

Supplementary Materials And Methods

Selcen Ari

Alper Yilmaz

2020-08-11

Contents

1. Functions defined for ceRNA models and workflow of method	2
2. <i>minsamp</i> dataset analysis in lack of interaction factors.	3
3. <i>minsamp</i> dataset analysis with interaction factors.	5
4. Common target perturbation in <i>minsamp</i> dataset.	8
5. Determination of perturbation efficiencies of elements in system.	9
6. Additional data manipulation steps	9
6.1 Arrangement of CLASH dataset	9
Query of Human Genome 19.	10
Adding miRNA and gene information	10
Converting CLASH data to human genome 38 build.	11
Interpreting the CLASH seed structures in dataset	12
6.2 Arrangement of CLEAR-CLiP Dataset (Moore et al. 2015)	12
Query of Human Genome 18	13
Adding Genome Information to dataset	13
Converting human genome build	13
Seed type manipulation in CLEAR-CLiP dataset	14
6.3 Integration of two experimental dataset	14
Adding Coefficients of Interaction factors	15
REFERENCES	16

1. Functions defined for ceRNA models and workflow of method

We defined the functions that can be used with R programming. Briefly, these functions process a given miRNA:gene dataset and convert to graph object. All values that are significant in miRNA:target interactions are stored in edge variables and processed with formulations that are given in previous section. The functions and steps of approach are explained as following (Figure S1 in Supplementary Figures) :

Conversion of dataset: `priming_graph()` function processes the given dataset that includes competing elements in first variable and repressive element in second variable. If the affinity and/or degradation factors are specified in the function, factors are taken into account, are processed with defaults in vice versa. The formulations that are given in equations (1-4) are performed in this function. This step gives the graph object which contains efficiency values of miRNA:competing target pairs in steady-state in terms of amount. It is assumed that the initial target amounts in the dataset is observed after the repressive activity of miRNAs in steady-state.

Transition of variables in graph: In the previous step, the calculations are performed in the edge variables of the graph object. However, the graph object allows to use node variables, while the node features are handled to the graph. In this direction, `update_nodes` function carries the amount values to node variables. This step must be applied with “once” option because it is primary process.

Trigger change in graph: The dataset are assumed as steady-state in previous step and the efficiency coefficients are calculated according to this acceptance. In the network that is found in steady-state conditions, the change is applied to the graph object for distribution of steady-state. To provide the distribution in the network the workflow offer two methods: `update_variables` and `update_how`. The first, a new dataset that is contained competing and repressive element names and current values of these can be processed with `update_variables`. The second option, the amount of the given node name in `update_how` function can be changed according to “how” argument.

Updating current values of variables: After variables updating in edge variables, these are carried to node variables. Current and previous values of variables are stored as node variables with `update_variables` function.

Simulation of competing behavior of targets: After the change in the steady-state conditions, the network elements try to gain steady-state again. This process progresses as repeating of regulations after the spreading the changes in the network. In this step, simulation of regulations according to given cycle count in `simulate` function is applied. After each simulation cycle, the miRNA repression values are re-calculated and the current values of competing elements are found and saved. The process is performed in the edge data and at the same time outputs of the calculations are carried from edge to node data.

The node elements in the dataset are handled as two type; repressive (miRNAs) and competing (targets). It is assumed in approach that while targets are degrading or inhibiting by miRNAs continuously, miRNAs reversibly used. If the trigger of the network is a miRNA, it maintains the current value of amount that provides by user. On the contrary, it tries to help this process to provide steady-state through the regulations on its amount, if a competing element is used as a trigger. The functions that are used in the this study are developed with R and are available in Bioconductor.

```
library(ceRNAnetsim)
```

- load *minsamp* data

```
minsamp <- readRDS("data/minsamp.RDS")
```

```
minsamp
```

```
##      competing miRNA Competing_expression miRNA_expression seed_type region energy
```

## 1	Gene1	Mir1	10000	1000	0.43	0.30	-20
## 2	Gene2	Mir1	10000	1000	0.43	0.01	-15
## 3	Gene3	Mir1	5000	1000	0.32	0.40	-14
## 4	Gene4	Mir1	10000	1000	0.23	0.50	-10
## 5	Gene4	Mir2	10000	2000	0.35	0.90	-12
## 6	Gene5	Mir2	5000	2000	0.05	0.40	-11
## 7	Gene6	Mir2	10000	2000	0.01	0.80	-25

See Table S1 in Supplementary Tables file.

2. *minsamp* dataset analysis in lack of interaction factors.

Firstly, we have analysed minimal data without interaction factors between miRNA:target.

