆ</i>	Dejan Mraković <i>DELOITTE D.O.O.</i>
Abdou Birahim Diop <i>DIRECTION DU DÉVELOPPEMENT URBAIN</i>	Saliou Mbaye <i>HECTO ENERGY</i>	Vlado Babic <i>AIR SPEED</i>	Danica Gligorijevic <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Veljko Nešić <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Alioune Badara Diop <i>ONAS</i>	Birame Mbaye Seck <i>DIRECTION DU DÉVELOPPEMENT URBAIN</i>	Marija Beljić <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA OLAJIĆ & TODOROVIC</i>	Marko Janicijevic <i>TOMIĆ SINDJELIC GROZA LAW OFFICE</i>	Ivan Nikolic <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>
Amadou Diop <i>GAINDE 2000</i>	Lamine Mboup <i>SOCESTRA</i>	Slavko Bingulac <i>ERSTE GROUP IMMORENT SERBIA D.O.O.</i>	Ana Jankov <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Attorneys-at-Law
Angelique Pouye Diop <i>APIX AGENCE CHARGÉE DE LA PROMOTION DE L'INVESTISSEMENT ET DES GRANDS TRAVAUX</i>	Aly Mar Ndiaye <i>COMMISSION DE RÉGULATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ</i>	Jelena Bojovic <i>NATIONAL ALLIANCE FOR LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT</i>	Branko Jovičić <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>	Dimitrije Nikolić <i>GEBRUDER WEISS D.O.O.</i>
Andrée Diop-Depret <i>GA 2 D</i>	Amadou Ndiaye <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT CHEIKH FALL</i>	Bojana Bregovic <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Nemanja Kačavenda <i>A.D. INTEREUROPA, BELGRADE</i>	Djurdje Ninković <i>NINKOVIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>
Medieumbe Diouf <i>ONAS</i>	Amadou Moustapha Ndiaye <i>SCP NDIAYE DIAGNE & DIALLO NOTAIRES ASSOCIÉS</i>	Milan Brkovic <i>ASSOCIATION OF SERBIAN BANKS</i>	Irena Kalnić <i>BDK ADVOKATI</i>	Bojana Noskov <i>WOLF THEISS</i>
Yoro Diouf	Elodie Dagneaux Ndiaye <i>APIX AGENCE CHARGÉE DE LA PROMOTION DE L'INVESTISSEMENT ET DES GRANDS TRAVAUX</i>	Marina Bulatovic <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Dušan Karalić <i>DMK TAX & FINANCE</i>	Zvonko Obradović <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY</i>
Abdoulaye Drame <i>CABINET ABDOUAYE DRAME</i>			Marija Karalić <i>DMK TAX & FINANCE</i>	Darija Ognjenović <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>
Bathilde Diouf Fall <i>TAX & LEGAL SERVICE AFRIQUE SA</i>				Igor Oljačić <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA OLAJIĆ & TODOROVIC</i>
Cheikh Fall <i>CABINET D'AVOCAT CHEIKH FALL</i>				Marija Karalić <i>DMK TAX & FINANCE</i>

Stefan Pavlovic <i>ROKAS INTERNATIONAL LAW FIRM</i>	Miloš Živković <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Anthony Y Brewah <i>BREWAH & CO.</i>	Mohamed Pa Momoh Fofanah <i>EDRINA CHAMBERS</i>
Vladimir Perić <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Igor Živkovski <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Sonia Browne <i>CLAS CONSULT LTD.</i>	Tamba P. Ngegba <i>MINISTRY OF WORKS HOUSING AND INFRASTRUCTURE (MWH&I)</i>
Milan Petrović <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>		David Carew <i>FREETOWN NOMINEES</i>	Samuel Abayomi Noldred <i>BDO</i>
Ms. Jasmina Petrović <i>CITY OF BELGRADE, URBANISM DEPARTMENT</i>		Solade Carpenter <i>BDO</i>	Francis Nyama <i>ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY</i>
Mihajlo Prica <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>		Beatrice Chatyor <i>CHARIOT EIGHT</i>	Sidney Ojumu <i>CLAS CONSULT LTD.</i>
Branka Rajčić <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS CONSULTING D.O.O.</i>		Siman Mans Conteh <i>INCOME TAX BOARD OF APPELLATE COMMISSIONERS</i>	John Dudley Okrafo-Smart <i>CLAS CONSULT LTD.</i>
Branimir Rajšić <i>KARANOVIC & NIKOLIC LAW FIRM</i>		Kwesi Amo Dadson <i>PwC GHANA</i>	Afolabi Oluwole <i>CUSTOMERWORTH</i>
Adela Ristić <i>MARIĆ, MALIŠIĆ & DOSTANIĆ O.A.D.</i>	Laura A. Alcidor Valabhji <i>JUSTIN BACHARIE ELECTRICAL CONSULTANT SEYCHELLES</i>	Ibrahim Musa Dumbuya <i>BANK OF SIERRA LEONE</i>	Eduard Parkinson <i>ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY</i>
Adrianae Ristic <i>MARIĆ, MALIŠIĆ & DOSTANIĆ O.A.D.</i>	Wasoudeo Balloo <i>KPMG</i>	Mariama Dumbuya <i>RENNER THOMAS & CO., ADELE CHAMBERS</i>	Christopher J. Peacock <i>SERPICO TRADING ENTERPRISES</i>
Branišlav Ristić <i>ADVOKATSKA KANCELARIJA</i>	Karishma Beegoo <i>APPLEBY</i>	Momoh Dumbuya <i>ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY</i>	Edward Siaffa <i>NATIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>
Sonja Sehovac <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Terry Biscornet <i>SEYCHELLES PLANNING AUTHORITY</i>	Joseph Fofanah <i>OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR AND REGISTRAR GENERAL (OARG)</i>	Alvin Tamba <i>KPMG</i>
Neda Spajić <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Juliette Butler <i>APPLEBY</i>	Manilius Garber <i>JARRETT-YASKEY, GARBER & ASSOCIATES: ARCHITECTS (JYGA)</i>	Rodney O. Temple <i>EROD CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING SERVICES</i>
Radmila Spasic <i>DELTA REAL ESTATE</i>	Bernard Georges <i>GEORGES & GEORGES</i>	Emilia Gbomor <i>CLAS CONSULT LTD.</i>	Valisius Thomas <i>ADVENT CHAMBERS</i>
Marko Srdanović <i>MUNICIPALITY OF SURCIN</i>	Fred Hoareau <i>COMPANY AND LAND REGISTRY</i>	Ahmed Yassin Jallo - Jamboria <i>NATIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>	Donald Samuel Williams <i>NATIONAL REVENUE AUTHORITY (NRA) LARGE TAXPAYERS OFFICE (LTO), DOMESTIC TAX DEPARTMENT (DTD)</i>
Mirjana Stankovic <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Gerard Hoareau <i>SEYCHELLES PLANNING AUTHORITY</i>	Cyril Jalloh <i>NATIONAL SOCIAL SECURITY AND INSURANCE TRUST</i>	Prince Williams <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION OF SIERRA LEONE</i>
Dragana Stanojević <i>USAID BUSINESS ENABLING PROJECT - BY CARDNO EMERGING MARKETS USA</i>	Durai Karunakaran <i>JUDICIARY OF THE SEYCHELLES</i>	Ransford Johnson <i>LAMBERT & PARTNERS, PREMIERE CHAMBERS</i>	Yada Williams <i>YADA WILLIAMS AND ASSOCIATE</i>
Milica Stojanović <i>LAW OFFICES JANKOVIĆ, POPOVIĆ & MITIĆ</i>	Conrad Lablache <i>PARDIWALLA TWOMEY LABLACHE</i>	Henrietta Johnston <i>CLAS CONSULT LTD.</i>	SINGAPORE
Petar Stojanović <i>JOKSOVİC, STOJANOVİC AND PARTNERS</i>	Carlos Loizeau <i>CENTRAL BANK OF SEYCHELLES</i>	Donald Jones <i>MINISTRY OF LANDS, COUNTRY PLANNING AND THE ENVIRONMENT</i>	<i>ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP</i>
Robert Sundberg <i>DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING GROUP</i>	Malcolm Moller <i>APPLEBY</i>	Mariama Seray Kallay <i>OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR AND REGISTRAR GENERAL, GOVERNMENT OF SIERRA LEONE</i>	<i>EY SINGAPORE</i>
Marko Tesanovic <i>WOLF THEISS</i>	Margaret Nourice <i>STAMP DUTY COMMISSION</i>	Jerrie Kamara <i>KPMG</i>	<i>INSOLVENCY AND PUBLIC TRUSTEE'S OFFICE</i>
Ana Tomic <i>JOKSOVİC, STOJANOVİC AND PARTNERS</i>	Brian Orr <i>MEJ ELECTRICAL</i>	Mohamed Kamara <i>FREETOWN NOMINEES</i>	<i>STATE COURTS</i>
Jovana Tomić <i>ŽIVKOVIĆ & SAMARDŽIĆ LAW OFFICE</i>	Zara Pardiwalla <i>PARDIWALLA TWOMEY LABLACHE</i>	Melvin Khabenje <i>CLAS CONSULT LTD.</i>	Lim Ah Kuan <i>SP POWERGRID LTD.</i>
Snežana Tosić <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY</i>	Wendy Pierre <i>COMPANY AND LAND REGISTRY</i>	George Kwatia <i>PwC GHANA</i>	Patrick Ang <i>RAJAH & TANN SINGAPORE LLP</i>
Tanja Unguran <i>KARANOVIC & NIKOLIC LAW FIRM</i>	Lucie Antoinette Pool <i>ATTORNEY</i>	Peter Larvai <i>BANK OF SIERRA LEONE</i>	Yvonne Ang <i>PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD</i>
Srećko Vujaković <i>MORAVČEVIĆ, VOJNOVIĆ & ZDRAVKOVIĆ U SARADNJIU SA SCHONHERR</i>	Divino Sabino <i>PARDIWALLA TWOMEY LABLACHE</i>	Millicent Lewis-Ojumu <i>CLAS CONSULT LTD.</i>	Caroline Berube <i>HJM ASIA LAW & CO. LLC</i>
Tanja Vukotić Marinković <i>SERBIAN BUSINESS REGISTERS AGENCY</i>	Brohnsson Winslow <i>WINSLOW NAYA CONSULTING</i>	Chrispina Luke <i>BDO</i>	YC Chee <i>RSM CHIO LIM LLP</i>
Milena Vuković Buha <i>AJILON SOLUTIONS</i>	Isiaka Balogun <i>KPMG</i>	Michala Mackay <i>CORPORATE AFFAIRS COMMISSION OF SIERRA LEONE</i>	Jennifer Chia <i>TSMP LAW CORPORATION</i>
Miloš Vujić <i>PRICA & PARTNERS LAW OFFICE</i>	Mallay F. Bangura <i>ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION AND SUPPLY AUTHORITY</i>	Sahada Mahama <i>PwC GHANA</i>	Hooi Yen Chin <i>POLARIS LAW CORPORATION</i>
Djordje Zejak <i>BDK ADVOKATI ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Ayesha Bedwei <i>PwC GHANA</i>	Ibrahim Mansaray <i>FAST TRACK COMMERCIAL COURT</i>	Koon Fun Chin <i>URBAN REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY</i>
		Clifford Marcus-Roberts <i>KPMG</i>	Ng Chin Lock <i>SP POWERGRID LTD.</i>
		Corneleius Max-Williams <i>DESTINY SHIPPING AGENCIES LTD.</i>	Chee Beow Chng <i>CHIP ENG SENG CORPORATION LTD.</i>
			Kit Min Chye <i>TAN PENG CHIN LLC</i>
			Kamil Dada <i>TETRAFLOW PTE LTD.</i>
			Lilian Quah <i>MINISTRY OF MANPOWER</i>
			Teck Beng Quek <i>LAND TRANSPORT AUTHORITY</i>

Meera Rajah RAJAH & TANN SINGAPORE LLP	Marián Kapec ZAPADOSLOVENSKÁ DISTRIBUČNÁ AS	Luka Fabiani Mojca Fakin CMS LEGAL	Ela Omersa CMS LEGAL	Jarrod Harrington ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK
Lim Bok Hwa Sandy JUST R. TRANSPORT ENTERPRISE PTE LTD.	Kristina Klenova WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Aleksander Ferk PWC SVETOVANJE D.O.O.	Sonja Omerza DELOITTE	Douglas Hou
Kwan Kiat Sim RAJAH & TANN SINGAPORE LLP	Martin Kluch HAMALA KLUCH VÍGLASKÝ S.R.O.	Ana Filipov FILOPOV O.P.D.O.O. IN COOPERATION WITH SCHOENHERR RECHTSANWALTE GMBH	Aljaz Perme MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Sebastian Ilala BJS AGENCIES LTD.
Hak Khoo Tan ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY	Roman Konrad PROFINAM, S.R.O.	Pavle Flere	Miroslav Pikovnik UNIJA RAČUNOVODSKA HIŠA D.D.	John Katahanas SOL - LAW
Tay Lek Tan PWC SINGAPORE	Miroslav Kopáč NATIONAL BANK OF SLOVAKIA	Tina Fuchs BANK OF SLOVENIA	Nataša Pipan-Nahtigal ODVETNIKI ŠELIH & PARTNERJI	Aaron Levine ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK
Siu Ing Teng SINGAPORE LAND AUTHORITY	Peter Kovac MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Tajka Golob GROSS & GOLOB	Petra Plevník LAW FIRM MIRO SENICA AND ATTORNEYS LTD.	Wayne Morris MORRIS & SOJNOCKI CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS
Elaine Teoh MINISTRY OF TRADE & INDUSTRY	Jakub Kováčik CLS ČAVOJSKÝ & PARTNERS, S.R.O.	Alenka Gorenčič DELOITTE	Bojan Podgoršek NOTARIAT	Maurice Noniput KRAMER AUSENCO
Siew Kwong Wong ENERGY MARKET AUTHORITY	Soňa Lehocká ALIANCIADVOVÁTOV AK, S.R.O.	Mia Gostinčar LAW FIRM MIRO SENICA AND ATTORNEYS LTD.	Anka Pogačnik PWC SVETOVANJE D.O.O.	Megan Praeger HONIARA CITY COUNCIL
Elaine Yeo SINGAPORE CUSTOMS	Frantisek Lipka ULC ČARNOGURSKÝ S.R.O.	Hermina Govekar Vičič BANKA SLOVENIJE - CREDIT REGISTER SISBON	Luka Pregelj	Livingston Saepio HONIARA CITY COUNCIL
Jennifer Yeo MDR LTD.	Jakub Malý DETVAI LUDIK MALÝ UDVAROS	Teja Grad ODVETNIKI ŠELIH & PARTNERJI, O.P., D.N.O	Jasmina Rešidović NOTARY OFFICE KOŠAK	Gregory Joseph Sojnocki MORRIS & SOJNOCKI CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS
Jennifer Yip Yoke Fun BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY	Nina Molcanova PWC SLOVAKIA	Damijan Gregorc LAW FIRM MIRO SENICA AND ATTORNEYS LTD.	Konstanca Rettinger BANKA SLOVENIJE - CREDIT REGISTER SISBON	John Sullivan SOL - LAW
Isaac Yong FIRE SAFETY & SHELTER DEPARTMENT	Tomáš Morochovič WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Andrea Olšovská PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.	Ema Rode MINISTRY OF FINANCE	Gabriel Suri SURI'S LAW PRACTICE
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	Peter Ondrejka MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Peter Ondrejka MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Patricia Rot	Makario Tagini GLOBAL LAWYERS, BARRISTERS & SOLICITOR
Zárecký Žeman	Ladislav Pompráž MONAREX AUDIT CONSULTING	Tomáš Morochovič WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Sanja Savic DELOITTE	Cindrella Vunagi HONIARA CITY COUNCIL
Ján Budinský CRIF - SLOVAK CREDIT BUREAU, S.R.O.	Simona Rapavá WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Andrea Olšovská PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.	Bostjan Sedmak ODVETNIK SEDMAK	Pamela Wilde MINISTRY FOR JUSTICE AND LEGAL AFFAIRS
Peter Čávojský CLS ČAVOJSKÝ & PARTNERS, S.R.O.	Katarína Roháčová MONAREX AUDIT CONSULTING	Peter Ondrejka MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Branka Sedmak JADEK & PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.	Yolande Yates
Katarína Čechová ČECHOVÁ & PARTNERS S. R. O.	Zuzana Satkova PWC SLOVAKIA	Ladislav Pompráž MONAREX AUDIT CONSULTING	Jaka Simončič JADEK & PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.	John Zama LIGHT LAWYERS
Tomas Cermak WEINHOLD LEGAL	Christiania Serugova PWC SLOVAKIA	Simona Rapavá WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Andreja Škofič Klanjšček DELOITTE	
Tomáš Cibuľa WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Jakub Skaloš MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Katarína Roháčová MONAREX AUDIT CONSULTING	Nives Slemenjak SCHOENHERR	SOMALIA
Peter Drenka HAMALA KLUCH VÍGLASKÝ S.R.O.	Jaroslav Škubal PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.	Gerta Sámelová-Flássiková ALIANCIADVOVÁTOV AK, S.R.O.	Petra Smolnikar SCHOENHERR	Hafsa Aamin MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS
Jan Dvorecky GREEN INTEGRATED LOGISTICS (SLOVAKIA) S.R.O.	Matúš Fojtík GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTRE AUTHORITY	Zuzana Satkova PWC SLOVAKIA	Rok Starc NOTARY OFFICE KOŠAK	Mohamed A. Abdi GALMUDUG CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY
Sona Farkasova MONAREX AUDIT CONSULTING	Otokar Weis PWC SLOVAKIA	Christiana Serugova PWC SLOVAKIA	Gregor Strojin SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA	Abdulwahab Hassan Abdullahi CXC COMPANY
Matúš Fojtík GEODESY, CARTOGRAPHY AND CADASTRE AUTHORITY	Katarína Zaprasná PWC SLOVAKIA	Jakub Skaloš MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Tilen Terlep ODVETNIKI ŠELIH & PARTNERJI	Ismail Abdullahi MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS
Roman Hamala HAMALA KLUCH VÍGLASKÝ S.R.O.	Michal Zathurecký WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Michal Zathurecký WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Nevenka Tory NOTARY NEVENKA TORY	Zainab Adam MOGADISHU CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Tatiana Hlušková MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Miroslav Zaťko ČECHOVÁ & PARTNERS S. R. O.	Michal Zathurecký WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	Ana Vran LAW FIRM FABIANI, PETROVIC, JERAJ, LTD.	Abdisalam Mohamed Addow MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Peter Hodál WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	SLOVENIA	Nina Kristarič JADEK & PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.	Sara Vrhunc JADEK & PENSA D.O.O. - O.P.	Abdkarin Mohamed Ahmed HORN LEGAL CONSULTING SERVICES
Barbora Hrbáčkova WHITE & CASE S.R.O.	LAW FIRM NEFFAT	Sabina Jereb MINISTRY FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND SPATIAL PLANNING	Nina Žefran DELOITTE	Tahil H. Ahmed HORN LEGAL CONSULTING SERVICES
Veronika Hrušovská PRK PARTNERS S.R.O.	Gregor Berkopiec DELOITTE	Boris Kastelic FINANCIAL INSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA	Nina Zupan SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA	Osman Ahmed Ali SOMTECH CONSTRUCTION
Miroslav Jalec ZAPADOSLOVENSKÁ DISTRIBUČNÁ AS	Nina Bogataj SUPREME COURT LAND REGISTRY DEPARTMENT	Lovo Kleindienst TRANSOCEAN SHIPPING	Tina Žvanut Mioč DELOITTE	Maryan Ahmed Harun HORN LEGAL CONSULTING SERVICES
Jakub Jura DEDÁK & PARTNERS	Miodrag Dordević SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA	Miroslav Marchev PWC SVETOVANJE D.O.O.	COMPANY HAUS - REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES	Mohamed Ali SIMATECH INTERNATIONAL - SIMA MARINE LTD.
Mária Juraševská PWC SLOVAKIA	Maša Drkušić ODI LAW FIRM	Matjaž Miklavčič SODO D.O.O.	CREDIT & DATA BUREAU LIMITED	Raage Mohamed Ali MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Michaela Jurková ČECHOVÁ & PARTNERS S. R. O.	Andrej Ekart MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Bojan Mlaj ENERGY AGENCY OF THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA	Rodney Begley TRADCO SHIPPING	Abdullahir Ali Adow MAYOR'S OFFICE AT THE MUNICIPALITY OF MOGADISHU
Tomáš Kamenec DEDÁK & PARTNERS		Mojca Muha LAW FIRM MIRO SENICA AND ATTORNEYS LTD.	Don Boykin PACIFIC ARCHITECTS LTD.	Saiid Ali Osoble MOGADISHU PUBLIC NOTARY
		Jože Murko DODOMA D.O.O.	Anthony Frazier PREMIERE GROUP OF COMPANIES LTD.	

