

Submission to highlight lessons learned to improve Australia's Commonwealth preparedness for future pandemics

I am providing this submission in order to highlight lessons learned to improve Australia's preparedness for future pandemics. Specifically, I aim to submit my experience of the Commonwealth Government's response measures to the COVID-19 pandemic, and their impact. I feel that the clear failure of Commonwealth Government systems to effectively anticipate, adapt and respond to the COVID-19 pandemic should be addressed, especially where:

- The Commonwealth mandated COVID-19 vaccinations for aged care, a targeted pharmaceutical product requirement irrespective of individual medical history for this vulnerable age group,
- The Commonwealth enabled COVID-19 vaccination mandates with their data sharing arrangements with the states and vaccination databases,
- The Commonwealth failed to act to protect Australians' human rights from the abuse and coercion of States and private businesses, with public health messaging which failed to support the health of the public under measures aimed at compliance instead of wellbeing,
- The Commonwealth provided funding and quarantine facilities in support of the abuse, indiscriminate lockdowns and coercion of States and private businesses, and
- The Commonwealth funded vaccine supply deals with international partners for domestic use in Australia, leaving States and private businesses free to use their funds for abuse and coercion.

The lockdowns caused emotional distress, disruption in children's education and affected people's mental health, alienated families from each other, and caused economic distress. My own child was forced to study from home with inadequate study materials and support, and still suffers from an entire school year which has been delayed in school progress. My own family was spread across Local Government Areas and separated by the lockdowns supported by Commonwealth messaging and advice. This remains an impact of several years lost on our family, from a disease where more people died from poor medical treatment and our own family experienced COVID-19 as no more than a passing influenza.

The Commonwealth government's provision of masks and contradictory public messaging on mask mandates created paranoia, with surreal mandates including being able to take a mask off while eating, or needing to wear a mask while out in the open fresh air. With no clear messaging on masks, cloth masks, masks intended as temporary splash covers for doctors without efficacy against viral transfer, and multiple invented measures were adopted as required in the face of evidence and usage up to 2019, or since 2023.

Masks with no mask hygiene requirements (replacement every hour) or coverage requirements were enforced on children, who were clearly understood in infection studies to have extremely low rates of infection. These children had their language skills and ability to read emotions harmed, and those with Auditory Processing Disorders and sensory issues had their overall development of language harmed, impacting their learning. Without clear evidence-backed messaging from Commonwealth, and with Commonwealth-funded medical supplies, States were able to adopt whichever medical intervention they chose as plausible, without concern of the long-term harms and side-effects.

Overall, masks were a security blanket or fig leaf, rather than following science, clearly evidenced in how infection rates increased rapidly after mask mandates were imposed, and have since fallen,

since mask mandates have been removed. Children were forced into accepting mouth sores and bacterial infections, given their continuous masking with no mask replacement or removal requirement. There were no standardised masks.

The Commonwealth funding of vaccines and of quarantine facilities enabled States to set up two-tier societies, where those who were unable to tolerate the COVID-19 vaccinations for medical or religious reasons, or who had been harmed by the vaccinations, were discriminated against, lost their employment, or were forced to lose social support structures. Commonwealth data sharing and vaccination databases enabled businesses and public healthcare to share Sensitive Private Information without concern or limit, or legal recourse. States were able to threaten removing licenses of any doctor who provided evidence of potential harms from the COVID-19 vaccinations, no matter how true or evidence-backed. Friends and family had doctors jeer at them for refusing the COVID-19 vaccination. The Commonwealth enabled a descent into Apartheid (those who accepted the experimental COVID-19 vaccination and those who refused, given the evidence) and fascism (the complete cooperation between government and industry to enforce a requirement on a people).

The Commonwealth government confirmed that the COVID-19 vaccinations were neither safe nor effective by not taking responsibility or liability for those injured or killed by the vaccinations (by simply classifying those less than two weeks after the COVID-19 vaccination, the most harmful period, as unvaccinated). There are no long-term studies of the COVID-19 vaccinations, given the short time between manufacture and mandate, to prove that they were safe and effective, making a mockery of the scientific process. There were no scientific studies to prove that the vaccines block transmission. A vaccine does not become safe or effective just because the Commonwealth says so.

Doctors lost their credibility by being blocked from giving their individualised attention by preventing them from doing personalised medicine. As we all know, people are unique, all genetically different, so cannot be treated like cattle and any medical professional that is worth their salt will tell that generic medicine creates injury when people are treated like cattle. The Commonwealth created conflict of interest for doctors, and took away their credibility as they could not provide individualised medicine to their patients, preventing them from giving informed consent via AHPRA and ATAGI. By first confusingly indicating that AHPRA was a federal regulator, and later that it was a State regulator, the Commonwealth enabled the confusion that prevented doctors from being able to provide informed consent.

The Commonwealth interfered in the relationship between patient and doctor by dictating to doctors what they could and could not say, thus breaking a human right that people have a choice on what they do or don't do with their bodies. The Commonwealth encouraged the states to suppress the individual medical human right choices of people. The Commonwealth even paid States for the number of people who were vaccinated, which is a conflict of interest.

It is not the Commonwealth's role to tell people what they can and cannot put in their bodies, by the Commonwealth withholding Ivermectin and access to Hydroxychloroquine. That is their freedom of choice. There are more long-term studies supporting Hydroxychloroquine and Ivermectin than there were studies to support the COVID-19 vaccine.

The Commonwealth spread consistent propaganda via the media by creating fear and manipulating the public through their media reports and the lies that were consistently told and their faulty PCR tests, and describing Ivermectin as horse-past not consumed by humans, even though it received a Nobel prize in Japan. Anybody that has studied propaganda can tell you that when you do A you get the effect B or C. Being told that if you don't accept the vaccine, you will kill the elderly is a clear

example, or that those who didn't get the vaccine were evil or selfish, consistently manipulated their words with no science to back it up. Science uses a broad range of different medical professionals, and allows them to have free open debate. The strength of science is in the discussion and open debating of it freely, and not the suppression of it.

The Commonwealth has not provided for the victims of the vaccination, as everyone knows someone who has been injured, from my [REDACTED] who got myocarditis to my [REDACTED] who has pericarditis, to my [REDACTED] who dropped dead from it, to many a friend and family member that either has suffered from a stroke, a heart attack, myocarditis or pericarditis. I have stopped counting.

As is documented, the Commonwealth-promoted TGA gets 96% of its funding from pharmaceutical companies, so why would any rational, well-educated person take any advice from them or the government when it refuses liability and responsibility when people are injured? As a regulator of pharmaceutical manufacturers, the TGA has a clear conflict of interest. Most of us have stopped listening to legacy media as the Commonwealth have pushed its propaganda and its conflict of interest and those of us who have university educations can see untruth when it's coming like a rollercoaster via the media.

As clear scientific debate has not been allowed by the Commonwealth Government through the funding of the media, the public has lost trust in the Commonwealth Government and the States as the government is not putting the best interests of the public forward. Thus, we need a proper Royal Commission into the COVID-19 response that keeps the Liberal and the Labour governments accountable for their actions in that they have not been representing the best interests of the public.