

Coordinator Election Algorithms in Ring Topology Chang Roberts and Franklin's Algorithms

Selay Tekgül selay@ceng.metu.edu.tr

Wireless Systems, Networks and Cybersecurity Laboratory
Department of Computer Engineering
Middle East Technical University
Ankara Turkey

March 28, 2024

Qutline of the Presentation

- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works Model, Definitions Background, Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
 - Main Point 1
 - Main Point 2
 - Main Point 3
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
 - Main Result 1
 - Main Result 2
 - Main Result 3
- 8 Conclusions





- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Distributed coordinator/leader election

Imagine a network of data storage servers arranged in a closed loop, like a digital RING. Each server in this ring holds a piece of crucial information.

However, a critical task arises: the servers need to agree on a single coordinator to manage updates and ensure data consistency across the entire ring. Without a designated leader, conflicts could occur. Different servers might update the same information simultaneously, leading to inconsistencies and errors.

The Ring Election Problem

The Ring Election problem asks: how can these servers elect a single coordinator from within the ring itself? The chosen coordinator will be responsible for coordinating updates, ensuring all servers possess the same, up-to-date information. This seemingly simple task requires a **sophisticated algorithm** to function efficiently within the closed-loop structure of the ring network.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Chang-Roberts Algorithm: Efficient Leader Election in Directed Rings

The Chang-Roberts algorithm offers a **ROBUST** approach to leader election in directed ring networks, characterized by the following key strengths:

- Directed Rings: Works best in directed ring networks for optimized communication.
- ID-based Election: Uses process IDs (highest wins) for efficient leader selection.
- Guaranteed Termination: Ensures the election concludes with a single leader.
- Scalable Messages: Message complexity scales proportionally with the network size.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Franklin's Algorithm: Leader Election in Bidirectional Rings

Franklin's algorithm tackles leader election in **BIDIRECTIONAL** ring networks, offering these key advantages:

- Bidirectional Communication: Leverages communication in both directions within the ring, potentially accelerating leader selection compared to unidirectional algorithms.
- Probabilistic Selection: Introduces an element of randomness to potentially break ties and avoid deadlocks in scenarios with identical process IDs.
- Scalable Messages: Message complexity scales proportionally with the network size, similar to Chang-Roberts.
- Guaranteed Termination: Ensures the election concludes with a single leader, even in the presence of process failures.





- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Ring Leader Election: Keeping Order Flowing

"Order from Chaos: Electing a Leader in Ring Networks"

Ring networks struggle without a leader. Tasks clash, data conflicts, and messages meander. Leader election establishes a coordinator: streamlining tasks, ensuring data consistency, and optimizing communication.

This is crucial for distributed systems like databases and blockchains, ensuring a smooth flow within the ring.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions



Model, Definitions

Distributed coordinator/leader election

Ring Networks - Leader Election Imagine a circle of computers (processes) talking to their neighbors. This is a ring network.

- Chang-Roberts works in directed rings (one-way talk) while Franklin's tackles bidirectional rings (two-way talk).
- Leader election picks a coordinator (leader) for the ring to manage tasks, data, and communication.



Background

Leader election in ring networks has been extensively studied. Several algorithms exist, each with trade-offs. Here's a comparison of Chang-Roberts and Franklin's:

- Chang-Roberts (1979) is a simple and efficient algorithm for directed rings, but limited to unidirectional communication.
- Franklin's (1982) builds on Chang-Roberts, introducing bidirectional communication and probabilistic tie-breaking.
 Both algorithms guarantee termination and message complexity scales with the network size.

- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Main Point 1: A Figure

Abstract the Major Results

Describe the key results of the paper. You may present the statements of the major theorems, but not their proofs. You will probably have to get a little technical here, but do so gradually and carefully.



