

Tom Simril
Vice President
Catawba Nuclear Station

Duke Energy CN01VP I 4800 Concord Road York, SC 29745

> o: 803.701.3340 f: 803.701.3221

RA-22-0182

June 22, 2022

10 CFR50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC

Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2

Docket No. 50-414

Licensee Event Report (LER) 414/2022-001-00

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(1) and (d), attached is LER 414/2022-001-00, entitled "Manual Reactor Trip and Auxiliary Feedwater Start due to Misaligned Control Rods". This report is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A).

There are no regulatory commitments contained in this letter or its attachment.

This event is considered to be of no significance with respect to the health and safety of the public.

If questions arise regarding this LER, please contact Ari D. Tuckman of Regulatory Affairs at (803) 701-3771.

Sincerely,

Tom Simril

Vice President, Catawba Nuclear Station

Tom Simil

Attachment

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 June 22, 2022

xc (with attachment):

L. Dudes Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region II Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE Suite 1200 Atlanta, GA 30303

Z. Stone NRC Project Manager (CNS) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11555 Rockville Pike Mailstop O-8G9A Rockville, MD 20852

J. Austin (without enclosure) NRC Senior Resident Inspector

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 08/31/2023



LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See Page 3 for required number of digits/characters for each block) (See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form https://www.nrc.gov/reading-mr/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request. 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects. Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk ail: oira submission@omb.eop.gov. The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

4 Faail	··· No	300 (7)									conection dispir	lays a currently valid O		Mulliper.	
1. Facility Name Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2									2. Docket Number 3. Page 05000414				1 of 4		
4. Title	l lev	Desetor -	T-in and	Auvilian	F	-tuntar C		- 40	Mic	-l'-mod Co	-t-al De	á.			
		Reactor I		LER Number			eport Da		VIIS	saligned Co		DOS 3. Other Facilities	e Involv	red her	
				Sequential	Terror I					Facility Name		, outon , a.z		ket Nur	mber
Month	Day	Year	Year	Number	Rev No.	Month	Day	Year	ır				11.00	05000	
0.4	22	2022	2022	201	20	00	22	200		Facility Name	9		- 232	ket Nur	mber
04	23	2022	2022	001	00	06	22	202	2	7 7 7 5 1 1 1 1			0500	00	
9. Operati	ng Mo	xde		1				10. Po	wer	Level		100%			
			11. T		Submitt	ted Pursuant	t to the R	equirem	ents	of 10 CFR§: (
10	CFF	R Part 20		20.2203(a)(2)		□ 50.36	Street Street					50.73(a)(2)	(x)		
□ 20.2	2201(b))		20.2203(a)(3))(i)	□ 50.46	6(a)(3)(ii))	1	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)		10 0	CFR Pa	art 7	3
20.2	2201(d	1)		20.2203(a)(3))(ii)	50.69	☐ 50.69(g)		-			73.71(a)(4)			
20.2	203(a	1)(1)	1 25-317	20.2203(a)(4)		□ 50.73	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)					73.71(a)(5)			
□ 20.2	.203(a	ı)(2)(i)	10	CFR Pa	50.73	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)		[☐ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) ☐		73.77(a)(1)((i)			
20.2	203(a	ı)(2)(ii)		21.2(c)		50.73	3(a)(2)(i)((C)	[☐ 50.73(a)(2)(vii) ☐		73.77(a)(2)((i)		
20.2	.203(a	ı)(2)(iii)	10	CFR Pa	50.73	☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)]	50.73(a)(2)(v	/iii)(A)	73.77(a)(2)((ii)			
20.2	.203(a	ı)(2)(iv)	□ 5	50.36(c)(1)(i)(□ 50.73	3(a)(2)(ii))(B)	[50.73(a)(2)(v	/iii)(B)					
20.2		11 38 31 1 7		50.36(c)(1)(ii)		50.73	3(a)(2)(iii))		☐ 50.73(a)(2)(ix	x)(A)				
Othe	ər (Sp	ecify here, in	Abstract,	or in NRC 36	6A).		-								
Licensee Co	-ntart					12. Lice	ensee Co	ntact fo	or th	is LER		Phone Number ("-alido Arr	Code	Č.
Ari Tucl		n										803-701-37		a Coue,	
	<u></u>	-		13. Con	nplete O	ne Line for e	ach Com	ponent	Failu	ure Described in t	his Report				
Cause		System	Component	t Manufact	turer	Reportable T	o IRIS	Caus	se	System Compo		nent Manufac	cturer	Report	table To IRIS
В		JD	CON	W12	11	Yes									
		14. Supple	mental Re	port Expecte	ed						0.4.9	Month	Da	ay	Year
⊠ No	0 1	Yes (If	ves, comple	ete 15. Expec	ted Sut	bmission Dat	ite)	1	15. I	Expected Subr	mission Da	ate			
	On A partitrip i man actu publ	tially drop in accord nual react uated as o lic health cause of veable reg	2022, at oped duridance without trip. designed and safet the every gulation	at 0224 horing contributed to provide to provide ty. ent was an circuitry	ours, voor of roce by systide man interesul	with Unit d testing edure. Al tems fun- nakeup flo ermittent lting from	t 2 in M resulti II contractione low to to t high r	ting in rol roo ed as e the st resista ation i	minds from team team and team	isalignment fully inserte pected. The im generato ce connection	t, which ed into the e Auxilia ors. This on in the	er, two control required a re ne core follow reary Feedwate s event did re rod control ed into a crir degraded over	manua wing the er systenot imp I syste mped I	al rea the stem pact em lug	
	This	event w	as reno	rted to th	△ NR	C as a fo	our-ho	ur no	\n_(emergency	Event N	Notification N	Numbe	or 5F	1856 o

