

Thank you for the opportunity to make this submission.

I worked in education for over twenty years.

Regarding the one size fits all policy: A colleague of mine, who already had previously a debilitating vaccine injury from ten years prior was being bullied and forced into taking the mRNA injection by their workforce and union representative because "it's what everyone else is doing". Fortunately my colleague was able to get a contradiction and had a supportive head of staff but I was horrified and sickened to see people hold power over others and feel they had the right to make decisions over another's bodily integrity and well being.

One of my cousins is the victim of severe reaction to the mRNA injection and was diagnosed with Pericarditis and is still struggling with the health repercussions of that medical intervention, which a fit, [REDACTED] with a background in personal training should never have been talked into by their medical practitioner. My cousin was not given informed consent. Even after the adverse reaction, my cousin had to change doctors more than once to get a contradiction, finally finding a doctor who said, "the next shot could kill you".

Heads of Government used their position to manipulate, segregate and demonise. They played politics with people's personal lives and meddled with people's private health decisions. Government decisions overstepped their bounds and adversely effected peoples physical health, mental health and overall well being. Government has no right and no business over people's individual bodily autonomy and sovereignty.

Human rights were severely abused.

Dr Kerry Phelps herself has stated on air that doctors were gagged under threat of de-registration. Qualified people were silenced, and it's only in the last year that the vital information they had is being verified as true. Only one narrative was presented to the public, a narrative that has proven to be damaging, then, now, and ultimately in the long run. Vital information and solutions beneficial to the public was censored. For example; now as a result our country is trillions of dollars in debt, our education system is failing and people are still picking up the pieces of their lives. The single minded, dogmatic approach would have done far better to listen to opposing views rather than forge ahead without listening to criticism. Please refer to the 'Great Barrington Declaration' with many signatories incl. [REDACTED] 'Do lockdowns and border closures serve the "greater good"? A cost-benefit analysis of Australia's reaction to COVID-19' by [REDACTED] and the work of the Australian Medical Professionals Society.

Once again, thank you for this opportunity to make this submission.