Dhoore Bile
EAST AFRICA MODERN ENGINEERING COMPANY (EAMECO)
Abdi Abshir Dorre
MOGADISHU CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Mohamed Dubad
EAST AFRICA MODERN ENGINEERING COMPANY (EAMECO)
Hassan Mohammed Farah
HOLAC CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
Suldan Farah
Omar Faruq Sheikh
HOWLSON FORWARDING AND CLEARANCE
Abdullahi Ahmed Gutale
SOMALI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Abdiwahid Osman Haji
MOGADISHU LAW OFFICE
Mahdi Hassan
DARYEEL SHIPPING AND FORWARDING
Mohamed Ali Hassan
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Mohamed Jackub Hassan
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Abdirahman Hassan Wardere
MOGADISHU UNIVERSITY
Mohamed Ahmed Hussein
MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND SOCIAL AFFAIRS
Said Mohamed Hussein
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Ahmed Jaura Ehure
ADAMI GENERAL SERVICE
Abdulqadir Omar Kaatib
KAATIB PUBLIC NOTARY
Godfrey Kleuna Macharia
Abdukadri Osman Mohamed
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Sadia Hassan Mohamed
SIMA MARINE SOMALIA
Hassan Mohamed Ali
MOGADISHU LAW OFFICE
Bashir Mohamed Sheikh
MOGADISHU UNIVERSITY
Abdiwahab Mohamud
MOGADISHU CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Abdullahi Ahmed Mohed
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Sabriye Moallim Musse
MINISTRY OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY
Hassan Noor
HANVARD AFRICA
Hilal Osman
FSO INSURANCE
Koshir Osman
SIMA MARINE SOMALIA
Samia Saciid
EAST AFRICA MODERN ENGINEERING COMPANY (EAMECO)
Hassan Yussuf
INTERNATIONAL BANK OF SOMALIA

SOUTH AFRICA
NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT
SOUTH AFRICA
Gerhard Badenhorst
ENS
Claire Barclay
CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.
Lauren Becker
WERKSMAINS INC.
Ashley Kim Biggs
BID CORPORATION LIMITED
Kobus Blignaut
ATTORNEY
Tony Bolton
TONY BOLTON ARCHITECT
Brendon Christian
BUSINESS LAW BC
Haydn Davies
WEBBER WENTZEL
Gretchen de Smit
ENS
Heather Dodd
SAVAGE + DODD ARCHITECTS
Kim Goss
BOWMAN GILFILLAN INC.
Anine Greeff
TRANSUNION
Wesley Grimm
WEBBER WENTZEL
Njabulo Hlophe
WERKSMAINS INC.
Tobie Jordaan
CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.
J. Michael Judin
JUDIN COMBRINCK INC. ATTORNEYS
Lisa Koenig
TRANSUNION
Leza Marie Kotzé
SHEPSTONE & WYLIE
Lloyd Langenhoven
BOWMAN GILFILLAN INC.
Paul Lategan
SHEPSTONE & WYLIE
Johnathan Leibbrandt
WEBBER WENTZEL
Eric Levenstein
WERKSMAINS INC.
Shoayb Loonat
ENUMERATE CONSULTING
Kyle Mandy
PWC SOUTH AFRICA
Mahomed Monga
GROSSKOPF LOMBART HUYBERECHTS & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS
Kacey Moses
AFRICAN SEAS FREIGHT FORWARDERS
Callum O'Connor
BAKER & MCKENZIE
Graeme Palmer
GARLICK & BOUSFIELD INC.
Kwanele Radebe
THE STANDARD BANK OF SOUTH AFRICA LIMITED
Binayaka Rama
ACTIVATE ARCHITECTURE (PTY) LTD.
Malope Ramagaga
CITYPOWER
Lucinde Rhoddie
CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR INC.
Shirley Salvoldi
ESKOM

Chelsea Efrat Shar
BOWMAN GILFILLAN INC.
Richard Shein
BOWMAN GILFILLAN INC.
Yondela Silimela
CITY OF JOHANNESBURG - BUILDING DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT
Rajat Ratan Sinha
RCS PVT. LTD. BUSINESS ADVISORS GROUP
Ian Statham
BDO
Riaan Stipp
PWC SOUTH AFRICA
Danie Strachan
ADAMS & ADAMS
Jane Strydom
TRANSUNION
Anastasia Vatalidis
WERKSMAINS INC.
Paul Vermulan
CITYPOWER
Jean Visagie
PWC SOUTH AFRICA
Rory Voller
COMPANIES AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMMISSION (CIPC)
Anthony Whittaker
CITYPOWER
Gareth Williams-Wynn
KARTER MARGUB & ASSOCIATES
Colin Wolfsohn
WOLFSOHN AND ASSOCIATES
Sicelo Xulu
CITYPOWER
SOUTH SUDAN
MINISTRY OF ELECTRICITY AND DAMS
Mufti Othanel Akum
MINISTRY OF JUSTICE
Mutakhlul Ali
Roda Allison Dokolo
LOMORO & CO. ADVOCATES
Monyluak Alor Kuol
LIBERTY ADVOCATES LLP
Jimmy Araba Parata
ENGINEERING COUNCIL OF SOUTH SUDAN
Gabriel Isaac Awow
MINISTRY OF JUSTICE
Martijn Breedveld
RECON FM INTERNATIONAL
Christo Jada
RCB CONSULTING CO. LTD.
Jimmy Kato
JIREH SERVICES COMPANY LIMITED
Kamba Kenyi
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING
Biju Kumar MS
BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS
Hellen Achiro Lotara
CENTRAL EQUATORIA MINISTRY OF LABOR, PUBLIC SERVICE & HUMAN RESOURCES
Robert Lwoki
SOUTH SUDAN LAND COMMISSION
Petro Maduk Deng
QATAR NATIONAL BANK SOUTH SUDAN
Ramadhan A.M. Mogga
RAMADHAN & LAW ASSOCIATES
Issa Muzamil
JUBA ASSOCIATED ADVOCATES

Peter Atem Ngor
RHINO STARS
Peter Piya
MINISTRY OF HOUSING
Lomoro Robert Bullen
LOMORO & CO. ADVOCATES
Emmanuel Sadaraka
U.V.
Jeremaih Sauka
MINISTRY OF JUSTICE
Sara Seymour
X-REME ARCHITECTS
James Tadiwe
NATIONAL CONSULTANTS ASSOCIATION
Paul Wanambuko
ACCOUNTANT
Daniel Wani
ENGINEERING COUNCIL OF SOUTH SUDAN
SPAIN
Basilio Aguirre
REGISTRO DE LA PROPIEDAD DE ESPAÑA
Iñigo Alejandre
ASHURST LLP
Angel Alonso Hernández
URÍA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Alfonso Alvarado Planas
DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DE INDUSTRIA, ENERGÍA Y MINAS
Javier Álvarez
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Elena Álvarez Fernández
ADDIENT
José Ignacio Antón
DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU
Jacobo Archilla Martín-Sanz
ASOCIACION/COLEGIO NACIONAL DE INGENIEROS DEL ICAI
Irene Arévalo
WHITE & CASE
Serena Argente Escartín
RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASOCIADOS
Nuria Armas
BANCO DE ESPAÑA
Ana Armijo
ASHURST LLP
Ana Galán Arquiaga
CLEANERGETIC SEERS SOLUTIONS SLU
Antonio Bautista
CLEANERGETIC SEERS SOLUTIONS SLU
Denise Bejarano
PÉREZ - LLORCA
Andrés Berral
CLIFFORD CHANCE
Henar Bocigas Arias
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Vicente Bootello
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Agustín Bou
JAUSAS
Héctor Bouzo Cortejosa
SOLCAISUR S.L.
Antonio Bravo
EVERSHEDS NICEA
Laura Camarero
BAKER & MCKENZIE
Laura Lanos Camarero
DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU

Rosalía Cambronero
DIRECCIÓN GENERAL DEL ESPACIO PÚBLICO, AYUNTAMIENTO DE MADRID
Lola Cano
BANCO DE ESPAÑA
Clotilde Abascal Cánovas
DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU
Adriana Carrasco
DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU
Álvaro Cid-Luna
DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU
Lorenzo Clemente Naranjo
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Miguel Cruz Amorós
PWC SPAIN
Leonardo Felice Cultrera
Muñoz
ASTER ABOGADOS
Pelayo de Salvador Morell
DESALVADOR REAL ESTATE LAWYERS
Iván Delgado González
PÉREZ - LLORCA
Rossanna D'Onza
BAKER & MCKENZIE
Iván Escribano
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Antonio Fernández
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Adriana Galimany
GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS
Patricia García
BAKER & MCKENZIE
Valentín García González
CUATRECASAS, GONÇALVES PEREIRA
Ignacio García Silvestre
BAKER & MCKENZIE
Borja García-Alamán
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Héctor Gómez Ferrero
DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU
Juan Ignacio Gomeza Villa
NOTARIO DE BILBAO
Carlos Rueda
GÓMEZ-CALCERRADA GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS
Flaminia González-Barba Bolza
WHITE & CASE
David Grasa Graell
MONEREO, MEYER & MARINEL-LO ABOGADOS SLP
Juan Miguel Hernandez
Herrera
URÍA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Gabriele Hofmann
FOURLAW ABOGADOS
Alejandro Huertas León
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Tatiana Llorente
URÍA MENÉNDEZ
Juan Carlos Bleda López
DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU
Marina Lorente
J&A GARRIGUES SLP
Alberto Lorenzo
BANCO DE ESPAÑA
Joaquín Macías
ASHURST LLP
Alberto Manzanares
ASHURST LLP

Daniel Marín GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS	Javier Romeu TIBA INTERNACIONAL SA	Bimal Fernando PROJECT SERVICES LTD.	M. Puviharan DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS	Sanshe N.N. Thompson ST. KITTS ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT
Sergio Martín EQUIFAX IBERICA	Irene Rueda Liñares GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS	P.N.R. Fernando COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL	S. Rajendran DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS	Deborah Tyrell HALIX CORPORATION
Ignacio Martín Martín Fernández CAZORLA ABOGADOS, SLP	Mireia Sabate BAKER & MCKENZIE	Saman Gamage CEYLON ELECTRICITY BOARD	Rasheedha Ramjani TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES	Leonora Walwyn WALWYN LAW
Bartolomé Martín Fernández DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU	Jaime Salvador RUSSELL BEDFORD ESPAÑA AUDITORES Y CONSULTORES SL - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Thuwarka Ganeshan TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES	Hiranthy Ratnayake PWC SRI LANKA	Charles Wilkin QC KELSICK WILKIN & FERNAND
Jorge Martín-Fernández CLIFFORD CHANCE	José Sánchez EVERSHEDS NICEA	Thambipillai Gobalasingam DELOITTE	Sanjeewanie Ratnayake CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU LTD.	Rodney Wilson HOME DESIGNS
Alberto Mata THE SPAIN AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION	Eduardo Santamaría Moral J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Jivan Goonetilleke D.L. & F. DE SARAM	Mohamed Rizni SPEED INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT SYSTEMS LTD.	ST. LUCIA
José Manuel Mateo J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Pablo Santos Fita DELOTTE ABOGADOS	Naormal Goonewardena NITHYA PARTNERS	Heshika Rupasinghe TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES	Clive Antoine MINISTRY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT, ENERGY, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
Maria Jesús Mazo Venero CONSEJO GENERAL DEL NOTARIADO	Miguel Sarabia DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU	Ramal Gunasekera LAN MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT SERVICE	Achithra Silva SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES	Thaddeus M. Antoine TM ANTOINE PARTNERS
José María Menéndez Sánchez ASOCIAACION/COLEGIO NACIONAL DE INGENIEROS DEL ICAI	Aída Sevillano DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU	Shehara Gunasekera F.J. & G. DE SARAM	Shane Silva JULIUS & CREASY	Natalie Augustin GLITZENHIRN AUGUSTIN & CO.
Valentin Merino Lopez VALENTIN MERINO ARQUITECTOS SL	Raimon Tagliavini URIA MENÉNDEZ	Anandhiy Gunawardhana JULIUS & CREASY	Priya Sivagananathan JULIUS & CREASY	Judge Francis Belle EASTERN CARIBBEAN SUPREME COURT
Alberto Monreal Lasheras PWC SPAIN	Francisco Téllez de Gregorio FOURLAW ABOGADOS	Thilanka Namalie Haputhanthrie JULIUS & CREASY	A.H. Sumathipala NEELAKANDAN & NEELAKANDAN	Vincent Boland BANK OF SAINT LUCIA LIMITED
Pedro Moreira dos Santos SCA LEGAL	Adrián Thery J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Dharshika Herath Gunaratne SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES	Harshana Suriyapperuma SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION	Sardia Cenac-Prospero FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES
Eva Mur Mestre PWC SPAIN	Roberto Tojo Thomas de Carranza CLIFFORD CHANCE	M. Basheer Ismail DELOTTE	J.M. Swaminathan JULIUS & CREASY	Glenn Charlemagne SUPERIOR SHIPPING SERVICES
Pedro Neira CAZORLA ABOGADOS, SLP	Victoriano Travieso STEPINLAW S.L.P.	Sonali Jayasuriya-Rajapakse D.L. & F. DE SARAM	Bandula S. Tilakasena CEYLON ELECTRICITY BOARD	Geoffrey Duboulay FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES
Álex Nistal Vázquez MONEREO, MEYER & MARINEL-LO ABOGADOS SLP	Alejandro Valls BAKER & MCKENZIE	Shamalie Jayatunge ATTORNEY-AT-LAW	Shehara Varia F.J. & G. DE SARAM	Michael Duboulay FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES
Alejandro Nuñez Jimenez CLEANERGETIC SEERS SOLUTIONS SLU	Adrián Vázquez URIA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Keerthi Jayawardana LAN MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT SERVICE	G.G. Weerakkody COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL	Barbara Elio CARIBBEAN CARGO DC
Rafael Nuñez-Lagos URIA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Juan Verdugo J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Sanjaya Jayawardene PROGRESSIVE DESIGN ASSOCIATES	Shehara Wijetunge NITHYA PARTNERS	Brenda Floissac-Fleming FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES
Álvaro Felipe Ochoa Pinzón J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Fernando Vives Ruiz J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Niral Kadawatharatchie FREIGHT LINKS INTERNATIONAL (PTE.) LTD.	Jagath P. Wijeweera DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS	Peter I. Foster PETER I. FOSTER & ASSOCIATES
Francisco Pablo DHL EXPRESS	Marta Zarco EVERSHEDS NICEA	Yudhishtiran Kanagasabai PWC SRI LANKA	John Wilson JOHN WILSON PARTNERS	Carol J. Geddon CHANCERY CHAMBERS
Isabel Palacios CLIFFORD CHANCE	Natalia Zumárraga DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU	Charana Kananegamage F.J. & G. DE SARAM	ST. KITTS AND NEVIS	Garth George ST. LUCIA ELECTRICITY SERVICES LTD.
Carla Palau Segura GÓMEZ-ACEBO & POMBO ABOGADOS	SRI LANKA	H.E.I. Karunaratna COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL	KELSICK, WILKIN AND FERNAND SCOTIABANK	Trudy O. Glasgow TRUDY O. GLASGOW & ASSOCIATES
Daniel Parejo Ballesteros J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Asanka Abeysekera TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES	Sankha Karunaratne F.J. & G. DE SARAM	Michelle Adrien THE LAW OFFICES OF MICHELLA ADRIEN	Cheryl Goddard-Dorville FLOISSAC FLEMING & ASSOCIATES
Julio Peralta de Arriba WHITE & CASE	Nihal Sri Ameresekere CONSULTANTS 21 LTD.	Uma Kitulgoda F.J. & G. DE SARAM	Rublin Audain AUDAIN & ASSOCIATES	Claire Greene-Malaykhan PETER I. FOSTER & ASSOCIATES
María José Plaza ASOCIAACION/COLEGIO NACIONAL DE INGENIEROS DEL ICAI	Surangi Arrawawala PWC SRI LANKA	Janaka Lakmal CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU LTD.	Neil Coates GRANT THORNTON	Adrian Hilaire ST. LUCIA AIR AND SEAPORT AUTHORITY
Carlos Pol JAUSAS	Peshala Attygalle NITHYA PARTNERS	Ishara Madarasinghe F.J. & G. DE SARAM	Jan Dash LIBURD AND DASH	Natasha James EASTERN CARIBBEAN SUPREME COURT
Ignacio Quintana PWC SPAIN	Harsha Cabral CHAMBER'S OF HARSHA CABRAL	Kandiah Neelakandan NEELAKANDAN & NEELAKANDAN	Rayana Dowden WEBSTER LAW FIRM	Cuthbert McDiarmid MINISTRY OF PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT, HOUSING, AND URBAN RENEWAL
Nelson Raposo Bernardo RAPOSO BERNARDO & ASOCIADOS	Senajith Dasanayake CEYLON ELECTRICITY BOARD	Abirami Nithianthan TIRUCHELVAM ASSOCIATES	Barbara L. Hardtman HARDTMAN & ASSOCIATES	Richard Peterkin GRANT THORNTON
Fátima Rico-Villademoros González DLA PIPER SPAIN SLU	Chamari de Silva F.J. & G. DE SARAM	Nirosha Peiris COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL	Dahlia Joseph JOSEPH ROWE ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Joanna Reynold Arthurton MINISTRY OF PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT, HOUSING, AND URBAN RENEWAL
Álvaro Rifá URIA MENÉNDEZ	Nilmini Ediriweera JULIUS & CREASY	Dayaratne Perera COLOMBO MUNICIPAL COUNCIL	Te Andre Joseph CREATIVE DESIGNS	
Eduardo Rodríguez-Rovira URIA & MENÉNDEZ, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Manjula Ellepola F.J. & G. DE SARAM	K.L.G. Thilak Perera DEPARTMENT OF CUSTOMS	Sherry-Ann Liburd-Charles GONSALVES PARRY	
Álvaro Rojo J&A GARRIGUES SLP	Amila Fernando JULIUS & CREASY	Nissanka Perera PWC SRI LANKA	Fonsonia O'Garro-Lewis BRISBANE O'GARRO ALVARANGA	
	Anjali Fernando F.J. & G. DE SARAM	Sudath Perera SUDATH PERERA ASSOCIATES	Shaunette Pemberton GRANT THORNTON	
	Ayomi Fernando EMPLOYERS' FEDERATION OF CEYLON	Nishan Premathiratne CHAMBER'S OF HARSHA CABRAL	Tony Scatliffe II R & T DESIGN-BUILD CONSULTANTS GROUP LTD.	
			Marva Thompson ST. KITTS ELECTRICITY DEPARTMENT	

