

1 Problem Formulation : The reflected case

Approximation of Backward RSDE's

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= g(X_1) - \int_t^1 Z_s \cdot dW_s + A_1 - A_t \\ Y_t &\geq g(X_t) \end{aligned}$$

where A is a non-decreasing continuous process satisfying

$$\int_0^1 (Y_t - g(X_t)) dA_t = 0 ,$$

$$\text{and } X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s , \quad X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

By the scheme : $\pi = \{t_i = i/n, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$

$$X_{t_{i+1}}^\pi = X_{t_i}^\pi + \frac{1}{n} b(X_{t_i}^\pi) + \sigma(X_{t_i}^\pi) (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) , \quad X_0^\pi = X_0 .$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1^\pi &= g(X_1^\pi) \\ Y_{t_i}^\pi &= \max \left\{ g(X_{t_i}^\pi) , E \left[Y_{t_{i+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_i}^\pi \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Question : How to compute $E \left[Y_{t_{i+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_i}^\pi \right]$?

◦ J. F. Carrière (1996), F. A. Longstaff & R. S. Schwartz (2001)

and V. Bally and G. Pagès (2001)

\Rightarrow **Convergence rate heavily depends on d .**

2 Monte-Carlo Estimation of conditional expectation :

2.1 The Gaussian Case $X = W$

1- Set $v(W_{t_i}) = Y_{t_i}^\pi$.

2- Reduction of the problem :

$$E \left[v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \mid W_{t_i} = w \right] = \frac{E \left[\delta_w(W_{t_i}) v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \right]}{E \left[\delta_w(W_{t_i}) \right]}$$

δ_w Dirac mass at w .

3- Integration by parts argument :

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\delta_w(W_{t_i}) v(W_{t_{i+1}})] \\ &= \\ & \int \int \delta_w(x) v(x+y) f_{t_i}(x) f_{(t_{i+1}-t_i)}(y) dx dy \\ &= \\ & \int \int \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq w\}} \left[v(x+y) \frac{x}{t_i} - v'(x+y) \right] f_{t_i}(x) f_{(t_{i+1}-t_i)}(y) dx dy \\ &= \\ & \int \int \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq w\}} v(x+y) \left[\frac{x}{t_i} - \frac{y}{t_{i+1}-t_i} \right] f_{t_i}(x) f_{(t_{i+1}-t_i)}(y) dx dy, \\ &= \\ & E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

⇒ **Alternative formulation :**

$$E \left[v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \mid W_{t_i} = w \right] = \frac{E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v \left(W_{t_{i+1}} \right) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) \right]}{\underbrace{E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} \frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} \right]}_{E[\delta_w(W_{t_i})] = f_{W_{t_i}}(w)}}$$

⇒ **Monte-Carlo estimator :** $\{W^{(\ell)}\}_\ell$, N copies of W

$$\hat{E} \left[v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \mid W_{t_i} = w \right] := \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_\ell \mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i}^{(\ell)} \geq w\}} v \left(W_{t_{i+1}}^{(\ell)} \right) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}^{(\ell)}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}}^{(\ell)} - W_{t_i}^{(\ell)}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right)}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_\ell \mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i}^{(\ell)} \geq w\}} \frac{W_{t_i}^{(\ell)}}{t_i}}$$

Variance estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left[\frac{W_{t_{i+1}}^{(\ell)} - W_{t_i}^{(\ell)}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{(t_{i+1} - t_i)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} = n^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Rightarrow \\ \text{Var} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_\ell \mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i}^{(\ell)} \geq w\}} v \left(W_{t_{i+1}}^{(\ell)} \right) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}^{(\ell)}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}}^{(\ell)} - W_{t_i}^{(\ell)}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} &\sim \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

1- Convergence rate in $N^{\frac{1}{2}}$?

