|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **REVIEW DOCUMENT** | | | | | | | |
| Start Date: 12-04-2021 | | | |  | | | |
| Project Name: MYES Bus Ticketing System | | | | Number of Pages: 20 | | | |
| Product Name: MYES | | | | Product Definition: Bus Ticket Reservation System | | | |
| Roles: | Review Leader: | | |  | | | |
| Reviewers: | | | *1. Özgür Şen* | | | *3.* Berfu Anıl |
| *2. Nehir Erdem* | | | *4.*  Esra Ateş |
| Review Materials: | * *Vision (V)* * *Glossary (G)* * *Use Case Model (U)* * *System-Wide Requirements (S)* * *Risk List (R)* * *Project Plan (P)* * *Work Items List (W)* * *Next Iteration’s Plan (N)* | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Errors: | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Status: | | | ACCEPTED  \_\_\_ as it is  \_X\_\_ with rework | | REJECTED  \_\_\_\_ review again | | |
| ERROR TYPES | | | | | | | |
| Error Type Number | | Error Type Definition | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
| 1 | | Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc) | | | | 10 | |
| 2 | | Inconsistency with the standards | | | | 0 | |
| 3 | | Uncertain | | | | 14 | |
| 4 | | Incorrect | | | | 2 | |
| 5 | | Inapplicable | | | | 0 | |
| 6 | | Internal Inconsistency | | | | 3 | |
| 7 | | External Inconsistency | | | | 1 | |
| 8 | | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | | | | 21 | |
| 9 | | Other | | | | 1 | |
|  | | **TOTAL** | | | | 51 | |
| Importance Types | | Importance Type | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
|  | | Little | | | | 19 | |
|  | | Medium | | | | 15 | |
|  | | High | | | | 11 | |
|  | | **TOTAL** | | | | 45 | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Reviewed**  **Material**  **ID** | **Section** | **Page** | **Line/**  **No** | **Error**  **Type** | **Importance** | **Correction Explanation** | **Correction Status** |
| 1 | **V** | - | - | - | 9 | Little | Documentation Date and Document Revision should be updated |  |
| 2 | **V** | 2.2 | 3 |  | 1 | Little | “in product”,”reserving”without “the. |  |
| 3 | **V** | 5 | 8 | 4 | 1 | Little | “5 seconds” |  |
| 4 | **V** | 4.1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Need name “Manage Bus Companies” is not clear enough to understand the feature |  |
| 5 | **V** | 4.1 | 4/5 | all | 6 | Little | Format of the needs are different. Some of them start with a verb but some of them start with a noun |  |
| 6 | **V** | 4.1 | 5 | 5 | 3 | Little | Usability of the word “Available” is not clear. This word is not used for the referred use case |  |
| 7 | **V** | 5 | 6 | 10 | 1,3 | Medium | “System should provide a trustworthy  authorization” is not clear. Also “trustworthy authentication” is used grammarly. |  |
| 8 | **V** | 4.1 | 5 | 9 | 3, 8 | Little | If the user should sign up, it will be another need. Sign up description and the relationship between the sign up and login processes are missing |  |
| 9 | **V** | 1 | 2 | 2-3 | 4 | Little | “..we need to buy tickets by visiting their office location or make a phone call ..” is incorrect since there are web-sites or applications of the bus companies to make these things.. |  |
| 10 | **V** | 1 | 2 | 2-3 | 1 | Little | “..we need to buy tickets by visiting their office location or make a phone call ..” |  |
| 11 | **U** | 1 | 2 | - | 8 | High | Monitor Sales Transactions use case is missing in the diagram |  |
| 12 | **U** | 2 | - | - | 8 | High | Brief description of Monitor Sales Transactions is missing |  |
| 13 | **U** | 1 | 2 | - | 8 | Medium | Dependencies are missing (For example, “Make a Reservation” precedes “Cancel a Reservation”) |  |
| 15 | **U** | 3 | 5 | 13 | 8 | High | Extension scenario is missing. On what condition does the system show an error message? |  |
| 16 | **U** | 3 | 5 | 11 | 3 | Medium | How does the system understand that the user finishes the entering login credentials to be checked? |  |
| 17 | **U** | 3 | 5 | 20 | 8 | High | Retrieved case is missing |  |
| 18 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | Little | As a post-condition “create” is used but at the use case scenarios “add” is used |  |
| 19 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 30 | 6 | Little | As a post-condition “create” is used but at the use case scenarios “add” is used |  |
| 20 | **U** | 3 | 6 | - | 8 | High | Attributes (details) of the trip should be given in the document as an action done by the system ( ex: The system displays the following attributes …) |  |
| 21 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 5 | 3 | High | Which details can not be the same? |  |
| 22 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 9 | 3,8 | Medium | What if the user rejects the deletion? |  |
| 23 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 15 | 3,8 | Medium | What happens after the system displays the error message? |  |
| 24 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 22 | 8 | High | Retrieved case is missing |  |
| 25 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 23 | 8 | High | Attributes (details) of the bus should be given in the document as an action done by the system |  |
| 26 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 26 | 3,8 | Medium | What happens after the error message? |  |
| 27 | **U** | 3 | 6 | 29 | 3,8 | Medium | What if the user rejects the deletion? |  |
| 28 | **U** | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3,8 | Medium | What happens after the error message? |  |
| 29 | **U** | 3 | 7 | 11 | 8 | High | Retrieved case is missing |  |
| 30 | **U** | 3 | 7 | 20 | 8 | High | Attributes (details) of the driver should be given in the document as an action done by the system |  |
| 31 | **U** | 3 | 7 | 23 | 3,8 | Medium | What happens after the error message? |  |
| 32 | **U** | 3 | 7 | 26 | 3,8 | Medium | What if the user rejects the deletion? |  |
| 33 | **U** | 3 | 7 | 32 | 3,8 | Medium | What happens after the error message? |  |
| 34 | **P** | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | Little | “the earliest” |  |
| 35 | **P** | 3 | 1 | 8 | 1 | Little | ”architecture ” should be written without “a” |  |
| 36 | **P** | 3 | 1 | 15 | 1 | Little | “an iteration” |  |
| 37 | **P** | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 | Little | “For that purpose,” |  |
| 38 | **P** | 5 | 4 | 6 | 1 | Little | “ In the future, ” |  |
| 39 | **P** | 5 | 4 | 8 | 1 | Little | “Roll back” since it is used as a verb |  |
| 40 | **P** | 4 | 4-5 | - | 8 | High | No risks or their mitigations are included in milestones |  |
| 41 | **P** | 3-5 | - | - | 8 | Medium | Development, build and test tools are not told (Only mentioned in System-Wide Requirements Specification) |  |
| 42 | **S** | 2.3, 2.4 | 2 | - | 7 | Medium | Printing and reporting features are just mentioned in this document |  |
| 43 | **S** | 2.5 | 2 | - | 4 | Medium | Security is not functional but a non-functional requirement |  |
| 44 | **S** | 3 | 2 | 11 | 1 | Little | “a problem” |  |
| 45 | **S** | 5.4.2 | 5 |  | 1 | Little | “of maintenance” |  |
| 46 | **N** | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | Little | “are written” |  |

|  |
| --- |
| Vision (V) |
| Glossary (G) |
| Use Case Model (U) |
| System-Wide Requirements (S) |
| Risk List (R) |
| Project Plan (P) |
| Work Items List (W) |
| Next Iteration’s Plan (N):Second |