- Dojiri, M., and J. Sieg, 1997. The Tanaidacea, pp. 181–278. In: J. A. Blake and P. H. Scott, Taxonomic atlas of the benthic fauna of the Santa Maria Basin and western Santa Barbara Channel. 11. The Crustacea. Part 2 The *Isopoda, Cumacea* and *Tanaidacea*. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History. Santa Barbara. California.
- Hatch, M. H. 1947. The Chelifera and Isopoda of Washington and adjacent regions. Univ. Wash. Publ. Biol. 10: 155–274.
- Holdich, D. M., and J. A. Jones. 1983. Tanaids: keys and notes for the identification of the species. New York: Cambridge University Press.
   Howard, A. D. 1952. Molluscan shells occupied by tanaids. Nautilus 65: 74–75.
- Lang, K. 1950. The genus *Pancolus* Richardson and some remarks on *Paratanais euelpis* Barnard (Tanaidacea). Arkiv. for Zool. 1: 357–360.
- Lang, K. 1956. Neotanaidae nov. fam., with some remarks on the phylogeny of the Tanaidacea. Arkiv. for Zool. 9: 469–475.
- Lang, K. 1961. Further notes on *Pancolus californiensis* Richardson. Arkiv. for Zool. 13: 573–577.
- Larsen, K. and G. D. F. Wilson. 2002. Tanaidacean phylogeny, the first step: the superfamily Paratanaidoidea. J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 40: 205–222.
- Lee, W. L., and M. A. Miller. 1980. Isopoda and Tanaidacea: the isopods and allies. In Intertidal invertebrates of California. pp. 536–558. R. H. Morris, D. P. Abbott, and E. C. Haderlie, eds. pp. 536-558. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 690 pp.
- Menzies, R. J. 1949. A new species of Apseudid crustacean of the genus Synapseudes from northern California (Tanaidacea). Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus. 99: 509–515.
- Menzies, R. J. 1953. The Apseudid Chelifera of the eastern tropical and north temperate Pacific Ocean. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 107: 443–496.
- Miller, M. A. 1940. The isopod Crustacea of the Hawaiian Islands (Chelifera and Valvifera). Occ. Pap. Bernice P. Bishop Mus. 15, no. 26, pp. 299–321.
- Miller, M. A. 1968. Isopoda and Tanaidacea from buoys in coastal waters of the continental United States, Hawaii, and the Bahamas (Crustacea). Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus. 125: 1–53.
- Richardson, H. 1905a. Descriptions of a new genus of Isopoda belonging to the family Tanaidae and of a new species of *Tanais*, both from Monterey Bay, California. Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus. 28: 367–370.
- Richardson, H. 1905b. A monograph on the isopods of North America. Washington, D.C. Smithsonian Institution, 727 pp.
- Sieg, J. 1980. Taxonomische Monographie der Tanaidae Dana, 1849 (Crustacea: Tanaidacea). Abhandlungen Senckenbergische Naturforschende Gesellschaft 537: 1–267.
- Sieg, J. 1986. Distribution of the Tanaidacea: Synopsis of the known data and suggestions on possible distribution patterns, pp. 165–193. In: Crustacean Issues, vol. 4, Crustacean Biogeography, F. R. Schram, ed., Balkema. Rotterdam. The Netherlands.
- Sieg, J., and R. N. Winn. 1979. Keys to suborders and families of Tanaidacea (Crustacea). Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 91: 840–846.
- Sieg, J., and R. N. Winn. 1981. The Tanaidae (Crustacea; Tanaidacea) of California, with a key to the world genera. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 94: 315–343.

### **Amphipoda**

(Plate 254)

The Amphipoda have been divided into the suborders Gammaridea, Caprellidea, Cyamidea, Hyperiidea and Ingolfiellidea (Schram 1986, Crustacea. Oxford University Press, New York). However, Myers and Lowry (2003) regard the caprellids, or skeleton shrimps, and the cyamids, or whale lice, as families Caprellidae and Cyamidae. These distinctive groups are covered in separate sections in this manual, for ease of recognition and identification.

The Caprellidae (plate 254A) occur on solid surfaces and are strictly marine or estuarine. The Cyamidae are ectoparasites of cetaceans and are occasionally found on beached whales and dolphins (plate 254B). The Hyperiidea (plate 254C) are parasites and commensals of marine macrozooplankton and are exclusively pelagic. Hyperiids are occasionally discovered free swimming intertidally or in shallow-water plankton tows, or are found attached beneath or embedded in the bells of

stranded medusae or salps. The Gammaridea (scuds, land-hoppers, and beachhoppers) (plate 254E) are the most abundant and familiar amphipods. They occur in pelagic and benthic habitats of fresh, brackish, and marine waters, the supralittoral fringe of the seashore, and in a few damp terrestrial habitats and are difficult to overlook. The wormlike, 2-mm-long interstitial Ingofiellidea (plate 254D) has not been reported from the eastern Pacific, but they may slip through standard sieves and their interstitial habitats are poorly sampled.

#### Key to Amphipoda

- Body segments loosely separated, legs powerful with sharp hooks, parasites of cetaceans (plate 254B)..... Cyamidae
- Urosome with three segments; palps of maxillipeds present
   4
- Pleopods well developed, with few exceptions; dactyls of gnathopods formed by article 7 alone (plate 254E)......
   Gammaridea

#### Gammaridea

JOHN W. CHAPMAN

(Plates 255-304)

The ubiquitous and abundant gammaridean amphipods are critically important in marine and estuarine shallow-water ecosystems of the northeast Pacific and warrant reliable, workable guides to the species. The numerical abundances and species and life-history diversities of the Gammaridea exceed all other eucaridan or peracaridan orders. Gammaridean amphipods are one of the most common aquatic taxa. The taxonomy and systematics of marine eastern Pacific species have greatly advanced since 1975, but many undescribed species occur in the region and little more than the names of most described species are known. The lack of research is disproportionate to these species' importance in ecosystems that are of great interest to humans.

Gammaridean amphipods are critical food sources of whales, fish, and birds, (Moore et al. 2003, McCurdy et al. 2005, Schneider and Harrington 1981) and are highly sensitive to environmental alterations (Conlan 1994, Zajac et al. 2003). All amphipods care for their offspring for extended periods (Jones 1971, Shillaker and Moore 1987). Some change sex (Lowry and Stoddart 1986); others attract, hold, and defend mates (Borowsky1983, 1984, 1985; Conlan 1989, 1995a) and




PLATE 254 Amphipoda. A, Caprellidae—Caprella mutica; B, Cyamidae—Cyamus scammoni; C, Hyperiidea—Hyperoche medusarum (Müller, 1776) in situ; D, Ingolfiellidea—Ingolfiella fuscina Dojiri and Seig, 1987; E, Gammaridea—generalized body; F, G, generalized upper lip; H, generalized mandible; I, generalized head; J, generalized lower lip; K, generalized maxilla 1; L, generalized maxilla 2; M, Polycheria mandible; N, generalized maxilliped; O, uropod 1, Paragrubia uncinata; P, telson, Eohaustorius; Q, telson, Batea lobata; R, telson, Parallorchestes leblondi; S, telson, Stenothoe estacola; T, telson, Paracorophium sp.; U, gnathopod 1, Aoroides secundus; V, gnathopod 2, Ericthonius brasiliensis; W, gnathopod 1, Americhelidium shoemakeri; X, gnathopod 2, Americhelidium rectipalmum; Y, gnathopod 1, Stenothoe valida (figures modified from: Barnard 1953,1962c, 1965, 1975; Barnard and Karaman 1991a, 1991b; Bousfield 1973; Bousfield and Chevrier 1996; Bousfield and Hendrycks 2002; Bousfield and Kendall 1994; Doiji and Sieg 1987, Flores and Brusca 1975; Gurjanova 1938; Margolis et al. 2000; Todd Miller, personal communication; and Platovoet et al. 1995).

territories (Connell 1963). Some use chemicals for defense (Hay et al. 1987, Hay et al. 1990) or are repelled by defensive chemicals (Hay et al. 1988, 1990; Cronin and Hay 1996a, 1996b). Some species undergo risky long-distance migrations (Chess 1979, Mills 1967, Watkin 1941), and others exploit, imitate, parasitize, eat (Crane 1969, Cartwright and Behrens 1980, Goddard, Skogsberg, and Vansell 1928), displace, or attack other invertebrates and fish (Bousfield 1987, Wilhelm and Schindler 1999); burrow in wood (Barnard 1955c) or macroalgae (Conlan and Chess 1992); or alter sediment dynamics in estuaries (Olafsson and Persson 1986). Lysianassid amphipods are adapted for engorgement and are among the most important carrion feeders in the sea (Dahl 1979, Thurston 1990). Some amphipods die of unknown diseases (Pelletier and Chapman 1996), which they may introduce with them by humans to new areas (Slothouber Galbreath et al. 2004).

Genus-level variation within most families occurs in geographical patterns that correspond with the Cretaceous continental divisions. However, these evolutionary patterns have been and continue to be obscured by human introductions of shallow-water amphipods among continents. One in 10 of the eastern Pacific shallow-water species treated herein are likely introductions.

Morphologies for stridulation (to make harsh sounds) occur among Isaeidae, Melitidae, and perhaps Phoxocephalidae and are likely adaptations for attracting mates. Their sounds have not been recorded and their adaptive values have not been resolved. Most amphipod species are beautiful (flamboyant) in color and form, but color pictures of live amphipods are seldom published and only a few are posted on the internet.

All coastal marine and estuarine gammaridean amphipod families, genera, and species from the Columbia River to Point Conception reported from <10 m depths are included in the keys or listed. A few species known only from depths >10 m or slightly north or south of the region are listed and indicated by asterisks. These latter species are recognized from limited taxonomical or geographical information and thus, although not clearly within the geographical region, cannot be reliably discounted. Few of these latter species are included in the keys.

The first edition of Light's Manual (Light 1941) covered 47 gammaridean species; the second edition Barnard (1954d) covered approximately 61, and the third edition (Barnard 1975) included 141 species. This section includes 351 species. The exponential increase in species (including nearly half of the species discovered or resolved since the 1970s) is due largely to the contributions of Bousfield, Conlan, Hendrycks, and coworkers at the Canadian Museum of Nature. The many species and additional character variations and types of taxonomic characters that Bousfield and his colleagues discovered reveal the paramount importance of distinguishing interspecific and intraspecific variation. The taxonomic outlines for this section rely extensively on their contributions. General treatments of gammaridean amphipod taxonomy and systematics also include Barnard and Barnard (1983a, b), Barnard and Karaman (1991a, b), Bellan-Santini (1999), Bousfield (2001), Myers and Lowry (2003), Serejo (2004) and Staude (1997).

Additional guides to amphipod taxonomic literature of the eastern Pacific include bibliographies (SCAMIT 2001) and Internet postings, such as the "Amphipod Newsletter."

Although intended to be comprehensive within the region, these keys are not reliable outside of their specified geographic,

bathymetric, or ecological boundaries. Barnard's (1975) emphasis on durable and external morphology is followed with "natural" dichotomies sacrificed when artificial distinctions are more apparent, where family, genus, or species relationships remain poorly resolved, where difficult dissections or magnifications of greater than 40x can be avoided, or where characters are fragile or difficult to observe or to define. Occasional notes in the species lists are to assist with identifications, indicate pitfalls, or provoke interest.

The Gammaridea (plate 254E, center) (legged order) have a clearly defined **CEPHALON** (**HEAD**), a thorax or **PEREON** of seven freely articulated segments, (**PEREONITES** =  $PE_{1-7}$ ), a six-segmented **ABDOMEN** (**PLEON**) of three (**PLEONITES** =  $PL_{1-3}$ ) (**PLEOSOME**) and three (**UROSOMITES** =  $UR_{1-3}$ ) (**UROSOME**) and a **TELSON** (=T). The **TELSON** is a flap over the anus attached to pleonite 6 (urosomite 3). Most gammarideans are laterally flattened. Each pleonite has a pair of **PLEOPODS** (swimmerets). The pleopods are complex and seldom illustrated. The lower lateral edges of the pleonites that extend below the body are **EPIMERA** (=**EP**<sub>1-3</sub>). The urosomites of the **UROSOME** each bear rigid, lateral, posterior projecting **UROPODS** (= $U_{1-3}$ ). The uropods usually consist of a basal **PEDUNCLE** and one or two distal **RAMI**.

The appendages of the head (plate 254E, 254I center left) are, in order from anterior to posterior: **ANTENNA 1, ANTENNA 2** (=**AT**<sub>1-2</sub>) (plate 254E, center left), **UPPER LIP** (**UL**) (plate 254F, 254G, bottom left), **MANDIBLE** (**MD**) (plate 254H, 254M), **LOWER LIP** (**LL**) (plate 254J), **MAXILLA 1** (**MX**<sub>1</sub>) (plate 254K), **MAXILLA 2** (**MX**<sub>2</sub>) (plate 254L), and the **MAXILLIPED** (**MXP**) (plate 254N).

**ANTENNA 1** (plate 254E, center left) is composed of a threearticle peduncle and a **FLAGELLUM** of variable article numbers. An **ACCESSORY FLAGELLUM** extends from the distal medial surface of the third peduncle article and is prominent on many species and families but is also minute (requiring high magnification to observe) or absent in other species and families.

**ANTENNA 2** (plate 254E, center left) consists of five peduncular articles and a flagellum of variable article numbers. The morphologies of the peduncle articles and the flagellum and the distributions and morphologies of their spines and sensory organs (calceoli) are important taxonomic characters (Steele and Steele 1993, Bousfield 2001). Count peduncle articles from the fifth backward because articles 1–3 are usually more difficult to distinguish than the difference between peduncle and flagellum.

The **EPISTOME (EPS)** (plate 254F, bottom left) is a cephalic sclerite attached to of the anterior upper lip and usually fused to the upper lip when it is large. The epistome is taxonomically important mainly in Phoxocephalidae and Lysianassidae. However, the epistome varies greatly in other families and perhaps the most spectacular is the noselike extension of *Proboscinotus loquax* (plate 256B) for which this species is named.

The **UPPER LIP** (plate 254F, 254G, bottom left) is variable among species and families but seldom used for taxonomic purposes unless it has a well-developed epistome. Najnidae and Pleustidae have asymmetrical upper lips.

The **MANDIBLE** (plate 254H, 254M) has a **MOLAR** (plate 254M), which can be large and triturative, reduced, or vestigial and without a grinding surface. The molar often bears a large pinnate seta (illustrated in plate 254M). The apex of the mandible is the **INCISOR** (plate 254M), which usually projects as a series of teeth. Above the incisor is the **LACINIA MOBILIS** (plate 254M), a spinelike movable appendage of the medial mandibular edge

that consists of variable numbers of individual or fused articulated spines (see E. Dahl and R. T. Hessler, 1982, Zool. J. Linnean Soc. 74: 133–146 on origin, function and phylogeny). The lacinia mobilis (plate 254M) varies laterally in Phoxocephalidae and Pleustidae and is used for species distinctions among pleustids (Bousfield and Hendrycks 1994a, 1994b; Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004). A row of accessory spines usually occur above the lacinia mobilis (plate 254M). The mandible also usually bears a triarticulate PALP (plate 254H, 254I). The mandibular palp is sometimes only one or two articles and is lacking in Dogielinotidae, Eophliantidae, Hyalidae, Hyalellidae, Najnidae, Phliantidae and Talitridae and variably present in Dexaminidae and Synopiidae.

The **LOWER LIP** (plate 254J) lies behind the mandibles and in front of the first pair of maxillae. The lower lip can be difficult to remove without damage. (see instructions for dissection below) but is a particularly important character for distinguishing ampithoid and pleustid species and genera and for distinguishing eusirids from pleustids.

The **MAXILLA 1** (plate 254K) have an inner and an outer plate and a palp of two or more articles. The inner plate is not closely contiguous with the outer plate and can be overlooked or lost during dissection when it remains partially attached to the lower lip. The outer plate bears heavy distal spines. The palp is occasionally reduced to one article or is absent.

The  ${\tt MAXILLA~2}$  (plate 254L) are two simple, setose plates and lack a palp.

The **MAXILLIPED** (plate 254N) usually covers the other mouth parts from below and is therefore usually removed first in mouth part dissections. The maxillipeds are fused at the base and appear as a single branched appendage. Each branch has an inner and an outer plate and a palp that is usually composed of four articles. The palp is occasionally reduced to three articles (absent in hyperiids), and the plates are often severely reduced in size. The distal palp article is usually pointed and referred to as a dactyl.

The amphipod ROSTRUM (plate 254I) is variable and can extend over or between the first antennae, or it can be greatly reduced or absent. Some amphipods are blind. Most have lateral eyes of one to hundreds of ommatidia. The ocular lobe (plate 254I) of many Gammaroidea, including Hadzioidea, Eusiroidea, and Corophioidea, extends over the second antenna and usually forms a ventral antennal sinus notch. Oedicerotidae and Synopiidae ommatidia merge dorsally into a single eye. Argissidae eyes each consist of four lenticular facets and Ampeliscidae eyes consist of two corneal lenses.

Most uropods ( $=\mathbf{u}_{1-3}$ ) (plate 254E, right; 254O) are composed of a **PEDUNCLE** and one or two **RAMI** (plate 254O). The inner and outer rami are labelled in illustrations as  $\mathbf{R}_1$  and  $\mathbf{R}_0$  respectively, or simply " $_1$ " and " $_0$ ." The rami vary from bare or spinose stubs, to short ornamented appendages with fine teeth and denticles, to tubular, to long and spinose, lanceolate (spear-shaped), and to foliose (leaf-shaped). Many species have a large peduncular tooth extending between the rami (plate 254O) of the first or second uropods or other large spines that are important taxonomic characters. Most rami are of a single article, but on uropod 3, outer rami of two articles are characteristic of Lysianassidae, Liljeborgiidae, Gammaridae, Hadzioidea, Melitidae, and Stenothoidae.

General **Telson** (=**T**) morphologies (plate 254P–254T, bottom center) are, respectively: **Indistinct** (plate 254P), **Laminar CLEFT** (plate 254Q), **FLESHY CLEFT** (plate 254R), **Laminar uncleft** (plate 254S), and **FLESHY UNCLEFT** (plate 254T). Fleshy

and laminar telsons range greatly in form between **CLEFT** (split into two lobes) and **UNCLEFT** (fused into a single piece). **LAMINAR** telsons are dorsoventrally thin (flattened). Some laminar telsons (Pleustidae) have a ventral keel that creates a thickened appearance from a lateral view even though the edges and apex are laminar. Fleshy telsons are at least one-third as thick as they are wide or long. The tonguelike telsons of some Stenothoidae (plate 254S) seem to fall in between, but they are mostly longer and wider than thick. Haustoriidae telsons (plate 254P) are referred to as indistinct because the widely separated lobes are not clearly fleshy or laminar.

"Amphipod" means "double feet." The amphipod thorax (PEREON) (plate 254E, center) bears seven pairs of walking legs (**PEREOPODS** =  $P_{1-7}$ ). Naming systems for amphipod legs (pereopods) vary. The first two pereopods are adapted primarily for feeding, defense, cleaning, and reproductive activities. Evolution of gnathopod morphology for feeding, mating, and defense is unlikely to have occurred in response to the same selection processes as pereopods 3-7, which are used for attachment, mobility, and nest or tube construction. The reference to pereopods 1 and 2 as **GNATHOPODS** (= $\mathbf{G}_{1-2}$ ) and continuing the sequence with **PEREOPODS** 3-7 (= $P_{3-7}$ ) is used here (plate 254E, center; plate 254U-254Y). However, reference to all walking legs as pereopods 1-7 is also correct. Beware of amphipod descriptions previous to the 1980s that commonly refer to gnathopods 1 and 2 and then to pereopods 2-7 as pereopods 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Each pereopod has seven **ARTICLES** (=**A**<sub>1-7</sub>) (plate 254E, center) ("joint" is an inappropriate term). These seven articles are, respectively, the **COXA**, **BASIS**, **ISCHIUM**, **MERUS**, **CARPUS**, **PROPODUS**, and **DACTYL** (plate 254E, center). The **COXAE** (=**CX**<sub>1-7</sub>) (plate 254E, center) is pereopod article 1 and often expands from its attachment point on the body downward to cover remaining parts of the pereopod. The coxae are normally the most conspicuous articles of the pereopods. Reference to the coxae and dactyls and then to article numbers 2–6, rather than **MERUS-PROPODUS**, is common usage, but exceptions are numerous in this key and elsewhere when descriptions require fine details or distinctions.

Details of the prehensile gnathopod morphology are critical in amphipod taxonomy. MEROCHELATE (plate 254U, lower right) refers to the condition in which articles 5 (carpus), 6 (propodus), and 7 (dactyl), respectively, fold around the merus (article 4) to become prehensile. CARPOCHELATE (plate 254V) refers to the condition in which articles 6 (propodus) and 7 (dactyl) fold around the carpus (article 5) to become prehensile. The posterior edge of gnathopod article 6 that is overlapped by the dactyl is commonly referred to as a HAND or PALM. CHELATE (plate 254W) refers to the dactyl (article 7) closing onto an extended finger of the palm at >90°. TRANSVERSE (plate 254X) applies to pereopods and gnathopods on which the dactyl closes against a palm at a 90° angle. SUBCHELATE (plate 254Y) is the condition where the dactyl closes against the palm at less than a 90° angle. SIMPLE refers to the condition in which the dactyl does not fold onto article 6 and is thus not prehensile. Thus, most pereopods ( $\mathbf{P}_{3-7}$ ) (plate 254E, center) are simple.

Sexual differences are distinct in many species, with males bearing enlarged, heavily prehensile gnathopods; extra large, long, and densely setose antennae; large and densely setose uropod 3; powerful pleopods; and, occasionally, large eyes. However, many species have few external sexual differences. Mature males have a minute pair of **PENIAL PROCESSES** (penes) that hang ventrally from the pereonite 7 between the coxae. Penes often bear tiny spines that are difficult to see and, after

the seventh pereopods are removed, can be confused with broken ends of tendons. The penes can also be confused with gills (plate 255MM), which are attached to the coxae and vary greatly in shape and size among amphipod families. Among appendages of the pereopods, if they break off easily, they are probably gills.

Breeding females bear up to six laminate brood plates (oöstegites) (plate 255MM, 255NN) in the space between the bases of coxae 2 and 5. The oöstegites form a pericardium (marsupium or brood chamber) that encases the eggs and for which peracaridans are named. The oöstegites are attached to the coxae medially and can be confused with the gills but are clearly distinguished by their long, interleaving, pinnate setae on mature females.

#### **COLLECTION AND DISSECTION**

The great diversity and superficially similar morphologies of gammaridean amphipods could make their taxonomy appear difficult, but they don't. Sharp forceps, sometimes probes tipped with insect pins or fine sewing needles, a stereomicroscope with magnifications ranging between 6x and 40x, patience, and interest to learn the simple anatomy, follow instructions, and learn from mistakes are all that is needed to identify amphipods.

Dissections of mouth parts under high magnification can appear daunting, but preparation is more important than skill. The top half of 50-mm petri dishes are good containers for dissections. Glass is preferable. Detach the base of transmitting stereoscopes that do not have hand rests or otherwise provide a platform level with the specimens that will allow palms to rest on a surface and stabilize both hands for the fine manipulations that are needed. Replace or cover clear glass microscope stages with black or dark blue covers to prevent transmitted light and maximize reflected light. Adjust the light source to maximize unobstructed illumination of the specimen without reflection from overlying liquid surface.

A compound microscope with 100x–1,000X magnifications (and transmitted light) is necessary to observe tiny appendages or fine anatomical characters. Prepare mounts of these characters under the stereoscope using the equipment above. Tiny parts are easy to lose. A slide placed off center on the petri dish with a centered drop of glycerin will allow continuous observation of the transfer of dissected parts directly from the dissecting dish into the glycerin drop.

Begin with numerous large, mature, unbroken specimens of a single species bearing both pairs of antennae, all three pairs of uropods, a telson, both pairs of gnathopods, and at least the first three articles of all other legs. Previously identified species, if available, limit the need for guessing at the conditions of difficult-to-find or -observe characters. Readily identifiable gammaridean amphipods that are easy to find include beach hoppers (Talitridae) of open coastal beaches; the large green Ampithoidae of docks and floats in bays and estuaries, and Corophiidae and Aoridae of estuary mudflats and fouling communities are usually large enough for observations of basic morphology and anatomy under low magnification.

Amphipods occur nearly everywhere that permanent water occurs, and they are easy to find by washing aquatic sediments or plants on a 0.5 mm or 1.0 mm mesh sieve or in a section of plastic window screening or by sweeping with a dip net. Spread the washed material in a shallow pan and sort out the animals using light forceps or plastic eyedropper (pipette).

Freezing kills painlessly and does not ruin amphipods for later use if they are preserved in 70% alcohol within a few hours. Suitable preservation for morphological analyses includes fixing the animals in 10% formalin for a few days before permanent storage in 70% alcohol. Preserve the specimens directly in alcohol if they are to be used for molecular genetics analyses.

For dissection, immerse a specimen in 70% alcohol that is sufficiently deep for manipulations using forceps without distorting the liquid surface directly over the specimen. The best light is usually by reflection from the sides of the specimen rather than transmitted from beneath. Two lamps from different angles are better than one. Cool fiber optics lamps are better than direct, hot light from tungsten lamps.

Examine coxa 1 to determine whether it is significantly smaller than or hidden by coxa 2, or nearly as large as coxa 2 and freely visible. Tilt and rotate the amphipod and adjust the light(s) to provide maximum lateral illumination and contrast of plate and segment edges for these observations. Count the coxae to ensure that all seven are being observed.

Manipulate the telson to determine its fleshy or laminar condition. A laminar telson is freely articulate at its base. Remove the urosome and mount it dorsal side up on a depression slide filled with glycerin overlain with a coverslip. Note whether the urosome consists of three separate segments or has one or two fused segments. The rami of uropod 3 are often lost during preservation. Check the mounted urosome and count the rami of the uropods (usually three pairs). Damaged uropods are especially common among Iphimediidae, Megaluropidae, Oedicerotidae, Pleustidae, Eusiroidea, and a few genera of the Podoceridae. Some Gammaridae and Hyalidae have extremely short or inconspicuous inner rami. Remove uropod 3 if necessary and mount it on slide for observation under 100x magnification or more. A sclerotic socket usually remains to mark the presence of a ramus that has been lost.

**SPINES** and **SETAE** are the ends of a range of homologous structures. Setae are highly flexible and can be bent in the middle without breaking. Spines are thickened setae that are less flexible and can break when bent. Subtle differences in the placement and arrangement of spines and setae are becoming critical characteristics for distinguishing species in many families. Whether the distal spines of uropod 1 are apicomedial (between the rami) or apicolateral (lateral to the rami), for example, are critical taxonomic characters for distinguishing genera of Phoxocephalidae.

Examine antenna 1 for the presence of an accessory flagellum on the distal medial corner of peduncle article 3 and its condition, if it is present. If an accessory flagellum is not obvious, tiny accessory flagella are readily observed by mounting antenna 1 in glycerin on a slide with a bit of clay or a few grains of sand under a thin coverslip. The sand or clay on the slide allow movement of the coverslip, which can be used to roll appendages to suitable angles for observation. The glycerin slide mounts also work for other small appendages requiring high magnification observations.

Make a slide for each appendage. Hold the body with a dissecting pin or forceps and remove pereopod 5, including the coxa, by grasping deeply into the basal musculature with forceps. Place the pereopod on a drop of glycerin with the outside up. Add the coverslip. Repeat this process for pereopods 4 and 3 and for gnathopods 2 and 1 in order. Remove and mount the urosome. Label the slides as they are produced using a grease pencil or tape labels.

Study the slide of the urosome (telson and urosomites 1–3) and note whether the urosomites are fused, the proportionate lengths of the urosomites, the numbers of uropods, the number of rami on the uropods, the relative lengths of the uropods, and the lengths of the rami relative to the peduncles of the uropods.

Examine the general condition of gnathopods 1 and 2. Proceed in the key as far as is possible with these observations. Make additional slides as needed, or fully dissect the amphipod into its component parts. (About 20 slides are required to mount each major character of a specimen.) Observations on the head and EPIMERA (the ventral, lateral, posterior sides of the pleonites) can be difficult using a stereomicroscope. Remove the pleopods for a clearer view of the epimera. Closely observe the head, noting the general outline, the shape of the ocular lobe and any anterior or ventral incisions before removing the antennae or any mouth parts.

Test the amphipod for shrinkage in glycerin before mounting parts in critical dissections. Use a slow-drip method for an hour to replace the alcohol preservative with glycerin if the amphipod develops significant "frost," or air bubbles. Hyalidae are especially sensitive to glycerin.

Spear the head with a needle or clutch it dorsally with forceps in a dish of alcohol to remove mouth parts. Right-handers should hold the left side down with the left forceps or needle and point the mouth parts toward 12 o'clock. Mouth parts are easy to dissect from back to front. Grab the maxilliped across its base with the right forceps to pull it off. Mount it in a drop of glycerin with the curved posterior side upward and without separating the lobes or palps. Follow this procedure to remove and mount maxilla 1 and 2.

The mandibles are heavily scleroticized, solidly attached, or somewhat twisted, can be difficult to remove. If possible, note the presence or absence of the mandibular palps before dissecting the mandibles because they are easily lost during dissection. Use extreme care not to grab the mandible near the molar, incisor, or palp because these characters are readily shattered or broken away. Rotate the mandible outward with slight pressure of the forceps to identify the medial molar before grasping heavily. Remove the mandible by grabbing deeply into and tearing out the fleshy and flexible tissue immediately behind it and place it in a puddle of glycerin on a slide. This mass will often include the right and left maxilla 1 and lower lip attached together. Tease away the lower lip, leaving the inner plates of the maxillae attached to their outer plates. Separate and mount the maxillae 1 in glycerin under a coverslip. Mount each mandible in glycerin with sand grains under a coverslip. The sand will allow the mandibles to be rolled over and properly oriented for observation. Label the left and right mandibles.

A clear view of the epistome is possible from the lateral front of the head. Pull the first and second antennae forward and up. The epistome reaches forward beyond the mouth part bundle and can be extended into a significant tooth, spike, or cusp. Care is needed not to confuse the **EPISTOMAL SPIKE** with the MANDIBULAR PALPS; the latter are flexible and setose whereas the epistomal spike is solid, smooth, and fixed. An epistomal spike may also be confused with the lateral **EXCRETORY SPOUTS** or **ENSIFORM PROCESSES** projecting from the ventral side of the second antennae peduncle article 2 of phoxocephalids.

### AIDS TO IDENTIFICATION

Characters referred to in the keys are usually illustrated in the introduction, family key, or keys to species. Families are clustered in the illustrated keys into similar groups where whole body pictures of each genus are attempted. "Flipping" can be a good way to quickly search among taxa, and the plates are ordered, in part, for this purpose. Use keys forward and backward from known species to test or "verify" identifications (and the key). Read the ecology, natural history, and identification notes in the species lists for more hints on their identities. Most anatomical characters referred to in the keys are clustered in the illustrations of plate 254 to allow quick access to explanations of morphologies referred to in the keys. Mark or copy plate 254 for continuous reference to anatomical notes.

First identifications should begin with large mature specimens in good condition, free of debris or damaged appendages. Return to a mature specimen of the opposite sex or the sex appropriate for the particular key to check critical characters. Test identifications further by reference to any additional relevant literature. Keys interpret nature from incomplete knowledge. Even the best keys can be wrong, incomplete, or unclear. Many northeast Pacific amphipod species remain to be identified or fully described. New species continue to be introduced. Identifications of species using a single key can provide only a first level of confidence.

Specific identifications are increasingly reliable as they are based on increasing numbers of characters and include more biogeographic, ecological, and natural history information. Comparisons with original taxonomic descriptions or with type specimens provide increasingly confident identifications. Character distinctions should also account for variations due to size, reproductive development, and age.

Specific differences are nearly all based on adult morphologies and often on only one sex. The opposite sex often is too poorly described for specific distinctions. Groups emphasizing males usually provide a lower proportion of specimens suitable for identifications. Species are keyed out twice in some cases when sexual characters are critical in the taxonomy and where sexual dimorphism is known.

