

Dear [Recipient's Name],

I am writing to submit a series of critical questions and concerns regarding the COVID-19 response in Australia to the [Appropriate Body's Name] inquiry.

By way of background, I spent many years on the board of an American NFP, Sanvax where I became familiar with vaccine research, safety and efficacy, pharma marketing approaches and the conflicts apparent in so many regulatory agencies including our own TGA.

As an informed citizen, it is crucial to address various aspects of decision-making and policies related to lockdowns, mask mandates, vaccine distribution, transparency, dissent suppression, and international influences.

This submission seeks clarity, accountability, and the possibility of a Royal Commission to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the decisions made and their implications.

Section 1: Lockdowns and Mask Mandates:

1.1 Who were the decision-makers and what evidence was used to enforce lockdowns and mask mandates?

1.2. There are real concerns about mask effectiveness and inconsistencies in use, quality and efficacy. There

are myriads of peer reviewed studies that evidence this, why were they ignored?

1.3. There are also myriads of peer reviewed studies on adverse health consequences of masks. Why have these been ignored?

1.4 Who made these decisions and are they to be held accountable for the consequences of same?

Section 2: Vaccine Approval and Distribution:

2.1 Exactly who were the decision-makers behind vaccine purchase, distribution, and promotion. What if any ties do they have to Pharma, WHO, WEF or other conflicts, disclosed or undisclosed?

2.2. History now clearly proves there was a total lack of safety data, limited testing, and demographic strata testing, age, gender, ethnicity, medical conditions. Given this, how could such far reaching decisions be made, by whom and on what basis and oversight?

2.3. Have/will all potential conflicts of interest among decision-makers be investigated and acted upon?

Section 3: Pfizer Agreement and Transparency:

3.1. The leaked Pfizer agreement with South Africa demonstrates a number of highly concerning matters.

3.2 The agreement includes a comprehensive disclosure of possible adverse reactions, how was this considered, why ignored and not provided to those receiving the vaccine? As a consequence, what legal

and other rights of those that received the vaccine been breached and how will they be compensated for any health issues that arise from such breach?

3.3. Why is there a continuing lack of information regarding safety, efficacy, and long-term health issues given the many hundreds of peer reviewed studies that evidence this?

3.3. Exactly who were the decision-makers (individuals and agencies who agreed to the above and what consequences do they have as a result?

Section 4: Silencing Dissent and Criticism:

4.1 Who made the Policies and what were the agencies responsible for suppressing dissent?

4.2. Exactly what financial incentives were provided to media and other organisations to enforce mandates and stifle dissenting views?

4.3. There is considerable evidence that the Government and its agencies allowed alignment with the proposals or instructions from other non-government/unelected entities, who made the decision to do so, on what basis and what conflicts and consequence of such conflicts will be investigated?

Section 5: Booster Shots and Alternative Treatments:

5.1 Why did Government advocate for boosters despite evidence of lower severity of Covid 19 and an acknowledged lack of vaccine efficacy.

5.2. Who drove the suppression of dissenting voices and why was there a lack of support for other cost-effective treatments?

Section 6: TGA Structure and Independence:

6.1. Given the above will there now be an ind., evaluation of the TGA's structure and funding model?

6.2. How does Government propose to ensure that in the future advisors to TGA have no conflicts of interest?

6.3. How does Government propose to ensure that in the future TGA makes informed decisions Independent from international agencies' influence?

Section 7: Influence of Un-elected Entities, Accountability and Consequences:

7.1. Will the Government commit to a detailed and ind., examination of WEF, WHO, and GAVI/Gates influence in the matters discussed above?

7.2. What will Government do to identify, remove, and prosecute individuals and agencies who are found to have undertaken recommendations / actions without proper and detailed examination, were they have lied and misled or simply failed in their duty of care?

Section 8: Call for a Royal Commission:

8.1. Given the above will the Government commit to undertaking a Royal Commission to ensure a comprehensive investigation of the entire Covid 19

response so that a thorough examination will ensure the mistakes are never repeated?

Conclusion:

In conclusion, this submission seeks clarity and accountability for the decisions made during the COVID-19 response in Australia. I believe that a thorough investigation, potentially through a Royal Commission, is necessary to address the concerns raised. I appreciate your attention to these matters and look forward to a transparent and comprehensive inquiry.

Sincerely,

Stephen Paul Tunley