2- Need to control the variance as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2.2 Variance Reduction in the Gaussian Case :

Variance Reduction 1 : Control Variate

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[v \left(W_{t_{i+1}} \right) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) \right] \\
&= \\
& \int \int v(x+y) \left[\frac{x}{t_i} - \frac{y}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right] f_{t_i}(x) f_{(t_{i+1} - t_i)}(y) dx dy \\
&= \\
& \int \int v'(x+y) f_{t_i}(x) f_{(t_{i+1} - t_i)}(y) dx dy \\
&- \\
& \int \int v'(x+y) f_{t_i}(x) f_{(t_{i+1} - t_i)}(y) dx dy \\
&= \\
& 0 .
\end{aligned}$$

\implies **Replace** $\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}}$ by $(\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} - c(w))$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \mid W_{t_i} = w \right] \\
&= \\
& \frac{E \left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} - c(w) \right) v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) \right]}{E \left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} - \tilde{c}(w) \right) \frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} \right]}
\end{aligned}$$

Variance Reduction 2 : Localization

Take φ smooth in L^2 with $\varphi(0) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[\delta_w(W_{t_i})v(W_{t_{i+1}})] \\
&= \\
& \int \int \delta_w(x) \varphi(x-w)v(x+y) f_{t_i}(x)f_{(t_{i+1}-t_i)}(y)dx dy \\
&= \\
& \dots \\
&= \\
& E\left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \left\{ \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) - \varphi'(W_{t_i} - w) \right\}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

\implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left[v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \mid W_{t_i} = w\right] \\
&= \\
& \frac{E\left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \left\{ \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) - \varphi'(W_{t_i} - w) \right\}\right]}{E\left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} \left\{ \tilde{\varphi}(W_{t_i} - w) \frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \tilde{\varphi}'(W_{t_i} - w) \right\}\right]}
\end{aligned}$$

Variance Reduction 2 (bis) : Optimal Localization

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \left[v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \mid W_{t_i} = w \right] \\
 &= \\
 & \frac{E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \left\{ \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) - \varphi'(W_{t_i} - w) \right\} \right]}{E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} \left\{ \tilde{\varphi}(W_{t_i} - w) \frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \tilde{\varphi}'(W_{t_i} - w) \right\} \right]}
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem Formulation : Optimizing the integrated variance

$$\min_{\varphi \in L^2, \varphi(0)=1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} [F \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) - G \varphi'(W_{t_i} - w)]^2 \right] dw$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 F &= v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) \\
 G &= v(W_{t_{i+1}})
 \end{aligned}$$

Calculus of Variation : φ is optimal iif for all smooth ϕ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and compact support, and $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}} E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} [F\varphi(W_{t_i} - w) - G\varphi'(W_{t_i} - w)]^2 \right] \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} [F(\varphi \pm \varepsilon\phi)(W_{t_i} - w) - G(\varphi' \pm \varepsilon\phi')(W_{t_i} - w)]^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} (F\varphi(W_{t_i} - w) - G\varphi'(W_{t_i} - w)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. (F\phi(W_{t_i} - w) - G\phi'(W_{t_i} - w)) \right] dw \\ &= E \left[\int_0^\infty (F\varphi(y) - G\varphi'(y)) (F\phi(y) - G\phi'(y)) dy \right] \\ & \quad \text{Fubini + change of variable } y = W_{t_i}(\omega) - w \\ &= E \left[\int_0^\infty \phi(y) (F^2\varphi(y) - G^2\varphi''(y)) dy \right] \\ & \quad \text{integration by parts} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \phi(y) (E[F^2]\varphi(y) - E[G^2]\varphi''(y)) dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[F^2]\varphi(y) - E[G^2]\varphi''(y) = 0.$$

Optimal Localizing Function : $\varphi(y) = e^{-\hat{\eta}y}$ with

$$\hat{\eta}^2 = E[F^2] / E[G^2]$$

Optimal Localizing Function : $\varphi(y) = e^{-\hat{\eta}y}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\eta}^2 &= E[F^2] / E[G^2] \\ &= \frac{E\left[v\left(W_{t_{i+1}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i}\right)^2\right]}{E\left[v\left(W_{t_{i+1}}\right)^2\right]} \\ &\sim n\end{aligned}$$

For $\varphi(y) = e^{-\eta y}$ **we have** $\varphi'(y) = -\eta\varphi(y)$

$$\begin{aligned}E\left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v\left(W_{t_{i+1}}\right) \left\{\varphi\left(W_{t_i} - w\right) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i}\right) - \varphi'\left(W_{t_i} - w\right)\right\}\right] \\ = \\ E\left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v\left(W_{t_{i+1}}\right) \left\{\varphi\left(W_{t_i} - w\right) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} + \eta\right)\right\}\right]\end{aligned}$$

where

$$E\left[\left(\frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{n} \sim \hat{\eta}$$