### TABULAR KEY TO FAMILIES

In addition to flaws in the keys, damaged specimens (from gut contents in particular) often lack critical anatomical features, preventing progress directly through dichotomous keys. The gammaridean families and suborders are therefore distinguished additionally in a tabular key and followed by notes on distinctive external and readily observed internal characters. The auxiliary information on the shapes of heads, gnathopods, telsons, and third uropods (and quick-to-observe internal characters) are useful for identifying families "at a glance," or to test questionable endpoints. Gammaridean families and suborders are arranged in Table 5 by telson shape and condition, then by the number and shapes of the rami of uropod 3, and then by external similarity. Use the tabular key and notes to check the dichotomous keys (and vice versa) and broaden searches for family placements of specimens missing critical morphological characters. The following notes, in order of family or suborder, include salient characters that would not readily fit in the tabular key.

# TAXONOMIC NOTES BY FAMILY AND SUPERFAMILY

AMPELISCIDAE tiny eyes when present, two separated dorsal frontal lenses when present, massive head, pleated gills, build pocket-shaped silt tubes.

TABLE 5 Tabular Key for Gammaridea

								_	Gnathopods			Pereopods			
		T,	Telson	U3	Rami		Oöst.	Туре	ы	Largest	P6 &	P6 & P7	Acc.	Mandible	ble
Family/Suborder	Plates	Shape	Cleft	No.	Shape	Urosomites	setae	G1	G2	size	Smlrty	Largest	flag.	Molar	Palp
Talitridae	303-304	Flesh	UnCl	П	Stubby	CmprUr2&3	Curl	Smpl-Tnsv	SPC	G2	S	P7	0	+	I
Phliantidae	258	Flesh	UnCl	0	. 1	CmprUr2&3	Curl	Smpl	Smpl	II	D	P7	0	ı	ı
Eophliantidae	258	Fused	1	0	I	FsdUr2&3	Curl?	Smpl	Smpl	II	S	II	0	ı	I
Hyalidae	273	Flesh	Cleft	_	Stubby	CmprUr2&3	Curl	Tnsv-Chlt	SbC	G2	S~	P7	0	I	I
Hyalellidae	272	Flesh	UnCl	1-2	Stubby	CmprUr2&3	Curl	Tnsv-Chlt	SPC	G2	S~	P7	0	I	I
Najnidae	271	Flesh	UnCl	П	Stubby	CmprUr2&3	Curl	SbC-Tnsv	SbC-Tnsv	II	S	P7	0	ı	I
Dogielinotidae	271	Flesh	UnCl	П	Stubby	CmprUr2&3	Curl	SpC	SpC	G2	D	P7	0	Ι	I
Podoceridae	259	Flesh	UnCl	0	I	LongUr1	Stra	Smp1-SbC	SpC	G2	S	=,P6	$0 - \sim 1$	+	+
Cheluridae	260	Flesh	UnCl	2	Leaf	Sep	Stra	Tnsv	Tnsv-Chlt	G2	S	$\sim$ P7	0	+	+
Corophiidae	269–270	Flesh	UnCl	1–2	Stubby	Sep, Fsd	Stra	SpC	Mero	G2	D	P7	0	+	+
Aoridae	262	Flesh	UnCl	1–2	Stubby	Sep	Stra	SbC-Mero	SPC	G1	S	P7	П	+	+
Isaeidae	263-264	Flesh	UnCl	1-2	Stubby	Sep, FUr2&3	Stra	Smp1-SbC	SbC-Tnsv	G2	=-D	P7	1-3+	+	+
Ischyroceridae	267-268	Flesh	UnCl	1-2	ShMd	Sep	Stra	SpC	SbC-Carp	G2	S	P7	0	+	+
Ampithoidae	265-266	Flesh	UnCl	2	ShMd	Sep	Stra	Tnsv-Chlt	SpC	G2	S	P7	0-3+	+	+
Lysianassoidea	286-287	Lmnr	UnCl-Cleft	0-2	NrSpn-Lnc	Sep	Stra	Smpl-Chlt	Chlt	G1	=-D	9d-=	0-3+	+	+
Stenothoidae	275	Lmnr	UnCl	П	Tblr	Sep	Stra	Smp1-SbC	SpC	G2	=-D	9d	0	+	+
Leucothoidae	282	Lmnr	UnCl	2	NrSpn	Sep	Stra	Carp	SpC	G2	S	P7	0	5	+
Amphilochidae	274	Lmnr	UnCl	2	NrSpn	Sep	Stra	Smpl-Tnsv	Smpl-Tnsv	G2	S	II	0	+	+
Stegocephaloidea	283	Lmnr	UnCl	2	NrSpn	Sep	Stra	Chlt	Smpl, Tnsv	G2	S	II	0	+	+
Dexaminidae	279	Lmnr	Cleft	2	NrSpn	FsdUr2&3	Stra	SpC	Tnsv	II	=-D	9d	0	+	+, -
Ampeliscidae	276–278	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	FsdUr2&3	Stra	Smpl	Smpl	II	О	9d	0	+	+
Argissidae	284	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	Smpl	Smpl	II	S~	P7	$2 \sim 2$	+	+
Megaluropidae	285	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Leaf	Sep	Stra	Smpl	Smpl	G2	О	P7	$2 \sim 2$	+	+
Oedicerotidae	280	Lmnr	UnCl	2	NrSpn	Sep	Stra	SbC-Tnsv	SpC-Chlt	G1	D	P7	0	+	+
Synopiidae	281	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	Smpl	Smpl	II	S~	$^{\sim} \mathrm{be}$	3+	+	+
Phoxocephalidae	289–292	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	SbC- ~Chlt	SbC-~Chlt	G2	D	9d	3+	+	+
Urothoidae	288	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	SPC	SPC	II	S	94	3+	+	+
Haustoriidae	261	Sep	Sep	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	Smpl	Chlt	G2	D	9d	3+	+	+
Pontoporeidae	293	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	SpC	Tnsv	G1	D	9d	3+	+	+
Liljeborgiidae	298	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	SpC	SpC	G2	S	P7	2-3+	5	+
Pleustidae	294–295	Lmnr	UnCl	2	NrSpn	Sep	Stra	SpC	SPC	G2	S	П	0–1	+	+
Eusiriodea	296–297	Lmnr	UnCl-Cleft	2	NrSpn	Sep	Stra	Red, SbC	SPC	=-G2	S	$\sim$ $\rm P7$	0-1	+	+
Hadzioidea	300–301	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	SPC	SpC-Chlt	G2	S~	II	3+	+	+
Gammaroidea	299	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	SPC	SPC	  }	S	II	3+	+	+
Crangonyctidae	302	Lmnr	Cleft	2	Lnc	Sep	Stra	SpC	SpC	G2	S	9d	3+	+	+

NOTE: Family-Superfamily Key including; Plate number(8); Telson "Shape" (Lmnr, Flesh, Fused and Sep respectively: laminar, fleshy, fused to urosome, and separate) and "Cleft" (Cleft and Uncl respectively: cleft and uncleft); Uropod 3 "(U3)" rami number (0, 1, and 2) and "Rami Shape" (Stubby, ShMd, NrSpn, Lnc and Leaf, respectively: stubby, short and modified [Dearing denticles, teeth or hooks], narrow with dorsal spine rows, lance shaped with lateral spines, and leaf shaped); Urosomite (CmprU2&3, LongU1, FsdUr2&3, Sep, Fsd indicate respectively: compressed or shortened urosomites, and leaf shaped); Urosomite (Curled and Stra respectively: curled and straight); "Ganthopoda" "G12", "Type" (SpD, Tnsv, Chit, Red), G1 and G2 are respectively: simple, suchelate, transverse, reclast, educed and Stra respectively: cand and straight); "Ganthopoda" (SpD, SpD, Tnsv, Chit, Red), G1 and G2 are respectively: possible transverse, and "Largest" size (G1 of G2 and =); "pereopoda" of and 7 "P6 & P77" similarity "SmIrty" (S, D, ~S respectively: closely similar, different, nearly similar, dargest in mark and "Largest" pereopoda" of or 7 (respectively: P6, P7, =); "Acc. flag." "And "Largest" pereopoda" of and 7 "P6 & P77" similarity, "SmIrty" (S, D, ~S respectively: nearly similar, dargest inclass are dash "-" indicates a range of conditions between two states, comma "," indicates exclusive condition states, tilde "-" indicates prevention of the condition and an empty underline "-" indicates released to the character.

**AMPHILOCHIDAE** coxa 1 obscured, gnathopods 1 and 2 and article 5 extending along article 6, telson distally acute, uropod 2 not extending as far as uropods 1 and 3.

**AMPITHOIDAE** uropod 3 outer ramus with large hooks, lower lip with distinctive prominent inner lobes.

**AORIDAE** gnathopod 1 basket-shaped and merochelate or carpochelate and larger than gnathopod 2, uropod 3 biramous except for uniramous *Grandidierella*.

**ARGISSIDAE** coxa 1–4 ventrally rounded, coxa 3 shorter than coxa 2 and 4, eyes consist of 4 elements or are absent, male urosomite 2 bearing a dorsal tooth.

**CHELURIDAE** urosomite 1 bearing a giant dorsal tooth, uropods 1–3 rami grossly different, lives in wood chambers.

**COROPHIDAE** basket-shaped gnathopod 2, suspension feeders, sediment tube builders.

**CRANGONYCTIDAE** lacks ventral antenna sinus or notch, uropod 3 inner ramus short, freshwater or low salinity estuary.

**DEXAMINIDAE** pereopods 3–7 dactyls short, pleated gills.

**DOGIELINOTIDAE** fossorial, uropods 1 and 2 peduncles lined dorsally with long spines, pereopods 5 and 6 lined with straight stout digging spines, pereopod 6 and 7 dactyls straight.

**EOPHLIANTIDAE** head blunt, body antlike, mature specimens unknown.

**EUSIROIDEA** rostrum pointed or minute, rostrum inserted between antenna 1 peduncle first articles, ventral antenna sinus notched (except *Batea*), dorsal urosome spineless.

**GAMMAROIDEA** urosomites 1–3 dorsally spinose, gnathopods 1 and 2 palm lined with thick short spines, uropod outer ramus minutely biarticulate, ventral antenna sinus notch lacking.

**HADZIOIDEA** male gnathopod 2 larger than gnathopod 1, ventral antenna sinus notched (except *Maera*, *Netamelita*, and *Quadrimaera*), urosome dorsally spineless (although teeth or setae may occur), uropod 3 rami short or long, uniarticulate or biarticulate.

**HAUSTORIIDAE** fossorial, blind, rostrum lacking, antenna 2 peduncle article 4 laterally expanded, gnathopod and pereopod dactyls minute or absent.

**HYALELLIDAE** maxilla 1 palp lacking or consisting of a single inconspicuous article.

**HYALIDAE** maxilla 1 palp of 2 articles, male gnathopod 1 dactyl modified for clasping female.

**ISAEIDAE** gnathopod 2 larger than 1, uropod 3 usually with 2 short rami and accessory flagellum usually present.

**ISCHYROCERIDAE** uropod 3 outer ramus with denticles or teeth, telsons diverse.

**LEUCOTHOIDAE** gnathopod 2 article 5 extending along article 6, telson distally acute. Liljeborgiidae: mandibular molar reduced, telson lobes distally notched, commensal with polychaetes and echiuroids.

**LILIEBORGIIDAE** mandibular molar reduced, telson lobes distally notched; commensal with polychaetes and echiurans.

**LYSIANASSOIDEA** antenna 1 article 1 swollen, gnathopod 2 hand mitten-shaped and article 3 longer than wide.

**MEGALUROPIDAE** coxae 1–4 ventrally rounded, coxa 3 shorter than coxa 2 and 4, eyes large.

**NAJNIDAE** down-turned antennae, rounded forehead, kelp burrower.

**OEDICEROTIDAE** eyes dorsal, rostrum helmet-shaped, gnathopods 1 and 2 article 5 variously extended along article 6, pereopod 7 is 50% longer than pereopod 6 and with long straight dactyl.

**PHLIANTIDAE** rostrum spatulate, body isopodlike and dorsoventrally flattened.

**PHOXOCEPHALIDAE** fossorial, rostrum shieldlike, antenna 2 spinose, antenna 2 article 4 narrow or expanded.

**PLEUSTIDAE** rostrum massive to minute, lacking a ventral antenna sinus, telson notch ventrally keeled.

**PODOCERIDAE** telson spinose, pleopods powerful, eyes bulge laterally.

**PONTOPOREIIDAE** fossorial, rostrum lacking, head lacking ventral antenna sinus notch, sternal gills, fresh to brackish waters.

**STEGOCEPHALOIDEA** epimeron 3 ornate, coxa 1 ventrally acute, rostrum large decurved (*Iphimediidae* gnathopod 2 with long lysianassoid article 3).

**STENOTHOIDAE** coxa 3 and 4 massive, coxa 1 small, obscured, distal telson bluntly acute, tongue-like.

**SYNOPIIDAE** rostrum helmet-shaped, eyes fused dorsally, tiny accessory lateral eyes in species of the region, telson large, urosomites 1 and 2 with dorsal tooth.

TALITRIDAE terrestrial, antenna 1 tiny.

**UROTHOIDAE** fossorial, head with extended ventral anterior edge, rostrum lacking, antenna 2 peduncle article 4 narrow.

#### **KEY TO FAMILIES AND SUPERFAMILIES**

Families of the region occurring only in deep water, offshore, or only to the north or south of the region, and thus not included, are Lafystiidae, Melphidippidae, Parampithoidae, Pardaliscidae, and Stilipedidae.

- Antenna 1 of similar size or larger than antenna 2 or significantly longer than the head (plate 255P); telson with six or less irregularly spaced stout spines (not counting long spines or setae) entirely aquatic or intertidal . . . . . 3
- Uropod 3 large and readily visible (plate 255R–255V) . . 6
- 4. Telson fused to urosome and urosomites 2 and 3 fused (plate 255H), body tubular, ant- or tanaidaceanlike, burrows into kelp . . . . . . . . . Eophliantidae (plate 258)
- 5. Urosome less than twice as long as deep (plate 255Q); rostrum spatulate (plate 255W).....




PLATE 255 Family Key. A, Telson, Orchestia gammarellus; B, telson, Americorophium brevis; C, telson, Apohyale anceps; D, telson, Allorchestes bellabella; E, telson, Ampithoe aptos; F, urosome, Podocerus cristatus; G, telson, Eohaustorius; H, urosome, Lignophliantis pyrifera; I, telson, generalized; J, telson, Oligochinus lighti; K, telson, Ampelisca; L, telson, stenothoid; M, telson, Leucothoe; N, telson, Lysianassa; O, head, Orchestia georgiana; P, body, Chelura terebrans; Q, urosome with uropod 1 removed, Pariphinotus seclusus; R, urosome, Eohaustorius washingtonianus; S, urosome, Cerapus tubularis; T, urosome, Bemlos concavus; U, urosome, Laticorophium baconi; V, urosome, Carinonajna kitamati; W, head and rostrum dorsal, Pariphinotus escabrosus; X, uropod 3, Ampithoe plumulosa; Y, uropod 3, Jassa falcata; Z, uropod 3, Ischyrocerus pelagops; AA, uropod 3, Cheiriphotis megacheles; BB, uropod 3, Columbaora cyclocoxa; CC, uropod 3, Parallorchestes bellabella; DD, uropod 3, Prohyale frequens; EE, mandible, Aoroides exilis; FF, mandible, Grandidierella japonica; GG, mandible, Corophium alienense; HH, mandible, Americorophium spinicorne; II, mandible, Allorchestes angusta; JJ, mandible, Parallorchestes americana; KK, mandible, Proboscinotus loquax; LL, mandible, Carinonajna bicarinata; MM, oöstegite, pereopod 5, Americorophium salmonis; NN, oöstegite, pereopod 5, Proboscinotus loquax; CO, head, Ptilohyale longipalpa; PP, head, Carinonajna bicarinata. (figures modified from Barnard 1950, 1954a, 1962a, 1962c, 1965, 1967a, 1969a, 1972c, 1975, 1979a; Bousfield 1958a, 1961a, 1973; Bousfield and Conlan 1982; Bousfield and Hendrycks 2002; Bousfield and Hoover 1995, 1997; Bousfield and Marcoux 2004; Chapman 1988; Chapman and Dorman 1975; Conlan 1990; Conlan and Bousfield 1982a, 1982b; and Shoemaker 1933, 1934).

 Pleonite 3 without posteriorly projecting dorsal tooth; uro-Pereopods 2-7, articles 4-7 with sparse, short setae and pod 2 without greatly expanded peduncle (plate 255F2, with curved dactyls (plate 256D); uropod 1 rami and lateral peduncle with short stout spines (plate 256E); epis-7. Uropod 3 with 2 prominent short or long rami that may 17. Telson uncleft or cleft less than one-third of total length Uropod 3 with 1 ramus only or with inner ramus minute, (plate 255D); maxilla 1 palp extremely reduced or absent, scalelike or otherwise indistinct and difficult to observe not extending to distal plate end (plate 256G) . . . . . . . . ...... Hyalellidae (plate 272) 8. Urosome decurved, nearly ventral to pleonite 3 (plate Telson cleft more than one half of the entire length into 255R); telson lobes widely separated (plate 255G) . . . . . subtriangular lobes (plate 255C); maxilla 1 palp extending ..... Haustoriidae (plate 261) to distal end of outer plate (plate 256H, 256I) ...... Urosome normally aligned with (not ventral to) pleonite ..... Hyalidae (plate 273) 3 (plate 255S–255V); telson lobes adjacent or fused (plate 18. Coxa 1 tiny and obscured by coxa 2 and coxa 2–4 enlarged or immense (arrows, plate 256J, 256K) ......19 9. Uropod 3 rami structurally similar and the outer ramus Coxa 1 at least half as large as coxa 2 and coxa 2-4 not greatly with setae or with short, straight spines but not hooks or 19. Rostrum inserted between first antennae (plate 256N); tel-Uropod 3 rami different in structure and the outer ramus son laminar and deeply cleft (plate 254Q); gnathopod 1 bearing conspicuous hooks or denticles (plate 255X-255Z) vestigial..... Eusiroidea (Bateidae) (plate 296) Rostrum vestigial (plate 256K) or extended over first an-10. Male gnathopod 1 larger than gnathopod 2 . . . . . . . . . . tenna (plate 256M) but not inserted between the antennae; ..... Aoridae (plate 262) telson uncleft (plate 256L-256M)......20 Male gnathopod 1 smaller than gnathopod 2..... 20. Gnathopod 1 carpochelate (plate 256O, 256P) . . . . . . . . ..... Isaeidae (plates 263–264) ..... Leucothoidae (plate 282) 11. Outer ramus of uropod 3 stout, with two heavy, hooked Gnathopod 1 simple, transverse or subchelate but not carspines and inner ramus flat and apically setose (plate 255X) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Ampithoidae (plates 265–266) 21. Uropod 3 biramous, rami of a single article, uropod 2 not Outer ramus of uropod 3 apically stout, bearing a reaching distal end of uropod 3 and telson acute (plate single large hook (plate 255Y) or relatively slender, 256Q); gnathopods 1 and 2 article 5 extending to the posteouter ramus either denticulate (plate 255Z) or unornarior palm edge of article 6 (plates 254X, 256R) . . . . . . . . . mented (an exception is Cerapus [plate 255S], which lacks ..... Amphilochidae (plate 274) an inner ramus) . . . . . . . Ischyroceridae (plates 267–268) Uropod 3 with a single biarticulate ramus (plate 256T); 12. Combined lengths of urosomites 2 and 3 greater than onegnathopod 2 article 5 not extending along posterior edge half of urosomite 1 (plate 255T) or urosomites 1-3 fused of the palm of article 6 (plate 256S); telson evenly rounded (plate 255U); mandibular palp present (plate 255EE-255HH); or bluntly acute posteriorly (plate 255L) and uropod 2 extending as far as distal uropods 1 and 4 ..... oöstegites lined with evenly curved or straight setae (plate ..... Stenothoidae (plate 275) Urosomites 2 and 3 combined lengths less than one half 22. Urosomites 2 and 3 fused together (plate 256L, 256U).....23 of urosomite 1 (plate 255V); mandibular palp absent (plate Urosomites separate (plate 256J, 256K, 256M) ......24 255II-255LL); oöstegites lined with distally curled setae 23. Head as long as combined lengths of pereonites 1–3, pere-opod 3 and 4 dactyls longer than combined articles 5 and 13. Male gnathopod 1 or gnathopod 2 carpochelate (plate 6; pereopods 6 and 7 dissimilar, eyes tiny, consisting of one dorsal lateral and one anteroventral cuticular lens (plate Male and female gnathopod 2 basket-shaped, merochelate, or simple, ventrally lined with long pinnate setae (plate Head shorter than the combined lengths of pereonites 1 254U) and larger than gnathopod 1..... and 3; pereopods 2 and 3 dactyls shorter than combined ..... Corophiidae (plates 269–270) articles 5 and 6; pereopods 6 and 7 similar; eyes with nu-14. Gnathopod 1 carpochelate (Grandidierella japonica) . . . . merous ommatidia (plate 256V)..... ..... Aoridae (with Corophiidae) (plate 262) ..... Dexaminidae (plate 279) 24. Eyes coalesced into a single dorsal anterior mass on a ..... Ischyroceridae (plates 267–268) strongly decurved, usually helmet shaped, rostrum (plate 15. Head anteriorly square and antenna 1 insertion dorsal to the eye (plate 255OO); molar prominent (plate 255JJ-Eyes lateral, rostrum present or absent (plate 256M, 256N, 255KK); uropod 3 ramus short but readily apparent (plate 255CC, 255DD)......16 25. Telson emarginated (plate 256BB) or evenly rounded; uro-Head anteriorly decurved, insertions of antenna 1 ventral some dorsally unarmed and telson not extending beyond peto the eye (plate 255PP); mandibular molar an indistinct duncle of uropod 3 (plate 256CC); gnathopod 1 article 6 stout flat plate (plate 255LL); uropod 3 ramus tiny (plate 255V) (plate 254X); accessory eyes lacking (plate 256W) . . . . . . . . ..... Oedicerotidae (plate 280) 16. Pereopods 2–7 fossorial, pereopod 6 articles 4–6 densely lined Telson deeply cleft (plate 256DD); telson extending bewith long, straight setae and with straight dactyl (plate 256A); yond peduncle of uropod 3 and urosomites 1 and 2 dorsally toothed (plate 256EE); gnathopod 1 article 6 weak epistome of upper lip proboscoid (noselike) (plate 256B); uro-

(plate 256FF); accessory flagellum prominent and multiar-

ticulated (plate 256GG) . . . . . . . . Synopiidae (plate 281)

pod 1 peduncle lined with long spines and rami without

spines (plate 256C) . . . . . . . . . Dogielinotidae (plate 271)




PLATE 256 Family Key. A, pereopod 6, B, head and upper lip, C, uropod 1, *Proboscinotus loquax*; D, pereopod 6, *Apohyale anceps*; E, uropod 1, *Apohyale anceps*; F, head and upper lip (UL = *Apohyale pugettensis*), *Ptilohyale littoralis*; G, maxilla 1, *Allorchestes rickeri*; H, maxilla 1, *Apohyale anceps*; I, maxilla 1, *Parallorchestes cowani*; J, body, *Gitana calitemplado*; K, body, *Stenula modosa*; L, body, *Ampelisca milleri*; M, body, *Eobrolgus chumashi*; N, head, *Pontogeneia rostrata*; O, gnathopod 1, *Leucothoe alata*; P, gnathopod 1, *Leucothoides pacifica*; Q, telson and uropods 1 and 2, *Apolochus littoralis*; R, gnathopod 1, *Apolochus barnardi*; S, gnathopod 2, *Stenothoe estacola*; T, uropod 3, *Stenula incola*; U, urosome, V, body, *Atylus levidensus*; W, head, *Americhelidium shoemakeri*; X, head, *Metatiron tropakis*; Y, head, *Foxiphalus obtusidens*; Z, rostrum, *Thorlaksonius grandirostris*; AA, head, *Elasmopus antennatus*; BB, telson, *Monoculodes emarginatus*; CC, urosome, *Americhelidium micropleon*; DD, telson, *Tiron biocellata*; EE, urosome, *Metatiron tropakis*; FF, gnathopod 1, *Tiron biocellata*; GG, antenna 1, *Tiron biocellata*; HH, coxae 3 and 4, *Gibberosus myersi*; II, head and 3 pereonites, *Cryptodius kelleri*; JJ, gnathopod 1, *Cryptodius kelleri*; KK, left mandible, *Cryptodius kelleri*; LL, right mandible, *Coboldus hedgpethi* (figures modified from: Barnard 1960a, 1962a, 1962b, 1962c, 1962e, 1967a, 1969a, 1972b, 1972c, 1977, 1979a; Bousfield and Chevrier 1996; Bousfield and Kendall 1994; Bousfield and Hendrycks 1994a, 2002; Dickinson 1982; Gurjanova 1951; Hendrycks and Bousfield 2001; Jarrett and Bousfield 1994b; Moore 1992; and Nagata 1965a).

26. Gnathopod 1 carpochelate (plate 256O, 256P) . . . . . . . . ..... Leucothoidae (plate 282) 27. Coxa 4 is 50% longer than coxa 3 or more and ventrally rounded (plate 256HH)......29 Coxae 3 and 4 within 30% of the same length and ventrally square or acute, not rounded (plate 28. Coxa 1 ventrally acute (plate 256JJ); mandibles needlelike with molars weak or lacking (plate 256KK, 256LL)..... ..... Stegocephaloidea (plate 283) Coxa 1 ventrally square or rounded (plate 257A); mandible 29. Eye round and of four distinctive ommatidia (plate 257B); uropod 3 rami posteriorly acute (plate 257C); mandibular palp slight with article 3 longest (plate 257D)...... ..... Argissidae (plate 284) Eye variously shaped, multifaceted (plate 257E); uropod 3 rami foliate (plate 257F) (this appendage is often lost); mandible palp stout and article 2 is longest (plate 257G); mandible with triturative molar (plate 257H) . . . . . . . . . ..... Megaluropidae (plate 285) 30. Antenna 1 article 1 depth usually half or more of the length (plate 257I, 257J); gnathopod 2 article 3 at least 1.5 times longer than wide and article 6 mitten-shaped with dactyl minutely transverse (plate 257K); body usually white, compact, shiny and densely calcified . . . . . . . . . ..... Lysianassoidea (plates 286–287) Antenna 1 article 1 longer than deep (plates 256M, 256N, 257N, 257Q); gnathopod 2 article 3 less than 1.2 times longer than wide, article 6 not mitten-shaped and dactyl 31. Fossorial—dense long stout lateral spines lining antennae 2 (plates 256M 257N, 257O) and lining pereopod 5 articles 4–6 (plates 256M, 257N, 257P)......33 Nonfossorial—antennae 2 and pereopod 5 articles 4–6 not lined with long dense stout lateral spines (plate 257Q, 257R) 32. Accessory flagellum two articles or more and apparent at magnifications of 40x or less (plate 257Q, 257R); all telsons cleft and with prominent distal setae or spines (plate 257S, Accessory flagellum absent or a tiny article apparent only at magnifications >40x (plate 257U–257W); telsons deeply cleft or evenly rounded and with few or no prominent dis-33. Pereopods 6 and 7 similar in length and form, ventral cephalic margin extended (see arrow; plate 257N) entirely marine ...... Urothoidae (plate 288) Pereopod 7 different in form and at least 40% shorter than pereopod 6 (plate 256M); ventral cephalic margin reduced 34. Rostrum extended and visorlike (plate 256M, 256Y) entirely marine or high-salinity estuary..... ..... Phoxocephalidae (plates 289–292) Rostrum minute; entirely freshwater or low-salinity estuary (plate 257Z) . . . . . . . . Pontoporeiidae (plate 293) 35. Telson evenly rounded (plate 257X) or emarginate (plate ..... Eusiroidea (Pontogeneiidae) (plates 296–297) 36. Ventral antennal sinus without a notch (plate 257W); up-

per lip ventrally bilobed (plate 257AA, 257BB); lower lip with inwardly tilting pillow shaped inner and outer lobes

- Ventral antennal sinus with a notch (plate 256N); lower lip ventrally convex (plate 257FF); inner and outer lobes of lower lip not pillow shaped and bearing large extensions of the outer lobes (plate 257GG, 257HH).....Eusiroidea (Calliopidae) (plates 296–297)
- 37. Pereopods 5–7 dactyls small and straight (plate 257Q); uropod 3 rami sharply pointed distally, nearly equal in length and lined with single thick spines (plate 257II, 257JJ); molar reduced (plate 257KK)......

#### LISTS OF GAMMARIDEA SPECIES BY FAMILY

Species lists include author, notes, species lengths and depth ranges. Species lengths are a crude index of size based on the distance from the distal end of the head to the posterior edge of the telson and are usually of the largest specimens reported. Species preceded by an asterisk are not in the key or are out of the range of the region.

### **EOPHILANTIDAE**

Eophliantidae are kelp burrowers of the eastern Pacific and southern hemisphere. This rare, antlike species is the only member of the family with a fused telson. Urosomites 2 and 3 are also fused. Reproductive individuals are unknown. The name *Lignophliantis* indicates an eophliantid with lignin in its gut (Barnard 1969a: 104).

### KEY TO EOPHILIANTIDAE

# LIST OF SPECIES

Lignophliantis pyrifera Barnard, 1969a. Bores into haptera of the kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera*. A lack of records is likely due to low probability of retention on standard 0.5 mm mesh collecting sieves normally used and the difficulty of recognizing such a small, unusual amphipod in nearshore algae samples; 1.4 mm; intertidal—3 m.




PLATE 257 Family Key. A, coxae 1-4, Elasmopus antennatus; B, head, C, telson, D, mandible, Argissa hamatipes; E, head, F, urosome, G, mandibular palp, H, molar, Gibberosus myersi; I, antenna 1, Macronassa pariter; J, antenna 1, Ocosingo borlus; K, gnathopod 2, Macronassa macromer; L, gnathopod 2, Pleusirus secorrus; M, gnathopod 2, Urothoe varvarini; N, body, Urothoe marina; O, antenna 2, Grandifoxus grandis; P, pereopod 5, Grandifoxus grandis; Q, body, Listriella diffusa; R, body, Elasmopus antennatus; S, telson, Anisogammarus pugettensis; T, telson, Crangonyx pseudogracilis; U, accessory flagellum, Oligochinus lighti; V, antenna 1 and accessory flagellum, Anomalosymtes coxalis; W, body, Kamptopleustes coquillus; X, telson, Chromopleustes lineatus; Y, telson, Pontogeneia rostrata; Z, head, Monoporeia affinis; AA, upper lip, Anomalosymtes coxalis; BB, upper lip, Chromopleustes lineatus; CC, lower lip, Anomalosymtes coxalis; DD, upper lip, Holopleustes aequipes; EE, lower lip, Chromopleustes lineatus; FF, upper lip, Oligochinus lighti; GG, lower lip, Accedomoera vagor; HH, lower lip, Paracalliopiella pratti; II, female uropod 3, Listriella diffusa; JJ, male uropod 3, Listriella diffusa; KK, mandible, Listriella melanica; LL, uropod 3, Crangonyx pseudogracilis; MM, uropod 3, Melita nitida; NN, uropod 3, Elasmopus antennatus; OO, mandible, Maera similis; PP, mandible, Megamoera dentata; QQ, urosome, Gammarus daiberi; RR, urosome, Desdimelita microdentata; SS, head, Melita nitida; TT, head, Maera jerrica; UU, head, Crangonyx pseudogracilis (figures modified from: Barnard 1954a, 1959a, 1959b,1960a, 1962b, 1969a, 1969b, 1979a; Bousfield 1958b, 1973; Bousfield and Hendrycks 1995b; Gurjanova 1953; Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004; Jarrett and Bousfield 1996; Krapp-Schickel and Jarrett 2000; Lincoln 1979; McKinney 1980; Segersträle 1937; and Thomas and Barnard 1986).




PLATE 258 Eophliantidae and Phliantidae. A, B, Lignophliantis pyrifera; C, E, Pariphinotus escabrosus; D, Pariphinotus seclusus (figures modified from: Pariphinotus escabrosus, Pariphinotus seclusus of: Barnard 1969a, 1979a, and Shoemaker 1933).

#### **PHLIANTIDAE**

Phliantidae look more like isopods than amphipods, with their simple or barely subchelate gnathopods, square rostrum, dorsoventrally flattened calcified body, short antennae, splayed coxae, and the lack of a third uropod.

