

Dear Reviewer,

First of all, thank you for taking the time to review our paper and for providing feedback to improve it. I have incorporated all your suggestions into this draft, and I believe it is now ready for publishing. The only thing I did not incorporate was your optional suggestion to add another test case for the history history power table. This was left as-is in favor of expediting publishing. We have already delayed publishing results for over a year and a half in order to improve the accuracy of the simulations, and we would like no further delays in releasing our work to the community. I hope this is acceptable. The peer review process is an essential part of quality assurance, so thank you again for your time and effort - it is very much appreciated!

Best regards,

Ryan M. Bergmann & Coauthors.