3 General case by Malliavin Calculus

3.1 Rewriting the Gaussian Case

1- Malliavin Derivative of W_s : $D_t W_s = \mathbf{1}_{t \leq s}$

2- Observe that for

$$h_t = \frac{1}{t_i} \mathbf{1}_{t \leq t_i} - \frac{1}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \mathbf{1}_{t_i < t \leq t_{i+1}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{t_i} h_t D_t W_{t_i} &= \int_0^{t_i} \left(\frac{1}{t_i} \mathbf{1}_{t \leq t_i} - \frac{1}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \mathbf{1}_{t_i < t \leq t_{i+1}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{t \leq t_i} = \int_0^{t_i} \frac{1}{t_i} = 1 \\ \int_0^1 h_t D_t W_{t_{i+1}} &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{1}{t_i} \mathbf{1}_{t \leq t_i} - \frac{1}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \mathbf{1}_{t_i < t \leq t_{i+1}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{t \leq t_{i+1}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

3- Next compute the Skorohod integral

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(W_{t_i} - w)) &:= \int_0^1 \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) h_t \delta W_t \\ &= \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) \int_0^1 h_t dW_t - \int_0^1 \varphi'(W_{t_i} - w) D_t W_{t_i} h_t dt \\ &= \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) - \varphi'(W_{t_i} - w) \end{aligned}$$

4- Rewrite the numerator in terms of \mathcal{S}^h

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \left\{ \varphi(W_{t_i} - w) \left(\frac{W_{t_i}}{t_i} - \frac{W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}}{t_{i+1} - t_i} \right) - \varphi'(W_{t_i} - w) \right\} \right] \\ = \\ E \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{W_{t_i} \geq w\}} v(W_{t_{i+1}}) \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(W_{t_i} - w)) \right] \end{aligned}$$

3.2 The alternative representation in the general case : $d = 1$

1- X a process such that X_{t_i} and $X_{t_{i+1}}$ are "smooth".

2- Choose $h \in \mathbf{H}(X)$, i.e. a process in $L^2(\mathcal{F}_1)$ such that :

$$(i) \int_0^{t_i} D_t X_{t_i} h_t dt = 1, \int_0^1 D_t X_{t_{i+1}} h_t dt = 0.$$

(ii) Set

$$\mathcal{S}^h(F) := \int_0^1 F h_t \delta W_t \quad \left(= F \int_0^1 h_t \delta W_t - \int_0^1 D_t F h_t dt \right)$$

3- Problem Reduction

$$E \left[v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \mid X_{t_i} = x \right] = E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \right] / E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) \right]$$

4- Chain rule formula : " $D_t \mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} = \delta_x(X_{t_i}) D_t X_{t_i}$ ".

5- Integration by parts argument

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \right] &= E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \int_0^{t_i} D_t X_{t_i} h_t dt \right] \\ &\text{for } h \text{ such that } \int_0^{t_i} D_t X_{t_i} h_t dt = 1 \\ &= E \left[\int_0^{t_i} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \delta_x(X_{t_i}) D_t X_{t_i} h_t dt \right] = E \left[\int_0^{t_i} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) D_t \mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} h_t dt \right] \\ &= E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} \int_0^{t_i} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) h_t \delta W_t \right] \quad \text{integration by parts} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \underbrace{E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \int_0^{t_i} h_t \delta W_t \right]}_A - \underbrace{E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} \int_0^{t_i} D_t v(X_{t_{i+1}}) h_t dt \right]}_B$$

decomposition of the Skorohod integral

$$\begin{aligned} & -E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} \int_0^{t_i} D_t v(X_{t_{i+1}}) h_t dt \right] \\ &= -E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} \int_0^{t_i} v'(X_{t_{i+1}}) D_t X_{t_{i+1}} h_t dt \right] \quad \text{chain rule} \\ &= E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} \int_{t_i}^1 v'(X_{t_{i+1}}) D_t X_{t_{i+1}} h_t dt \right] \quad \text{for } h \text{ such that } \int_0^1 D_t X_{t_{i+1}} h_t dt = 0 \\ &= E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} \int_{t_i}^1 D_t v(X_{t_{i+1}}) h_t dt \right] \quad \text{chain rule} \\ &= \underbrace{E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \int_{t_i}^1 h_t \delta W_t \right]}_B \quad \text{integration by parts} \end{aligned}$$

6- Conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \right] &= A + B \\ &= E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \int_0^{t_i} h_t \delta W_t \right] \\ &+ E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \int_{t_i}^1 h_t \delta W_t \right] \\ &= E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \int_0^1 h_t \delta W_t \right] \\ &= E \left[\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \mathcal{S}^h(1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathcal{S}^h(F) := \int_0^1 F h_t \delta W_t$.