#### KEY TO PHLIANTIDAE

1. Body broad, dorsoventrally flattened (plate 258C, sex not known); mandibule lacking palp and molar (plate 258D); coxae splayed (plate 258C, 258E); rostrum square and distally annulated (plate 255W) . . . . . Pariphinotus escabrosus

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Pariphinotus escabrosus (Barnard, 1962b) (=Heterophlias). Moderately abundant under rock substrata, in kelp Macrocystis holdfasts, rare in surfgrass Phyllospadix. P. escabrosus was initially misidentified as Pariphinotus seclusus Shoemaker, 1933, from the Dry Tortugas, Florida, which does not occur in the Pacific; 3.8 mm; intertidal—16 m.

# PODOCERIDAE

Podoceridae have an extended urosomite 1, minute or absent uropod 3, and fleshy, entire telsons. The delicate antennae, pereopods, and pleopods of preserved specimens are usually missing. Some species are brilliantly pigmented and occur in highly visible locations (Goddard 1984). An unidentified *Podocerus* of Oregon (probably in the *P. "cristatus"* group) appears to be a Batesian mimic of *Flabellina trilineata* (Goddard 1984, Shells and Sea Life 16: 220–222). The particularly long urosomite 1 of males may be an adaptation for their powerful pleopods, which are used for pelagic swimming in search of mates (Conlan 1991, Hydrobiologia 223: 255–282). The broad geographic ranges of many podocerids are likely due to human introductions or to poorly resolved species definitions.

# KEY TO PODOCERIDAE

- Urosomites 2 and 3 fused (plate 259C); antenna 1 as long or longer than antenna 2, uropod 3 absent (plate 259D)....
   4

- Male gnathopod 2 article 4 not greatly extended forward (plate 259G) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Podocerus brasiliensis

- Female coxa 1 with out anteriorly directed spine (plate 259J), eyes large, usually with light outer ring, accessory flagellum with three articles..... Dyopedos monacanthus

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Dulichia rhabdoplastis McClosky, 1970. Commensal on sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus*; 25 mm; intertidal—25 m. *Dulichia* sp. Soft benthos in San Francisco Bay (Presidio Yacht Club, Sausalito, collected 2003). Possibly an introduced unde-

Club, Sausalito, collected 2003). Possibly an introduced undescribed species, not commensal on echinoderms; 6 mm; shallow subtidal—3 m.

*Dyopedos arcticus* (Murdoch 1885). Pan Arctic; in Pacific from Pt. Barrow to southern California; to 20 mm; 3 m–410 m.

*Dyopedos monacanthus* (Metzger, 1875). Pan Arctic; in Pacific in Northern California, often clinging to algae, hydroids, and bryozoans, on sand-gravel, to silt clay; 8 mm; 12 m–217 m.

*Podocerus brasiliensis* (Dana, 1853). Cosmopolitan in tropical and warm temperate seas and likely an introduction in California harbors; 8 mm; intertidal—12 m.

*Podocerus "cristatus"* (Thompson, 1879). A likely species complex reported widely from warm temperate waters; on our coast from southern California to Magdalena Bay among Sertularidae, *Boltenia*, and seaweeds, on mud and gravel, on corals and




PLATE 259 Podoceridae. C, D, Dulichia rhabdoplastis; H, I, Dyopedos arcticus; J, Dyopedos monacanthus; A, B, G, Podocerus brasiliensis; E, Podocerus cristata; F, Podocerus spongicolus (figures modified from Alderman 1936; Barnard 1962a, 1970; Laubitz 1977; and McClosky 1970).




PLATE 260 Cheluridae. A-D, *Chelura terebrans* (figures modified from Barnard 1950, and Bousfield 1973).

among anemones; some species or populations are possible Batesian mimics; 6 mm; 7 m–100 m.

*Podocerus spongicolus* Alderman, 1936. In sponges; poorly known; 6 mm; intertidal—4m.

### CHELURIDAE

Cheluridae invade holes that the isopod *Limnoria* make in wood; the chelurids then enlarge the holes into galleries by scraping furrows in the soft grains (Barnard 1955, Essays in the Natural Sciences in Honor of Captain Allan Hancock, pp. 87–98, Los Angeles: Univ. So. Calif.). *Chelura terebrans* is the only chelurid in this region and is distinguished from all other species by its completely fused urosome, enormous uropods, and dorsally spiked pleonite 3.

## KEY TO CHELURIDAE

1. Body dorsally depressed and cylindrical (plates 255P, 260A); sexual dimorphism in the third uropod (plate 260A,

# LIST OF SPECIES

Chelura terebrans (Philippi, 1839). An introduced cosmopolitan mid-latitude wood-boring species that was not reported from the eastern Pacific until the 1950s (Barnard, 1950, 1952). Warm, high salinity protected areas of bays and estuaries; 6 mm; shallow subtidal and intertidal.

## HAUSTORIIDAE

Haustoriidae are blind, unpigmented, and fossorial and are most abundant in clean, fine marine, or estuarine sands where they swim and burrow upside down. Haustoriid burrows result in distinctive, punctate indents and shiny marks on wet sand surfaces of swash zones and high intertidal sand pools.




PLATE 261 Haustoriidae. B, C, Eohaustorius barnardi; E, G, Eohaustorius brevicuspis; K, Eohaustorius estuarius; A, H, I, N, O, Eohaustorius sencillus; J, L, M, Eohaustorius sawyeri; D, F, Eohaustorius washingtonianus (figures modified from Barnard 1957a, 1962e; Bousfield and Hoover 1995; Bosworth 1973; and Thorsteinson 1941).

See Jones 1977, Wasmann J. Biol. 21: 114–149 (seasonal occurrence).

#### KEY TO HAUSTORIIDAE

- 2. Pereopod 4 article 5 width and length nearly equal, bearing only two ventral spine fascicles and extending only slightly posteriorly (plate 261C)......

- Pereopod 7 posterior dorsal cusp short, height equal to its base (plate 261G) . . . . . . . . . Eohaustorius brevicuspis

- 6. Pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge nearly straight (plate 261L), dactyl of gnathopod 1 small (plate 261M)......

  Eohaustorius sawyeri
- Pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge evenly rounded (plate 261N), dactyl of gnathopod 1 missing or fused with article 6 (plate 261O) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Eohaustorius sencillus

## LIST OF SPECIES

Eohaustorius barnardi (Barnard 1957). Fine sand marine beaches; 5 mm; 5 m-20 m.

Eohaustorius brevicuspis Bosworth, 1973. E. brevicuspis and E. washingtonianus are distinguished only by the variable posterior




PLATE 262 Aoridae. A, H, L, M, Aoroides columbiae; O, Aoroides exilis; P, R, Aoroides inermis; Q, S, Aoroides intermedia; N, Aoroides spinosus; D, T, Bemlos concavus; B, E, F, Columbaora cyclocoxa; C, V, Microdeutopus gryllotalpa; U, Paramicrodeutopus schmitti; G, I, J, K, Paracorophium sp. (figures modified from Bousfield 1973; Chapman and Dorman 1975; Chapman, personal observation; Conlan and Bousfield 1982b; Miller, personal observation; and Shoemaker 1942).

cusp of pereopod 7 and therefore are not clearly distinct species; 5 mm; intertidal—1 m.

Eohaustorius estuarius Bosworth, 1973. Estuarine sands; an important species for toxicity tests (Hecht and Boese, 2002, Envir. Toxicol. and Chem. 21: 816–819); l mm–5 mm; intertidal—7 m.

*Eohaustorius sawyeri* Bosworth, 1973. Fine sands; not clearly distinct from *E. sencillus*; 4 mm; intertidal—1 m.

*Eohaustorius sencillus* Barnard, 1962. Fine sand beaches and sandy mud benthos; 4 mm; intertidal—30 m.

Eohaustorius washingtonianus (Thorsteinson 1941). Sandy and muddy sand sediments; 6 mm; intertidal—18 m.

#### **AORIDAE**

Aoridae, including the corophiid *Paracorophium* keyed here, are tube-building suspension feeders and occur over a wide range of depths in marine and estuarine rocky, fouling, and soft ben-

thic communities. Urosome articles are separate and uropod 3 is usually biramous. Rostrum short or absent, eyes small or large, ocular lobe large and rounded. Antenna 1 usually long but often lost in preservation. Male gnathopod 1 larger than gnathopod 2 in most genera. Telson entire and fleshy. Pereopod 7 long, extending further than pereopod 6. Aorid taxonomy is not reliable for females. At least four nonnative aorids occur within this region. More arrivals and new discoveries are expected in busy international ports and oystering bays.

# KEY TO AORIDAE

- Male coxa 1 greatly inflated (plate 262B); mandibular palp article 3 falcate and densely setose (plate 262E); antenna 1 accessory flagellum conspicuous but only as long as first

article of flagellum (plate 262F) ..... ..... Columbaora cyclocoxa Male coxa 1 not inflated (plate 262A); mandibular palp article 3 not falcate or densely setose (plate 262G, 262L); antenna 1 accessory flagellum minute (plate 262H) or absent 3. Coxae 2-4 deeper than wide (plate 262I); article 4 of gnathopod 2 distally blunt (plate 262J); uropod 3 short (plate 262K); mandibular palp stout (plate 262G)..... ..... Paracorophium sp. Coxae 2-4 not deeper than wide (plate 262A); distal end of gnathopod 1 article 4 sharply pointed (plate 262A, 262B); uropod 3 long (plate 262A); mandibular palp slender, article 3 longer than article 2 (plate 262L) . . . . . . . 4 4. Uropod 2, peduncle lacking ventral interramal spine..... ..... Aoroides secundus Uropod 2, peduncle with prominent ventral interramal Male gnathopod 1, article 5 without anterior setae bundles, and width >1.4 times width of article 2 (plate 262A, 262N, 262O)......6 Male gnathopod 1 article 5 with anterior setae bundles and article 5 and article 2 widths nearly equal (plate 262P, 262Q)......8 6. Gnathopod 1, anterior and lateral edges of article 2 densely setose and hind margin of article 2 bare (plate 262A) . . . . . Gnathopod 1, anterior and lateral edges of article 2 sparsely setose and posterior edge of article 2 with setae 7. Gnathopod 1, anterior edge of article 3 with sparse setae Gnathopod 1, anterior edge of article 3 with dense setae Gnathopod 1, article 5 anterior margin densely setose (plate 262Q), thick spines of inner edge of inner plate of maxilliped nearly smooth (plate 262R) . . . . . . . . . . . Aoroides inermis Gnathopod 1, article 5 anterior margin sparsely setose (plate 262P), thick spines of inner edge of inner plate of maxilliped serrate (plate 262S)..... Aoroides intermedia 9. Male gnathopod 1 subchelate (plate 262D, 262T)...... . . . . . . . . Bemlos concavus Male gnathopod 1 carpochelate (plate 262C) . . . . . . . . 10 10. Article 2 of male gnathopods 1 and 2 not expanded (plate 262U)..... Paramicrodeutopus schmitti Article 2 of male gnathopods 1 and 2 both expanded (plate 262C, 262V) . . . . . . . . . . . . . Microdeutopus gryllotalpa

### LIST OF SPECIES

Aoroides columbiae Walker, 1898. Abundant in subtidal fouling communities of rocks, pilings and floats; 6 mm; intertidal— >100 m.

Aoroides exilis Conlan and Bousfield, 1982b. Among algae and sponges under stones and on sand and gravel beaches of open coasts and protected waters; 6 mm; intertidal-50 m.

Aoroides inermis Conlan and Bousfield, 1982b. High-salinity sand and rock surfaces of exposed and protected waters; 6.5 mm; intertidal—148 m.

Aoroides intermedia Conlan and Bousfield, 1982b. 6 mm; intertidal-63 m.

Aoroides secundus Gurjanova, 1938. An Asian species introduced probably by ships to the Pacific coast where it occurs on floats and docks of central San Francisco Bay and southern California harbors; 3.5 mm; intertidal—2 m.

Aoroides spinosus Conlan and Bousfield, 1982b. Low intertidal and subtidal; on various substrata, but especially with algae and among debris; not known south of Coos Bay; 7 mm; intertidal—45 m.

Bemlos concavus (Stout, 1913). Stony bottoms, surf exposed bedrock, Phyllospadix, kelp, Corallina; 6 mm; intertidal—3 m.

Columbaora cyclocoxa Conlan and Bousfield, 1982b. Under boulders and among Laminaria on exposed algal-covered rocky beaches; 7 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Microdeutopus gryllotalpa Costa, 1853. Introduced, a wellknown western Atlantic and Mediterranean species of shallow estuaries found on the intertidal mud flats of Humboldt Bay since the 1980s (Boyd et al. 2002); 10 mm; to 150 m in

Paramicrodeutopus schmitti (Shoemaker, 1942). Rocky surfwashed beaches among Phyllospadix and red algae; 5 mm; intertidal-43 m.

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Paracorophium sp. An introduced intertidal mudflat species of northern Humboldt Bay, possibly from South America, included here because of its biramous uropod 3, collected and illustrated by Todd Miller; 4 mm; intertidal—2 m.

#### **ISAEIDAE**

Isaeidae are entirely marine suspension feeders that build tubes or occupy empty shells and occur at a wide depth range. Male gnathopod 1 is smaller than gnathopod 2. Photis males bear conspicuous stridulation ridges on the lateral face of gnathopod article 2 and medial ventral edge of coxa 2. Rostrum short or absent, eyes small or large, ocular lobe prominent and pointed. Pereopod 7 longer than pereopod 6. The common loss of pereopods and antennae in preservation can greatly complicate identifications. Urosome articles are separate except for Chevalia. Uropod 3 is biramous and the telson is entire. The taxonomy is reliable for males only.

#### KEY TO ISAEIDAE

- 1. Uropod 3 inner ramus less than half as long as outer ramus and scale- or platelike (plate 263A, 263B) . . . . . . . 2
- Uropod 3 inner ramus more than half as long as outer ramus (plate 263C)......5
- Gnathopod 2 article 5 of males less than one-third as large
- Gnathopod 2 article 5 of males more than half as large as
- Antenna 1, accessory flagellum a tiny nub (plate 263F) (view at 100×); coxa 3 deeper than pereonite 3 (plate 263G).....8
- Antenna 1, accessory flagellum multiarticulated, and coxa 3 shallower than pereonite 3 (plate 263D); large teeth on palm of male gnathopod 2 vary from three to five (adults lose inner ramus of uropod 3). . . . . Cheiriphotis megacheles
- Gnathopod 2 article 5 broader than article 6 (plate 263E); gnathopods 1 and 2 palms transverse and greatly over-. . . . . . . . Cheirimedeia zotea
- Gnathopod 2 article 5 and 6 approximately equal in width (plate 263J); gnathopods 1 and 2 palms oblique and not




PLATE 263 Isaeidae. J, K, L, Cheirimedeia macrocarpa; A, E, H, I, Cheirimedeia zotea; D, Cheiriphotis megacheles; M, Chevalia aviculae; O, Gammaropsis thompsoni; Q, R, Photis bifurcata; G, Photis brevipes; B, F, Photis conchicola; S, Photis macinerneyi; C, N, Protomedeia articulata; P, Protomedeia prudens (figures modified from Barnard 1962a; and Conlan 1983).

greatly overlapped by dactyls (plate 263K, 263L) . . . . . .

as dactyls and coxa 1 without a posterior tooth (plate

..... Cheirimedeia macrocarpa Gnathopods 1 and 2 palms less than half as long as dactyls 5. Urosomites 1 and 2 coalesced and pereopod 5–7 with heavy and coxa 1 with a posterior tooth (plate 263P)...... gripping dactyl (plate 263M) . . . . . . . . . Chevalia aviculae ..... Protomedeia prudens Urosomites 1 and 2 separate, accessory flagellum of two or Gnathopod 2 with two teeth defining the palm process more articles, usually conspicuous (plate 263N) . . . . . . 6 of article 6 (plate 263Q); gnathopod 1 article 5 nearly Antenna 1 article 3 shorter than article 1, pereopods 3 and three times as long as wide (plate 263R) ..... 4 anterior margins of articles 2 and 4 strongly setose, male Gnathopod 2 with a single tooth defining the palmer Antenna 1 article 3 as long as article 1 or longer, pereopods process of article 6 and gnathopod 1 article 5 less than 3 and 4 anterior margins of articles 2 and 4 weakly setose, twice as long as wide (plate 263S) ......9 Gnathopod 1 article 5 posterior margin short, less than oneocular lobes distally pointed (plate 2630)......13 Gnathopods 1 and 2 palms more than half as long third the length of the anterior margin (plate 263S) . . . . .

..... Photis macinerneyi




PLATE 264 Isaeidae. E, J, M, Gammaropsis barnardi; I, Gammaropsis effrena; K, L, Gammaropsis mamola; N–P, Gammaropsis martesia; F, Gammaropsis shoemakeri; H, Gammaropsis spinosa; D, G, Gammaropsis thompsoni; A, Photis californica; B, Photis conchicola; C, Photis lacia (figures modified from Barnard 1959b, 1962a, 1969a; Conlan 1983; Kudrajaskov and Tzvetkova 1975; and Shoemaker 1942).

than one-third the length of the anterior margin (plate  $263G)\dots\dots\dots10$ 10. Palmar excavation deeply rounded (plates 263G, 264A) Palmar excavation sharply incised (plate 264B) ..... 12 11. Inner margin of gnathopod 2 dactyl without a large pro-Inner margin of gnathopod 2 dactyl with a large protru-12. Dactyl of gnathopod 2 extending past the defining palmar tooth of article 6 (plate 264B); lives in small snail shells attached by mucus to algae on rocky coasts..... Dactyl of gnathopod 2 not extending past the defining palmar tooth of article 6 (plate 264C) . . . . . . . Photis lacia 13. Urosome of males dorsally cusped (plate 264D); coxa 7 greatly expanded posteriorly, pereopods 3 and 4 articles 4 and 5 subequal (plate 2630)......14

Urosome of both sexes dorsally smooth, pereopod 7 coxa

Gnathopod 1 article 5 posterior margin extended, more

- 18. Male pereopod 5 article 2 posterior ventral edge deeply notched (plate 264M); gnathopod 2 article 6 half as wide as long (plate 264J); accessory flagellum a microscopic button (not shown) (plate 264E) . . . . . . Gammaropsis barnardi

..... Gammaropsis martesia

#### LIST OF SPECIES

*Cheirimedeia macrocarpa* Bulytscheva, 1952. In brackish to full marine waters on semiprotected sand flats; possibly introduced: 5 mm: intertidal.

Cheirimedeia zotea (Barnard, 1962) (=Protomedeia zotea). In mixed mud and sand sediments; 5 mm; intertidal—113 m.

Cheiriphotis megacheles (Giles, 1885). Abundant among *Phyllospadix* and *Silvetia* and under rocks in California; also reported widely from the warmer Pacific and Indian Oceans. Cryptogenic, possible species complex; 3 mm; intertidal—16 m.

Chevalia aviculae (Walker, 1898). Reported also in the Indian Ocean, South Africa, and the Caribbean Sea; cryptogenic; soft benthos; 4 mm; intertidal—35 m.

Gammaropsis barnardi (Kudriaschov and Tzvetkova, 1975) (=Podoceropsis barnardi). In mixed rock sediments and sand; 5 mm: intertidal—17 m.

Gammaropsis effrena (Barnard, 1964). Among Phyllospadix, algae, and polychaete tubes in rocky areas; 3.7 mm; intertidal.

Gammaropsis mamola (Barnard, 1962). Among algae hold-fasts and on hard surfaces including submerged logs. 4 mm; 3 m–25 m.

Gammaropsis martesia (Barnard, 1964a). Among Phyllospadix, tunicates, and sponges; 3 mm; intertidal—84 m.

Gammaropsis shoemakeri Conlan, 1983. Among kelp and hydroids; 5.5 mm; intertidal—27 m.

*Gammaropsis spinosa* (Shoemaker, 1942). Among algae, sponges, and polychaete tubes; 3.5 mm; intertidal—27 m.

Gammaropsis thompsoni (Walker, 1898). Among encrusting animals and in algal holdfasts; 11.5 mm; intertidal—27 m.

*Photis bifurcata* Barnard, 1962. Usually on soft sediments; 4 mm; low water—109 m.

*Photis brevipes* Shoemaker, 1942. In various sediments but especially sand; 7 mm; low water—289 m.

Photis californica Stout, 1913. Among Phyllospadix and on open coast rocky shores; 6 mm; low intertidal—147 m.

*Photis conchicola* Alderman, 1936. On rocky beaches with algae and surfgrass, often paguridlike, living in empty gastropod shell; 5.5 mm; intertidal—42 m.

Photis lacia Barnard, 1962a. In sandy sediments of exposed coasts; 3.3 mm; low intertidal—40 m.

*Photis macinerneyi* Conlan, 1983. Sandy substrates of exposed and protected marine coasts; 4.3 mm; low intertidal—40 m.

Protomedeia articulata Barnard, 1962. In soft sediments; 8 mm; low intertidal to deep subtidal

Protomedeia prudens Barnard, 1966. In soft sediments; 7.5 mm; intertidal—400 m.

#### **AMPITHOIDAE**

Ampithoidae are herbivores that build nests of algae or burrow into kelp stipes and commonly attain the same color as the algae they inhabit. The third uropods and rami are short, with two (occasionally one) distinctive stout hook spines on the outer ramus. Taxonomy emphasizes males.

#### KEY TO AMPITHOIDAE

- 1. Pereopods 3 and 4 article 2 strongly inflated, width more than three-fourths of the width of the coxa (plate 265A); gnathopod 1 palm transverse (plate 265B) . . . . . . . . . . 9

- 3. Gnathopod 1 posterior lobe of article 5 long, more than 40% of the length of the entire article (plate 265F, 265H)......4
- Gnathopod 1 posterior lobe of article 5 short, <40% of the length of the entire article (plate 265I) . . . . . . . . . . 6

- Male gnathopod 2 palm roundly incised to form short, blunt tooth (plate 266B); antenna 2 longer than antenna 1 (plate 266B), antenna 2 weakly setose and with flagellum




PLATE 265 Ampithoidae. I, P, S, Ampithoe aptos; F, L, Ampithoe lacertosa; G, H, J, K, Ampithoe plumulosa; Q, Ampithoe sectimanus; R, Ampithoe simulans; M–O, Ampithoe valida; C–E, Paragrubia uncinata; A, B, Peramphithoe humeralis (figures modified from Barnard 1952b, 1965, 1969a; Conlan and Bousfield 1982a; and Shoemaker 1938a).

- Male gnathopod 1 article 2 anterior edge bare (plate 266E);
   mandibular palp article 3 distal seta row rounding evenly into inner proximal margin (plate 266F);
   epimeron 3 posterior ventral corner notched (plate 266G) . . . . . . . . . . .
- 9. Male gnathopod 2 article 6 less than twice as thick as gnathopod 1 article 6 (plates 265A, 266H, 266I).....10

- 11. Pereopod 7 more than 1.5 times as long as pereopod 6 (plate 265A); gnathopod 2 (both sexes) palm transverse; and article 5 equal to or longer than article 6 (plate 266M) . . . . . . .

- 13. Lower lip lateral and medial lobes projecting equally (plate 266O); antenna 2 flagellum article 1 nearly 2 times longer than more distal articles (plate 266L).....

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Ampithoe aptos (Barnard, 1969) (=Pleonexes aptos). Algal covered bottoms where it is scarce; 7 mm; intertidal.

\*Ampithoe corallina Stout, 1913. Southern California; possible  $nomen\ nudum$ .

Ampithoe dalli Shoemaker, 1938. Boreal, south to Cape Arago on exposed and protected beaches, in tide pools, under rocks and log fouling organisms, in 10–34‰ salinity. Females ovigerous March to August; 20 mm; intertidal—10 m.

\* = Not in key.




PLATE 266 Ampithoidae. B, C, D, Ampithoe dalli; A, Ampithoe sectimanus; E–G, Ampithoe simulans; M, Peramphithoe humeralis; J, K, N, Peramphithoe lindbergi; H, Peramphithoe mea; L, O, Peramphithoe plea; I, Peramphithoe stypotrupetes; P, Q, Peramphithoe tea (figures modified from Barnard 1952b, 1965; Conlan and Bousfield 1982a; Conlan and Chess 1992; and Shoemaker 1938a).

Ampithoe lacertosa (Bate, 1858). Among algae, gravel, or woody debris and on pilings and floats of estuaries; also protected open coasts; heavily speckled with diffuse spots. See Heller 1968, MSc thesis, Univ. Washington 132 pp. (biology and development); 24 mm; intertidal—11 m.

\*Ampithoe longimana (Smith, 1873). North Atlantic, introduced to southern California, may receive protection from predators by accumulating toxins from algae it ingests (Hay et al. 1990, Ecology 71: 733–743); 10 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Ampithoe plumulosa Shoemaker, 1938. Eastern Pacific and western Atlantic; common on algae and Mytilus beds; origins unclear, a likely introduction or misidentified elsewhere in the world; 16 mm; intertidal—15 m.

\*Ampithoe pollex Kunkel, 1910. Northeast Pacific records unclear due to poor description of type populations; possibly introduced to southern California; 5.5 mm; intertidal.

\*Ampithoe ramondi Audoin, 1828. Cosmopolitan at latitudes <45°; not reported north of Point Conception but may appear to the north with climate warming; in diverse algae; 12 mm; intertidal—32 m.

Ampithoe sectimanus Conlan and Bousfield, 1982. High salinity exposed rocky coasts among algae, females ovigerous May to August; 12.5 mm; intertidal.

Ampithoe simulans (Alderman, 1936). Among algae and *Phyllospadix* of open and semiprotected coasts, occasionally in brackish water; 30 mm; intertidal—4 m.

Ampithoe valida Smith, 1873. Abundant among green algae and in fouling communities of pilings floats, docks, and on mudflats of estuaries in Europe, eastern and western United States, Japan, Argentina; a likely Atlantic species introduced to the Pacific coast. See Alonso et al. 1995, Oebalia 21: 77–91 (seasonal population changes); Pardali et al. 2000, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 196: 207–219 (biology, ecology in Portugal); Borowsky 1983, Mar. Biol. 77:257–263 (tube building and reproductive ecology); 12.5 mm; intertidal—30 m.

Paragrubia uncinata (Stout, 1912) (=Cymadusa uncinata). Rolls blades of kelp Macrocystis pyrifera into cigar-shaped tubes, occurs also among Phyllospadix; 35 mm; 4 m-27 m.

\*Peramphithoe eoa (Bruggen, 1907). Sea of Japan, northeast Pacific records of this species and its distinction from *P. mea* are unclear; 10 mm; intertidal—90 m.

Peramphithoe humeralis (Stimpson, 1864). This very large amphipod (like Paragrubia) makes nests in Alaria or Macrocystis by curling the fronds into a tube in which the young

<sup>\*</sup> = Not in key.

may remain in a colony for several instars after emerging from the female oötangium. The upper walls of the tube are consumed by adults and their juveniles. Reproduction June to August. See Jones 1971, pp. 343–367, in W. North, ed., The biology of giant kelp beds (*Macrocystis*) in California. Nova Hedwigia 32 (general biology). Conlan and Bousfield (1982a) consider the South African *Peramphithoe humeralis* (see Griffiths 1979) to be a different species, although it may live in *Macrocystis* there also; up to 53 mm; low intertidal—18 m.

*Peramphithoe lindbergi* (Gurjanova, 1938). Boreal south to Corona del Mar, among eelgrass and algal holdfasts, ovigerous June to September; 12.5 mm; intertidal—18 m.

*Peramphithoe mea* (Gurjanova, 1938). Boreal, south possibly to Coos Bay, Oregon, or southern California; southern populations of eastern Pacific *P. mea*, *P. plea*, and *P. tea* are not clearly distinguished; among eelgrass; 22 mm; rarely intertidal—60 m.

*Peramphithoe plea* (Barnard, 1965). Among kelp holdfasts on exposed coasts; 12.5 mm; intertidal—17 m.

*Peramphithoe stypotrupetes* Conlan and Chess, 1992. Burrows into *Eisenia* and *Laminaria* stipes, cohorts remain and graze on the stipe's interior; 21 mm; shallow subtidal—10 m.

*Peramphithoe tea* (Barnard, 1965). Among algae of exposed and semiprotected high salinity areas, distinction from *P. plea* unclear, ovigerous May to August; 12 mm; intertidal—67 m.

#### **ISCHYROCERIDAE**

Ischyroceridae construct tubes on hard surfaces in areas of high water velocity and include many of the most common amphipods of fouling communities. Jassa males and probably males of all other genera use gnathopod 2 for mate guarding, combat, and display, while "sneaker" males obtain mates as paedomorphs (Kurdzie and Knowles 2002, Roy. Soc. 269: 1749-1754). Male gnathopod 2 larger than gnathopod 1. Intraspecific variation in male secondary sex characters among mating systems (Conlan 1989, 1991, 1995a, 1995b) and the adaptive variations in mating systems with environmental conditions complicate the taxonomy of Ischyroceridae based on male secondary sex characters. Uropod 3 bearing short rami, the outer ramus bearing single large hook spines in Jassa and Microjassa and comblike fused spines among Ischyrocerus. Uropod 3 of Ericthonius and Cerapus bearing a single ramus.

### KEY TO ISCHROCERIDAE

- 3. Male gnathopod 1 article 2 with dorsal posterior protrusion (plate 267K); male gnathopod 2 article 5 apically bifid and

coxa 2 bearing stridulating ridges (plate 267L) . . . . . . . .

- Peduncle of uropod 1 bearing only short, stout spines, without lateral plumose setae (plate 267N).......

- 6. Male gnathopod 2 article 6 with swollen dorsoanterior margin (plate 267N) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Microjassa litotes
- Male gnathopod 2 article 6 with evenly rounded dorsoanterior margin (plate 267P) . . . . . . . . Microjassa barnardi
- 7. Uropod 3 rami blunt, outer ramus bearing irregular teeth proximal to a single heavy distal hooked spine rami (plate 267Q).....9
- Uropod 3 rami pointed, outer ramus bearing small straight distal spine or no spine, usually lined with microscopic denticles (plate 267R) (confirm at 100–400x) . . . . . . . . .
- 8. Eye diameter less than one-fifth of the depth of head (plate 267S); male gnathopod 2 palm concave (plate 267T).....

  Ischyrocerus anguipes

- eighth length of outer ramus (plate 268C). . . . . *Jassa shawi* Uropod 1, posterioventral interramal spine more than one-
- third length of outer ramus (plate 268D) (*J. shawi* and *J. falcata* are poorly distinguished species).... *Jassa falcata*
- 11. Male gnathopod 1 article 5 without anteriodistal seta at the junction of article 6 (plate 268E) . . . . . . . . . Jassa staudei
- Male gnathopod 1 article 5 with one or more anteriodistal seta at the junction of article 6 (plate 268F–268H) . . . . 12

- 13. Uropod 1 ventral distal peduncle spine more than one-fourth of the length of the shortest ramus (plate 268D).....14
- 14. Tip of telson bearing apical setae as well as lateral setae (plate 268K) and gnathopod 2 of large-thumbed male with defining spines on a ledge (plate 268L)..... Jassa morinoi
- 15. Male gnathopod 2 thumb tip angled and bearing defining spines (plate 268N); female gnathopod 1 palm (not illustrated) and male evenly convex (plate 268G) . . . . . . . . . .




PLATE 267 Ischyroceridae. A, C, Cerapus spp.; B, Ericthonius rubricornis; D, E, K, L, Ericthonius brasiliensis; I, R-T, Ischyrocerus anguipes; O, Ischyrocerus pelagops; Q2, Jassa borowskyae; Q1, Jassa falcata; U, Jassa marmorata; G, P, Microjassa barnardi; N, Microjassa litotes; F, H, J, M, Ruffojassa angularis (figures modified from Bousfield 1973; Barnard 1962a, 1969a; and Conlan 1990, 1995b).

# LIST OF SPECIES

*Cerapus* spp. Referred to previously in the eastern Pacific as the Atlantic species *Cerapus tubularis* Say, 1817, but Pacific taxa likely represent one or more undescribed native species; build thick, pliable, striped, cylindrical tubes open at both ends; 3.2 mm; intertidal and shallow subtidal.

Ericthonius brasiliensis (Dana, 1853). Taxonomy poorly resolved: open coast populations (in habitats such as *Phyllospadix*) and harbor populations (likely introduced) probably represent different species; exhibits territorial behavior (Con-

nell 1964, Res. Pop. Ecol. 87: 87–101); 6.5 mm; intertidal—300 m.