Any Trim GRANT THORNTON	Mohamed Ibrahim Adam DR. ADAM & ASSOCIATES	Anneke Chin-A-Lin NOTARIAAT J.A. JADNANANSING	Mangaliso Magagula MAGAGULA & HLOPHE	Ylva Forsberg ROSCIER SWEDEN
Avery Trim MINISTRY OF PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT, HOUSING, AND URBAN RENEWAL	Al Fadel Ahmed Al Mahdi AL MAHDI LAW OFFICE	Joanne Danoesemito VSH SHIPPING	Nhlanhla Maphanga LANG MITCHELL ASSOCIATES	Peder Hammarkiöld HAMMARSKIÖLD & CO.
Leandra Gabrielle Verneuil CHAMBERS OF JENNIFER REMY & ASSOCIATES	Emitinan Ali CIASA	Anoeschka Debipersad A.E. DEBIPERSAD & ASSOCIATES	Nontombi Maphanga SWAZILAND WATER SERVICES CORPORATION	Lars Hartzell ELMZELL ADVOKATBYRÅ AB, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS
ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	Mohamed Alobodi CIASA	Norman Doorson MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE GLIS	Tshidi Masisi-Hlanze MASISI-HLANZE ATTORNEYS	Elisabeth Heide ASHURST ADVOKATBYRÅ AB
ST. VINCENT ELECTRICITY SERVICES LTD.	Ahmed M. Elhillali AMERICAN SUDANESE CONSULTING INC.	Marcel K. Eyndhoven N.V. ENERGIEBEDRIVEN SURINAME	Theo Mason PWC SWAZILAND	Camilla Holmkvist ASHURST ADVOKATBYRÅ AB
Michaela N. Ambrose BAPTISTE & CO. LAW FIRM	Hiba Elsayed Abdo MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES	Johan Kastelein KASTELEIN DESIGN	Sabelo Masuku HOWE MASUKU NSIBANDE ATTORNEYS	James Hope ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Kay R.A. Bacchus-Browne KAY BACCHUS-BROWNE CHAMBERS	Hatim Elshoush EL BARKAL ENGINEERING COMPANY	Henk Naarendorp CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY	Steve Mitchell MMA	Erik Hygrell WISTRAND ADVOKATBYRÅ
Rene M. Baptiste BAPTISTE & CO. LAW FIRM	Asma Hamad Abdullatif Ali MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES	Joanne Pancham CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY	Mandla Mkhwanazi MANDLA Z. MKHWANAZI AND ASSOCIATES	Rickard Jansson PANALPINA AB
Odelinda Barbour BAPTISTE & CO. LAW FIRM INC.	Amr Hamad Omar EMIRATES ISLAMIC BANK	Frank E. M. Rajmann BDO	George Mzungu M&E CONSULTING ENGINEERS	Jenny Jilmstad ASHURST ADVOKATBYRÅ AB
Anthony Bowman MINISTRY OF HOUSING, INFORMAL HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, LANDS AND SURVEYS	Elvaledood Hussein CIASA	Adiel Sakoer N.V. GLOBAL EXPEDITION	Jerome Ndzipmandze FJ BUILDING CONSTRUCTION	Kim Jokinen ÖHLINGS PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AB
Mikhail A.X. Charles BAPTISTE & CO. LAW FIRM	Hind Hussein ARAMEX INTERNATIONAL FOR SERVICES CO. LTD.	Martha P. Schaap HAKRINBANK N.V.	Kobia Quashie KOBLA QUASHIE AND ASSOCIATES	Rikard Lindahl ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Syran Clarke THE BANK OF NOVA SCOTIA - ST. VINCENT AND THE GRENADINES	Ahmed Mahdi MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES	Priya Soechitram CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & INDUSTRY	José Rodrigues RODRIGUES & ASSOCIATES	Dennis Linden LANTMÄTERIET
Stanley DeFreitas DEFREITAS & ASSOCIATES	Tarig Mahmoud Elsheikh Omer MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES	Albert D. Soedamah LAWFIRM SOEDAMAH & ASSOCIATES	Zweli T. Shabangu MAGAGULA & HLOPHE	Inger Lindhe LANTMÄTERIET
Vilma Diaz de Gonsalves BDO EASTERN CARIBBEAN	Amel Mohamed Shrif MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES	Radjen A. Soerdjibalie NOTARIAAT R.A. SOERDJIBALIE	Bongani Simelane MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF MBABANE	Helén Lindqvist BOLAGSVERKET - SWEDISH COMPANIES REGISTRATION OFFICE (SCRO)
Theona R. Elzee-Stapleton COMMERCE & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE (CIPo)	Tariq Monim TM ADVISORY	Maureen Tjon Jaw Chong LAWYER & SWORN TRANSLATOR	Muzi Simelane WARING SIMELANE	Thomas Lindqvist HAMMARSKIÖLD & CO.
Ralph Henry SCOTIABANK	Abdulhakim Omar SDV LOGISTICS	Andy B. Wong N.V. ENERGIEBEDRIVEN SURINAME	Pieter Smoor INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS (IDC)	Christoffer Monell MANNHEIMER SWARTLING ADVOKATBYRÅ
Zhinga Horne Edwards LAW CHAMBERS OF ZHINGA HORNE EDWARDS	Nafisa Omer OMER ABDELATI LAW FIRM	Anthony Wong GENERAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION OF SURINAME	John Thomson MORMOND ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS	Malin Nordin MAQS LAW FIRM
Stanley John ELIZABETH LAW CHAMBERS	Razan Saif Eldin Abdalla MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES	SWAZILAND	Manene Thwala THWALA ATTORNEYS	Karl-Arne Olsson GÄRDE WESSLAU ADVOKATBYRÅ
Moulton Mayers MOULTON MAYERS ARCHITECTS	Sara Saif Elislam Abbas MAHMOUD ELSHEIKH OMER & ASSOCIATES ADVOCATES	FEDERATION OF SWAZILAND EMPLOYERS AND CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	Bradford Mark Walker BRAD WALKER ARCHITECTS	Felix Rudberg ROSCIER SWEDEN
Michael Richards GLOBALINK LOGISTICS GROUP	Enas Salih SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES	TRANSUNION ITC (Pty) Ltd.	SWEDEN	Therese Säde ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Martin Sheen COMMERCE & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE (CIPo)	Wafa Shami SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES	Deon Appelcryn DHL	Charles Andersson ASHURST ADVOKATBYRÅ AB	Bojana Saletic HAMMARSKIÖLD & CO.
Shelford Stowe MINISTRY OF HOUSING, INFORMAL HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, LANDS AND SURVEYS	Marwa Taha SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES	Lucas Bhembe EZULWINI MUNICIPALITY	Therese Andersson ÖHLINGS PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AB	Jesper Schönbeck ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Trevor Thompson TVA CONSULTANT	Abdel Gadir Warsama Ghalib DR. ABDEL GADIR WARSAMA GHALIB & ASSOCIATES LEGAL FIRM	Tenele Dhladhla SWAZILAND ELECTRICITY COMPANY	Mats Berter MAQS LAW FIRM	Albert Wållgren ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Carlos Williams WILLIAMS CUSTOM & SHIPPING AGENCY	Mohamed Zain KAYAN CONSULTANCY	Musa Dlamini M.L. DLAMINI ATTORNEYS	Emil Bertfelt ÖHLINGS PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS AB	Carl Johan Wallnerström SWEDISH ENERGY MARKETS INSPECTORATE (ENERGIMARKNADINSPEKTIONEN)
SUDAN	SURINAME	Veli Dlamini INTERFREIGHT PTY. LTD.	Caroline Bogemyr HAMMARSKIÖLD & CO.	Petter Wenehult ELMZELL ADVOKATBYRÅ AB, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS
Omer Abdel Ati OMER ABDELATI LAW FIRM	Notariaat Blom	Chris Forte SWAZI SURVEYS	Helena Brännvall ADVOKATFIRMAN VINGE KB, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Camilla Westerlund ALPHAGLOBE LOGISTICS
Ali Abdelrahman Khalil SHAMI, KHALIL & SIDDIG ADVOCATES	Sieglien Burleson COMPETITIVENESS UNIT SURINAME	Bonginkosi Ginindza DHL	Alexander Broch ÖRESUNDS REDOVISNING AB	SWITZERLAND
Mustafa Abdelwahab CIASA	G. Clide Cambridge PARAMARIBO CUSTOM BROKER & PACKER	Ncamsile Hlanze DHL	Laura Carlson STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF LAW	Rashid Bahar BÄR & KARRER AG
Mohammed Abdullah Mohammed SDV Logistics	Dennis Chandansingh DCA ACCOUNTANTS & CONSULTANTS	Fisokuhle Hlope M.L. DLAMINI ATTORNEYS	Åke Dahlqvist UC	Beat M. Barthold FRORIEP
Abdalla Abuzeid ABDALLA A. ABUZEID & ASSOCIATES		Zwelethu Desmond Jele ROBINSON BERTRAM	Mia Edlund BAKER & MCKENZIE	Marc Bernheim STAIGER, SCHWALD & PARTNER LTD.
		Andrew Linsey PWC SWAZILAND	Mia Fogelberg ASHURST ADVOKATBYRÅ AB	
		Muzi Imasina MBABANE TOWN COUNCIL		