7- Same thing with $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_+$, the set of functions of $C^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 1$, with φ and its first derivative in L^2 .

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \right] \\
&= E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) \varphi(X_{t_i} - x) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \right] \\
&= E \left[\delta_x(X_{t_i}) \varphi(X_{t_i} - x) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \int_0^{t_i} D_t X_{t_i} h_t dt \right] \\
&\text{for } h \text{ such that } \int_0^{t_i} D_t X_{t_i} h_t dt = 1 \\
&\dots
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem : For $v \in L^2(X_{t_{i+1}})$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_+$, $h \in \mathbf{H}(X)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$E \left[v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \mid X_{t_i} = x \right] = \frac{E \left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} - c \right) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(X_{t_i} - x)) \right]}{E \left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{x \leq X_{t_i}} - c \right) \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(X_{t_i} - x)) \right]}$$

3.3 The alternative representation in the general case : $d \in \mathbb{N}$

- 1- X a process such that X_{t_i} and $X_{t_{i+1}}$ are "smooth".
- 2- Choose $h \in \mathbf{H}(X)$, i.e. a matrix-valued process in $L^2(\mathcal{F}_1)$ such that :

$$\int_0^{t_i} D_t X_{t_i} h_t dt = I_d, \int_0^1 D_t X_{t_{i+1}} h_t dt = 0.$$
- 3- Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_+$, the set of functions of $C^0(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 1$, with φ and all cross derivatives in L^2 .

Theorem : For $v \in L^2(X_{t_{i+1}})$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_+$, $h \in \mathbf{H}(X)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$E \left[v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \mid X_{t_i} = x \right] = \frac{E \left[(H_x(X_{t_i}) - c) v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(X_{t_i} - x)) \right]}{E \left[(H_x(X_{t_i}) - c) \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(X_{t_i} - x)) \right]}$$

where $H_x(X_{t_i}) = \prod_{j=1}^d \mathbf{1}_{X_{t_i}^j \geq x^j}$ and, h^i denoting the i th column of h ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{S}^h(F) \\ &= \\ & \underbrace{\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\dots \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 F(h_t^1)^* \delta W_t \right) (h_t^2)^* \delta W_t \dots \right) (h_t^{d-1})^* \delta W_t \right) (h_t^d)^* \delta W_t }_{d \text{ iterated Skorohod integrals}} \end{aligned}$$

3.4 The integrated MSE minimization problem

3.4.1 Separable functions : $\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d \varphi^i(x^i)$

$$\min_{\varphi} \int E \left[H_x(X_{t_i}) v(X_{t_{i+1}})^2 \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(X_{t_i} - x))^2 \right] dx$$

admits a unique solution

$$\varphi(x) := \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^d \hat{\eta}^i x^i \right)$$

in the class of separable functions in \mathcal{L}_+ .

The $\hat{\eta}^i > 0$ are solution of the system :

$$(\hat{\eta}^i)^2 = \frac{E \left[v(X_{t_{i+1}})^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}_k^{-i}} \mathcal{S}_{-I}^h(1) \prod_{j \in I} \hat{\eta}^j \right)^2 \right]}{E \left[v(X_{t_{i+1}})^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}_k^{-i}} \mathcal{S}_{-(I \vee i)}^h(1) \prod_{j \in I} \hat{\eta}^j \right)^2 \right]}.$$

where

$\mathcal{J}_k^{-i} = \{I \in \mathcal{J}_k : i \notin I\}$, for $I \in \mathcal{J}_k$, $-I$ denotes the element of \mathcal{J}_{d-k} such that $(-I) \vee I = \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Remark : $\hat{\eta}^i \sim \sqrt{n}$.