*Ericthonius rubricornis* (Stimpson, 1853) (=*Ericthonius hunteri*). Amphiboreal, forming mats of muddy tubes on diverse substrata; shallow water populations may be introduced; possibly a hypermale of *E. brasiliensis* (Myers and McGrath 1984, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK 64: 379–400) or part of a species complex; 9 mm; intertidal—235 m.

*Ischyrocerus anguipes* (Kroyer, 1838). Boreal-temperate North Atlantic and eastern Pacific, tube-building on various substrata, a likely species complex with origin of shallow water harbor species uncertain; 12 mm; intertidal—326 m.

\*Ischyrocerus parvus Stout, 1913. Possibly I. anguipes; 3 mm; rocky intertidal.

*Ischyrocerus pelagops* Barnard, 1962. Fine gray sands; 4.5 mm; intertidal—24 m.

\* = Not in key.




PLATE 268 Ischyroceridae. H, I, J, Jassa borowskyae; G, N, Jassa carltoni; D, Jassa falcata; F, Jassa marmorata; K, L, Jassa morinoi; B, C, Jassa shawi; A, M, O, Jassa slatteryi; E, Jassa staudei (figures modified from Barnard 1962a, 1969a; and Conlan 1990).

\*Ischyrocerus sp. A Barnard, 1969. Possibly I. pelagops; 3.8 mm; rocky intertidal.

\*Ischyrocerus sp. B Barnard, 1969. Possibly I. anguipes; 3.4 mm; intertidal.

Jassa borowskyae Conlan, 1990. California, Siberia, Sea of Japan, exposed rocky shores on algae and surfgrass; 7.7 mm; low intertidal—20 m.

Jassa carltoni Conlan, 1990. Southern California in *Phyllospadix*, named in honor of James T. Carlton (of Light and Smith's Manual); difficult to distinguish from *J. morinoi* or *J. slatteryi*; 3.5 mm; intertidal.

\*Jassa falcata (Montagu, 1808). Most shallow water midlatitude marine Jassa of the world were referred to as J. falcata prior to the work of Conlan (1990); presently recognized only in European harbors, but not clearly absent elsewhere; 7 mm; low intertidal—40 m.

Jassa marmorata Holmes, 1903. Introduced cosmopolitan marine and estuarine species, found in fouling communities on floats and pilings in harbors of Asia, Europe, New England, and the northeast Pacific; 7 mm; low intertidal—30 m.

*Jassa morinoi* Conlan, 1990. North Pacific, Atlantic, and Mediterranean; a likely introduced species, on rocks and algae; 6 mm; low intertidal—7 m.

Jassa shawi Conlan, 1990. On hard substrata and sponges; 7 mm; low intertidal.

*Jassa slatteryi* Conlan, 1990. On algae and hydroids; Ecology (Jeong et al. 2007, J. Crust. Biol. 27[1]:65–70); 5.5 mm; low intertidal.

Jassa staudei Conlan, 1990. On rocks and algae; 11.4 mm; low intertidal—82 m.

Microjassa barnardi Conlan, 1995b. On algal holdfasts and rocks; 2.5 mm; intertidal—52 m.

*Microjassa litotes* Barnard, 1954. On algal holdfasts; 3.5 mm; intertidal—157 m.

\* = Not in key.

Ruffojassa angularis Shoemaker, 1942b. A southern species and a likely introduction that occurs as far north as Carmel; also reported from Madagascar, Hawaiian Islands; 3.5 mm; shallow subtidal—30 m.

### COROPHIIDAE

Corophiidae build U-shaped tubes in soft sediments or on hard surfaces. Morphological variations in the male rostrums and massive peduncle of the second antennae of most species allow field identifications. Most females can be reliably identified to species. Telson fleshy and entire, outer lobes of lower lip entire, article 5 of pereopods 3–6 short and reniform, urosomites 1–3 fused or separate and similar in length, uropod 3 with one ramus which can bear multiple articulate setae or spines, gnathopod 2 article 5 of most corophiids is fused over a broad suture to article 4 and lined posteriorly with long, pinnate setae that form a basket used for suspension feeding. Also keyed here is the aorid *Grandidierella japonica* because of its similarity to the corophiids.

### KEY TO COROPHIIDAE

- Urosomites fused (plate 269F–269G) (gently clean dorsal urosome with fine needle or brush if unclear)......13




PLATE 269 Corophiidae. K, L, Z, EE, Americorophium brevis; J, Y, AA, BB, Americorophium salmonis; V-X, Americorophium spinicorne; CC, DD, Americorophium stimpsoni; D, E, R-T, Corophium alienense; H, I, M-Q, U, Corophium heteroceratum; A-C, Grandidierella japonica; G, Laticorophium baconi; F, Monocorophium insidiosum (figures modified from Barnard 1954a; Faith Cole, personal communication; Chapman and Dorman 1975; Hiryama 1984; Nagata 1965b; Chapman 1988; and Shoemaker 1934, 1947, 1949).

- 3. Gnathopod 2 dactyl posterior edge smooth (plate 269H) (apparent at 40×-50×); antenna 2 article 2 excretory spout more than half as long as article 1 (plate 269I)......4

- 5. Antenna 2 article 4 with a single denticle on medial edge (plate 269I) and variably pointed or truncated distal tooth (plate 269M, 269N); antenna 1 article 1 inner edge with 1 (sometimes 2), medial tooth (plate 269O, 269P); pereonites

- Antenna 2 article 4 with a stout distal medial spine and no




PLATE 270 Corophiidae. C–E, Americorophium salmonis; A, B, F, Americorophium stimpsoni; L, M, Laticorophium baconi; R, T, Monocorophium acherusicum; G–K, Monocorophium californianum; O, S–U, V, Monocorophium insidiosum; P, Monocorophium (oaklandense) insidiosum; Q, W, Monocorophium uenoi (figures modified from Bousfield and Hoover 1997; Shoemaker 1934, 1947, 1949; and Stephensen 1932).

- 14. Antenna 2 article 4 with one large and two small distal medial teeth (plate 270H) and lined on ventral medial edge with four to five stout spines (plate 270I); uropod 2 length 1.5 times uropod 3 (plate 270J); gnathopod 2 dactyl with three teeth (plate 270K). . . . . . Monocorophium californianum

## LIST OF SPECIES

Americorophium brevis (Shoemaker, 1949) (=Corophium brevis). Previously ranging from Prince William Sound to San Francisco Bay (extinct in San Francisco Bay, its type locality; next nearest population is Humboldt Bay); predominantly in

marine fouling communities, but also soft benthos of estuaries; 6 mm; intertidal—35 m.

Americorophium salmonis (Stimpson, 1857) (=Corophium salmonis). Southern Alaska to Humboldt Bay, high salinity estuary to freshwater on muddy bottoms; probably introduced far up Columbia River; critical prey of juvenile salmon (Bottom and Jones 1990, Prog. Oceanogr. 25: 243–270); 7 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Americorophium spinicorne (Stimpson, 1857) (=Corophium spinicorne). Vancouver Island to San Louis Obispo, estuarine and freshwater. Introduced to upper Putah Creek, California, and upper Columbia River (Lester and Clark 2002, West. N. American Nat. 62: 230–233). Status and taxonomy of southern populations unclear. Tubes almost exclusively attached to hard surfaces. The long article 3 of male A. salmonis and A. stimpsoni antenna 2 allow distinctions of these species from A. spinicorne in the field, which has a nearly square article 3; 7 mm; intertidal—20 m. See Aldrich 1961, Proc. Acad. Natl. Sci. Phil. 113: 21–28 (ecology); Eriksen 1968, Crustaceana 14: 1–12 (ecology).

Americorophium stimpsoni (Shoemaker, 1941) (=Corophium stimpsoni). Historically from Mendocino County south to Santa Cruz Island, estuarine and freshwater, exclusively in soft benthos. A potentially threatened species not found in recent decades outside of the San Francisco Bay Delta east of Carquinez Strait; 6 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Corophium alienense Chapman 1988. San Francisco Bay, Tomales Bay, Los Angeles Harbor, introduced from Asia during the Vietnam War, also in China (Ren, 1995, Studia Marina Sinica 10: 267–271, as Corophium dentalium), occasionally in high pools reaching temperatures of 30°C; 6.5 mm; intertidal—3 m.

Corophium heteroceratum Yu, 1938. San Francisco Bay and Los Angeles Harbor, morphologically plastic, introduced, probably from the Yellow Sea, estuarine and marine; 9 mm; shallow subtidal—10 m.

\*Crassicorophium bonellii (Milne Edwards 1830) (=Corophium bonellii). A "bipolar" (Bousfield 1973) cold water marine parthenogenic morphotype transferred around the world by humans; Arctic, North Atlantic, Falkland Islands, Chile. Not formally reported from the northeast Pacific, but the proposed differences between C. bonellii, M. acherusicum, and M. insidiosum are gnathopod 2 dactyl teeth numbers and antenna spine patterns that are too variable for species distinctions. Crassicorophium bonellii is possibly a parthenogenic form of one or both species, but see Myers et al. 1989, J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K., 69: 319–321 (a presumed male); 6 mm; intertidal—18 m.

Laticorophium baconi (Shoemaker, 1934) (=Corophium baconi). On benthos off coastal shelf in California and among marine float fouling communities; 4 mm; intertidal—55 m.

Monocorophium acherusicum (Costa 1857) (=Corophium acherusicum). Cosmopolitan marine, introduced from North Atlantic by shipping and other means to all protected marine coasts between 50° north and 50° south latitude; abundant in float fouling communities and estuary soft benthos. Crassicorophium bonellii is indistinguishable from M. acherusicum; 4.5 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Monocorophium californianum (Shoemaker, 1934) (=Corophium californianum). Marine rocky and sandy bottoms; 3.5 mm; intertidal—100 m. Extremely rare.

\*Monocorophium carlottensis Bousfield and Hoover, 1997. Marine fouling communities, northern species (Prince William Sound to Puget Sound); 4.2 mm; low intertidal—10 m.

Monocorophium insidiosum (Crawford, 1937) (=Corophium insidiosum). Cosmopolitan marine and estuarine, introduced

\* = Not in key.

from North Atlantic; high frequencies of an undescribed nicothoid copepod egg predator occur among summer Puget Sound populations; 4.5 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Monocorophium oaklandense (Shoemaker, 1949) (=Corophium oaklandense). The occasional appearance of this morphotype in pure lab cultures of *M. insidiosum* suggests that *M. oaklandense* is a triploid intersex and thus a synonym of *M. insidiosum*; 5 mm; intertidal—2 m.

*Monocorophium uenoi* (Stephensen, 1932) (=*Corophium uenoi*). Sea of Japan, South China Sea, introduced to California; 5 mm; intertidal to 24 m.

#### AORIDAE

Grandidierella japonica Stephensen 1938. Keyed here with corophiids due to the uniramous uropod 3. The distinctive gnathopod, green eggs, and black head permit recognition of females and wandering males in the field. Preserved specimens are readily confused with Microdeutopus gryllotalpa, which has a biramous uropod 3. The mature male gnathopod 1 (plate 269A) basis is expanded forward and bears onto anterior ridges of the carpus (see arrows in figure) in an apparent adaptation for stridulation. This Japanese species ranges from the Fraser River to Bahia de San Quintin and also occurs in Hawaii, England, and Australia in fine muds of estuarine flats. G. japonica feeds on epiphytes, suspended partiles, and detritus and is a facultative cannibal and amphipod predator. See Bay et al. 1989, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 8: 1191-1200 (toxicology); Greenstein and Tiefenthaler 1997, Bull. So. Calif. Acad. Sci. 96: 34-41 (reproduction and population dynamics in Newport Bay); 13 mm; high intertidal—10 m.

### NAJNIDAE

Nainidae are algivores that burrow into and form galls in the stipes and holdfasts of intertidal and shallow subtidal macrophytes including Alaria, Egregia, Macrocystis, and Lessoniopsis. The najnid molar is a uniquely thickened surface on the mandible and the palp is reduced or absent (plate 255LL), and the sharply produced posterior coxa 4 (plate 271A) is characteristic of the family. Sexual dimorphism is weak. All Carinonajna were previously recognized as Najna conciliorum Derzhaven 1937, occurring on both Asian and western North American coasts (Barnard 1962c). Barnard (1979a) distinguished the North American populations (as Najna kitamati) from the Asian N. conciliorum by their rounded rather than square third epimeron, their longer maxilliped palp dactyl, and their minute ramus of uropod 3. Bousfield (1981) and Bousfield and Marcoux (2004) erected the North American Carinonajna based on the above distinctions and the occurrence of a lateral carina on the urosome and pleonite 1 of the North American forms. Bousfield and Marcoux (2004) added 10 species to Carinonajna. However, the morphological variations proposed to distinguish these Carinonajna species (eye size, lacinia mobilis tooth numbers, gnathopod palm spine numbers and sizes, the spine numbers on uropod 1 and 2 rami, and dorsal urosomal carination) are unclear in illustrations and the descriptions and do not fully reveal species differences.

## KEY TO NAJNIDAE

1. Gnathopods 1 and 2 article 6 posteriodistal corner with one large and one tiny medial spine (plate 271B, 271C); epimeron




PLATE 271 Najnidae and Dogielinotidae. A-C, Carinonajna kitamati; D, E, Carinonajna bicarinata; F, Carinonajna barnardi; G, H, Proboscinotus loquax (figures modified from Barnard 1962c, 1967a; and Bousfield and Marcoux 2004).

- Posteriodistal corner of gnathopod 2 article 6 bearing a single stout spine (plate 271D, 271E); posterior edge of epimeron 3 and pereopod 7 basis weakly crenulate (plate 271F) (C. bicarinata subgroup)

...... Carinonajna barnardi, C. longimana, C. carli, and C. bicarinata

## LIST OF SPECIES

Carinonajna barnardi (Bousfield 1981). 9.5 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Carinonajna bicarinata (Bousfield, 1981). In *Phyllospadix* and *Laminaria* holdfasts; 8.5 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Carinonajna bispinosa Bousfield and Marcoux, 2004. 7.5 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Carinonajna carli Bousfield and Marcoux, 2004. Phyllospadix, boulders and gravel, Hedophyllum; 8.2 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Carinonajna kitamati (Barnard, 1979) (=Najna ?consiliorum). Among Egregia and rarely Postelsia and Macrocystis; 8 mm; intertidal—17 m.

Carinonajna lessoniophila (Bousfield, 1981). From galls in stipes of Lessoniopsis littoralis; 9.2 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Carinonajna longimana (Bousfield, 1981). On *Hedophyllum, Laminaria*, and in *Phyllospadix* root mass communities on semi-protected beaches; 5.5 mm; intertidal—1 m.

### DOGIELINOTIDAE

Dogielinotidae superficially resemble other fossorial families of the region (Phoxocephalidae, Urothoidae, and Pontoporeidae); however, the reduced urosomites 2 and 3, reduced mandibular palp (plate 255KK), single, reduced ramus of uropod 3 (plate 271G), lack of an accessory flagellum and remarkable distally curled setae of the oöstegites (plate 271H) indicate their talitrid origins along with the Najnidae, Hyalellidae, and Hyalidae.

### KEY TO DOGIELINOTIDAE

## LIST OF SPECIES

Proboscinotus loquax (Barnard, 1967d). The talking nose amphipod—from the root meaning of proboscis "nose" (due to the noselike epistome) (plate 256B) and the root meaning of loquax "talk." Open coast fine and coarse sand beaches. The restricted range, Washington coast of the Juan de Fuca Straits to Clam Beach, Eureka, in northern California of this abundant, distinctive species is unusual among native species. Its




PLATE 272 Hyalellidae. A, B, Hyalella azteca; C–E, G, H, Allorchestes angusta; F, Allorchestes bellabella; I, J, Allorchestes rickeri (figures modified from Barnard 1979a and Hendrycks and Bousfield 2001).

endemic status in North America should be examined. An important prey of shorebirds in the region. See Hughes 1982, Mar. Biol. 71: 167–175 for population biology. Open sandy beaches; 8 mm; intertidal.

#### **HYALELLIDAE**

Hyalellidae are herbivorous talitroideans closely related to Hyalidae and Najnidae that live in coarse sand and rock-cobble areas and among aquatic plants. The hyalellids are relatively helpless out of water, while the allorchestids hop and otherwise move quickly when exposed. The first male gnathopods are modified for clasping to the highly modified female ventral pereonite 2 and dorsal coxa 2 (Hendrycks and Bousfield 2001) (plate 272H, 272J).

#### KEY TO HYALELLIDAE

- 1. Primarily freshwater, pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge serrate (plate 272A); telson uncleft (plate 272B) . . . . . . .

- 3. Pereopod 5, article 4 width one-half of the length (plate 272G); female coxa 2 anteriodistal preamplexing notch broadly obtuse (plate 272H) . . . . . . . . Allorchestes angusta

## LIST OF SPECIES

Allorchestes angusta Dana, 1856. Japanese records refer to A. malleola (Stebbing 1899); 10 mm; intertidal—1 m.

Allorchestes bellabella Barnard, 1974. Marine to estuarine, sometimes planktonic; 13 mm; intertidal—7 m.

Allorchestes rickeri Hendrycks and Bousfield, 2001. Open coast and semiprotected sand and rock beaches; 6 mm; intertidal.

Hyalella azteca (Saussure, 1858). A mostly freshwater species with low-salinity populations in upper estuaries, coastal lakes, rivers, and barrier beach lagoons to the tree line; likely species complex (Hogg et al. 1998), but also with many likely introduced populations. The illustration of H. azteca from San Francisco Bay in Toft et al. (2002) is of Hyalella montezuma Cole and Watkins, 1977, from Montezuma Well, Arizona, and not of San Francisco Bay material; 5 mm; intertidal—20 m.

## HYALIDAE

Hyalidae are intertidal marine and estuarine herbivores with entirely cleft fleshy telsons and greatly reduced urosomite 2. Hyalids hop and otherwise move quickly when exposed. The first male gnathopods are modified for clasping to the highly modified female ventral pereonite 2 and dorsal coxa 2 (Bousfield and Hendrycks 2002) (plate 273T).

## KEY TO HYALIDAE

- 1. Uropod 3 with scalelike inner ramus (plate 273A); maxilla 1 palp consisting of two articles (plate 273B) . . . . . . . . 2
- Uropod 3 with single ramus (plate 273C); maxilla 1 palp consisting of a single article (plate 273D) . . . . . . . . . . . 4
- 2. Antenna 2 peduncle length less than length from anterior head to posterior pereonite 1 and faint dorsal carination on pereonites 1–6 (plate 273E).....
- Parallorchestes americana
   Antenna 2 peduncle length greater than length from anterior head to posterior pereonite 1 and faint dorsal carination on pereonites 1–5 only or absent entirely (plate 273H).....3
- Peduncle of antenna 2 length greater than distance from anterior head to pereonite 2, faint carination on pereonites




PLATE 273 Hyalidae. D, I, N–P, Apohyale anceps; S, Apohyale californica; Q, R, Apohyale pugettensis; E, Parallorchestes americana; A, B, G, Parallorchestes cowani; H, Parallorchestes leblondi; C, F, K, Protohyale frequens; L, Protohyale longipalpa (T, amplexis notch); J, M, Ptilohyale littoralis (figures modified from Barnard 1952b, 1962c, 1969a; Bousfield 1973; and Bousfield and Hendrycks 2002).




PLATE 274 Amphilochidae. A, L, Apolochus barnardi; J, K, Aplolochus litoralis; I, Apolochus picadurus; B–D, Gitana calitemplado; E–H, Hourstonius vilordes (figures modified from Barnard 1962c and Hoover and Bousfield 2001).

- Antenna 2 peduncle article 5 without ventral setae (plate 273Q)......8

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Apohyale anceps (Barnard, 1969a) (=Hyale anceps). Abundant on wave-dashed turf platforms and under cobbles and in *Silvetia* of the rocky open coast; 12–18 mm; intertidal.

Apohyale californica (Barnard, 1969a) (=Hyale grandicornis californica). Abundant on wave-dashed turf platforms and under cobbles and in *Silvetia* of the rocky open coast. Whether *A. californica* and *A. pugettensis* are different species or size-related morphologies of a single species is unclear; 6 mm–12 mm; intertidal.

Apohyale pugettensis (Dana, 1853) (=Hyale pugettensis). Frequent in nearly freshwater open coast spray pools and above high-water level along bedrock shores; 18 mm; intertidal.

\*Hyale seminuda (Stimpson 1856). Stimpson noted that this species occurred "on seaweed and among barnacles on piles, stones, etc. at half tide in San Francisco Harbor." The identity of *H. seminuda* is unclear; no additional records have appeared since the original report; 13 mm; intertidal.

Parallorchestes americana Bousfield and Hendrycks, 2002. Specific distinctions in carination among Parallorchestes of the northeast Pacific are unclear and awaiting analyses of sexual, allometric, and meristic variation. Commonly free swimming in intertidal areas of surf-exposed costs; 7.5 mm; intertidal.

Parallorchestes cowani Bousfield and Hendrycks, 2002 (=Allorchestes ochotensis in part). Free swimming or associated with brown algae and *Phyllospadix* at low water on exposed and semiprotected rocky coasts; 13 mm; intertidal.

*Parallorchestes leblondi* Bousfield and Hendrycks, 2002. Exposed sandy and rocky beaches at low water level; 11 mm; intertidal.

*Prohyale frequens* (Stout, 1913) (=*Hyale frequens*). Characters distinguishing all other *Prohyale* of the region (*P. jarrettae, P. oclairi,* 

and *P. setucornis*) from *P. frequens* (Bousfield and Hendrycks 2002) are indistinct when adjusted for size. One of the most abundant intertidal amphipods and particularly abundant among *Phyllospadix* roots and coralline algae of open and semiprotected coasts; 8 mm; intertidal—6 m.

*Protohyale longipalpa* Bousfield and Hendrycks 2002. Among algae in semiprotected areas; 8.5 mm; intertidal—1 m.

Ptilohyale littoralis (Stimpson, 1853) (=Hyale plumulosa, Ptilohyale plumulosa, Hyale crassicorne, Ptilohyale litoralis). Male head shown in plate 256F. A probable solid ballast introduction between the northwest Atlantic and northwest Pacific and Australia. Protected shores in salt marshes among Spartina and fucoids, stones, or high-tide, low-salinity pools; 8 mm; intertidal.

### **AMPHILOCHIDAE**

Seldom observed alive, Amphilochidae are small colorful leucothoideanlike amphipods commensal with sea fans, hydroids, and other sessile marine invertebrates. They are distinguished by prominent, decurved rostrums, projecting article 5 of gnathopod 2 along the posterior edge of article 6, round or oval eyes with darkly pigmented centers surrounded by pale ommatidia, laminate uncleft acute telsons and second uropods that do not extend as far as uropods 1 and 3 (plates 256], 274A).

### KEY TO AMPHILOCHIDAE

- 1. Telson 2.3 times as long as wide, lateral edges straight and distally acute (plate 274B); gnathopods 1 and 2 weak, palm of article 6 indistinct (plate 274C, 274D)......

<sup>\*</sup> = Not in key.

- Apolochus picadurus
   Gnathopod 2 anterior margin curved outward and not projecting over dactyl hinge; distal margin of article 4 bearing one spine only (plate 274L) . . . . . . Apolochus barnardi

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Apolochus barnardi Hoover and Bousfield, 2001 (=Amphilochus neapolitanus). In Phyllospadix and Egregia root masses and among coralline algae; 2.5 mm; intertidal—6 m.

Apolochus littoralis (Stout, 1912) (=Amphilochus littoralis). Low intertidal rocks and shell and among coralline algae; 2.3 mm; intertidal—2 m.

Apolochus picadurus (Barnard, 1962c) (=Amphilochus picadurus). Mud and rock bottoms; 2.7 mm; 2 m-6 m.

Gitana calitemplado Barnard, 1962c. A rare shallow water species of bays and protected coasts. Whole body illustration plate 256J; 2.0 mm; 9 m–27 m.

Hourstonius vilordes (Barnard, 1962c) (=Gitanopsis vilordes). From rocks and Egregia; 3.0 mm; intertidal—4 m.

#### STENOTHOIDAE

Stenothoidae are commensals and probable parasites or micropredators on hydroids. Some species, including *Stenothoe valida*, are beautifully pigmented. "Steno" and "tho" mean narrow and quick, but stenothoids are fat and are not remarkably quick. Their massive coxae 2–4 cover all appendages (plate 275A), allowing rapid identification of the family. Undescribed species may occur in this region but are obscured by the poor taxonomic resolution of existing species. Concepts of stenothoid genera are based on the degree of fusion and reduction of mouth parts, which are delicate and easily broken or lost in dissections. Fusion or separation of articles can be difficult to determine (Barnard 1962c) and intraspecific variation in mouth part morphology is unknown. External morphology is emphasized here, but mouth part morphology may be more reliable.

## KEY TO STENOTHOIDAE

- 1. Article 2 of pereopod 6 linear, thin (plate 275A, 275B)..... 2
- Article 2 of pereopod 6 expanded (plate 275C, 275D) . . . . .
- 2. Mandibular palp 1 articulate or absent (no published il-

- 4. Gnathopod palm shallowly concave, with distal notch and large tooth, densely setose and lacking a proximal defining tooth (plate 275I); maxilla 1 palp of two articles (plate 275J); mandible lacking palp (plate 275K)......
- Stenothoe valida
   Gnathopod 2 palm with relatively few setae, a proximal defining tooth and a small distal hinge tooth (plate 275L–275N); mandible with palp (plate 275O, 275P); max-

- 5. Telson with four stout spines (plate 275S); mandibular palp of two articles (plate 275O) . . . . . . . . . Metopa cistella

- Gnathopod 1 article 5 length equal to article 6 (plate 275Y); pereopod 7 article 4 extending the length of article 5 (plate 275X) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Stenula incola

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Mesometopa esmarki (Boeck, 1872). Boeck's description and the only record of this species are based upon a specimen from central California, perhaps from San Francisco Bay. Only the incomplete illustrations reproduced herein were published. The long article 5 of gnathopod 1 (plate 275G) was reported to be of a male but is characteristic of females among stenothoids; 5 mm; intertidal.

*Mesometopa simuata* Shoemaker, 1964. Coos Bay to Monterey Bay (holotype collected from a boat bottom in Monterey Bay); the description is based on a male. Whether a female could be distinguished from *M. esmarki* is unclear; 4 mm; intertidal.

Metopa cistella Barnard, 1969. Commensal with anemones, hydroids and sea pens; 2.3 mm; low intertidal to deep subtidal.

\*Stenothoe estacola Barnard, 1962c. Pt. Conception and south, associated with the worm *Phragmatopoma*. Expanded posterior basis of pereopod 6, six stout spines on dorsal telson, but lacking extended setose palm of *S. valida*; 3.0 mm; intertidal.

Stenothoe valida (Dana, 1852). Cosmopolitan in marine bays and harbors of temperate latitudes; transported by human activity. Hydroid predator or commensal; 5 mm; shallow subtidal—10 m.

Stenothoides burbanki Barnard, 1969a. Among tunicates and sponges, algal turf, Egregia and Laminaria holdfasts; scarce. Except for lacking a mandibular palp, not distinguished from Mesometopa sinuata; 3 mm; intertidal—3 m.

Stenula incola Barnard, 1969a. Not clearly distinguished from *S. modosa* morphologically. Sex-based variation in *Metopa cistella* gnathopod morphology (Barnard 1969) closely matches the differences between *S. incola,* described entirely from a male specimen, and *S. modosa,* described from a female specimen. Occurring in algal turf; 3 mm; intertidal.

*Stenula modosa* Barnard, 1962c. Mud bottoms. Body shown in plate 256K. Distinguished from *S. incola* primarily by ecological differences; 2 mm; subtidal—92 m.

#### **AMPELISCIDAE**

Ampeliscidae build pocket-shaped tubes with a single opening in fine sand or mud bottoms and feed by sweeping in suspended particulates using their antennae. Urosomites 2–3 are fused; the head is longer than deep and lacks a rostrum. The eyes, when present, consist of dorsal frontal lenses with anterior pairs of ommatidia. *Byblis* and *Haploops* are predominantly deep-sea species. Pelagic phase males have long antennae, larger pleosomes, broad setose uropod 3 rami, and larger dorsal carina on urosomites 1. The taxonomy is based on female morphology.

<sup>\* =</sup> Not in key.




PLATE 275 Stenothoidae. E, G, Mesometopa esmarki; B, F, H, Mesometopa sinuata; C, L, O, Q, S, Metopa cistella; I–K, Stenothoe valida; A, Stenothoides burbanki; D, M, P, R, T, X, Y, Stenula incola; N, U–W, Stenula modosa (figures modified from Barnard 1953, 1962c, 1969a; Boeck 1872; and Shoemaker 1964).

## KEY TO AMPELISCIDAE

- 1. Pereopod 7 article 2 with roundly expanded posterior edge and more than twice as wide as article 3 (plate 276A) ... ... ... ... ... 2

- 3. Pleonite 3 posteriodistal corner produced into large or small acute tooth (plate 276A) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
- 4. Pereopod 7 article 5 anterior margin notched and article 4 posterior lobe broad, extending more than two-thirds the length of segment 5 (plate 276G); uropod 1 not reaching

- beyond midpoint of uropod 2 ramus (plate 276A); telson dorsal surface with long spines (plate 276H)......5
- Pereopod 7 article 5 without anterior notch and article 4 posterior lobe acute, extending less than two-thirds the length of article 5 (plate 276I); uropod 1 reaching beyond midpoint of uropod 2 ramus (plate 276J); telson dorsal surface with short spines (plate 276K) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6
- 5. Epimeron 3 posterior ventral tooth minute (plate 276L); head ventral edge slightly concave (plate 276M), uropod 1 rami extending to middle of uropod 2 rami (plate 276L)......

- Uropod 2 outer ramus with long subapical spine and pleonite 3 posterior margin sinuate (plate 276O) . . . . . . 7
- 7. Head lower front margin deeply concave and parallel with upper margin (plate 276P) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8
- Head lower front margin convex or only slightly concave




PLATE 276 Ampeliscaidae. F, Ampelisca abdita; O, Ampelisca brevisimulata; C, Ampelisca cristata; I–K, Ampelisca hancocki; G, H, L, M, Ampelisca indentata; D, P, Ampelisca pacifica; A, N, Ampelisca pugetica; E1, E2, Byblis veleronis; B, Haploops tubicola (figures modified from Barnard 1954b, 1966a; Dickinson 1982; and Mills 1964).

but never parallel with upper margin (plate 277Q).... 10 8. Uropod 3 inner ramus distally rounded (plate 276D); pleonite 2 posterioventral corner without a tooth ..... ..... Ampelisca pacifica Uropod 3 inner ramus distally pointed and pleonite 2 posterioventral corner with a tooth (plate 2760) . . . . . . . . 9 Pleonite 3 posterior produced into a large rounded process extending posteriorly to or beyond the ventral tooth; uropod 1 peduncle dorsolateral edge evenly curved without expansion; urosomal carina massive and not laminar (plate 276O); telson apex lobes narrow and lacking a notch (plate Pleonite 3 posterior edge weakly convex, not extending posteriorly beyond the ventral tooth; uropod 1 peduncle proximolateral edge expanded dorsally and urosomal carina laminar (plate 277C); telson apex lobes with a notch 10. Telson dorsal surface with short, blunt spines aligned in a median row (plate 277E) (this species may not occur in this Telson dorsal surface with long slender spines irregularly positioned over the lobes (plate 277F)......11 11. Antenna 1 insertion below dorsal head margin, forming a forehead, head lower anterior slightly concave, antenna 1 reaching end of peduncle of antenna 2 (plate 277A); telson lobes with apical notch laterally facing (plate 277F) (distinctions between A. caryei and A. unsocalae questioned by Watling 1997: 140) . . . . . . . . . . . . . Ampelisca careyi Antenna 1 insertion at dorsal head margin precluding a forehead, head lower anterior straight, without a concavity, antenna 1 not reaching end of peduncle of antenna 2 (plate 277G) telson lobes with apical notch facing slightly

article 2 basal lobe not extending past article 3 (plate 277I); mandibular palp article 2 with inflated edges curved (plate Pereopod 7 article 3 shorter than article 4 and article 2 basal lobe extending past article 3 (plate 276C, 276I); mandibular palp article 2 straight, parallel sided (plate 13. Pereopod 5 article 2 posterioventral lobe enlarged (plate 277L); pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge expanded (plate Pereopod 5 article 2 posterioventral lobe straight and indistinct (plate 277N); pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge almost evenly rounded, not expanded (plates 256L, 277I) 14. Uropod 2 outer ramus bearing subapical spine (plate 277O); telson lobes middle dorsal surface bearing one or two diagonal rows of five to nine setae (plate 277P); head ventral margin concave (plate 277Q)..... Uropod 2 outer ramus not bearing subapical spine (plate 277R); telson lobes middle dorsal surface bearing various arrangements of single setae (plate 277S); head ventral margin faintly incised or convex (plate 277T)......15 15. Urosomite 1 produced dorsally into a prominent carina or bump (plate 277C, 277R); female uropod 3 inner ramus inner edge smooth or only faintly serrate (plate 277U) . . . . Urosomite 1 evenly rounded not produced into prominent

carina or bump (plate 277V); female uropod 3 inner ramus

16. Uropod 1 rami similar in length and longer or equal in

12. Pereopod 7 article 3 equal to or longer than article 4 and




PLATE 277 Ampeliscidae. L, M, Ampelisca abdita; K, R, T, U, Y, Ampelisca agassizi; B, Ampelisca brevisimulata; Z, AA, Ampelisca brachycladus; A, F, Ampelisca careyi; C, D, Ampelisca cristata; S, V, W, Ampelisca lobata; E, Ampelisca macrocephala; I, J, N, Ampelisca milleri; X, BB, Ampelisca romigi; G, H, Ampelisca unsocalae; O–Q, Ampelisca venetiensis (figures modified from: Barnard 1954b, 1960b; Chapman 1988; Dickinson 1982; and Roney 1990).