- 1. We have evaluated graph in the steady state conditions as followings (Figure S2 in Supplementary Figures):

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression)
```

```
## # A tbl_graph: 8 nodes and 7 edges
## #
## # A rooted tree
## #
## # Node Data: 8 x 7 (active)
##   name type      node_id initial_count count_pre count_current changes_variable
##   <chr> <chr>      <int>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Gene1 Competing      1        10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 2 Gene2 Competing      2        10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 3 Gene3 Competing      3         5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 4 Gene4 Competing      4        10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 5 Gene5 Competing      5         5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 6 Gene6 Competing      6        10000      10000      10000 Competing
## # ... with 2 more rows
## #
## # Edge Data: 7 x 19
##   from to Competing_name miRNA_name Competing_expre~ miRNA_expression dummy
##   <int> <int> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 1 7 Gene1      Mir1      10000      1000 1
## 2 2 7 Gene2      Mir1      10000      1000 1
## 3 3 7 Gene3      Mir1       5000      1000 1
## # ... with 4 more rows, and 12 more variables: afff_factor <dbl>,
## #   degg_factor <dbl>, comp_count_list <list>, comp_count_pre <dbl>,
## #   comp_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_list <list>, mirna_count_pre <dbl>,
## #   mirna_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_per_dep <dbl>, effect_current <dbl>,
## #   effect_pre <dbl>, effect_list <list>
```

- 2. We have obtained graph after change on Gene2 expression as following (Figure S3 in Supplementary Figures):

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression) %>% update_how("Gene2",
  2)
```

```
## # A tbl_graph: 8 nodes and 7 edges
## #
## # A rooted tree
## #
## # Node Data: 8 x 7 (active)
##   name type      node_id initial_count count_pre count_current changes_variable
##   <chr> <chr>      <int>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Gene1 Competing      1        10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 2 Gene2 Competing      2        10000      10000      20000 Up
## 3 Gene3 Competing      3         5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 4 Gene4 Competing      4        10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 5 Gene5 Competing      5         5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 6 Gene6 Competing      6        10000      10000      10000 Competing
## # ... with 2 more rows
## #
## # Edge Data: 7 x 19
##   from to Competing_name miRNA_name Competing_expre~ miRNA_expression dummy
##   <int> <int> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 1 7 Gene1      Mir1      10000      1000 1
## 2 2 7 Gene2      Mir1      10000      1000 1
## 3 3 7 Gene3      Mir1       5000      1000 1
## # ... with 4 more rows, and 12 more variables: afff_factor <dbl>,
## #   degg_factor <dbl>, comp_count_list <list>, comp_count_pre <dbl>,
## #   comp_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_list <list>, mirna_count_pre <dbl>,
## #   mirna_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_per_dep <dbl>, effect_current <dbl>,
## #   effect_pre <dbl>, effect_list <list>
```

- 3. We have determined regulations after Gene2 upregulation (Figure S4 in Supplementary Figures):

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression) %>% update_how("Gene2",
  2) %>% simulate(cycle = 2)
```

```
## # A tbl_graph: 8 nodes and 7 edges
## #
## # A rooted tree
## #
## # Node Data: 8 x 7 (active)
##   name type      node_id initial_count count_pre count_current changes_variable
##   <chr> <chr>      <int>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Gene1 Competing      1        10000      10063.      10062. Down
## 2 Gene2 Competing      2        10000      19841.      19845. Up
## 3 Gene3 Competing      3         5000       5032.      5031. Down
## 4 Gene4 Competing      4        10000      10063.      10059. Down
## 5 Gene5 Competing      5         5000       5000       5001. Up
## 6 Gene6 Competing      6        10000      10000      10002. Up
## # ... with 2 more rows
## #
```

```
## # Edge Data: 7 x 20
##   from   to Competing_name miRNA_name Competing_expre~ miRNA_expression dummy
##   <int> <int> <chr>         <chr>         <dbl>         <dbl> <dbl>
## 1     1     7 Gene1         Mir1         10000         1000    1
## 2     2     7 Gene2         Mir1         10000         1000    1
## 3     3     7 Gene3         Mir1          5000         1000    1
## # ... with 4 more rows, and 13 more variables: afff_factor <dbl>,
## #   degg_factor <dbl>, comp_count_list <list>, comp_count_pre <dbl>,
## #   comp_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_list <list>, mirna_count_pre <dbl>,
## #   mirna_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_per_dep <dbl>, effect_current <dbl>,
## #   effect_pre <dbl>, effect_list <list>, mirna_count_per_comp <dbl>
```

Note that the regulations are colored according to expression changes of present and a previous value. So, it can be observed that whole gene expressions increase in comparison of initial steady-state. The overall regulations of gene expressions are as followings:

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression) %>% update_how("Gene2",
  2) %>% simulate(2) %>% activate(edges) %>% as_tibble() %>%
  select(Competing_name, comp_count_list, effect_list) %>%
  unnest()
```

```
## # A tibble: 21 x 3
##   Competing_name comp_count_list effect_list
##   <chr>          <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Gene1         10000         286.
## 2 Gene1         10063.         222.
## 3 Gene1         10062.         224.
## 4 Gene2         10000         286.
## 5 Gene2         19841.         444.
## 6 Gene2         19845.         441.
## 7 Gene3          5000         143.
## 8 Gene3          5032.         111.
## 9 Gene3          5031.         112.
## 10 Gene4        10000         286.
## # ... with 11 more rows
```

3. *minsamp* dataset analysis with interaction factors.

