Submission to the COVID-19 Response Inquiry

To the Members of the Independent Panel,

I am writing this submission to voice concerns over certain aspects of Australia's response to the COVID-19 pandemic. While I recognise the importance of the Inquiry's goal to improve pandemic preparedness, there are critical areas that require thorough scrutiny.

Concerns Over Specific Pandemic Measures

Corona Injectables and Their Approval: Where were the long-term studies to determine that these substances were safe and effective? Australia didn't do its own research and instead relied on the corrupted research from the U.S. Now we are experiencing mild forms of corona, but a large all cause excess mortality scenario. This needs to be thoroughly investigated.

Health care providers have been disgracefully pushing these injections on trusting citizens, with many in fear of questioning the narrative. These injections are being given to pregnant mothers without any significant study to confirm that they were suitable for pregnant women and their babies. Coincidently, Australia's birth rate has fallen off a cliff.

Censoring of Free Speech: Freedom of speech is paramount in a democracy. During the coronavirus pandemic, free speech took a backseat as the Government tried to shutdown opposing views by identifying social media posts that disagreed with their narrative and awarding funding to broadcast networks to seemingly tow the line. Many of the social media posts censored were later proven to be accurate. Irrespective if they turned out to be accurate, freedom of speech should prevail or else a slippery slope ensues.

AHPRA/Medical Board of Australia and Their Control Over Health Care Providers: Doctors and Pharmacists were not allowed to speak their mind on social media or have their patients' best interests at heart without the fear of investigation, disciplinary action or suspension.

had been faithfully serving the community of for decades, yet when she declared that the Pfizer corona injectable was a bioweapon, she was suspended. Her claim was in fact true, as SARS-CoV-2 is technically a bioweapon and anything derived from it would then meet the definition of a bioweapon too. Now she can't even find staff to keep her store open for the entirety of its usual business hours.

practice was closed by the Victorian Government after he issued injection exemptions. This honourable man had helped his patients and his reward was being raided and closed by the Victorian Government. His confidential patient files were seized by the Victorian Government. This was 3rd world dictator behaviour by Daniel Andrews.

Mask and Vaccine Mandates: The implementation of mask and vaccine mandates had significant impacts on individual freedoms and social dynamics. These measures, often invasive, warrant a detailed review to assess their necessity, effectiveness, and proportionality.

A friend of mine was sacked for not adhering to the injection mandate. An 18 year employment ended and he has since struggled to feed his family and pay his mortgage, to take an injectable that was subsequently seen not to be needed.

Change of the definitions of vaccine and vaccination: The CDC changed the definition of vaccine since they realised that "COVID-19 vaccines are not vaccines per CDC's own definition" (according to FOIA requests of the CDC in the U.S.).

The prior CDC Definitions of Vaccine and Vaccination (August 26, 2021):

Vaccine: A product that stimulates a person's immune system to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but can also be administered by mouth or sprayed into the nose.

Vaccination: The act of introducing a vaccine into the body to produce immunity to a specific disease.

The CDC Definitions of Vaccine and Vaccination since September 1, 2021:

Vaccine: A preparation that is used to stimulate the body's immune response against diseases. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but some can be administered by mouth or sprayed into the nose.

Vaccination: The act of introducing a vaccine into the body to produce protection from a specific disease.

Why should Australia follow this corrupting of science? This dictate was obviously followed to make the idea of the pharmaceutical products easier to sell. Why should we accept and use changed definitions solely for the profits of Big Pharma?!?

'Vaccine' Passports: The transfer of data from Federal systems to States wanting to operate 'vaccine' passports to implement draconian measures was a disgrace and categorically opposed the view of the Prime Minister that vaccine passports should not be implemented. If he truly believed that, then why did he allow the transfer of data?

Lockdowns, Curfews and State-Based Rules: The economic, social, and psychological impacts of lockdowns and curfews have been profound. An evaluation of these measures is essential to understand their long-term effects on Australian society and whether they were the most appropriate response.

My wife and her sister were co-owners of a pharmacy. To own a pharmacy, you must be a pharmacist. My sister-in-law wanted to sell the pharmacy. My wife wanted to buy her 50% stake. It seemed simple. However, because the State Government implemented injection mandates for pharmacists, my wife could no longer purchase the pharmacy. They ended up selling to a third party for less than what it was worth. They spent years building the pharmacy and because of the injection mandate idiocy, it's sadly gone.

On the subject of gone...

I drove her to the Women's Hospital, however upon walking her into the waiting room, I was abruptly removed due to their policy regarding numbers inside. My wife had to go through that alone. I let her down by not being there. It was traumatic for both of us.

Communication Strategies: It is quite clear that there was an unwarranted use of fear in public health messaging. The unnecessary fear in the community was generated by the State Governments and the media and it resulted in people divorcing from reality. This was intentional as it made the weak-minded more malleable. This needs to be investigated and steps taken to ensure that this evil never occurs again.

One of my dear friends is an ALP apologist, to the point of taking as many shots as he can.

Unfortunately, he has had for 15 years and the corona injectable shots send him to hospital (his symptoms go haywire). Even if he wasn't an ALP apologist, the specialists would refuse to treat him unless he was up-to-date with the current corona injectable shot. What's being perpetrated against the dear fellow is evil.

Post Pandemic Response (WHO)

What's the Government's response to prepare for a future pandemic? Seemingly to make Australia a signatory to WHO's pandemic treaty. The drafts of this treaty call for people to lose their bodily autonomy, and to an extent, countries to cede power to WHO. I'd be against people losing bodily autonomy and countries ceding power, even if WHO could manage to be correct about everything pandemic-related, but at this stage unfortunately, WHO somehow managed to get everything wrong about the COVID-19 pandemic.

Concerns Over the Composition and Scope of the Inquiry

Panel Composition: The appointment of Inquiry panel members who have previously expressed support for certain pandemic measures raises questions about the objectivity and impartiality of the Inquiry. Panel members who have expressed support for such measures should recuse themselves.

Exclusion of Unilateral State and Territory Government Decisions: One of the most significant omissions in the Inquiry's scope is the exclusion of actions taken unilaterally by state and territory governments. These decisions, including state-imposed lockdowns and border controls, were pivotal to the nation's pandemic response and should be included in the Inquiry. States were seemingly coordinating their policies using focus groups, rather than legitimate science. Racist BLM protests were allowed yet protests against the pandemic measures were deemed a public health risk and were often cracked down upon with a level of brutality not seen since Federation. I don't believe that SARS-CoV-2 has evolved to a point where it can distinguish between a 'worthy' cause and any other form of illegal protest.

The Need for a Comprehensive Review

While the Terms of Reference for the Inquiry are extensive, it is crucial that the above-mentioned areas are not overlooked. A truly comprehensive review should encompass all aspects of the government's response, including those currently outside the Inquiry's scope.

Conclusion

This submission represents a collective call for a more inclusive and thorough investigation into Australia's COVID-19 response. It also urges for freedom and liberty to not ever be cast aside with fearmongering as it was by Australian governments during the pandemic. We urge the Inquiry to consider these points to ensure transparency, accountability, and the safeguarding of Australian values and liberties. Nothing short of an all-encompassing Royal Commission is required. We have Royal Commissions over games of Tiddlywinks, however the Government seemingly doesn't want one over the biggest event of the last 78 years. Now that the offending State and Federal leaders have been discarded, it is an opportune time to investigate every aspect of the COVID-19 response through a Royal Commission, without fear of hurting either Party's leadership, and as such, with politics far removed.

Kind Regards,

Mr. A. Lowe