

12 January 2024

R.E. Call for submissions to the Covid-19 Response Inquiry

Dear Sir/Madam,

As per the requirements for submissions to the Covid-19 response inquiry, I wish to introduce myself and address some of the terms of reference, scope, outlined on your website so as we do not have a repeat of Australia's poor response to the Covid- 19 pandemic. I realise references to any terms of refence need to be stated, but I will be focusing more so on questions around the pandemic and further investigations required which quite frankly stand out given Australia has been labelled as a standout performer when Work, Health & Safety is involved and investigating sources of incidents and their responses.

Firstly, my name is [REDACTED]. During the pandemic I was physically separated from my [REDACTED] for a continuous period of 12 months from 2020 to 2021 and a further 4 months in late 2021. He worked in WA and we reside in NSW. In the pre Covid- 19 era I was preparing to obtain the necessary qualifications to become a nurse, as earlier in 2021 I found myself unemployed as my manager closed the doors of his business. In [REDACTED] I fell pregnant with our first baby. Though the latter period of 2021 and 2022 I was subject to discriminative behaviours from the state: Unable to attend my [REDACTED] in [REDACTED] as I was unvaccinated, nursing employment issues for the same reason and when I gave birth in early [REDACTED] my [REDACTED] although not testing positive for covid-19 upon entry to the birthing ward was required to leave and not attend again after the lapsing of a 4-hour period.

What kind of sense does this make after testing negative for Covid- 19?

More points like above will be highlighted in the below paragraphs. I have made some reference to the terms of reference and this is highlighted in bold text. The actions of health bureaucrats have been nothing short of criminal and have caused more harm than Covid- 19. Their actions weren't only illegal and downright dangerous but also disrespectful. Bearing in mind the huge push for mental health awareness in the workplace prior to this event, the architects for the vaccine public health orders and mandates simply lacked any foresight that they could be attributing to this already deepening crisis.

We saw throughout the Covid- 19 pandemic vaccinations as a condition of employment. This condition is inconsistent with other laws in Australia.

Key health response measures (for example across COVID-19 vaccinations and treatments, key medical supplies such as personal protective equipment, quarantine facilities, and public health messaging).

Vaccine mandates.

Free and informed consent must be given "voluntarily in the absence of undue pressure, coercion and manipulation". Section 2.1.3 Australian Immunisation Handbook.

How can one obtain a vaccination, that they don't want especially when there is the threat of employment termination for refusal? If I approach a medical practitioner and explain my situation the vaccination or medical procedure cannot take place as I have not provided my informed consent. If the medical practitioner proceeds with the vaccination against my will, then that forms an assault. The idea of a vaccination mandate is a complete oxymoron.

Informed consent. Rogers v Whitaker – 1992 HCA 58 – 175 CLR 479;23 NSWLR 600; 109 ALR 625

Vaccine mandates are illegal. *The High Court has ruled on this matter.*

These vaccines form part of a trial, informed consent must be granted from the patient

In February 2021 the former federal minister for health and aged care the Hon. Greg Hunt stated in an interview with ██████████ on Insiders "The world is engaged in the largest clinical trial, the largest global vaccination trial ever, and we will have an enormous amount of data".

Informed consent forms the cornerstone of a trial. As stated above in my previous paragraph the patient must undertake this procedure voluntarily in the absence of undue pressure, coercion and manipulation.

Was informed consent obtained in all settings? Vaccination clinics, drive through clinics, shopping centres, pop up clinics etc. It's highly unlikely informed consent was ever obtained.

The Commonwealth Constitution & Covid-19 in the workplace.

The preamble states to the Commonwealth Constitution '*We, The People*' that have the power to *bring the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act into being.*

Clause 5 states "*This Act and all laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution, shall be binding on the courts, judges and people of every state and every part of the Commonwealth, notwithstanding anything in the laws of any State and the laws of the Commonwealth shall be in force on all British ships, the Queen's ships of war excepted, whose first port of clearance and whose port of destination are in the Commonwealth.*"

Section 1 – "*The legislative power of the Commonwealth shall be vested in a Federal Parliament, which consists of a Queen, a Senate and a House of Representatives and which is herein-after called 'The Parliament or The Parliament of the Commonwealth.'*"

With the abovementioned Constitutional statements can you please steer me in the direction of the head of power that enables the Australian Government the ability to delivery mandatory vaccination policies for the workforces in Australia? As this answer is 'it doesn't exist', why do we have inconsistent laws that do not have a valid head of power? The Australian Government is not mentioned anywhere in the Commonwealth Constitution.

This doesn't just effect Covid- 19 policies, but **all laws** in Australia. These questions must be asked in this inquiry.

Does a Covid- 19 vaccination qualify as a control measure from an identified hazard in the workplace?

As an example, I see that the requirement to be vaccinated against Covid- 19 to participate and work in the Health Care sector in NSW is two doses of a Covid- 19 vaccine. This derives from the Health Services Act 1997 – sect 116A(1) *The Health Secretary may fix the salary, wages and conditions of employment of staff employed under this Part in so far as they are not fixed by or under any other law.*

Post Covid- 19 this needs to be investigated as it seems that the Health Secretary not only can overrule Acts of the state and employment conditions but also anything up to and including the Commonwealth Constitution.

If we are in a true democratic society where the people elect ministers to represent matters that the people want to be tabled in Parliament then why is an unelected official able to draft and implement any decision based on health when they are not the Minister of Health?

Post Covid- 19 why are two Covid- 19 injections required for employment in some settings?

The Covid- 19 injections wane over time. These injections do not give you long lasting immunity. Natural immunity is far superior to the vaccines.

No simple health remedies advised during the pandemic

These simple health methods were not advised during the pandemic:

- Boost your vitamin D levels by sun exposure
- Eat healthy foods
- Exercise

Instead, we were told to:

- Stay away from people, including loved ones
- Isolation for 14 days if exposed to Covid- 19
- Wear masks for long periods, even outside

The origins of the virus: nothing to see here

It has been long said that the virus was discovered in November 2019. I have also heard September 2019. So, when the whole world went into lockdowns in March 2020 at a minimum the virus had been spreading for at least 3 months, unrestricted. Nobody was dying on the streets apart from the suspicious video that was distributed from Wuhan. So, when the virus apparently arrived on our doorstep, few questions were asked of its origins. Fast forward 2024 and it's 'nothing to see here.'

The world was shut down because of this virus. So, I want the truth to be revealed so we do not have a repeat of this extraordinary event. Impacts that need to be discussed, such as:

- Business closures
- People separated
- So called border closures
- Suicide increases
- Biosecurity control orders (control measures of such orders but without proper due processes carried out by the Chief Health Officers) issued to people who are healthy and are not labouring under an infectious disease. Including mask wearing, isolation in hotels that were not fit for purpose and eventually vaccinations
- Housing price increases disproportionate to availability
- Displacement of people
- Incompetent ministers in our parliament, state and federal, any conflicts of interest

In closing, this experience has so far developed the worst possible outcome from this virus, being no official inquiry such as a Royal Commission.

My only hope is that Australians and other countries around the world can put an abrupt stop to the next orchestrated world event and not comply to any government orders.

Yours sincerely,

[Redacted signature]

[Redacted address]