

To: Office of Prime Minister and Cabinet

## Submission to the COVID-19 Response Inquiry

I Debra Yuille am an Australian Business Owner and It is my will that a Royal Commission be instigated for full and proper investigation into the unprecedented disruption and harm that Australia's response to Covid-19 has wrought on Australia and her people.

Specifically:

- **Vaccine procurement and Secret vaccine contracts**

The Australian People whose taxes have/are paying for the Covid-19 mRNA Treatments must know what the arrangements were/are with the Vaccine Manufacturers. These must be made public. The secrecy around these arrangements is unconscionable on every level.

- What is the cost of the Covid-19 injections to date
- What are Australia's ongoing commitments
- Why was enough product for up to or above 10 x injections for the Australian population purchased initially as the public were being told that an initial injection plus one follow up booster were a full course for 95% protection against infection and transmission. On what were these demonstrably false figures based?
- Why and how was immunity for the manufacturers granted and is that immunity legal in light of the trial data fraud (██████ documents (available in March 2022 on court order after ██████ sought to hide them for 75 years) containing hundreds of adverse effects, and the deaths of 1223 people within 3 months of the trials showed "intent to deceive and cause serious harm." <https://phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/5.3.6-postmarketing-experience.pdf> (Note: the ██████ version of the mRNA treatment has now been removed in Australia, and the ██████ version is responsible for more side effects than ██████).

- **Vaccine mandates**
- **Vaccine injuries**
- **Mask mandates**
- **Lockdowns**
- **Misinformation and Disinformation in the legacy media, Celebrity Endorsements from those with no medical training or credentials; regarding the ability of vaccines to block infection and transmission along with denial of vaccine injuries and gaslighting of the injured.**
- **The AHPRA lack of due diligence on safety.**
- **AHPRA silence on harm of the so-called vaccine and their action along with ATAGI to silence the real Medical Profession.**

What were the Regulatory Bodies that were supposed to be working for the Australian people really doing and what was their motivation?

- Therapeutic Goods Administration – the TGA's complete maladministration in relation to approval of the novel mRNA treatments and their unexplainable lack of testing or checks on the contents, genotoxicity and long-term safety of the products is fairly easily explained by the fact they are a clear example of regulatory capture. They are 94% funded by the pharmaceutical companies whose products they are supposed to police. How did this happen and why was this not an obvious danger signal prior to Covid-19?

Their complete lack of due diligence in relation to the glaring signals of the Covid-19 products danger since their implementation is only able to be described as malfeasance that has resulted in unnecessary death of Australian men, women and children, including the unborn.

- Australian Health Professionals Regulatory Agency – the gagging of the entire medical profession by AHPRA with its 9 March 2021 *Position Statement - Registered health practitioners and students and COVID-19 vaccination* was in all likelihood one of the darkest days for the Medical Industry in the history of this nation.

It removed any remnants of Doctor /patient privilege, informed consent and the ability of Doctors to treat patients as individuals – the basis for providing the best treatment for each person as an individual using a physicians knowledge of their distinct history and circumstances being the bedrock of best practice.

- **And why did we not follow the August 2019 comprehensive Australian Health Management Plan for Pandemic Influenza?**

Who was responsible for the complete non-adherence, indeed the opposite response, advised in the comprehensive 2019 Australian Health Management Plan for Pandemic (Influenza) and why did this happen?

<https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2022/05/australian-health-management-plan-for-pandemic-influenza-ahmppi.pdf>

It recommended against the most destructive of the Government responses including:

- Mask wearing – “No evidence” of effectiveness. Masking the entire, non-symptomatic population was not even considered.
- Border closures – International - “Overall, the quality of the evidence available about the effectiveness of border measures is low”.  
*Internal travel restrictions - Not recommended in general as benefits are likely to be minor. Effectiveness – Minor. Direct & Secondary costs – High”*
- School closures – Proactive - “Not generally recommended. The level of disruption is likely to outweigh benefits”. Reactive– “Not recommended unless the disease has high clinical severity or children are a group at risk of complications”.
- Workplace closure – “Not generally recommended. Effectiveness – moderate. Direct costs & Secondary costs – High. Costs include effects on profits, availability of goods and services, and job security. Modelling has estimated the macroeconomic impacts

*of school and workplace closure are likely to exceed costs caused by the pandemic itself .*

- Cancellation of mass gatherings – *“Not generally recommended. Benefits are uncertain. Secondary costs – High”.*

It recommended:

- Antivirals for treatment of cases – *“Recommended for all cases during the Initial Action stage. Benefits - Treatment may reduce symptoms and thus reduce morbidity and mortality, and decrease disease transmission to contacts. It may also contribute to the prevention of secondary bacterial infection.*

That my human rights along with those of millions of my fellow countrymen and women could be ignored and that we were summarily discriminated against because we declined to participate in a medical experiment defies belief.

There has never been a time in history where those seeking to limit or withhold information have been on the side of what is morally right.

An experimental treatment, with no safety data mandated on Australians is in breach of everything from the Siracusa Principles to the Nuremberg Code and the Australian Immunisation Handbook.

The Royal Commission, must look at who pays and the instigation of Criminal proceedings against those responsible.

Debra Yuille

