To Robyn Kruk AO, Professor Catherine Bennett & Doctor Angela Jackson,

My name is Krzysztof Kaszusbki, of Victoria.

I work in the auto industry as a Warranty Manager, my main tasks being assessment of complaints or failures to determine what the cause is and whether it is attributable to manufacturing defect, external influence or other. I have many years of experience and my logical, evidence based and process driven approach has earned me a reputation for consistent performance with integrity and an ability to manage the relevant stake holders' expectations while adhering to policy and legal requirements.

It is the same set of qualities and methodology that I applied to assessing the Covid-19 pandemic and subsequent responses, which lead me to have serious questions about the apparent lack of transparency and ethics displayed by state and federal governments/agencies, both in Australia and overseas.

I find the Government's refusal to investigate the causes of the highest excess deaths in Australia since WWII or to hold a Royal Commission into the pandemic response utterly disgraceful.

Given we've had RC's for lesser issues such as the Home Insulation Program, I would've thought something that affected the entire nation so terribly for so long would be grounds enough to investigate properly with the powers only a RC has. I can't help but feel the Labor Federal govt is just protecting state Labor premiers/govts. I've attempted to bring these topics up and have been told that I'm "presenting too much information" by the office

of the Assistant Health Minister Kearny (my local member). I've also attempted to communicate with past & present PM's and Relevant ministers & senators.

The 3 page submission limit seems somewhat inadequate to cover the ground in any meaningful depth or breadth. More info, sources, links, etc and past correspondence with govt members in attached files.

<u>LOCKDOWNS</u>: These drastic measures may've seemed appropriate at the very early stages of the pandemic, when the exact nature of the virus/effects were unknown. Most people would've accepted these as necessary for a short period, at least until a better understanding was gained, but the long term damage caused across so many facets of society and the economy was warned about, ignored and eventually proven correct.

The recently published cancer rates increase has been partially attributed to the effects of the lockdowns on people failing to have timely diagnoses or treatments. There's also some evidence that MRNA vaccines may be contributing to this as well.

One particular anecdote from

don't have data on how many were successful, but for a police officer to mention that means something went horribly wrong.

Some customers who'd fled from authoritarian regimes and migrated to Australia and who'd experienced living under martial law and severe oppression had said they'd had more freedoms in their home countries than under lockdowns. Even prisoners have more than 1hr allowed out of their cells.

Other customers had lost their business due to the lockdowns, with severe personal financial losses and associated emotional/health impacts on their families.

Objectors to the lockdowns were demonised by the Victorian Premier to the day, the (dis)Honourable Daniel Andrews, govt officials, media and members of the public as some sort of crazy far right extremists, when all they were doing was questioning the lockdowns for a virus which by those later stages was known to be a disease that most working age people and younger were highly unlikely to die from.

We sacrificed our children's childhoods and education to protect the old, something that has never been the norm in any civilised modern society.

We already had a national pandemic preparedness protocol in place, yet we threw that in the bin.

These lockdowns should never again be allowed to be utilised in this manner.


<u>VACCINES:</u> These Covid-19 vaccines were billed as "safe and effective" and were going to stop people being infected with or passing on the virus. Our own PM wrote to me saying "being vaccinated protects you, your family and your community" How far from the truth was that message? Not only are they now proven to be unsafe with the current govt recommendations being restricted to select cohorts, but anyone who questioned the official narrative on this

was once again labelled as a crazy covidiot, actively dehumanised by the Premier and govt officials, and the government and technology firms colluding to actively shut down debate or any dissenting voices.

This has been proven by the Twitter files release where USA govt agencies worked had in hand with social media companies to shut down and shadow ban anyone opposing the standard Safe & Effective narrative.

Our own Federal Senate hearing very recently also proved that Australian government bureaucrats were handing lists of accounts to social media companies to be investigated for "contravening community guidelines"; ie, have them shut down or sanctioned.

AHPRA played its hand as well, using its power to intimidate and threaten doctors with opposing or questioning views, adding it's might to the pressure being applied to push the vaccines out.



I watched the live streams of the Royal Children's Hospital as they reassured parents that the kids can return to school, how this disease is extremely mild in kids, how only a handful end up in hospital for some fluids and rest, even smaller amount go to ICU and they all recover. But once the TGA approved vaccines for kids, the RCH's tuned suddenly changed. Now it was 1 in 3000 kids were at risk of Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome and parent should consider vaccinating their kids. What changed? How did we go from "no problems, send your kids to schools" to suddenly scaring people about MIS and encouraging to vaccinate?

A very recent study shows a 4<sup>th</sup> dose does not prevent death, and while it reduces infection in the 1<sup>st</sup> 3 months it actually increases infection rates after 3 months: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/eci.14136
A recent Senate hearing heard from Pfizer reps that they don't know or won't disclose how the MRNA vaccine causes cardiac problems. What else does Pfizer not know or is not disclosing?

It's been also proven now that the spike protein from MRNA vaccines does not stay at the injection site but is distributed throughout the system, travelling to various organs (take myocarditis for example) as well as travelling across the brain barrier. It's no wonder people have suffered so many adverse events.

