

To the panel for the Commonwealth Government Covid19 Response Inquiry.

Thank you for the opportunity to put in a submission.

We are newly retired from teaching and engineering. We write to you in our capacity as a concerned citizens, who were utterly, utterly appalled by the excessive power that the Commonwealth Government took unto itself when it junked the already existing Tony Abbott Government plan for how to deal with a pandemic.

Key Health Response Measures

The Government then began implementing an ad-hoc series of actions which, we were told, were all based on the advice of `experts` and on `the science`. Our concern is that evidence of the scientific basis, or the track record for the `expert` advice, was never provided, nor even alluded to.

When the Commonwealth Chief Medical Officer, announced to the nation that the Covid 19 jabs were `safe and effective`, he erred most egregiously [REDACTED]

The Australian Government followed the advice of the WHO and decreed that PCR tests should be used to diagnose cases of suspected Covid19 infection. However [REDACTED] the inventor of the PCR test, for which he won a Nobel Prize, had always made it clear that the PCR test is not a test for diagnosing any specific illness. Because the PCR test is highly sensitive to the number of cycles used, it can return high numbers of false positives as explained in [this video](#).

Vaccines are nowhere near as safe as Prof. Brendan Murphy stated. Astra Zeneca was the first to be pulled from the market due to the incidence of blood clots in the brain. Pfizer was found to be associated with causing myocarditis in young men. That connection was established, and Pfizer was forced to add a warning to their label roughly at July/August 2021. Furthermore, according to the adverse events following immunisation (AEFI) reported to the Western Australian Vaccine Safety Surveillance (WAVSS) system in 2021, the number was significantly higher (approximate 39 times!) due to the Covid-19 vaccination program. See the [Western Australian Vaccine Safety Surveillance – Annual Report 2021](#).

The wearing of masks was always going to be controversial. Many top scientists and doctors said right at the beginning of the Covid19 outbreak that masks should not be worn. A mask restricts normal air flow and breathing and has the potential to cause any existing viruses to be activated in the wearer.

Governance, including the role of the Commonwealth Government

Because of the strong contrary opinions from world class experts, the wearing of masks should never have been mandated by the authorities but left to the discretion of the wearer.

Covid-19 was primarily an old people's illness, therefore lockdowns of the entire population were horribly excessive. The elderly and health compromised individuals should have been advised to shelter in place while others should have been free to go about their lives as usual as they did in

Sweden. As children were hardly affected at all by Covid-19 it was cruel to keep children out of school. They missed out on education and their social development for no benefit at all.

This dictatorial attitude from the Government led to very un-Australian behaviour by the police trying to enforce unenforceable rules. Examples are old grannies being knocked to the ground and pepper sprayed, a young pregnant woman being arrested in front of her children for wanting to arrange a meeting, as well as other incidents that have been well documented by Sky News.

Under the guise of a medical emergency our government was both trashing the economy and ruining many a small business and incurring an eye watering level of debt with the consequences becoming visible now with a high level of inflation due to all the extra money spread around the country.

The worst aspect of all was the 'vaccine' mandate. The government should never have allowed any entity to enforce a vaccine mandate. No politician or government official should ever have told people to get vaccinated for community responsibility reasons. As shown above, there never was any evidence provided that the jabs would stop the spread of the virus. We had to put up with the NSW Minister for Health insulting the public telling them they were idiots for not getting the jab. His comment was never based on science.

Because children were relatively unaffected by Covid19, it was irresponsible to inject them with an experimental drug, never before used in humans, and which has since been shown to have significant side effects.

The way in which lockdowns and vaccine mandates were enforced made the country feel like a fascist dictatorship. All deviating opinions were forcefully suppressed. People protesting the lockdowns were arrested. This was not the Australia we immigrated to nearly forty years ago.

Any alternate treatments could not be discussed in the medical profession without severe backlash by the authorities. According to the GP we talked to, GPs had been instructed not to discuss Ivermectin and not to authorise blood tests to check for existing Covid immunity. Vaccines were the only prevention methods to be discussed. This top down control interferes substantially with the Doctor/Patient relationship.

Especially during exceptional times like the pandemic, open communication and debate is absolutely necessary to avoid a repeat of the poor outcome we have seen in this pandemic. Because of the extreme overreaction by the government, we now have to live with the consequences of high inflation, excessive business failures, mounting government debt, skyrocketing mental health issues, suicides, and missed education for children. Again, shown by the example of Sweden, none of this was necessary.

Importantly it appears that the TGA did not play an active role in assessing the details of the Covid vaccines prior to authorising their use. It seems as though they simply rubber-stamped information from America. See [Senator Rennick's detailed questioning of Prof. Murphy](#) in a Senate Estimates hearing about toxicity tests not done, Spike protein distribution tests not done and the assurance that the spike protein stays close to the injection site being proven not correct. Please note that the Government medical official had no answers to these questions and he dismissed the topic of adverse reactions without providing any proof.

Lastly, we are very concerned about the language/the terminology used by health authorities throughout the pandemic. Officials cited 'experts' and 'the science', when in fact experts deviating

from the official narrative were excluded and silenced. As documented by the testimony of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] at the EU Parliament, the science was not followed, and shortcuts were taken. Especially heinous was the labelling of the mRNA injections as vaccines when they more accurately should have been labelled as gene therapy as documented in this article: [mRNA: Vaccine or Gene Therapy? The Safety Regulatory Issues - PMC \(nih.gov\)](#)

Yours sincerely

Hazel and Jurgen Kleinau