Figure: Awesome Image

An Example Distributed Algorithm

Blind Flooding

Blind flooding on an undirected graph is presented in Algorithm 1.

```
Implements: BlindFlooding Instance: cf Uses: LinkLayerBroadcast Instance: lbc
```

Events: Init, MessageFromTop, MessageFromBottom

Needs:

```
OnInit: () do
```

```
OnMessageFromBottom: ( m ) do

1: Trigger lbc.Broadcast ( m )
```

```
OnMessageFromTop: ( m ) do
2: Trigger lbc.Broadcast ( m )
```

Algorithm 1: BlindFlooding algorithm



Main Point 2

Explain the Significance of the Results

Pause, and explain the relationships between the formal theorems that you have just presented and the informal description that you gave in the Introduction. Make it clear to the audience that the results do live up to the advance publicity. If the statements of the theorems are very technical then this may take some time. It is time well-spent.

Main Point 3

Sketch a Proof of the Crucial Results

The emphasis is on the word "sketcaaaah". Give a very high-level description of the proofs, emphasizing the proof structure and the proof techniques used. If the proofs have no structure (in which case it may be assumed that you are not the author of the paper), then you must impose one on them. Gloss over the technical details. It is a good idea to point them out but not to explore them.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Main Result 1

Choose **just the key results**. They should be important, non-trivial, should give the flavour of the rest of the technical details and should be presentable in a relatively short period of time. Use figures instead of tables instead of text.

Better to present 10% the entire audience gets than 90% nobody gets

Main Result 2

Try a subtitle

- Make sure your notation is clear and consistent throughout the talk. Prepare a slide that explains the notation in detail, in case that is needed or if somebody asks.
- Always label all of your axes on graphs; use short but helpful captions on figures and tables. It is also very useful to have an arrow on the side which clearly shows which direction is considered better (e.g., "up is better").
- If you have experimental results, make sure you clearly present the experimental paradigm you used, and the details of your methods, including the number of trials, the specific analysis tools you applied, significance testing, etc.
- The talk should contain at least a brief discussion of the limitations and weaknesses of the presented approach or results, in addition to their strengths. This, however, should be done in an objective manner – don't enthusiastically put down your own work.

Main Result 3

- If time allows, the results should be compared to the most related work in the field. You should at least prepare one slide with a summary of the related work, even if you do not get a chance to discuss it. This will be helpful if someone asks about it, and will demonstrate your mastery of the material.
- Spell check again.
- Give for each of the x-axis, y-axis, and z-axis
- Label, unit, scale (if log scale)
- Give the legend
- Explain all symbols
- Take an example to illustrate a specific point in the figure





- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Conclusions

Conclusions

Hindsight is Clearer than Foresight Advices come from [?].

- You can now make observations that would have been confusing
 if they were introduced earlier. Use this opportunity to refer to
 statements that you have made in the previous three sections and
 weave them into a coherent synopsis. You will regain the
 attention of the non- experts, who probably didn't follow all of
 the Technicalities section. Leave them feeling that they have
 learned something nonetheless.
- Give Open Problems It is traditional to end with a list of open problems that arise from your paper. Mention weaknesses of your paper, possible generalizations, and indications of whether they will be fruitful or not. This way you may defuse antagonistic questions during question time.
- Indicate that your Talk is Over An acceptable way to do this is to say "Thank-you. Are there any questions?"[?]



References

How to prepare the talk?

Please read http://larc.unt.edu/ian/pubs/speaker.pdf

- The Introduction: Define the Problem, Motivate the Audience, Introduce Terminology, Discuss Earlier Work, Emphasize the Contributions of your Paper, Provide a Road-map.
- The Body: Abstract the Major Results, Explain the Significance of the Results, Sketch a Proof of the Crucial Results
- · Technicalities: Present a Key Lemma, Present it Carefully
- The Conclusion: Hindsight is Clearer than Foresight, Give Open Problems, Indicate that your Talk is Over

Questions

THANK YOU

Coordinator Election Algorithms in Ring Topology Chang Roberts and Franklin's Algorithms

presented by Selay Tekgül selay@ceng.metu.edu.tr



