Math Homework Week #5, Convex Analysis

OSM Lab, Eun-Seok Lee

1. (7.1)

If S is a nonempty set,

$$\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_k x_k \in conv(S), x_i \in S, k \in N$$

where $\lambda_i \ge 0$, and $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1$

It means that $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in con(S) \ \forall \lambda \text{ s.t.} 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ It is the definition of convex set.

2. (7.2)

1) hyperplane is convex.

$$P = \{x \in V | \langle a, x \rangle \}$$
 where $a \in V, a \neq 0$, and $b \in R$

It means that, in the condition of $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n = b$, those x_1, \dots, x_n has to be members of P.

By the definition of convex, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in P$

: it is totally same expression to definition of hyperplane.

2) half space is convex.

$$\overset{\frown}{H} = \{x \in V | \langle a, x \rangle \leq b\}$$

It means that, in the condition of $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n \leq b$, those x_1, \dots, x_n has to be members of P.

By the definition of convex, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in P$

: it is totally same expression to definition of hyperplane.

3. (7.4)

(i)
$$||x-p+p-y||^2 = ||x-p||^2 + ||p-y||^2 + 2||x-p|| ||p-y|| \cos\theta$$

= $||x-p||^2 + ||p-y||^2 + 2\langle x-p, p-y \rangle$

(ii)
$$||x - y||^2 \ge ||x - p||^2$$
 from (i) and assumption (7.14)

$$\therefore \|x - y\| > \|x - p\|$$

$$\therefore y \neq p$$

$$\therefore \|p - y\| > 0$$

(iii)
$$||x - \lambda y - (1 - \lambda)p||^2 = ||x - p + \lambda(p - y)||^2$$

 $= ||x - p||^2 + 2||x - p||||\lambda(p - y)||\cos\theta + \lambda^2||y - p||^2$
 $= ||x - p||^2 + 2\lambda\langle x - p, p - y\rangle + \lambda^2||y - p||^2$

$$= \|x - p\|^2 + 2\lambda \langle x - p, p - y \rangle + \lambda^2 \|y - p\|^2$$

(iv)
$$\frac{\|x-z\|^2 - \|x-p\|^2}{\lambda} = 2\langle x-p, p-y\rangle + \lambda^2 \|y-p\|^2$$
 from (iii) By the definition of projection, LHS ≥ 0 Also, when $\lambda = 0$, $0 \leq \langle x-p, p-y\rangle$

- 4. (7.6) $f(\lambda x + (1 \lambda)y \le \lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda)f(y) = c$ RHS = c, Then, $x \in domain, y \in domain, so, (\lambda x + (1 \lambda)y) \in domain for satisfying convex function definition.$
- 5. (7.7) $\lambda_{1}\theta_{1}f_{1}(x) + \lambda_{1}(1 \theta_{1})f_{1}(y) + \dots + \lambda_{n}\theta_{n}f_{n}(x) + \lambda_{n}(1 \theta_{n})f_{n}(x) \geq \lambda_{1}f_{1}(\theta_{1}x + (1 \theta_{1})y) + \dots + \lambda_{n}f_{n}(\theta_{n}x + (1 \theta_{n})y)$ $\therefore \lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda)f(y) \geq f(\lambda x + (1 \lambda)y)$
- 6. (7.13) If f is not constant, $\exists x \text{ and } y \text{ s.t. } f(x) \neq f(y)$
 - 1) Let x be the point of $\max(f(x))$ If $y_1 < x$ and $f(y_1) \neq f(x)$, $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y_1) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y_1)$ and $f(y_1) \leq f(x)$ If $y_2 > x$ and $f(y_2) \neq f(x)$, $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y_2) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y_2)$ and $f(y_2) \leq f(x)$ Now, $\exists \lambda$ s.t. $f(x) = \lambda f(y_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(y_2) > \lambda f(y_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(y_2)$, $(\because f(x) \neq f(y_1), f(y_2))$ then, it is contradiction to the convex definition.
 - 2) If there is no maximum point of the function, it has to be increasing function as x goes to infinity or it has to be decreasing function as x goes to infinity. if function increases and decreases, it has to be concave by the proof of "1)". I will prove the case of the increasing function in a reason that the way of proving method is same.
 - (i) First, we can pick x < y and f(x) < f(y). If $f(\lambda x + (1 \lambda)y) = \lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda)f(y)$, then, it should not be bounded because it is a line.
 - (ii) Second, we can pick x < y and f(x) < f(y). If $\lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda)f(y) < \lambda f(x) + (1 \lambda)f(y)$, then, $f(t) \ge f(x) + (t a)\frac{f(y) f(x)}{y x}$ s.t. t > y by convexity. Then, as t goes infinity, it diverges and this is contradiction.

I showed every possible cases. Therefore, Q.E.D..

7. (7.20) By the assumption, $\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) = f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$ $\therefore f(0) + \lambda f(x) = f(\lambda x)$ when y = 0. This is a general case and exactly affine form.

```
8. (7.21) [\phi(f(x))]' = \phi'(f(x))f'(x) = 0 by the chain rule.
Then, \phi(x) is strictly increasing, so \phi'(f(x)) \neq 0.
Therefore, f'(x) = 0, and this is same FONC with (min\ f(x)).
```

References