


The Blob Complex, part 2

Kevin Walker
(joint work with Scott Morrison)



slides and preprint available at canyon23.net/math/
(or the URLs Scott gave)

Goals:

- n-category definition optimized for TQFTs
- should be very easy to show that topological examples satisfy the axioms
- as simple as possible (but not simpler)
- both plain and infinity type categories
- also define modules, coends, tensor products, etc.

Goals:

- n-category definition optimized for TQFTs
- should be very easy to show that topological examples satisfy the axioms
- as simple as possible (but not simpler)
- both plain and infinity type categories
- also define modules, coends, tensor products, etc.

Main ideas:


- don't skeletonize (don't try to minimize generators, don't try to minimize relations)
- build in “strong” duality from the start
- non-recursive (don't need to know what an (n-1)-category is)

Ingredients for an n-category:

1. morphisms in dimensions 0 through n
2. domain/range/boundary
3. composition
4. identity morphisms
5. special behavior in dimension n


Morphisms

- Need to decide on “shape” of morphisms



Morphisms

- Need to decide on “shape” of morphisms



- We will allow morphisms to be of **any** shape, so long as it is homeomorphic to a ball

Morphisms (preliminary version): *For any k -manifold X homeomorphic to the standard k -ball, we have a set of k -morphisms $\mathcal{C}_k(X)$.*

Morphisms (preliminary version): *For any k -manifold X homeomorphic to the standard k -ball, we have a set of k -morphisms $\mathcal{C}_k(X)$.*

Morphisms: *For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, we have a functor \mathcal{C}_k from the category of k -balls and homeomorphisms to the category of sets and bijections.*

Morphisms (preliminary version): *For any k -manifold X homeomorphic to the standard k -ball, we have a set of k -morphisms $\mathcal{C}_k(X)$.*

Morphisms: *For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, we have a functor \mathcal{C}_k from the category of k -balls and homeomorphisms to the category of sets and bijections.*

Balls could be PL, topological, or smooth. Also unoriented, oriented, Spin, Pin $_{\pm}$. We will concentrate on the case of PL unoriented balls.

Examples

Let T be a topological space.

$\mathcal{C}_k(X^k) = \text{Maps}(X \rightarrow T)$, for $k < n$, X a k -ball.

$\mathcal{C}_n(X^n) = \text{Maps}(X \rightarrow T)$ modulo homotopy rel boundary
(fundamental n -groupoid of T)

$\mathcal{C}_k(X^k) = \text{Maps}(X \rightarrow T)$, for $k < n$, X a k -ball.

$\mathcal{C}_n(X^n) = C_*(\text{Maps}(X \rightarrow T))$ (singular chains)
(∞ version of fundamental groupoid of T)

$\mathcal{C}_k(X^k) = \{\text{embedded decorated cell complexes in } X\}$, for $k < n$.

$\mathcal{C}_n(X^n) = \{\text{embedded decorated cell complexes in } X\}$ modulo isotopy and other local relations

More examples

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{---} &= q^5 + q^4 + q + 1 + q^{-1} + q^{-4} + q^{-5} \\
 \text{---} &= q^9 + q^6 + q^5 + q^4 + q^3 + q + 2 + q^{-1} + q^{-3} + q^{-4} + q^{-5} + q^{-6} + q^{-9} \\
 \text{---} &= 0 \\
 \text{---} &= -(q^3 + q^2 + q + q^{-1} + q^{-2} + q^{-3}) \text{---} \\
 \text{---} &= (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) \text{---} \\
 \text{---} &= -(q + q^{-1}) \left(\text{---} + \text{---} \right) + (q + 1 + q^{-1}) \left(\text{---} + \text{---} \right) \text{---} \\
 \text{---} &= - \left(\text{---} + \text{---} + \text{---} + \text{---} + \text{---} \right) \\
 &\quad + \left(\text{---} + \text{---} \left(\text{---} + \text{---} \right) + \text{---} + \text{---} \right) \text{---} \\
 \text{---} &= \text{---} - \text{---} - \frac{1}{q^2 - 1 + q^{-2}} \left(\text{---} + \frac{1}{q + 1 + q^{-1}} \text{---} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$


(Kuperberg)

More examples

Let A be a traditional linear n -category with strong duality (e.g. pivotal 2-category).

$\mathcal{C}_k(X^k) = \{A\text{-string diagrams in } X\}$, for $k < n$.

