

Coordinator Election Algorithms in Ring Topology Chang Roberts and Franklin's Algorithms

Selayy Tekgül selay@ceng.metu.edu.tr

Wireless Systems, Networks and Cybersecurity Laboratory
Department of Computer Engineering
Middle East Technical University
Ankara Turkey

April 14, 2024

WHNS

Outline of the Presentation

- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works Model, Definitions Background, Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
 Implementation
 Algorithm
 Implementation
 Algorithm
 - Experimental results/ProofsMain Result 1Main Result 2Main Result 3

- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Distributed coordinator/leader election

Imagine a network of data storage servers arranged in a closed loop, like a digital RING. Each server in this ring holds a piece of crucial information.

However, a critical task arises: the servers need to agree on a single coordinator to manage updates and ensure data consistency across the entire ring. Without a designated leader, conflicts could occur. Different servers might update the same information simultaneously, leading to inconsistencies and errors.

The Ring Election Problem

The Ring Election problem asks: how can these servers elect a single coordinator from within the ring itself? The chosen coordinator will be responsible for coordinating updates, ensuring all servers possess the same, up-to-date information. This seemingly simple task requires a **sophisticated algorithm** to function efficiently within the closed-loop structure of the ring network.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Chang-Roberts Algorithm: Efficient Leader Election in Directed Rings

The Chang-Roberts algorithm offers a **ROBUST** approach to leader election in directed ring networks, characterized by the following key strengths:

- Directed Rings: Works best in directed ring networks for optimized communication.
- ID-based Election: Uses process IDs (highest wins) for efficient leader selection.
- Guaranteed Termination: Ensures the election concludes with a single leader.
- Scalable Messages: Message complexity scales proportionally with the network size.





- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Franklin's Algorithm: Leader Election in Bidirectional Rings

Franklin's algorithm tackles leader election in **BIDIRECTIONAL** ring networks, offering these key advantages:

- Bidirectional Communication: Leverages communication in both directions within the ring, potentially accelerating leader selection compared to unidirectional algorithms.
- Probabilistic Selection: Introduces an element of randomness to potentially break ties and avoid deadlocks in scenarios with identical process IDs.
- Scalable Messages: Message complexity scales proportionally with the network size, similar to Chang-Roberts.
- Guaranteed Termination: Ensures the election concludes with a single leader, even in the presence of process failures.





- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions



Ring Leader Election: Keeping Order Flowing

"Order from Chaos: Electing a Leader in Ring Networks"

Ring networks struggle without a leader. Tasks clash, data conflicts, and messages meander. Leader election establishes a coordinator: streamlining tasks, ensuring data consistency, and optimizing communication.

This is crucial for distributed systems like databases and blockchains, ensuring a smooth flow within the ring.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Model, Definitions

Distributed coordinator/leader election

Ring Networks - Leader Election Imagine a circle of computers (processes) talking to their neighbors. This is a ring network.

- Chang-Roberts works in directed rings (one-way talk) while Franklin's tackles bidirectional rings (two-way talk).
- Leader election picks a coordinator (leader) for the ring to manage tasks, data, and communication.



Background

Leader election in ring networks has been extensively studied. Several algorithms exist, each with trade-offs. Here's a comparison of Chang-Roberts and Franklin's:

- Chang-Roberts (1979) is a simple and efficient algorithm for directed rings, but limited to unidirectional communication.
- Franklin's (1982) builds on Chang-Roberts, introducing bidirectional communication and probabilistic tie-breaking.
 Both algorithms guarantee termination and message complexity scales with the network size.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Coordinator Election in Rings

Chang Roberts Algorithm

Designed for rings where processes have unique identifiers. Messages with process IDs are circulated, and a process remains active if its own ID is larger than the IDs it receives. The process with the largest ID eventually becomes the leader.

Coordinator Election Algorithm in a Ring Topology

Chang Roberts

Chang Roberts on a ring topology is presented in Algorithm 1.

1: Initialize process ID and state

end 5: Broadcast m

Advantages

Efficiency Improvement

Notably enhances message complexity compared to basic flooding algorithms, contributing to more streamlined communication in ring networks.

Advantages

Straightforward Implementation

Relatively straightforward to implement due to its clear-cut logic and reliance on simple message circulation principles.

Disadvantages

Message Complexity

Despite being an improvement over basic flooding algorithms, it still exhibits a worst-case message complexity of $O(n^2)$, which can become prohibitive for larger networks.

Disadvantages

Dependency on Unique Identifiers

The algorithm heavily relies on the existence of unique identifiers for each process, limiting its applicability in scenarios where such identifiers are not readily available.

