## Call for submissions and evidence to the COVID-19 Response Inquiry

My name is		In 2020 I was working	in a nursing home in
	. I am marr	ied with children.	

I make this submission because I should. Not because I think it will result in any justice for the people of Australia, and in particular Victorians. That confidence was beaten out of me a long time ago.

I am appalled but not surprised that the scope of this enquiry does not include actions taken by the state governments. This is nothing short of whitewashing and is a deliberate move to keep the proverbial can of worms unopened. Typical. Disgustingly cowardly, but typical.

The actions of the federal government however during 2020 + were cowardly. To fail to uphold constitutional law which overrides state law is a gross negligence of responsibility. Constitutional law speaks of the right to informed consent to medical treatment. During the Covid-19 period, we were not given either the right to refuse medical treatment (eg. the Covid "vaccine") nor informed consent, because the real impact of so called 'vaccines' were unknown. It wasn't even a 'vaccine' in the proper sense of the word as it neither prevented transmission or contraction of the disease, which is what vaccines are supposed to do. And we knew this after reading Pfizer's first study, and the comments from the CEO. But we were coerced anyway. And it's since been proven publicly that we were right.

I draw attention to Constitutional law here:

## Informed consent to medical treatment [1]

## (My additions in black):

10.47 At common law, all competent adults can consent to and refuse medical treatment. If consent is not established, there may be legal consequences for health professionals. (What consequences??) Under the law of trespass, patients have a right not be subjected to an invasive procedure without consent or other lawful justification, such as an emergency or necessity. (Apparently we don't). At the international level, the CRPD expresses this in terms of a 'right to respect for his or her physical and mental integrity on an equal basis with others'. [35]

10.48 'Informed consent' refers to consent to medical treatment and the requirement to warn of material risk prior to treatment. As part of their duty of care, health professionals must provide such information as is necessary for the patient to give consent to treatment, including information on all material risks of the proposed treatment. Failure to do so may lead to civil liability for an adverse outcome, even if the treatment itself was not negligent. "The world is engaged in the largest clinical trial, the largest global vaccination trial ever, and we will have enormous amounts of data." – Greg Hunt, 21 Feb 2021 .... so how can we have given informed consent given we had no knowledge of the material risks given we were in a clinical trial? And where is the liability for adverse outcomes? THERE IS NONE.

Do I even need to break this down? This was completely steamrolled, for a disease that for the majority of people who were not immunocompromised or elderly was unpleasant but from which there were no lasting adverse effects. Far more adverse effects – and deaths – have been experienced from the so-called solution, the 'vaccine'. And don't you DARE suggest we weren't coerced. That suggestion is the most deliberately deceitful and abhorrently insulting thing I have heard from parliament and that's saying something, because there's a hell of a lot to choose from in that category.

You denied us the 'Right to health' by denying us access to Ivermectin, which was not only harmless if taking the correct human dose but which has legitimate and verifiable benefits as a treatment for Covid 19, - go look at the statistics in India and Utter Pradesh. You denied us information about the legitimate role of Vitamin D in prevention and treatment. You broke the law in so many ways I can't count. The Nuremberg Code, the UN charters, the Australian Constitution. There is no redress, there will be no redress, and you have not learnt any lessons. I cannot begin to tell you how angry I

am. I am angry, ashamed of my country's representatives save a few brave voices in parliament and in medicine, roundly abused, cancelled and ridiculed. They were right. I'm not even going to start about the criminality of the denial of freedom of movement during lockdowns and the vilification of the unvaccinated, in ways reminiscent of including comments that the unvaccinated had no right to participate in society, because of a campaign of fear and bigotry that you allowed at the very least, and I'd warrant more accurately, deliberately fostered. But you will not hold yourselves accountable.
I summarise for the sake of brevity the government's decisions during 2020-2023 impact personally and on my family.
was stood down by my place of employment in the nursing home due to the Victorian government mandates, they were unable to answer my questions about the effect of the 'vaccine' and neglected their obligation to provide a safety risk assessment which I requested, (both being a breach of fair work laws). I am still technically employed as they were too cowardly to terminate my employment, knowing it was a further breach of law. But I am not allowed to work there. The Human Rights Commission received so many applications via they refused to consider them all. Because that's how it should work, right? If there are too many injustices, you sweep them under the carpet for the sake of bureaucratic efficiency. The effect was that I was considered effectively 'leperous' because I declined to be injected with something I didn't know the effects of. My livelihood was gone and we were financially penalised, which was needless to say extremely stressful. My right, by federal law, is to decline medical treatment, but I was penalised, barred from employment, entry into doctor's clinics, shops, hairdressers and anything else deemed 'unessential', even my church. For shame. Might I add that even after every staff member or visitor had to be doubly vaccinated to enter the nursing home premises, as well as the vast majority of residents being 'vaccinated', they had wave after wave of outbreak. And since 3 vaccines were mandated, more waves of outbreaks. Highly effective this 'vaccine' is, right?. And may I mention the result of staff leaving (either being stood down or resigning due to the mandates) resulted in ridiculously inappropriate resident to staff ratio to this day. The quality of life has radically diminished. But that's a state government issue isn't it? Except it's not, there are federal run homes too but nothing to see here folks
So much for informed consent. THIS IS WHAT YOU HAVE ALLOWED. You can blame it on the State Government but federal law and constitutional law overrides state law. And has been totally ignored. Cowardly, deceitful, lazy, rotten scoundrels.
In
My son, years old, with a surgery (standard to year old, with me, 2 weeks prior to surgery (standard to year old, with me, 2 weeks prior to due to a dangerous infection around one week post discharge. We could not enter the hospital and see him during that time, despite the dangerous situation. He was there for almost a month. He could have died alone. Whilst there, he was given the Pfizer 'vaccine', because he worked in (outdoors, so apparently highly risky, right?). His issues treated by medication instead. He was well until year, we
contracted Covid (he was doubly vaccinated at this time, so clearly it did not stop transmission or contraction, propaganda which you were still pushing despite lack of evidence). By the was unwell at the was u

Do you understand the effects of government decisions are ongoing? Can you really deflect all this to State Governments and take no responsibility?

So what's this submission for? Because I want to say I had my say, even though I have zero confidence in all but a small number of senators. They spoke for us, they will speak for us. Victoria has deteriorated in more ways than I can describe. Had the federal government done its job and upheld our constitutional rights this never would have happened. Shame on Victorian politicians (one in particular). But shame, shame on you.

supposedly said 'evil prospers when good men do nothing'. I would add, evil prospers when bad men and women are the decision makers.

are you either? If not, prove it.	
(1) https://www.alrc.gov.au/publication/equality-capacity-and-disability-in-commonwealth-laws-dp-81/10-of-state-and-territory-legislation/informed-consent-to-medical-treatment/	·review-