$\mathcal{C}_n(X^n) = \{\text{finite linear combinations of } A\text{-string diagrams in } X\}$ modulo diagrams which evaluate to zero



$\mathcal{C}_k(X^k) = \{A\text{-string diagrams in } X\}$, for $k < n$.

$\mathcal{C}_n(X^n) = \text{blob complex of } X \text{ based on } A\text{-string diagrams}$

Boundaries (domain and range), part 1: *For each $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we have a functor \mathcal{C}_k from the category of k -spheres and homeomorphisms to the category of sets and bijections.*

Boundaries (domain and range), part 1: *For each $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we have a functor \mathcal{C}_k from the category of k -spheres and homeomorphisms to the category of sets and bijections.*

Boundaries, part 2: *For each k -ball X , we have a map of sets $\partial : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\partial X)$. These maps, for various X , comprise a natural transformation of functors.*


Boundaries (domain and range), part 1: For each $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we have a functor \mathcal{C}_k from the category of k -spheres and homeomorphisms to the category of sets and bijections.

Boundaries, part 2: For each k -ball X , we have a map of sets $\partial : \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\partial X)$. These maps, for various X , comprise a natural transformation of functors.

Domain + range \rightarrow boundary: Let $S = B_1 \cup_E B_2$, where S is a k -sphere ($0 \leq k \leq n - 1$), B_i is a k -ball, and $E = B_1 \cap B_2$ is a $k-1$ -sphere. Let $\mathcal{C}(B_1) \times_{\mathcal{C}(E)} \mathcal{C}(B_2)$ denote the fibered product of the two maps $\partial : \mathcal{C}(B_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(E)$. Then (axiom) we have an injective map

$$gl_E : \mathcal{C}(B_1) \times_{\mathcal{C}(E)} \mathcal{C}(B_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(S)$$


which is natural with respect to the actions of homeomorphisms.




- Let $\mathcal{C}(S)_E \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$ denote the image of gl_E

- Let $\mathcal{C}(S)_E \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$ denote the image of gl_E
- Given $c \in \mathcal{C}(\partial(X))$, let $\mathcal{C}(X; c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^{-1}(c)$

- Let $\mathcal{C}(S)_E \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$ denote the image of gl_E
 - Given $c \in \mathcal{C}(\partial(X))$, let $\mathcal{C}(X; c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^{-1}(c)$
 - Given $E \subset \partial X$, let $\mathcal{C}(X)_E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(\partial X)_E)$




- Let $\mathcal{C}(S)_E \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$ denote the image of gl_E
 - Given $c \in \mathcal{C}(\partial(X))$, let $\mathcal{C}(X; c) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^{-1}(c)$
 - Given $E \subset \partial X$, let $\mathcal{C}(X)_E \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial^{-1}(\mathcal{C}(\partial X)_E)$
 - In most examples, we require that the sets $\mathcal{C}(X; c)$ (for all n -balls X and all boundary conditions c) have extra structure, e.g. vector space or chain complex



Composition: Let $B = B_1 \cup_Y B_2$, where B, B_1 and B_2 are k -balls ($0 \leq k \leq n$) and $Y = B_1 \cap B_2$ is a $k-1$ -ball. Let $E = \partial Y$, which is a $k-2$ -sphere. Note that each of B, B_1 and B_2 has its boundary split into two $k-1$ -balls by E . We have restriction (domain or range) maps $\mathcal{C}(B_i)_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(B_1)_E \times_{\mathcal{C}(Y)} \mathcal{C}(B_2)_E$ denote the fibered product of these two maps. Then (axiom) we have a map

$$gl_Y : \mathcal{C}(B_1)_E \times_{\mathcal{C}(Y)} \mathcal{C}(B_2)_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)_E$$


which is natural with respect to the actions of homeomorphisms, and also compatible with restrictions to the intersection of the boundaries of B and B_i . If $k < n$ we require that gl_Y is injective. (For $k = n$, see below.)



Composition: Let $B = B_1 \cup_Y B_2$, where B, B_1 and B_2 are k -balls ($0 \leq k \leq n$) and $Y = B_1 \cap B_2$ is a $k-1$ -ball. Let $E = \partial Y$, which is a $k-2$ -sphere. Note that each of B, B_1 and B_2 has its boundary split into two $k-1$ -balls by E . We have restriction (domain or range) maps $\mathcal{C}(B_i)_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(B_1)_E \times_{\mathcal{C}(Y)} \mathcal{C}(B_2)_E$ denote the fibered product of these two maps. Then (axiom) we have a map


$$gl_Y : \mathcal{C}(B_1)_E \times_{\mathcal{C}(Y)} \mathcal{C}(B_2)_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(B)_E$$

which is natural with respect to the actions of homeomorphisms, and also compatible with restrictions to the intersection of the boundaries of B and B_i . If $k < n$ we require that gl_Y is injective. (For $k = n$, see below.)