Myriam Büchi-Bänteli <i>PwC SWITZERLAND</i>	Walter Sommer <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Jack Chang <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Jeffrey Lin <i>JOINT CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Jienshoh Bukhoriev <i>ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK</i>
Lukas Bühlmann <i>PwC SWITZERLAND</i>	Thomas Strassner <i>ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP</i>	Joyce Chang <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Kien Lin <i>JOINT CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Firuz Bulbulov <i>BDO TAJIKISTAN</i>
Martin Burkhardt <i>LENZ & STAHELIN</i>	Corinne Studer <i>HANDELSREGISTERAMT DES KANTONS</i>	Kuo-ming Chang <i>JOINT CREDIT INFORMATION CENTER</i>	Ming-Yen Lin <i>DEEP & FAR, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Akhror Edgarov <i>CJSC MDO HUMO</i>
Andrea Cesare Canonica <i>SWISS CUSTOMS</i>	Jean-Paul Vulliéty <i>LALIVE</i>	Victor I. Chang <i>LCS & PARTNERS</i>	Nelson J. Lin <i>HUANG & PARTNERS</i>	Mirali Kadyrov <i>CENTRE FOR ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT SUPPORT IN DUSHANBE</i>
Boudry Charles <i>LALIVE</i>	Patrick Weber <i>EKZ ELEKTRIZITÄTSWERKE DES KANTONS ZÜRICH</i>	Christine Chen <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>	Rich Lin <i>LCS & PARTNERS</i>	Elena Kaeva <i>PwC KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Stefan Eberhard <i>OBERSON ABELS SA</i>	Edgar Y. Chen <i>TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Daniel Chen <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>	Sheau Chyng Lin <i>PRIMORDIAL LAW FIRM</i>	Assel Khamzina <i>PwC KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Suzanne Eckert <i>WENGER PLATTNER</i>	Marc Zimmermann <i>LENZ & STAHELIN</i>	Emily Chen <i>LCS & PARTNERS</i>	You-Jing Lin <i>CHI-SHENG LAW FIRM</i>	Alisher Khoshimov <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>
Jana Essebier <i>VISCHER AG</i>	SYRIAN ARAB REPUBLIC	Nicholas V. Chen <i>PAMIR LAW GROUP</i>	Kang-Shen Liu <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	Shirinbek Milkibekov <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>
Claudio Fischer <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Wadih Abou Nasr <i>PwC LEBANON</i>	Romy Chen <i>NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL</i>	Wanyi Liu <i>FINANCIAL SUPERVISORY COMMISSION, BANKING BUREAU</i>	Kamoliddin Mukhamedov <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>
Robert Furter <i>PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Alaa Ahmad <i>SYRIAN STRATEGIC THINK TANK RESEARCH CENTER</i>	Yo-Yi Chen <i>FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL</i>	Stacy Lo <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	Rustam Mukhtarov <i>CIBT - CREDIT INFORMATION BUREAU IN TAJIKISTAN</i>
Gaudenz Geiger <i>STAIGER, SCHWALD & PARTNER LTD.</i>	Hanan Alhomse <i>CENTRAL BANK OF SYRIA</i>	Ben Cheng <i>TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Joseph Ni <i>GOOD EARTH CPA</i>	Rustam Nazrisko <i>NAZRISHO & MIRZOEV LAW FIRM LLC</i>
Debora Ghilardotti <i>MAG LEGIS SA</i>	Abir Alkadi <i>SYRIAN STRATEGIC THINK TANK RESEARCH CENTER</i>	Chun-Yih Cheng <i>FORMOSA TRANSNATIONAL</i>	Patrick Pai-Chiang Chu <i>LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Temirlan Nildibayev <i>PwC KAZAKHSTAN</i>
Thomas H. Henle <i>IL INDUSTRIE-LEASING LTD.</i>	Jamil Ammar <i>RUTGERS LAW SCHOOL</i>	Chih-Hung Chiang <i>MINISTRY OF INTERIOR</i>	Ching-Ping Shao <i>NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY</i>	Jamshed Nurmahmadzoda <i>NATIONAL BANK OF FAIZALI RAJABOV</i>
Nicolas Herzog <i>NIEDERMANN RECHTSANWÄLTE</i>	Ghada Armali <i>SARKIS & ASSOCIATES</i>	Tiffany Fan <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>	Tanya Y. Teng <i>HUANG & PARTNERS</i>	Association of Constructors of Firdavs S. Mirzoev <i>NAZRISHO & MIRZOEV LAW FIRM LLC</i>
Anouk Hirt <i>BÄR & KARRER AG</i>	Richard El Mouallim <i>PwC LEBANON</i>	Philip T. C. Fei <i>FEI & CHENG ASSOCIATES</i>	Bee Leay Teo <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Aisanat Safarbek kyz <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>
Jakob Hoehn <i>PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Nada Elsayed <i>PwC LEBANON</i>	Mark Hartt <i>LCS & PARTNERS</i>	David Tien <i>LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Emin Sanginizada <i>MINISTRY OF LABOR, MIGRATION AND EMPLOYMENT OF POPULATION</i>
Ani Homberger <i>LALIVE</i>	Anas Ghazi <i>MEETHAK - LAWYERS & CONSULTANTS</i>	Sophia Hsieh <i>TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	C.F. Tsai <i>DEEP & FAR, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>	Kanat Seidaliev <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>
Patrick Hünerwadel <i>LENZ & STAHELIN</i>	Gordon Gray <i>NATIONAL U.S.-ARAB CHAMBER OF COMMERCE</i>	Barbara Hsu <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	David Tsai <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	Marina Shamilova <i>LEGAL CONSULTING GROUP</i>
Sara Ianni <i>VISCHER AG</i>	Mohammad Joumaa <i>PwC LEBANON</i>	Robert Hsu <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	Felix Y. Wang <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Takdir Sharifov <i>ASSOCIATION OF ANTI CRISIS MANAGERS</i>
David Jenny <i>VISCHER AG</i>	Fadi Kardous <i>KARDOUS LAW OFFICE</i>	Theresa Hu <i>NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL</i>	Richard Watanabe <i>PwC TAIWAN</i>	Abdujabbor Shirinov <i>NATIONAL BANK OF TAJIKISTAN</i>
Mattias Johnson <i>FRORIEP</i>	Mamon Katbeh <i>CENTRAL BANK OF SYRIA</i>	Margaret Huang <i>LCS & PARTNERS</i>	Huang William <i>GIBGIN ELECTRICAL CONSULTANCY</i>	Sherzod Sodatkadamov <i>NAZRISHO & MIRZOEV LAW FIRM LLC</i>
Cyrill Kaeser <i>LENZ & STAHELIN</i>	Guevara Mihoub <i>HEKMEH GROUP</i>	T.C. Huang <i>HUANG & PARTNERS</i>	Ja-Lin Wu <i>NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL</i>	Farzona Tilavova <i>Aliya Utегалиева</i>
Michael Kramer <i>PESTALOZZI, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Randa Moftah <i>CENTRAL BANK OF SYRIA</i>	Charles Hwang <i>YANGMING PARTNERS</i>	Pei-Yu Wu <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Abdurakhmon Yuldashev <i>MINISTRY OF LABOR, MIGRATION AND EMPLOYMENT OF POPULATION</i>
Yury Kudryavtsev <i>AUDICONSULT SA - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Alaa Nizam <i>OUESSI LAW FIRM</i>	Howard Kuo <i>PwC TAIWAN</i>	Alex Yeh <i>LCS & PARTNERS</i>	TAJIKISTAN
Cédric Lenoir <i>LALIVE</i>	Ramez Raslan <i>COMMERCE & ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS</i>	Wei-Ping Lai <i>YU-DING LAW FIRM</i>	Zarrina Adham <i>BAKER TILLY TAJIKISTAN</i>	TANZANIA
Beat Luescher <i>AZ ELEKTRO AG</i>	Danny Saada <i>UNITED COMPANY FOR ELECTRICAL PROJECTS</i>	En Fan Lan <i>PRIMORDIAL LAW FIRM</i>	TAX COMMITTEE UNDER GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF TAJIKISTAN	Said Athuman <i>TANZANIA REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>
Mario Maier <i>ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP</i>	Housam Safadi <i>SAFADI BUREAU</i>	Jenny Lee <i>PAMIR LAW GROUP</i>	Zulfiya Akchurina <i>GRATA LAW FIRM</i>	Albina Burra <i>CRB AFRICA LEGAL</i>
Andrea Molino <i>MAG LEGIS SA</i>	Fadi Sarkis <i>SARKIS & ASSOCIATES</i>	Max Lee <i>TSAR & TSAI LAW FIRM, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Khujanazar Aslamshoov <i>COLIBRI LAW FIRM</i>	Aggrey Ernest <i>ATZ LAW CHAMBERS</i>
Konrad Moor <i>BÜRGI NÄGELI LAWYERS</i>	Arem Taweeel <i>EBRAHEEM TAAWEEL LAW OFFICE</i>	Vivian Lee <i>HUANG & PARTNERS</i>	Dzhamshed Asrorov <i>CJSC MDO HUMO</i>	Hanif Habib <i>HANIF HABIB & CO. - CORRESPONDENT OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>
Roman Rinderknecht <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Ebraheem Taweeel <i>EBRAHEEM TAAWEEL LAW OFFICE</i>	John Li <i>LCS & PARTNERS</i>	Gulanor Atobek <i>DELOITTE & TOUCHE, LLC</i>	Asma Hilal <i>CRB AFRICA LEGAL</i>
Charlotte Sophie Rüegg <i>LENZ & STAHELIN</i>	Mark Brown <i>WINKLER PARTNERS</i>	Justin Liang <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Amirbek Azizov <i>MINISTRY OF LABOR, MIGRATION AND EMPLOYMENT OF POPULATION</i>	Sophia D. Issa <i>ATZ LAW CHAMBERS</i>
Ueli Schindler <i>AECOM/URS</i>		Angela Lin <i>LEXCEL PARTNERS</i>	Abdulbora Baybabaev <i>LAW FIRM LEX</i>	Sujata Jaffer <i>NEXIA SJ TANZANIA</i>
Daniel Schmitz <i>PwC SWITZERLAND</i>		Frank Lin <i>REXMED INDUSTRIES CO. LTD.</i>		

Davith Kahwa CREDITINFO TANZANIA LIMITED	Somsak Anakkasela PWC THAILAND	Chaiyut Kumkun CUSTOMS STANDARD PROCEDURE AND VALUATION DIVISION	Alexander James Seeley INTERNATIONAL LEGAL COUNSELLORS THAILAND LIMITED (ILCT)	Paula Caldeira Dutschmann MIRANDA CORREIA AMENDOEIRA & ASSOCIADOS
Njerii Kanyama ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS	Vatcharin Ariyanuntaka BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED	William Lehane SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED	Treetip Siripreechapong THANATHIP & PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED	Luis Carvalho ENGIPRO
Adam Lovett NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT	Roi Bak DEJ-UDOM & ASSOCIATES	Woraphong Leksakulchai HUGHES KRUPICA	Chawaluck Sivayathorn Araneta THANATHIP & PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED	Patrick Chan ANL TIMOR, UNIPESSOAL LDA
Nkanwa Magina BANK OF TANZANIA	Amara Bhuwanawat SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED	Sakchai Limシリปหง WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT & PEANGPANOR LTD.	Ratanavadee Somboon LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT	João Cortez Vaz VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS (ATLAS LDA)
Hyacintha Benedict Makileo NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION COUNCIL	Rujira Bunnang MARUT BUNNANG INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE	Chotika Lurpongulkana ZICOLAW	Kowit Somwaiya LAWPLUS LTD.	Octaviana Da S.A. Maxanches BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE
Siri A. Malai MALAI FREIGHT FORWARDERS LTD.	Narumon Burapachayanont DHL EXPRESS (THAILAND) LTD.	Arunee Mahathorn THANATHIP & PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED	Kaittipat Sonchareon BANGKOK METROPOLITAN ADMINISTRATION	Pascoela M.R. da Silva BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE
Sunil Maru SUMAR VARMA ASSOCIATES	Thanakorn Busarasopitkul PWC THAILAND	Douglas D. Mancill DEACONS	Audrey Souche DFDL	Francisco de Deus Maia BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE
Umaiya Masoli BANK OF TANZANIA	Panotporn Chalodhorn OFFICE OF THE JUDICIARY	Ploy Maneepaksin THANATHIP & PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED	Picharn Sukparangsee BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED	Anthony Frazier
Henry Sato Massaba M&A ATTORNEYS	Aye Chananan PANU & PARTNERS	Dittaporn Muntri SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED	Apinan Suntharanan SUKHUMVIT ASSET MANAGEMENT CO., LTD.	João Galamba de Oliveira ABREU AND C&C ADVOGADOS
Lydia Massawe	Isorn Chandrawong BANGKOK JURIST LTD.	Anuwat Ngamprasertkul PWC THAILAND	Tanachol Suthasawan PANU & PARTNERS	Tereza Garcia André MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS
Deogratius Mmasy PWC TANZANIA	Benyapa Changpradit PWC THAILAND	Bowornsinh Nitityavanich HUGHES KRUPICA	Ruanvadee Suwanmongkol LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT	Eusebio Guterres UNIDO BUSINESS REGULATORY CONSULTANT
Freddy Moshy TANZANIA REVENUE AUTHORITY	Anan Chankuptarat AERO-MARINE TRANSWORLD CO.	Patthanawach Nuntawowart CHANDLER & THONG-EK	Naddaporn Suwanvajukkasikij LAWPLUS LTD.	João Leite MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS
Mirumbe Mseti PWC TANZANIA	Pavinee Channuntapipat PWC THAILAND	Surapol Opasatien NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.	Hunt Talmage CHANDLER & THONG-EK	Carolina Letra CAIXA GERAL DE DEPOSITOS (CGD)
Ayoub Mtafya NEXLAW ADVOCATES	Udomphan Chantana DEPARTMENT OF LANDS	Wynn Padeejit BAKER & MCKENZIE	Jeffery Tan TRICHAROEN ENGINEERING CO., LTD.	Alexander Lukito PWC INDONESIA
Ilvin Mugeta JUDICIARY OF TANZANIA	Cheewin Chiangkane BAKER & MCKENZIE	Natchapon Padungkittimal CLIFFORD CHANCE	Ornjira Tangwongyodying PWC THAILAND	João Mayer Moreira VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS (ATLAS LDA)
Jonathan Mugila FB ATTORNEYS	Chinnavat Chinsangaram WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT & PEANGPANOR LTD.	Nipa Pakdeechanuan DEJ-UDOM & ASSOCIATES	Omanong Tesabamroong S.J. INTERNATIONAL LEGAL CONSULTING AND ADVISORY CO., LTD.	Vega Ramadhan PWC INDONESIA
Mzumbe Musa	Weerawong Chittmittrapap WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT & PEANGPANOR LTD.	Pisut Pakwong SILK LEGAL COMPANY LTD.	Noppramart Thammateeradaycho SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED	Ettore Rulli ELETRO 2000 S.R.L.
Deogratias Myamani BANK OF TANZANIA	Suwanna Chuerboonchai SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	Tussanee Pao-In LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT	Norarat Theeranukoon BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED	Gaurav Sareen DELOITTE
Stella Ntikimi EAST AFRICAN LAW CHAMBERS	Karnjanick Chutima THANATHIP & PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED	Punu Patani PANU & PARTNERS	Atitaya Thongboon LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT	Filipa Serra VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS (ATLAS LDA)
Raymond Ngatuni ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS	Paul Connolly INTERNATIONAL LEGAL COUNSELLORS THAILAND LIMITED (ILCT)	Wisitchai Phasuk SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED	V. Joseph Tisuthiwongse CLIFFORD CHANCE	Ricardo Silva MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS
Alex Thomas Ngulumia ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS	Monnira Danwiwat BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED	Pakinee Pipatpoka NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.	Nitchaya Vaneesorn THANATHIP & PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED	Pedro Sousa Uva MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS
Sweetbert Nkuba SWEET AND CONRAD LLP	Thanathat Ghonkaew COMIN THAI ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS CO. LTD.	Viroj Piyawattanametha BAKER & MCKENZIE	Kanokkorn Viriyasutum CHANDLER & THONG-EK	Erik Stokes RMS ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION
Shamiza Ratansi ATZ LAW CHAMBERS	Paul Connolly INTERNATIONAL LEGAL COUNSELLORS THAILAND LIMITED (ILCT)	Alexander Polgar ANTARES ADVISORY LTD.	Auradee P. Wongsaroj CHANDLER & THONG-EK	Christiara Tiffani PWC INDONESIA
Katarina T. Revocati JUDICIARY OF TANZANIA	Monnira Danwiwat BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED	Harit Na Pombejra SILK LEGAL COMPANY LTD.	Somchai Yungkarn CHANDLER & THONG-EK	Fernando Torrão Alves CAIXA GERAL DE DEPOSITOS (CGD)
Van Reynders CREDITINFO TANZANIA LIMITED	Thirapa Glinsukon PWC THAILAND	Ratana Poonsombudlert CHANDLER & THONG-EK	Yada Yuwataepakorn BAKER & MCKENZIE	Tim Robert Watson PWC INDONESIA
Charles R.B. Rwechingura CRB AFRICA LEGAL	Manita Hengriprasopchote THANATHIP & PARTNERS COUNSELLORS LIMITED	Ruengrit Pooprasert ZICOLAW	TOGO	
Nabihah Seif EAST AFRICAN LAW CHAMBERS	Suradech Hongsa DFDL	Kavita Pradoemkulchai DFDL	Nur Aini Djafar Alkatiri BANCO CENTRAL DE TIMOR-LESTE	BCEAO
Pendo Shamte CRB AFRICA LEGAL	Monthchai Itisurasing LEED AP	Predee Pravichpaibul WEERAWONG, CHINNAVAT & PEANGPANOR LTD.	Rui Amendoreira VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS (ATLAS LDA)	JOHN W. FOOKS & Co., MEMBER OF BOWMAN GILFILLAN AFRICA GROUP
John Shimbala PWC TANZANIA	Kanok Jullamon THE SUPREME COURT OF THAILAND	Rangsima Rattana LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT	Brendan Bilton ANL TIMOR, UNIPESSOAL LDA	TRIBUNAL DE LOME
Amb. Mwanaiidi Sinare Maajar ENSAFRICA TANZANIA ATTORNEYS	Nuttinee Kaewsa-ard NATIONAL CREDIT BUREAU CO. LTD.	Vunnipa Ruamrangsr PWC THAILAND	José Borges Guerra MIRANDA & ASSOCIADOS	A. M. Abbi Toyi DIRECTION DES AFFAIRES DOMANIALES ET CADASTRALES
Miriam Sudi PWC TANZANIA	Praorujee Kanthasorn LEGAL EXECUTION DEPARTMENT	Sawat Sangkavits SIAM PREMIER INTERNATIONAL LAW OFFICE LIMITED	Abbas Aboulaye AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)	Abbas Aboulaye AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)
David Tarimo PWC TANZANIA	Amnart Khongsakda BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED		Claude Adama AQUREBURU AND PARTNERS CABINET D'AVOCATS	
Regis Tissier BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS				
THAILAND				
METROPOLITAN ELECTRICITY AUTHORITY				
TILLEKE & GIBBINS				
Panida Agkavikai BANGKOK GLOBAL LAW OFFICES LIMITED				