We have made the same analysis in presence of interaction factors (Sequentially shown at Figure S5-7 in Supplementary Figures).

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression, aff_factor = c(energy,
  seed_type), deg_factor = region)
```

```
## # A tbl_graph: 8 nodes and 7 edges
## #
## # A rooted tree
## #
## # Node Data: 8 x 7 (active)
```

```
##   name type      node_id initial_count count_pre count_current changes_variable
##   <chr> <chr>      <int>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Gene1 Competing      1         10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 2 Gene2 Competing      2         10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 3 Gene3 Competing      3          5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 4 Gene4 Competing      4         10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 5 Gene5 Competing      5          5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 6 Gene6 Competing      6         10000      10000      10000 Competing
## # ... with 2 more rows
## #
## # Edge Data: 7 x 22
##   from to Competing_name miRNA_name Competing_expre~ miRNA_expression energy
##   <int> <int> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>
## 1     1     7 Gene1      Mir1         10000      1000   -20
## 2     2     7 Gene2      Mir1         10000      1000   -15
## 3     3     7 Gene3      Mir1          5000      1000   -14
## # ... with 4 more rows, and 15 more variables: seed_type <dbl>, region <dbl>,
## # dummy <dbl>, afff_factor <dbl>, degg_factor <dbl>, comp_count_list <list>,
## # comp_count_pre <dbl>, comp_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_list <list>,
## # mirna_count_pre <dbl>, mirna_count_current <dbl>,
## # mirna_count_per_dep <dbl>, effect_current <dbl>, effect_pre <dbl>,
## # effect_list <list>
```

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression, aff_factor = c(energy,
    seed_type), deg_factor = region) %>% update_how("Gene2",
  2)
```

```
## # A tbl_graph: 8 nodes and 7 edges
## #
## # A rooted tree
## #
## # Node Data: 8 x 7 (active)
##   name type      node_id initial_count count_pre count_current changes_variable
##   <chr> <chr>      <int>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Gene1 Competing      1         10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 2 Gene2 Competing      2         10000      10000      20000 Up
## 3 Gene3 Competing      3          5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 4 Gene4 Competing      4         10000      10000      10000 Competing
## 5 Gene5 Competing      5          5000       5000       5000 Competing
## 6 Gene6 Competing      6         10000      10000      10000 Competing
## # ... with 2 more rows
## #
## # Edge Data: 7 x 22
##   from to Competing_name miRNA_name Competing_expre~ miRNA_expression energy
##   <int> <int> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>
## 1     1     7 Gene1      Mir1         10000      1000   -20
## 2     2     7 Gene2      Mir1         10000      1000   -15
## 3     3     7 Gene3      Mir1          5000      1000   -14
## # ... with 4 more rows, and 15 more variables: seed_type <dbl>, region <dbl>,
## # dummy <dbl>, afff_factor <dbl>, degg_factor <dbl>, comp_count_list <list>,
## # comp_count_pre <dbl>, comp_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_list <list>,
## # mirna_count_pre <dbl>, mirna_count_current <dbl>,
## # mirna_count_per_dep <dbl>, effect_current <dbl>, effect_pre <dbl>,
```

```
## # effect_list <list>

priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression, aff_factor = c(energy,
    seed_type), deg_factor = region) %>% update_how("Gene2",
  2) %>% simulate(cycle = 2)

## # A tbl_graph: 8 nodes and 7 edges
## #
## # A rooted tree
## #
## # Node Data: 8 x 7 (active)
##   name type      node_id initial_count count_pre count_current changes_variable
##   <chr> <chr>      <int>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Gene1 Competing      1        10000    10065.    10064. Down
## 2 Gene2 Competing      2        10000    19997.    19997. Up
## 3 Gene3 Competing      3         5000     5023.     5023. Down
## 4 Gene4 Competing      4        10000    10029.    10028. Down
## 5 Gene5 Competing      5         5000     5000     5000. Up
## 6 Gene6 Competing      6        10000    10000     10000. Up
## # ... with 2 more rows
## #
## # Edge Data: 7 x 23
##   from to Competing_name miRNA_name Competing_expre~ miRNA_expression energy
##   <int> <int> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 1 7 Gene1      Mir1      10000      1000 -20
## 2 2 7 Gene2      Mir1      10000      1000 -15
## 3 3 7 Gene3      Mir1      5000       1000 -14
## # ... with 4 more rows, and 16 more variables: seed_type <dbl>, region <dbl>,
## # dummy <dbl>, aff_factor <dbl>, degg_factor <dbl>, comp_count_list <list>,
## # comp_count_pre <dbl>, comp_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_list <list>,
## # mirna_count_pre <dbl>, mirna_count_current <dbl>,
## # mirna_count_per_dep <dbl>, effect_current <dbl>, effect_pre <dbl>,
## # effect_list <list>, mirna_count_per_comp <dbl>
```

When the graphs were examined, it was observed that behaviors were same. But, when the results were analysed in terms of expression values, the regulation differences can be observed.

