

## Background

I was a school teacher of 15 years into my career. I worked all through the height of the 'pandemic' as an 'essential' frontline worker then suddenly got mandated to take the jabs. Literally couldn't work the next day despite being exposed to the virus already with natural immunity something that was controversial at the time. I was sacked from my job and subsequently and unsuccessfully at the Fairwork Commission in my appeal. This was the most horrific experience of my life and career to date. I have suffered mental health problems since. Lost immediate family relationships and friends. It felt like the most humiliating and heartbreaking thing to ever happen simply as I wanted to have medical freedom to choose. Ever since this incident there have been unprecedented teacher shortages which haven't improved since. There were some teachers who weren't jabbed due to their individual circumstances yet could still be on school site. How can some people go on site and yet others who aren't jabbed not be allowed? Explain that risk? In a school setting when teachers are mandated and students aren't, how does that make any sense? There was also a period when the health minister said you need to face three jabs to be 'fully vaccinated' yet mandates only required two jabs.

Was there any evidence of the jab's reducing transmission and if so why didn't we see any study from any state premier or politician?

I reached out to the human rights commission to help and got no response. I had a colleague who was a healthy young passionate teacher who died shortly after the mandates due to a heart attack whilst online doing zoom with her class. This awful tragedy rocked the community and yet no one wanted to touch the subject of vaccine side effects. With the roll out of a new experimental vaccine why aren't autopsies being performed?

Did the government engage the army or any other organisation to nudge or install fear on the Australian population? Daily press conferences and media overestimating the risk seemed unjustified and an extremely dangerous amount of fear being peddled. A friend of mine took his life due to the mental stress. My neighbor became an alcoholic overnight due to job and financial loss and still has police visit on a regular basis for welfare checks.

Were Australian citizens being profiled or 'watched' due to their stance on government policies?

What evidence or study were lockdowns, masks, 6ft distances, 14 day isolation periods, beach closures, churches shut yet brothels opened, Alcohol shops opened and gyms closed based on? Vax passports? Can the study be shared? If any of these were effective why the need for the additional measures? It made zero sense at the time with so many inconsistencies.

Why didn't Australia follow the previous preparedness guidelines?

If someone hasn't taken one jab yet some people are 'fully up to date' and they've had seven jabs. Can that person ever be 'up to date'?

How much money does the TGA receive from pharmaceutical companies such as Pfizer? I read a study looking at regulatory body's around the world and it seemed that the TGA receives over 90 percent or more of their financial contributions from pharmaceutical companies. This seems like a huge conflict of interest.

Following closely the press releases from state health premiers. They clearly had an agenda to get people jabbed. They pushed out information that would only suit their narrative rather than being fully transparent.

Do media companies in Australia receive funding from pharmaceutical companies? Does network news channels [REDACTED] also receive funding? Are the experts truly independent?

What happens to your immune system when you have repeated doses of vaccines? Is there a limit?

Why was the 'science' different from state to state/territory?

Why weren't politicians and judges mandated?

Why was there never any open debate on the ABC? It seemed only one narrative was allowed and anyone who questioned the science was silenced or orchestrated.

Why was there secrecy with the National cabinet? How much input from lobbyists was there?

### **My recommendation**

People want transparency. I will follow the science if it's clearly backed by evidence. Show us the studies and facts. Otherwise it's propaganda. People want to see the national cabinet notes, the pharmaceutical company contracts and the databases for health outcomes.

Allow open debate - in a free democracy there should always be experts from various sides or fields explaining and justifying their reasoning. I know people were censored who have now turned out to be accurate.

The modelling, media and experts were so wrong. It was all so inaccurate and clearly misleading. My guess is that they were incentivised however if the experts or politicians get it wrong so badly they should be sacked. Anyone who is being paid directly or incentivised indirectly must have a disclaimer when they are speaking publicly about a pharmaceutical product. E.g. The morning show talking to an 'expert' needs to have a label below saying any conflicts.

Do not allow psychological operations to happen on Australian citizens. Don't censor or punish critics of the government otherwise we will have no one to hold them to account.

Investigate the real origins of the virus. Seek compensation for the damages.

It is child abuse to lock up kids at home and away from school or playgrounds etc. not to mention masking them. Especially given the extremely low risk of covid to children.

Never do vax passports. This is discrimination.

Never mandate anything! In a free country people should have the right to choose any medical treatment without fear of losing their right to work and travel.

We should never follow their advice or lead in future.