Jean-Marie Adenka CABINET ADENKA	Désiré K. Ekpe DAS-TOGO	Mouhamed Tchassona Traore ETUDE MÉ MOUHAMED TCHASSONA TRAORE	TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO	Jonathan Walker <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Djifa Emefia Adjale Suku SCP DOGBEAVOU & ASSOCIES	Bérenger Ette PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Gagnon Yawo Table CABINET D'AVOCATS MÉ TABLE GAGNON	REGULATED INDUSTRIES COMMISSION	Turkessa Warwick <i>BROKERAGE SOLUTION</i>
Mensah Adje AKEREBURU AND PARTNERS CABINET D'AVOCATS	Akossiwa Fonouvi CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEJEDÉ	Fousseni Traoré PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Christopher Alexander <i>PHOENIX LOGISTICS (TRINIDAD) LTD.</i>	Allyson West <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>
Komi Adjivon Kowuvi SOCIÉTÉ TOGOLAISE DES EAUX	Ayélé Annie Gbadoe Deckon AKEREBURU AND PARTNERS CABINET D'AVOCATS	Komi Tsakadi CABINET DE MÉ TSAKADI	Ashmead Ali <i>ASHMEAD ALI & CO.</i>	Tonika Wilson-Gabriel <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>
Sylvia Adjoa Hundt Aquerereburu OFFICE NOTARIAL SYLVIA ADJOA HUNDT AQUEREBURU	Mémessilé Dominique Gnazo CABINET DE NOTAIRE GNAGO	Thierry Verdier SEGUE TOGO	Donna Chin Asiong <i>LEX CARIBBEAN</i>	TUNISIA
Komi Agbeli COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ELECTRIQUE DU TOGO (CEET)	Tchakoura Gnon OTR – COMMISSARIAT DES DOUANES	Senyo Komla Wozufia COMELEC ÉLECTRICITÉ	Clyde Roach <i>ROTECH SERVICES LTD.</i>	Kamel Abdel Khalek <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>
Koudzo Mawuéna Agbemapple AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)	Tino Hoffer AKEREBURU AND PARTNERS CABINET D'AVOCATS	Edem Zotchi MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS	Luis Dini <i>HSMDT LTD.</i>	Ilhem Abderrahim <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>
Ayétisé Modeste Agbo ARCHIMOD - CABINET D'ARCHITECTURE MODERNE	Odadjé Hounnake LAWYER	Tukio Afeaki A&S ELECTRICAL AND PAINTING	Thomas Escalante <i>TRANSUNION</i>	Adly Bellagha <i>ADLY BELLAGHA & ASSOCIATES</i>
Martial Akakpo MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS	Atchroe Leonard Johnson SCP AQUEREBURU & PARTNERS	Kulu Bloomfield INLAND REVENUE TONGA	Nicole Ferreira-Aaron <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Hend Ben Achour <i>ADLY BELLAGHA & ASSOCIATES</i>
Nicolas Kossi Akidjetan ORDRE NATIONAL DES ARCHITECTES DU TOGO (ONAT)	Gilbert Josias CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE ET D'INDUSTRIE DU TOGO (CCIT)	Delores Elliott DATA BUREAU LIMITED	Glenn Hamel-Smith <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Thouraya Ben Ghéna <i>TRIBUNAL IMMOBILIER - TUNISIE</i>
Bamaze Akilam ETUDE BAMAZE	Molgah Kadja-Abougnima CABINET DE NOTAIRE KADJAKA-ABOUGNIMA	Taniela Fonna KRAMER AUSENCO TONGA	Marie Hinds TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING DIVISION	Wassim Ben Mahmoud <i>BUREAU WASSEM BEN MAHMOUD</i>
Yves Yaovi Akoue ETINSEL	Yentroudjou Kantati TRIBUNAL DE 1ERE INSTANCE DE LOME	Anthony Frazier LOPETI HEIMULI MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE	Melissa Inglefield <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Amel Ben Rahal <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE</i>
Eklu Patrick Amendah ORDRE NATIONAL DES ARCHITECTES DU TOGO (ONAT)	Komivi Kassegne COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ELECTRIQUE DU TOGO (CEET)	Taaniela Kula MINISTRY OF LANDS, SURVEY, NATURAL RESOURCES & ENVIRONMENT	Sunil Laloo RAYMOND AND PIERRE LIMITED	Abdelfetah Benahji <i>FERCHIOU & ASSOCIÉS</i>
Koezi Ankou TRIBUNAL DE 1ERE INSTANCE DE LOME	Folydzé Kofi Zobinu BOSWELL CONSULTING GROUP	Fisilau Leone MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE	Mariella Lange <i>HSMDT LTD.</i>	Slaï-Eddine Bensaïd <i>SCET-TUNISIE</i>
Coffi Alexis Aquereburu AKEREBURU AND PARTNERS CABINET D'AVOCATS	Philippe Kokou Tchodie OFFICE TOGOLAIS DES RECETTES	James Lutui CROWN LAW	Orrisha Maharajh JOHNSON, CAMACHO & SINGH	Peter Bismuth <i>TUNISIE ÉLECTRO TECHNIQUE</i>
Cécile Assogbavi ETUDE NOTARIALE ASSOGBAVI	Agbédwonou Koudasse CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEJEDÉ	Salesi Mataele OCEANTRANZ TONGA LTD.	Kevin Maraj <i>PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LIMITED</i>	Omar Boukhdir <i>BOLLORÉ AFRICA LOGISTICS</i>
Antoine Ayiv LIGUE DES GENIES	Hokaméto Kpenou AUTORITÉ DE RÉGLEMENTATION DU SECTEUR DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ (ARSE)	Sione Tomasi Naite Fakahua FAKAHUA-FA'OTUSIA & ASSOCIATES	Imtiaz Mohammed DELTA ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, LTD.	Mongi Bousbia <i>SOCIÉTÉ TUNISIENNE DE L'ÉLECTRICITÉ ET DU GAZ (STEG)</i>
Sandrine Badjili MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS	Emmanuel Mamlan MARTIAL AKAKPO ET ASSOCIÉS	Laki M. Niu LAKI NIU OFFICES	David Montgomery HLB MONTGOMERY & Co.	Salaheddine Caid Essebsi <i>CAID ESSEBSI AND PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Awa Beleyi SEGUE TOGO	Koffi Sylvain Mensah Attoh CABINET MAÎTRE MENSAH-ATTOH	Ralph Stephenson STEPHENSON ASSOCIATES	Sheldon Mycoo SYNOVATIONS LIMITED	Elyes Chafer <i>CHAFTER RAOUADI LLP</i>
Ibrahima Beye PRÉSIDENCE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE DU TOGO	Adeline Messou Couassi-Blé PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Tuipuloto Taufoou DATELINE TRANS-AM SHIPPING	Marjorie Nunez LEX CARIBBEAN	Zine el Abidine Chafer <i>CHAFTER RAOUADI LLP</i>
Assiom Kossi Bokodjin CABINET D'AVOCATS MÉ TOBLE GAGNON	Ophélie Pokou Mivedor SCP DOGBEAVOU & ASSOCIES	Vaimoana Taikolo MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, TOURISM AND LABOUR	Gregory Pantin <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Faouzi Cheikh <i>BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE</i>
Cedric Chalvon SEGUE TOGO	Laname Nayante CALAFI	Alisi Numia Taumoepeau TMP LAW	Yolander Persaud ASHMEAD ALI & CO.	Mona Cherif <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUVEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>
Essenouwa Degla COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ELECTRIQUE DU TOGO (CEET)	Dissadama Ouro-Bodi OFFICE TOGOLAIS DES RECETTES	Fine Tohi DATELINE TRANS-AM SHIPPING	Sonji Pierre Chase JOHNSON, CAMACHO & SINGH	Abdelmalek Dahmani <i>DAHMANI TRANSIT INTERNATIONAL</i>
Kofimessa Devotsou CABINET D'AVOCAT	Nourou Sama COMPAGNIE ENERGIE ELECTRIQUE DU TOGO (CEET)	Lesina Tonga LESINA TONGA LAW FIRM	Fanta Punch <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mohamed Derbel <i>BDO</i>
Kokou Djegnon MINISTÈRE DE L'URBANISME ET DE L'HABITAT	Samuel Sanwogou CHAMBRE DE COMMERCE ET D'INDUSTRIE DU TOGO (CCIT)	Pesalili Tuiano MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE	Catherine Ramnarine <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Mohamed Lotfi El Ajeri <i>EL AJERI LAWYERS EAL</i>
Sédjro Koffi Dogbeavou SCP DOGBEAVOU & ASSOCIES	Galolo Soejede CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEJEDÉ	Distquaine P. Tu'ihalamaka MINISTRY OF COMMERCE, TOURISM AND LABOUR	Deoraj Ramthalal MINISTRY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT	Abderrahmen Fendri <i>CAF MEMBRE DU RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL PwC</i>
Essiame Koko Dzoka LAWYER	Hoédjéto Tonton Soejede CABINET DE MAÎTRE GALOLO SOEJEDÉ	Petunia Tupou FUNGATEKI LAW OFFICE	Krystal Richardson <i>M. HAMEL-SMITH & Co., MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>	Noureddine Ferchiou <i>FERCHIOU & ASSOCIÉS</i>
Bassimouwe Edjam-Etchaki DIRECTION DES SERVICES TECHNIQUE DE LA Mairie	Lazare Sossoukpe SCP DOGBEAVOU & ASSOCIES	Lepaola B. Vaea INLAND REVENUE TONGA	Andre Rudder J.D. SELLIER & CO.	Rym Ferchiou <i>FERCHIOU & ASSOCIÉS</i>
Mathias A. Edorh-Komahe LAWYER	Dominique Taty PwC CÔTE D'IVOIRE	Malakai Vakasiuola ITS PACIFIC ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS	Alana T.G. Russell ASHMEAD ALI & CO.	Amina Fradi <i>CAF MEMBRE DU RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL PwC</i>
Ayaovi Gbedevi Egloh OFFICE TOGOLAIS DES RECETTES	Tchitchao Tchalim LAWYER	Fotu Veikune MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE	Arun Seenath DELOTTE	Slim Gargouri CPA
		Dianne Warner Skip's CUSTOM JOINERY LTD.	Stephen A. Singh JOHNSON, CAMACHO & SINGH	Imen Guettat <i>CAF MEMBRE DU RÉSEAU INTERNATIONAL PwC</i>
			Tammy Timal-Toonday GRANT THORNTON ORBIT SOLUTIONS LIMITED	Anis Jabnoun <i>GIDE LOYRETTE NOUVEL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI</i>

Badis Jedidi MEZIOU KNANI & ASSOCIES	Oğuz Aslaner CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY	Ezgi Celik TURKISH INDUSTRY AND BUSINESS ASSOCIATION	Stj Av. Bahadir Gultekin MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Bukle Korkmaz SARIIBRAHIMOGLU LAW OFFICE
Sami Kallel KALLEL & ASSOCIATES	Melis Atamer MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	M. Fadlullah Cerrahooglu CERRAHOGLU LAW FIRM	Omer Gumusel PEKIN & BAYAR LAW FIRM	Cumhur Köseoglu KENTSEL GROUP MACHINERY
Mabrouk Maalaoui CAF MEMBRE DU RESEAU INTERNATIONAL PwC	Melis Atasagun PEKIN & BAYAR LAW FIRM	Meline Cilingir BEZEN & PARTNERS	Arzum Gunalcin GUNALCIN HUKUK BUROSU	Nazim Olcay Kurt HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE
Slim Malouche MALOUCHE AVOCATS-CONSEILS	Damla Aybar TARLAN - BAKSI LAW FIRM	Sertaç Coşgun PwC TURKEY	Cangur Gunaydin SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES	Aybala Kurtuldu SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES
Mohamed Mgazzen SOCIETE TUSSIENNE DE L'ELECTRICITE ET DU GAZ (STEG)	Aybike Aygün AYGIN ÖZTERZI KAROGLU LAW OFFICE	Ipek Coşkun PEKIN & PEKIN	Nurettin Gündogmus AKTİF INVESTMENT BANK AS	Mert Kutlar ADMD - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE
Mohamed Taieb Mrabet BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE	Murat Ayyildiz ERYÜREKLİ LAW OFFICE	Yavuz Dayioğlu PwC TURKEY	Zeki Gündüz PwC TURKEY	Dilara Leventoğlu TABOGLU & DEMIRHAN
Hichem M'rabet SOCIETE TUSSIENNE DE L'ELECTRICITE ET DU GAZ (STEG)	Elvan Aziz PAKSOY LAW FIRM	Sabiha Busra Demir MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Remzi Orkun Guner ADMD - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE	Francesca Maran PEKIN & PEKIN
Imen Nouira CONSERVATION FONCIERE TUNISIA	Burak Babacan KPMG	Ebru Demirhan TABOGLU & DEMIRHAN	Burcu Güray MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Orhan Yavuz Mavioğlu ADMD - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE
Olfa Othmane BANQUE CENTRALE DE TUNISIE	Derya Baksi TARLAN - BAKSI LAW FIRM	Rüchan Dericci 3E DANİŞMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.	E. Nazlı Gürdal TURUNC LAW OFFICE	Günes Mermer ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU
Habiba Raouadi CHAPTER RAOUADI LLP	Aslıhan Balci SOMAY HUKUK BÜROSU	Emine Devres DEVRES LAW OFFICE	Ayşegül Gürsoy CERRAHOGLU LAW FIRM	Maral Minasyan KOLCUOĞLU DEMIRKAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW
Raoudha Sammoudi MINISTRY OF JUSTICE	Z. İlayda Balkan ADMD - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE	Ebru Dicle TURKISH INDUSTRY AND BUSINESS ASSOCIATION	Özhan Güven EROGLU YAPI	Gokhan Mirahmetoglu UNION OF CHAMBERS AND COMMODITY EXCHANGES OF TURKEY
Ferid Smida OFFICE DE LA TOPOGRAPHIE ET DU CADASTRE - TUNISIE	Naz Bandil Hatipoğlu ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU	Süle Dilek Çelik CERRAHOGLU LAW FIRM	Ece İlçi BEZEN & PARTNERS	Erhan Seyfi Moroglu MOROGLU ARSEVEN
Hafedeh Trabelsi CABINET D'ARCHITECTURE HAFEDEH TRABELSI	Sedef Başıci DEVRES LAW OFFICE	Deniz Dincer Öner PwC TURKEY	Aslı Işık TURUNC LAW OFFICE	Ayça Mustafa ADMD - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE
Anis Wahabi AWT AUDIT & CONSEIL	Erdem Basgul ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU	Melis Dogac SARIIBRAHIMOGLU LAW OFFICE	Pelin Işık TURKISH INDUSTRY AND BUSINESS ASSOCIATION	Vedia Nihal Koyuncu TARLAN - BAKSI LAW FIRM
TURKEY				
BOĞAZICI ELEKTRİK DAĞITIM A.Ş. (BEDAŞ)	Kaan Batum CERRAHOGLU LAW FIRM	Orkun Dokener 3E DANİSMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.	Sevi Islamagec MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Vakkas Nohut BEZEN & PARTNERS
GUNDUZ SIMSEK GAGO AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIGI	Ayça Bayburan ADM D - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE	Onur Dönmez ORHANER LAW OFFICE	Ali Can Kahya MINISTRY OF ECONOMY	Zumbul Odaman Taskin ODAMAN AND TASKIN LAW FIRM
INLAWCO LAW FIRM	Burak Baydar MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Dilara Duman DUMAN LAW OFFICE	Ilker Karabulut 3E DANİSMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.	Pelin Oguzer MOROGLU ARSEVEN
Metin Abut MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Harun Bayramoglu ITKIB İSTANBUL TEXTILE AND APPAREL EXPORTERS' ASSOCIATION	Safa Mustafa Durakoğlu ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU	Irmak Karabulut YÜKSELKARKINKÜCÜK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIGI	Neşe Taşdemir Onder ONDER LEGAL LAW FIRM
Burcu Acartürk Yıldız KARATAŞYILDIZBOROVALI	İmge Besenk PEKIN & PEKIN	Hakan Durusel PEKIN & PEKIN	Nihat Karadirek 3E DANİSMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.	Mert Oner KPMG
Cansu Ak PEKIN & PEKIN	Serdar Bezen BEZEN & PARTNERS	Diler Emiroğlu Özterzi AYGIN ÖZTERZI KAROGLU LAW OFFICE	Ayfer Basac Karakoc MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Yavus Oner KPMG
Deniz Akbaş SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES	Yeşim Bezen BEZEN & PARTNERS	Gökben Erdem Dirican PEKIN & PEKIN	Özge Kavasoğlu THE BANKS ASSOCIATION OF TURKEY	Volkan Oray GÜLER DINAMIK GÜMRÜK MÜŞAVİRLİĞİ A.Ş.
Mehmet Ali Akgün SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES	Ahmet Biçer CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY	Muzaffer Eroğlu KOCAELİ UNIVERSITY, HUKUK FAKÜLTESİ	Betül Kencebay TUYID - TURKISH IR SOCIETY	Cağlayan Orhaner Dündar ORHANER LAW OFFICE
Doğançan Akören KARATAŞYILDIZBOROVALI	Ayşe Eda Biçer ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU	Deniz Zeynep Erverdi ADM D - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE	Burak Kepkep PAKSOY LAW FIRM	Begum Durukan Ozaydin BİRSEL LAW OFFICES
Simge Akyüz DEVRES LAW OFFICE	Aysegul Bogrun ERSOY BİLGЕHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS	Naz Esen TURUNC LAW OFFICE	Simge Selef Kılıç PwC TURKEY	Kaan Ozaydin SERAP ZUVIN LAW OFFICES
Cansu Alparman ADM D - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE	Guley Bor YÜKSELKARKINKÜCÜK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIGI	Merve Erim MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Duygu Ece Kindir KOLCUOĞLU DEMIRKAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Yusuf Mansur Özer ERSOY BİLGЕHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS
Ali Alsirt YENİGÜN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	Sinan Borovali KARATAŞYILDIZBOROVALI	Özgür Can Geçim ERNST & YOUNG	Süleyman Kisaç TÜRK TELEKOM	Can Özilhan BEZEN & PARTNERS
Ekin Altintas PwC TURKEY	Miray Merve Bozkurt SARIIBRAHIMOGLU LAW OFFICE	Tuba Gedik PwC TURKEY	Özlem Kizil Voyvoda ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU	Afife Nazlıgül Özkan ADM D - MAVIOLGU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE
Çisem Altundemir KOLCUOĞLU DEMIRKAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Başak Burnin PERA CONSTRUCTION	Oya Gencay CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY	Çağla Koç YUKA LAW OFFICE	Funda Özsel BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS
Selin Barlin Aral PAKSOY LAW FIRM	Esin Çamlibel TURUNC LAW OFFICE	Alev Güclüer MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Serhan Koçaklı KOLCUOĞLU DEMIRKAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Özlem Öz Yiğıt YASED - INTERNATIONAL INVESTORS ASSOCIATION
Melsa Ararat CORPORATE GOVERNANCE FORUM OF TURKEY, SABACI UNIVERSITY	Uraz Canbolat CERRAHOGLU LAW FIRM	Serkан Gul HERGUNER BILGEN OZEKE	Korhan Kocali CERRAHOGLU LAW FIRM	Ahmed Pekin PEKIN & PEKIN
Ergun Benan Arseven MOROGLU ARSEVEN	Ifakat Merve Çaparoglu YUKA LAW OFFICE	Selin Güл BARLAS LAW	Galya Kohen TABOGLU & DEMIRHAN	Ferhat Pekin PEKIN & BAYAR LAW FIRM
Banu Aslan BEZEN & PARTNERS	Maria Lianides Çelebi BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS	Kenan Güler GÜLER DINAMİK GÜMRÜK MÜŞAVİRLİĞİ A.Ş.	Bahadir Köksal SARIIBRAHIMOGLU LAW OFFICE	