These vaccines are the most unsafe in history, yet still being used. For reference, the 2010 flu vaccine was pulled from market for lesser non fatal adverse events. <a href="https://www.bmj.com/content/340/bmj.c2994">https://www.bmj.com/content/340/bmj.c2994</a>

In my line of automotive work, any safety problem results in an instant recall notice with all rectification costs to be borne by the manufacturer. Why are the MRNA vaccines treated differently and still on the market? Even after the officially recognised MRNA attributed deaths, which I know are far smaller that the real numbers, based on the TGA's performance and lack of transparency.

The TGA has admitted it has no evidence to support genotoxicity safety of the vaccines and the Office of the GeneTechnology Regulator says it's not responsible for any genetic safety pertaining to MRNA vaccines as they were not manufactured in Australia, as evidenced by recent Seante Hearings

We have nobody willing to testify that these MRNA vaccines do not pose a genotoxicity risk.

Furthermore, the TGA has actively failed to disclose MRNA vaccine death reports as attributed to the vaccines and also failed to follow up with deceased's family to ascertain the circumstances around a confirmed MRNA vaccine caused death.

See FOI request "FOI 3727" in my attached Outlook email file "Website Contact Form – request for constituent meeting denied" for more details about this.

meeting denied" for more details about this.

FOI request FOI 3727 stating:
....causality assessment documents relating to the TGA's investigation of reported deaths after Covid vaccination, which were obtained under FOI request in a process that took six months

Documents obtained under Freedom of Information (FOI) request by

to have hidden numerous vaccine-induced deaths from the public view, including those of two children.

This shows that the body responsible for protecting Australians from unsafe medical products is either incompetent or corrupted, or both.

The current Disinformation Bill being prepared to be tabled should be scrapped as this is the very mechanism used to perpetuate the myth about Safe & Effective vaccines and brainwash the public into feeling like everyone in the scientific and medical community was in support. The very recent discovery that the minister of the day would be able to refer anyone for anything they choose with the full force of Govt bureaucracy behind them, shows we can expect more censorship for pure political gain. <a href="https://youtu.be/ohpQQUpC1Do?si=GcDWQHVA-ie2S\_Zt">https://youtu.be/ohpQQUpC1Do?si=GcDWQHVA-ie2S\_Zt</a>

I personally experienced cardiac problems after my 2 doses of Pfizer, thankfully they passed eventually, but at the time I couldn't see a cardiologist, they were all booked out solid. After my 1st dose I told the duty doctor at the vaccine hub about my experiences and how I couldn't sleep at night due to chest pains, he told me "It was probably just anxiety". I know anxiety and I know pain in my body.

Unfortunately, my vaccine experience was echoed by many of suddenly had trouble doing their work due to chest pains.

wasn't so lucky. He experienced adverse effects after his 1st dose. After his 2nd dose the effects were

far worse. He

and a procession of tears and broken hearts.

MASKS: Please provide any study that shows that surgical and N95 masks offer any meaningful protection. Asbestos is larger than covid19, yet I could not legally wear a surgical or N95 mask and work with asbestos. A study in the UK showed that there was no significant reduction in covid19 transmission between school that wore masks and those that didn't. Covid: Evidence on face masks in schools 'inconclusive' - BBC News

MANDATES: The vaccine mandates were an unethical and grotesque abuse of power.

People of working age who by that stage were known to have a very low risk of mortality or serious effects from covid19, were forced to choose between being able to work and provide for their families by having experimental medical products injected, or to have that ability to support themselves taken away.

This by definition is coercion and in contradiction to Nuremberg Code point 1: informed voluntary consent provision.

Inject and work. Or no jab no work, or get a haircut, go out for lunch, take your child in to a store to have shoes fitted, or attend a school formal, a wedding, a concert, do any other normal everyday activity.

Vaccine hesitant people were once again not only labelled as the scum of society but actively denigrated by the Premier and govt officials. "Vaccinated people don't want to attend a venue where the person making their coffee is unjabbed." the Premier arrogantly quipped as if the vaccinated were somehow not going to contract covid19, or the unvaccinated were going to be some sort of super spreading filthy vermin. The vaccine passport was the passport to freedom. Yet it didn't change anything as far as the spread of the virus was concerned. Victoria has the worst covid19 track record. Many succumbed to the pressure and relented. Sadly many paid a high price, with some paying the ultimate price.

I pleaded with the PM to overrule the states and make vaccine mandates illegal as the constitutional legal mechanism existed, but all I received was a contradictory response saying vaccines are not mandatory, but everyone should get one.

<u>W.H.O. - PANDEMIC TREATY AND HEALTH REGULATIONS:</u> Which brings me to the point of future responses. The W.H.O. currently has Australia signed up and ready to ratify the pandemic treaty.

For future pandemics it will mean the WHO will dictate what constitutes a "health emergency", what medical products must be allowed and administered, and everyone will be on board with the new digital vaccine certificate system, which once again will be used to coerce people into subjecting themselves to unproven, dangerous and ineffective but highly profitable medical products. Only if they want to do things like work, travel outside their zone, have a haircut, etc... Coupled with the Disinformation Bill on hand to censor dissenting or sceptical voices, the one size hammer fits all approach will be ready to deploy at the "speed of science".

The question is after everything that's happened in the last 3 years, is this this the best we can do as a society? And do we want to do it again? Or could we have taken the Swedish approach which has now proven to be far less damaging to the population and economy?