Strict associativity: The composition (gluing) maps above are strictly associative.

Multi-composition: Given any decomposition $B = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_m$ of a k -ball into small k -balls, there is a map from an appropriate subset (like a fibered product) of $\mathcal{C}(B_1) \times \dots \times \mathcal{C}(B_m)$ to $\mathcal{C}(B)$, and these various m -fold composition maps satisfy an operad-type strict associativity condition.



Product (identity) morphisms: Let X be a k -ball and D be an m -ball, with $k+m \leq n$. Then we have a map $\mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X \times D)$, usually denoted $a \mapsto a \times D$ for $a \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. If $f : X \rightarrow X'$ and $\tilde{f} : X \times D \rightarrow X' \times D'$ are maps such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times D & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & X' \times D' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \end{array}$$

commutes, then we have

$$\tilde{f}(a \times D) = f(a) \times D'.$$

Product morphisms are compatible with gluing (composition) in both factors:

$$(a' \times D) \bullet (a'' \times D) = (a' \bullet a'') \times D$$

and

$$(a \times D') \bullet (a \times D'') = a \times (D' \bullet D'').$$

Product morphisms are associative:




$$(a \times D) \times D' = a \times (D \times D').$$

(Here we are implicitly using functoriality and the obvious homeomorphism $(X \times D) \times D' \rightarrow X \times (D \times D')$.) Product morphisms are compatible with restriction:






$$\text{res}_{X \times E}(a \times D) = a \times E$$

for $E \subset \partial D$ and $a \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.


We need something a little more general
than plain products




We need something a little more general
than plain products



We need something a little more general
than plain products



“extended isotopy”



Plain n-cat:

Extended isotopy invariance in dimension n : Let X be an n -ball and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism which restricts to the identity on ∂X and is extended isotopic (rel boundary) to the identity. Then f acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}(X)$.

Plain n-cat:

Extended isotopy invariance in dimension n : Let X be an n -ball and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a homeomorphism which restricts to the identity on ∂X and is extended isotopic (rel boundary) to the identity. Then f acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}(X)$.


Infinity n-cat:

Families of homeomorphisms act in dimension n . For each n -ball X and each $c \in \mathcal{C}(\partial X)$ we have a map of chain complexes

$$C_*(\text{Homeo}_\partial(X)) \otimes \mathcal{C}(X; c) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X; c).$$

Here C_* means singular chains and $\text{Homeo}_\partial(X)$ is the space of homeomorphisms of X which fix ∂X . These action maps are required to be associative up to homotopy, and also compatible with composition (gluing).

Equivalences between this n-cat definition and more traditional ones (at least for $n=1$ or 2)




Colimit construction

- Let \mathcal{C} be in n -category.
- We want to extend \mathcal{C} to arbitrary k -manifolds Y , $0 \leq k \leq n$.


Colimit construction

- Let \mathcal{C} be in n -category.
- We want to extend \mathcal{C} to arbitrary k -manifolds Y , $0 \leq k \leq n$.
- Let \mathcal{J} be the category (partially ordered set) whose objects are decompositions of Y into balls and whose morphisms are anti-refinements (coarsenings) of these decompositions.





Colimit construction

- Let \mathcal{C} be in n -category.
- We want to extend \mathcal{C} to arbitrary k -manifolds Y , $0 \leq k \leq n$.
- Let \mathcal{J} be the category (partially ordered set) whose objects are decompositions of Y into balls and whose morphisms are anti-refinements (coarsenings) of these decompositions.
- There is a functor which assigns to a decomposition $Y = \bigcup_i X_i$ the set (or vector space or chain complex) $\bigotimes_i \mathcal{C}(X_i)$.



Colimit construction

- Let \mathcal{C} be in n -category.
- We want to extend \mathcal{C} to arbitrary k -manifolds Y , $0 \leq k \leq n$.
- Let \mathcal{J} be the category (partially ordered set) whose objects are decompositions of Y into balls and whose morphisms are anti-refinements (coarsenings) of these decompositions.
- There is a functor which assigns to a decomposition $Y = \bigcup_i X_i$ the set (or vector space or chain complex) $\bigotimes_i \mathcal{C}(X_i)$.
 - Define $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ to be the colimit (or homotopy colimit) of this functor.



