Some questions long avoided, but increasingly leading to recognition of errors.

Why was public health information not transparent nor accessible to all the public including gov aligned and non aligned experts?

Why were (and are) Drs intimidated by AHPRA (on behalf of Gov&profit) & threatened to not report harms?

Why did accurate reporting of cases, hospitalisations, deaths etc cease when results went negative to gov media wishes?

Why did it take so long to come clean that deaths in the first 2 week period after the shots were counted as unvaccinated making the pandemic seem deadly?

Why would government sign up at great expense to an untested novel genetech while allowing ABC & corporate censorship of public health outcomes/failings of that same untested novel genetech?

Why would gov agencies exert censorship powers across the nation, in public and private capacity for an emerging science of things they did not understand?

Would thousands of lives have been saved merely by reallowing antibiotics such as proposed by and his alt accounts?

Why did Gov agencies exert censorship rights and practise upon social media Doctors and Scientists who both then and now continue to be proven correct?

(see and below

Why do all of the following red flags with plenty of warning from an Australian multi-genius prove truer now than ever despite government being blindly unaware?

Tags below are clues posted by this account person;

Each recognising a public health red flag;



Why did we only censor these, not research them?

Why are we not demanding our best university minds to research, debate, disagree and come to a clear position on each of these themes?

(These themes are only going to become clearer over time, their truth will increasingly put authorities including this enquiry and its collation of expert opinions in a hideous light if not addressed)

Why does the government have only provax advisors complete with their conflicts of interest, but never seeks out nor allows any contrary scientists nor Doctors to balance the debate? (devils advocates were needed to stop stupid self assuredness of dark ages clergy - have we a similar need in academia and medical health including pandemics for alternate viewpoints?)

If monocultures are inherently unstable and a blight upon biodiversity, whilst biodiversity is inherently resilient, why does gov and health bureacracy focus upon their ordained solution and not allow alternate views nor practises?

Why should gov (public) money be spent on health advertising where the below the radar purpose is that the media corporations dare not criticise gov policy nor raise health failures for fear of losing that ad money?

Shouldn't that be called information bribery and not in the interests of public nor public health?

Hasn't this created an unhealthy feedback loop where people believe wrong advertising for three years because they have not been allowed to hear otherwise? (from top judges down to

Isn't this the tactic and strategy of totalitarian governments, to control the information flow for their own selfish ends?

Or in this case, military/industrial/pharmaceutical complexes such as Eisenhour tried to warn the world against 60+ years ago capturing health agencies and media for corporate profit?

Why do we know now, 800 days into the pandemic measures that the FDA knew and noted there was no proper monitoring of heart and cardiovascular harms and on top of that no follow up mechanisms to monitor nor assess further harms nor healing successes? https://www.zerohedge.com/medical/final-batch-pfizer-documents-released-fda

Now we know the FDA minuted this, shouldn't we begin to actively seek out and monitor harms to all ages and possible therapies?

Should we throw our health strategies blindly into the arms of the WHO when they have only offered genetech injections (with obfuscated results analysis) and who are likely to basically repeat some new or worse rollout with no alternative intelligence feedback loop to oppose the

Thanks for the chance to raise these questions. Time will favour those who truly recognise the concerns and who begin to find remedy. I do hope your inquiry can be part of this.