3.4.2 General localizing functions

By a simple change of variable ($\xi = X_{t_i}(\omega) - x$)

$$\begin{aligned} \int E \left[H_x(X_{t_i}) v(X_{t_{i+1}})^2 \mathcal{S}^h(\varphi(X_{t_i} - x))^2 \right] dx \\ = \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \partial\varphi(\xi)^* E[Q_h Q_h^*] \partial\varphi(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\partial\varphi := (\partial_I \varphi)_{I \in \mathcal{I}_k, k \leq d} \quad \text{vector of all cross derivatives}$$

$$\text{and } Q_h := \left((-1)^k v(X_{t_{i+1}}) \mathcal{S}_{-I}^h(1) \right)_{I \in \mathcal{I}_k, k \leq d}.$$

Bounded Cross Derivatives Sobolev space BCD :

1- $\text{BCD}_0(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$: the set of functions $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that all partial derivatives $\partial_I \varphi$, $I \in \mathcal{I}_k, k = 0, \dots, d$, exist and are continuous on the interior of \mathbb{R}_+^d and can be extended continuously to the boundary.

2- $\text{BCD}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$: Completion of $\text{BCD}_0(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ for

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\text{BCD}_0} := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^d} \partial\varphi^* \partial\psi dx$$

Proposition 3.1 *There is a continuous map $i : \text{BCD}(\mathbb{R}_+^d) \rightarrow C^0(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$ such that $u = i(u)$ almost everywhere.*

\implies Provides a sense to $\varphi(0) = 1$.

Theorem *If $E[Q_h Q_h^*]$ is positive definite, there exists a unique solution $\hat{\varphi}$ in $\text{BCD}(\mathbb{R}_+^d)$.*

\implies **PDE characterization.**

4 Numerical Applications

$$dX_t = \text{diag}[X_t] \sigma dW_t, \quad X_0^1 = X_0^2 = X_0^3 = 1$$

with

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.08 & 0.4 & 0 \\ 0.03 & -0.15 & 0.32 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Density $f_{X_1}(x) = E[\delta_x(X_1)]$ $x^1 = 1.0$

$x^3 \backslash x^2$		0.7	1.0	1.3
0.7	True value	1.78	2.44	1.65
	Reduction by φ, c	1.80[0.10]	2.44[0.07]	1.65[0.04]
	Reduction by φ	1.80[0.11]	2.44[0.08]	1.65[0.04]
	Reduction by c	1.78[0.26]	2.45[0.26]	1.67[0.27]
	No Reduction	1.79[0.30]	2.45[0.31]	1.68[0.32]
1.0	True value	2.72	2.33	1.12
	Reduction by φ, c	2.73[0.07]	2.34[0.04]	1.12[0.02]
	Reduction by φ	2.73[0.08]	2.34[0.04]	1.13[0.71]
	Reduction by c	2.73[0.27]	2.35[0.27]	1.15[0.29]
	No Reduction	2.74[0.34]	2.36[0.35]	1.16[0.37]
1.3	True value	1.68	1.02	0.38
	Reduction by φ, c	1.69[0.03]	1.02[0.01]	0.38[0.01]
	Reduction by φ	1.69[0.03]	1.02[0.01]	0.38[0.01]
	Reduction by c	1.69[0.27]	1.05[0.27]	0.41[0.28]
	No Reduction	1.70[0.35]	1.06[0.37]	0.43[0.39]

Regression $r(x) = 100 * E \left[\left(\frac{X_2^1 + X_2^2}{2} - X_2^3 \right)^+ \mid X_1 = x \right]$ $x^1 = 1.0$

$x^3 \backslash x^2$		0.9	1.0	1.1
0.9	True value	20.08	23.58	27.24
	Reduction by φ	19.93[1.01]	23.40[1.06]	27.08[1.16]
	No Reduction	20.59[8.80]	23.94[32.24]	30.95[63.28]
1.0	True value	16.08	19.18	22.47
	Reduction by φ	15.94[0.85]	19.00[0.92]	22.27[0.95]
	No Reduction	16.04[11.48]	20.25[32.05]	23.48[68.62]
1.1	True value	12.87	15.58	18.50
	Reduction by φ	12.77[0.76]	15.57[0.85]	18.50[0.96]
	No Reduction	11.26[55.83]	14.11[30.39]	25.46[325.15]

5 Approximation of RBSDE's

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= g(X_1) - \int_t^1 Z_s \cdot dW_s + A_1 - A_t \\ Y_t &\geq g(X_t) \end{aligned}$$

where A is a non-decreasing continuous process satisfying

$$\int_0^1 (Y_t - g(X_t)) dA_t = 0 ,$$

$$\text{and } X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s , \quad X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

