

Re: "Ecosystem carbon balance in the Hawaiian Islands under different scenarios of future climate and land use change"

Article reference: ERL-111271

Dear Dr Selmants

We have now received the referee report(s) on your Letter, which is being considered by Environmental Research Letters.

The referee(s) have recommended that you make substantial changes to your article. The referee report(s) can be found below and/or attached to this message. You can also access the reports at your Author Centre, at <https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/erl-iop>

Please consider the referee comments and amend your article according to the recommendations. Please send us the following:

- point-by-point replies to the reviewer comments (this should be anonymous) and a list of changes you have made (if your changes are not highlighted in the manuscript)
- an anonymous PDF of the complete revised manuscript with tracked changes (designated 'Complete Document for Review (PDF Only)'). This should not include author information, funding information, any ethical statement or acknowledgements
- source files for the revised manuscript with no tracked changes or comments. This should not be anonymous. This should be in either Word/TeX/LaTeX format, with all author information, funding information, any ethical statement and acknowledgements included
- any supplementary files
- any permissions that you have obtained

For further information visit <https://publishingsupport.iopscience.iop.org/questions/how-to-prepare-your-revised-article/>

Please upload the final version and electronic source files to your Author Centre by 26-Jul-2021.

If we do not receive your article by this date, it may be treated as a new submission, so please let us know if you will need more time.

Please note that if the referee(s) and Editorial Board are not satisfied with the changes to your manuscript, it may still be rejected.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The preferred mechanism for sharing research data is via data repositories and as such, authors are encouraged to deposit their research data in a repository that has been widely adopted within their research community but if none is available may use a general data repository. Further information on IOP Publishing's data availability policy, including information on data repositories and data citation, is available at: <https://publishingsupport.iopscience.iop.org/iop-publishing-data-availability-policy/>

Should your Letter be accepted for publication we would also like to invite you to create a video abstract to accompany your article, in order to further increase its visibility and impact.

Video abstracts are short, filmed overviews of the main outcomes of your paper and should enable you to go beyond the constraints of your written article to allow you to personally explain the importance of your work to the journal's global audience. Papers with video abstracts often receive more downloads than those papers without.

Full guidelines, including technical specifications, submission details and examples, are available here: <https://publishingsupport.iopscience.iop.org/video-abstracts/>

We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours sincerely

Johnathan Keen

On behalf of:

Environmental Research Letters

Editor-in-Chief: Daniel M Kammen

iopscience.org/erl | erl@iopublishing.org

Impact Factor: 6.096 | Citescore: 8.9

REFeree REPORT(S):

Referee: 1

COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR(S)

The manuscript describes an impressive effort projecting ecosystem carbon balance in the Hawaiian Islands under climate change and land use change scenarios. This assessment of future regional terrestrial carbon dynamics can provide a support to local planning of climate mitigation strategies through ecosystem carbon sequestration. The manuscript is well written and organized. However, more clarification and justification are needed for methodology and assumptions about how future NPP and land cover change is modelled. And also, more details are needed such as maps illustrating how projected change in carbon flux (e.g., NPP and NBP) and carbon pools (live biomass, soil organic soil) is spatially distributed. I have provided detailed comments below.

L109, why need to assign each cell a state type? What is consideration for it?

L114, transitions between 'state type'? Is it 'land cover classes'? According to the definition of state type as unique combination of moisture zones, islands and land cover classes at L110, is there transition from dry forest and mesic forest in the same island?

L123-126, high land use scenario samples historical rate between median and maximum, but why not low land use scenario sample rates between minimum and median? What is the justification that low land use scenario will have rate lower than historical records?

L126, missing description for urbanization rate for high land use scenario.

L133, what transitions can increase forest area such as low land use scenario shown in figure S5? Does tree plantation refer to reforestation or afforestation? If afforestation, what is the source land cover class for this plantation?

L136, it will be helpful to add average rotation cycle corresponding to the 75% and 40% historical harvest rate? Like how soon planted trees will be harvested in low and high land use scenarios.

L137, will wildfire take place only in forests? If so, what is the resulting land cover class? Would it still recover back to forest after a certain time?

