# CSE 321 Homework 5 Report

Sema Köse

141044002

Course Assistant : M. Burak Koca

## **EXPLANATIONS OF THE QUESTIONS**

# **Question 1:**

### I. Algorithm:

Let J be the array of jobs  $J = [[t_1, w_1]...[t_n, w_n]].$ 

If we calculate the  $w_i$  /  $t_i$  for each job  $j_i$  and sort in decreasing order, jobs will be sorted in order to minimizing the weighted sum.

For example;

$$J = [[4, 7], [9, 4], [10, 9], [3, 8]]$$

$$J_1 = [4, 7] \quad T_1 = 4 \quad W_1 = 7$$

$$J_2 = [9, 4] \quad T_2 = 9 \quad W_2 = 4$$

$$J_3 = [10, 9] \quad T_3 = 10 \quad W_3 = 9$$

$$J_4 = [3, 8] \quad T_4 = 3 \quad W_4 = 8$$

$$W_1/T_1 \quad = \quad 7/4 \quad = 1.75$$

$$W_2/T_2 \quad = \quad 4/9 \quad = 0.4$$

$$W_3/T_3 \quad = \quad 9/10 \quad = 0.9$$

$$W_4/T_4 \quad = \quad 8/3 \quad = 2.7$$

Job Order = 
$$J_4$$
,  $J_1$ ,  $J_3$ ,  $J_2$ 

Lets calculate;

$$C_1 = T_4 * W_4 = 3*8 = 24$$
  
 $C_2 = (T_4 + T_1) * W_1 = 7*7 = 49$   
 $C_1 + C_2 = 73$ 

If we had chosen opposite:

$$C_1 = T_1 * W_1 = 4*7 = 28$$
  
 $C_2 = (T_4 + T_1) * W_4 = 7*8 = 56$   
 $C_1 + C_2 = 84$   
The total sum would be higher.

In conclusion, if we choose the job which has minimum  $t_i$  value according to maximum  $w_i$  value; we will be choose the minimum  $t_{i-1}$  for the next job  $j_i$ . In other words we will be increse the multiplier for the next weight with the possible smallest value.

#### II. Time Complexity:

We're using a bubble sort algorithm here for sorting the values due to a condition; which take  $O(n^2)$  time.

$$T(n) \in O(n^2)$$

# **Question 2-a:**

# I. Proof:

As I calculated and printed with the code in the .py file:

$$for i = 1 \ to \ n \\ if \ Ni < Si \ then \\ Output "NY in Month i" else \\ Output "SF in Month i" end$$

The algorithm above does not correctly solve the problem because if the table was:

#	Month1		Month2		Month3	Month4
NY	1	3	2	6		
SF	50	20	20	4		

$$M = 10$$

It outputs:

The M=10, so according to the algorithm the sum of the costs will be :

Total Cost = 
$$1 + 3 + 2 + 4 + 10 = 20$$

But if we would be taken the NY as last city instead of SF, we won't have to be add M value at the end and the correct output would be:

And the sum of the costs would be:

Total Cost = 
$$1 + 3 + 2 + 6 = 12$$

#### **Question 2-b:**

### I. Algorithm:

Let  $S[s_1...s_n]$  and  $N[n_1...n_n]$  be two lists that represents operating costs of 2 cities N for NY and S for SF. n is the # of months and M is the moving cost.

$$M = 10;$$

ShiftCostBefore = 0;

#	Month1	Month2	Month3	Month4
NY	1	3	20	30
SF	50	20	2	4
Choosen	1	3	2	4
Month	NY	NY	SF	SF

First we take the smallest one of the first costs;

$$N[0] = 1 < S[0] = 50$$

$$Choosen[0] = 1$$
,  $Month[0] = NY$ 

Then we compare the second costs with calculating the summation with the moving cost;

$$N[1] = 3 < ( (ShiftCostBefore + M = 10) + (S[1] = 20) = 30)$$

$$Choosen[1] = 3$$
,  $Month[1] = NY$ 

We keep comparing;

$$N[2] = 20 > ( ((ShiftCostBefore = 0) + (M = 10) = 10) + (S[2] = 2) = 12)$$
  
Choosen[2] = 2, Month[2] = SF, ShiftCostBefore += M

And last comparison;

$$N[3] = 30 > ( ((ShiftCostBefore = 10) + (M = 10) = 20) + (S[3] = 2) = 22)$$
  
 $Choosen[3] = 2$ ,  $Month[2] = SF$ 

We calculate shifts total so far, cause the next value cauld be greater than our moving cost.

## II. Time Complexity:

For each record, we compare once so the algorithm tames O(n) time.

$$T(n) \in O(n)$$