By the scheme : $\pi = \{t_i = i/n, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$

$$X_{t_{i+1}}^\pi = X_{t_i}^\pi + \frac{1}{n} b(X_{t_i}^\pi) + \sigma(X_{t_i}^\pi) (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) , \quad X_0^\pi = X_0 .$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1^\pi &= g(X_1^\pi) \\ Y_{t_i}^\pi &= \max \left\{ g(X_{t_i}^\pi) , E \left[Y_{t_{i+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_i}^\pi \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Approximate

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1^\pi &= g(X_1^\pi) \\ Y_{t_i}^\pi &= \max \left\{ g(X_{t_i}^\pi), E \left[Y_{t_{i+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_i}^\pi \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Y}_1^\pi &= g(X_1^\pi) \\ \hat{Y}_{t_i}^\pi &= \max \left\{ g(X_{t_i}^\pi), \hat{E} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_{i+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_i}^\pi \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{E} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_{i+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_i}^\pi \right]$ is the estimator of $E \left[Y_{t_{i+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_i}^\pi \right]$ given by the Malliavin calculus.

Theorem

$$\left\| \hat{Y}_{t_i}^\pi - Y_{t_i}^\pi \right\|_{L^p} \leq nC(p) \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \mathcal{E}_{j,p}(\hat{E}, n)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{j,p}(\hat{E}, n) := \left\| \hat{E} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_{j+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_j}^\pi \right] - E \left[\hat{Y}_{t_{j+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_j}^\pi \right] \right\|_{L^p}$$

Remark :

1- At best $\mathcal{E}_{j,p}(\hat{E}, n) \sim N^{-1/2}$ if computed by pure Monte-Carlo.

2- $\left\| Y_{t_i} - Y_{t_i}^\pi \right\|_{L^p} \sim n^{-1/2}$, hence to get $\left\| \hat{Y}_{t_i}^\pi - Y_{t_i}^\pi \right\|_{L^p} \sim n^{-1/2}$ we need to take at least $N = n^3$.

5.1 Naive numerical scheme

We consider N copies $(X^{\pi^{(1)}}, \dots, X^{\pi^{(N)}})$ of X^π .

Initialization : For all j : $\hat{Y}_1^{\pi^{(j)}} = g\left(X_1^{\pi^{(j)}}\right)$.

Backward induction : For $i = n, \dots, 2$, we set, for all j :

$$\hat{Y}_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} = \max \left\{ g(X_{t_i}), \hat{E}^{(j)} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_i}^{\pi^{(j)}} \mid X_{t_i}^{\pi^{(j)}} \right] \right\}$$

where $\hat{E}^{(j)} = \tilde{E}^{(j)}$ defined by

$$\tilde{E}^{(j)} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_i}^{\pi^{(j)}} \mid X_{t_i}^{\pi^{(j)}} \right] = \frac{\sum_{\ell \leq N} \mathbf{1}_{X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} \leq X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(\ell)}}} \hat{Y}_{t_i}^{\pi^{(\ell)}} \mathcal{S}^{h^{(\ell)}} \left(\varphi(X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(\ell)}} - X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}}) \right)}{\sum_{\ell \leq N} \mathbf{1}_{X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} \leq X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(\ell)}}} \mathcal{S}^{h^{(\ell)}} \left(\varphi(X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(\ell)}} - X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}}) \right)}$$

In the Gaussian case : $X = W$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^{h^{(\ell)}} \left(\varphi(W_{t_{i-1}}^{(\ell)} - W_{t_{i-1}}^{(j)}) \right) &= \varphi \left(W_{t_{i-1}}^{(\ell)} - W_{t_{i-1}}^{(j)} \right) \left(\frac{W_{t_{i-1}}^{(\ell)}}{t_{i-1}} - \frac{W_{t_i}^{(\ell)} - W_{t_{i-1}}^{(\ell)}}{t_i - t_{i-1}} \right) \\ &\quad - \varphi' \left(W_{t_{i-1}}^{(\ell)} - W_{t_{i-1}}^{(j)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{E} = \tilde{E}$ in L^p ???

