

Good morning,

I would like to make a submission to the Covid-19 response enquiry.

My name is Kathy Ran and I have lived through the whole experience, while living in Brisbane, Queensland and watched with complete disbelief as the whole thing was rolled out.

Firstly, I would very strongly like to suggest that a full Royal Commission be held into the whole response to this whole thing from the beginning to the present, as this is still ongoing, and is likely to be ongoing for many years, possibly decades.

I would also very strongly suggest that all the responses from all the states and territories also be included in any terms, whether just an enquiry or full blown Royal Commission. Each state had their own response and handled things differently, and if they are not included, a full picture of what occurred will not be possible.

This is imperative if the first term of reference is to be addressed properly.

I will now address a few other terms of reference as per the scope.

KEY HEALTH RESPONSE MEASURES. Firstly, just about every single country in the world, including Australia, as a pandemic response proposal or document. Why was Australia's specific pandemic response ignored and not followed at all during the outbreak of Covid? This document has previously stated that the vulnerable should be isolated and if necessary, they would receive first PROVEN and TESTED vaccines. None of the so called vaccines that were rolled out for SARS CoV-2 were either proven or tested properly. As it turns out they were neither safe nor effective, in fact the more jabs people had, the more vulnerable they became to infection. They neither stopped infection nor stopped people spreading infection. Early treatment is also vital. So why were infected people advised to stay at home until they had trouble breathing? It is quite clear that early intervention was key in saving people's lives.

There was absolutely NO need for every single person to be injected with what essentially were trial vaccines that did not even meet the specifications or previous definitions of vaccine. That definition had to be changed so the new jabs would fit.

There was also absolutely no need for lockdowns for entirely healthy populations, which addresses the broader health support term of reference. This was also never in the previous pandemic response document. It is completely unnecessary to lock down an entire population over something that very quickly proved to have a 99.7% recovery rate across all age groups.

The media played a huge part in spreading complete misinformation and disinformation about both the virus and the supposed only way to control it, which was through getting vaccinated. Tried and proven medications were not allowed to be used and doctors were threatened with deregistration for even suggesting any alternatives to the jab. However, much of this (mis and dis) information came from the government and so called state "health" ministers and chief "health" officers, the vast majority of which were not medical doctors or had not seen patients for many years, sometimes decades. How were these people ever put in charge of the health of the nation's people?

It was apparently early on that masks did not and still do not work for viruses. Even the higher level masks are not effective against virus particles. So why was everyone required to wear masks, especially with the completely useless blue surgical masks that have become a huge waste problem

and are a menace to wildlife. Cloth masks are also less than useless, because they don't filter anything but do restrict people's breathing and ability to get fresh air, which is vital to help combat viruses.

Why was natural immunity completely disregarded when it's been proven to be vastly superior to any vaccines?

Since lockdowns were completely unnecessary, broader health or financial supports would also have been completely unnecessary, since people did not have to close down businesses, be forced into quarantine when returning from overseas or interstate nor did schools have to be shut down.

Activities that were allowed or not allowed made absolutely no sense at all. Confining people to home and now allowing them to go outside and exercise, which would have made a huge contribution to both physical and mental health also made little sense. Not allowing people to see loved ones in hospital or allowing people to die without their loved ones being allowed to see them also made absolutely no sense whatsoever.

Again, if these lockdowns were not implemented, most of the problems, such as labour shortages, would not have occurred. Things like Jobkeeper would not have been required, as people could have still been able to go to work unimpeded.

Sacking people who chose not to get vaccinated is also a complete disgrace, especially given what we now know about the complete and utter ineffectiveness and downright dangers of the vaccinations. That this is still an issue in some industries today, or even still a requirement is a bigger disgrace.

Requiring people to share personal medical information with all and sundry is also a complete disgrace. It should not be anybody's business but the person involved if they have had an injection or not. This is not required for anything else. Why was it required for Covid? This was also a huge contributor to people's mental health issues and this should not have happened.

Responses to any future potential pandemic should follow the previous pandemic procedures and every country be allowed to make up their own minds and decisions on how this would be handled. It is certainly not up to unelected foreign bureaucrats to decide how each country responds.

If the guidelines are followed correctly, then vulnerable people are sheltered or removed from high risk positions and kept away from possible infection sources. Steps made to reduce their risks would ensure they are kept safe.

Everyone else should be able to carry on like before and follow simple and sensible precautionary steps, like they did in Sweden. Their results should prove beyond any doubt that the steps they took, and the steps the strictest countries took made not one iota of difference. This was consistently shown for many other countries and even on a state by state level.

It should NOT be necessary to lock everybody down. It should NOT be necessary to inject every single person with something, especially if it is novel technology. Different measures should be tried, and there is no "one size fits all". Alternative suggestions should not be shut down and practitioners threatened with deregistration if they dare go against some supposed "official" line. Who decides the official line and is it the correct course of action?

Most of all, if mistakes have been made, then they need to be admitted. It does nobody any good to double down on the mistakes and deny that they were made. Either way, the truth has a habit of getting out regardless and it always will in the end. I am sure there are more than sufficient studies now that show quite clearly that the response here in Australia was not the way to go.

There are also so much overwhelming evidence that the vaccines rolled out for this disease are not just completely ineffective, but downright harmful. No medication should ever be forced on anybody, especially if they are unable to give informed consent.

That people lost jobs because of decisions to not taking an unproven, untried, new technology is a complete disgrace. What's even more disgraceful is that a number of these people are still not working. We have a skills shortage in a number of areas, such as medical staff, emergency services, policing and firefighting, to name but a few. There are literally tens or maybe even hundreds of thousand qualified people who cannot work in their chosen fields because of mandates. This also needs to end. This is one of the contributors to a deterioration in mental health.

These are just a few things that I've raised. There are many things to consider in this but due to space and time constraints, have been left off.

In closing, I would strongly suggest that should there ever be a global pandemic or health emergency, the last four years are textbook examples of what NOT to do.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kathy Ran