İlkur Peksen <i>ERSOY BİLGEHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS</i>	Ibrahim Tutar <i>PENETRA CONSULTING AND AUDITING</i>	Leria Arinaitwe <i>SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES</i>	Hellen Nakiryowa <i>SHONUBI, MUSOKE & Co.</i>	Oleg Boichuk <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS</i>
Ecem Pırler <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU</i>	Burcu Tuzcu Ersin <i>MOROGLU ARSEVEN</i>	Edward Balaba <i>ERNST & YOUNG</i>	Matthias Nalyanya <i>LEX UGANDA ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>	Julia Bondar <i>HLB UKRAINE</i>
Erenalp Renber <i>PEKİN & PEKİN</i>	Ürün Ülkü <i>ADM - MAVIOGLU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Joseph Baliddawa <i>KSK ASSOCIATES</i>	Nusula Kizito Nassuna <i>CAPITAL MARKETS AUTHORITY</i>	Timur Bondaryev <i>ARZINGER & PARTNERS</i>
Dilara Saatçioğlu <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Leyla Ulucan <i>ERSOY BİLGEHAN LAWYERS AND CONSULTANTS</i>	Didymus Byenka <i>GLOBAL 6C STAR LOGISTICS LTD.</i>	Doreen Nawaali <i>MMAKS ADVOCATES</i>	Alexander Borodkin <i>VASIL KİSİL & PARTNERS</i>
Batuhan Şahmey <i>BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Furkan Ünal <i>AKTİF INVESTMENT BANK AS</i>	Matovu Emmy <i>MARMA TECHNICAL SERVICES</i>	Martin Ngugi <i>BROSAN CONSULTANTS ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING</i>	Pavlo Byelousov <i>AEQUO</i>
Ece Salman <i>MOROGLU ARSEVEN</i>	Ü. Barış Urhan <i>TÜSİAD</i>	Ivan Engoru <i>ENGORU, MUTEBI ADVOCATES</i>	Florence Nsubuga <i>UMEME LIMITED</i>	Kateryna Chechulina <i>CMS CAMERON McKENNA</i>
Selim Sarıibrahimoğlu <i>SARIIBRAHIMOĞLU LAW OFFICE</i>	Doğa Usluel <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU</i>	Sarfaraz Jiwani <i>SEYANI BROTHERS & Co. (U) LTD.</i>	Kefa Nsubuga <i>LAWYER</i>	Iaroslav Cheker <i>KPMG</i>
Gulce Saydam <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>	Onur Yalçın <i>YÜKSEL KARKIN KÜCÜK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Lwanga John Bosco <i>MARMA TECHNICAL SERVICES</i>	John Ntende <i>UMEME LIMITED</i>	Serhiy Chorniy <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>
Ügür Sebzeci <i>BEZEN & PARTNERS</i>	Ufuk Yalçın <i>HERGUNER BİLGİN OZEKE</i>	Nicholas Kabonge <i>PWC UGANDA</i>	Patricia Ocan <i>UMEME LIMITED</i>	Sergey Chulkov <i>KIEVENERGO</i>
Mustafa Sevgin <i>YÜKSEL KARKIN KÜCÜK AVUKATLIK ORTAKLIĞI</i>	Ayşegül Yalçınmanı <i>CERRAHOĞLU LAW FIRM</i>	Francis Kamulegeya <i>PWC UGANDA</i>	Charles Odere <i>LEX UGANDA ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS</i>	Borys Danevych <i>MARCHENKO DANEVYCH</i>
Ömer Kayhan Seyhun <i>CENTRAL BANK OF THE REPUBLIC OF TURKEY</i>	Cansu Yazıcı <i>PEKİN & PEKİN</i>	Ali Kankaka <i>KYAZZE, KANKAKA & Co. ADVOCATES</i>	Jane Okot P' Bitek Langoya <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Ivan Demtso <i>KPMG</i>
Irmak Seymen <i>ADM - MAVIOGLU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Cüneyt Yetgin <i>GÜLER DINAMİK GÜMRÜK MÜŞAVİRLİĞİ A.Ş.</i>	Doreen Kansiime <i>SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES</i>	Denis Omodi Alyela <i>KAMPALA CAPITAL CITY AUTHORITY (KCCA)</i>	Aleksandr Deputat <i>ELIT GROUP</i>
Sinan Sigva <i>GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF LAND REGISTRY AND CADASTRE</i>	Muhammet Yiğit <i>BENER LAW OFFICE, MEMBER OF IUS LABORIS</i>	Stephen Kasenge <i>KSK ASSOCIATES</i>	Kenneth Rutaremwa <i>KATEERA & KAGUMIRE ADVOCATES</i>	Olga Dubanevych <i>KPMG</i>
Sezil Simsek <i>PwC TURKEY</i>	A. Çağrı Yıldız <i>ADM - MAVIOGLU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Vincent Katutsi <i>KATEERA & KAGUMIRE ADVOCATES</i>	Moses Segawa <i>SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES</i>	Mariana Dudnyk <i>PwC</i>
Bilgehan Şimşek <i>BARLAS LAW</i>	Beste Yıldızlı <i>TURUNÇ LAW OFFICE</i>	Enoch Kibamu <i>UGANDA SOCIETY OF ARCHITECTS</i>	Stephen Serunjogi <i>KATEERA & KAGUMIRE ADVOCATES</i>	Igor Dykunskyy <i>DLF ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW</i>
Zafer Ertunç Şirin <i>İSTANBUL UNIVERSITY</i>	Bilge Yılmaz <i>ADM - MAVIOGLU & ALKAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Innocent Kihika <i>SHONUBI, MUSOKE & Co.</i>	Alan Shonubi <i>SHONUBI, MUSOKE & Co.</i>	Oleksandr Fomenko <i>KIEVENERGO</i>
Ayse Ülkü Solak <i>MOROGLU ARSEVEN</i>	Senay Yılmaz <i>TOBB - THE UNION OF CHAMBERS AND COMMODITY EXCHANGES OF TURKEY</i>	Arthur Kweisia <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Charles Lwanga Ssemmanda <i>MUKWANO INDUSTRIES (U) LIMITED</i>	Oleksandr Frolov <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP</i>
Ilke Isin Süer <i>ÇAKMAK AVUKATLIK BÜROSU</i>	Simal Yılmaz <i>PWC TURKEY</i>	Mercy Kyomugasho-Kainobwiso <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Ambrose Turyahabwe <i>DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING (U) LTD.</i>	Ivan Nikolaievich Gelyukh <i>KIEVENERGO</i>
Çağıl Sünbül <i>PwC TURKEY</i>	Murat Yülek <i>PGLOBAL GLOBAL ADVISORY AND TRAINING SERVICES LTD.</i>	Arnold Lule <i>ENGORU, MUTEBI ADVOCATES</i>	Bemanya Twabaze <i>UGANDA REGISTRATION SERVICES BUREAU</i>	Leonid Gilevich <i>ILYASHEV & PARTNERS</i>
Esin Taboğlu <i>TABOGLU & DEMIRHAN</i>	Cağlar Yurttürk <i>YUKA LAW OFFICE</i>	John Magezi <i>MAGEZI, İBALE & Co. ADVOCATES</i>	Remmy George Wamimbi <i>AKAMPURIRA & PARTNERS, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Leonid Gorshenin <i>KONNOV & SOZANOVSKY</i>
Gönlül Talu <i>DOĞUŞ İNŞAAT VE TİCARET A.Ş.</i>	Izzet Zakuto <i>SOMAY HUKUK BÜROSU</i>	Michael Malan <i>COMPUSCAN CRB LTD.</i>	William Were <i>CAPITAL LAW PARTNERS & ADVOCATES</i>	Yaroslav Guseynov <i>PwC</i>
Serhat Tanrıverdi <i>JONES LANG LASALLE</i>	Serap Zuvin <i>SERAP ZUVİN LAW OFFICES</i>	Alex Mbonye Manzi <i>UGANDA SHIPPERS COUNCIL</i>	UKRAINE	Vitalii Hamalii <i>PwC</i>
Bekir Tarık Yigit <i>GENERAL DIRECTORATE OF LAND REGISTRY AND CADASTRE</i>	UGANDA	Paul Moores <i>FBW GROUP</i>	Yaroslav Abramov <i>INTEGRITES</i>	Jon Johannesson <i>IBCH</i>
Aylin Tarlan Tüzemen <i>TARLAN – BAKSI LAW FIRM</i>	BANK OF UGANDA	Naboth Muhairwe <i>AGABA MUHAIRWE & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Denis Absalyamov <i>JSC UKRENERGOCHERMET</i>	Andrei Kaminsky <i>IBCH</i>
Mehmet Ali Taskin <i>ODAMAN AND TASKIN LAW FIRM</i>	BYENKA, KIHİKA & CO. ADVOCATES	Albert Mukasa <i>KANDUHO & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Rotov Alexander <i>CONFEDERATION OF BUILDERS OF UKRAINE</i>	Oleg Kanikovskyi <i>PROXEN & PARTNERS</i>
Selen Terzi Özsoylu <i>PAKSOY LAW FIRM</i>	Rodney Adakakin <i>DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING (U) LTD.</i>	Cornelius Mukibi <i>C. MUKIBI SENTAMU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Yuliya Atamanova <i>LCF LAW GROUP</i>	Yuriy Katser <i>KPMG</i>
Güneş Ece Topbaş <i>DUMAN LAW OFFICE</i>	Rose Mary Brenda Aeko <i>UGANDA ELECTRICITY GENERATION COMPANY LIMITED</i>	Paul Mukibi <i>MUKIBI AND KYEYUNE ADVOCATES</i>	Anna Babych <i>AEQUO</i>	Tatiana Kheruvimova <i>KPMG</i>
Elif Tulunay <i>TURUNÇ LAW OFFICE</i>	Michael Akampurira <i>AKAMPURIRA & PARTNERS, ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS</i>	Isaac Mumfumbiro <i>UMEME LIMITED</i>	Anastasia Belkina <i>PwC</i>	Pavlo Khodakovskiy <i>ARZINGER & PARTNERS</i>
Oguz Tümış <i>3E DANIŞMANLIK LTD. ŞTİ.</i>	Daniel Angualia <i>ANGUALIA, BUSIKU & CO. ADVOCATES</i>	Rachel Mwanje Musoke <i>MMAKS ADVOCATES</i>	Gleb Bialyi <i>EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS</i>	Halyna Khomenko <i>ERNST & YOUNG LLC</i>
Ceren Hazal Tunçay <i>MOROGLU ARSEVEN</i>	Robert Apenya <i>ENGORU, MUTEBI ADVOCATES</i>	Priscilla Mutеби <i>ENGORU, MUTEBI ADVOCATES</i>	Daniel Bilak <i>CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLC</i>	Ruslan Kim <i>KIBENKO, ONIKA & PARTNERS LAW FIRM</i>
Yigit Turker <i>SERAP ZUVİN LAW OFFICES</i>	Harriet Nakaddu <i>PwC UGANDA</i>	Harriet Nakaddu <i>SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES</i>	Julia Bilonozhko <i>DENTONS</i>	Maryana Kolyada <i>PwC</i>
Noyan Turunç <i>TURUNÇ LAW OFFICE</i>	Victoria Nakaddu <i>SEBALU & LULE ADVOCATES</i>	Aleksandr Biryukov <i>LCF LAW GROUP</i>	Maksym Kopeychykov <i>ILYASHEV & PARTNERS</i>	Andrey Kosharny <i>ELIT GROUP</i>

Vladimir Kotenko ERNST & YOUNG LLC	Bohdan Shmorgun ARZINGER & PARTNERS	Laith Abuquaoud TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)	Aed Bouchakra HUQOOQ LEGAL PRACTICE	Saeed Nageeb Mohamad Nizam AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS
Inna Koval INYURPOLIS LAW FIRM	Hanna Shtepa BAKER & MCKENZIE	Firas Adi KAANAN ADVOCATES AND LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Mazen Boustany BAKER & MCKENZIE	Rakesh Pardasani RSM UAE
Anton Kozlov AIG LAW FIRM	Dmitry Sichkar KONNOV & SOZANOVSKY	Paul Afif AL SUWAIDI & COMPANY	Simone Brown REED SMITH	Motaz Qaoud AL KHAWAJA ENGINEERING CONSULTANCY
Oksana Krasnokutskaya AEQUO	Anton Sintsov EGOROV PUGINSKY	Hesam Aghaloui OHM ELECTROMECHANIC	Omar Bushahab BUSINESS REGISTRATION IN DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Samer Qudah AL TAMIMI & COMPANY ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS
Khrystyna Kravivska MARCHENKO DANEVYCH	Anna Sisetska VASIL KISIL & PARTNERS	Sultan Al Akraf DUBAI LAND DEPARTMENT	Joe Carroll DENTONS	Yusuf Rifiudeen DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY
Alina Kuksenko ASTERS	Anastasia Sotir AEQUO	Laila Al Asbahi TAMLEEK REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION TRUSTEE	Maggie Chang PwC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	Ashraf M. Rahman ADAM GLOBAL
Vitaliy Kulinch EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Natalia Spiridonova EGOROV PUGINSKY	Mahmood Al Bastaki DUBAI TRADE	Pooja Dabir PwC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	Johnson Rajan INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY
Tatyana Kuzmenko AIG LAW FIRM	Andriy Stetsenko CMS CAMERON MCKENNA	Khalifa Al Falasi GENERAL PENSIONS AND SOCIAL SECURITIES AUTHORITY	Rahat Dar AFRIDI & ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Mehul Rajaguru AL HIL STAR ELECTROMECHANICAL WORKS L.L.C
Oles Kvyat ASTERS	Mykola Stetsenko AVELLUM	Obaid Saif Atiq Al Falasi DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY	Mohammed El Ghul BAKER & MCKENZIE	Chatura Randeniya AFRIDI & ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Oleksii Latsko EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Dmitriy Sukhin KIEVENERO	Eman Al Hosani MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCES AND EMIRATISATION	Michael George DAR AL-HANDASA	Jochem Rossel PwC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
Yaroslav Lepko AEQUO	Dmitriy Sykaluk DLF ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW	Habib M. Al Mulla BAKER & MCKENZIE	Jamal Guzlan AL AJMI ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS	Mohammad Safwan AL HASHEMI PLANNERS, ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS
Maksym Libanov NATIONAL SECURITIES AND STOCK MARKET COMMISSION	Dmytro Symanov CAI & LENARD	Salah El Dien Al Nahas HADEF & PARTNERS	Nazim Hashim AFRIDI & ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Shoeb Saher BAKER & MCKENZIE
Arseny Milyutin EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Vitaliy Tertytsia LCF LAW GROUP	Abdullah Al Nasser AL SUWAIDI & COMPANY	Ahmed Hegazy TAMLEEK REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION TRUSTEE	Said Said DUBAI TRADE
Ivan Mustanien ERNST & YOUNG LLC	Svitlana Teush ARZINGER & PARTNERS	Buti Al Subosi TAMLEEK REAL ESTATE REGISTRATION TRUSTEE	Conan Higgins TSI LEGAL ENTERPRISES, PC	Mohammed Ahmed Saleh DUBAI MUNICIPALITY
Tetiana Mykhailenko CMS CAMERON MCKENNA LLP	Anna Tkachenko DENTONS	Mohammad Al Suwaidi AL SUWAIDI & COMPANY	Ashraf Hossain SUMMER SKY ELECTROMECHANICAL	Osama Shabani TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)
Artem Naumov INYURPOLIS LAW FIRM	Andriy Tsvyekov ATTORNEYS' ASSOCIATION GESTORS	Essam Al Tamimi AL TAMIMI & COMPANY	Sameer Huda HADEF & PARTNERS	Hassan Shakrouf GLOBAL TEAM DÉCOR & MAINTENANCE LLC
Yuriy Nechayev AVELLUM	Serhii Uvarov AVELLUM	ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Rita Jaballah AL TAMIMI & COMPANY	Duvvuri Gangadhara Shastry ELEMEC ELECTROMECHANICAL CONTRACTING LLC
Alina Nedilko EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Camiel van der Meij PWC	Humam Al Zaqqa ADNAN SAFFARINI CONSULTANTS	Advocates & Legal Consultants	Mashair Shazli
Olena Ohonovska EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS LAW OFFICES	Yuriy Volovnik EGOROV PUGINSKY	Saeed Al Hamiz CENTRAL BANK OF THE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	Edger Larose Joseph AMPTEC ELECTROMECHANICAL LLC	Craig C. Shepherd HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS
Kateryna Olynyk EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Elena Volyanskaya LCF LAW GROUP	Amir H. Aljord ABDULLAH ALZAROONI ADVOCATES AND LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Gul Kalam OHM ELECTROMECHANIC	Douglas Smith BAKER & MCKENZIE
Liliya Palko KPMG	Bohdan Yakymenko ARZINGER & PARTNERS	Hussain Almatrood AL TAMIMI & COMPANY	Kristine Kalnina REED SMITH	Izabella Szadkowska AL TAMIMI & COMPANY
Alesya Pavlynska ARZINGER	Olexiy Yanov LAW FIRM IP & C. CONSULT, LLC	ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Jonia Kashalaba PwC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	Advocates & Legal Consultants
Konstantin Pilkov CAI & LENARD	Yulia Yashenkova AIG LAW FIRM	Mohammed AlSuboosi DUBAI COURTS	George Khoury HADEF & PARTNERS	Hamad Thani Mutar DUBAI COURTS
Sergiy Popov KPMG	Aleksandra Yevstafyeva EGOROV PUGINSKY AFANASIEV & PARTNERS	Yousaf Al-Suwaidi DUBAI COURTS	Vipul Kothari KOTHARI AUDITORS & ACCOUNTANTS	Nitin Tirath DUBAI TRADE
Viktoria Prokharenko AURORA PJSC	Vasyl Yurmanovich INTEGRITES	Wicki Andersen BAKER BOTTS LLP	Ravi Kumar DUBAI TRADE	Mohsen Tomh OPTIONS ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS
Anatolii Rybak-Sikorskiy KPMG	Tatiana Zamorska KPMG	Charlotte Attfield HERBERT SMITH FREEHILLS	Charles S. Laubach AFRIDI & ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Stuart Walker AFRIDI & ANGELL, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI
Vadym Samoilenco ASTERS	Marina V. Zarina PRIVATE NOTARY	Mahmoud Awad HADEF & PARTNERS	Abdulla M. Al Mannaei EMIRATES AUCTION	Gary Watts AL TAMIMI & COMPANY
Iuliia Savchenko ASTERS	Anna Zorya ARZINGER & PARTNERS	Elmugtaba Bannaga BIN SUWAIDAN ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS	Christine Maksoud BAROUDI & ASSOCIATES	Advocates & Legal Consultants
Maryana Sayenko ASTERS	Kateryna Zviagina ARZINGER & PARTNERS	Mounther Barakat EMIRATES SECURITIES AND COMMODITIES AUTHORITY	Arslan Malik OHM ELECTROMECHANIC	Alan Wood PwC UNITED ARAB EMIRATES
Viktor Semenyuta KIEVENERO		Piyush Bhandari INTUIT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANCY	Helen Martin ADDLESHAW GODDARD LLP	Baher Yousef ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS GROUP (ECG)
Olga Serbul LAW FIRM IP & C. CONSULT, LLC	Al ETIHAD CREDIT BUREAU	Rashid Bin Humaidan DUBAI ELECTRICITY AND WATER AUTHORITY	Peter Michelmore REED SMITH	Rania Yousseph BAKER & MCKENZIE
Victor Shekera KPMG	Nadia Abdulrazagh NADIA ABDULRAZAGH ADVOCACY & LEGAL CONSULTATIONS	Maryam BinLahej AlFalasi DUBAI COURTS	Omar Mohammad TM ADVISORY	
Olga Shenk CMS CAMERON MCKENNA			Badih Moukarzel HUQOOQ LEGAL PRACTICE	
UNITED ARAB EMIRATES				