- Pereopod 7 article 5 with spine bearing notch on anterior margin (plate 278C); uropod 1 inner margin outer ramus without spines (plate 278D); uropod 3 inner edge of inner ramus lined with smaller, unevenly spaced serrations that are without inserted spines (plate 278E, 278F) . . . . . . . . 19

Female uropod 3 inner ramus longer than outer ramus and inner edge of inner ramus with medium-size serrations (plate 278F); coxa 1 expanding distally (plate 278H) (Pacific records are probably *A. fageri* variants).....

..... Ampelisca schellenbergi

# LIST OF SPECIES

Ampelisca abdita Mills, 1967 (=A. milleri of earlier San Francisco Bay literature, not of Barnard, 1954b). An estuarine species native to and characteristic of the North American Atlantic coast, and introduced to central California (San Francisco and Tomales Bays); see Mills 1967, J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 24: 305–355 (biology, ecology); Chapman 1988, J. Crust. Biol. 8: 364–382 (introduced status). Whole body illustration shown in plate 256L; 8 mm; intertidal to 15 m.




PLATE 278 Ampeliscidae. D, E, G, Ampelisca fageri; A, B, Ampelisca lobata; C, F, H, Ampelisca schellenbergi; I, Byblis millsi; J, Byblis veleronis (figures modified from Barnard 1954b, 1967b; and Dickinson 1982, 1983).

*Ampelisca agassizi* (Judd, 1896). Western Atlantic and Eastern Pacific, cold temperate to tropical, and probably more than one species; 7.5 mm; 5 m–450 m.

\*Ampelisca brachycladus Roney, 1990. Southern, not reported in the region of this book, but likely to be confused with *A. agassizi*: 10 m–50 m.

Ampelisca brevisimulata Barnard, 1954b. 9 mm; 4 m–456 m. Ampelisca careyi Dickinson 1982. A possible variant of A. unsocalae; 12 mm; intertidal—200 m.

Ampelisca cristata Holmes, 1908. In coarse sand; 14 mm; intertidal—152 m.

Ampelisca fageri Dickinson, 1982. Mixed bottom areas of sand and boulders; 8 mm; intertidal—40 m.

Ampelisca hancocki Barnard, 1954b. Fine sand and silt; 6.5 mm; 9 m–200 m.

\*Ampelisca indentata Barnard, 1954b. Point Conception and south; not clearly within the region but could be confused with small *A. pugetica*; 5 mm; 33 m–98 m.

Ampelisca lobata Holmes, 1908, 7 mm, shallow subtidal to 591 m.

\*Ampelisca macrocephala (Liljeborg 1852). Boreal; 9 mm; 10 m–280 m.

Ampelisca milleri Barnard 1954b. Central California (Gulf of the Farallones) to Ecuador, and the Galapagos Islands, a native marine species earlier confused with the estuarine *A. abdita* in San Francisco Bay; 6 mm; intertidal—187 m.

Ampelisca pacifica Holmes, 1908. Monterey Bay and south; 12 mm: 5 m-1.821 m.

Ampelisca pugetica Stimpson, 1864. 8.5 mm; intertidal—255 m. Ampelisca romigi Barnard, 1954b. Monterey Bay and south; 10 mm; 3 m-508 m in coarse sand and gravel.

\*Ampelisca schellenbergi Shoemaker, 1933. A species recorded by this name from tropical and boreal seas. Records in our region are probably *A. fageri* variants; 7.6 mm; intertidal—46 m.

Ampelisca unsocalae Barnard, 1960b. 9 mm; 50 m–1,700 m. \*Ampelisca venetiensis, Shoemaker, 1916. Venice, California and south, but distribution poorly resolved; 18 mm; intertidal—84 m.

Byblis millsi Dickinson, 1983. 10 mm; intertidal—100 m. Byblis veleronis Barnard, 1954b. 14 mm; 5 m–422 m.

*Haploops* spp. Key to species in Dickinson 1983. A deep-water genus with two confirmed species in the region (*H. lodo* and *H. tubicola*, 18 mm).

\* = Not in key.

#### DEXAMINIDAE

Dexaminidae have fused urosomites 2 and 3 biramous uropod 3 and variable length rostrums. The inferior antennal sinus is small or lacking, the gnathopods weak or simple, the telson is laminar and deeply cleft, and coxae 1–4 are deep or shallow and, in common with Ampeliscidae, have deeply pleated gills. *Guernea, Paradexamine,* and *Polycheria* lack mandibular palps.

## KEY TO DEXAMINIDAE

- 1. Pereopods 3–7 fully prehensile, pereopods 5–7 article 2 narrow, coxae 1–4 longer than deep and rostrum indistinct (plate 279A); feeds upside down from inside tunicate *Amaroucium* colonies (plate 279B) . . . . . *Polycheria osborni*

- Coxa 4 lacking posterior expansion and urosomites with rounded mid dorsal teeth that lack an anterior notch (plate 279F)
- Telson longer than wide (plate 279H); eye shorter than the rostrum (plate 279I) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Atylus levidensus

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Atylus species were previously in Atylidae.




PLATE 279 Dexaminidae. F, G, Atylus georgianus; H, I, Atylus levidensus; E, Atylus tridens; D, Guernea reduncans; C, Paradexamine sp.; A, B, Polycheria osborni (figures modified from Barnard 1972a; Barnard and Karaman 1991a; Bousfield and Kendall 1994; and Skogsberg and Vansell 1928).

Atylus georgianus Bousfield and Kendall, 1994. Subtidal sand and eelgrass; 8 mm; intertidal—3 m.

Atylus levidensus Barnard, 1956. Various sediments but especially in sand; 12 mm; intertidal—3 m.

Atylus tridens (Alderman, 1936). Sand, eelgrass, and rocky bottoms, occasionally pelagic; 10 mm; intertidal—6 m.

Guernea reduncans (Barnard, 1957b) (=Dexamonica reduncans). In fine sand and green mud; 2.5 mm; subtidal—180 m.

Paradexamine sp. Introduced, occurs in high-salinity fouling communities of Los Angeles, Long Beach Harbor and San Francisco Bay; 5 mm, intertidal—3 m. The illustration of the Australian *P. frinsdorfi* Sheard, 1938 is provided as an example of the genus.

*Polycheria osborni* (Calman, 1898). *Polycheria* makes pits in compound tunicate tests (especially *Aplidium californicum*); they live upside down in the pits (which they can open and close), with their legs extended and pleopods propelling water forward along the body, while feeding on suspended particles. Broods up to 80 young in early summer. Intertidal rocky shores; 5.8 mm.

#### OEDICEROTIDAE

Oedicerotidae have weakly fossorial pereopods and burrow into fine sand or mud where most species are probably predators on meiofauna. The eyes are dorsally coalesced into a single mass on the decurved rostrum. The telson is laminar and entire, and pereopod 7 is more than half again as long as pereopod 6. Oedicerotidae lack an accessory flagellum. Mandibular palps are present and molars are prominent or reduced. The taxonomy is based on females.

## KEY TO OEDICEROTIDAE

- Gnathopod 2 subchelate (plate 280C); mandibular molar prominent (plate 280D) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6

- 4. Gnathopod 1 palm of article 6 transverse (plate 280H), gnathopod 2 dactyl nearly one-third of the length of article 6 (plate 280I) . . . . . . . . . Americhelidium rectipalmum
- Gnathopod 1 palm of article 6 is slightly oblique, verging toward subchelate (plate 280J); gnathopod 2 dactyl less than one-fourth of the length of article 6 (plate 280K)......

- 7. Pereopod 4 article 4 anteriorly expanded (plate 280Q) pereopod 7 article 2 posterior ventral corner expanded (plate 280R); pleonite 2 posterior ventral corner strongly produced and rostrum anterior curved to less than 60° angle of the head dorsum (plate 280R) . . . . . . . Pacifoculodes spinipes
- Pereopod 4 article 4 parallel sided (plate 280P, 280S);
   pleonite 2 posterior ventral corner square or rounded (plate




PLATE 280 Oedicerotidae. A, F, Americhelidium micropleon; J, M, Americhelidium pectinatum; G–I, Americhelidium rectipalmum; B, K, L, Americhelidium shoemakeri; E, Eochelidium sp. cf. E. miraculum; O, P, Hartmanodes hartmanae; S–W, Monoculodes emarginatus; D, Q, R, Pacifoculodes spinipes; C, N, Westwoodilla tone (figures modified from Barnard 1962d, 1977; Bousfield and Chevrier 1996; Imbach 1967; Jansen 2002; and Mills 1962).

#### LIST OF SPECIES

Americhelidium micropleon (Barnard, 1977) (=Synchelidium micropleon). On open fine sand beaches, not reported north of Dillon Beach; 3.5 mm; intertidal.




PLATE 281 Synopiidae. D-F, Metatiron tropakis; A-C, Tiron biocellata (figures modified from Barnard 1962b, 1972b).

Americhelidium pectinatum Bousfield and Chevrier, 1996. Shallow sandy sediments; 4 mm; intertidal—50 m.

Americhelidium rectipalmum (Mills, 1962) (=Synchelidium rectipalmum). Clean sand bottoms; 6 mm; intertidal—90 m.

Americhelidium shoemakeri (Mills, 1962) (=Synchelidium shoemakeri). Clean sand bottoms; 4.5 mm; intertidal—180 m.

Eochelidium sp. cf. E. miraculum (Imbach, 1967). The identity of this species is unclear. In fine silty sediments of warm, high-salinity harbor areas (Puget Sound, San Francisco Bay, and Los Angeles Harbor); a likely ballast water introduction from Asia; 5 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Hartmanodes hartmanae (Barnard, 1962d) (=Monoculodes hartmanae). Common in rocky intertidal and shallow water communities and scarce below 37 m; 5 mm; intertidal—146 m.

\*Monoculodes emarginatus Barnard, 1962d. Fine mud and sand, may not be a shallow water species, difficult to distinguish from *M. perditus* Barnard 1966; 4.5 mm; 10 m–200 m.

Pacifoculodes spinipes Mills, 1962 (=Monoculodes spinipes). Surf zone of open sandy beaches; 11 mm; intertidal—98 m.

Westwoodilla tone Jansen, 2002. Fine mud and sand, usually offshore; broad-bodied species; previously confused with the North Atlantic W. caecula (Bate, 1857) (see: Jansen 2002, Steenstrupia 27(1): 83–136); 8 mm; shallow subtidal—500 m.

## SYNOPIIDAE

Synopiidae (Tironidae) have helmet or plough-shaped heads, prominent and multiarticulate accessory flagella, similar length pereopods 6 and 7 (relative to Oedicerotidae), and strong sexual dimorphism. Collected in both benthic grabs and vertical plankton hauls, and some species having peculiar grasping dactyls (see *Metatiron*). Synopiidae may be commensals of benthic or epibenthic invertebrates. The family remains poorly studied in the region. Gnathopods of *Tiron* and *Metatiron* are weakly subchelate or simple, and they bear two accessory eyes near the base of antenna 2. *Garosyrrhoe* and *Syrrhoe*, occurring near our region, have transverse gnathopods and lack accessory eyes.

# KEY TO SYNOPIIDAE

  Pleonites crenulate only on dorsal third, dactyls stubby and twisted (plate 281D); telson lobes lined with large medial spines (plate 281E); mandibular palp absent and molar large (plate 281F) . . . . . . . . . . . . . Metatiron tropakis

#### LIST OF SPECIES

\*Garosyrrhoe bigarra (Barnard 1962b). Southern California; 4.5 mm; sublittoral.

*Metatiron tropakis* (Barnard, 1972b). In sand, both coasts of North America and the Caribbean; a species complex; 6 mm; 3 m–357 m.

\*Syrrhoe crenulata Göes, 1866. 10 mm; off of Oregon in deeper water (40 m–200 m).

\*Syrrhoe longifrons Shoemaker, 1964. Vancouver Island; 10 mm, "shallow waters."

*Tiron biocellata* Barnard 1962b. Rock bottoms associated with the worms *Diopatra* and *Nothria*. Caribbean records are probably of another species; 4.6 mm; shallow subtidal—180 m.

### LEUCOTHOIDAE

Leucothoidae are commensals of tunicates identifiable to family by their carpochelate gnathopods. Previously recognized Anamixidae appear to be nonfeeding super-male leucothoid morphs referred to as "anamorph" stages with mandibles and maxillae replaced by a ventral keel (Thomas and Barnard 1983) and "anamixid" females were previously recognized as *Leucothoides*. The Leucothoidae are thus likely to be sequential hermaphrodites. Distinctions among families, genera, species, and morphs (and the biogeography) in this group are difficult to resolve without greater knowledge of their life histories and development patterns. See Thomas 1997 Rec. Australian Mus. 49: 35–98.

## KEY TO LEUCOTHOIDAE

<sup>\* =</sup> Not in key.




PLATE 282 Leucothoidae. A, G, Leucothoe alata (male?); H, Leucothoe spinicarpa; B-F, Leucothoides pacifica (figures modified from Barnard 1955b. 1962c. 1969a and Nagata 1965a).

Leucothoides pacifica (Barnard 1955b) (=A. lindsleyi, Anamixis pacifica). In sponges and tunicates, especially on pilings. Leucothoides could be a morph of Leucothoe; 2.8 mm; intertidal—8 m.

Leucothoe alata Barnard, 1959b (=Anamixis pacifica). Introduced parasite and commensal of tunicates in San Francisco Bay, and recorded in "open-sea" algal bottoms (Barnard 1962C); Japan; sex (plate 282A) not given; to 12.3 mm; intertidal—18 m.

Leucothoe spinicarpa (Abildgaard, 1789). Introduced cosmopolitan marine parasite and commensal of tunicates "widely distributed from subarctic waters to south temperate regions; perhaps universally distributed" (Barnard 1962C) and thus likely to consist of multiple species; 3 mm; intertidal—10 m.

# STEGOCEPHALOIDEA

Stegocephaloidea (Iphimediidae and Odiidae) are distinguished primarily by mouth part morphology because the body sculpturing and ornamentations are often similar among genera. The cone-shaped mouth bundle, needle-shaped mandibles, and weak molars (plate 256KK–256LL) are probable adaptations for predation on coelenterates, sponges, and bryozoans (Coleman and Barnard 1991, Moore and Rainbow 1992). Most species appear to be protandrous hermaphrodites or parthenogenic (Moore 1992).

#### KEY TO STEGOCEPHALOIDEA

- Pleonites 1–3 with pairs of teeth at the posterior margins and dorsally (plate 283D, 283E); gnathopod 2 article 6 distally narrow (plate 283F); telson with nearly parallel lateral edges and broad posterior excavation (plate 283G, 283H) . . . . . 2
- 2. Telson posterior excavation deep (plate 283G); maxilla 1 palp of two articles (plate 283I) . . . . . . . Iphimedia rickettsi
  Telson posterior excavation shallow (plate 283H); maxilla

1 palp of one article (plate 283J) ..... Coboldus hedgpethi

#### LIST OF SPECIES

#### IPHIMEDIIDAE

Coboldus hedgpethi (Barnard, 1969a) (=Panoploea in Iphimediidae, previously Acanthonotozomatidae). In mixed sediments (especially cobbles) among algae and on harbor pilings; 4.5 mm; 1 m-82 m.

Iphimedia rickettsi (Shoemaker, 1931) (=Panoploea ricketsi). Rocky substrata, especially in holdfasts of kelps and coralline algae, possibly commensal; 8 mm; low intertidal—60 m.

#### LIST OF SPECIES

*Cryptodius kelleri* (Bruggen, 1907) (=*Odius kelleri*). Boreal northern Pacific, rocky substrata, especially among algae; 5 mm; intertidal—90 m.




PLATE 283 Stegocephaloidea. E, F, H, J, Coboldus hedgpethi; A-C, Cryptodius kelleri; D, G, I, Iphimedia rickettsi (figures modified from: Barnard 1969a and Moore 1992).




PLATE 284 Argissidae. A-C, Argissa hamatipes (figures modified from Barnard 1969b and Hirayama 1983).

#### ARGISSIDAE

Argissidae of this region include a single cosmopolitan species distinguished by the combination of long coxae 1 and 4, feeble gnathopods, telson deeply cleft and posteriorly expanded pereopod 7 article 2. Eyes (when present) consist of four visual elements. The Pacific species is unlikely to be the same as *A. hamatipes* of the North Atlantic. The unusual coxa of Argissidae may allow upside-down burrowing and feeding similar to Megaluropidae, which Argissidae resemble.

## KEY TO ARGISSIDAE

## LIST OF SPECIES

Argissa hamatipes (Norman, 1869). Cosmopolitan in mud, sand and rock benthos. A likely complex of species of which there are shallow and deep-water members. Males of eastern Pacific populations have carina on dorsal urosomites 1–3 (no illustrations published); 4.5 mm; 4 m–1,096 m.

## MEGALUROPIDAE

Megaluropidae feed upside down at the sand episurface. Their unusual coxae allow dorsal extension of pereopods 3–4 from

the upside down position; the long, flexible pereopods 5–7 can quickly dig into well-sorted sediments leaving only a hole at the sand surface that is maintained by the legs and leaf like uropods (Barnard et al., 1988, Crustaceana Suppl. 13: 234–244). Terminal pelagic males have large eyes and antenna 2 with long flagellum and setal tufts on the peduncle, a "distinctive" gnathopod 2 and large pleon.

## KEY TO MEGALUROPIDAE

- Gnathopod 2 article 4 not distally produced (plate 285E);
   male rostrum long, ocular lobe lacking sharp angle, accessory flagellum of one article (plate 285F) . . . . . . . Resupinus

## LIST OF SPECIES

\*Resupinus sp. Shallow-water tropical genus (Thomas and Barnard 1986) included for reference due to complex geography and taxonomy of *Gibberosus*.

Gibberosus myersi (McKinney, 1980) (=Megaluropus longimerus Barnard 1962b). Cryptogenic: in Atlantic from Caribbean to

\* = Not in key




PLATE 285 Megaluropidae. H, Gibberosus devaneyi; A–D, G, Gibberosus myersi; E, F, Resupinus (figures modified from Barnard 1962b; McKinney 1980; Thomas and Barnard 1986).

North Carolina and in the eastern Pacific from Peru to British Columbia; not likely to be a single species; eastern Pacific forms on sand bottoms, among *Phyllospadix* and *Silvetia*, and occasionally among *Anthopleura elegantissima*; 4 mm; intertidal—27 m.

\*Gibberosus devaneyi Thomas and Barnard 1986. La Jolla and south but may occur in the southern end of our region; 2–3 mm; intertidal sand beaches.

## LYSIANASSOIDEA

Lysianassoidea (Aristidae, Lysianassidae, Opisidae, Uristidae) are predators, scavengers, commensals, and parasites (Dahl, 1979; Conlan 1994). The mitten-shaped article 6 and long article 3 of gnathopod 2 and stubby article 1 of antenna 2 are distinctive characteristics of the infraorder. Telsons of lysianassids range from flat and deeply cleft to entire and stubby.

## KEY TO LYSIANASSOIDEA

- Uropod 3 consisting of peduncle only (plate 286A); pereopod 7 article 2 greatly expanded (plate 286B); body may be covered with scales and fuzz; one to three pleonites plus the first urosomite forming erect peaks (plate 286B); or body smooth, with only the third pleonite forming peaks (plate 286C) (secondary phase male) . . . . Ocosingo borlus
   Uropod 3 with two rami (plate 286D); body not as above

- Dactyl and palm of gnathopod 1 outlining a circular gap
  - \* = Not in key.

- 4. Telson entire (plate 286L)
   5

   Telson cleft (plate 286M)
   10

- 8. Posterior edge of telson with two stout spines (plate 286N); outer ramus of uropod 3 of two articles (plate 286V); gnathopod 1 palm transverse 7 (plate 286G)............

..... Macronassa pariter




PLATE 286 Lysianassoidea. Q, R, T, Aruga holmesi; S, U, Aruga oculata; F, L, O, P, Dissiminassa dissimilis; M, Lepidepecreum serraculum; W, Y, AA, BB, Macronassa macromera; X, Z, CC–FF, Macronassa pariter; G, N, V, Orchomenella recondita; A–C, Ocosingo borlus; J, K, Opisa tridentata; D, E, H, I, Prachynella lodo (figures modified from Barnard 1955a, 1964b, 1967c, 1969a; Bousfield 1987; Dalkey 1998; Shoemaker 1942; and Stasek 1958).

- Urosomite 1 rounded, not overlapping urosomite 2 (plate 287F) . . . . . . . . . Orchomene minutus
- Dorsal pereonites and pleonites carinate or sharply extending posteriorly (plate 287H); large projection on dorsal antenna 1, article 1 (plate 287I).... Lepidepecreum gurjanovae

- 14. Coxa 1 tapering distally, smaller and partially hidden by coxa 2 (plate 287K); uropod 3 outer ramus article 2 narrower

- 15. Coxa 1 anterior concave (plate 287A); and upper lip greatly extending beyond the epistome (not shown) . . . . . . . 16

- Pereopod 7 shorter than pereopod 6 (plate 287S).....18




PLATE 287 Lysianassoidea. A, O, P, Anonyx lilljeborgi; C, Q, R, Anonyx nugax; B, K, L, Aristias veleronis; S, T, Hippomedon columbianus; H, I, Lepidepecreum gurjanovae; D, E, G, Lepidepecreum serraculum; F, Orchomene minutus; J, Orchomene pacifica; M, Psammonyx longimerus; N, U, V, Wecomedon wecomus (figures modified from Barnard 1964c, 1971; Bousfield 1973; Dalkey 1998; Hurley 1963; Jarrett and Bousfield 1982; Lincoln 1979; and Steel and Brunel 1968).

#### ARISTIDAE

*Aristias veleronis* Hurley, 1963. A likely commensal with brachiopods, sponges, and ascidians. Possible synonym of *A. pacificus* Schellenberg, 1936; *Aristias* sp. A (1985, SCAMIT

Newsletter 3[10]) in the sponge *Staurocalyptus* may also be this species; 6 mm; intertidal—18 m.

### LYSIANASSIDAE

*Aruga holmesi* Barnard, 1955a. Soft sediment; Washington, California, Gulf of Mexico, Western Florida; perhaps two species, one in each ocean; 11.5 mm; intertidal—183 m.

*Aruga oculata* Holmes, 1908. Most common in shallow soft benthos of California; 15 mm; 1 m–457 m.

Dissiminassa dissimilis (Stout, 1913) (=Lysianassa dissimilis). Tomales Bay and south among Macrocystis holdfasts, Aplidium sp., loose rocks, Phyllospadix and coralline algae; 6 mm; intertidal—73 m.




PLATE 288 Urothoidae. A, B, D-F, *Urothoe elegans*; C, G-J, *Urothoe varvarini* (figures modified from Gurjanova 1953; Lincoln 1979; and Sars 1895).

Hippomedon columbianus Jarrett and Bousfield, 1982. Soft benthos, epimeral notch not apparent in specimens <3 mm; 4.8 mm; 4 m-320 m.

Lepidepecreum gurjanovae Hurley, 1963. Sex of illlustrated specimen not given. Three forms occur (1) in Carmel and Goleta, 0 m–3 m, (2) in southern California shelf, 15 m–135 m, and (3) from southern California to British Columbia, being the typical 3-mm form described by Hurley, 1963 (see Barnard 1969a: 175); intertidal–1,720 m; tiny (to 3 mm).

Lepidepecreum serraculum Dalkey, 1998. A shallow-water species of the *L. gurjanovae*—complex, fine sandy silt to coarse red sand off open coasts; also in harbors; 3 mm at Mexico-United States border ranging to 6 mm in Canada; intertidal—150 m.

Macronassa macromera (Shoemaker, 1916) (=Lysianassa macromera). Abundant in high-energy intertidal environments among Egregia holdfasts and Anthopleura elegantissima; 5 mm.

*Macronassa pariter* (Barnard, 1969a) (=*Lysianassa pariter*). Among sponges and tunicates, Cayucos and south; 5.7 mm; intertidal.

Ocosingo borlus Barnard, 1964c (=Fresnillo fimbriatus [Barnard, 1969]). A sequential hermaphrodite; the secondary phase male was renamed *F. fimbriatus* (see Lowry and Stoddart 1983, 1986); 2 mm; intertidal—180 m.

*Orchomene minutus* (Kroyer, 1846). A boreal species found south to Oregon and south to the Gulf of St. Lawrence in the Atlantic; 11 mm; intertidal—547 m.

*Orchomene pacifica* (Gurjanova, 1938). Japan Sea, coastal shelf of southern California; 5 mm; 3 m–421 m.

Orchomenella recondita (Stasek, 1958) (=Allogaussia recondita; =Orchomene recondita). Commensal in the gut of the sea anemone Anthopleura elegantissima, Oregon to Santa Cruz Island; intertidal; with global warming, should be watched for north of Oregon; 4 mm. See De Broyer and Vader 1990; Beaufortia 41: 31–38 (biology).

*Prachynella lodo* Barnard, 1964b. A southern species found as far north as Monterey Bay; also reported from Sea of Japan; 5.8 mm; 10 m-439 m.

*Psammonyx longimerus* Jarrett and Bousfield, 1982. Sandy sediments; 14 mm; intertidal—200 m.

Wecomedon wecomus (Barnard, 1971). Soft sandy sediments; 13 mm; intertidal—100 m.

OPISIDAE

*Opisa tridentata* Hurley, 1963. Fish gill parasite; 8 mm; 17 m–183 m.

#### URISTIDAE

Anonyx cf. lilljeborgi Boeck, 1871b. Soft sediments; another boreal species assumed to occur from the Gulf of Alaska to Mexico on our coast (southern populations should be reexamined), and from Nova Scotia to Delaware in the Atlantic, but perhaps representing a species complex; 11 mm; intertidal—1,015 m.

*Anonyx* cf. *nugax* (Phipps, 1774). Panboreal, south to California, perhaps representing a species complex but also perhaps misreported; up to 42 mm; 4 m–1,184 m.

### UROTHOIDAE

Urothoidae of our region are probably undescribed but have been variously assigned to *Urothoe varvarini* and *U. elegans*. Urothoids live in fine subtidal sediments over a large depth range and are likely meiofaunal predators. Although reported mostly from deep water, this obscure, low-density group could be overlooked in shallow marine benthic habitats. The description and illustrations here are composites from previous reports in which specimens from the region were compared with Sars' (1895) and Lincoln's (1979) illustrations of *U. elegans* and with Gurjanova's (1953) illustrations of *U. varvarini*.

# KEY TO UROTHOIDAE

 Large dactyls on pereopods 5–7; pereopod 7 article 2 oval (plate 288A); prominent mandibular palp and molar (plate 288B); weak rostrum, broad ventral extensions of the head and prominent accessory flagellum (plate 288A, 288C–D); small subchelate gnathopods (plate 257L); spinose fossorial pereopods 5 (plate 288F, 288G) and




PLATE 289 Phoxocephalidae. A, Foxiphalus obtusidens; C–E, K, L, Grandifoxus grandis; M, N, Grandifoxus longirostris; I, J, Grandifoxus sp.; B, Heterophoxus oculatus; H, Metharpinia coronodoi (expanded tip of outer ramus); AA, Rhepoxynius fatigans; V–X, Rhepoxynius barnardi; O, R–U, Rhepoxynius bicuspidatus; P, Rhepoxynius daboius; CC, Rhepoxynius heterocuspidatus; Z, Rhepoxynius sp. D; BB, Rhepoxynius sp. L; F, G, Rhepoxynius stenodes; Q, Rhepoxynius variatus; Y, Rhepoxynius vigitegus (figures modified from Barnard 1960a, 1971, 1980a, 1980b; Barnard and Barnard 1982a;, Coyle 1982; Gurjanova 1938; and Jarrett and Bousfield 1994a).

### LIST OF SPECIES

\**Urothoe elegans* Bate 1857. Cited in the eastern Pacific but not clearly present; mud benthos; 6 mm; shallow subtidal—shelf depths.

\**Urothoe varvarini* Gurjanova 1953. Rare in mud benthic samples; 5 mm; 5 m–1,292 m.

# PHOXOCEPHALIDAE

Phoxocephalidae, "spiny heads," are the most diverse and abundant sand- and mud-burrowing marine crustaceans of the 1 mm–10 mm range in coastal soft bottoms after ostracodes (Barnard 1960a). Phoxocephalidae variously resemble Dogielonotidae, Haustoriidae, Urothoidae, Gammaridae, and Pontoporeiidae, but are distinguished readily from these taxa by their shieldlike pointed rostrums. Sexual dimorphism occurs in eye development, uropod 3, gnathopods, and antennae. Phoxocephalid taxonomy is reliable only for females and rests on

\* = Not in key.

untested assumptions of the invariance of characters. Phoxocephalidae are predators of meiofauna and invertebrate larvae (Oliver et al. 1982, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 7: 179–184; Oakden 1984, J. Crust. Biol. 4: 233–247). They live a year or more (Kemp et al., 1985, J. Crust. Biol. 5: 449–464) and are used extensively in aquatic toxicology due to their great sensitivity to pollutants (Robinson et al. 1988, Environ. Tox. Chem. 7: 953–959).

# KEY TO PHOXOCEPALIDAE

- Epimera 1 and 2 without posterior setae (plate 289F); telson with only distal spines (plate 289G) . . . . . . . . . 6
- 3. Uropods 1 and 2 with tiny, subapical supernumerary spines on one or more rami and with subapical spines poorly developed (plate 289H); examine this character under a minimum of 50x magnification . . . . . . . . . . . . Metharpinia spp.




PLATE 290 Phoxocephalidae. EE, Heterophoxus sp. 1; CC, DD, Mandibulophoxus gilesi; M, P, R, Rhepoxynius abronius; AA, BB, Rhepoxynius daboius; W–Z, Rhepoxynius fatigans; A, G, L, Rhepoxynius heterocuspidatus; K, Rhepoxynius homocuspidatus; U, V, Rhepoxynius lucubrans; N, M, Rhepoxynius menziesi; D, J, Rhepoxynius pallidus; B, C, H, Rhepoxynius stenodes; E, F, I, Rhepoxynius tridentatus; S, T, Rhepoxynius variatus (figures modified from Barnard 1954a, 1957c, 1960a; and Barnard and Barnard 1982a).

