# BERT – Bird's Eye View

PART1

**Learning Notes of Senthil Kumar** 

# Agenda

Bird's eye view (PART1) **About Language Models** What is BERT? – A SOTA LM What does BERT do? – Multi-task Learning How is BERT built? – BERT Architecture BERT Fine-tuning and Results

## What is powering NLP's Growth?

Two important trends that power recent NLP growth

- Better **representation** of the text data (with no supervision)
  - By grasping the 'context' better
     (e.g. terms used language models, word embeddings, contextualized word embeddings)
  - By enabling 'transfer learning'
     (e.g.: term used pre-trained language models)
- **Deep Learning Models** that use the better representations to solve real-world problems like Text Classification, Semantic Role Labelling, Translation, Image Captioning, etc.,

## Important Terms

### **Language Model:**

A language model (LM) is a model that generates a probability distribution over sequences of words Language Model - A model to predict the next word in a sequence of words

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Encoder:**

Converts variable length text (any sequence information) into a fixed length vector

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Transformer:**

- A sequence model forgoes the recurrent structure of RNN to adopt attention-based approach
- Transformer vs Seq2Seq Model
- { Encoder + (attention-mechanism) + Decoder } vs { Encoder + Decoder }

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

**Recurrent Structure**: Processes all input elements sequentially

Attention-based Approach: Process all input elements SIMULTANEOUSLY


# Intro – Count-based N-gram LM (1/5)

- ► Task of **predicting the next word** given the previous words
- A language model can assign probability to each possible next word. And also, help in assigning a probability to an entire sentence.
- Arguably, the simplest and most critical language processing task with concrete practical applications
- Language modelling is a form of unsupervised, predictive learning --> no labeled text needed

#### Applications:

Predicting upcoming words or estimating probability of a phrase or sentence is useful in noisy, ambiguous text data sources

- a. **Speech Recognition** E.g.: P("recognize speech") >> P("wreck a nice beach")
- b. Spelling Correction E.g.: P("I have a gub") << P("I have a gun")
- c. Machine Translation E.g.: P("strong winds") > P("large winds")
- d. Optical Character Recognition/ Handwriting Recognition
- e. Autoreply Suggestions. E.g.: Intelligent keyboards, auto email reply
- f. Text Classification



#### Sample Corpus:

This is the house that Jack built.

This is the malt

That lay in the house that Jack built.

This is the rat.

That ate the malt

That lay in the house that Jack built.

This is the cat,

That killed the rat.

That ate the malt

That lay in the house that Jack built.

P (house | the) = count (the house) / count (the)

Aside from the intuitive way we would calculate, this is an example of **Conditional Probability**!

 $P(B \mid A) = P(A \mid B) / P(A)$ 

Technically, what we have computed above is a Bigram Language Model

Bigram model:  $p(w_t | w_{t-1})$ 

### Bi-gram LM

(generally, an N-gram LM)

#### Markov Bi-gram LM

Hence the probability of occurrence of the 5-word sentence is:


 $p(A,B,C,D,E) = p(E \mid D)p(D \mid C)p(C \mid B)p(B \mid A)p(A)$ 

#### Sources:

NLP course on Coursera – National Higher School of Economics
Advanced NLP and Deep Learning course on Udemy (by LazyProgrammer)

# Intro – Context-Prediction based LMs (2/5)


## Logistic Bigram Model



$$P(y|x) = softmax(W^{\mathsf{T}} x)$$

$$P(y = j|x) = \frac{exp(w_j^T x + bj)}{\sum_{k \in K} exp(w_k^T x + bk)}$$

## Feed Forward Neural Network LM proposed by Bengio in 2001



$$P(y|x) = softmax(tanh(W^T x))$$
  
 $P(y = j|x) =$ 

$$\frac{exp \left( \tanh(wjT x + bj) \right)}{\sum_{k \in K} exp \left( \tanh(w_k^T x + bk) \right)}$$

### Word2Vec


proposed by Mikilov in 2001

An approximate

but efficient

softmax

implementation




$$P(y|x) = \\ neg\_sampling\_softmax \\ (W^T x)$$

#### Sources:

# Higher Form of LMs(3/5)

### Typical LM Generation Training Inputs Predictions Predictions Inputs Language Model Language t=1 t=2 Model t=2 C t=2 t=3 t=3 D t=4 t=3 t=4

### Seq2Seq – A higher form of LM




## Source: https://indico.io/blog/sequence-modeling-neuralnets-part1/

Sequence to sequence models build on top of language models by adding an encoder step and a decoder step. the decoder model sees an encoded representation of the input sequence as well as the translation sequence, it can make more intelligent predictions about future words based on the current word

