To: The COVID-19 Response Inquiry Panel

Date: 15th December 2023

## Regarding the Ethics Conduct of the Australian Federal, State and Territory governments

My submission is simple.

The Nuremberg Code of ethics is straightforward. It says that a person accepting an experimental treatment must do so voluntarily. Voluntarily consent is explained as follows:

The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion, ...

None of this was followed by our respective governments. Everyone in the government knows it. People were threatened with punishment of all sorts if they refuse to comply with the COVID 19 vaccine mandates. In my case, I was threatened to lose the job to which I committed all my life. I studied and worked hard for my work to benefit Australia intellectually and financially. None of that was important to Australia. The country and my state / territory was ready to sack me if I did not comply with its coercive demands.

Australia has broken its own and international law, something that no allowed to do. go through strict ethics processes to ensure subjects' safety. I am disgusted and expect the worst is yet to come. I have no illusion that this submission will have any impact on anything. But it leaves record so maybe one day it will be of value to someone.

Moreover, Pfeizer's representative in the European Union Parliament admitted they had no data regarding safety and transmission of their COVID 19 vaccine. So, Australia had no data to back up its coercive politics. Nonetheless, even if Australia did have that data, applying coercion is criminal.

Regards