- 4. Coxae 1–3 with distinct posterioventral tooth (plate 289K); uropod 1 inner distal peduncle lacking large displaced Coxae 1-3 posteriorly rounded (plate 289M); uropod 3 with large distal medial spine (plate 289N) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Grandifoxus longirostris 5. Uropods 1 and 2 outer ramus spines small (plate 289L) . . . . ..... Grandifoxus grandis Uropods 1 and 2 (U<sub>2</sub> not illustrated) outer ramus spines thick, rhomboid (plate 289J); coxae 1 setae narrowly spread 6. Pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge with two prominent Pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge with three or more large Epistome prominent and pointed (plate 289Q) (R. sp. A not illustrated but similar to R. variatus)..... ...... Rhepoxynius sp. A — Epistome blunt, inconspicuous (plate 289R) . . . . . . . . 8 8. Female gnathopods 1 and 2 article 6 anterior and posterior edges parallel at distal end (plate 289S, 289T); telson with short distal setae (plate 289U) ..... Female gnathopods 1 and 2 article 6 expanding at distal
- ends (plate 289V, 289W); telson with long distal setae (plate 289X) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Rhepoxynius barnardi Urosome dorsal surface smooth, without a tooth (plate Urosome dorsal surface bearing conspicuous anteriorly reverting tooth (plate 289Y)..........Rhepoxynius vigitegus 10. Urosome with lateral spine row (plate 289Z)..... Urosome bare, without lateral spine row (plate 289A). . . . . 11. Epistome rounded, lacking anterior cusp......12 Epistome anterior cusp pointed (long or short) (plate 12. Pereopod 7, article 2 posterior edge with six or more small Pereopod 7, article 2 posterior with five or less prominent 13. Female uropod 3 inner ramus more than two-thirds length of outer ramus (plate 290A, 290B); pereopod 7 article 2 posterior edge with more than three teeth (plates 289CC, Female uropod 3 inner ramus length one-half or less of outer ramus (plate 290D, 290E); pereopod 7 article 2 with




PLATE 291 Phoxocephalidae. B, Cephalophoxoides homilis; P, Eyakia robusta; O, U, W, X, Foxiphalus golfensis; T, Foxiphalus obtusidens; A, Heterophoxus; F, G, Heterophoxus affinis; H, I, Heterophoxus conlanae; J, Heterophoxus ellisi; C, D, Heterophoxus oculatus (original drawing incomplete); E, K, Heterophoxus sp. 1; N, Q, V, Majoxiphalus major; M, Metaphoxus frequens; L, Parametaphoxus quaylei; R, S, Paraphoxus sp. 1 (figures modified from Barnard 1960a; Holmes 1908; and Jarrett and Bousfield 1994b).

- Pereopod 7 article 2 with four symmetrical posterior teeth (plate 290C); rostrum narrow, with base less than one-third of the head width (plate 290H).... Rhepoxynius stenodes

- 16. Antenna 1, article 2 lateral marginal setae extending to apex (plate 290K) . . . . . . . . . Rhepoxynius homocuspidatus
- Antenna 1, article 2 lateral marginal setae extending less than one-third of the distance to the apex (plate 290L) (illustrations of R. sp. L not published; R. heterocuspidatus is a similar example) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Rhepoxynius sp. L
- Epistome cusp short, extending approximately equal to width of its base (plate 289AA)......20

_	Gnathopod 1 article 6 broad (plate 290AA); pereopod 7 ar-
	ticle 2 ventral edge straight (plate 290BB)
	Rhepoxynius daboius
22.	Pigmented eyes absent (plate 290CC) (check also Het-
	erophoxus oculatus if antenna 2 ensiform process is longer
	than wide); pereopod 5 article 2 slightly concave and ex-
	panding distally (plate 290DD)
	Pigmented eyes present (plate 290EE); pereopod 5 article
	2 convex and parallel-sided or slightly narrowing distally
23.	Antenna 2 with ensiform process longer than wide (plate
	291A)
_	Antenna 2 ensiform process absent or wider than long
	(plate 291B)
24.	Epimeron 3 tooth weakly upturned or straight (plate
	291C); pereopod 6, article 6 with posterior setae (plate
	291D)
_	Epimeron 3 tooth strongly upturned (plate 291E, 291F); pere-
	opod 6, article 6 without posterior setae (plate 291G)
25	Discrite 2 with many them 14 mental planes and
25.	Pleonite 3 with more than 14 ventral, plumose setae and posterioventral tooth as thick at the base as length (plate
	291C)
_	Pleonite 3 with less than 14 ventral, plumose setae and with
	posterioventral tooth longer than thick (plate 291H)
	26
26.	Pereopod 6 article 6 posterior edge lined with doubly or
	triply inserted setae (plate 291I); female pereopod 7 with
	small coxal gill Heterophoxus conlanae
_	Pereopod 6 article 6 posterior edge lined with singly in-
	serted setae (plate 291J); female pereopod 7 lacking coxal
27	gill
27.	Pereopod 6 article 5 posterior margin with three setae pairs plus a single seta and article 6 with one mid-posterior seta
	(plate 291K)
_	Pereopod 6 articles 5 and 6 with posterior setae only on ex-
	treme distal ends (plate 291G) Heterophoxus affinis
28.	Female gnathopod 1 article 5 attachment to article 6 con-
	stricted (plate 291L); pereopod 5, article 4 deeper than wide
	(plate 291M)
_	Female gnathopod 1 article 5 attachment to article 6 nor-
	mal, unconstricted (plate 291N); pereopod 5, article 4
20	wider than deep (plate 2910)
29.	oblique row of facial setae (plate 291P)
	Eyakia robusta
_	Epimeron 3 posterioventrally square or rounded and with-
	out an oblique row of facial setae (plate 291Q)30
30.	Pereopod 6 dactyl long and thin (plate 291R); antenna 2,
	articles 4 and 5 without facial spine clusters (plate 291S)
	Paraphoxus sp. 1
_	$Pereopod\ 6\ dactyl\ shorter\ and\ relatively\ stout\ (plate\ 291T);$
	antenna 2, articles 4 and 5 with facial spine clusters (plate
2.1	291U)
31.	Epimeron 3 posterior edge lined with 20 or more setae
	(plate 291Q); mandibular palp second article swollen (plate
	291V)
_	291W); mandibular palp article 2 linear with parallel sides
	(plate 291X)32
32	Uropod 1 peduncle with large displaced lateral or medial
J.	distal spine (plate 292A) (variable in <i>Eobrolgus</i> , plate 292L)

- Foxiphalus golfensis
   Pereopod 7 article 2 ventral edge smooth and without long setae (plate 292D) . . . . . . Foxiphalus falciformis
- 34. Epistome produced (plate 292E)......35

- 36. Telson lobes with dorsolateral spines (plate 292J) . . . . . 37
  Telson lobes without dorsolateral spines (plate 292K). . . . .
- 37. Uropod 1 inner ramus apical nail flexible, articulate (flex the inner distal nail with a fine needle to make this observation) (plate 292A, right arrow) . . . . . . Foxiphalus obtusidens

- 40. Gnathopods 1 and 2 sixth articles similar in shape and length (plate 292U, 292V); mandibular molar triturative (plate 292W) . . . . . . . . . . . Cephalophoxoides homilis

- Pereopod 5 coxa extending more than 50% of article 2 length(plate 292DD); pereopod 6 article 2 posterior ventral corner square (plate 292EE) . . . . . . . . . Parametaphoxus sp.

Cephalophoxoides homilis (Barnard 1960a) (=Phoxocephalus homilis). Intertidal eelgrass beds (Dean and Jewitt 2001, Ecol. Appl. 11: 1456–1471) and soft benthos; 4.3 mm; intertidal—250 m.

Eobrolgus chumashi Barnard and Barnard, 1981. Marine, estuary, muddy sands; body (plate 256M). Eobrolgus are not




PLATE 292 Phoxocephalidae. U–W, Cephalophoxoides homilis; R, S, Eobrolgus chumashi; L, P, T, Eobrolgus spinosus; N, O, Foxiphalus aleuti; E, Foxiphalus cognatus (epistomes); D, Foxiphalus falciformis; B, C, Q, Foxiphalus golfensis (distal inner plate); E, F, G (epistomes); A, F, G, J, Foxiphalus obtusidens; H, I, Foxiphalus similis; M, Foxiphalus xiximeus; K, Majoxiphalus major; X–Z, Metaphoxus frequens; CC, Parametaphoxus quaylei; AA, BB, DD, EE, Parametaphoxus sp. (figures modified from Alderman 1936; Barnard 1960a, 1964a; Barnard and Barnard 1982a, 1982b; Chapman, personal communication; and Jarrett and Bousfield 1994a, 1994b).

distinguished morphologically from *Foxiphalus falciformis*; 4.5 mm; intertidal—11 m.

Eobrolgus spinosus (Holmes, 1903). A possible introduction from the Northwest Atlantic; "study on hybridization [with E. chumashi] is warranted" (Barnard and Barnard, 1981). Displaced uropod 1 spine on uropod 1 variably present. Estuarine, muddy sand; 4 mm; intertidal.

Eyakia robusta. (Homes, 1908). Associated with brittle stars, occasional surface swimmer of neritic zone; Alaska population is possibly a different species (Jarrett and Bousfield 1994a Amphipacifica 1: 89); 6.5 mm–15 mm; intertidal—320 m.

Foxiphalus aleuti Barnard and Barnard, 1982b. In sand; 9 mm; subtidal—110 m.

Foxiphalus cognatus (Barnard, 1960). In coarse shell and sand; 5 mm; intertidal—324 m.

Foxiphalus falciformis Jarrett and Bousfield, 1994a. Fine marine sands; doubtfully distinguished from *Eobrolgus* and *F. golfensis* by pereopod 7 and minute differences in mandibles; 8 mm; intertidal.

Foxiphalus golfensis Barnard and Barnard, 1982b. Oregon and south; 9.1 mm; intertidal—91 m.

Foxiphalus obtusidens (Alderman, 1936). Common in sand tide pools; 5.5 mm–15 mm; intertidal—210 m.

Foxiphalus similis (Barnard, 1960a). Surf-protected fine sands; 5 mm; sublittoral—324 m.

*Foxiphalus xiximeus* Barnard and Barnard, 1982. May not be distinct from *F. obtusidens*; medium surf-exposed beaches in sand; 8 mm; low intertidal—20 m.

*Grandifoxus grandis* (Stimpson, 1856) (=*Paraphoxus milleri*). Often in reduced salinities; 9.5 mm–14 mm; intertidal.

*Grandifoxus longirostris* (Gurjanova, 1938). In sand, largely subtidal; 8 mm; 10 m–90 m.

*Grandifoxus* sp. Barnard 1980a. Pacific Grove, from a "senile" incompletely described 14.6 mm male, may not be distinct from *G. grandis*; intertidal sands.

Heterophoxus affinis (Holmes, 1908). In fine sand to mud, the deep-water populations may include Heterophoxus sp. 1

of Jarrett and Bousfield 1994b; 9 mm; shallow subtidal to 600+ m.

Heterophoxus conlanae Jarrett and Bousfield, 1994b. Not clearly distinguished from H. oculatus; 8 mm; intertidal—40 m.

Heterophoxus ellisi Jarrett and Bousfield 1994b. Fine sands and mud; 7 mm; intertidal—155 m.

Heterophoxus oculatus (Holmes, 1908). In fine sands, eye loss occurs in deeper populations and is not accompanied by other character differences (Cadien 2002, SCAMIT 21[2]: 7); 9 mm; 10 m–120 m.

Heterophoxus sp. 1 Jarrett and Bousfield 1994b. Southern California, not clearly distinguished from H. affinis; fine sediments: 7 mm: 90 m–360 m.

*Majoxiphalus major* (Barnard, 1960a). *Majoxiphalus* is poorly distinguished from *Foxiphalus*; differences may be size- or agerelated; 6.5 mm–17.5 mm; intertidal—91 m.

Mandibulophoxus gilesi Barnard, 1957c. Fine sands; 6 mm; shallow subtidal—14 m.

Metaphoxus frequens Barnard, 1960a. Fine sands and muddy sand; 3.5 mm; intertidal—496 m.

\*Metharpinia coronadoi Barnard, 1980a. Southern California, posterioventral corner of pleonite 3 produced into a large hook, muddy sand; 7 mm; 18 m–43 m.

\*Metharpinia jonesi (Barnard, 1963). Southern, pleonite 3 without posterioventral hook; 3.8 mm; intertidal—18 m.

\*Parametaphoxus sp. Chapman (undescribed). Southern California and south; 3.5 mm; intertidal—170 m.

\*Parametaphoxus quaylei Jarrett and Bousfield 1994b. Fine sand and mud, Washington and north; 2.8 mm; 25 m–100 m. Parametaphoxus sp. Chapman and P. quaylei may occur in our region.

\*Paraphoxus spp. Barnard 1960a. Shallow water Paraphoxus reported north and south of the region in mixed sediments and mud but not confirmed in the region (Barnard 1979b); 3 mm–5 mm; shallow subtidal to 2,800 m.

Rhepoxynius abronius (Barnard, 1960a). Abundant inshore and subtidally at the high salinity mouths of estuaries, mostly in surf-protected localities, in sand to below 50 m. An important species for toxicity bioassays (Ambrose 1984, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 80: 67–75 (behavior); DeWitt et al. 1988, Mar. Envir. Res. 25: 99–124 (sediment features, toxicity); Swartz 1986, Mar. Envir. Res. 18: 133–153 (toxicity); 5.5 mm; shallow subtidal—90 m.

\*Rhepoxynius barnardi Jarrett and Bousfield 1994a. Sand habitats, a possible synonym of *R. bicuspidatus*; 4 mm; intertidal—59 m.

Rhepoxynius bicuspidatus (Barnard, 1960a). Fine sand and sandy mud, a low proportion of specimens of this species has three spurs on article 2 of one or both seventh pereopods; 4.5 mm;  $8\ m-475\ m$ .

\*Rhepoxynius boreovariatus Jarrett and Bousfield 1994a. Northern; 4.5 mm; intertidal—40 m.

Rhepoxynius daboius (Barnard, 1960a). Sandy mud, a probable synonym of R. fatigans; 4 mm; intertidal—813 m.

*Rhepoxynius fatigans* (Barnard, 1960a). Sandy mud; 4 mm; intertidal—330 m.

\*Rhepoxynius heterocuspidatus (Barnard, 1960a). 4.8 mm, intertidal—146 m. This species, Rhrepoxynius sp. C, R. stenodes and the following three species occur south of this region.

\*Rhepoxynius homocuspidatus (Barnard and Barnard, 1982a). 3.5 mm; intertidal—64 m.

\*Rhepoxynius lucubrans (Barnard, 1960a). 5.3 mm; intertidal—91 m.

\*Rhepoxynius menziesi (Barnard and Barnard, 1982a). 7 mm; intertidal—22 m.

\*Rhepoxynius pallidus (Barnard, 1960). British Columbia and Washington; possible synonym of *R. tridentatus*; 6 mm; intertidal—40 m

*Rhepoxynius* sp. A SCAMIT, 1987. Sand benthos; length not known; <20 m.

\* $\it Rhepoxynius sp. C$  Barnard and Barnard 1982a. Sand; 4.3 mm; intertidal—15 m.

\*Rhepoxynius sp. D Barnard and Barnard 1982a. Southern California, a possible morph of *R. menziesi*; 8 mm; intertidal—27 m

Rhepoxynius sp. L Barnard and Barnard 1982a. Dillon Beach, fine sand; epistome is assumed to be rounded since it combines "characters of both *R. heterocuspidatus*" and *R. homocuspidatus*" (Barnard and Barnard 1982a); a lack of illustrations of the epistome and antenna 1 leave the placement of this species uncertain; 5.7 mm; intertidal—2 m.

\*Rhepoxynius stenodes (Barnard, 1960a). Muddy sand; 3.5 mm; 2 m-374 m.

Rhepoxynius tridentatus (Barnard, 1954a). Mud and sand; 5 mm, intertidal—89 m.

*Rhepoxynius variatus* (Barnard, 1960a). Muddy sands; number and relative sizes of teeth on posterior pereopod 7 are variable; 5 mm; intertidal—89 m.

Rhepoxynius vigitegus (Barnard,1971). Sandy mud; 4.5 mm; shallow subtidal to 30 m.

#### **PONTOPOREIIDAE**

Pontoporeiidae are represented in the eastern Pacific by Diporeia erythrophthalma and Monoporeia sp. (see species list below). The figures are of Monoporeia affinis for identifying the genus. American pontoporeiids were long assumed to be "glacial marine relicts" dispersed over North America and Eurasia during the Pleistocene deglaciation by marine inundations of coastal regions that trapped brackish water species in freshening ponds and then forced them inland (e.g., Segersträle 1976, Dadswell 1974). However molecular (Väinölä and Varvio 1989) and morphological data (Bousfield 1989) indicate that speciation among these "relicts" has occurred, a pattern expected from long isolation among distant populations rather than recent arrivals. Pontoporeiidae mate pelagically (Bousfield 1989), and male antennae can be twice as long as their bodies. Pontoporeiidae differ from Gammaridae by lacking pereopod 7 coxal gills, from Phoxocephalidae by lacking a rostrum, and from Urothoidae by dissimilar pereopods 6 and 7.

### KEY TO PONTOPOREIIDAE

<sup>\* =</sup> Not in key.




PLATE 293 Pontoporeiidae. A-G, Monoporeia sp. (figures modified from Bousfield 1989).

\*Diporeia erythrophthalma (Waldron 1953). Named for its red eyes, known only from freshwater Lake Washington and the only other pontoporeiid of the eastern Pacific; 5 mm; 0 m–50 m.

*Monoporeia* sp. Restricted to the low-salinity benthos of the lower Columbia River where it is common, and from a single male collected in August 2004 from low-salinity benthos of Yaquina Bay, Oregon. Cryptogenic (historical occurrence in the region unclear, but unknown elsewhere); 8 mm; intertidal—20 m.

### **PLEUSTIDAE**

Pleustidae are commensals, egg predators, and microparasites of other invertebrates and are common in fouling communities. The left mandible morphology is used for taxonomy because the right lacinia mobilis is greatly reduced or missing in many species. Thorlaksonius may be Batesian mimics of snails, while the bright colors of Chromopleustes may be for warning or Mullerian mimicry. Males have relatively larger gnathopods and smaller, narrower bodies, but sexual dimorphism is weak. The distinctive and beautiful pigmentation of pleustids is lost in preservation. The loss of pigment and the emphasis placed on the left mandible morphology to define species increases the difficulty of distinguishing pleustids of the region. Genera and species erected without complete notes on the presence or absence of the mandibular molar are doubtful; in particular, all characters proposed to distinguish Gnathopleustes, Incisocalliope, and Trachypleustes vary uniformly among the taxa or with size and thus do not yet reveal significant differences.

### KEY TO PLEUSTIDAE

- 1. Gnathopods 1 and 2 article 5 distally truncate and broadly attached to article 6 (plate 294A, 294B); pereopods 3 and 4 dactyls more than one-third of the length of article 6 and simple (plate 294A) or short and notched (plate 294C) . . . 2
- Gnathopods 1 and 2 article 5 distally produced and narrowly attached to article 6, eusiridlike and pereopod 3 and 4 dactyls less than one-third of the length of article 6 and simple (plate 294D) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Pleusirus secorrus
- Mandibular molar fully developed, triturative (plate 294F);
  - \* = Not in key.

- 3. Rostrum massive, extending beyond antenna 1 peduncle article 1, dorsal pleonites1–3 weakly or strongly carinate (plate 294A)......4
- Rostrum moderate or indistinct, extending less than length of antenna 1 peduncle article 1 and dorsal pereonites 1–4 smooth, not carinate or ridged (plate 294D) . . . . . . . . 8

- Dactylopleustes echinoides
   Pereopods 3–7 dactyls more than one-third of the length of article 6 and unnotched; coxa 1 approximately equal to coxa 2 (plate 294L)
- Gnathopods 1 and 2 article 6 width about 60% of posterior margin length (plate 2940) . . . . . . Micropleustes nautiloides




PLATE 294 Pleustidae. F, Anomalosymtes coxalis; P, Commensipleustes commensalis; C, N, Dactylopleustes echinoides; M, Incisocalliope derzhavini; G, Kamptopleustes coquillus; O, Micropleustes nautiloides; L, Micropleustes nautilus; D, Pleusirus secorrus; E, H, Thorlaksonius borealis; A, B, Thorlaksonius brevirostris; I, Thorlaksonius depressus; K, Thorlaksonius grandirostris; J, Thorlaksonius subcarinatus (figures modified from Alderman 1936; Barnard 1969a; Bousfield and Hendrycks 1994b, 1995b; Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004; and Shoemaker 1952).




PLATE 295 Pleustidae. O, R-T, Anomalosymtes coxalis; B, D, Chromopleustes lineatus; E, Chromopleustes oculatus; K, Gnathopleustes pachychaetus; H, I, L, Gnathopleustes pugettensis; A, C, G, Gnathopleustes serratus; P, U, Heteropleustes setosus; N, Q, Holopleustes aequipes; F, Incisocalliope derzhavini; V, W, Kamptopleustes coquillus; J, M, Trachypleustes trevori (figures modified from Barnard 1971; Chapman 1988; Bousfield and Hendrycks 1995b; and Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004).

- Pereopod 4 article 6 of uniform width and lined posteriorly with long setae or short spines but not stout large stout spines (plate 295A).
- 12. Lacinia mobilis of left mandible with 17–50 teeth (plate 295B), live specimens brilliantly pigmented . . . . . . . . 13

- Antenna 2 flagellum densely setose (plate 295K) . . . . . .

- 20. Antenna 1 article 1 bearing an acute dorsal projection and ocular lobe evenly rounded (plate 295P); lower lip with acute medial process (plate 295U) . . . . . . . Heteropleustes setosus

Anomalosymtes coxalis Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004. Natural history and ecology unknown. Lack of a ventral antenna 2 sinus in common with Pleustidae, but the lower lip lacks pillowshaped inner lobes and resembles Eusiroidea. Mandibular palp present and molar triturative; 3 mm; shallow subtidal—25 m.

Chromopleustes (=Parapleustes) lineatus (Bousfield, 1985). A commensal and possible egg predator of echinoderms in rocky habitats. Four to five bright yellow and brown longitudinal body stripes (Bousfield, 1985, Rotunda 18: 30–36); 9 mm; shallow subtidal—17 m.

Chromopleustes (=Parapleustes) oculatus (Holmes, 1908) (=Parapleustes oculatus). Predator of the sea cucumber *Cucumaria miniata* (Chen and Norton, 2005, Abstracts, Estuarine

Research Federation Annual Meeting, Norfolk, VA), and also associated with the brittle star *Amphiodia urtica* (Barnard and Given, 1960, Pac. Nat. 1: 46). Not clearly distinguished from *Heteropleustes setosus* or *Pleusymptes pacifica*; 11 mm; intertidal—2 m or more

Commensipleustes (=Parapleustes) commensalis (Shoemaker, 1952). Commensal and possible lobster egg predator. Bousfield and Hendrycks (1995a) give northern records on sponges, indicating plasticity in the species or taxonomic complications; 5.5 mm; intertidal—50 m.

Dactylopleustes echinoides Bousfield and Hendrycks 1995b. Commensal or egg predator of sea urchins; 3.3 mm; intertidal—2 m.

*Gnathopleustes pachychaetus* Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1995b. Rocky intertidal (to 2 m) among algae; 7 mm.

Gnathopleustes pugettensis (Dana, 1853) (=Parapleustes pugettensis). Rocky and soft benthos. See also *Trachypleustes trevori*; 6 mm: intertidal—140 m.

*Gnathopleustes serratus* Bousfield and Hendrycks 1995b. Under intertidal boulders, associated with sessile invertebrates; 10 mm.

*Heteropleustes setosus* Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004. Associated with sponges; 6.7 mm; intertidal.

*Holopleustes aequipes* Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004. Opencoast sand and algae; 3.3 mm; intertidal—2 m.

\*Incisocalliope bairdi Hendrycks and Bousfield 2004 (=Parapleustes bairdi of Barnard, 1956). Soft benthos, probably associated with hydroids or bryozoans; could be misidentified Gnathopleustes; 5.5 mm; intertidal—140 m.

*Incisocalliope derzhavini* (Gurjanova, 1938) (=*Parapleustes derzhavini*). Introduced Asian species in protected bays, harbors and estuaries; may include *I. newportensis*; 4 mm; shallow subtidal—2 m.

\*Incisocalliope newportensis Barnard 1959c (=Parapleustes newportensis). Bays and estuaries among fouling organisms of floats and pilings and of doubtful distinction from *I. derzhavini*; 5 mm; intertidal—2 m.

Kamptopleustes coquillus (Barnard, 1971) (=Pleusymptes coquillus). Whole body illustration plate 257W; on mud and sandy mud; 3.8~mm; 3~m=200 m.

Micropleustes nautiloides Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1995b (=Parapleustes nautiloides). In coralline algae and Phyllospadix mats; 2.9 mm; intertidal.

Micropleustes nautilus (Barnard, 1969a) (=Parapleustes nautilus). In exposed rocky shore algal mats, sponges and among Phyllospadix; 3.4 mm; intertidal—3 m.

*Pleusirus secorrus* Barnard, 1969a. Low intertidal and subtidal cobbles; 3.8 mm; intertidal—25 m.

\*Pleusymptes subglaber Barnard and Given 1960 (=Sympleustes subglaber). Recorded from San Clemente sublittoral, but the unknown condition of the *P. subglaber* mandibular molar and distal ventral extension of antenna 1 article prevent confidence in its distinction from species of either *Chromopleustes* or other *Pleusymptes*; 4 mm; 9 m or less to 110 m.

*Thorlaksonius borealis* Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1994b. Occurring in offshore fouling communities of hard substrate; 11 mm; intertidal—10 m.

*Thorlaksonius brevirostris* Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1994b. Among algae on rocks; 7 mm; intertidal—35 m.

Thorlaksonius depressus (Alderman, 1936) (=Pleustes depressus). Among algae on rocks and among Phyllospadix. Mimics snails (Carter and Behrens, 1980, Veliger 22: 376–377); 8.5 mm; intertidal—4 m.

<sup>\* =</sup> Not in key.




PLATE 296 Eusiroidea. S, Accedomoera vagor; B, K, L, M, Batea lobata; I, Calliopius pacificus; H, Oligochinus lighti; C, Paramoera bousfieldi; W, X, Pontogeneia inermis; T, Paramoera mohri; J, O, R, Pontogeneia intermedia; N, P, Q, V, Y, Z, Pontogeneia rostrata; D, E, Rhachotropis barnardi; G, Rhachotropis inflata; A, F, Rhachotropis oculata (figures modified from Barnard 1952b, 1964c, 1969a, 1971, 1979; Bousfield 1973; Bousfield and Hendrycks 1995a, 1997; Sars 1895; Shoemaker 1926; and Staude 1995).

Thorlaksonius grandirostris Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1994b. On rocks with seagrass, probably mimics a snail; 6 mm; intertidal—2 m

\*Thorlaksonius platypus (Barnard and Given, 1960) (=Pleustes platypa). Pt. Conception and south; but not clearly distinct from the more northern *T. grandirostris*. On various macrophytes. Imitates a snail (Crane 1969, Veliger 12: 200; Field 1974. Pacific Science 28: 439–447); 8.5 mm; 3 m–100 m.

Thorlaksonius subcarinatus Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1994b. On rocks and algae; 9.5 mm; intertidal—25 m.

*Trachypleustes trevori* Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1995b. Associated with sponges and tunicates under rocks of exposed coasts. Distinction from *Gnathopleustes pugettensis* is mainly the smaller gnathopods which are described largely from males; 5 mm; intertidal.

### EUSIROIDEA

Eusiroidea (Bateidae, Calliopiidae, Eusiridae, Pontogeneiidae) include a broad range of morphological diversity (which is particularly apparent in the shapes of telsons—laminar cleft or entire—and in the absolute and relative sizes of first and second gnathopods), which makes this group difficult to distinguish

\* = Not in key.

from other families. The Bateidae, with vestigial gnathopod 1 and coxa 1 obscured by coxa 2, are among the most extreme of gnathopod morphotypes. Accessory flagellum either a tiny button or absent. Rostrum variable, urosomites separate, third uropod biramous. Eusiroidea are free living, with well-developed molars and mandibular palps. *Pontogeneia* and *Paramoera* occasionally swarm in intertidal pools and eusirids are extremely abundant in hard bottom nearshore marine communities.

### KEY TO EUSIROIDEA

- Gnathopod 1 vestigial, (plate 296B), or normally subchelate (plate 296C); if dactyl present, not reaching onehalf of the length of article 6 (plate 296C) . . . . . . . . . . 4




PLATE 297 Eusiroidea. E-G, Accedomoera melanophthalma; A, B, H, Accedomoera vagor; V, AA, Calliopius carinatus; W-Z, Calliopius pacificus; Q, Oligochinus lighti; R-U, Paracalliopiella pratti; I, Paramoera bousfieldi; J, L, M, Paramoera columbiana; K, N, P, Paramoera mohri; C, D, Paramoera serrata; O, Paramoera suchaneki (figures modified from Barnard 1952b, 1969a; Bousfield 1958a; Bousfield and Hendrycks 1997; Gurjanova 1938; and Staude 1995).

- Gnathopod 1 of 7 normal articles (plate 296N); head with ventral antennal sinus (plate 296O); mandibular palp article 2 without distal expansion for dense distal group of long setae (plate 296P); pereopod 5 article 2 expanded and thickest in the middle (plate 296Q) . . . . . . . . . . . 6

- Rostrum reaching no more than one-fourth of the length of antenna 1 article 1 (plate 296O); posterior extension of

- Apices of telson angled (plate 296Y); posterior margins of epimeron 3 with moderate convex posterior edge and weak ventral tooth (plate 296Z) . . . . . . . . . Pontogeneia rostrata
- 9. Rostrum prominent (plate 297A); inner plate of maxilla 2 with one medial spine (plate 297B) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 10
- Rostrum indistinct or absent (plate 297C); inner plate of maxilla 2 with multiple medial spines (plate 297D) . . . . . . . . 11
- Accedomoera melanophthalma
   Antenna 1, peduncular article 3 without a ventral distal tooth (plate 296S); epimeron 3 posterior edge only slightly expanded beyond ventral corner (plate 297H); ventral antennal lobe notched (plate 297A) . . . . . Accedomoera vagor

- 13. Male gnathopod 2 palm one-half of the length of article 6 and subchelate (plate 297M)..... Paramoera columbiana
- Male gnathopod 2 palm less than one-third of the length of article 6 and oblique (plate 297N)......14

- 17. Dorsal pereonites 5–7 and pleonites 1 and 2 not carinate (plate 297Y); pleon plate 2 with facial setae in two to three submarginal rows (plate 297Z) . . . . . . . Calliopius pacificus
- Dorsal pereonites 5–7 and pleonites 1 and 2 carinate (plate 297V); pleon plate 2 with facial setae in five to seven submarginal rows (plate 297AA) . . . . . . . . Calliopius carinatus

#### BATEIDAE

*Batea lobata* Shoemaker, 1926. Inshore sand and mud bottoms and pier pilings; 6 mm; intertidal—8 m.

#### CALLIOPIIDAE

*Calliopius carinatus* Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1997. Common in surf-swash zone, mainly along rocky shores, marine to mesohaline inshore waters; 9 mm; intertidal.

Calliopius pacificus Bousfield and Hendrycks, 1997. Dominant in inshore waters of bays and estuaries, apparently moderately euryhaline, among submerged plants and algae and on floats; 15 mm; intertidal to shallow depths.

Oligochinus lighti Barnard, 1969a. In the cobble–Silvetia–Phyllospadix zone; among the most abundant amphipods in Mastocarpus papillatus and Endocladia muricata of high and middle intertidal where they feed on epiphytic algae; see Johnson 1975, pp. 559–587 in Gates and Schmerl, eds., Perspectives of biophysical ecology, Springer Verlag (ecology); named in honor of the founder of this book, Sol Felty Light, 1886–1947; 11.5 mm.

Paracalliopiella pratti (Barnard 1954a). Low intertidal and subtidal on algae, mixed sediment, and seagrass. Known only from Alaska, Puget Sound, and Fossil Point in Coos Bay, Oregon, the latter collected from the introduced Japanese seaweed Sargassum muticum; 5 mm; intertidal—2 m.

#### EUSIRIDAE

*Rhachotropis barnardi* Bousfield and Hendrycks 1995a. Deep subtidal on fine sediment and probably also pelagic; abundance in shallow waters unclear; 4 mm; 17 m–350 m.

*Rhachotropis inflata* (Sars, G. O., 1883). On fine sediments and pelagic, circum-Arctic; occurrence in shallow waters possible; 8~mm; 10~m–154~m.

*Rhachotropis oculata* (Hansen,1888). Pan-arctic, swimming, planktivorous; south to southern California; occurrence in shallow waters unclear; 10 mm; 18 m–274 m.

### PONTOGENEIIDAE

Accedomoera melanophthalma (Gurjanova, 1938). On mixed algae and sediments of boreal western Pacific to California, but Eastern Pacific occurrences poorly documented; 8 mm; intertidal—80 m.

