

Verification of Phase 6 Migration Plan vs. CurveEditor Code

- 1. Existence of Referenced Elements: All key classes and attributes mentioned in the plan are present in the codebase. For example, the | CurveDataStore | class exists in curve_data_store.py 1, and the StateSyncController class exists ui/ controllers/curve_view/state_sync_controller.py 2. The CurveViewWidget.curve_data property an @property in curve_view_widget.py) 3 , and MainWindow.curve_view appears as a (deprecated) attribute initialized MainWindow 's constructor 4. in TimelineTabWidget.frame changed signal is also defined (with a deprecation note in comments) in ui/timeline_tabs.py (5). These confirm the plan's assumptions that these classes/properties/signals exist in the current code.
- 2. DeprecationWarnings on Deprecated APIs: Out of the three deprecated APIs listed in the plan, timeline_tabs.frame_changed currently issues a DeprecationWarning. TimelineTabWidget.set_current_frame(), a warnings.warn is issued before emitting the | frame_changed | signal 6 . In contrast, the other two - | widget.curve_data | and main_window.curve_view do not yet emit DeprecationWarnings. CurveViewWidget.curve_data property simply returns the data from the _curve_store with no warning 3, and MainWindow.curve view is just an attribute (with a comment "Deprecated – use curve_widget instead") but no warning mechanism 4 . This matches the plan's note that only 1 of 3 deprecated APIs has a warning (timeline_tabs.frame_changed), and warnings still need to be added for widget.curve_data main_window.curve_view 7.
- 3. Read-Only Property Setters (curve_data & selected_indices): Currently, the code does not enforce these properties as read-only. In CurveViewWidget, curve_data has no setter defined at all (meaning assigning to widget.curve_data simply creates a new attribute on the instance, silently shadowing the property). The selected_indices property does have a setter, but it actually updates the store (clearing or setting selection) rather than raising an error
 8 . There is no AttributeError being raised on assignment to either property in the current implementation. This confirms the plan's assumption that explicit setters are missing and needed. Indeed, we found tests where code assigns to widget.curve_data directly (which is currently allowed) for example, in test_2d_track_positioning.py the test does widget.curve_data = [] and other direct assignments 9 . Such usage would be caught by the proposed read-only setters. Thus, the plan's recommendation to add setters that raise AttributeError (to prevent silent property shadowing) is valid, as those setters are not yet present in the code.
- 4. **CurveDataStore Signal Connections in Various Modules:** The deprecated CurveDataStore is still actively connected to other components via its signals (confirming the plan's assumption of "hidden dependencies"). For instance:

- 5. In **StateSyncController**, __connect_store_signals() connects self._curve_store.data_changed, .point_added, .point_updated, etc., to the controller's handlers 10. This means the CurveViewWidget still updates via CurveDataStore signals.
- 6. In **SignalConnectionManager**, the _connect_store_signals() method connects the global CurveDataStore's selection_changed signal to MainWindow's handlers (e.g. main_window.on_store_selection_changed) and to the tracking controller 11. This is the "bidirectional selection sync" noted in the plan.
- 7. In **TimelineTabWidget** (timeline_tabs.py), the widget directly connects to the in _curve_store signals its init: for example, self._curve_store.data_changed.connect(self._on_store_data_changed) and similar for point_added/removed/etc. 12 . So the timeline tabs update when the CurveDataStore changes as well. These findings align with the plan's audit: CurveDataStore signals are observed SignalConnectionManager, StateSyncController TimelineTabWidget - confirming those components still rely on CurveDataStore's signals. (The plan calls out StoreManager and SignalConnectionManager as critical, and we see those exact connections in code.)
- 8. **Batch Operation Signals Usage:** The signals batch_operation_started and batch_operation_ended defined in CurveDataStore appear not to be used anywhere outside the store itself. We searched the codebase and did not find any component connecting to or emitting those signals apart from the CurveDataStore's own methods. The CurveDataStore.begin_batch_operation() and end_batch_operation() do emit those signals internally 13, but no UI or controller code listens for them (e.g., no .connect() calls to batch_operation_started/ended were found). This suggests, as the plan anticipated, that these batch signals are effectively unused in production code (ApplicationState's batching uses a different mechanism). It should be safe to remove or refactor them, pending verification that no legacy code relies on them.
- 9. Undo/Redo System Dependencies: The undo/redo functionality in the app has been refactored to use a central CommandManager, and we confirmed that no production code calls CurveDataStore.undo() or redo(). Instead, undo/redo actions route through the ActionHandlerController and Service layer to the CommandManager. For example, when the user triggers Undo, MainWindow._on_action_undo delegates ActionHandlerController, which calls main window.services.undo() 14 - ultimately invoking the CommandManager logic. The CommandManager then updates the state (e.g., via state_manager.set_history_state(...) in its | _update_ui_state | method) 15 . We did not find any direct usage of curve_store.undo() or the store's internal undo stack in the UI code. (The CurveDataStore still has undo() and can_undo() methods, but those appear to be unused remnants; tests of CurveDataStore itself exercise them, but the application's GUI does not.) This confirms the plan's note that the CommandManager is the sole undo/redo mechanism now, and any lingering CurveDataStore undo calls can be removed.
- 10. **Session Management and CurveDataStore:** The session persistence code does **not** directly use CurveDataStore at all, which is the expected state. We checked ui/session_manager.py and related session code and found no references to CurveDataStore or _curve_store in it. The SessionManager focuses on saving/restoring high-level session info (like last opened files, window geometry, etc.), and it doesn't interact with the curve data model directly ¹⁶ ¹⁷. This matches the plan's assumption that session management should already be decoupled from CurveDataStore. (Our grep for "CurveDataStore" in the session directory returned 0 results,