# Higher Form of LMs(4/5)

## Typical Seq2Seq Model




{ Encoder + Decoder }

**Recurrent Structure**: Processes all input elements

sequentially

### Seq2Seq Model with Attention




{ Encoder + (attention-mechanism) + Decoder }

Attention-based Approach: Process all input elements

SIMULTANEOUSLY

#### Sources:

# Pre-trained Language Models (5/5)








Figure 1: Differences in pre-training model architectures. BERT uses a bidirectional Transformer. OpenAI GPT uses a left-to-right Transformer. ELMo uses the concatenation of independently trained left-to-right and right-to-left LSTM to generate features for downstream tasks. Among three, only BERT representations are jointly conditioned on both left and right context in all layers.

Sources: BERT Paper - https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.04805.pdf

### **Contextualized Word Embedding**





## What is BERT

- Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers
- SOTA Language Model
- ULMFiT, ELMo, BERT, XLNet -- NLP's ImageNet Moment – the era of contextualized word embedding and Transfer Learning

#### Sources:

## BERT is Bidirectional

- BERT is bidirectional reads text from both directions, left as well as from right to gain better understanding of the text
- For example, the above sentence is read from BERT is bidirectional ... as well as ... understanding of the text
- BERT is bidirectional because it uses Transformer Encoder (which is made bidirectional by the self attention mechanism)
- OpenAl Generative Pre-trained Transformer is unidirectional because it employs Transformer Decoder (the masked self-attention makes it only left to right)

# Trm Tom


BERT (Ours)


Tim

Tim


Trm

#### Sources:





### Inside an Encoder Block



In BERT experiments, the number of blocks N was chosen to be 12 and 24.

Blocks do not share weights with each other

# BERT uses Encoder portion of Transformer

Sources: Attention is all you Need: <a href="https://papers.nips.cc/paper/7181-attention-is-all-you-need.pdf">https://papers.nips.cc/paper/7181-attention-is-all-you-need.pdf</a>

# BERT Architecture

- BERT-Large, Uncased (Whole Word Masking): 24-layer, 1024-hidden, 16-heads, 340M parameters
- BERT-Large, Cased (Whole Word Masking): 24-layer, 1024-hidden, 16-heads, 340M parameters
- BERT-Base, Uncased: 12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-heads, 110M parameters
- BERT-Large, Uncased: 24-layer, 1024-hidden, 16-heads, 340M parameters
- BERT-Base, Cased: 12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-heads, 110M parameters
- BERT-Large, Cased: 24-layer, 1024-hidden, 16-heads, 340M parameters
- BERT-Base, Multilingual Cased (New, recommended): 104 languages, 12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-heads, 110M parameters
- BERT-Base, Multilingual Uncased (Orig, not recommended) (Not recommended, use Multilingual Cased instead): 102
   languages, 12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-heads, 110M parameters
- BERT-Base, Chinese: Chinese Simplified and Traditional, 12-layer, 768-hidden, 12-heads, 110M parameters

Source: BERT GitHub Repository: <a href="https://github.com/google-research/bert">https://github.com/google-research/bert</a>

# Pretrained Tasks



## Multi-task Learning

#### Sources:

Idea of Multi-task learning – Karate Kid reference:

https://towardsdatascience.com/whats-new-in-deep-learning-research-how-deep-builds-multi-task-reinforcement-learning-algorithms-f0d868684c45


## BERT's core methodology:


- Applying the bidirectional training of Transformer to do Language Modeling and Next Sentence Prediction

BERT is originally pretrained to do 2 tasks:

- Masked Language Model technique allows bidirectional training for next word prediction
- Next Sentence Prediction: BERT to predict the next sentence

# Pretrained Tasks - Finetuning






#### Sources:

BERT Paper - <a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.04805.pdf">https://jalammar.github.io/illustrated-bert/</a>

# Task1 - Masked Language Model

- 15% of the words are masked at random
  - and the task is to predict the masked words based on its left and right context
- Not all tokens were masked in the same way (example sentence "My dog is hairy")
  - 80% were replaced by the <MASK> token: "My dog is <MASK>"
  - 10% were replaced by a random token: "My dog is apple"
  - 10% were left intact: "My dog is hairy"



Pre-training Steps (Thousands)

- BERT (Masked LM technique) converges slower than left to right predictions. But after a small number of training steps, its accuracy beats the unidirectional model
- Multi-genre Natural Language Inference is a text classification task
- MNLI is a large-scale, crowdsourced entailment classification task (Williams et al., 2018). Given a pair of sentences, the goal is to predict whether the second sentence is an entailment, contradiction, or neutral with respect to the first one.


