

## Covid response.

1. The federal government failed to call the overseas pandemic 'a threat to our national security' ( which it was) , so relinquishing power over to the states to behave inconsistently, unreasonably and wrecklessly to its people. Were there any national guidelines in place to foreshadow and cope with this covid pandemic? This needs to be reviewed.

2. The drug manufacturers had a 'no liability' clause if people got harmed using their vaccines. I believe government's were forced to sign, then force the vaccine on us via blackmail against our rights of freedom if we didn't comply. We understand restrictions in movement had to take place. But major over reaches took place.  
So how is it 'lawfully' 'slight of hand ' blackmail that this citizen had to take the vaccine?

3. Greater transparency to the public about why some vaccines were removed from the market? The sudden withdrawal of Astrazenica had the affect on the public that the vaccines were not safe. No rwal explanation why. Yet the vaccines were used as blackmail that your human rights of movement and freedom to work were squashed by the Victorian state governmen, if non compliance to vaccines occurred. This left psychological scares on me and others that the governments acted without regard for individual health, in favour of the collective health. The spike protein used in the vaccine was experimental at best.  
In 2022, with 20% of deaths increasing among cohorts , especially the youth, and no media coverage or government investigation occurring, many as 'we the people' don't trust this

government any more. They may well have good intentions, yet time and again they failed to adopt a transparent position.

Even after a third vaccine I was not allowed to travel back home from [REDACTED] to my [REDACTED] property. This left me with the unavoidable conclusion that the advantages of vaccines did not outweigh its harmful affects. And that the Victorian government had lost perspective on how to manage the pandemic. And worse, never trusted the effectiveness of the vaccines, yet put us at risk with harmful side affects. Even after an earthquake I was refused entry into Victoria to check my house. So I can say the Vic government acted as a [REDACTED] government, making no sense at all.

I had planned my escape back across the border via a willing [REDACTED] township government body that saw the draconian measures that a Victorian state government had adopted. Yet as I planned my escape, as like a [REDACTED] citizen, which I still feel like, the ban of lockdown was suddenly lifted in Victoria. As I arrived at the [REDACTED] border, a police constable checked my details, then asked me, 'by the way, what [REDACTED] would you wish to return to this state for? That sums up the madness of this government to be so out of touch.

I no longer see Australia as my home. I see it as I land I stay upon. I do not feel my feet walking upon its earth as I used to. I feel that I walk on rugs where it can be pulled from under me at anytime. I cannot feel the ground as I do. It feels like land abused by politicians that ran on short political cycles. Seeing the health advisor advising lockdowns, effectively running the country while flying interstate to Canberra to pin badges on shirts as an essential service really hurt me mentally. I'm sure it will happen again in another manner - not if, but when.

I do not feel at home any more. The letter of apology given to me by Daniel Andrews, which disallowed any public response only did more damage to my psyche. It Was written under pressure by an advice from an enquiry and not out of the insight required of a state premier. My scares remain.

I am not of [REDACTED] decent , yet I now know the feeling of displacement and fear of what an Australian government can impose upon our basic human rights. I no longer see the [REDACTED] a distant reality from our own shores. This is compounded by the Victoria government low standard of accountability in term of his enquiry into his governments handle of lockdowns. In fact it only served to mocked Victorians all the more..

4. I have little faith in this enquiry to bring real change. The lack of public advertising for Australians to participate is just one measure of this.

5. The chance to protect the vulnerable on our Australian shores was lost the day the Australian government let in the flight [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in early 2020. These passengers should have been quarantined ( not in their homes). But [REDACTED] no doubt the government caved into political correctness and released them into unenforceable quarantines. Yes I believe in strick measures in the early stages and go with the advice of scientific experts- prepare, protect the vulnerable and let herd immunity take out the virus, just as it had done with the swine flu.

Instead the government did the opposite. Example, poor measures at offloading passengers at sea ports.

6. Big conglomerate fast food chains; such as [REDACTED] [REDACTED] had their staff housed in multiple residents in the society- as expected. Then meeting at work spreading and infecting the homes. Isn't that what our overnment's wanted to prevent? Yes. Yet this is exactly the same as for employees in any boutique takeaway shop. So why where the [REDACTED] staff ( and other big food chains) allowed to work, and spread the virus, while non big food chain staff were not ? Is this not a misfit with the covid plan of containment? This needs to be explained. business were destroyed.

7. Why were [REDACTED] marches allowed to go ahead and not other protest marches? Was it that the governments hoped the virus would not spread on that day? A more likely answer was that political correctness ( a polite way of saying corruption) levered the government's arm into a bullying position by [REDACTED] activists groups. Specifically the government did their best to appear [REDACTED], so on that day, covid got a holiday to the rules of the government.

But the covid virus doesn't care about virtue signalling by governments. This advertised to the rest of us that governments on federal and state levels were using emotional value systems to evaluate the virus risk of spread. In other words, a rule for some but not for others. This gave me another psychological bruising. Rules swapped at random to suit self interest groups. The convenience of those in power over the law was on display. We have not forgotten the double standards as the threat of big fines and home arrests without a warrant. This occurred daily for months. Very little was learnt by the Victorian government by the first lockdowns. We call out these lockdowns as the draconian

measures that they were. It showed the overplay of power. And displayed an ineptitude of handling a suite of other options beyond the maturity of this government to adopt. It left much embarrassment to say I'm an Australian. To this day foreigners remind me of this Vic government to 'dis-handle' of the pandemic.

8. I rang the then Federal Labor Opposition party in Canberra about why the harsh lockdowns continued into the second lockdowns in Victoria. The Labour rep on the phone said because a group of 50 people in the first lockdown (previous year, 2020) in Victoria were allowed to go back home from their different habitats, only to break out and have a 'Boris Johnston party'. He said laughingly that we can't trust anyone else. So a irrationalization brought the heavy hammer upon us all. It's legacy remains.

9. The use of misinformation itself was a weapon. It has been weaponize to shut down free speech. This is ongoing today. This needs to be reversed. For example, you couldn't, and still can't call the virus a [REDACTED] virus. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The psychological trauma of weaponizing the term, misinformation is of itself misinformation. Please don't discount the affect of prescribed speech on this individuals psychological well-being.

10. In the future, The World Health Organisation has no legal right to control what vaccines go into our arms. Australia is a sovereign country.

The WHO is putting pressure on our Australian government to

give up one of our sovereign rights - protection of our bodies to risk, and make that choice of risk for ourselves. No take over of our health system by overseas groups, particularly the WHO should ever happen. No bullying by this organisation to sway this government's duty to protect our sovereignty. Sovereignty starts with our bodies self determination on health.

11. Independent health data collection initially away from government eyes. This could bring truth, respect and transparency to Australia health forum.