confirming this.) Therefore, migrating session code should pose no issue – it already relies on ApplicationState or external save files rather than the old store.

- 12. __on_app_state_curves_changed(self, curves_dict) which syncs the active curve's data into the CurveDataStore 18. This is currently used to keep the legacy store in sync whenever ApplicationState's data changes (Phase 4 behavior).
- 13. _on_app_state_active_curve_changed(self, curve_name) which also updates the CurveDataStore by loading the new active curve's data into it 19. These confirm that the code is aware of ApplicationState signals and already handles them (the plan's mention of adding such handlers is already realized). However, post-migration, the role of these methods will change. Instead of syncing to a removed CurveDataStore, they might need to update UI components or FrameStore directly. The plan notes that their signatures must match ApplicationState (which they do: e.g., selection_changed takes (indices, curve_name) and indeed StateSync adapts that in StateManager) 20 21. In summary, the handlers exist, but after removing CurveDataStore, their implementation will need adjustment (they won't copy data to a store, but perhaps trigger UI refreshes or FrameStore updates). No additional new signal handlers were found missing it appears the groundwork is in place, just needing repurposing during Phase 6.
- 14. Validation Test Suite (test_phase6_validation.py): As of now, this new test file does not exist in the repository. We searched the tests directory and found no file by that name, nor the plan (like specific test functions that the outlines test_curve_data_property_is_read_only | or the thread-safety test). This indicates that the plan's proposed "Phase 6.0.5" tests have yet to be implemented. Furthermore, current tests would actually fail those proposed cases - for example, tests currently expect that widget.curve_data | can be assigned to (as seen above in point 3) and that no AttributeError is raised. The plan calls for creating about 6 new tests covering read-only properties, thread safety, multi-curve rendering edge cases, etc. None of these tests are present yet, so they will need to be added. (We did notice a test_threading_safety.py file in the suite, but it might be unrelated or an earlier threading test; the specific batch update concurrency test from the plan is not in the current tests). In summary, the validation test suite described in the plan is **not** yet in place – implementing those tests will be a crucial step to verify the Phase 6 changes.
- 15. **Reference Count Accuracy (e.g. 352 occurrences of** .curve_data): The plan's metrics appear to be realistic. We did a cursory check and indeed found pervasive usage of .curve_data in both production and test code. For example, the CurveViewWidget implementation accesses self.curve_data many times internally (for drawing, context menus, etc.) 22 23, and numerous tests call or assert against widget.curve_data or curve_widget.curve_data. In one integration test alone (test_data_flow.py), we see multiple references to widget.curve_data 24. We also saw about 3-4 different test files explicitly dealing with curve_data (including directly setting it in tests, as in the legacy data tests). This corroborates the plan's count of ~352 total references to .curve_data across ~43 files 25. The breakdown (69 in production, 283 in tests) seems plausible given how heavily tests use the old property for assertions. Our findings did not contradict those numbers. It's worth noting that some of these references are in deprecated contexts (e.g., tests assigning to

curve_data as a legacy workaround). All of these will need to be addressed or removed in Phase 6. The volume of references underscores the **scale of the refactor** – any misses in updating them could introduce bugs. Therefore, the plan's emphasis on comprehensive search-and-replace and verification (e.g. ensuring no production writes to widget.curve_data, and updating all tests) is well-founded.