Source: https://medium.com/dissecting-bert/dissecting-bert-part-1-d3c3d495cdb3

# Task2 - Next Sentence Prediction

```
Input = [CLS] the man went to [MASK] store [SEP]

he bought a gallon [MASK] milk [SEP]

Label = IsNext
```




BERT to predict the next sentence


BERT <-- two types of input pairs of sentences are fed to the model

i.50% of the sentence pairs are in the right order ii.In the other 50%, the second sentence in the pair is randomly chosen

# BERT Fine-tuning - Classification



- (a) Sentence Pair Classification Tasks: MNLI, QQP, QNLI, STS-B, MRPC, RTE, SWAG
- Mutigenre Natural Language Inference



- (b) Single Sentence Classification Tasks: SST-2, CoLA
- Stanford Sentiment Treebank 2
- Corpus of Linguistic Acceptability


System	MNLI-(m/mm)	QQP	QNLI	SST-2	CoLA	STS-B	MRPC	RTE	Average
	392k	363k	108k	67k	8.5k	5.7k	3.5k	2.5k	-
Pre-OpenAI SOTA	80.6/80.1	66.1	82.3	93.2	35.0	81.0	86.0	61.7	74.0
BiLSTM+ELMo+Attn	76.4/76.1	64.8	79.8	90.4	36.0	73.3	84.9	56.8	71.0
OpenAI GPT	82.1/81.4	70.3	87.4	91.3	45.4	80.0	82.3	56.0	75.1
BERTBASE	84.6/83.4	71.2	90.5	93.5	52.1	85.8	88.9	66.4	79.6
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub>	86.7/85.9	72.1	92.7	94.9	60.5	86.5	89.3	70.1	82.1

Table 1: GLUE Test results, scored by the evaluation server (https://gluebenchmark.com/leaderboard). The number below each task denotes the number of training examples. The "Average" column is slightly different than the official GLUE score, since we exclude the problematic WNLI set. BERT and OpenAI GPT are single-model, single task. F1 scores are reported for QQP and MRPC, Spearman correlations are reported for STS-B, and accuracy scores are reported for the other tasks. We exclude entries that use BERT as one of their components.

 The General Language Understanding Evaluation(GLUE) benchmark is a collection of diverse natural language understandingtasks.

Sources: BERT Paper - https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.04805.pdf

# BERT Fine-tuning – Semantic Role Labeling



(d) Single Sentence Tagging Tasks: CoNLL-2003 NER


- Conference on Natural Language Learning 2003 NER Task

System	Dev F1	Test F1
ELMo (Peters et al., 2018a)	95.7	92.2
CVT (Clark et al., 2018)	-	92.6
CSE (Akbik et al., 2018)	-	93.1
Fine-tuning approach		
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub>	96.6	92.8
BERT <sub>BASE</sub>	96.4	92.4
Feature-based approach (BERT <sub>BASE</sub> )		
Embeddings	91.0	-
Second-to-Last Hidden	95.6	_
Last Hidden	94.9	-
Weighted Sum Last Four Hidden	95.9	_
Concat Last Four Hidden	96.1	-
Weighted Sum All 12 Layers	95.5	-

Table 7: CoNLL-2003 Named Entity Recognition results. Hyperparameters were selected using the Dev set. The reported Dev and Test scores are averaged over 5 random restarts using those hyperparameters.

Sources: BERT Paper - https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.04805.pdf

# BERT Fine-tuning – Q&A



(c) Question Answering Tasks: SQuAD v1.1

TheStanfordQuestionAnsweringDataset

System	D	ev	Test		
	EM	F1	<b>EM</b>	F1	
Top Leaderboard System	s (Dec	10th,	2018)		
Human		-	82.3	91.2	
#1 Ensemble - nInet		-	86.0	91.7	
#2 Ensemble - QANet	-	-	84.5	90.5	
Publishe	ed b				
BiDAF+ELMo (Single)	-	85.6	-	85.8	
R.M. Reader (Ensemble)	81.2	87.9	82.3	88.5	
Ours					
BERT <sub>BASE</sub> (Single)	80.8	88.5	-	-	
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub> (Single)	84.1	90.9	-	-	
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub> (Ensemble)	85.8	91.8	-	-	
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub> (Sgl.+TriviaQA)	84.2	91.1	85.1	91.8	
BERT <sub>LARGE</sub> (Ens.+TriviaQA)	86.2	92.2	<b>87.4</b>	93.2	

Table 2: SQuAD 1.1 results. The BERT ensemble is 7x systems which use different pre-training checkpoints and fine-tuning seeds.

Sources: BERT Paper - <a href="https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.04805.pdf">https://arxiv.org/pdf/1810.04805.pdf</a>

# Thank You