

ACM Impact Policy Pitchathon Judging Rubric

April 17, 2021

		1 (lowest score)	2	3	4 (highest score)
<u>Background</u>	<i>Does the presentation provide enough background on relevant technologies?</i>	The presentation provides no background on relevant technologies. Any non-technical person unfamiliar with the technologies discussed would not be able to follow the presentation.	The presentation provides little background on relevant technologies. Any non-technical person unfamiliar with the technologies discussed would not be able to follow much of the presentation.	The presentation provides some background on relevant technologies. Any non-technical person unfamiliar with the technologies discussed would be able to follow most of the presentation with some difficulty.	The presentation provides sufficient background on relevant technologies. Any non-technical person unfamiliar with the technologies discussed would be able to follow the presentation easily .
	<i>Does the presentation provide enough background on current regulations and/or precedent?</i>	The presentation provides no background on current regulations or precedent. A person without policymaking experience or prior knowledge of current legislation would not be able	The presentation provides little background on current regulations or precedent. A person without policymaking experience or prior knowledge of current legislation would not be able	The presentation provides some background on current regulations or precedent. A person without policymaking experience or prior knowledge of current legislation would be able to	The presentation provides sufficient background on current regulations or precedent. A person without policymaking experience or prior knowledge of current legislation would be able to

		to follow the presentation.	to follow much of the presentation.	follow most of the presentation with some difficulty.	follow the presentation easily .
<u>Problems</u>	<i>Does the presentation outline significant problems with existing policies or technologies?</i>	The presentation includes no problems with relevant policies and technologies, or the problems included are extremely unclear or inconsequential .	The presentation includes one problem with relevant policies and technologies, OR the presentation includes multiple problems with relevant policies and technologies, but at least one glaringly obvious problem is missing .	The presentation includes multiple problems with relevant policies and technologies. One or two problems are unclear or expressed poorly .	The presentation details multiple problems with relevant policies and technologies.
<u>Solutions</u>	<i>Does the suggested solution address each of the problems previously outlined in the presentation?</i>	The solution is completely unrelated to the problems identified.	The solution is tangentially related to the problems identified, OR the solution fails to address the majority of the problems identified.	The solution addresses most of the problems identified.	The solution comprehensively addresses all of the problems identified.
	<i>Is the solution detailed and thoughtful?</i>	The solution is very basic or unclear and it fails to	Parts of the solution are somewhat detailed and	The solution is somewhat detailed and	The solution is very detailed and thoughtful.

		consider important points.	thoughtful, but it fails to consider or glosses over several important points .	thoughtful, but glosses over a few important points.	
	<i>Is the majority of the solution policy-based?</i>	Implementing the solution would not require policy changes . The solution is not grounded in policy. This includes presentations proposing a mindset shift, raising awareness, etc. as the sole solution to the problems identified.	Implementing the solution would require minor policy changes , but most of the solution is not grounded in policy.	Implementing the solution would require a few policy changes , but much of the solution is not grounded in policy.	Implementing the solution would require significant policy changes .
	<i>Is the solution feasible? (This includes questions like: Is the solution based on precedent? Is the solution supported by any</i>	The presenters have put no thought into the feasibility of the solution. The solution would not have support in the real world. The solution is not	The presenters have put some thought into the feasibility of the solution. The solution would probably not have support in the real world. The solution is probably not	The presenters have put significant thought into the feasibility of the solution. The solution would have some support in the real world. The presenters attempt	The presenters have put a great deal of thought into the feasibility of the solution. The solution would likely have support in the real world. The presenters

	<i>current policymakers? Is the solution cost-effective? Did the presentation demonstrate a high-level plan for how the solution would be funded?)</i>	financially sustainable.	financially sustainable.	to demonstrate that the solution is financially sustainable.	successfully demonstrate that the solution is financially sustainable and could realistically be funded in the real world.
<u>Presentation</u>	<i>Does the presentation cite its sources?</i>	No sources are cited.	Some sources are cited but there are notable exceptions.	All sources are cited.	N/A
	<i>Is the presentation clear, organized and visually appealing?</i>	The presentation is extremely disorganized and hard to follow.	The presentation is somewhat organized , but significant parts are unclear.	The presentation is extremely clear, well-organized and visually appealing.	N/A

Other Feedback:

Total Points: ____ / 34