

Commonwealth Government Covid-19 Response Inquiry Submission

I believe the Australian Government, the Members of Parliament and Senators, have **failed** the people of Australia during the Coronavirus Pandemic known as COVID 19. These people are empowered to uphold the **Australian Constitution Act** and have taken an oath to do this and to take their submissions from "We the People of the Commonwealth of Australia" **WITHOUT** interference from unelected international interests such as Pharmaceutical companies, UN, WEF and international elites with a vested interest in themselves. To take their instructions from anyone other than "We the people of the Commonwealth of Australia" is surmount to **TREASON** and should be punished by conviction in court as such.

My reasons for this belief is as follows:

1. The powers of the Commonwealth with respect to legislating on medical issues is one of the items in section 51 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act.

Section 51(xxiiiA) states "the provision of maternity allowances, widows' pensions, child endowment, unemployment, pharmaceutical, sickness and hospital benefits, medical and dental services (but not so as to authorize any form of civil conscription), benefits to students and family allowances;

The Constitution was amended by referendum to include this provision in 1946.

In the written judgement of Webb J., speaking on this section of the Constitution, he stated:

*"I think the electors would have taken the proposed law to emphasize, in the use of the words "any form," that legislation for the provision of benefits or services of the kind referred to could not authorize compulsory service of any kind, at least in the provision of medical or dental services, either independently or as incidental to pharmaceutical or other benefits, and that compulsion, to any extent or of any nature, whether legal, by the imposition of penalties, or practical, by any other means, direct or indirect, could not be authorized. To require a person to do something which he may lawfully decline to do but only at the sacrifice of the whole or a substantial part of the means of his livelihood would, I think, be to subject him to practical compulsion amounting to conscription in the case of services required by Parliament to be rendered to the people. **If Parliament cannot lawfully do this directly by legal means it cannot lawfully do it indirectly by creating a situation, as distinct from merely taking advantage of one, in which the individual is left no real choice but compliance.**" (at p293)*

*"But when this service is made compulsory by a fine, or loss of practice to avoid the fine, in the case of any patient, with few exceptions, who does not request that the Commonwealth form be not used, then, having regard not only to the extent of the professional work involved but to the almost unlimited number of persons entitled to insist on the service at any time, it becomes, I think, not merely a compulsory service but a form of civil conscription within any meaning that can be given to that expression which, if not quite clear, was certainly intended to be comprehensive. It is civil conscription of doctors as doctors. **When Parliament comes between patient and doctor and makes the lawful continuance of their relationship as such depend upon a condition, enforceable by fine, that the doctor shall render the patient a special service, unless that service is waived by the patient, it creates a situation that amounts to a form of civil conscription.**"(at p 295)*

2. Also provided in the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia Act, to determine who has the over-riding power, Commonwealth or State section 109 clarifies this as **"When a law of a State is inconsistent with a law of the Commonwealth, the latter shall prevail, and the former shall, to the extent of the inconsistency, be invalid"**

This section clearly shows that the **Commonwealth** should have **stopped the States** from **forcing** the **medical civil conscription** that they **enforced** with their mandating policies such as “No Jab, No Work” and all similar efforts as the States were clearly inconsistent with the Commonwealth under section 51 (xxiiiA).

3. In addition to these sections is 117 which states “**A subject of the Queen, resident in any State, shall not be subject in any other State to any disability or discrimination which would not be equally applicable to him if he were a subject of the Queen resident in such other State.**”

The Commonwealth should have stepped in and **stopped** each state **discriminating** within and at the border of each state the covid restrictions imposed on the people it was meant to protect. There were clear differences between each state with what was imposed on its constituents with some not even allowed to return to their home address.

These restrictions also resulted in harm to the people, both physical and mental harm to all people of all ages which also brought about deaths by suicide.

In these regards the Commonwealth **FAILED** in its **duty** to provide to **all** the people of the Commonwealth of Australia the “**laws for the peace, order, and good government of the Commonwealth**” as required by the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act.