Accedomoera vagor Barnard, 1969a. On algae in exposed rocky areas; 7.5 mm, intertidal—2 m.

Paramoera bousfieldi Staude, 1986. Gravel of brackish, stream mouths or intertidal freshwater seeps; 4.5 mm.

*Paramoera columbiana* Bousfield, 1958. Estuary, in gravel and other mixed sediments; 11 mm; intertidal.

Paramoera mohri Barnard, 1952b. Marine rocky open coasts; 6.5 mm, intertidal—10 m.

Paramoera serrata Staude, 1995. Marine, sand, and mixed sediments: 6 mm: low intertidal.

Paramoera suchaneki Staude, 1986. Marine, gravel, cobble and mussel beds; 13 mm; intertidal.

Pontogeneia inermis (Kroyer, 1838). Pan boreal in northern hemisphere, eastern Pacific identification uncertain; mixed sediments, possible echinoderm and coelenterate commensal




PLATE 298 Liljeborgiidae. C-F, Liljeborgia geminata; A-B, Listriella diffusa (figures modified from Barnard 1959a, 1969a).

and also common in nocturnal plankton samples; 4.5 mm; intertidal—220 m.

*Pontogeneia intermedia* Gurjanova, 1938. Intertidal and shallow subtidal on algae and various rocky sediments occurring also Japan and eastern Russia; 7.5 mm; intertidal.

Pontogeneia rostrata Gurjanova, 1938. On algae and mixed sediments; 6.5 mm; shallow subtidal and low intertidal.

\*Pontogeneia sp. A shallow subtidal undescribed purple species that occurs among Strongylocentrotus purpuratus spines (Harty 1979, p. 198, concerning observations at Cape Arago, Oregon, in: Bull. So. Calif. Acad. Sci. 78: 196–199); 7 mm; shallow subtidal.

## LILJEBORGIIDAE

Liljeborgiidae have tiny rostrums, short antenna 2 relative to antenna 1, prominent accessory flagellum, poorly developed mandibular molars (plate 257KK), large gnathopods, and distally notched, laminar telsons that are cleft to the base. Pigmentation of many *Listriella* remains partially intact in preservation. Liljeborgiidae are likely commensals in tubes and burrows of large subtidal invertebrates, including polychaetes and echiuroids.

#### KEY TO LILJEBORGIIDAE

## LIST OF SPECIES

\*Liljeborgia geminata Barnard 1969a. Of a poorly distinguished species complex (Barnard 1969a) occurring in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans; on floats and pilings of southern California harbors and shallow coastal waters in rhizomes of *Macrocystis pyrifera*. Multiple long spines on peduncles of

uropods 1 and 2 (plate 298F) are characteristic of this species;  $8.7\ mm;\ 1\ m\mbox{--}70\ m.$ 

\*Listriella albina Barnard 1959a. Oregon to Baja California, a shallow warm-water species in its southern range and found at great depths in its northern range (Barnard 1971); 7.5 mm; 16 m–721 m.

Listriella diffusa Barnard 1959a. Shallow subtidal to 23 m in sandy sediments, possibly a commensal with large tube building polychaetes. Additional species of Listriella reported from southern California (Barnard 1959a) are expected in our region. Whole female body illustration plate 257Q. The toothlike structures on the inner lobes of the lower lip (functions unknown) occur also in Melitidae; 3.5 mm; 3 m–172 m.

\*Listriella goleta Barnard 1959a. Oregon to Baja California, a shallow warm-water species in its southern range found at great depths in its northern range; 3.5 mm, 16 m–721 m.

#### GAMMAROIDEA

Gammaroidea (Anisogammaridae and Gammaridae) are freeliving, benthic, and epibenthic omnivores and zooplankton predators that range widely in shallow marine shores, estuaries, tidal creeks, and low-elevation rivers and lakes. Sexual dimorphism is weak. Whether native Gammaridae occur in the region is unclear.

## KEY TO GAMMAROIDEA

- 1. Male gnathopod palms nearly transverse, lined with thick peg spines and gnathopod dactyls thick (plate 299A–299C); gills with accessory lobes (plate 299D)......2

- 3. Pleon segments dorsum bare (plate 299A) or with a few tiny setae (plate 299I)........... Eogammarus confervicolus

<sup>\* =</sup> Not in key.




PLATE 299 Gammaroidea. G, H, Anisogammarus pugettensis; A-D, I, J, Eogammarus confervicolus; F, M, N, Gammarus daiberi; E, O, P, Gammarus lacustris; K, Ramellogammarus oregonensis; L, Ramellogammarus ramellus (figures modified from Barnard 1954a; Bousfield 1958b, 1973, 1979; Shoemaker 1944, 1964; and Weckel 1907).

- Pleon segments (one or more) with numerous, conspicuous, dorsal spines or setae (plate 299K, 299L) . . . . . . . . 4
- 4. Pleonite 3 with few or no setae and numerous stout spines (plate 299K)......5
- 5. Pleonites 1–3 with few setae and stout spines anterior to posterior pleonite margins (plate 299K).....

- Telson with one or no lateral spine bundles and few seta (plate 2990); inner ramus not reaching distal end of the first article of the outer ramus article 1 (plate 299P).....

  Gammarus lacustris

# ANISOGAMMARIDAE

Anisogammarus pugettensis (Dana, 1853). Marshes and low-salinity estuaries; high tolerance of low oxygen (Waldichuck and Bousfield 1962, J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 19: 1163–1165); 17 mm; subtidal to intertidal.

Eogammarus confervicolus (Stimpson, 1856) (=E. oclairi Bousfield, 1979). Estuarine, intertidal, and subtidal; various substrata but especially associated with sedges, eelgrass, algae, and wood chips; calceoli (plate 299A) are chemosensory organs of ecological and taxonomic interest (Stanhope et al. 1992, J. Chem. Ecol.18: 1871–1887); 19 mm; subtidal to intertidal. The major character separating E. oclairi and E. confervicolus (two distal telson lobe spines instead of one) is size dependent: E. oclairi are large (19 mm) E. confervicolus, and are thus synonyms.

\*Eogammarus oclairi Bousfield 1979. See E. confervicolus.

\* = Not in key.

\*Ramellogammarus columbianus Bousfield and Morino, 1992. Freshwater, occurring in pebble and stone bottoms in moss or woody detritus often at the mouths of medium-size streams flowing into protected bays; 13 mm; intertidal.

\*Ramellogammarus littoralis Bousfield and Morino, 1992. Freshwater, occurring in pebble and stone bottoms in moss or woody detritus often at the mouths of medium-size streams flowing into protected bays; 9.5 mm; intertidal.

Ramellogammarus oregonensis (Shoemaker 1944) (=Anisogammarus oregonensis, Eogammarus oregonensis). Known only from extreme low salinities and freshwater of Big Creek and mouth of D River, Lincoln County, Oregon, and Siltcoos River, Lane County, Oregon; 10 mm; subtidal to intertidal.

Ramellogammarus ramellus (Weckel, 1907) (=Gammarus ramellus, Anisogammarus ramellus and Eogammarus ramellus). Low-salinity and freshwater marshes and stream mouths among coarse sand, stones, and wood debris, a morphologically variable, poorly described species or species complex; 13 mm; subtidal to intertidal.

Ramellogammarus spp. Several freshwater species from aquatic plants, coarse gravel and benthos of the lower Columbia River and coastal river mouths in up to 2% salinities (Bousfield and Morino 1992, Cont. Nat. Sci. 17: 1–22)

#### GAMMARIDAE

Gammarus daiberi Bousfield 1969. Ballast water introduction from eastern North America to 0–15% salinity areas of San Francisco Bay and Delta, benthic and semipelagic; 12.5 mm; subtidal to intertidal.

\*Gammarus tigrinus Sexton 1939. A benthic and pelagic species, introduced to the North Sea from eastern North America with ballast water, a likely invader of the intertidal Pacific coast and estuaries; referred to in Europe as a "scourge" due to its likely replacement of native gammaroid species (see Dielman and Pinkster 1977, Bull. Zool. Mus. Univ. Amsterdam 6: 21–29; Pinkster et al. 1977, Crustaceana Suppl. 4: 91–105); morphology and ecology are similar to *G. daiberi*, 1–25% salinity; 14 mm; low intertidal to shallow subtidal.

\*Gammarus lacustris (Sars, 1863). Filamentous algae in weed and rush margins of hard-water lakes and ponds of American Pacific coastal alpine, rare in tidal waters of rivers, West Coast distribution and taxonomy unclear and may be present along the Pacific coast south of 45° N (Barnard and Barnard 1983: 81); important zooplankton predator in lakes (Wilhelm and Schindler 1999, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 56: 1401–1408); 15 mm; intertidal river mouths, low elevation lakes and streams.

### **HADZIOIDEA**

Hadzioidea (Hadziidae and Melitidae) occur in marine and estuarine benthic fouling communities. The Hadzioidea have large accessory flagella, short antenna 2 relative to antenna 1, waxy cuticles and greater lateral body compression than most Gammaridea. The only Hadziidae of the region is marine. Estuarine Melitidae may overlap with Crangonyctidae in low-salinity environments. The diversity of secondary sex characters in Melitidae, ranging from the enormous male gnathopods of *Dulichia*, probably adapted for competition for females, to the stridulating anatomy in *Melita* perhaps to attract males, indicate broad variation in mating behaviors in the family.

#### KEY TO HADZIOIDEA

- Rami of uropod 3 similar in length (plate 300B).....12
- Male and female gnathopod 2 article 6 larger than article
   5 and coxa 2–3 deeper than long (plate 300D) . . . . . . . 3
- 3. Pleosome segments 1–3 with a central dorsal tooth plus accessory lateral teeth (plate 300E) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 4
- Pleosome segments 1–3 without dorsal teeth (plate 300D)
   or with only dorsal lateral teeth (plate 300F) . . . . . . . . . 6

- 5. Gnathopod 2 dactyl distally blunt and without dense anterior setae (plate 300H); dorsal pleonite 1 with multiple lateral teeth (plate 300I) . . . . . . . . . Megamoera subtener
- Gnathopod 2 dactyl distally pointed and covered anteriorly with setae (plate 300J); pleonite 1 with single lateral teeth (plate 300E) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Megamoera dentata

- Urosomite 2 with only two closely spaced dorsolateral teeth (plate 300F); male gnathopod 1 dactyl overlapped more than half by article 6 (plate 300K) . . . . . . . . . . Melita rylovae
- Urosomite 1 with a single dorsal tooth (plate 301C).....11
- Pereopods 5–7 dactyls lengths <3 times width (plate 301D)
   Desdimelita microdentata

<sup>\* =</sup> Not in key.




PLATE 300 Hadzioidea. B, T, V, Ceradocus spinicauda; M, Desdimelita californica; G, Dulichiella spinosa; U, Elasmopus mutatus; E, J, Megamoera dentata; H, I, Megamoera subtener; N, O, Melita nitida; P–S, Melita oregonensis; A, F, K, L, Melita rylovae; D, Melita sulca; C, Netamelita cortada (figures modified from Barnard 1954a, 1962b, 1969a, 1970; Chapman 1988; Jarrett and Bousfield 1996; Krapp-Schickel and Jarrett 2000; and Yamato 1987, 1988).




PLATE 301 Hadzioidea. A, B, Desdimelita californica; C, Desdimelita desdichada; D, Desdimelita microdentata; E, G, Elasmopus antennatus; H, K, Elasmopus mutatus; L–P, Elasmopus rapax; I, J, Elasmopus serricatus; F, R–T, Maera jerrica; U, V, Maera similis; Q, Quadrimaera vigota (figures modified from Barnard 1954a, 1959b, 1962b, 1969b, 1979a and Krapp-Schickel and Jarrett 2000).

- Mandibular palp ordinary and sparsely setose (plate 301F)

AMPHIPODA: GAMMARIDEA

#### LIST OF SPECIES

#### HADZIIDAE

*Netamelita cortada* Barnard, 1962b. Tunicate colonies at base of *Phyllospadix* beds; 3.5 mm; intertidal—20 m.

#### MELITIDAE

 $\it Ceradocus \, spinicauda \, (Holmes, \, 1908)$ . Intertidal algae among cobbles; 12 mm; 3 m $-218 \, m$ .

*Desdimelita californica* (Alderman, 1936). Among cobbles to fine sediments; 10 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Desdimelita desdichada (Barnard, 1962b) (=Melita desdichada). Soft sediments; 9 mm; 10 m-108 m.

Desdimelita microdentata Jarrett and Bousfield, 1996. 11 mm; 1 m-35 m.

Dulichiella spinosa Stout, 1912 (=Melita appendiculata). A rocky intertidal semitropical species reported north of Pt. Conception only once (Bousfield and Jarrett 1996, Amphipacifica 2[2]: 13); not clearly distinct from tropicopolitan Dulichiella appendiculata (Say, 1818); 4.5 mm; intertidal—3 m.

*Elasmopus antennatus* (Stout, 1913). Distinguished from *E. mutatus* by its acute rather than round posterior epimeron 3 (plate 257R); among *Phyllospadix* and algae bottoms; 10.5 mm; intertidal—18 m.

*Elasmopus mutatus* Barnard, 1962b. Open rocky coast among algae turf. Allometric distinctions between *E. mutatus* and the larger *E. rapax* are unclear; 7.5 mm; intertidal.

Elasmopus rapax Costa, 1853. Cosmopolitan in latitudes below 45° and restricted to enclosed bays. Introduced in California. Variation in telson morphology with size is apparent in male telsons of 7.5 mm *E. mutatus* (plate 301K) and 8 mm and 11 mm *E. rapax* (plate 301L, 301M); to 11 mm; intertidal—100 m.

*Elasmopus serricatus* Barnard, 1969b. Among *Egregia, Phyllospadix* and coralline algae. Poorly distinguished from southern Californian *Elasmopus holgurus* Barnard, 1962b. 8 mm; intertidal.

\*Maera grossimana (Montagu, 1808). The northeast Pacific record of this North Atlantic species (Bousfield 2001) is uncertain; 10 mm.

*Maera jerrica* Krapp-Schickel and Jarrett, 2000 (=*Maera inaequipes* Barnard, 1954a). Among intertidal algae and in soft offshore sediments; 14 mm; intertidal—135 m.

Maera similis Stout, 1913. Soft benthos of estuaries and coastal waters. 9 mm, intertidal—221 m.

*Megamoera dentata* (Kroyer, 1842). Cosmopolitan in Arctic to cold temperate northern hemisphere oceans, on rocky and sedimentary bottoms; to 28 mm; intertidal—672 m.

Megamoera subtener (Stimpson, 1864) In coarse gravel and shell, under stones and kelp; 12 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Melita nitida Smith, 1874. Estuarine, abundant among algae and hydroids. Introduced probably from the northwest Atlantic, but also indistinguishable from the Asian Melita setiflagellata Yamato 1987 and therefore may be introduced to or from Asia. See Borowsky et al. 1997, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 214: 85–95 (reproductive morphology and physiology in polluted estuarine sediments); 12 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Melita oregonensis Barnard, 1954a. Rocky shores; 12 mm, intertidal.

*Melita sulca* (Stout, 1913). Condition of female coxa 5 not described. Harbors and among cobbles and algae holdfasts of open coasts; 12 mm; intertidal—101 m.

*Melita rylovae* Bulycheva, 1955. Introduced to San Francisco Bay from Asia and also found in ballast water samples collected in Australia where it is also introduced (Williams et al. 1996, Est. Coastal Shelf Sci. 26: 409–420); in fouling communities of docks and floats; 7.5 mm; 1 m–10 m.

*Quadrimaera vigota* (Barnard, 1969a) (=*Maera vigota*). Abundant under cobbles, on sponges and tunicates and rarely on algal holdfasts; dark pink; 8.5 mm; intertidal.

#### CRANGONYCTIDAE

Crangonyctidae have two segment accessory flagella (plate 257UU) with small terminal articles, dorsally smooth urosomes and lack a ventral antenna sinus. They are distinguished from the Hadzioidea also by having pereopod 6 longer than pereopod 7. Sexual dimorphism is reduced. As crangonyctids, *Crangonyx pseudogracilis* and *C. floridanus* share a biramous uropod 3 with reduced inner ramus (plate 302A) singly inserted spine rows on lateral article 6 of gnathopods 1 and 2 (plate 302B, 302C), eyes, and above ground occurrence; but morphological distinctions between the two species are not clear, with pleon tooth development and ventral comb setae of the male uropod 2 outer ramus being variable and of uncertain significance. The low-salinity occurrences of *Crangonyx pseudogracilis* and *C. floridanus* are unique among the almost exclusively freshwater Crangonyctidae.

#### KEY TO CRANGONYCTIDAE

- 1. Pleon epimera teeth reduced (plate 302D); male uropod 2 outer ramus slightly decurved and lined ventrally with tiny comb spines (plate 302E) . . . . . . . Crangonyx pseudogracilis

## LIST OF SPECIES

Crangonyx floridanus Bousfield, 1963. Endemic to sloughs, swamps, caves, and ponds along the U.S. Gulf Coast and possibly introduced to San Francisco Bay. The specific identity of *C. floridanus* in the San Francisco Bay Delta (Toft et al. 2002) is unclear since the associated illustration in the report is of a previously published figure of *Crangonyx forbesi* (Hubricht and Mackin 1940); 6 mm; intertidal—10 m.

Crangonyx pseudogracilis Bousfield, 1958. Occurring in aquatic vegetation in still or slow flowing, organically polluted, low salinity waters. Introduced to western North America and Japan (Zhang 1997) and Europe, where it spread secondarily from Great Britain to Ireland possibly in aquarium

<sup>\*</sup> = Not in key.




PLATE 302 Crangonyctidae. F, G, Crangonyx floridanus; A-E, Crangonyx pseudogracilis (figures modified from Bousfield 1958b, 1963, 1973).

plants (Costello 1993, Crustaceana 65: 287-299). Whether C. floridanus or C. pseudogracilis occur in San Francisco Bay should be more than an academic concern. Crangonyx pseudogracilis is declining in some areas of its native central and eastern North American range in the presence of invading introduced species (Beckett et al. 1997). In contrast, native European amphipod populations decline in the presence of the invading C. pseudogracilis which are largely unaffected by native parasites (MacNeil et al. 2003). However, the microsporidian parasite Fibrillanosema crangonycis of C. pseudogracilis appears to be transmitted with the host in invasion events and then vertically transmitted to native European amphipod hosts (Slothouber Galbeath et al. 2004). Vertical transmission combined with host sex ratio distortion may enhance host invasion success through increased rates of population growth and declines of potential native competitors (MacNeil et al. 2003). C. pseudogracilis also occurs in Oregon (Bousfield 1961b) and southern California (Bottoroff et al. 2003); 5 mm; intertidal—10 m.

#### **TALITRIDAE**

#### EDWARD L. BOUSFIELD

Talitrids comprise mainly beach hoppers, common at night on damp sand beaches, where they feed upon seaweeds cast up by the tide. Fresh beach wrack may contain purely aquatic amphipods, but their death is rapid in the air, whereas beach hoppers survive well out of water. Because the patterns and colors by which they may be identified in life are lost in preservatives, a morphological key to the Talitridae precedes a key to *Megalorchestia* based largely on color (Bowers 1963).

Although entirely terrestrial talitrids (land hoppers) are not native to our area, the student and professional zoologist will encounter southern hemisphere species introduced in urban and agriculture environments in California. Abundant, for example, under *Eucalyptus* and other leaf litter in Golden Gate Park (and other parks) in the City of San Francisco is the introduced Australian leafhopper *Arcitalitrus sylvaticus* (Haswell, 1880).

## KEY TO TALITRIDAE GENERA

# From Bousfield 1982.

1. Male gnathopod 1 simple, article 6 more than twice as long as wide (plate 303A1, 303C3, 303F1, 303H1); pere-

- opods and uropods stout, with large spines; pleopod peduncles laterally spinose (plate 303A2); burrowers.....

  Megalorchestia
- Male gnathopod 1 transverse, article 6 less than twice as long as wide (plate 303B1, 303E1); appendages slender, with small spines; pleopod peduncles with few or no lateral spines (plate 303B2); under debris or rocks . . . . . . . . 2

- 3. Uropod 1 with distolateral spine (plate 303G2); telson longer than broad, with single dorsolateral spines (plate 303G3); female gnathopod 1 articles 5 and 6 posteriorly swollen, dactyl not exceeding palm (303G4); brood plate setae simple (i.e., plate 255MM) . . . . . . . . . Paciforchestia

# KEY TO TALITRIDAE

- 1. Male gnathopod 1 transverse, dactyl not or barely overlapping palm (plate 303B1, 303E1, 303G4); pereopod 7 longer than 6 (plate 303B1); uropod 3, ramus narrowing distally and shorter than peduncle (plate 303E3) . . . . . . 2

- Pereopods 3 and 4 article 5 shorter and thicker than segment 6 (303B1); male gnathopod 2 palm evenly convex,






PLATE 303 Talitridae. H, Megalor-chestia benedicti; A, Megalorchestia californiana; D, Megalorchestia pugettensis; F, Megalorchestia corniculata; C, Megalorchestia californiana; G, Paciforchestia klawei; E, Transorchestia enigmatica; B, Traskorchestia traskiana (figures modified from: Bousfield 1961a; Bousfield 1982, Nat. Mus. Canada, Publ. Biol. Oceangr. 11, 73 p.; Bousfield and Carlton 1967. Bull. Sth. Calif. Acad. Sci. 66: 277–283).


- 3. Pleopods weak, rami 4–6 segmented; male gnathopod 1 article 4 lacking posterior translucent process ("blister")...


  Traskorchestia georgiana
- 4. Uropod 2, inner and outer margins of outer ramus bearing spines (plate 303D2); flagellum of male antenna 2 as long or longer than peduncle (plate 303A1) . . . . . . . . . . 5
- Uropod 2, only outer margin of outer ramus bearing spines (plate 303H2); flagellum of antenna 2 shorter than pe-

- Megalorchestia californiana
   Posterior margins of pleonites without spines; female gnathopod 1 without translucent process on article 5 (plate 303D1, arrow); pleopod rami half to three fourths as long as peduncles.
- Telson with shallow distal notch (plate 303C2); anteroventral margin of pleonite 1 with 1–7 spines; male gnathopod 1 article 6 with posterior distal expansion (plate 303C3, arrow) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Megalorchestia pugettensis









PI,

PLATE 304 Talitridae. Color patterns of *Megalorchestia*: dorsal and lateral views; paired figures show extent of pattern variation. A, *M. californiana*; B, *M. corniculata*; C, *M. columbiana*; D, *M. benedicti*; E, *M. pugettensis* (figures after Bowers 1963, Pac. Sci. 17:315–320).

A FIELD (COLOR-PATTERN) KEY TO MEGALORCHESTIA

DARL E. BOWERS

(Plate 304)

- 5. Dorsal pigment pattern containing "butterfly" design . . . . 6
- Dorsal pigment pattern containing T-shaped figures; the lower limb of the T may be faint or missing . . . . . . . . 8

- 7. No markings on third pleonite; sides of body relatively free of pigment marks . . . . . . . . . . . Megalorchestia californiana

EDWARD L. BOUSFIELD

Species of *Megalorchestia* were formerly *Orchestoidea*, and species of *Paciforchestia*, *Transorchestia*, and *Traskorchestia* were formerly in *Orchestia*.

Megalorchestia benedicti (Shoemaker, 1930). Common on fine-sand beaches with M. californiana; 8 mm.

Megalorchestia californiana (Brand, 1851). Large and common, high up on wide, exposed beaches of fine sand; digs burrows of elliptical cross-section. May have parasitic mites (see note under *M. corniculata*); 23 mm.

*Megalorchestia columbiana* (Bousfield, 1958). On coarse-sand beaches with little seaweed. See Bowers 1964, Ecology 45: 677–696 (ecology); 22 mm.

Megalorchestia corniculata (Stout, 1913). Large and common, on steep, protected beaches with coarse sand and considerable seaweed; burrow nearly circular in cross-section. May be infested on their ventral surface with parasitic mites. See Craig 1971, Anim. Behav. 19: 368–374 and 1973, Anim. Behav. 21: 699–706 (lunar orientation); Craig 1973, Mar. Biol. 23: 101–109 (ecology). See Bowers 1964, Ecology 45: 677–696 (ecology); 21 mm.

\*Megalorchestia minor (Bousfield, 1957). A southern species occurring north to San Simeon, just north of Point Conception, on surf-exposed flat sand beaches; see Bousfield, 1982; 15 mm.

Megalorchestia pugettensis (Dana, 1853). Under debris on coarse-sand beaches with little seaweed; 17 mm.

\*Paciforchestia klawei (Bousfield, 1961). Known from British Columbia and from southern and Baja California; to be expected within our range. Under debris on protected coarsesand and pebble beaches; 14.5 mm.

Transorchestia enigmatica Bousfield and Carlton, 1967. Described as a new species from the estuarine Lake Merritt, in Oakland, in San Francisco Bay, this amphipod is a member of the *T. chiliensis* species group, known from Chile and New Zealand (although the *enigmatica* clade remains unknown from either region). It was introduced in solid ballast from the southern hemisphere, perhaps by sailing ships carrying lumber from California to Valparaiso or Iquique, and returning in ballast, which was known to then be dumped into the Oakland Estuary near Lake Merritt. Under debris on sandy beaches; 15 mm.

*Traskorchestia georgiana* (Bousfield, 1958). In the drift line of protected stony and pebbly beaches, on sand with windrows of *Zostera* and *Sargassum* and usually co-occurring with *T. traskiana*. Possibly sexually dimorphic uropod 1; 13.5 mm.

*Traskorchestia traskiana* (Stimpson, 1857). On rocky beaches, occasionally on sandy beaches with algae; under debris and boards in salt marshes. See Page, 1979, Crustaceana 37: 247–252 (antennal growth); Busath, 1980, pp. 395–401 in Power ed, The California Islands Santa Barbara Mus. Natl. Hist. (genetics); Koch 1980, Crustaceana 57: 295–303 (behavior); Koch 1990, Crustaceana 59: 35–52 (population biology); 17 mm.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many improvements were provided by SCAMIT (Southern California Association of Marine Invertebrate Taxonomists) members, E. L. Bousfield, K. E. Conlan and E. A. Hendrycks (Canadian Museum of Nature), D. Cadien, S. McCormick, D. Pasko, and W. C. Fields. Assistance was provided by Amy Chapman and Carol Cole. Nicole Rudel assembled many plates. L. Weber and G. Boehlert allowed space at the OSU, HMSC. J. Webster, J. Mullens and S. Gilmont, Guin library, located difficult references. V. Gertseva translated Russian texts. The Gammaridea section is dedicated to J. Laurens Barnard, author of the two previous versions (Barnard 1954d. 1975), a patient, generous mentor and an inspiration to every amphipod taxonomist (Bousfield and Staude 1994, Thomas 1992, Rothman 1993).

#### REFERENCES

- Alderman, A. L. 1936. Some new and little known amphipods of California. University of California Publications in Zoology 41: 53–74.
- Barnard, J. L. 1950. The occurrence of *Chelura terebrans* Philippi in Los Angeles and San Francisco Harbors. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science. 49: 90–97.
- Barnard, J. L. 1952. Some Amphipoda from central California. Wasmann Journal of Biology 10: 9–36.
- Barnard, J. L. 1953. On two new amphipod records from Los Angeles Harbor. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science 52: 83–87.
- Barnard, J. L. 1954a. Marine Amphipoda of Oregon. Oregon State Monographs 8: 1–103.
- Barnard, J. L. 1954b. Amphipoda of the family Ampeliscidae collected in the eastern Pacific Ocean by the Velero III and Velero IV, Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions 18: 1–137.
- Barnard, J. L. 1954c. A new species of *Microjassa* (Amphipoda) from Los Angeles Harbor. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science 53: 127–130.
- Barnard, J. L. 1954d. Amphipoda, pp. 155–167 in R. I. Smith et al., (eds.) Intertidal Invertebrates of the Central California Coast. University of California Press, Berkeley, 446 pp.
- Barnard, J. L. 1955a. Notes on the amphipod genus *Aruga* with the description of a new species. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science 54: 97–103.
- Barnard, J. L. 1955b. Two new spongicolous amphipods (Crustacea) from California. Pacific Science 9: 26–30.
- Barnard, J. L. 1956. Two rare amphipods from California with notes on the genus *Atylus*. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science 55: 35–43.
- Barnard, J. L. 1957a. A new genus of haustoriid amphipod from the northeastern Pacific Ocean and the southern distribution of *Urothoe varvarini* Gurjanova. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences 56: 81–84.
- Barnard, J. L. 1957b. A new genus of dexaminid amphipod (marine Crustacea) from California. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences 56: 130–132.
- Barnard, J. L. 1957c. A new genus of phoxocephalid Amphipoda (Crustacea) from Africa, India, and California. Annals and the Magazine of Natural History 10: 432–438.
- Barnard, J. L. 1958. Revisionary notes on the Phoxocephalidae (Amphipoda), with a key to the genera. Pacific Science 12: 146–151.
- Barnard, J. L. 1959a. Liljeborgiid amphipods of southern California coastal bottoms, with a revision of the family. Pacific Naturalist 1: 12–28.
   Barnard, J. L. 1959b. Part II. Estuarine Amphipoda, pp. 13–69, In Ecol-
- ogy of Amphipoda and Polychaeta of Newport Bay, California. J. L. Barnard and D. J. Reish, eds. Allan Hancock Foundation Publications Occasional Papers 21, 106 pp.
- Barnard, J. L. 1960a. The amphipod family Phoxocephalidae in the eastern Pacific Ocean, with analyses of other species and notes for a revision of the family. Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions 18: 175–375.
- Barnard, J. L. 1960b. New bathyal and sublittoral ampeliscid amphipods from California, with an illustrated key to *Ampelisca*. Pacific Naturalist 1: 1–36.
- Barnard, J. L. 1962a. Benthic marine Amphipoda of southern California: Families Aoridae, Photidae, Ischyroceridae, Corophiidae, Podoceridae. Pacific Naturalist 3: 1–72.

<sup>\* =</sup> Not in key.

- Barnard, J. L. 1962b. Benthic marine Amphipoda of southern California: Families Tironidae to Gammaridae. Pacific Naturalist 3: 73–115.
- Barnard, J. L. 1962c. Benthic marine Amphipoda of southern California: Families Amphilochidae, Leucothoidae, Stenothoidae, Argissidae. Hvalidae. Pacific Naturalist 3: 116–163.
- Barnard, J. L. 1962d. Benthic marine Amphipoda of southern California: Family Oedicerotidae. Pacific Naturalist 3: 351–371.
- Barnard, J. L. 1962e. A new species of sand-burrowing marine Amphipoda from California. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science 61: 249–252.
- Barnard, J. L. 1964a. Deep-sea Amphipoda (Crustacea) collected by the R/V Vema in the eastern Pacific Ocean and the Caribbean and Mediterranean Seas. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 127: 3–46.
- Barnard, J. L. 1964b. Los anfipodos bentonicos marinos de la costa occidental de Baja California. Revista de la Sociedad Mexicana Historia Natural 24: 205–274.
- Barnard, J. L. 1964c. Marine Amphipoda of Bahia de San Quintin, Baja California. Pacific Naturalist 4: 55–139.
- Barnard, J. L. 1965. Marine Amphipoda of the family Ampithoidae from southern California. Proceedings of the U.S. National Museum 118: 1\_46
- Barnard, J. L. 1966. Benthic Amphipoda of Monterey Bay, California. Proceedings of the U.S. National Museum 119: 1–41.
- Barnard, J. L. 1967a. New and old dogielinotid marine Amphipoda. Crustaceana 13: 281–291.
- Barnard, J. L. 1967b. New species and records of Pacific Ampeliscidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Proceedings of the U.S. National Museum 121: 1–20.
- Barnard, J. L. 1967c. Bathyal and abyssal gammaridean Amphipoda of Cedros Trench, Baja California. United States National Museum Bulletin 260, 204 pp.
- Barnard, J. L. 1969a. Gammaridean Amphipoda of the rocky intertidal of California: Monterey Bay to La Jolla. Bulletin of the U.S. National Museum 258: 1–230.
- Barnard, J. L. 1969b. The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda. Bulletin of the U.S. National Museum 271: 1–535.
- Barnard, J. L. 1970. Sublittoral Gammaridea (Amphipoda) of the Hawaiian Islands. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 34: 1–286.
- Barnard, J. L. 1971. Gammaridean Amphipoda from a deep-sea transect off Oregon. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 61: 1–86.
- Barnard, J. L. 1972a. Gammaridean Amphipoda of Australia, Part I. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 103: 1–333.
- Barnard, J. L. 1972b. A review of the family Synipiidae (=Tironidae), mainly distributed in the deep sea (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 124: 1–194.
- Barnard, J. L. 1972c. The marine fauna of New Zealand: Algae-living littoral Gammaridea (Crustacea, Amphipoda). New Zealand Oceanographic Institute Memoirs 62: 1–216.
- Barnard, J. L. 1975. Crustacea, Amphipoda: Gammaridea, pp. 313–366. In
   Light's manual: intertidal invertebrates of the Central California Coast.
   R. I. Smith and J. T. Carlton, eds. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Barnard, J. L. 1977. A new species of *Synchelidium* (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from sand beaches in California. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 90: 877–883.
- Barnard, J. L. 1979a. Littoral gammaridean Amphipoda from the Gulf of California and the Galapagos Islands. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 271: 1–149.
- Barnard, J. L. 1979b. Revision of American species of the marine amphipod genus *Paraphoxus* (Gammaridea: Phoxocephalidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 92: 368–379.
- Barnard, J. L. 1980a. The genus *Grandifoxus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Phoxocephalidae) from the northeastern Pacific Ocean. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 93: 490–514.
- Barnard, J. L. 1980b. Revision of *Metharpina* and *Microphoxus* (marine phoxocephalid Amphipoda of the Americas). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 93: 104–135.
- Barnard, J. L., and C. M. Barnard. 1981. The amphipod genera, *Eobrolgus* and *Eyakia* (Crustacea: Phoxocephalidae) in the Pacific Ocean. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 94: 295–313.
- Barnard, J. L., and C. M. Barnard. 1982a. The genus *Rhepoxynius* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Phoxocephalidae) in American Seas. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 357, 149 pp.
- Barnard, J. L., and C. M. Barnard. 1982b. Revision of *Foxiphalus* and *Eobrolgus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Phoxocephalidae) from American oceans. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 372: 1–35.