UNITED KINGDOM	Monika Kuzelova <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Jasmine Wall <i>AIR SEA WORLDWIDE (U.K.) LIMITED</i>	Neil Jacobs <i>NI JACOBS & ASSOCIATES</i>	Robert Wallace <i>STUART KANE</i>
<i>COMPANIES HOUSE</i>				
<i>DHL AVIATION (UK) LTD.</i>	Pascal Lalande <i>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</i>		Christopher Kelleher <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	Ann Marie Zaletel <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>
<i>DODD GROUP</i>	Bob Ledsome <i>DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>	Alistair White <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Charles L. Kerr <i>MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP</i>	Olga Zalomyi <i>LAW OFFICES OF OLGA ZALOMIY, PC</i>
Alexandra Adams <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Gemma Lodge <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Christopher Wigley <i>LONDON BUILDING CONTROL LTD.</i>	Joshua Kochath <i>COMAGE CONTAINER LINES</i>	
Philip Allenby <i>DLA PIPER UK LLP</i>	Sandra Lou <i>SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP</i>	Geoff Wilkinson <i>WILKINSON CONSTRUCTION CONSULTANTS</i>	John LaBar <i>HENRY, MCCORD, BEAN, MILLER, GABRIEL & LABAR PLLC</i>	
Paul Bagon <i>WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP</i>	Joanna Macintosh <i>LATHAM & WATKINS LLP</i>	Alexandra Wood <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Jen Leary <i>CLIFTONLARSONALLEN LLP</i>	
Corina Barza <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Neil Maclean <i>SHEPHERD & WEDDERBURN</i>	David Ziyambi <i>LATHAM & WATKINS LLP</i>	Wen-Ching Lin <i>LAW OFFICES OF WEN-CHING LIN</i>	
Ravi Basra <i>LUBBOCK FINE - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Neil Magrath <i>UK POWER NETWORKS</i>	Sam J. Alberts <i>DENTONS</i>	Bradford L. Livingston <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	
Marie Batchelor <i>BIRKETTS LLP</i>	Christopher Mallon <i>SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP</i>	Manish Antani <i>EISNER JAFFE PC</i>	Samuel L. Lovitch <i>PWC UNITED STATES</i>	
Andrew Booth <i>ANDREW BOOTH ARCHITECT</i>	Paul Marmor <i>SHERARDS SOLICITORS</i>	Pam J. S. Arora <i>CORNELL GROUP, INC.</i>	Aline Matta <i>TALAL ABU-GHAZALEH LEGAL (TAG-LEGAL)</i>	
Kerri Bridges <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Mark McGarry <i>SAFFERY CHAMPNESS</i>	Asheet Awasthi <i>AMERINDE CONSOLIDATED, INC.</i>	Alene McMahon <i>CROWN AGENTS LTD.</i>	
Rob Briggs <i>CMS CAMERON McKENNA LLP</i>	Seán McGuinness <i>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & McCLOY LLP</i>	David Bartlett <i>AMERINDE CONSOLIDATED, INC.</i>	Dietrick Miller <i>TROYGOULD PC</i>	
Howard Bushell <i>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</i>	Antoinette McManus <i>PWC UNITED KINGDOM</i>	Eve Brackmann <i>STUART KANE</i>	Kelly J. Murray <i>PwC UNITED STATES</i>	
Brendan Christian <i>BUSINESS LAW BC</i>	Victoria Miller <i>MEMORY CRYSTAL LLP</i>	Diane Carter <i>DENTONS</i>	David Newberg <i>COLLIER, HALPERN, NEWBERG, NOLLETTI, LLP</i>	
Michael Collard <i>5 PUMP COURT CHAMBERS</i>	Charlotte Moller <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Steven Clark <i>CLARK FIRM PLLC</i>	Christopher O'Connell <i>PARKER, MILLIKEN, CLARK, O'HARA & SAMUELIAN</i>	
Aisling Connaughton <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Howard Morris <i>MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP</i>	María Amalia Cruz <i>Federico Cryz</i>	Richard O'Neill <i>CONSOLIDATED EDISON Co. of NY, Inc.</i>	
Elouisa Crichton <i>SHEPHERD & WEDDERBURN</i>	Phil Moss <i>LUBBOCK FINE - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL</i>	Vilas Dhar <i>DHAR LAW, LLP</i>	Eric Pezold <i>SNELL & WILMER</i>	
James Cross <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Peter Newman <i>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & McCLOY LLP</i>	Joshua L. Ditelberg <i>SEYFARTH SHAW LLP</i>	Darrell Pierce <i>DYKEMA</i>	
Robert Davies <i>CMS CAMERON McKENNA LLP</i>	Kevin Nicholson <i>PWC UNITED KINGDOM</i>	Motsa Dubois <i>FIABCI, THE INTERNATIONAL REAL ESTATE FEDERATION</i>	Shanen Prout <i>LAW OFFICE OF SHANEN R. PROUT</i>	
Michael Dawes <i>MEMORY CRYSTAL LLP</i>	Phil Norton <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Michael Dyll <i>TEXAS INTERNATIONAL FREIGHT</i>	Stephen Raslavich <i>UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT</i>	
Vivien De Melo <i>BAKER & MCKENZIE</i>	Steve Parker <i>DHL GLOBAL FORWARDING</i>	David Elden <i>PARKER, MILLIKEN, CLARK, O'HARA & SAMUELIAN</i>	Janet Reid <i>CROWN AGENTS LTD.</i>	
Zaki Ejaz <i>RIGHT LEGAL ADVICE</i>	Stewart Perry <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Robert Goethe <i>CORNELL GROUP, INC.</i>	Kenneth Rosen <i>UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA SCHOOL OF LAW</i>	
Nick Francis <i>PWC UNITED KINGDOM</i>	Samantha Pigden <i>DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>	Peter Gordon <i>PETER D. GORDON AND ASSOCIATES</i>	Joshua Roy <i>MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP</i>	
Robert Franklin <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Ross Pooley <i>LATHAM & WATKINS LLP</i>	William Gould <i>TROYGOULD PC</i>	Manuel Santiago <i>MILROSE CONSULTANTS, INC.</i>	
Jack Gardener <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Helena Potts <i>LATHAM & WATKINS LLP</i>	Boris Grosman <i>L & B ELECTRICAL INTERNATIONAL</i>	Mayer Sasson <i>CONSOLIDATED EDISON Co. of NY, Inc.</i>	
Camilla Graham <i>MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & McCLOY LLP</i>	Naomi Prashker <i>WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP</i>	Javier Gutierrez <i>STUART KANE</i>	William Shawn <i>SHAWNCOULSON LLP</i>	
Donald Gray <i>DARWIN GRAY LLP</i>	Alex Rogan <i>SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP</i>	Tony Hadley <i>EXPERIAN</i>	E. Lee Smith <i>DENTONS</i>	
Rakesh Grubb-Sharma <i>MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP</i>	Angela Shaw <i>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</i>	Thomas Halke <i>HALKE WEITZ LLP</i>	Leonard Smith <i>RUCCI, BARDARO & FALZONE, PC</i>	
Andrew Haywood <i>PENNINGTONS MANCHES LLP</i>	Sandra Simoni <i>DEPARTMENT FOR COMMUNITIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT</i>	Dennis Harber <i>MIAMI LEGAL, TITLE & REMEDIATION</i>	Joseph Tannous <i>JT CONSTRUCTION</i>	
Nicky Heathcote <i>HER MAJESTY'S LAND REGISTRY</i>	Lance Terry <i>GLANVILLES SOLICITORS</i>	Conan Higgins <i>TSI LEGAL ENTERPRISES, PC</i>	Michael Temin <i>Fox ROTHSCHILD LLP</i>	
Conan Higgins <i>TSI LEGAL ENTERPRISES, PC</i>	Rebecca Thorp <i>REED SMITH LLP</i>	Sanford Hillsberg <i>TROYGOULD PC</i>	Steve Thomas <i>CROWN AGENTS LTD.</i>	
Robert Hillhouse <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Julia Vaynzof <i>CLYDE & CO.</i>	Nancy Israel <i>LAW OFFICE OF NANCY D. ISRAEL</i>	Frederick Turner <i>TURNER & TURNER</i>	
Daden Hunt <i>BIRKETTS LLP</i>			Robert James Voetsch <i>CROWN AGENTS LTD.</i>	
Hannah Jones <i>SHERARDS SOLICITORS</i>				
Michael Josypenko <i>INSTITUTE OF EXPORT</i>				
Bradley Kilbane <i>EXPERIAN LTD.</i>				

Diego Galante GALANTE & MARTINS	Mariana Saracho GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Nizomiddin Shakhabutdinov LEGES ADVOkat LAW FIRM	Yanet Aguiar DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC	Pedro Pacheco PwC VENEZUELA
Alejandra García FERRERE ABOGADOS	Eliana Sartori PwC URUGUAY	Sofia Shaykhrasieva COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Juan Enrique Aigster HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE	Ruth Paz PwC VENEZUELA
Daniel García PwC URUGUAY	Leonardo Slinger GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Otabek Suleimanov COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Francisco Aleman Planchart TINOCO, TRAVIESO, PLANCHART & NUÑEZ	Bernardo Pisani RODRIGUEZ & MENDOZA
Enrique Garcia Pini ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE USINAS Y TRANSMISIÓN ELÉCTRICA (UTE)	Fabiana Steinberg HUGHES & HUGHES	Asomiddin Tadjiev STATE COMMITTEE OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN ON ARCHITECTURE AND CONSTRUCTION	Servio T. Altuve Jr. SERVIO T. ALTUVE R. & ASOCIADOS	Eduardo Porcarelli CONAPRI
Rodrigo Goncalvez GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Alejandro Taranto ESTUDIO TARANTO	Atabek Tollehojaev ADMINISTRATION FOR QUALITY CONTROL	Aixa Añez D'EMPAIRE REYNA & ASOCIADOS	Juan Carlos Pró-Ríquez DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC
Renato Guerrieri GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Lucía Techera GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Nargiza Turgunova GRATA LAW FIRM	Pedro Azpuruá PACK ENGENIEROS C.A.	José Alberto Ramirez HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE
Andrés Hessdörfer OLIVERA ABOGADOS	Diego Tognazzolo PwC URUGUAY	Nodir Yuldashev GRATA LAW FIRM	Carlos Bachrich Nagy DE SOLA PATE & BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES	Andreina Rondón CONAPRI
Marcela Hughes HUGHES & HUGHES	Juan Ignacio Troccoli FISCHER & SCHICKENDANTZ	Shuhrat Yunusov BAS LAW FIRM	Marian Basciani De SOLA PATE & BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES	Pedro Saghy DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC
Ariel Imken SUPERINTENDENCIA DE SERVICIOS FINANCIEROS - BANCO CENTRAL DEL URUGUAY	Silvina Vila BERGSTEIN ABOGADOS	VANUATU	Francesco Castiglione BAKER & MCKENZIE	Eva Marina Santos HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE
Alfredo Inciarte Blanco ESTUDIO INCIARTE	Maria Eugenia Yavarone FERRERE ABOGADOS	BARRETT & PARTNERS UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF VANUATU	Geraldine d'Empaire D'EMPAIRE REYNA & ASOCIADOS	Laura Silva Aparicio HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE
Richard Iturria BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO & RACHETTI	UZBEKISTAN	VANUATU FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION	Dalí Rojas CÁMARA DE CONSTRUCCION DE VENEZUELA	Oscar Ignacio Torres TRAVIESO EVANS ARRÍA RENGEL & PAZ
Jimena Lanzani GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	ADVOkat-HIMOYA LAW OFFICE	Tony Joel Alvos UNELCO	Oscar de Lima G. DEBARR C.A.	Arnoldo Troconis D'EMPAIRE REYNA & ASOCIADOS
Santiago Madalena GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	AVENT ADVOCAT	Barry Amoss SOUTH SEA SHIPPING (VANUATU) LTD.	Arturo De Sola Lander DE SOLA PATE & BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES	John Tucker HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE
Leandro Marques PwC URUGUAY	CREDIT BUREAU CREDIT INFORMATIONAL-ANALYTICAL CENTRE LLC	Loïc Bernier CAILLARD & KADDOUR	Maria Gabriela Galavis HOET PELAEZ CASTILLO & DUQUE	Pedro Urdaneta IMERY URDANETA CALLEJA ITRIAGO FLAMARIQUE
Leonardo Melos BERGSTEIN ABOGADOS	DEPARTMENT OF LAND RESOURCES AND STATE CADASTRE OF TASHKENT	George Boar PACIFIC LAWYERS	Jose Javier Garcia PwC VENEZUELA	Jose Valecillos D'EMPAIRE REYNA & ASOCIADOS
Alejandro Miller Artola GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	INTERNATIONAL LEGAL GROUP	Alan Brown FLETCHER CONSTRUCTION	Luis Ignacio Gil Palacios PALACIOS, ORTEGA Y ASOCIADOS	Indhira Vivas IMERY URDANETA CALLEJA ITRIAGO FLAMARIQUE
Federico Moares RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Jahongir Abdurasulov CHARGES REGISTRY OF THE CENTRAL BANK OF UZBEKISTAN	Mels Akhmedov BAS LAW FIRM	Lynne H. Glass DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT SC	VIETNAM
Daniel Ignacio Mosco Gómez GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Ravshan Adilov COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Umid Aripjanov COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Adriana Goncalves BAKER & MCKENZIE	GRANT THORNTON LLP
Pablo Mosto ADMINISTRACIÓN NACIONAL DE USINAS Y TRANSMISIÓN ELÉCTRICA (UTE)	Umarzhon Usmanlievich Egamberdiev UZGOSENERGONADZOR	Umid Aripjanov COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Andres Gonzalez Crespo Diego Gonzalez Crespo	Ho Chi Minh City Power Corporation (EVN HCMC)
Javier Noblega JIMÉNEZ DE ARÉCHAGA, VIANA & BRAUSE	Azamat Fayzullaev LEGES ADVOkat LAW FIRM	Umarzhon Usmanlievich Egamberdiev UZGOSENERGONADZOR	Enrique Gonzalez Crespo Alfredo Hurtado HURTADO ESTEBAN Y ASOCIADOS - MEMBER OF RUSSELL BEDFORD INTERNATIONAL	Pham Nghiem Xuan Bac VISION & ASSOCIATES
Mateo Noseda GUYER & REGULES, MEMBER OF LEX MUNDI	Mansurkhon Kamalov FOREIGN ENTERPRISE OF HUAWEI TECH INVESTMENT OF TASHKENT	David Lefevre UNELCO	Enrique Itriago RODRIGUEZ & MENDOZA	Frederick Burke BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.
Lucía Patrón FERRERE ABOGADOS	Shurhat Ummatovich Kambarov ADMINISTRATION ON STATE EXPERTISE OF ARCHITECTURE	Aaron Levine ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK	Daniela Jaimes DESPACHO DE ABOGADOS MIEMBROS DE NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT, S.C.	Hung Phat Chau HONOR PARTNERSHIP LAW COMPANY LIMITED
Mariana Pison BERGSTEIN ABOGADOS	Moubrak Kambarova DENTONS	Edward Nalyal EDWARD NALYAL & PARTNERS	Pedro Jedlicka IMERY URDANETA CALLEJA ITRIAGO FLAMARIQUE	Tran Cong Quoc BIZCONSULT LAW FIRM
Walter Planells FERRERE ABOGADOS	Dilshad Khabibullaev COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Mark Pardoe SOUTH SEA SHIPPING (VANUATU) LTD.	Gabriela Longo PALACIOS, ORTEGA Y ASOCIADOS	Giles Thomas Cooper DUANE MORRIS LLC
Maria Clara Porro FERRERE ABOGADOS	Kamilla Khamraeva COLIBRI LAW FIRM	Harold Qualao QUALAO CONSULTING LTD. QCL	Greta Marazzi ADRIAN & ADRIAN	Nhung Dang LVN & ASSOCIATES
Pilar Posada SUPERINTENDENCIA DE SERVICIOS FINANCIEROS - BANCO CENTRAL DEL URUGUAY	Sergey Mayorov SIMAY KOM	Martin St-Hilaire CABINET AJC, AN INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENT MEMBER OF DFK INTERNATIONAL	Pedro Mendoza MENDOZA DAVILA TOLEDO	Phuong Dzung Dang VISION & ASSOCIATES
Maria Macarena Rachetti PwC URUGUAY	Sabdulla Shukhratovich Muhtarkhodzhaev STATE COMMITTEE OF THE REPUBLIC OF UZBEKISTAN ON ARCHITECTURE AND CONSTRUCTION	Pierre Zaccuri CABINET AJC, AN INDEPENDENT CORRESPONDENT MEMBER OF DFK INTERNATIONAL	Maritza Meszaros BAKER & MCKENZIE	Trong Hieu Dang VISION & ASSOCIATES
Agustín Rachetti Pérez BADO, KUSTER, ZERBINO & RACHETTI	Jamol Ryskiyev GRATA LAW FIRM	Claudia Abreu BAKER & MCKENZIE	Lorena Mingarelli Lozzi DE SOLA PATE & BROWN, ABOGADOS - CONSULTORES	Nguyen Dang Viet BIZCONSULT LAW FIRM
Cecilia Ricciardi FISCHER & SCHICKENDANTZ	Alisher Shaihov CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY UZBEKISTAN	Tamara Adrian ADRIAN & ADRIAN	José Manuel Ortega PALACIOS, ORTEGA Y ASOCIADOS	Dang The Duc INDOCHINE COUNSEL
				Tran Duc Hoai VIETBID LAW FIRM
				Thanh Long Duong ALIAT LEGAL

