wrangle_report

June 1, 2024

0.1 Reporting: wragle_report

• Create a **300-600 word written report** called "wrangle_report.pdf" or "wrangle_report.html" that briefly describes your wrangling efforts. This is to be framed as an internal document.

0.1.1 Twitter archive data

Data Gathering and Assessing

- 1. the twitter archives enhanced is loaded in to a dataframe df_1.
- 2. the .info method indicates data types issues with tweet_id and timestamp columns and some missing values with other columns.
- 3. the .sort_values method when applied on rating_numerator and rating_denominator indicates that there are numerators and denominators that are less than 10.
- 4. the name, doggo, floofer, pupper, puppo columns have values None which are actually NaN.
- 5. the name column have values other than name like an, quite and etc..
- 6. there is retweeted_status_id column with values and NaN.
- 7. the .describe method revealed that there are tweets that have higher ratings which might be outliers are wrongly interpreted.
- 8. the data is assessed for duplicates and no duplicates are found.

Data Cleaning

- 1. the tweets that are retweets and tweets with no image urls are filtered from the dataframe.
- 2. then the columns with zero non null values are dropped from the dataframe.
- 3. the tweet_id and the timestamp are changed to respective data types.
- 4. the reply fields are also dropped from the dataframe.
- 5. the name field is renamed to dog_name to maintain naming convention.
- 6. the names of the dog values checked and is corrected.
- 7. the dnominator values less than 10 are selected and is correctly replaced as per the tweet.
- 8. the source columns are extracted for correct field values.
- 9. the dog_stage is created by melting the 'doggo', 'floofer', 'pupper', 'puppo' columns.
- 10. the dog_stage is changed to category data type.

0.1.2 Tweet image prediction

Data Gathering and Assessing

1. the url is downloaded programmatically.

- 2. the .info method indicates no missing values and data type issue with tweet_id.
- 3. the dataset has column names that are confusing with the value it holds.
- 4. there are some images that are predicted as dogs and some predictions are not dogs.
- 5. the predicted values are inconsistent data types.
- 6. the .describe method indicates that the p1_conf has value 1 which is to be inspected for what the predictions are for.
- 7. the data is assessed for duplicates and no duplicates are found

Data Cleaning

- 1. the tweet_id is changed to object data type.
- 2. the columns are renamed and dropped as required for analysis.
- 3. the 'prediction_1', 'prediction_2', 'prediction_3' columns are curated by replacing the _, with spaces and made as title case.

0.1.3 Twitter API

Data Gathering and Assessing

- 1. the twitter developer account is created to get the key and token and the json file is downloaded as .txt file
- 2. the tweet_id, retweet_count and favorite_count has been collected as a list and converted to dataframe.
- 3. the .info method reveals that there are no missing values in the dataframe.
- 4. the .sort_values mehtod indicates there are many tweets with zer0 favorite count which might be an error in the data.
- 5. the .describe method reveals there are tweets with zero retweets.
- 6. the data is assessed for duplicates and no duplicates are found

Data Cleaning

1. the zero values are from the data source so we are not accepting as it is