- Barnard, J. L., and C. M. Barnard. 1983a. Freshwater Amphipoda of the World I. Evolutionary Patterns 1. Hayfield Associates, Mt. Vernon, VA, pp. 1–359.
- Barnard, J. L., and C. M. Barnard. 1983b. Freshwater Amphipoda of the World II. Handbook and Bibliography, 2, Hayfield Associates, Mt. Vernon, VA, pp. 359–830.
- Barnard, J. L., and R. R. Given. 1960. Common pleustid amphipods of southern California, with a projected revision of the family. Pacific Naturalist 1: 37–48.
- Barnard, J. L., and G. S. Karaman. 1990a. The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda (except marine gammaroids). Part 1, Records of the Australian Museum 13: 1–417.
- Barnard, J. L., and G. S. Karaman. 1990b. The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda (except marine gammaroids). Part 2. Records of the Australian Museum 13: 419–866.
- Beckett, D. C., P. A. Lewis, and J. H. Green. 1997. Where have all the *Crangonyx* gone? The disappearance of the amphipod *Crangonyx* pseudogracilis, and subsequent appearance of *Gammarus nr. fasciatus*, in the Ohio River. American Midland Naturalist 139: 201–209.
- Bellan-Santini, D. 1999. Ordre des Amphipodes (Amphipoda Latreille, 1816). In: Bacescu, M. et al. Treatise on zoology: anatomy, systematics, biology: 7. Crustaceans: 3A. Peracarida. Mémoires de l'Institut océanographique, Monaco 19: 93–176.
- Boeck, A. 1872. Bidrag til Californiens Amphipodefauna. Forhandlinger i Videnskskabs -Selskabet i Christiana, 1871, 22 pp.
- Borowsky, B. 1983. Reproductive behavior of three tube-building peracarid crustaceans: the amphipods *Jassa falcata* and *Ampithoe valida* and the tanaid *Tanais cavolinii*. Marine Biology 77: 257–263.
- Borowsky, B. 1984. The use of males' gnathopods during precopulation in some gammaridean Amphipoda. Crustaceana 47: 245–250.
- Borowsky, B. 1985. Differences in reproductive behavior between two male morphs of the amphipod crustacean *Jassa falcata* Montagu. Physiological Zoology 58: 497–502.
- Bosworth, W. S. 1973. Three new species of *Eohaustorius* (Amphipoda, Haustoriidae) from the Oregon coast. Crustaceana 25: 253–260.
- Bottoroff, R. L., B. A. Hamill, and W. I. Hamill. 2003. Records of the exotic freshwater amphipod, *Crangonyx pseudogracilis*, in San Luis Obispo County. California. California Fish and Game 89: 197–200.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1957. Notes on the amphipod genus *Orchestoidea* on the Pacific Coast of North America. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science 56: 119–129.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1958a. Distributional ecology of the terrestrial Talitridae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) of Canada. Proceedings of the 10th International Congress of Entomology 1: 883–898.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1958b. Fresh-water amphipod crustaceans of glaciated North America. Canadian Field Naturalist 72: 55–113.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1961a. New records of beach hoppers (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from the coast of California. National Museum of Canada Bulletin 172, Contributions to Zoology, 1959: 1–12.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1961b. New records of fresh-water amphipod crustaceans from Oregon. National Museum of Canada, Natural History Papers, 12: 1-7
- Bousfield, E. L. 1963. New freshwater amphipod crustaceans from Florida. National Museum of Canada, Natural History Papers 18: 1–9.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1969. New records of *Gammarus* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from the middle Atlantic region. Chesapeake Science 10: 1–17.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1973. Shallow-water gammaridean Amphipoda of New England. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, 312 pp.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1979. The amphipod superfamily Gammaroidea in the northeastern Pacific region: Systematics and distributional ecology. Bulletin of the Biological Society of Washington 3: 297–357.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1987. Amphipod parasites of fishes of Canada. Canadian Bulletin of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 217: 1–37.
- Bousfield, E. L. 1989. Revised morphological relationships within the amphipod genera *Pontoporeia* and *Gammaracanthus* and the "glacial relict" significance of their postglacial distributions. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 46: 1714–1725.
- Bousfield, E. L. 2001. An updated commentary on phyletic classification of the amphipod Crustacea and its application to the North American fauna. Amphipacifica 3(1): 49–119.
- Bousfield, E. L., and A. Chevrier. 1996. The amphipod family Oedicerotidae on the Pacific coast of North America. Part 1. The *Monoculodes* and *Synchelidium* generic complexes: Systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 2(2): 75–148.
- Bousfield, E. L., and E. A. Hendrycks. 1994a. A revision of family Pleustidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Leucothoidea) Part I. Systematics and

- Bousfield, E. L., and E. A. Hendrycks. 1994b. The amphipod superfamily Leucothoidea on the Pacific coast of North America. Family Pleustidae: Subfamily Pleustinae. Systematics and biogeography. Amphipacifica 1(2): 3-69.
- Bousfield, E. L., and E. A. Hendrycks. 1995a. The amphipod superfamily Eusiroidea in the North American Pacific region. I. Family Eusiridae: systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 1(4): 3-59.
- Bousfield, E. L., and E. A. Hendrycks. 1995b. The amphipod family Pleustidae on the Pacific coast of North America. Part III. Subfamilies Parapleustinae, Dactylopleustinae, and Pleusirinae: Systematics and distributional ecology, Amphipacifica 2(1): 65-133.
- Bousfield, E. L., and E. A. Hendrycks, 1997. The amphipod superfamily Eusiroidea in the North American Pacific region. II. Calliopiidae. Systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 2(3): 3-66.
- Bousfield, E. L., and E. A. Hendrycks. 2002. The talitroidean amphipod family Hyalidae revised, with emphasis on the North Pacific fauna: systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 3(3): 17-134.
- Bousfield, E. L., and P. M. Hoover. 1995. The amphipod superfamily Pontoporeioidea on the Pacific Coast of North America. I. Family Haustoriidae. Genus Eohaustorius J. L. Barnard: Systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 2(1): 35–64.
- Bousfield, E. L., and P. M. Hoover. 1997. The amphipod superfamily Corophioidae on the Pacific Coast of North America. Part V. Family Corophiinae, new subfamily. Systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 2(3): 67-139.
- Bousfield, E. L., and J. A. Kendall. 1994. The amphipod superfamily Dexaminoidea on the North American Pacific coast: Families Atvlidae and Dexaminidae: Systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 1(3): 3-66.
- Bousfield, E. L., and P. Marcoux. 2004. Talitroidean amphipod family Najnidae in the North Pacific region: systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 3(4): 3-44.
- Bousfield, E. L., and H. Morino. 1992. The amphipod genus Ramellogammarus (Amphipoda: Anisogammaridea) on the Pacific coast of North America, Contributions of the Royal British Columbia Museum 17: 1-22.
- Bousfield, E. L., and C. P. Staude. 1994. The impact of J. L. Barnard on North American Pacific amphipod research: A tribute. Amphipacifica
- Boyd, M. J., T. J. Mulligan, and F. J. Shaughnessy. 2002. Non-indigenous Marine Species of Humboldt Bay, California. Report to the California Department of Fish and Game. Humboldt State University, 118 pp.
- Chapman, J. W. 1988. Invasions of the northeast Pacific by Asian and Atlantic gammaridean amphipods crustaceans, including a new species of Corophium. Journal of Crustacean Biology 8: 364–382
- Chapman, J. W., and J. A. Dorman. 1975. Diagnosis, systematics and notes on Grandidierella japonica (Amphipoda: Gammaridea) and its introduction to the Pacific coast of the United States. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science 74: 104-108.
- Chess, J. R. 1979. High densities of benthic amphipods related to upwelling on the northern California coast, Coastal Oceanography and Climatology News 1: 31.
- Cole, G. A., and R. L. Watkins, 1977, Hvalella montezuma, a new species (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from Montezuma Well, Arizona. Hydrobiologia 52: 175-184.
- Coleman, C. O., and J. L. Barnard. 1991. Revision of Iphimediidae and similar families (Amphipoda, Gammaridea). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 104: 253-268.
- Conlan, K. E. 1982. Revision of the gammaridean amphipod family Ampithoidae using numerical analytical methods. Canadian Journal of Zoology 60: 2015-2027.
- Conlan, K. E. 1983. The amphipod superfamily Corophioidea in the northeastern Pacific region. 3. Family Isaeidae: Systematics and distributional ecology. Publications in Natural Sciences, National Museums of Canada 4: 1-75.
- Conlan, K. 1989. Delayed reproduction and adult dimorphism in males of the amphipod genus *Iassa* (Corophioidea: Ischvroceridae): an explanation for systematic confusion. Journal of Crustacean Biology 9: 601-625
- Conlan, K. E. 1990. Revision of the crustacean amphipod genus Jassa Leach (Corophioidea: Ischyroceridae). Canadian Journal of Zoology 68: 2031–2075.
- Conlan, K. E. 1991. Precopulatory mating behaviour and sexual dimorphism in the amphipod Crustacea. Hydrobiologia 223: 255-282.

Conlan, K. E. 1994. Amphipod crustaceans and environmental disturbance: a review. Journal of Natural History 28: 519-554.

Page 616 Techbooks (PPG Quark)

- Conlan, K. E. 1995a. Thumb evolution in the amphipod genus Microjassa Stebbing (Corophiidea: Ischyroceridae). Journal of Crustacean Biology 15: 693-702.
- Conlan, K. E. 1995b. Thumbing doesn't always make the genus. Revision of Microjassa Stebbing (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Ischyroceridae). Bulletin of Marine Science 57: 333-377.
- Conlan, K. E., and E. L. Bousfield. 1982a. The amphipod superfamily Corophioidea in the northeastern Pacific region. Family Ampithoidae: systematics and distributional ecology. National Museum of Natural Sciences (Ottawa). Publications in Biological Oceanography 10: 41-75
- Conlan, K. E., and E. L. Bousfield. 1982b. The amphipod superfamily Corophioidea in the northeastern Pacific Region, Family Aoridae: Systematics and distributional ecology. National Museum of Natural Sciences (Ottawa), Publications in Biological Oceanography 10: 77-101.
- Conlan, K. E., and J. R. Chess. 1992. Phylogeny and ecology of a kelpboring amphipod, Parampithoe stypotrupetes, a new species (Corophioidea: Amphithoidae). Journal of Crustacean Biology 12: 410-422
- Connell, J. H. 1963. Territorial behavior and dispersion in some marine invertebrates, Research in Population Ecology 5: 87–101.
- Costello, M. J. 1993. Biogeography of alien amphipods occurring in Ireland, and interactions with native species. Crustaceana 65: 287-299
- Coyle, K. O. 1982. The amphipod genus Grandifoxus in Alaska. Journal of Crustacean Biology 2: 430-450.
- Cronin, G., and M. E. Hay. 1996a. Susceptibility to herbivores depends on recent history of both the plant and animal. Ecology 77: 1531-1543.
- Cronin, G., and M. E. Hav. 1996b. Induction of seaweed chemical defenses by amphipod grazing. Ecology 77: 2287-2301.
- Dadswell, M. J. 1974. Distribution, ecology, and postglacial dispersal of certain crustaceans and fishes in eastern North America. National Museum of Natural Sciences Publications in Zoology 11: 110 pp.
- Dahl, E. 1979. Deep-sea carrion feeding amphipods: Evolutionary patterns in niche adaptation. Oikos 33: 167-175.
- Dalkey, A. 1998. A new species of amphipod (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Lysianassoidea) from the Pacific Coast of North America, Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 111: 621-626.
- Dickinson, J. J. 1982. The systematics and distributional ecology of the family Ampeliscidae (Amphipoda: Gammaridea) in the northeastern Pacific Region I. The genus Ampelisca. National Museum of Natural Sciences (Ottawa). Publications in Biological Oceanography 10: 1-39.
- Dickinson, J. J. 1983. The systematics and distributional ecology of the superfamily Ampeliscoidae (Amphipoda: Gammaridea) in the northeastern Pacific region. II. The genera Byblis and Haploops. Publications in Natural Sciences, National Museum of Natural Sciences, Canada 1: 1-38.
- Dojiri, M., and J. Sieg. 1987. Ingolfiella fuscina, new species (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from the Gulf of Mexico and the Atlantic coast of North America, and partial redescription of I. atlantisi Mills, 1967. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 100: 494-505.
- Flores, M., and G. J. Brusca. 1975. Observations on two species of hyperiid amphipods associated with the ctenophore Pleurobrachia bachei. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences 74: 10-15.
- Griffiths, C. L. 1979. A redescription of the kelp curler Ampithoe humeralis (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from South Africa and its relationship to Macropisthopous. Annals of the South African Museum 79:
- Gurjanova, E. F. 1938. Amphipoda. Gammaroidea of Siaukhu Bay and Sudzuhke Bay (Japan Sea). Reports of the Japan Sea Hydrobiological Expedition of the Zoological Institute of the Academy of Sciences USSR in 1934. 1: 241-404.
- Gurjanova, E. F. 1951. Bokoplavi moreii SSSR i sopredelnikh vod (Amphipoda: Gammaridea). Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moskow 41: 1-1029.
- Gurjanova, E. F. 1953. Novye dopolneija k dal'nevostochnoi fauna morskik bokoplavov. Akademiia Nauk SSSR. Trudy Zoologicheskogo Institute 13: 216-241.
- Gurjanova, E. 1962. Bokoplavy severnoi chasti Tixogo Okeana (Amphipoda-Gammaridea) chast' 1, Akad. Nauk SSSR, 74: 1-440.
- Haertel, L., and C. Osterberg. 1967. Ecology of zooplankton benthos and fishes in the Columbia River Estuary. Ecology, 48: 459-472.
- Hay, M. E., J. E. Duffy, C. A. Pfister, and W. Fenical. 1987. Chemical defense against different marine herbivores: are amphipods insect equivalents? Ecology 68: 1567-1580.

- Hay, M. E., J. E. Duffy, W. Fenical, and K. Gustafson. 1988. Chemical defense in the seaweed *Dictyopteris delicatula*: differential effects against reef fishes and amphipods. Marine Ecology Progress Series 48: 185–192.
- Hendrycks, E. A., and E. L. Bousfield. 2001. The amphipod genus *Allorchestes* in the North Pacific region: systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 3(2): 3–38.
- Hendrycks, E. A., and E. L. Bousfield. 2004. The amphipod family Pleustidae (mainly subfamilies Mesopleustinae, Neopleustinae, Pleusymtinae, and Stenopleustinae) from the Pacific coast of North America: systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 3(4): 45–113.
- Hirayama, A. 1983. Taxonomic studies on the shallow-water gammaridean Amphipoda of West Kyushu, Japan. I. Acanthonotozomatidae, Ampeliscidae, Amphithoidae, Amphilochidae, Argissidae, Atylidae, and Colomastigidae. Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 28: 75–150.
- Hirayama, A. 1984. Taxonomic studies on the shallow water gammaridean Amphipoda of West Kyushu, Japan. II. Corophiidae. Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 29: 1–92.
- Hogg, I. D., C. Larose, Y. Delafontaine, and K. G. Doe. 1998. Genetic evidence for a *Hyalella* species complex within the Great Lakes St Lawrence River drainage basin: implications for ecotoxicology and conservation biology. Canadian Journal of Zoology 76: 1134–1140.
- Holmes, S. J. 1908. The Amphipoda collected by the U.S. Bureau of Fisheries steamer "Albatross" off the west coast of North America, in 1903 and 1904, with descriptions of a new family and several new genera and species. Proceedings of the U.S. National Museum 35: 489–543.
- Hoover, P. M., and E. L. Bousfield. 2001. The amphipod superfamily Leucothoidea on the Pacific coast of North America: Family Amphilochidae: systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 3(1): 3–28.
- Hubricht, L., and J. G. Mackin. 1940. Descriptions of nine new species of fresh-water amphipod crustaceans with notes and new localities for other species. American Midland Naturalist 23: 187–218.
- Hurley, D. E. 1963. Amphipods of the family Lysianassidae from the west coast of North and Central America. Allan Hancock Foundation Publications Occasional Paper 25: 1–160.
- Imbach, M. C. 1967. Gammaridean Amphipoda from the South China Sea. Naga Report 4: 39–167.
- Jarrett, N. E., and E. L. Bousfield. 1982. Studies on the amphipod family Lysianassidae in the Northeastern Pacific region. *Hippomedon*: and related genera. National Museum of Natural Sciences (Ottawa). Publications in Biological Oceanography 10: 103–128.
- Jarrett, N. E., and E. L. Bousfield. 1994a. The amphipod superfamily Phoxocephaloidea on the Pacific coast of North America. Family Phoxocephalidae. Part 1. Metharpiniinae, new subfamily. Amphipacifica 1: 58–140.
- Jarrett, N. E., and E. L. Bousfield. 1994b. The amphipod superfamily Phoxocephaloidea on the Pacific Coast of North America. Family Phoxocephalidae. Part II. Subfamilies Pontharpiniinae, Brolginae, Phoxocephalinae, and Harpiniinae. Systematics and distributional ecology. Amphipacifica 1(2): 71–150.
- Jarrett, N. E., and E. L. Bousfield. 1996. The amphipod superfamily Hadzioidea on the Pacific Coast of North America: Family Melitidae. Part I. The *Melita* group: systematics and distribution ecology. Amphipacifica 2(2): 3–74.
- Krapp-Schickel, T., and N. Jarrett. 2000. The amphipod family Melitidae on the Pacific coast of North America. Part II. The Maera-Ceradocus complex. Amphipacifica 2(4): 23–61.
- Kudrjaschov, V. A., and N. L. Tzvetkova. 1975. New and rare species off Amphipoda (Gammaridea) from the coastal waters of the South Sakhalin. Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 54: 1306–1315 (in Russian).
- Laubitz, D. R. 1977. A revision of the genera *Dulichia* Kroyer and *Paradulichia* Boeck (Amphipoda, Podoceridae). Canadian Journal of Zoology 55: 942–982.
- Light, S. F. 1941. Amphipoda, pp. 93–104, in S. F. Light, Laboratory and Field Text in Invertebrate Zoology, Associated Students Store, University of California, Berkeley, 232 pp.
- Lincoln, R. J. 1979. British marine Amphipoda: Gammaridea. British Museum (Natural History), London, 658 pp.
- Lowry, J. K., and H. E. Stoddart. 1983. The shallow-water gammaridean Amphipoda of the subantarctic islands of New Zealand and Australia: Lysianassoid. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand 13: 279–394.

- MacNeil C., J. T. Dick, M. J. Hatcher, R. S. Terry, J. E. Smith, and A. M. Dunn. 2003. Parasite-mediated predation between native and invasive amphipods. Proceedings in Biological Science 270: 1309–1314.
- McCarthy, J. E. 1973. The distribution, substrate selection and sediment displacement of *Corophium salmonis* (Stimpson) and *Corophium spinicorne* (Stimpson) on the coast of Oregon, PhD, Oregon State University, 61 pp.
- McCloskey, L. R. 1970. A new species of *Dulichia* (Amphipoda, Podoceridae) commensal with a sea urchin. Pacific Science 24: 90–98.
- McCurdy, D. G., M. R. Forbes, S. P. Logan, D. Lancaster, and S. I. Mautner. 2005. Foraging and impacts by benthic fish on the intertidal amphipod *Corophium volutator*. Journal of Crustacean Biology 25: 558–564.
- McKinney, L. D. 1980. Four new and unusual amphipods from the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 93: 83–103.
- Mills, E. L. 1961. Amphipod crustaceans of the Pacific coast of Canada, I. Family Atylidae. Bulletin of the National Museum of Canada 172: 13–33.
- Mills, E. L. 1962. Amphipod crustaceans of the Pacific coast of Canada. II. Family Oedicerotidae. National Museum of Canada Natural History Papers 15: 1–21.
- Mills, E. L. 1964. Ampelisca abdita, a new amphipod crustacean from eastern North America. Canadian Journal of Zoology 42: 559–575.
- Mills, E. L. 1967. A reexamination of some species of *Ampelisca* (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from the east coast of North America. Canadian Journal of Zoology 45: 635–652.
- Moore, P. G. 1992. A study of the amphipods from the superfamily Stegocephaloidea Dana, 1852 from the northeastern Pacific region: systematics and distributional ecology. Journal of Natural History 26: 905–936.
- Moore, P. G., and P. S. Rainbow. 1992. Aspects of the biology of iron, copper and other metals in relation to feeding in *Andaneixis abyssi*, with notes on *Andaniopsis nordlandica* and *Stegocephalus inflatus* (Amphipoda: Stegocephalidae), from Norwegian waters. Sarsia 76: 215–225.
- Moore, S. E., J. M. Grebmeier, and J. R. Davies. 2003. Gray whale distribution relative to forage habitat in the northern Bering Sea: current conditions and retrospective summary. Canadian Journal of Zoology 81: 734–742.
- Mouritsen, K. N., and K. T. Jensen. 1997. Parasite transmission between soft-bottom invertebrates: temperature mediated infection rates and mortality in *Corophium volutator*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 151: 123–134.
- Myers, A. A., and J. K. Lowry. 2003. A phylogeny and a new classification of the Corophiidea Leach 1814 (Amphipoda). Journal of Crustacean Biology 23: 443–485.
- Nagata, K. 1965a. Studies of marine gammaridean Amphipoda of the Seto Inland Sea, I. Publications of the Seto Marine Biology Laboratory 13: 131–170.
- Nagata, K. 1965b. Studies of marine gammaridean Amphipoda of the Seto Inland Sea, III. Publications of the Seto Marine Biology Laboratory 13: 191–326.
- Olafsson, E. B., and L.-E. Persson. 1986. The interaction between Nereis diversicolor O. F. Müller and Corophium volutator Pallas as a structuring force in a shallow brackish sediment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 103: 103–117.
- Pelletier, J., and J. W. Chapman. 1996. Application of antibiotics to cultures of the gammaridean amphipod *Corophium spinicorne* Stimpson, 1857. Journal of Crustacean Biology 16: 291–294.
- Roney, J. D. 1990. A new species of marine amphipod (Gammaridea: Ampeliscidae) from the sublittoral of southern California. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Sciences 89: 124–129.
- Rothman, P. L. 1993. New families, genera and species of amphipod crustaceans described by J. L. Barnard (1928–1991). Journal of Natural History 27: 743–780.
- Sars, G. O. 1895. Amphipoda, An account of the Crustacea of Norway with short descriptions and figures of all the species, 711 pp.
- Schneider, D. C., and B. A. Harrington. 1981. Timing of shorebird migration in relation to prey depletion. Auk 98: 801–811.
- Segersträle, S. G. 1937. Susien uber die Bodentierwelt in sudfinnlandischen kustengewassern III. Zur moorphologie und biologie des ampipoden *Pontoporeia affinis*, nebst einer revision der *Pontoporeiasystematic*. Societas Scientiarum Fennica. Commentationes Biologicae 7: 1–183.
- Segersträle, S. G. 1976. Postglacial lakes and the dispersal of glacial relicts. Commentationes Biologicae 83: 1–15.

- Serejo, C. S. 2004. Cladistic revision of talitroidean amphipods (Crustacea, Gammaridea), with a proposal of a new classification. Zoologica Scripta 33: 551-586.
- Shillaker, R. O., and P. G. Moore. 1987. The biology of brooding in the amphipods Lembos websteri Bate and Corophium bonelli Milne Edwards. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 110: 113-132.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1926. Amphipods of the family Bateidae in the collection of the United States Museum. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 68: 1-26.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1931. A new species of amphipod crustacean (Acanthonotozomatidae) from California and notes on Euystheus tenuicornis. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 78: 1-8.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1933. Two new genera and six new species of Amphipoda from Tortugas. Carnegie Institution of Washington Publication 435: 245-256.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1934a. Two new species of Corophium from the west coast of America. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 24:
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1938a. Three new species of the amphipod genus Ampithoe from the west coast of America. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 28: 15-25.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1938b. Two new species of amphipod crustaceans from the east coast of the United States. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 28: 326-332.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1941. A new genus and a new species of Amphipoda from the Pacific coast of North America. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 54: 183-186.
- Shoemaker, C. L. 1942. Amphipod crustaceans collected on the Presidential Cruise of 1938, Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 101: 1-52.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1944. Description of a new species of Amphipoda of the genus Anisogammarus from Oregon. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 34: 89-93.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1947. Further notes on the amphipod genus Corophium from the east coast of America. Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences 37: 47-63.
- Shoemaker, C. L. 1949. The amphipod genus Corophium on the west coast of America, Journal of the Washington Academy of Science 39: 66–82.
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1952, A new species of commensal amphipod from a spiny lobster. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 102: 231-233.
- Shoemaker, C. L. 1955a. Amphipoda collected at the Arctic Laboratory, Office of Naval Research, Point Barrow, Alaska, by G. E. MacGinitie. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 128: 1-78.
- Shoemaker, C. L. 1955b. Notes on the amphipod crustacean Maeroides thompsoni Walker, Journal of the Washington Academy of Science 45:
- Shoemaker, C. R. 1964. Seven new amphipods from the west coast of North America with notes on some unusual species. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 115: 391.
- Skogsberg, T., and G. H. Vansell. 1928. Structure and behavior of the amphipod, Polycheria osborni. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 17: 267-295.
- Slothouber Galbreath J. G., J. E. Smith, T. S. Terry, J. J. Becnel, and A. M. Dunn. 2004. Invasion success of Fibrillanosema crangonycis, n. sp., n. g., a novel vertically transmitted microsporidian parasite from the invasive amphipod host Crangonyx pseudogracilis. International Journal for Parasitology 34: 235-244.
- Stasek, C. R. 1958. A new species of Allogaussia (Amphipoda, Lysianassidae) found living within the gastrovascular cavity of the seaanemone Anthopleura elegantissima. Journal of the Washington Academy of Science 48: 119-126.
- Staude, C. P. 1995. The amphipod genus *Paramoera* Meirs (Gammaridea: Eusiroidea: Pontogeneiidae) in the eastern North Pacific. Amphipacifica 1(4): 61-102.
- Staude, C. P. 1997. Phylum Arthropoda: Subphylum Crustacea: Class Malacostraca: Order Amphipoda, pp. 346-391. In Marine Invertebrates of the Pacific Northwest. E. N. Kozloff and L. H. Price, eds. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Stebbing, T. R. R. 1906. Amphipoda I. Gammaridea. Das Tierreich 21,
- Steele, D. H. and P. Brunel. 1968. Amphipoda of the Atlantic and Arctic coasts of North America: Anonyx (Lysianassidae). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 25: 943-1060.
- Steele, V. J., and D. H. Steele. 1993. Presence of two types of calceoli on Gammarellus angulosus (Amphipoda: Gammaridea). Journal of Crustacean Biology 13: 538-543.

- Stephensen, K. 1932. Some new amphipods from Japan. Annotationes Zoologicae Japonenses 13: 487–501.
- Stimpson, W. 1857. On the Crustacea and Echinodermata of the Pacific shores of North America. Boston Journal of Natural History 6: 444-532.
- Thomas, J. D. 1992, J. Laurens Barnard (1928-1991), Journal of Crustacean Biology 12: 324-326.
- Thomas, J. D., and J. L. Barnard. 1983. Transformation of the Leucothoides morph to the Anamixis morph (Amphipoda). Journal of Crustacean Biology 3: 154-157.
- Thomas, J. D., and J. L. Barnard. 1986. New genera and species of the Megaluropus group (Amphipoda, Megaluropidae) from American seas. Bulletin of Marine Science 38: 442-476.
- Thorsteinson, E. D. 1941. New or noteworthy amphipods from the North Pacific coast. University of Washington Publications in Oceanography 4: 50-96.
- Thurston, M. H. 1990. Abyssal necrophagous amphipods (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in the northeast and tropical Atlantic Ocean. Progress in Oceanography 24: 257-274.
- Toft, J. D., J. R. Cordell, and W. C. Fields. 2002. New records off crustaceans (Amphipoda, Isopoda) in the Sacramento/San Joaquin Delta. California, and application of criteria for introduced species. Journal of Crustacean Biology 22: 190-200.
- Väinölä, R., and S. Varvio. 1989. Molecular divergence and evolutionary relationships in Pontoporeia (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 46: 1705-1713.
- Waldron, K. D. 1953. A new subspecies of Pontoporeia affinis in Lake Washington with a description of its morphology and life cycle. Masters thesis. University of Washington, Seattle, 123 pp.
- Watkin, E. E. 1941. Observations on the night tidal migrant Crustacea of Kames Bay. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 25: 81-96.
- Watling, L. 1997. 3. The families Ampeliscidae, Amphilochidae, Liljeborgiidae, and Pleustidae, pp. 137-175. In Taxonomic atlas of the benthic fauna of the Santa Maria basin and the western Santa Barbara channel, Volume 12, The Crustacea Part 3. J. A. Blake, L. Watling, and P. V. Scott, eds. The Amphipoda, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, 251 pp.
- Weckel, A. L. 1907. The freshwater Amphipoda of North America. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 32: 25-58.
- Yamato, S. 1987. Four intertidal species of the genus Melita (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from Japanese waters, including descriptions of two new species. Publications of the Seto Marine Biology Laboratory 32:
- Yamato, S. 1988. Two new species of the genus Melita (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from brackish waters in Japan. Publications of the Seto Marine Biology Laboratory 33: 79-95.
- Zajac, R. N., R. S. Lewis, L. J. Poppe, D. C. Twichell, J. V. Millstone, and M. L. DiGiacomo-Cohen. 2003. Responses of infaunal populations to benthoscape structure and the potential importance of transition zones. Limnology and Oceanography 48: 829-842.
- Zhang, J. 1997. Systematics of the freshwater amphipod genus Crangonyx (Crangonyctidae) in North America. PhD thesis. Old Dominion University, 361 pp.

## **CAPRELLIDAE**

LES WATLING AND JAMES T. CARLTON

(Plates 305-311)

Caprellids, or "skeleton shrimp," are remarkable crustaceans in which one can easily invest many hours of profitable observation, watching their feeding behavior, inter- or intraspecific interactions, and sheer gymnastics, as they cling and climb, often perfectly camouflaged, on hydroids, bryozoans, or other substrates. Much remains to be learned about their biology, ecology, and distribution along the Pacific coast, and some relatively common intertidal species remain known from hardly more than their original descriptions. Especially overlooked—or mistaken for juveniles—are those species that are only a few millimeters in length as adults. The patient student working with living substrates, a comfortable chair, and a good microscope will be richly rewarded.