Tieng Thu Duong VISION & ASSOCIATES	Huong Pham YKVN	Thaer Amro AMRO & ASSOCIATES LAW OFFICE	Maysa Sarhan PALESTINIAN MONETARY AUTHORITY	ZAMBIA
Vu Thu Hang HONOR PARTNERSHIP LAW COMPANY LIMITED	Phuong Phan RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Hazem Anabtawi ALLIANCE CONSULTING SERVICES	Kareem Fuad Shehadeh A.F. & R. SHEHADEH LAW OFFICE	ATHERSTONE & COOK ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES
Le Hong Phong BIZCONSULT LAW FIRM	Viet D. Phan LUATPVD	Muhanad Assaf ITTOQAN LAW FIRM	Nadeem Shehadeh A.F. & R. SHEHADEH LAW OFFICE	Azizhusein Adam AD ADAMS & CO.
Nguyen Huong RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Vu Anh Phan INDOCHINE COUNSEL	Hanna Atrash AEG	Thaer Sheikh ITTOQAN LAW FIRM	Aditya DSV SWIFT FREIGHT INTERNATIONAL LTD (ZAMBIA)
Dai Thang Huynh DFDL	Kim Cuong Phung HONOR PARTNERSHIP LAW COMPANY LIMITED	Firas Attereh HUSSAM ATTEREH GROUP FOR LEGAL SERVICES	Hatem Sirhan COMPANIES REGISTRY, MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AND TRADE-INDUSTRY, PALESTINIAN NATIONAL AUTHORITY	Dingani C. Banda ZAMBIA REVENUE AUTHORITY
Milton Lawson FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER	Dang Anh Quan RUSSIN & VECCHI	Mohammad A. Dahadha MOHAMMAD A. DAHADHA LAWYER	Raed Tharf RAED THARF LAW OFFICE	Pricilla C. Banda ZAMBIA REVENUE AUTHORITY
Anh Tuan Le THE NATIONAL CREDIT INFORMATION CENTRE - THE STATE BANK OF VIETNAM	Chau Quang RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Ashraf Far ITTOQAN LAW FIRM	Mazin Theeb SHAHID ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS	Salome Banda KPMG
Nguyen Huy Thuy Le INDOCHINE COUNSEL	Vu Que RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Alli Faroun PALESTINIAN MONETARY AUTHORITY	Tareq Z. Touqan EQUITY LEGAL GROUP	Wilson Banda PATENTS AND COMPANIES REGISTRATION AGENCY (PACRA)
Nhan Le DUANE MORRIS LLC	Nguyen Thi Hong Thang RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Philip Farrage BAKER TILLY INTERNATIONAL	Odeh Zaghamori PALESTINIAN FEDERATION OF INDUSTRIES	Judith Beene LUSAKA CITY COUNCIL
Loc Le Thi YKVN	Dinh The Phuc ELECTRICITY REGULATORY AUTHORITY OF VIETNAM	Hussein Habbab PALESTINE JIARA COMPANY		Dickson Bwalya LISULO + BWALYA
Uyen Le Thi Canh LUATVIET - ADVOCATES & SOLICITORS	Tan Heng Thye CSP LEGAL LLC	Osama Hamdeh RAMALLAH MUNICIPALITY		Lewis K. Bwalya ZESCO LTD.
Logan Leung RAJAH & TANN LCT LAWYERS	Chi Anh Tran BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.	Yousef Hammodeh PALESTINE AUDITING & ACCOUNTING Co.		Anthony Bwembya PATENTS AND COMPANIES REGISTRATION AGENCY (PACRA)
Tien Ngoc Luu VISION & ASSOCIATES	Nam Hoai Truong INDOCHINE COUNSEL	Hanna N. Hanania HANANIA LAW OFFICE		Kazimbe Chenda SIMEZA, SANGWA AND ASSOCIATES
Duy Minh Ngo VB LAW	Vo Huu Tu INDOCHINE COUNSEL	Maher Hanania EQUITY LEGAL GROUP		Tafara Chenda CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Hoang Anh Nguyen MAYER BROWN LLP	Nguyen Anh Tuan DP CONSULTING LTD.	Hiba I. Husseini HUSSEINI & HUSSEINI		Bonaventure Chibamba Mutale ELLIS & CO.
Hoang Kim Oanh Nguyen BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.	Thuy Duong Van BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.	Rula Izz RAMALLAH ENGINEERING OFFICE		Mwelwa Chibesakunda CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER OF DLA PIPER GROUP
Huong Nguyen MAYER BROWN JSM	Dzung Vu LVN & ASSOCIATES	Bilal Kamal ITTOQAN ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW		Abigail Chimuka MUSA DUDHIA AND CO.
Minh Tuan Nguyen VIET PREMIER LAW LTD.	Hong Van Hu MAYER BROWN LLP	Rasem Kamal KAMAL & ASSOCIATES - ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS-AT-LAW		Alick Chirwa SINOK LOGISTICS LTD.
Oanh Nguyen BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.	Phuong Vu LVN & ASSOCIATES	Lubna S. Katbeh EQUITY LEGAL GROUP		Sydney Chisenga CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS
Q. Anh Nguyen GROUP COUNSEL	Quoc Vuong GROUP COUNSEL	Mohamed Khader LAUSANNE TRADING CONSULTANTS		Kennedy Chishimba LUSAKA CITY COUNCIL
Quoc Phong Nguyen ALIAT LEGAL	Son Ha Vuong VISION & ASSOCIATES	Deena Khalaf AL KAMAL SHIPPING AND CLEARING CO. (LTD.)		Bradley Choonga PWC ZAMBIA
Quynh Hoa Nguyen DFDL		Spiro Khoury RAMALLAH ENGINEERING OFFICE		John Chowla DIGITAL WAVE
Thanh Hai Nguyen BAKER & MCKENZIE (VIETNAM) LTD.	Nidal Abu Lawi PALESTINE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT CO.	Raja Khwailed PALESTINE COMPANY CONTROL		Chisanga Chungu DELOITTE
Thi Minh Ngoc Nguyen THE NATIONAL CREDIT INFORMATION CENTRE - THE STATE BANK OF VIETNAM	Saleh Ahmed AL KAMAL SHIPPING AND CLEARING CO. (LTD.)	Ahmad Madi LAND REGISTRATION		Nelson H. Mwila EY ZAMBIA
Thi Phuong Lan Nguyen VIETNAM CREDIT INFORMATION J.S.C. (PCB)	Tareq Al Masri MINISTRY OF NATIONAL ECONOMY	Dima Saad Mashaqi RAMALLAH MUNICIPALITY		Lynn Habanji MINISTRY OF LANDS, NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
Thi Phuong Thao Nguyen VIETNAM CREDIT INFORMATION J.S.C. (PCB)	Shadi Al-Haj PwC	Nabil A. Mushahwar LAW OFFICES OF NABIL A. MUSHAHWAR		Edgar Hamuwele GRANT THORNTON ZAMBIA
Tram Nguyen LVN & ASSOCIATES	Raja Al-Shafi'i ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION	Tony H. Nassar A.F. & R. SHEHADEH LAW OFFICE		Diane Harrington SDV LOGISTICS
Tram Nguyen YKVN	Sharhabee Al-Zaeem SHARHABEE AL-ZAEEM AND ASSOCIATES	Mark-George Nesnas ITTOQAN LAW FIRM		Andrew Howard SHARPE HOWARD & MWENYE
Trang Kim Nguyen INDOCHINE COUNSEL	Haytham L. Al-Zubi AL-ZUBI LAW OFFICE	Rami Rabah DAI		Malcolm G.G. Jhala DELOITTE
Van Anh Nguyen VIETBID LAW FIRM	Mohammad Amarneh EU POLICE MISSION IN THE PALESTINIAN TERRITORIES (EUPOL COPPS)	Raed Rajab Wael Saadi PwC		Mwiche Kabwe ZAMBIA ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AGENCY
Anh Phuong Pham HONOR PARTNERSHIP LAW COMPANY LIMITED	Moayad Amouri PwC	Samir Sahhar HLB SAMIR B. SAHAR CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS		Chishimba Kachasa CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER OF DLA PIPER GROUP

John K. Kaite <i>JOHN KAITE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Chintu Y. Mulendema <i>CYMA</i>	Abigail Shansonga <i>CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Norman Gombera <i>MANICA AFRICA</i>	Eldard Mutasa <i>HIGH COURT ZIMBABWE</i>
Kelly Kalumba <i>GREEN COLD ARCHITECTS</i>	Mwasa Mulenga <i>LUSAKA CITY COUNCIL</i>	Clavel M. Sianodo <i>MALAMBO AND COMPANY</i>	Alan Goodrich <i>FINANCIAL CLEARING BUREAU</i>	Alec Tafadzwa Muza <i>MAWERE & SIBANDA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Momba M. Kalyabantu <i>MALAMBO & COMPANY</i>	Alex Muluwe <i>DIGITAL WAVE</i>	Sharon K. Sichilongo <i>ZAMBIA DEVELOPMENT AGENCY</i>	Obert Chaurura Gutu <i>GUTU & CHIKOWERO</i>	Christina Muzerengi <i>GRANT THORNTON ZIMBABWE</i>
Peter S. Kang'ombe <i>MUSA DUDHIA & COMPANY</i>	Sara Mulwanda <i>MINISTRY OF LANDS, NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION</i>	Ngosa Simachela <i>NCHITO AND NCHITO ADVOCATES</i>	Trust Jeferson <i>CLEAR HORIZONS (PRIVATE) LIMITED</i>	Duduzile Ndawana <i>GILL, GODLONTON & GERRANS</i>
Moono I.M. Kanjelesa <i>ZAMBIA ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AGENCY</i>	Muchinda Muma <i>CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Chitembo Simwanza <i>ZESCO LTD.</i>	Prince Kanokanga <i>KANOKANGA & PARTNERS</i>	Maxwell Ngorima <i>BDO TAX & ADVISORY SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>
Arnold Kasalwe <i>EY ZAMBIA</i>	Henry Musonda <i>KIRAN & MUSONDA ASSOCIATES</i>	Mutengo Sindano <i>MINISTRY OF LANDS, NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION</i>	Manuel Lopes <i>PwC ZIMBABWE</i>	Tatenda Nhemachena <i>MAWERE & SIBANDA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>
Lubinda Linyama <i>ERIC SILWAMBA, JALASI & LINYAMA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Chanda Musonda-Chiluba <i>AFRICA LEGAL NETWORK (ALN)</i>	Mildred Stephenson <i>CREDIT REFERENCE BUREAU AFRICA LIMITED T/A TRANSUNION</i>	Charity Machiridza <i>BDO TAX & ADVISORY SERVICES PVT. LTD.</i>	Dorothy Pasipanodya <i>GILL, GODLONTON & GERRANS</i>
Walusiku Lisulo <i>LISULO + BWALYA</i>	Francis Mwape <i>WORLD SKILLS ZAMBIA</i>	Dumisani Tembo <i>AB & DAVID, ZAMBIA</i>	Faro Mahere <i>GILL, GODLONTON & GERRANS</i>	John Ridgewell <i>BCHOD AND PARTNERS</i>
Johan Lombaard <i>MANICA AFRICA PTY. LTD.</i>	Paul Mwiksa <i>MMIKISA AND COMPANY</i>	Liu Yang <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	Rita Makarau <i>HIGH COURT ZIMBABWE</i>	Edward Rigby <i>CASLING, RIGBY, McMAHON</i>
Mwangala Lubinda <i>SHARPE HOWARD & MWENYE</i>	Brian H. Namachila <i>LUSAKA CITY COUNCIL</i>	Inutu Zaloumis <i>PAM GOLDING PROPERTIES</i>	Zanudeen Makorie <i>COGHLAN, WELSH & GUEST</i>	Unity Sakhe <i>KANTOR & IMMERMAN</i>
Vincent Malambo <i>MALAMBO AND COMPANY</i>	Nchirima Nchito <i>NCHITO AND NCHITO ADVOCATES</i>	Patson Zulu <i>ZAMBIA ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AGENCY</i>	Chatapiwa Malaba <i>KANTOR AND IMMERMAN</i>	Reggie Saruchera <i>GRANT THORNTON ZIMBABWE</i>
Christopher Mapani <i>PATENTS AND COMPANIES REGISTRATION AGENCY (PACRA)</i>	Francis K. Ngomba <i>LUSAKA CITY COUNCIL</i>	ZIMBABWE	Gertrude Maredza <i>GUTU & CHIKOWERO</i>	Bellina Sigauke <i>RESERVE BANK OF ZIMBABWE</i>
Tiziana Marietta <i>SHARPE & HOWARD</i>	Brenda Ngulube <i>BCN CONSULTANTS</i>	<i>ATHERSTONE & COOK</i>	Tsungirirai Marufu <i>GUTU & CHIKOWERO</i>	Edward Siwela <i>INSTITUTE OF DIRECTORS OF ZIMBABWE (IODZ)</i>
Ernest Mate <i>PwC ZAMBIA</i>	Annette Nkhowani <i>MUSA DUDHIA & COMPANY</i>	<i>MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND POWER DEVELOPMENT</i>	Norman Mataruka <i>RESERVE BANK OF ZIMBABWE</i>	Takoleza Takoleza <i>ZIMBABWE INVESTMENT AUTHORITY</i>
Bonaventure Mbewe <i>BARCLAYS BANK</i>	Aleksandar Perunicic <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	Richard Beattie <i>THE STONE/BEATTIE STUDIO</i>	Gloria Mawarie <i>MAWERE & SIBANDA LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Murambiwa Tarabuku <i>PEARCE MCCOMISH ARCHITECTS</i>
Chosani Mbewe <i>PwC ZAMBIA</i>	Ezekiel Phiri <i>ZAMBIA REVENUE AUTHORITY</i>	Tim Boulton <i>MANICA AFRICA</i>	Thembiwe Mazingi <i>COGHLAN, WELSH & GUEST</i>	Sonja Vas <i>SCANLEN & HOLDERNESS</i>
Bekithembwa Mbuyisa <i>LUSAKA CITY COUNCIL</i>	Michael Phiri <i>KPMG</i>	Peter Cawood <i>PwC ZIMBABWE</i>	Jim McComish <i>PEARCE MCCOMISH ARCHITECTS</i>	Adam Bongani Wenyimo <i>GUTU & CHIKOWERO</i>
Harriet Mdala <i>MUSA DUDHIA & COMPANY</i>	Lydia Pwadura <i>PwC ZAMBIA</i>	Regina Chadya <i>MANICA AFRICA</i>	Roselyn Mhlanga <i>KANOKANGA & PARTNERS</i>	Ruvimbo Zakeo <i>GILL, GODLONTON & GERRANS</i>
Jyoti Mistry <i>PwC ZAMBIA</i>	Miriam Sabi <i>ZRA - TAXPAYER SERVICES</i>	Grant Davies <i>MANICA AFRICA</i>	Kundai Msembari <i>SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION</i>	
Gerald Mkandawire <i>SDV LOGISTICS</i>	Edward Sampa <i>CHIBESAKUNDA & COMPANY, MEMBER OF DLA PIPER GROUP</i>	Beloved Dhlakama <i>DHLAKAMA B. ATTORNEYS</i>	Sithembinkosi Msipa <i>JUDICIAL SERVICES COMMISSION</i>	
Mukuka Mubanga <i>ZESCO LTD.</i>	Valerie Sesia <i>CUSTOMIZED CLEARING AND FORWARDING LTD.</i>	Paul Fraser <i>LOFTY & FRASER</i>	T. Murungani <i>SPEARTEC</i>	
Monde Mukela <i>ENTRY POINT AFRICA</i>	Namakuzu Shandavu <i>CORPUS LEGAL PRACTITIONERS</i>	Daniel Garwe <i>PLANET</i>	Lina Mushanguri <i>ZIMBABWE STOCK EXCHANGE</i>	

Doing Business 2017 is the 14th in a series of annual reports investigating the regulations that enhance business activity and those that constrain it. The report provides quantitative indicators covering 11 areas of the business environment in 190 economies. The goal of the *Doing Business* series is to provide objective data for use by governments in designing sound business regulatory policies and to encourage research on the important dimensions of the regulatory environment for firms.



ISBN 978-1-4648-0948-4



SKU 210948