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression, aff_factor = c(energy,
    seed_type), deg_factor = region) %>% update_how("Gene2",
  2) %>% simulate(3) %>% activate(edges) %>% as_tibble() %>%
  select(Competing_name, comp_count_list, effect_list) %>%
  unnest()
```

```
## # A tibble: 28 x 3
##   Competing_name comp_count_list effect_list
##   <chr>          <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Gene1          10000      263.
## 2 Gene1          10065.     198.
## 3 Gene1          10064.     199.
## 4 Gene1          10064.     199.
## 5 Gene2          10000       6.58
```

```
## 6 Gene2          19997.      9.91
## 7 Gene2          19997.      9.88
## 8 Gene2          19997.      9.88
## 9 Gene3          5000       91.5
## 10 Gene3         5023.      68.8
## # ... with 18 more rows
```

4. Common target perturbation in *minsamp* dataset.

Genes targeted by multiple miRNAs (referred to as “common target”) are of special interest since they are subject to cooperative effect. Also, they perturb more than one neighborhood. In our small dataset, minsamp, Gene4 is regulated by two miRNAs. Let’s simulate perturbation effects triggered by Gene4 (Shown at Figure S8 in Supplementary Figures) .

```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression, aff_factor = c(energy,
    seed_type), deg_factor = region) %>% update_how("Gene4",
  2) %>% simulate(cycle = 2)
```

```
## # A tbl_graph: 8 nodes and 7 edges
## #
## # A rooted tree
## #
## # Node Data: 8 x 7 (active)
##   name type      node_id initial_count count_pre count_current changes_variable
##   <chr> <chr>      <int>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Gene1 Competing      1        10000    10028.    10027. Down
## 2 Gene2 Competing      2        10000    10001.    10001. Down
## 3 Gene3 Competing      3         5000     5010.     5009. Down
## 4 Gene4 Competing      4        10000    19803.    19806. Up
## 5 Gene5 Competing      5         5000     5024.     5024. Down
## 6 Gene6 Competing      6        10000    10044.    10044. Down
## # ... with 2 more rows
## #
## # Edge Data: 7 x 23
##   from to Competing_name miRNA_name Competing_expre~ miRNA_expression energy
##   <int> <int> <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 1 7 Gene1      Mir1      10000      1000 -20
## 2 2 7 Gene2      Mir1      10000      1000 -15
## 3 3 7 Gene3      Mir1      5000      1000 -14
## # ... with 4 more rows, and 16 more variables: seed_type <dbl>, region <dbl>,
## # dummy <dbl>, aff_factor <dbl>, degg_factor <dbl>, comp_count_list <list>,
## # comp_count_pre <dbl>, comp_count_current <dbl>, mirna_count_list <list>,
## # mirna_count_pre <dbl>, mirna_count_current <dbl>,
## # mirna_count_per_dep <dbl>, effect_current <dbl>, effect_pre <dbl>,
## # effect_list <list>, mirna_count_per_comp <dbl>
```

The common target perturbation (increasing to two fold at Gene4 expression in presence of interaction factors) resulted in more prominent efficiency at the same conditions (shown at following).


```
priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression, aff_factor = c(energy,
    seed_type), deg_factor = region) %>% update_how("Gene4",
  2) %>% simulate(3) %>% activate(edges) %>% as_tibble() %>%
  select(Competing_name, comp_count_list, effect_list) %>%
  unnest()
```

```
## # A tibble: 28 x 3
##   Competing_name comp_count_list effect_list
##   <chr>          <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 Gene1          10000          263.
## 2 Gene1          10028.          236.
## 3 Gene1          10027.          237.
## 4 Gene1          10027.          237.
## 5 Gene2          10000           6.58
## 6 Gene2          10001.           5.89
## 7 Gene2          10001.           5.90
## 8 Gene2          10001.           5.90
## 9 Gene3           5000          91.5
## 10 Gene3         5010.          81.9
## # ... with 18 more rows
```

5. Determination of perturbation efficiencies of elements in system.

