

Submissions to the COVID-19 Response Inquiry

Emeritus Professor [REDACTED]

15 December 2023

I wish to have my following views considered by the Inquiry:

1. The investigation into the COVID response should be a Royal Commission. I do not believe the current approach and terms of reference will sufficiently investigate the propaganda, censorship, loss of civil liberties, fear and miss-information promoted and undertaken by Australian governments since 2020 to the present day. The current limited investigation will reduce trust and faith in our governments and elected officials.
2. The investigation should have included the roles of the states and territories in their COVID responses.
3. The investigation must include all the mechanisms as to how the same COVID mantra and narrative was rolled out internationally across all countries at the same time.
4. The following actions must be undertaken by the investigation:
 - a. The impact of the fear campaign by Australian governments and mainstream media needs to be fully investigated. How this resulted in families, friends and communities being torn apart. The psychological impact will reverberate for decades if not generations.
 - b. The effect of the vaccinations on the fertility of women but also males is critical in the investigation.
 - c. A thorough investigation of all side effects of the COVID vaccinations needs to be undertaken.
 - d. The government contracts with the manufacturers of the COVID vaccines needs to be made public and particularly the lack of access to the content of the vaccines and the lack of accountability.
 - e. The lack of independence of the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) and the funding provided by pharmaceutical companies that I understand is over 90%. The TGA must be totally independent of “big pharma”.
 - f. The excess deaths in Australia over the last few years must be carefully analysed and evaluated. The book by the Australian Medical Professional Society (AMPS) titled “Too many dead” must be carefully evaluated.
 - g. All presentations in The Australian Parliament recorded by HANSARD by senators about the mandates, lockdowns, vaccinations, deaths and side effects from the COVID response must be fully investigated.

- h. The censorship by the Australian Government, mainstream media and social media on open discussion and debate about the COVID response needs to be investigated.
- i. The censorship and government control of medical practitioners and particularly General Practitioners on their freedom to the practice medicine in an ethical manner and “do no harm” during COVID must be investigated.
- j. The Government mantra that the COVID vaccinations were “safe and effective” needs careful investigation, particularly that it is now acknowledged to be medically wrong and in fact is a lie.
- k. The lack of use of repurposed drugs, vitamins and supplements to treat COVID must be investigated particularly that their use by governments around the world, leading medical researchers, doctors and specialists globally was heavily promoted to treat COVID, but was totally rejected by Australian governments, is criminal and tragic. This must be investigated. Particularly the use of Ivermectin, Zinc, Vitamins C and D were never endorsed by the medical establishment with claims by leading medical professionals that this caused millions of deaths globally.
- l. All the books written on the COVID response in Australia and internationally must be reviewed. Books such as “The war on Ivermectin” by Pierre Cory and the Australian book titled “Australia Breaks Apart” by John Stapleton are particularly important.
- m. Investigations by other countries into the COVID response particularly in the USA, the UK and many other countries need to be evaluated.