

To whom it may concern,

My name is [REDACTED] I am a school teacher who personally lost my job and apartment due to the mandates. I was forbidden to enter my worksite of [REDACTED] who was fired without an appropriate farewell for my years of service. I was forced to sell my apartment due to losing my job. As a result of this, the Commonwealth Government made a lot money from capital gains tax, which was unavoidable in my position. There were many others who were forced to do the same thing and it would appear as one of the reasons why the Federal government were behind premiers forcing mandates. They made a lots of money and created severe hardship.

I was banned from entering the aged care home where my [REDACTED] resided, and had to sit outside her window to visit get. I was banned from leaving the country to visit my [REDACTED] and unable to fly to a close family funeral, or spend Christmas with my family. I was also excluded from entering facilities that my rates paid for such as the local library and swimming pool. I had close friends and family exclude me and was abused for standing up for my right to chose what goes into my body. I saw friends denied health care due to living on the wrong side of a border.

I question why the government secretly acquired vaccines and had contracts well in advance of the pandemic. Why the need to bankrupt Australians, putting us billions of dollars into debt, purchasing 10 ineffective shots per person. Not only was this costly, but most were out of date after the initial push to vaccinate Australians. They were still given as booster shots, how is this safe? It is well known that politicians made monetary gain from enforcing the shots, an example being [REDACTED] and the faulty PCR tests. The Government are unable to produce documents showing the isolation of the virus. How is it possible to produce an effective vaccine if you do not know it's composition?

The Commonwealth government failed to protect Australians' human rights which clearly state that no experimental drugs can be forced on people. Scott Morrison met with the premiers and enabled them to remove people's jobs and rights if they dared to protect themselves from this dangerous experimental shot. Many of my friends were coerced into taking it, and now have suffered from serious side effects such as [REDACTED] [REDACTED], and [REDACTED]

The commonwealth government and APRA was sent the initial trial data from the vaccines which showed serious risks and death rates. How was this ineffective and dangerous drug approved? Any new drug takes many years of trials to ensure they are safe, yet this vaccine was forced upon us with a very short trial. AHPRA is an

independent body from the government, yet the Commonwealth allowed this agency to threaten and force medical professionals to recommend the vaccine without giving the full risks and side effects. If they spoke out, medical professionals were discredited and prevented from practicing. Doctors sign an oath to do no harm, yet they gave effectively been tricked into inflicting great harm. Hospitals were told to record deaths as from COVID, such as car accidents, to inflate numbers. Why wasn't the truth told? Was this a tactic to create fear in Australians? My [REDACTED] was in an [REDACTED] for about [REDACTED] at the end of 2021. his [REDACTED] saw new vaccine injured patients come in every day, but no-one with COVID.

The result of banning the unvaccinated from working has meant preventable shortages of medical staff and aged care staff, who are unable to return to their jobs, even though it has been proven that the vaccine is ineffective at stopping transmission or to block transmission.

The government bombarded the media with disinformation about the vaccine effectiveness, or alternative treatment such as ivermectin. At the same time any scientific evidence against the government line was censored or suppressed and the authors victimised. Data from hospitals were altered such as listing any death as a COVID death, eg car accident, using the faulty PCR tests to scare the public into thinking COVID was killing many thousands when in effect, it was no more severe for most of the public than the common cold.

Why were the vaccine injured denied the ability to file against the vaccine companies and their numbers suppressed from the public. Their battle for recognition and help is shameful. AHPRA knew about the side effects yet prevented the public from making an informed choice and now prevents the same people they injured from receiving help.

Why did the government decide to oppose the 2019 Australian Health Management Plan for pandemic influenza, which prophetically described why all of the actions the government took would not work and would cause hardship?

Why were mask mandates enforced when it is well known that masks do not prevent particles the size of viruses from passing through. The only benefit was to the companies producing and selling them. Animals were harmed by their litter, children's speech development delayed by not seeing the faces of adults, and other health problems arose from breathing in stale, oxygen deficient air.

It is evident from the policies put in place created great hardship, destroyed the economy, made a certain few very rich and put many lives at risk. Dialogue is essential

to make sure that the best policies are put in place. So my first recommendation is to remove government censorship so that all experts are able to speak and present evidence. This did not happen. Forcing vaccinations is against human rights, those who breached this human right, APHRA, premiers, the prime minister, health ministers should be held accountable.

Outside entities such as the UN and the WHO should not have overreach into Australian affairs. Decisions should be made by looking at facts and not creating greater harm than the flu itself. We did not vote for them and do not want to be ruled by them.

I demand a full royal commission into the way the government handled the pandemic. Repatriation should be made to those affected by poor governance. I would recommend those responsible pay repatriation, as they gained financially from their decisions, rather than the tax payer having to correct it.

Regards

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.