```
sample_graph <- priming_graph(minsamp, competing_count = Competing_expression,
  miRNA_count = miRNA_expression, aff_factor = c(energy,
    seed_type), deg_factor = region)

find_node_perturbation(sample_graph, how = 2, cycle = 3,
  limit = 0.1) %>% as_tibble() %>% select(name, perturbation_efficiency,
  perturbed_count)
```

```
## # A tibble: 8 x 3
##   name perturbation_efficiency perturbed_count
##   <chr>          <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 Gene1          0.132           2
## 2 Gene2          0.198           3
## 3 Gene3          0.0555          2
## 4 Gene4          0.197           4
## 5 Gene5          0.143           1
## 6 Gene6          0.131           1
## 7 Mir1           0.806           3
## 8 Mir2           2.80            3
```

6. Additional data manipulation steps

6.1 Arrangement of CLASH dataset

CLASH dataset was retrieved from PubMed (Helwak et al. 2013).

```

clashelwak <- read.table("mmc1.txt", comment.char = "#",
  header = TRUE, skip = 1, stringsAsFactors = FALSE)

# hg19

```

Query of Human Genome 19.

Human genome 19 information was handled through biomaRt package.

```

# HG19
listEnsemblArchives()
listMarts(host = "http://grch37.ensembl.org")
ensemblgrch37 = useMart(host = "http://grch37.ensembl.org",
  biomart = "ENSEMBL_MART_ENSEMBL", dataset = "hsapiens_gene_ensembl")
hg19 <- getBM(attributes = c("ensembl_transcript_id",
  "ensembl_gene_id", "chromosome_name", "start_position",
  "end_position", "hgnc_symbol", "entrezgene_id",
  "strand"), mart = ensemblgrch37)

```

Adding miRNA and gene information

```

clashelwak <- clashelwak %>% separate(microRNA_name,
  c("Barcode", "Database", "mirna_name", "type"),
  sep = "_") %>% separate(mRNA_name, c("Ensembl_Gene_Id",
  "Ensembl_Transcript_Id", "Hugo_Symbol", "mRNA_Type"),
  sep = "_")

```

MiRNA releases are obtained from miRBase. In this step, release 21 (in Human genome 38) was downloaded.

```

mirbasehg38 <- read.table("mirbasehg38.txt", comment.char = "#") %>%
  filter(V3 != "miRNA_primary_transcript") %>% separate(V9,
  c("ID", "Alias", "Name", "Precusor"), sep = ";") %>%
  mutate(ID = substr(ID, 4, length(ID)), Alias = substr(Alias,
  7, length(Alias)), Name = substr(Name, 6, length(Name)),
  Precusor = substr(Precusor, 14, length(Precusor))) %>%
  dplyr::select(chr = V1, start = V4, end = V5, strand = V7,
  ID, Alias, Name, Precusor)

```

CLASH dataset is published in miRBase release 15 and Human Genome 19 version.

```

clashelwakfinal <- read_tsv("mirna_mature.txt", col_names = FALSE) %>%
  filter(startsWith(X2, "hsa")) %>% dplyr::select(mirna_ID = X2,
  mirbase_ID = X3) %>% inner_join(mirbasehg38 %>%
  dplyr::select(ID, Name), by = c(mirbase_ID = "ID")) %>%
  dplyr::select(mirbase_ID, Name) %>% distinct() %>%
  inner_join(clashelwak, by = c(mirbase_ID = "Barcode")) %>%
  dplyr::select(Name, miRNA_seq, Ensembl_Gene_Id,
  Ensembl_Transcript_Id, Hugo_Symbol, mRNA_seq_extended,
  chimeras_decompressed, seed_type, seed_basepairs,

```

```

    folding_class, seq_ID, folding_energy, X5.UTR,
    CDS, X3.UTR) %>% inner_join(hg19, by = c(Ensembl_Gene_Id = "ensembl_gene_id",
    Ensembl_Transcript_Id = "ensembl_transcript_id",
    Hugo_Symbol = "hgnc_symbol")) %>% mutate(region1 = ifelse(X5.UTR ==
    "1", "5UTR", " "), region2 = ifelse(X3.UTR == "1",
    "3UTR", " "), region3 = ifelse(CDS == "1", "CDS",
    " ")) %>% unite(region, c(region1, region2, region3),
    sep = "||") %>% dplyr::select(chromosome_name,
    start_position, end_position, strand, Hugo_Symbol,
    Ensembl_Gene_Id, Ensembl_Transcript_Id, mRNA_seq_extended,
    Name, miRNA_seq, seq_ID, seed_type, seed_basepairs,
    folding_class, folding_energy, region) %>% as_tibble()

```

Converting CLASH data to human genome 38 build.

There are different liftover methods for conversion among Human Genome builds. We preferred to use UCSC liftover tool

```

# Obtaining chromosomal locations from miRNA:target
# interaction dataset.

lift19 <- clashelwakfinal %>% dplyr::select(1, 2, 3) %>%
  unite(start_end, c("start_position", "end_position"),
  sep = "-") %>% mutate(Chromosome = paste0("chr",
  chromosome_name, "")) %>% unite(chromosome_name,
  c("Chromosome", "start_end"), sep = ":")

write_tsv(lift19, "lift19.txt")