5.2 Truncated scheme

Lemma *There exists some (α_i^n, β_i^n) such that*

$$\left| Y_{t_i}^\pi \right| \leq \alpha_i^n + \beta_i^n \left| X_{t_i}^\pi \right|^2 .$$

Moreover,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{ \alpha_i^n + \beta_i^n \} < \infty .$$

\Rightarrow There exists sequences of polynomials (with uniformly bounded coefficients) $\wp^n = \{ (\underline{\wp}_i^n, \overline{\wp}_i^n) \}$, $\Re^n = \{ (\underline{\Re}_i^n, \overline{\Re}_i^n) \}$ such that :

$$\underline{\wp}_i^n(X_{t_i}^\pi) \leq Y_{t_i}^\pi \leq \overline{\wp}_i^n(X_{t_i}^\pi)$$

and

$$\underline{\Re}_{i-1}^n(X_{t_{i-1}}^\pi) \leq E[\underline{\wp}_i^n(X_{t_i}^\pi) \mid X_{t_{i-1}}^\pi] \leq E[\overline{\wp}_i^n(X_{t_i}^\pi) \mid X_{t_{i-1}}^\pi] \leq \overline{\Re}_{i-1}^n(X_{t_{i-1}}^\pi)$$

Initialization : For all j : $\hat{Y}_1^{\pi^{(j)}} = g(X_1^{\pi^{(j)}})$.

Backward induction : For $i = n, \dots, 2$, we set, for j :

$$\hat{E}^{(j)} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_i}^{\pi^{(j)}} \mid X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} \right] = \underline{\Re}_{i-1}^n(X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}}) \vee \tilde{E}^{(j)} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_i}^{\pi^{(j)}} \mid X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} \right] \wedge \overline{\Re}_{i-1}^n(X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}})$$

$$\check{Y}_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} = \max \left\{ g(X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}}), \hat{E}^{(j)} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_i}^{\pi^{(j)}} \mid X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} \right] \right\}$$

$$\hat{Y}_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} = \underline{\wp}_{i-1}^n(X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}}) \vee \check{Y}_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}} \wedge \overline{\wp}_{i-1}^n(X_{t_{i-1}}^{\pi^{(j)}})$$

5.3 Simulation error in the truncated scheme

Theorem

$$\left\| \hat{Y}_{t_i}^\pi - Y_{t_i}^\pi \right\|_{L^p} \leq nC(p) \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} \mathcal{E}_{j,p}(\hat{E}, n)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{j,p}(\hat{E}, n) := \left\| \hat{E} \left[\hat{Y}_{t_{j+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_j}^\pi \right] - E \left[\hat{Y}_{t_{j+1}}^\pi \mid X_{t_j}^\pi \right] \right\|_{L^p}$$

Theorem If we choose $\varphi^n = \varphi(\sqrt{n}x)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_+$. Then :

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathcal{E}_{i,p}(\hat{E}, n) \leq C n^{\frac{d}{4p}} N^{-\frac{1}{2p}}.$$

\Rightarrow **Global error :**

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \hat{Y}_{t_i}^\pi - Y_{t_i}^\pi \right\|_{L^p} \leq C(p) \left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + n \frac{n^{\frac{d}{4p}}}{N^{\frac{1}{2p}}} \right)$$

- $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$: discretization error
- n : number of regression estimations
- $N^{-\frac{1}{2p}}$: convergence rate of the regression estimator in terms of the number of simulations N .
- $n^{\frac{d}{4p}}$: "variance" of the regression operator.

\Rightarrow **For an L^p error of order of $n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$: $N \sim n^{3p+\frac{d}{2}}$.**

Impact of the dimension through the time step : for $p = 1$,
 $N \sim n^{3+\frac{d}{2}} \gg n^3$.

5.4 Numerical experiment : American Option in the BS model

$$dX_t = 0.05 X_t + \text{diag}[X_t] \sigma dW_t, \quad X_0 = 100I_d$$

$$g(X_t) = \left[100 - \left(\prod_{i=1}^d X_t^i \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \right]^+.$$

- $\sigma = 0.15, n = 50, N = 4096 :$

$$\underbrace{\hat{Y}_0^\pi = 4.21}_{\text{estimation}} \quad \underbrace{(0.32\%)}_{\text{std in \% of } \hat{Y}_0^\pi}, \quad \underbrace{Y_0 = 4.23}_{\text{true value}}$$

- $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0.15 & 0 \\ -0.05 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, n = 20, N = 4096 :$

$$\hat{Y}_0^\pi = 1.53 \text{ (1.13\%)} , Y_0 = 1.54$$

- $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 0.15 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.05 & 0.1 & 0 \\ 0.03 & -0.04 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix}, n = 20, N = 16384 :$

$$\hat{Y}_0^\pi = 1.53 \text{ (0.52\%)} , Y_0 = 1.53$$