Coordinator Election in Rings

Franklin's Algorithm

Designed for bidirectional rings. It uses the concept of "waves" of messages, where a process can start a wave if its ID is larger than its neighbors. Waves travel in both directions, and collisions resolve in favor of the larger ID. Eventually, the largest ID prevails.

Coordinator Election Algorithm in a Ring Topology

Franklin's

Franklin's on a ring topology is presented in Algorithm 2.

1: Initialize process ID and state

OnMessageFromBottom: (m) do

2: if m.id > self.id then

Start wave

end

3: Broadcast m

OnMessageFromTop: (m) do

4: if m.id > self.id then

Start wave

end

5: Broadcast m

Algorithm 2: Franklin's algorithm



Advantages

Optimized Message Complexity

Offers a significant improvement in terms of message complexity with a worst-case scenario of O(n log n), particularly beneficial for larger ring networks.

Advantages

Scalability

Due to its efficient message complexity, it scales well with increasing network size, making it suitable for a wide range of applications.

Disadvantages

Complex Implementation

Implementation of Franklin's algorithm can be considerably more complex compared to simpler algorithms like Chang and Roberts, requiring a deeper understanding of bidirectional message propagation and collision resolution.

Disadvantages

Communication Channel Requirements

Relies on bidirectional communication channels between neighboring processes, which may pose challenges in certain network architectures where such channels are not readily available or feasible.



- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Main Result 1

Choose **just the key results**. They should be important, non-trivial, should give the flavour of the rest of the technical details and should be presentable in a relatively short period of time. Use figures instead of tables instead of text.

Better to present 10% the entire audience gets than 90% nobody gets

Main Result 2

Try a subtitle

- Make sure your notation is clear and consistent throughout the talk. Prepare a slide that explains the notation in detail, in case that is needed or if somebody asks.
- Always label all of your axes on graphs; use short but helpful captions on figures and tables. It is also very useful to have an arrow on the side which clearly shows which direction is considered better (e.g., "up is better").
- If you have experimental results, make sure you clearly present the experimental paradigm you used, and the details of your methods, including the number of trials, the specific analysis tools you applied, significance testing, etc.
- The talk should contain at least a brief discussion of the limitations and weaknesses of the presented approach or results, in addition to their strengths. This, however, should be done in an objective manner – don't enthusiastically put down your own work.

Main Result 3

- If time allows, the results should be compared to the most related work in the field. You should at least prepare one slide with a summary of the related work, even if you do not get a chance to discuss it. This will be helpful if someone asks about it, and will demonstrate your mastery of the material.
- Spell check again.
- Give for each of the x-axis, y-axis, and z-axis
- Label, unit, scale (if log scale)
- Give the legend
- Explain all symbols
- Take an example to illustrate a specific point in the figure





- 1 The Problem
- 2 The Contribution Chang Roberts
- 3 The Contribution Franklin's
- 4 Motivation/Importance
- 5 Background/Model/Definitions/Previous Works
- 6 Contribution
- 7 Experimental results/Proofs
- 8 Conclusions

Conclusions

Hindsight is Clearer than Foresight Advices come from [?].

- You can now make observations that would have been confusing
 if they were introduced earlier. Use this opportunity to refer to
 statements that you have made in the previous three sections and
 weave them into a coherent synopsis. You will regain the
 attention of the non- experts, who probably didn't follow all of
 the Technicalities section. Leave them feeling that they have
 learned something nonetheless.
- Give Open Problems It is traditional to end with a list of open problems that arise from your paper. Mention weaknesses of your paper, possible generalizations, and indications of whether they will be fruitful or not. This way you may defuse antagonistic questions during question time.
- Indicate that your Talk is Over An acceptable way to do this is to say "Thank-you. Are there any questions?"[?]



References



How to prepare the talk?

Please read http://larc.unt.edu/ian/pubs/speaker.pdf

- The Introduction: Define the Problem, Motivate the Audience, Introduce Terminology, Discuss Earlier Work, Emphasize the Contributions of your Paper, Provide a Road-map.
- The Body: Abstract the Major Results, Explain the Significance of the Results, Sketch a Proof of the Crucial Results
- · Technicalities: Present a Key Lemma, Present it Carefully
- The Conclusion: Hindsight is Clearer than Foresight, Give Open Problems, Indicate that your Talk is Over



Questions

THANK YOU

Coordinator Election Algorithms in Ring Topology Chang Roberts and Franklin's Algorithms

presented by Selayy Tekgül selay@ceng.metu.edu.tr