Newfangled blob complex

- Given an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{C} , we define the newfangled blob complex of an n -manifold M to be the above homotopy colimit $\mathcal{C}(M)$.

Newfangled blob complex

- Given an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{C} , we define the newfangled blob complex of an n -manifold M to be the above homotopy colimit $\mathcal{C}(M)$.
- Given a plain n -category C , we can construct an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{D} by defining $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{B}_*^C(X)$ for each n -ball X .

Newfangled blob complex

- Given an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{C} , we define the newfangled blob complex of an n -manifold M to be the above homotopy colimit $\mathcal{C}(M)$.
- Given a plain n -category C , we can construct an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{D} by defining $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{B}_*^C(X)$ for each n -ball X .
- \mathcal{D} is in some sense the free resolution of C as an A_∞ n -category.

Newfangled blob complex

- Given an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{C} , we define the newfangled blob complex of an n -manifold M to be the above homotopy colimit $\mathcal{C}(M)$.
- Given a plain n -category C , we can construct an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{D} by defining $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{B}_*^C(X)$ for each n -ball X .
- \mathcal{D} is in some sense the free resolution of C as an A_∞ n -category.
- Let $M^n = F^{n-k} \times Y^k$. Let C be a plain n -category. Let \mathcal{F} be the A_∞ k -category which assigns to a k -ball X the old-fashioned blob complex $\mathcal{B}_*^C(X \times F)$.

Newfangled blob complex

- Given an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{C} , we define the newfangled blob complex of an n -manifold M to be the above homotopy colimit $\mathcal{C}(M)$.
- Given a plain n -category C , we can construct an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{D} by defining $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{B}_*^C(X)$ for each n -ball X .
- \mathcal{D} is in some sense the free resolution of C as an A_∞ n -category.
 - Let $M^n = F^{n-k} \times Y^k$. Let C be a plain n -category. Let \mathcal{F} be the A_∞ k -category which assigns to a k -ball X the old-fashioned blob complex $\mathcal{B}_*^C(X \times F)$.
 - Theorem: $\mathcal{F}(Y) \simeq \mathcal{B}_*^C(F \times Y)$.

Newfangled blob complex


- Given an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{C} , we define the newfangled blob complex of an n -manifold M to be the above homotopy colimit $\mathcal{C}(M)$.
- Given a plain n -category C , we can construct an A_∞ n -category \mathcal{D} by defining $\mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{B}_*^C(X)$ for each n -ball X .
- \mathcal{D} is in some sense the free resolution of C as an A_∞ n -category.
 - Let $M^n = F^{n-k} \times Y^k$. Let C be a plain n -category. Let \mathcal{F} be the A_∞ k -category which assigns to a k -ball X the old-fashioned blob complex $\mathcal{B}_*^C(X \times F)$.
 - Theorem: $\mathcal{F}(Y) \simeq \mathcal{B}_*^C(F \times Y)$.
- Corollary: $\mathcal{D}(M) \simeq \mathcal{B}_*^C(M)$ for any n -manifold M . (Proof: Let F above be a point.) So the old-fashioned and newfangled blob complexes are homotopy equivalent.

Modules

- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category.
- Modules for \mathcal{C} are defined in a similar style.


Modules

- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category.
- Modules for \mathcal{C} are defined in a similar style.
- A *marked k-ball* is a pair (B, M) which is homeomorphic to the standard pair (B^k, B^{k-1}) .



Modules


- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category.
- Modules for \mathcal{C} are defined in a similar style.
- A *marked k -ball* is a pair (B, M) which is homeomorphic to the standard pair (B^k, B^{k-1}) .



- A \mathcal{C} -module \mathcal{M} is a collection of functors \mathcal{M}_k from the category of marked k -balls to the category of sets, $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Modules

- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category.
- Modules for \mathcal{C} are defined in a similar style.
- A *marked k -ball* is a pair (B, M) which is homeomorphic to the standard pair (B^k, B^{k-1}) .




- A \mathcal{C} -module \mathcal{M} is a collection of functors \mathcal{M}_k from the category of marked k -balls to the category of sets, $0 \leq k \leq n$.
- In the top dimension n we have the same extra structure as \mathcal{C} (vector space, chain complex, ...).


- Motivating example: Let W be an $m+1$ -manifold with non-empty boundary. Let \mathcal{E} be an $m+n$ -category.
 - Let \mathcal{C} be the n -category with $\mathcal{C}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{E}(X \times \partial W)$.

