Wellyntoy Products

Prescriptive Analytics

2024

Wellyntoy case

Summary

Business context

- Dynatron is originally a comic strip and then became a cartoon series
- Wellyntoy decided to produce a character toy based on Dynatron
- Injection moulded toy with moving parts that can become a racing car with few manipulations
- Two Dynatron types: standard and super Different in size and finish
- Wellyntoy plans for 2010 learning from 2009

Numbers from 2009:

- Tooling capacity: 150,000 units (£50,000)
- Order quantity: 33,000 standard and 19,000 super
- Sales: 35,000 units (60% standard and 40% super)
- Inventory leftover: 12,000 standard and 5,000 super (due to production delays)

Situation for 2010:

- Demand: 150,000 units (min=50,000 units, max=300,000 units)
- Proportion of supers: 40% (min=30%, max=60%)
- Price for 2010: £4.30 standards, and £5.50 supers
- Cost estimates for 2010
- Capacity costs: Tooling capacity from 2009 (150,000) is in good shape £15,000 to increase tooling capacity anywhere between 150,000 and 200,000 £55,000+£15,000 to have capacity over 200,000
- Direct costs: £2.50 for standard and £3.20 for super.

Last year information		2009	
	Tooling capacity	150,000	
	Order standard	33,000	
	Order super	19,000	
	Sales	35,000	
	Sales standard	21,000	60%
	Sales super	14,000	40%
Production alternatives			
	Standard	Super	Total
Field sales representative	130,000	95,000	225,000
Production manager	80,000	70,000	150,000
Product manager	115,000	85,000	200,000
Additional costs			
Royalties	9%		
Advertising	3%		
Stock carrying costs	2%		
		min units	max units
Capacity costs	0	0	150,000
	15,000	150,000	200,000
	70,000	200,000	
Scenario	Total demand	% of super	
Base	150,000	40%	•
Best	300,000	60%	
Worst	50,000	30%	

Figure 1: Model in Open Solver

Last year information		2009	
	Tooling capacity	150,000	
	Order standard	33,000	
	Order super	19,000	
	Sales	35,000	
	Sales standard	21,000	60%
	Sales super	14,000	40%
Production alternatives			
	Standard	Super	Total
Field sales representative	130,000	95,000	225,000
Production manager	80,000	70,000	150,000
Product manager	115,000	85,000	200,000
Additional costs			
Royalties	9%		
Advertising	3%		
Stock carrying costs	2%		
		min units	max units
Capacity costs	0	0	150,000
	15,000	150,000	200,000
	70,000	200,000	
Scenario	Total demand	% of super	
Base	150,000	40%	•
Best	300,000	60%	
Worst	50,000	30%	

Figure 2: Model in Open Solver

- Selling, royalties, discounts: 9% of gross margin
- Advertising and promotion: 3% of gross margin
- Holding cost: 2% per month based on direct costs Any excess stock is carried for an average of 6 months

DYNATRON						
DINAIRON	Standard	Super	Total			
Production	78,000	55,000	133,000			
Inventory leftover	12,000	5,000	17,000			
Available	90,000	60,000	150,000			
			m	nin	max	
Demand	≤ 90,000	60,000	150,000	30,000		300,000
Proportion	60%	40%		30.0%		60.0%
Sales	90,000	60,000				
Inventory	0	0			Scenario	
	•				Base	
Price	£4.30	£5.50				
Direct Costs	£2.50	£3.20				
Gross Margin	£162,000	£138,000				
Add. costs	-£19,440	-£16,560				
Inventory costs	£0	£0				
Net Margin	£142,560	£121,440	£264,000			
Capacity Costs Net Result		n	£0 £264,000			

Figure 3: Model in Open Solver

Questions to solve

- Who are the main characters?
 - Danny Keepstone, Marketing Manager
 - Saul Gassman, Product Manager
- What is the main question?
 - How many Dynatron to produce for Autumn 2010?
- Three different production alternatives
 - Produce 130,000 standards and 95,000 supers (field sales representatives)
 - Produce 80,000 standards and 70,000 supers (production manager)
 - Produce 115,000 standards and 85,000 supers (Gassman, product manager)
- When must be the decision made?
 - By the end of March 2010 (6 months before)

Profit and loss

 $\label{eq:costs} \mbox{Net Profit} = \mbox{Gross Margin} - \mbox{Additional Expenses} - \mbox{Inventory Carrying Costs} - \mbox{Capacity Costs}$

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Gross Margin} = (\text{Price}_{st} - \text{Direct Cost}_{st}) \times \text{Sales}_{st} + (\text{Price}_{sup} - \text{Direct Cost}_{sup}) \times \\ & \text{Sales}_{sup} \end{aligned}$

Additional Expenses = $12\% \times Gross Margin$

12% = 9% (royalties, etc.) + 3% (advertising, etc.)

