

Dr Stephen McGrath Submission to Commonwealth Government C-19 Response Enquiry

The PM has gone back on his promise to hold a Royal Commission that would have covered all matters and all jurisdictions and has instead opted for a very weak and limited enquiry with powers well short of what is sorely needed. I can only hope that this enquiry reveals the need for a full Royal Commission. I will nevertheless make some comments that are relevant to the first term of reference. The rest of the terms of reference will inevitably ignore the devastating issues of job injuries and deaths and focus on unnecessary and draconian ways of introducing tighter surveillance which is greatly against the interests of the Australian people. More efficient, forced mass poisoning is not an acceptable outcome.

I consider the Enquiry needs to investigate and report on:

1. Full details of the vaccine contracts. There can be no acceptable claim to commercial in confidence reasons preventing disclosure. Avoidance of disclosure is not in the public interest when public money is being spent. It is also not acceptable when fraud has been committed.
2. The reasons that the pre-existing evidence based 2019 pandemic plan was abandoned and measures that were contrary to its evidence base were nevertheless adopted, such as masks, border closures and travel restrictions.
3. National Cabinet's ongoing support for AHPRA's lack of due diligence on safety, its silence on vaccine harm and its actions along with ATAGI in silencing any of the Medical Profession who followed their conscience, common sense and Hippocratic oath.
4. Failure of government media releases and mainstream media, including the public broadcaster, the ABC, to address or report lack of both efficacy and safety as these became known.
5. Why the onus of proof was reversed for this vaccine in this country. Previous vaccines looked for the slightest possibility of vaccine related injury and reasonable suspicion was sufficient to allow harmful ones to be stopped. With C-19, this was completely reversed, with defensive officials seeking to find proof of harm, which was initially impossible, but soon became evident and is still being ignored in defence of private for-profit companies that the government still seems to be trying to protect at the expense of its own people.
6. The reason why the demonisation of people continued on the basis of saving granny and the kids when this had absolutely no basis in fact, as had become evident only a few months into the pandemic.
7. Why the mass sacking numbers went unreported and unacknowledged, generating staff shortages that continue to cause ambulance ramping to this day, as well as the general labour shortage in all industries across the country.

If this enquiry simply asserts that all the adverse information was false, it can only be regarded as a gaslighting/ whitewashing exercise to protect those who were either incompetent or guilty.

If you are in any doubt about the falsity of the officially approved narrative, this NZ government data shows a 25-30% death rate from the supposedly 'safe & effective' C-19 jabs in some places.

<https://uncensored.co.nz/2023/11/30/freenz-m-o-a-r-mother-of-all-revelations-excess-death-figures/?v=8e3eb2c69a18> The data presentation comes in after 16 mins.

This link also gives data showing similar things in text form. https://kirschsubstack.com/p/medicare-death-data-proves-the-covid?utm_source=profile&utm_medium=reader2

This video does likewise with data being presented between 1:07 & 1:22 mins

<https://www.facebook.com/MichaelGrayGriffth/videos/373962188541490>