- Motivating example: Let W be an $m+1$ -manifold with non-empty boundary. Let \mathcal{E} be an $m+n$ -category.
- Let \mathcal{C} be the n -category with $\mathcal{C}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{E}(X \times \partial W)$.
- Define the \mathcal{C} -module \mathcal{M} by


$$\mathcal{M}(M, B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{E} \left((B \times \partial W) \bigcup_{M \times \partial W} (M \times W) \right).$$



- Two different ways of cutting a marked k -ball into two pieces, so two different kinds of composition. (One is composition within \mathcal{M} , the other is the action of \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{M} .)




action




\mathcal{M} -composition

- Two different ways of cutting a marked k -ball into two pieces, so two different kinds of composition. (One is composition within \mathcal{M} , the other is the action of \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{M} .)





action




\mathcal{M} -composition


- Various kinds of mixed strict associativity.



- Two different ways of cutting a marked k -ball into two pieces, so two different kinds of composition. (One is composition within \mathcal{M} , the other is the action of \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{M} .)





action




M-composition

- Various kinds of mixed strict associativity.




- \mathcal{M} can be thought of as a collection of $n-1$ -categories with some extra structure.

- Two different ways of cutting a marked k -ball into two pieces, so two different kinds of composition. (One is composition within \mathcal{M} , the other is the action of \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{M} .)





action



M-composition

- Various kinds of mixed strict associativity.



- \mathcal{M} can be thought of as a collection of $n-1$ -categories with some extra structure.
- For $n = 1, 2$ this is equivalent to the usual notion of module.

Decorated colimit construction


- Let W be a k -manifold. Let Y_i be a collection of disjoint codimension 0 submanifolds of ∂W .
- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category and $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathcal{N}_i\}$ be a collection of \mathcal{C} -modules, thought of as labels of $\{Y_i\}$.

Decorated colimit construction

- Let W be a k -manifold. Let Y_i be a collection of disjoint codimension 0 submanifolds of ∂W .
- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category and $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathcal{N}_i\}$ be a collection of \mathcal{C} -modules, thought of as labels of $\{Y_i\}$.
- We can use a variation on the above colimit construction to define a set (or vector space or chain complex if $k = n$) $\mathcal{C}(W, \mathcal{N})$.


Decorated colimit construction

- Let W be a k -manifold. Let Y_i be a collection of disjoint codimension 0 submanifolds of ∂W .
- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category and $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathcal{N}_i\}$ be a collection of \mathcal{C} -modules, thought of as labels of $\{Y_i\}$.
- We can use a variation on the above colimit construction to define a set (or vector space or chain complex if $k = n$) $\mathcal{C}(W, \mathcal{N})$.
- The object of the colimit are decompositions of W into (plain) balls X_j and marked balls (B_l, M_l) , with $M_l = B_l \cap \{Y_i\}$.



Decorated colimit construction


- Let W be a k -manifold. Let Y_i be a collection of disjoint codimension 0 submanifolds of ∂W .
- Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category and $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathcal{N}_i\}$ be a collection of \mathcal{C} -modules, thought of as labels of $\{Y_i\}$.
- We can use a variation on the above colimit construction to define a set (or vector space or chain complex if $k = n$) $\mathcal{C}(W, \mathcal{N})$.
- The object of the colimit are decompositions of W into (plain) balls X_j and marked balls (B_l, M_l) , with $M_l = B_l \cap \{Y_i\}$.



- This defines an $n-k$ -category which assigns $\mathcal{C}(D \times W, \mathcal{N})$ to a ball D . (Here \mathcal{N}_i labels $D \times Y_i$.)


Tensor products and gluing

- As a simple special case of this construction, given \mathcal{C} -modules \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 , define the tensor product $\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2$ (an $n-1$ -category) to be the result of taking W to be an interval and letting \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 label the endpoints of the interval.



Tensor products and gluing

- As a simple special case of this construction, given \mathcal{C} -modules \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 , define the tensor product $\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2$ (an $n-1$ -category) to be the result of taking W to be an interval and letting \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 label the endpoints of the interval.



- Gluing theorem: Let $M^{n-k} = M_1 \cup_Y M_2$. Let \mathcal{C} be an n -category. The above constructions give a k -category $\mathcal{C}(M)$, a $k-1$ -category $\mathcal{C}(Y)$, and two $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ -modules $\mathcal{C}(M_i)$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(M) \simeq \mathcal{C}(M_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}(Y)} \mathcal{C}(M_2).$$

