

Submission to the Commonwealth Government COVID enquiry

I wish to address a number of the terms of reference:

1. Governance etc.

If the states were part of the national cabinet which seemed to act with a lot of cohesion and agreement, then there probably weren't many unilateral decisions taken by the states. Hence their actions and decisions could well be within the scope of this enquiry.

AHPRA was active in pursuing doctors who spoke contrary to the common narrative. I think that was an overreach. Doctors should be able to diagnose and treat according to their ability without being restricted by a directive.

2. Key Health Response Measures

Will there be a revelation of the details of the contracts for supply of vaccines that the government entered into. These were large contracts for drugs that had not been tested for some basic functionality (pregnant women, prevention of transmission) yet were presented as the sole valid clinical response to the virus. We need openness, not protection by "commercial in confidence" clauses. The enquiry could seek to compare what is known about vaccine injuries now in comparison to what was told to the government's purchasing departments initially.

There was a quick jump to lockdowns as a response. There were alternatives. The enquiry could examine what impacts early treatments at home (e.g. Vitamin D, Vitamin C), medical intervention with Ivermectin and rest might have had.

3. International Policies

The enquiry should consider whether Australia's ability to respond to future pandemics will be limited by the about-to-be revised WHO International Health Regulations. There is no need for an enquiry when much of our response in future might be dictated by WHO.

4. Support for Businesses

There were conscious decisions made about what businesses to support, through the "essential services" exemptions. There needs to be openness here too. The enquiry should examine why brothels could trade but churches were restricted severely.