

14th December, 2023 - Submission to the COVID 19 Response Inquiry..

Firstly, I want to report my outrage that the scope of this Inquiry's Terms of Reference excludes the Actions of State and Territory Governments and any transgressions of human rights issues. What a wasted opportunity to gather all appropriate information, as well as a waste of taxpayer money as many MPs already advised.

With respect to Governance roles and responsibilities, please note it was a great assault on our human rights that TGA and ATAGI informed governments' mandated statutes during the COVID response.

Remembering that it was well known there was a 99.5% survival rate from sars cov 2 and that people needed 2.5 co morbidities to suffer serious effects. Transparency was not practised during this time and the ability of these organisations to invade the inviolable doctor/patient relationship was a violation of our most fundamental human rights protected by law. These statutory mandates need to be tested in a Response Inquiry setting by truly independent members to make recommendations, as our courts of law have been lacking. I understand that government takes decisions based on what is best for the community as a whole, but each individual still has the right to make informed decisions on their own health. That is one of our most fundamental rights backed up by Universal laws ie the Declaration of the Rights of Man/citizen 1789. Governments lost public trust when they censored and banned both meds and any professional opposing opinions. Doctor's advice to patients on the safety of medical protocols should never be overruled. And it was. Rarely did anyone get Informed Consent before a vax. The blank page in the vax packaging says it all. My trust in medical practice has been ruined. Children, with such a low risk from Covid, should never have been vaccinated. They have a robust immune system and few comorbidities.

I want to raise the issue of the PCR testing not fit for purpose yet being used on a national scale. The amplifications were run much higher than the normal levels than the test was intended to be run at and it was stated by its inventor that it would be erroneous to use it to test for infection, such as a virus. This caused a much higher covid statistic than was real. All easily discovered information. ATAGI and the TGA certainly decided to censor any opposing information to their ill informed narrative. Where did they get their information from?

I object to the classifying of PCR +ve people as U701 such that if that person died, the diagnosis would be that the person died OF Covid rather than WITH Covid, so we had the situation where a person who died in a motor bike crash was classified as having died of COVID. This just exacerbated the fearmongering by pushing up the numbers of deaths from Covid erroneously to suit the narrative. Conversely when the vax fatality rates were to be published, lengths were taken so no link was made to vaxes. In fact the process to get onto DAEN was convoluted and difficult even for Drs that its claimed barely 1-10% of claimants were successful so their injuries went unreported. No symptoms does not equate to covid despite using a test not fit for purpose. I object to the Australian government signing deals with pharmaceutical companies which are not open to scrutiny. I object to 2 Nobel prize winning treatments on the WHO's list of essential medicines, being taken from our pharmacy shelves and made illegal by massive fines or 6 months imprisonment (Qld) for drs daring to use what once was regarded as essential meds. At the same time people were told to go home and take an asprin or else go to hospital where the risk of being placed on ECMO machines, with a 30-50% rate of fatality v. covid at .5%. A simple prophylactic use of Ivermectin by frontline medics as well as the general community would have stopped a lot of illness and been an effective public spend. Clive Palmer even paid for Hydroxychloroquine to be available freely to the

Australian population, which the government allowed to be paid to be transported here by him, then they dumped it and no one was able to use it. How much of our hard earned was paid on vaccines exactly? These vaccines couldn't be produced if a treatment existed!

I object to taxpayer funds being handed over on such a massive scale to organisations when the product is still in an experimental phase. I strongly object to the mass communication that the vaccines were "Safe and Effective" when, for example, trials of safety and efficacy on pregnant women weren't even conducted by [REDACTED] Or that the control group used were then vaccinated so that no unvaccinated people existed to compare their results to. I object to the disregard of the current death data which shows in the order of a 17% increase in all cause mortality over 2019 levels and not only was the vaccine not paused, new booster vaccines are coming out, and flagrantly advertised with no regard to safety or effectiveness.

Patients were exposed to massive coercion to take an experimental COVID19 vaccine within a hospital clinic setting, workplaces and local universities, despite patients having compromised immune systems being pressured to take the unproven experimental vax by hospitals. This has had the effect of further impairing their immune system with long term deleterious effects. Workers having to leave their job when talk of yet more boosters were being illegally mandated, after 2 vaxes were taken coercively and pericarditis was evident. Clearly none of these worked, if several needed to be taken and in fact caused harm. Employment at workplaces subsequently becoming untenable and causing resignations with no return of income as enjoyed prior to this time. Despite Qld university bylaws relaxing and not mandating the vax after a couple of years, the Sunshine Coast University then decided to follow NSW regulations which still mandated the vax. Students were not allowed to complete their ONLINE DEGREES without getting a vax by a Qld uni choosing to follow NSW regulations not Qld bylaws. How coercive is that.

Vaccine Exemptions were not being given by doctors who were bullied into this by the likes of the TGA or ATAGI dictates. . No exemptions were permitted yet politicians and Judges and staff were automatically granted exemptions.

