

Covid response Inquiry

I have been a Registered Nurse since 1979 working mainly in community-based and public health nursing roles including within Remote Aboriginal Health. I have been trained to think critically, use a scientific approach to question procedures that may not be relevant to the situation and use resources available to help people heal.

From very early in 2020 I had major concerns about decisions being made in the name of public health. Although mostly stemming from the USA and WHO the Australian Government endorsed the recommendations and the regulatory bodies TGA & APHRA made practicing within an ethical framework impossible, closed its eyes to safety and overstepped its roles by telling Doctors what they could and could not prescribe.

Personally, I became active trying to alert people to the news that wasn't being shared by mainstream media. (<https://naturesheartintentions.org/category/blog/2020-onwards/>) Then in early 2021 I was granted a Federally Funded position assessing residents in nursing homes. I was required to have the experimental 'vaccine' before commencing training. I would have been one of the first people in Australia to have received it. I had an allergic process happening of which the cause could not be found and had a reaction to the Hepatitis vaccine several years prior, so my doctor provided a health certificate/exemption. The employer emailed me to say they took that as my resignation. Due to concern for my health and refusing to have the vaccine my 40-year career finished that day. I am now 65.

My major concerns include: -

1. Modelling was alarmist and did not reflect previous corona virus infections. For example, modellers reported 85% people susceptible to virus when it was more like 10 to 15%. Politicians and media promoted this fear alarming model.
2. Any opposing views (aerosol – droplet air borne spread, isolation, distancing, masks etc) were deliberately silenced with highly qualified medical personnel personally attacked, publications removed from medical journals, accounts cancelled on Social Media platforms in the name of misinformation and the ability of medical staff to provide real informed consent was prohibited. Particularly in Australia see what happened to Dr [REDACTED], most famous for his triple therapy cure for peptic ulcers in 1987. He announced a Triple Therapy Protocol for Covid using 'off label safe drugs' which then became illegal for doctors to prescribe (TGA) so that the vaccine (redefined word to fit what it was) could be fast tracked and approved for emergency use. If there was an effective treatment the vaccine could not have been approved in the same 'warp speed' way. The National Covid-19 Clinical Evidence Taskforce advised in its clinical treatment guidelines not to use Ivermectin. They stated that studies showing it was effective were flawed. Overall, the drug's safety and efficacy has been proven. Media focussing on the fact that [REDACTED] had applied for a patent on the drug protocol and was likely to benefit financially is an obvious smokescreen when you compare the billions big pharma made from the vaccines.
3. Dangerous medical procedures were mandated by states and the Commonwealth Government did not intervene and contributed to the requirement to have the experimental gene therapy by requiring it to enter the country. This contravenes the

United Nations Human Rights Laws. See also Nuremberg Code, Informed Consent for vaccines etc. Those injured have not been recognised, treated in compensated. Individuals have taken up the challenge to fight to be heard and offer support to others e.g. Coverse and the many other groups who have made submissions.

4. Inhumane requirements of isolation and masks for young children let alone vaccination were not based on individual needs or honest science (spread, individual immunity). No debate was allowed. See Great Barrington Declaration also referenced for point 2.
5. Case studies, randomised trials and other forms of research that did not fit with the mantra “safe and effective” were not considered as they became available. Even when [REDACTED] documents were made available via Freedom of Information law suites in the USA the gene therapy was not and has not been removed from the market.

References for above

These are just the ones I have referred to lately, there are many more.

1. Dr Clare Craig, UK pathologist “Expired COVID The Untold Story” Also on Podcast at 20-minute mark. https://youtu.be/AFA5fTeAJno?si=BSHIDe_Z1UGT3QQS
2. <https://gbdeclaration.org/>
<https://prwire.com.au/pr/91367/ivermectin-triple-therapy-protocol-for-covid-19-released-to-australian-gps-as-treatment-for-infected-elderly-and-frontline-workers>
3. <https://coverse.org.au/injured/support/>
<https://www.news.com.au/technology/science/human-body/dr-kerryn-phelps-reveals-devastating-covid-vaccine-injury-says-doctors-have-been-censored/news-story/0c1fa02818c99a5ff65f5bf852a382cf>
4. https://www.cochrane.org/CD006207/ARI_do-physical-measures-such-hand-washing-or-wearing-masks-stop-or-slow-down-spread-respiratory-viruses
5. <https://icandecide.org/pfizer-documents/>

Recommendations

1. Acknowledge the international and emerging research on COVID-19 vaccines (gene therapy, gain of function technologies used, effects on immunity etc) plus injuries and Post Vaccine Syndrome.
2. Conduct an independent inquiry into COVID protocols and restrictions on people’s lives that were not science based (warp speed science, biased) and vaccine approvals and pharmacovigilance processes of responsible government agencies, regarding the minimisation of, and disinterest in, serious adverse reactions and long-term effects such as turbo cancers and childbirth issues, still-born etc.
3. Conduct an independent inquiry into potential collusion between government officials and media actors (including social media companies). Issues to assess include suppression of reasonable, scientific medical opinions and censorship of now-proven scientific facts about possible adverse vaccine outcomes that ran counter to the prevailing public health messaging strategies.
4. Conduct an independent inquiry into health profession regulators, who bullied and censored doctors who were attempting to raise concerns about vaccine safety and

patient risk. This should focus not just on the improper regulation of doctors but on the abandonment of patients as a result of these measures.

5. Investigate the use of 'misinformation laws' that censor free speech and human advancement through dialogue and debate.
6. Investigate the overuse of Emergency Laws used to track people and reduce freedoms and sovereignty.
7. Make allowance for integrative medicine, complementary and energy medicine healing modalities and avoid 'one size fits all' medical approaches. Exit the WHO and don't sign onto the new pandemic treaties and the International Health Regulations.

https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Parliamentary_Library/FlagPost/2022/May/Pandemics_and_WHO_Reform