4. In addition to the **failure** of the **Commonwealth** to **uphold** the **Constitution** that it is supposed to administer our laws through, they paid Pharmaceutical companies **BILLIONS** of our taxpayer funds to purchase untested drugs with unknown side effects to administer to the people without any knowledge of what harm these drugs will do to their constituents. The number of doses purchased far exceeds what could possibly be required. This is nothing but **fraud** committed by those who are supposed to be **accountable** for the administration of **our** funds.

The TGA have now admitted that they approved these drugs without due care and diligence and are receiving reports of deaths and injury from Australians who were forced to receive these untested drugs. There is also the lists of known reactions, including death, of these experimental drugs that all pharmaceutical companies have attempted to hide from their findings and did not disclose where they should have as was required, ie they botched their required disclosures and **LIED** to sell their wares.

This treatment of Australians comes under Human Rights abuses and of a similar nature disclosed to the Nuremberg Trials conducted at the end of WW2 where those who were complicit in the medical experimentation carried out were found **guilty** and **hanged** for their **crimes** which resulted in the recommendations which **Australia is a signatory** to, that also brought about the constitutional amendment of section 51(xxiii) to section 51(xxiiiA) in 1946.

5. A further item I wish to state is that from the **American Medical Association Medical Encyclopedia page 1051** where it lists “**Coronavirus**” and gives a typical example of

this virus as “**the common cold**” which was published by **Random House Inc. New York NY in 1989**. This fact that COVID 19 is a variation of Coronavirus and of the common cold shows that our politicians **panicked** when the pharmaceutical companies spread their **lies** and scare-mongering to engineer the panic so that governments world-wide would not seek professional medical opinions such as Professor [REDACTED], Dr [REDACTED], Dr [REDACTED], Dr [REDACTED], Dr [REDACTED], Dr [REDACTED] along with several hundred more independent and published specialists who all spoke against these drugs, before purchasing these experimental drugs, shutting economies and forcing masks, lockdowns and border closures. All that this has done is supply bigger **profits** to **BIG PHARMA**.

Most people are now aware of the **lies** that have been perpetrated by “Big PHARMA” and politicians which is why there are so few who have had the subsequent additional JABS being continually pushed by “main stream media” and why so many people do not use main stream media any more.

This period in our recent history has shown that Australia, if it continues to be dictated by Politicians to the People of Australia is no longer a democratic and free nation but one governed by those who want the people to be **enslaved** and **dictated**. This is NOT what our forefathers fought for or wrote our constitution for. Our constitution was written that the people of Australia were always in control of the government and all its laws, as a **democratic free** country **NOT A DICTATORSHIP** or under **COMMUNIST RULE**.

6. **No Doctor**, who has gone through their training of several years, should EVER be threatened with the removal of their licence by any medical body based on a difference of opinion for treatment as happened during the Covid-19 scandal which was based on **political** and **Big Pharma** opinion **NOT** on **MEDICAL practice**.

Our medical board **NEEDS** to be **INDEPENDENT** of political and pharmaceutical **coercion** in every decision that is made. Every decision made both by the TGA, ATAGI and the medical board needs to be free, open, accountable and available to every person of Australia.

The next time the [REDACTED] **head of the UN**, [REDACTED], speaks of a Pandemic the Australian politicians need to seek the opinions of those in the recognised field of medical expertise who have been published with distinction in their field and seek their further opinion **BEFORE** making any decisions about what we, the people should be asked to do. This also should **ALWAYS** be the decision of **We the People** whether we adhere to the **advice** or not based on our individual circumstances and consultation with our own doctor. Whatever happens, what **we the people** do should **ALWAYS** be **OUR OWN DECISION** and **NEVER** be a Government requirement. Australia needs to be democratically free in every decision each person makes.

Trish Davis,

15/12/2023