There is much research to indicate the effectiveness of masks and faceshields has not been proven to reduce the spread of disease within community settings. Also anyone involved with confined space entries can tell you the detriment of a low oxygen environment on human health, the inside of a mask on the human face is a low oxygen environment. I object to use of PPE (masks) in the community, appropriate in operating theatre, for reasons to do with splashing or doctors sneezing, and certainly not outdoors. Similarly, the financial supports to business were a disaster waiting to happen. Government waiving Media License fees to the tune of \$80 million smacks of corruption.

I object to the taxpayer funds spent on ineffective quarantine facilities. Also a giant waste of taxpayer money were the purpose built detention centres which were extremely expensive and hardly used. I object to the lockdowns and closing of state borders. Families and businesses suffered loss of face to face communication, many business bankrupted. People were prevented from attending weddings and funerals. Such events are what life is all about. All for a virus with a .25-.5% fatality rate. That's less than 1%. Upon what data did the TGA AND ATAGI make their mandates and governments make their decisions?

The implementation of curfews was a ridiculous attempt to control society. It was an invasion of all Australians' human rights. Physical distancing called social distancing to invade our need for socialising, not wants, a need. Its what keeps human immune systems healthy. Did we hear that on any television advertising? Covid was an excuse for so many incursions on our human rights by government's unlawful

dictates many of which have since been found by the courts to be unlawful because fines were dropped. What governments should have been advertising was to get Sun especially and take Vitamin D as the Indonesians published, take Exercise and healthy food. They chose to trace individuals with mass surveillancing having to QR code into shops, cafes and venues and dividing society further by defamation of the unvaxed when the data it was based on was clearly erroneous which they would know if they didn't censor information.

Australian citizens living internationally who wanted to come home should be able to exercise that right. Locking Australian's out of their country was just wrong on every level. They could have been quarantined in their own home. Many children now have anxiety issues, with what happened in our schools. I'm sure this is widespread. The fearmongering which went on by the Australian mainstream media during Covid was reprehensible. Impressionable children could not escape the fearmongering. Government organisations did nothing to stop this nor did they put out any positive information to counteract this fear porn. Learning at home severely disrupted their education and socialisation, when they have robust immune systems. The injury and death rate on vaxed children should be looked at. Starving granny of family visits at a time when their immune system would have been boosted by such visits was also reprehensible. Also vaxing vulnerable populations with an experimental vax. This Inquiry should look at the fatality rate for both these demographics.

It is wrong that the taxpayer funds pay for television advertisements in light of the current worldwide fatality and injury data. 17% all cause excess death rates in Australia is huge enough. Governments usually stop medical products after a 1-2% harm rate. Why not this time? The Inquiry needs to investigate the data where the flu rate went down significantly in the same years that covid rate was high and back up again in the years before covid. Coronavirus.

I also want to raise attention to the censorship of any information being labelled as misinformation, that offered a counter narrative. Many scientists and doctors were robbed of their provider no. and income/ funding if they dissented from the government narrative.

I object to the short length of time and difficulty of submissions to this enquiry right before the busy Christmas period, naturally politicians would know this prevents a lot of people making submissions. I should be spending time with my family at this time of year, especially when the real human rights issues are not seriously being encouraged.

- 1 I want this inquiry to prevent the massive overreach of Government into the personal lives of Australians and transgression of our protective laws. Lawyers need to reverse these practices by a suitable protective Laws. Personal freedom being pivotal.**
- 2 Censorship and financial punishment not be used again to prevent information by professionals revealing the truth or at least contributing to healthy debate. Interestingly the flu rate went down significantly in the same years that covid rate was high and up again in the years before covid.**
- 3 Clearly politicians and bureaucrats should not have the power to manage as well as to decipher information. Medicos and scientists need to be consulted as vetted by the people, if we're to have uncorrupted input. This situation calls for such extraordinary measures to be taken.**
- 4 Human rights lawyers need to be consulted to prevent overriding our human rights and the UN does not have any rights to determine our laws. Australia to exit the WHO after this debacle. They pronounce anything a pandemic to justify their overriding of individual rights.**
- 5 Recommendations be made to further investigate the illegal breaches made on law abiding Australians and the**

transgression of their legal human rights as outlined above. These practices have been proven to be erroneous and this would have been uncovered had common sense been used instead of censorship and heavy handed government tactics.

- 6 That proper scientific methods be used to ensure proper control groups for vaxes. We cannot rely on corporations who have a profit based model.**
- 7 That easier processes be created for harmful treatments or vaxes to be reported and compensation paid. Ensure the Vax corporations finance this and they have no immunity for the damage caused. The taxpayers should not be paying for the vaxes as well as the damage they cause from poor control tests for safety and efficacy.**
- 8 That the media be taken right out of the equation to mount a narrative and hijack the mindset of the people.**
- 9 That personal surveillance and tracking not be used.**
- 10 That natural immune building be encouraged to help combat viruses and any supportive treatments be available but not compulsory. Treatments be explored and not prohibited and removed from shelves before we pay out zillions on untested experimental vaxes.**