

Covid-19 response Inquiry

From the beginning of the alert via media (inappropriate), there was an unscientific approach about pandemic originating from Wuhan. There seemed to be a heightened fear based on a lack of comprehensive information about the microbe and the threat it posed. Hence the authorities responded with measures that were extreme and, in a sense, indicated they did not know what they were doing. These directives of course came from the WHO which changed its advice continually. Now, a microbe doesn't thrive in outdoor sun and fresh air, but the authorities issued directives to stay indoors, which is counterproductive to mental health and normal human needs for connection and relationship. It also denies the importance of vitamin D production on the skin from the sun.

The inconsistencies of wearing a mask while walking in a public place but then removing when sitting or eating doesn't stand up to scientific scrutiny. The testing of the presence of the virus by a PCR test was never based on the original set limits of the invention by Cary Mullins. The RNA does convert to DNA but the test when performed at too many cycles, greater than 28 (according to the WHO, it should be run for 40 cycles) was registering dead DNA fragments as positive. Even Fauci, advisor to the US government said as much.

The directives to wear masks, social distance and vaccinate was based on fear and not rational common sense. The human body has an innate immune system which is perfectly capable of dealing with threats, Certainly people with immune disorders or a weak immune system do need to be extra careful, but this was a blanket directive. There is evidential data emerging from NZ health and the Maldives and US (via VAERS) that the mRNA vaccines developed were not fit for purpose and did not do what the public were told they could do. It is doubtful and there is no clear evidence that any lives were saved through the enforced obedience of the measures delivered by the state and federal governments. However, they did have a negative impact on people's livelihoods, education, relationships and mental health and put normal healthy people in danger when taking a novel mRNA medical product.