# After we searched this file in UCSC browser, the
# output loaded (lift19_del deleted regions on the
# HG38 genome build; hg38clashcomp new locations of
# the genes on HG38)

lift19_del <- read_tsv("deleted_lift19.txt")
colnames(lift19_del)[1] <- "chromosome_loc"

lift19_del <- lift19_del %>% dplyr::filter(startsWith(chromosome_loc,
  "chr")) %>% separate(chromosome_loc, c("Chr", "End"),
  "-", remove = TRUE) %>% separate(Chr, c("Chr",
  "Start"), ":", remove = TRUE)

lift19_del$Start <- as.numeric(lift19_del$Start)
lift19_del$End <- as.numeric(lift19_del$End)

# removing deleted location from CLASH dataset

clashelwakfinal <- clashelwakfinal %>% mutate(Chromosome = paste0("chr",
  chromosome_name, "")) %>% dplyr::anti_join(lift19_del,
  by = c(Chromosome = "Chr", start_position = "Start",
  end_position = "End"))

hg38clash <- read.delim("hg38clashcomp.txt", header = FALSE,

```

```

stringsAsFactors = FALSE)

# adding new location information:

clashelwakfinal <- clashelwakfinal %>% bind_cols(hg38clash)

colnames(clashelwakfinal)[18] <- "HG38build_loc"

clashelwakfinal <- clashelwakfinal %>% dplyr::mutate(Genom_build = rep("hg19"))

# Arrangement in dataset

clashelwakfinal <- clashelwakfinal %>% dplyr::select(cluster = seq_ID,
  chromosome = Chromosome, start_position, end_position,
  strand, hgnc_symbol = Hugo_Symbol, Ensembl_Gene_Id,
  Ensembl_Transcript_Id, target_seq = mRNA_seq_extended,
  miRNA = Name, miR_seq = miRNA_seq, seed_type, seed_type2 = seed_basepairs,
  seed_type3 = folding_class, Energy = folding_energy,
  HG38build_loc, Genom_build, region)

clashelwakfinal$strand <- as.character(clashelwakfinal$strand)

str(clashelwakfinal)

```

Interpreting the CLASH seed structures in dataset

```

clashelwakfinal <- clashelwakfinal %>% mutate(seed_type = ifelse(seed_type ==
  "noncanonical_seed" & seed_type2 > 4 & seed_type3 ==
  "I", paste0(seed_type2, "-mer"), seed_type), seed_type = ifelse(seed_type ==
  "noncanonical_seed" & seed_type2 > 4 & seed_type3 ==
  "II", paste0(seed_type2, "-mer_noncanonical"),
  seed_type), seed_type = ifelse(seed_type == "noncanonical_seed" &
  seed_type2 > 4 & seed_type3 == "III", paste0(seed_type2,
  "-mer_noncanonical"), seed_type), seed_type = ifelse(seed_type ==
  "noncanonical_seed" & seed_type2 > 4 & seed_type3 ==
  "IV", paste0(seed_type2, "-mer_noncanonical"),
  seed_type), seed_type = ifelse(startsWith(seed_type,
  "no"), "none", seed_type)) %>% dplyr::select(-seed_type2,
  -seed_type3)

```

6.2 Arrangement of CLEAR-CLiP Dataset (Moore et al. 2015)

CLASH dataset was retrieved from Nature article

```

clearclip <- read_xlsx("CLEAR-CLIP.xlsx")

# Clearclip hg18

```

Query of Human Genome 18

```
# HG18
listEnsemblArchives()
listMarts(host = "may2009.archive.ensembl.org")
ensembl54 = useMart(host = "may2009.archive.ensembl.org",
  biomart = "ENSEMBL_MART_ENSEMBL", dataset = "hsapiens_gene_ensembl")

hg18 <- getBM(attributes = c("ensembl_transcript_id",
  "ensembl_gene_id", "chromosome_name", "start_position",
  "end_position", "hgnc_symbol", "entrezgene", "strand"),
  mart = ensembl54)
```

Adding Genome Information to dataset

```
clearclipfinal <- hg18 %>% inner_join(clearclip, by = c(entrezgene = "gene.id",
  hgnc_symbol = "gene.symbol")) %>% distinct()
```

Converting human genome build

```
# Obtaining chromosomal locations from miRNA:target
# interaction dataset.

lift18 <- clearclipfinal %>% unite(start_end, c("start_position",
  "end_position"), sep = "-") %>% unite(location,
  c("chr", "start_end"), sep = ":") %>% dplyr::select(location)

write_tsv(lift18, "lift18.txt")

