

$R$ : commutative ring



$R[x]$ : commutative ring  
of polynomials with  
coefficients in  $R$ .

---

Example:

$$R = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$$

$$2x+1, \quad 2 \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[x]$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{"} \\ (1, 1, 0, \dots) \end{array}, \begin{array}{c} \text{"} \\ (2, 0, \dots, 0, -) \end{array} \right]$$

$$(2x+1) \cdot 2 = 4x+2$$

leading coefficient  
is not invertible

$$= 2 \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})[x]$$

$$\deg(2x+1) = 1$$

$$\deg(2) = 0$$

But

$$\deg((2x+1) \cdot 2) = 0.$$



$\deg(f(x)g(x)) = \deg(f(x)) + \deg(g(x))$   
is not always true.

Rmk. In a group  $G$   
 $g \cdot h = h$

$\Rightarrow g = e$  is the identity

$$g \cdot h = h \\ \Rightarrow g \cdot h \cdot h^{-1} = e$$

This is not a contradiction  
of the example because

2 does not have a multiplicative  
inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Monic polynomials

Def<sup>n</sup>  $f(x) \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$

$$\deg(f(x)) = n \geq 0$$

Then  $f(x)$  is monic if the

leading coefficient (i.e. the coefficient of  $x^n$ )



is invertible

Rmk:  $R$ : comm. ring

$x \in R$ : invertible if  $x$  has

a multiplicative inverse

In  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  the invertible elements are in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

Notation:  $R^\times$ : set of invertible elements in  $R$

Rmk: This is an abelian group under multiplication

Example:  $x+1$  is monic

$2x+1 \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not monic

$2x+1 \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is monic.

Rmk: Over a field, every non-zero polynomial is monic.



# Division algorithm

Proposition:  $f(x) \in R[x]$  monic of  $\deg \geq 1$

$g(x) \in R[x]$

Then  $\exists q(x) \in R[x], r(x) \in R[x]$

s.t. (1)  $g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x)$

(2)  $\deg r(x) < \deg f(x)$

Moreover:  $r(x)$  (the remainder) is uniquely determined

Pf: By induction on  $\deg g(x)$ .

• Base case ✓

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{If } \deg g(x) < \deg f(x) \\ & g(x) = 0 \cdot f(x) + g(x) \end{aligned}$$

• Inductive step (assume  $\deg g(x) \geq \deg f(x)$ )

$$g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_0$$

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$$

$$a_n \in R^\times$$



Look at

$$g_1(x) = g(x) - x^{m-n} \cdot a_n^{-1} h_m f(x)$$

$$\underbrace{x^{m-n} (a_n^{-1} h_m a_n x^n + \dots)}_{= h_m x^m + \dots}$$

Then:

$$\deg g_1(x) < \deg g(x)$$

$\Rightarrow$  By hypotheses

$$g_1(x) = q_1(x) f(x) + r_1(x)$$

$$\deg r_1(x) < n = \deg f(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow g(x) &= g_1(x) + x^{m-n} a_n^{-1} h_m f(x) \\ &= (q_1(x) + x^{m-n} a_n^{-1} h_m) f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Take  $+ r_1(x)$

$$g(x) = q_1(x) + x^{m-n} a_n^{-1} h_m$$

$$r(x) = r_1(x)$$



## Uniqueness of $r(x)$

$$g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x)$$

||

$$\tilde{q}(x)f(x) + \tilde{r}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{f(x)(q(x) - \tilde{q}(x))}_{\deg \geq \deg f(x)} = \underbrace{\tilde{r}(x) - r(x)}_{\deg < \deg f(x)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\tilde{r}(x) = r(x)}$$

Rem:  $f(x)$  is monic

$$\Rightarrow \deg(f(x)h(x)) = \deg f(x) + \deg h(x)$$

Example: The proposition fails  
for non-monic polynomials

$$f(x) = 2x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

$$g(x) = x$$

Division fails here.



# Ideals generated by polynomials

Defn  $f(x) \in R[x]$

Then the ideal generated by  $f(x)$   
is the subset

$$(f(x)) = \{c(x)f(x) : c(x) \in R[x]\}$$

Fact: (1). This is a subgroup  
of  $R[x]$  under addition

$$\bullet c_1(x)f(x) + c_2(x)f(x) = (c_1(x) + c_2(x))f(x)$$

$$\bullet -c(x)f(x) = (-c(x))f(x)$$

We can consider the quotient  
group  $R[x]/(f(x))$

Fact (2):  $R[x]/(f(x))$  can be  
equipped with the structure of a  
commutative ring in a unique way

s.t.  $R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]/(f(x))$



is a homomorphism of rings

Def<sup>n</sup> If  $R_1$  &  $R_2$  are two commutative rings, a homomorphism of rings or ring homomorphism is a function

$$\varphi: R_1 \longrightarrow R_2$$

s.t. (1)  $\forall x, y \in R_1, \varphi(x+y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) \in R_2$

(2)  $\forall x, y \in R_1, \varphi(x \cdot y) = \varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y) \in R_2$

(3)  $\varphi(1_{R_1}) = 1_{R_2} \in R_2$

Pr of Fact (2)

$$\pi: R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]/(f(x))$$

Multiplication is well-defined? "

$$\pi(h_1(x)) \pi(h_2(x)) = \pi(h_1(x)h_2(x))$$

||



$$(h_1(x) + \overset{||}{(f(x))}) \cdot (h_2(x) + (f(x)))$$

$$h_1(x)h_2(x) + \overset{||}{(f(x))}$$

If we change  $h_1(x)$  to  
 $h_1(x) + g(x)f(x)$

then the product becomes

$$(h_1(x)h_2(x) + \underbrace{g(x)f(x)h_2(x)}_{\in (f(x))}) + (f(x))$$

$\Rightarrow$  This is equal to  
 $h_1(x)h_2(x) + (f(x))$ ,

Define  $l \in R[x]/(f(x))$   
 $\overset{||}{( + (f(x)) )}$