

I am writing to you regarding the inquiry into the COVID-19 response.

I believe there is a better way to respond to a pandemic than how Australia and other developed democracies have responded.

Firstly, traditionally established protocols for pandemics in Australia were not implemented, instead, we had mass lockdowns, forced quarantine for the healthy, experimental vaccine mandates, reduced staffing in all sectors, uninformed consent / forced or coerced vaccinations, mask mandates, detrimental business closures, detrimental school closures, invasive procedures, overreaching state premiers, etc etc. There needs to be an extensive independent investigation into each area mentioned. Emails between agencies involved in the COVID Vaccine rollout (including health, minister at TGA and other medicine authorities and state ministers) need to be investigated and made public. Transparency and accountability must be maintained if you are to have integrity as a government and trust of the people.

Secondly, we needed alternative approaches for health and wellbeing promoted , as well as doctors that would advocate for their patients, not just told to do nothing and go to hospital when you're having problems breathing. Natural therapies like nutritional support (vitamin D and zinc) supplementation for good health and improved immune function as well as natural immunity were dismissed. Why was this the case? There has been plenty of research done on the errors of that approach.

Instead, we had the one size fits all approach of the vaccine mandate and do what I say approach by both private and public sectors.

Doctors were discouraged from using their professional advice due to it being different to the government. Government and other regulatory bodies, have disciplined doctors and nurses and other healthcare professionals for maintaining their ethical medical standards and practices. Look into all the doctors and nurses that lost their livelihoods during the time of the pandemic and their unfair dismissal often with defamation charges.

The government and other regulatory bodies have also disciplined individuals for exercising their conscientious objection to an experimental injection and other protocols.

No jab, no pay is not a very nice logo.

The government and other regulatory agencies used media to propagate fear, instead of encouraging good health practices (maybe keeping essential services like churches open and closing non-essential - liquor stores) and finding encouraging words to share with the public instead of the number of cases of COVID.

That brings me to what has been coined 'the elephant in the room': the vaccinations. This report attached explains it well. This is a published report. Sorry I couldn't attach the PDF

<https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Pharmacovigilance-Report-22.01.23-LR.pdf>

The application for help after having adverse reactions by the vaccine and being denied assistance also needs scrutiny, as does the excess deaths that are still occurring but not investigated. Pharmaceutical companies have been given indemnities yet citizens are paying the price.

The government has the duty to protect our right to work.

Decisions, like not allowing businesses like [REDACTED] to do mowing during the pandemic need investigation. Who made these decisions? Decisions like not allowing nurses, teachers, priests and builders.... to work because they were not vaccinated needs scrutiny. There is such a need for these professionals, but livelihoods were lost and others suffered due to the shortage.

The Australian government should have been upholding the law, to protect the citizens and ensure that no one is discriminated against for not having been vaccinated. Did the government uphold the rights of its citizens? I'd say losing your job because you don't want to get the vaccine is discrimination (<https://rumble.com/vm6tgd-qantas-pilot-speaks-out-about-vaccine-mandate.html>).

Many people were waiting for ethical options that are safety tested properly instead of being involved in the largest experiment in history.

We also had patients in hospitals or those in aged care facilities during 'lockdown' suffering from loneliness and lack of care. People were dying without their loved ones around them. Many studies show the need for humans to stay connected... physically, biologically, mentally, emotionally and spiritually. Loneliness is rampant and often depression is a consequence. What groups in society have been affected by the choices of our government over this time? Organisations like Lifeline and Vinnies can testify to the changes in emotional and economic needs that have occurred over the course of the pandemic.

People had been expected to "follow the science" when it came to making personal health decisions during the pandemic ... so long as the science "follows" the mainstream narrative. But what happens when the "science" deviates? An example: an international team of researchers from institutions including the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) now claim that mRNA COVID shots suppress the immune system..

([https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357994624\\_Innate\\_Immune\\_Suppression\\_by\\_SARS-CoV-2\\_mRNA\\_Vaccinations\\_The\\_role\\_of\\_G-quadruplexes\\_exosomes\\_and\\_microRNAs](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/357994624_Innate_Immune_Suppression_by_SARS-CoV-2_mRNA_Vaccinations_The_role_of_G-quadruplexes_exosomes_and_microRNAs))

Now there is an increased risk of a wide range of life-altering health problems, especially now with the knowledge that the [REDACTED] shots were contaminated with DNA from the production process. Many other health issues have been identified. Is the government open to looking at these new health problems to find solutions? For transparency the scrutiny of emails of Health ministers and drug authoriser and other communication devices needs to be top priority.

How thorough, transparent and honest the government is, will be reflected in how the public are treated, especially those affected directly by procedures and mandates, the sick, injured and those forced into unemployment and poverty now and in the future.

Will the public be treated with dignity and respect with the government learning lessons in service as should be the case for all democratic societies?

Australians understand this issue is much greater than COVID-19 injections and pandemic restrictions.

Australians understand this is about some of the **fundamental principles** of Australian democracy: freedom of speech, individual autonomy and the right to choose our own fate.

Thank you for considering my submission.