# After we searched this file in UCSC browser, the
# output loaded (deleted_lift18 deleted regions on
# the HG38 genome build; hg38clearclip new
# locations of the genes on HG38)

deleted_lift18 <- read_tsv("deleted_lift18.txt")

colnames(deleted_lift18)[1] <- "Chromosome_loc"

deleted_lift18 <- deleted_lift18 %>% dplyr::filter(startsWith(Chromosome_loc,
  "chr")) %>% separate(Chromosome_loc, c("Chr", "End"),
  "-", remove = TRUE) %>% separate(Chr, c("Chr",
  "Start"), ":", remove = TRUE)

deleted_lift18$Start <- as.numeric(deleted_lift18$Start)
deleted_lift18$End <- as.numeric(deleted_lift18$End)

# removing deleted location from CLEAR-CLiP dataset

clearclipfinal <- clearclipfinal %>% dplyr::anti_join(deleted_lift18,
```

```

by = c(chr = "Chr", start_position = "Start", end_position = "End"))

hg38clearclip <- read.delim("hg38clearclip.txt", header = FALSE,
  stringsAsFactors = FALSE)

clearclipfinal <- clearclipfinal %>% bind_cols(hg38clearclip)

colnames(clearclipfinal)[28] <- "HG38build_loc"

# adding new location information:

clearclipfinal <- clearclipfinal %>% dplyr::mutate(Genom_build = rep("hg18"))

# Arrangement in dataset

clearclipfinal <- clearclipfinal %>% dplyr::select(cluster = cluster.ID,
  chromosome = chr, start_position, end_position,
  strand = strand.y, hgnc_symbol, Ensembl_Gene_Id = ensembl_gene_id,
  Ensembl_Transcript_Id = ensembl_transcript_id,
  target_seq = target.map, miRNA, miR_seq = miR.map,
  seed_type = "seed_match", Energy = MFE, HG38build_loc,
  Genom_build, region)

```

Seed type manipulation in CLEAR-CLiP dataset

In CLEAR-CLiP dataset, seed types were shown in detail. We adjusted as canonical and non-canonical.

```

clipdata_seed <- data_frame(seed_type = c("5mer_1",
  "5mer_2", "5mer_3", "6mer", "6mer.indel", "6mer.mm",
  "6mer_off.mm", "6merA1", "6merA1.indel", "6merA1.mm",
  "7merA1", "7merA1.indel", "7merA1.mm", "7merm8",
  "7merm8.indel", "7merm8.mm", "8mer", "8mer.indel",
  "8mer.mm", "NA"), seed_type_com = c("5-mer", "5-mer_noncanonical",
  "5-mer_noncanonical", "6-mer", "6-mer_noncanonical",
  "6-mer_noncanonical", "6-merA1", "6-merA1_noncanonical",
  "6-merA1_noncanonical",
  "7-merA1", "7-merA1_noncanonical", "7-merA1_noncanonical",
  "7-mer-8m", "7-mer-8m_noncanonical", "7-mer-8m_noncanonical",
  "8-mer", "8-mer_noncanonical", "8-mer_noncanonical",
  "none"))

clearclipfinal <- clearclipfinal %>% inner_join(clipdata_seed,
  by = "seed_type") %>% dplyr::select(1:11, seed_type = seed_type_com,
  Energy, HG38build_loc, Genom_build, region)

```

6.3 Integration of two experimental dataset

```

experimentalmirnagene <- bind_rows(clashelwakfinal,
  clearclipfinal) %>% distinct()

```

Adding Coefficients of Interaction factors

Energy values in miRNA:target pairs are represented by high-throughput studies (Helwak et al. 2013; Moore et al. 2015) which are utilized in this study. On the other hand, we have specified the other interaction factors, seed type and location of binding region on the target, as numeric values based on the previous studies. (Grimson et al. 2007) have compared the seed types' effect on target repression with few miRNA had canonical seed pairing in their study. Additionally, (Bartel 2009) and (Betel et al. 2010) have studied on functional and non-functional seed interactions. Based on results of these studies we have arranged seed types of miRNA:target interactions as numeric values. We also have redefined location of binding region on the target as numeric values, based on studies of (Hausser et al. 2013) and (Helwak et al. 2013). With this process, we have handled this integrated dataset in context of competitor behaviors and functionality of interactions.

In this step we added numeric interaction values at followings

Firstly, we organized these values due to the fact that the regions were defined differently in two datasets. After that, region effect was added as numeric values (shown in Table S3).