Areas of Concern/Risks: Overall, the code matches the migration plan's assumptions, with a few points to watch: - The MainWindow.curve_view deprecation: Currently it's an attribute, not a property, which means adding a DeprecationWarning property will require removing or altering the existing attribute initialization [4]. This is a minor gotcha – the implementation must ensure we don't set the attribute in ___init___ (or else the property's warning won't trigger). - **TimelineTabWidget's frame_changed** is indeed deprecated and still used in a limited way. The plan's grep expected only StateSyncController/ StoreManager/SignalManager uses of CurveDataStore signals, but we found TimelineTabWidget also directly listening to the store. The plan does list separate timeline_tabs.frame_changed (5 files, 10 refs) 26, so this is accounted for - the migration will need to remove these direct connections and instead rely on ApplicationState (e.g., StateManager's frame signals). Ensuring the timeline's behavior remains correct after removing those connections is critical (the plan suggests consolidating 6 signal connections into 1 handler). - Test coverage alignment: As noted, some existing tests enforce old behaviors (like assigning to curve data, or expecting the legacy store to sync). These will need updating or removal once the deprecations are enforced. There may be a period where tests need to be adjusted in tandem with the code changes (the plan's strategy of first adding warnings, then making them errors, will help flush these out). -FrameStore update logic: Currently FrameStore gets updates via CurveDataStore signals (StoreManager connects data_changed to frame_store.sync_with_curve_data(...) 27). After migration, FrameStore must update on ApplicationState changes instead. The plan highlights this as a hidden dependency. We should ensure that when active_curve changes or curves_changed emits, FrameStore recalculates frame range (the plan suggests using both curves changed and active_curve_changed signals for this). If those new connections aren't implemented, switching active curves might not update the frame range - a potential bug. Writing a test for "frame_store sync on active curve switch" (as in the plan) will be important to validate this ²⁸ . - **Selection sync:** Similarly, SignalConnectionManager currently ties CurveDataStore's selection to the UI. Post-migration, selection state will come solely from ApplicationState. Ensuring the tracking panel and other components still sync selection properly (through ApplicationState's selection_changed signal) is a risk area. The plan calls out needing a test for bidirectional selection sync after migration (29). - Thread-safety: The plan identified thread-safety during batch updates as a risk (since ApplicationState uses a mutex). While the current design enforces main-thread-only, they intend to test concurrent calls. We did not find any obvious thread issues in code (since nearly all calls should be on main thread), but adding the planned test will be crucial to confirm no regressions if batch operations are triggered from multiple threads (or to ensure the mutex in ApplicationState works as expected). - Removal of CurveDataStore: Finally, completely excising the CurveDataStore means ensuring every reference is updated to the new API. With ~352 references, the migration must be methodical. Our check did not find any stray uses outside those identified areas, so if the plan's steps are followed (update getters/setters, replace uses with ApplicationState, etc.), it should cover all. Any overlooked reference would likely cause immediate test failures (especially once DeprecationWarnings are errors), which is a good safety net.

In conclusion, the codebase is in the state the Phase 6 plan assumes: the deprecated layer is still in place and widely used, with no warnings on two major properties and numerous signal connections to the old store. There were no major surprises in the code versus the plan – the plan's data (reference counts, affected files, etc.) is consistent with what we observed. The migration plan's steps and additional tests address the necessary changes. The key is to implement those warnings, read-only setters, and new signal connections carefully, and update the tests accordingly. **Any discrepancies**

found (like the need to treat curve_view as a property, and ensuring timeline/frame syncing via ApplicationState) should be clarified and tested during the migration. With these considerations, the Phase 6 deprecation removal can proceed with high confidence in the areas identified by the plan.

Sources:

- CurveDataStore definition and signals 1 30
- CurveViewWidget properties (current implementation) 3 8
- MainWindow attribute and legacy comment
- TimelineTabWidget frame_changed usage and warning 5 6
- StateSyncController signal connections (store and app state) 10 18
- SignalConnectionManager store signal hookup 11
- StoreManager frame_store sync via CurveDataStore 27
- Example test references to deprecated APIs (24 9) (demonstrating current behavior that will change)
- Phase 6 Plan excerpt (impact analysis and counts) 31 and plan steps for deprecations 7.

1 13 30 curve_data_store.py

https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/stores/curve_data_store.py

2 10 18 19 state_sync_controller.py

https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/controllers/curve_view/state_sync_controller.py

3 8 22 23 curve_view_widget.py

https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/curve_view_widget.py

4 main_window.py

https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/main_window.py

⁵ ⁶ ¹² timeline_tabs.py

https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/timeline_tabs.py

7 25 26 28 29 31 PHASE_6_DEPRECATION_REMOVAL_PLAN.md

file://file-3d4E7H8xUYjBCw5yDoHq7t

9 test_2d_track_positioning.py

https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/tests/test_2d_track_positioning.py

11 signal_connection_manager.py

 $https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/controllers/signal_connection_manager.py$

14 action_handler_controller.py

 $https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/controllers/action_handler_controller.py\\$

15 command_manager.py

 $https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/core/commands/command_manager.py\\$

16 17 session_manager.py

https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/session_manager.py

20 21 state_manager.py

https://qithub.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/ui/state_manager.py

24 test_data_flow.py

 $https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/tests/test_data_flow.py$

27 store_manager.py

 $https://github.com/semmlerino/CurveEditor/blob/fa40ae0b263017ea86e1ba9df8ce8b56a1c96668/stores/store_manager.py which is a substantial of the control of t$