

Anonymous: ie: not to be released to the public.

[REDACTED]

Background:

I had my employment terminated as a [REDACTED] due to the Covid19 vaccine mandate. I was employed full time permanently for [REDACTED]

My employer at this time told me that despite my having a doctor's certificate specifying that because of preexisting medical conditions, the mRNA vaccines posed significant risks to me, I would be terminated. I had my local MP involved with trying to get the SA Minister of Health, to ensure that my case was processed in a timely manner. At this time, I also applied for exemption to the Chief Medical Officer, Nicola Spurrier, but was informed by her, that I would need to be assessed by their medical team to determine eligibility for exemption and was advised that they were booked out for approx 3-6 months.

By this time, I had less than a week to meet my employer's requirements.

My GP was glad to know that I had my name down to possibly be part of Professor [REDACTED] trial of a traditional Covid vaccine technology. (I was chosen to be part of the trial in 2022 & had 2 injections of the covax - now <https://vaxine.net/> vaccine).

I asked my employer, if I could use my LSL to see if a non mRNA vaccine became approved, but they said no and as I could not comply with the government mandate requirements, they would have to offer my permanent status to attract another applicant. I was not paid termination allowance, only LSL as despite my workplace agreement not stating compliance to vaccine mandates were required, it was enforced in line with government regulations.

As my termination coincided with the school holidays, I went to Centrelink to see if there was any possibility of interim financial support. We were saving for our first ever house but since termination that is not looking possible. Ironically, because we had a house deposit, Centrelink say we need to live off that. If we owned a house they would assist - at least with a Health Care Card. This is another case of grossly unfair action by the bipartisan government decision to enforce the experimental mRNA vaccine mandate.

(My wife lost her job due to the covid lockdowns and the business she was in reducing staff)

We were saving for our first house and had a deposit to enable this. We were told that due to this deposit, we would not be able to apply for support until we used it up enough to meet support requirements. If we owned a home, they would support us, if we gambled it away, they would support us, basically if we used it up we could get support.

As a result of my termination from the vaccine mandate, due to a culmination of burnout, anxiety/ depression following my forced redundancy, I have not been able to resume pursuing employment. Due to ongoing stress from the situation I was forced into by the government mandate, I had a heart attack in Jan 22.

I am [REDACTED] and hoped to now be living in our first house, working till I was approximately 70 years old as a teacher helping to encourage students to pursue much needed trades.

We are now facing retirement in 6+ years with minimal super and eating into our house deposit that should still be growing.

The outlook at this stage of our lives is somewhat bleak, chewing through our only liquid asset that is eroding with inflation. Add to this cost-of-living pressure and instead of security in retirement in our own home, living in a vehicle seems imminent and a very stressful situation to contemplate.

Relevance to the inquiry:

Financial support for individuals:

As you can see from the above, the system is not capable of providing fair outcomes for people that have medical concerns about the government mandating vaccines. If the government is responsible for terminating employment, they should be responsible to provide ongoing income, superannuation and other payments to the individuals (increased as per inflation and wage rises indexed to inflation etc.).

Governance, including roles and responsibilities:

Clearly the government has been shown to be driven by fear and unfounded “facts” provided by pharmaceutical manufacturers. We were told ad nauseum that the vaccines were safe, effective and would “stop the spread” of covid19. The media was also complicit in promoting these untruths. Informed patient consent should have been honoured, not dismissed.

Lockdowns, masks, schools closed, public gatherings limited, were all enforced with no real effect on controlling covid. Instead, the fallout on peoples’ lives, vaccine injuries, unbelievable government debt incurred and ongoing small business attrition from fear driven policies needs to be addressed by a Royal Commission. The government owe it to the Australian people to show the unbiased truth.

In reality, the government had the responsibility to access the many effective responses to covid that existed but were suppressed. [REDACTED] had developed and had trialled a vaccine that was proved effective, was tested and accepted overseas before the covid mandates were enforced but despite being developed in Australia his company was continually buried in government bureaucracy to prevent it from being allowed for Australian citizens. See <https://vaxine.net/about/people/> and <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cx-rsN8jID4>

Another example re possible solutions the government ignored: “Given the evidence of efficacy, safety, low cost, and current death rates, ivermectin is likely to have an impact on health and economic outcomes of the pandemic across many countries. Ivermectin is not a new and experimental drug with an unknown safety profile. It is a WHO “Essential Medicine”... “
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8248252/>

The government is responsible for the measures they have taken and may take in unprecedented events and should protect Australian citizens from predatory multinational businesses and organisations.

The government should also protect and respect citizens choices regarding medical choices individuals make.

The government bodies did not listen to many other reputable medical concerns and in fact has been shown to have actively suppressed any such concerns. Eg: The safety of AstraZeneca has now been questioned as more cases of adverse reactions start to surface <https://www.health.gov.au/our-work/covid-19-vaccines/advice-for-providers/clinical-guidance/tts>

The fact that Pfizer actively tried to hide adverse side effects of their mRNA vaccines by attempting suppression of information for many years is cause for grave concerns of governance in any future events.

International policies to support Australians at home and abroad:

Current moves by the UN to control Australian citizens in any future pandemics is of extreme concern and should be stopped. The UN has been shown to be compromised and corrupt in many documented cases. We don't need any flawed external interference and overreach to manage Australian citizens.

Key health response measures:

Australian citizens should never again face job loss, financial disincentives or any adverse government response for decisions relating to their health. Truly informed consent should have been allowed and encouraged.

Mechanisms to better target future responses to the needs of particular populations:

The government needs to make sure suppressive systems and bureaucracy do not overrule its citizens rights to make their own medical decisions.

If you want more information, please email me [REDACTED] as I would not want anyone to suffer as I and many others have because of ill-informed government decisions.