```
experimentalmirnagene <- experimentalmirnagene %>%
  mutate(region2 = str_replace_all(region, "NA",
    ""), region3 = str_replace_all(region2, "\\|",
    ""), region = str_replace_all(region3, c('3'UTR' = "3UTR",
    '5'UTR' = "5UTR"))) %>% dplyr::select(-region2,
    -region3) %>% mutate(region_effect = as.double(ifelse(region %in%
    c("3UTRCDS", "CDS3UTR", "5UTR3UTR", "CDS5UTR3UTR",
    "CDS3UTRintron"), "0.93", ifelse(region %in%
    c("CDS", "CDSintron"), "0.42", ifelse(region %in%
    c("3UTR", "3UTRintron"), "0.84", ifelse(region %in%
    c("5UTR", "5UTRintron"), "0.01", ifelse(region %in%
    c("5UTRCDS", "CDS5UTR"), "0.42", ifelse(region %in%
    c("intron", ""), "0.01", ifelse(region %in% c("exon_unclassified",
    ""), "0.2", NA))))))))))
```

Secondly, we organized seed type interactions in *Seed type manipulation* section for CLEAR-CLiP dataset to show as found in CLASH dataset. Same type formatted values added dataset as numeric values (shown in Table S2).

```
seed_type_effect <- data_frame(seed_type = c("5-mer",
    "5-mer_noncanonical", "6-mer", "6-mer_noncanonical",
    "6-merA1", "6-merA1_noncanonical", "7-mer", "7-mer_noncanonical",
    "7-merA1", "7-merA1_noncanonical", "7-mer-8m",
    "7-mer-8m_noncanonical", "8-mer", "8-mer_noncanonical",
    "9-mer", "9-mer_noncanonical", "none"), seed_type_effect = c(0.05,
    0.04, 0.07, 0.05, 0.07, 0.05, 0.23, 0.19, 0.19,
    0.16, 0.25, 0.21, 0.43, 0.35, 0.43, 0.35, 0.01))

experimentalmirnagene <- experimentalmirnagene %>%
  inner_join(seed_type_effect, by = "seed_type")

experimentalmirnagene <- readRDS("data/experimentalmirnagene.RDS")
experimentalmirnagene
```

```
## # A tibble: 45,340 x 18
```

```
##   cluster chromosome start_position end_position strand hgnc_symbol
```

```
##      <chr>   <chr>                <int>      <int> <chr>   <chr>
## 1 0727A~ chr5          162864575    162873157 1      CCNG1
## 2 L1HS-1~ chr14       95552565     95624347 -1     DICER1
## 3 L2HS-8~ chr6       109307640    109416022 -1     SESN1
## 4 L2HS-1~ chr5        36876861     37066515 1      NIPBL
## 5 L2-407~ chr4       106603784    106817143 -1     INTS12
## 6 L1HS-7~ chr5       130977407    131132710 -1     FNIP1
## 7 L1HS-4~ chr11      134123389    134135749 1      ACAD8
## 8 0727A~ chr15        59397277     59417244 1      CCNB2
## 9 L2HS-1~ chr19      37001597     37019562 -1     ZNF260
## 10 L2HS-9~ chr11     64889252     64902004 -1     SYVN1
## # ... with 45,330 more rows, and 12 more variables: Ensembl_Gene_Id <chr>,
## #   Ensembl_Transcript_Id <chr>, target_seq <chr>, miRNA <chr>, miR_seq <chr>,
## #   seed_type <chr>, Energy <dbl>, HG38build_loc <chr>, Genom_build <chr>,
## #   region <chr>, region_effect <dbl>, seed_type_effect <dbl>
```

The context of dataset is shown in Table S5 in Supplementary Tables.

REFERENCES

- Bartel, David P. 2009. “MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions.” *Cell* 136 (2): 215–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002>.
- Betel, Doron, Anjali Koppal, Phaedra Agius, Chris Sander, and Christina Leslie. 2010. “Comprehensive Modeling of microRNA Targets Predicts Functional Non-Conserved and Non-Canonical Sites.” *Genome Biology* 11 (8): R90.
- Grimson, Andrew, Kyle Kai-How Farh, Wendy K. Johnston, Philip Garrett-Engele, Lee P. Lim, and David P. Bartel. 2007. “MicroRNA Targeting Specificity in Mammals: Determinants Beyond Seed Pairing.” *Molecular Cell* 27 (1): 91–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2007.06.017>.
- Hausser, J., A. P. Syed, B. Bilen, and M. Zavolan. 2013. “Analysis of CDS-Located miRNA Target Sites Suggests That They Can Effectively Inhibit Translation.” *Genome Research* 23 (4): 604–15. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.139758.112>.
- Helwak, Aleksandra, Grzegorz Kudla, Tatiana Dudnakova, and David Tollervey. 2013. “Mapping the Human miRNA Interactome by CLASH Reveals Frequent Noncanonical Binding.” *Cell* 153 (3): 654–65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.043>.
- Moore, Michael J., Troels K. H. Scheel, Joseph M. Luna, Christopher Y. Park, John J. Fak, Eiko Nishiuchi, Charles M. Rice, and Robert B. Darnell. 2015. “miRNA-Target Chimeras Reveal miRNA 3'-End Pairing as a Major Determinant of Argonaute Target Specificity.” *Nature Communications* 6 (November): 8864. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9864>.