L140, is state type land cover classes? Since 'state type' is defined as combination of moisture zone, island, and land cover type at line 110, how can 'state type' be re-combined with moisture zone and island here?

L158, moisture zone is included in state type as defined at line L110, isn't it?

L161, what is 30-year climate normal come from? Does it have consistent temperature and rainfall distribution with RCP projections during 2010 and 2020? Or is there any bias between 30-year climate normal and RCP projections?

L162, how litter and dead wood pools were initialized?

L163, figure 2 shows total live biomass in 2010 is about 68 Tg C, but total forest aboveground biomass is estimated as 36 Tg C in Asner et al 2016 which is used for initialization here. Where is the additional 32 Tg C from? What is ratio of aboveground biomass to total live biomass in IBIS?

L163, what is calibrated GPP NPP rate? It will be helpful to list out the mean or the range.

L166-168, is similar multiplier also applied to living biomass? If not, will this single NPP multiplier break the equilibrium between NPP and biomass? For example, for a simulation cell, NPP and biomass should reach dynamic equilibrium in IBIS simulation, a given NPP could only support carbon turnover of a certain number of trees. External decrease NPP by a multiplier will in turn reduce biomass in order to match it, causing artificial reduction in biomass.

Line 173, why assume Rh as ratio of NPP? Is the ratio constant over time and across climate change scenarios? Why not to estimate Rh based on soil moisture, temperature and soil carbon stocks? Because of lack of soil temperature in RCP 4.5 and 8.5? Need more justification for this flux ratio method.

L177-179, are the growth and decay multipliers varied at annual basis? How are multipliers derived for time periods CMIP5 projections do not cover? Such as period between 2020-2049? It is very necessary to include the distribution of these multipliers, are they enhancing or weakening NPP over time at two RCPs?

L182, the empirical model used here to relate NPP to temperature and rainfall is core to projections of carbon balance. It is necessary to present this empirical relationship in the paper, such as what the optimal temperature of NPP is and how it changes with rainfall. For example, figure S4 shows projections of temperature and rain, there should be corresponding projections of NPP change.

L184, what is the temporal coverage and resolution of these climate projections? Do they only cover the period of mid-century (2049-2069) and end-of-century (2070-2099) as described in L179?

L239: is the NPP decrease during 2010-2020 evident in satellite observations? To what extent of this decrease is caused by climate conditions change rather than by forest area changes?

L242. It is misleading to state 'climate change led to increased Rh over time' as figure 3 shows decreasing trend of Rh under high land use scenario and two RCP climate change scenarios. It should be revised as something like 'switching from RCP 4.5 to 8.5 led to increased Rh'.

L244, why the increase in total soil carbon stock (figure 2) will not enhance Rh? Is it due to the assumption made by this work that Rh is only ratio of NPP and latter decrease over time? What are drivers of Rh decrease?

L244, why Rh shows very sharp decrease before 2020 under all land use scenarios with all RCPs, such decrease rate seems to be higher than the rest period. Are these decreases supported by observations?

Line 341, what is the source of 'Hawai'i-specific climate data'?

Referee: 2

COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR(S)

The manuscript entitled "Ecosystem carbon balance in the Hawaiian Islands under different scenarios of future climate and land use change" is interesting and suitable for publication but several mistakes in the manuscript should be corrected before acceptance.

Specific comments

- Authors should carefully recheck the manuscript for the typos and also write the meaning of all acronyms because there are some which are not presented.
- All the figures are clearly presented but authors must check all the figure pixels again. Some of them are not very clear.
- I suggest that authors check the bibliographic references in the main text and revise the format of documents to meet the requirement of the Journal.
- In the abstract, please add more information about the methods of the experiment.
- In the abstract, please don't write the same conclusion lines as they were written in the main conclusion section.
- Hypothesis should be given. How this work is different from the available literature?
- Objectives should be rewritten in detail and comprehensively.
- How did the authors perform the calibration and validation process?
- How did they select the sensitive parameters of the model that they used?

- Discussion, the discussion part is not related to the results part. Why you discuss these? What does it have to do with the result?