 $\mathbf{Sales}_{st} = \min(\mathbf{Demand}_{st}, \mathbf{Avail.\ Inventory}_{st})$

Avail. Inventory $_{st} = \text{Leftover} 09_{st} + \text{Production}_{st}$

 $\$ Inventory Carrying Costs = 2% ×£2.5 ×Leftover {10{st}} ×6 + 2% ×£3.20 ×Leftover {10{sup}} ×6 \$

Total Production	Capacity Costs (£)
	0 15,000 70,000

Building up the models

Sensitivity to one variable

We can assess the impact of different demand scenarios while keep the optimization as per the base case.

% of Supers	Net Profit
30%	£227,880
35%	£245,940
40%	£264,000
45%	£249,870
50%	£235,740
55%	£221,610
60%	£207,480

Demand	Net Profit
50,000	£54,640
75,000	£106,980
100,000	£159,320
125,000	£211,660
150,000	£264,000

Demand	Net Profit
175,000	£264,000
200,000	£264,000
225,000	£264,000
250,000	£264,000
275,000	£ $264,000$
300,000	£264,000

Sensitivity to two variables

We can explore what would happen if the share of "super" and the total demand changed in an orthogonal way. For that, we will build an Excel data table:




Figure 4: Model setup

Standard 78,000 12,000 90,000 120,000 40%	Super 55,000 5,000 60,000 180,000 60%	Total 133,000 17,000 150,000	min 30,000	max	300,000
78,000 12,000 90,000 120,000	55,000 5,000 60,000 180,000	133,000 17,000 150,000			300 000
12,000 90,000 120,000	5,000 60,000 180,000	17,000 150,000			300 000
90,000 120,000	60,000 180,000	150,000 _			300 000
120,000	180,000				300 000
		_			300 000
		300,000	30,000		300 000
40%	60%				555,000
			30.0%		60.0%
90,000	60,000				
0	0			Scenario	
				Best	
£4.30	£5.50				
£2.50	£3.20				
£162,000	£138,000				
-£19,440	-£16,560				
£0	£0				
£142,560	£121,440	£264,000			
		£0			
	ľ	£264,000			
_	£4.30 £2.50 £162,000 -£19,440 £0	0 0 £4.30 £5.50 £2.50 £3.20 £162,000 £138,000 -£19,440 -£16,560 £0 £0 £142,560 £121,440	0 0 £4.30 £5.50 £2.50 £3.20 £162,000 £138,000 -£19,440 -£16,560 £0 £0 £142,560 £121,440 £264,000	0 0 £4.30 £5.50 £2.50 £3.20 £162,000 £138,000 -£19,440 -£16,560 £0 £0 £142,560 £121,440 £264,000	0 0 Scenario E4.30 £5.50 £2.50 £3.20 £162,000 £138,000 -£19,440 -£16,560 £0 £0 £142,560 £121,440 £264,000

Figure 5: Best model

DYNATRON					
	Standard	Super	Total		
Production	78,000	55,000	133,000		
nventory leftover	12,000	5,000	17,000		
vailable	90,000	60,000	150,000		
			m	in	max
Demand	≤ 35,000	15,000	50,000	30,000	300,000
Proportion	70%	30%		30.0%	60.0%
Sales	35,000	15,000			
nventory	55,000	45,000			Scenario
					Worst
Price	£4.30	£5.50			
Direct Costs	£2.50	£3.20			
Pross Margin	£63,000	£34,500			
dd. costs	-£7,560	-£4,140			
nventory costs	-£2,750	-£2,880			
let Margin	£52,690	£27,480	£80,170		
Capacity Costs		m	£0		
let Result		111	£80,170		
		m	ax		

Figure 6: Worst model




Figure 7: Model setup

£264,000	30%	35%	40%	45%	50%	55%	60%
50,000	80,170	81,305	82,440	83,575	84,710	85,845	86,980
75,000	124,425	126,128	127,830	129,533	131,235	132,938	134,640
100,000	168,680	170,950	173,220	175,490	177,760	180,030	182,300
125,000	212,935	215,773	218,610	221,448	219,065	208,853	198,640
150,000	232,680	248,340	264,000	251,745	239,490	227,235	214,980
175,000	248,340	264,000	264,000	264,000	259,915	245,618	231,320
200,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	247,660
225,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000
250,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000
275,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000
300,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000	264,000

Figure 8: Data table




Figure 9: Contour plot setup