April 23, 2022 per 10 CFR 50.72(b)2(iv)(B), Actuation of the Reactor Protection System and an eighthour non-emergency notification under the same Event Notification per 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(A),

Specified Safety System Actuation.

EXPIRES: 08/31/2023



CONTINUATION SHEET

(See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/) Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk ail: oira submission@omb.eop.gov. The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER	3. LER NUMBER			
Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2	05000414	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	
		2022	001	00	

NARRATIVE

BACKGROUND

The following information is provided to assist readers in understanding the event described in this LER. Applicable Energy Industry Identification [EIIS] system and component codes are enclosed within brackets. Catawba unique system and component identifiers are contained within parentheses.

This event is being reported under the following criterion:

10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A), for any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of the reactor protection system and the PWR auxiliary feedwater system.

Rod Control System [JD](IRE):

The IRE system provides for reactor power modulation by manual or automatic control of full length control rod banks in a pre-selected sequence and for manual operation of individual banks. Alarms are provided to alert the operator in the event of a control rod deviation exceeding a preset limit.

Reactor Protection System [JC](IPE):

The Reactor Trip System automatically limits reactor operation to within a safe region by shutting down the reactor whenever the limits of the region are approached. The safe operating region is defined by several considerations such as mechanical/hydraulic limitations on equipment and heat transfer phenomena. Therefore, the Reactor Trip System keeps surveillance on process variables which are directly related to equipment mechanical limitations, such as pressure, pressurizer water level, and on variables which directly affect the heat transfer capability of the reactor. Other parameters utilized in the IPE system are calculated from various process variables. Whenever a direct process or calculated variable exceeds a setpoint, the reactor will be shut down in order to protect against either gross damage to fuel cladding or loss of system integrity, which could lead to release of radioactive fission products into the Containment.

The various reactor trip circuits automatically open the reactor trip breakers whenever a condition monitored by the IPE system reaches a preset level. Station operators may elect to actuate the reactor trip switchgear manually (manual reactor trip) using either of two control board switches. The manual trip consists of two switches, one for train A and one for train B, in the control room. Operating a manual trip switch removes the voltage from the corresponding undervoltage trip coil and energizes the shunt coil while actuating the associated Reactor Trip Breaker.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 08/31/2023



CONTINUATION SHEET

(See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk ail: oira.gubmission@omb.eop.qov. The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER	3. LER NUMBER			
Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2	05000414	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	
		2022	001	00	

Auxiliary Feedwater System [BA](CA):

The CA System provides an emergency feedwater supply to the Steam Generators SG in the event of a loss of the respective Unit's Feedwater System [SJ](CF) to remove energy stored in the core and primary coolant. This ensures the capability to transfer fission product decay heat and other residual heat loads from the Reactor Coolant System [AB](NC) during both normal operation and accident conditions. Three CA pumps are provided, powered from separate and diverse power sources. Two motor driven pumps are powered from two separate trains of emergency on-site power, each supplying feedwater to two steam generators. One turbine driven pump, supplying feedwater to all four steam generators is driven by steam from either the B or C steam generators. These pumps will automatically start upon receipt of a signal satisfying the logic for automatic start of the respective pump, or each pump can be manually started from the control room.

EVENT DESCRIPTION

At approximately 0224 on April 23, 2022, Operations was performing the quarterly rod control movement test. The first bank to be tested was Control bank D (CBD). Operators selected CBD and began moving rods inward. Rods correctly inserted a few steps and then CBD Group 1 began dropping into the core. Operations noted the dropping rods and released the in-hold-out switch which stopped demand for rod motion. The rods from CBD Group 1 were subsequently caught at heights near the bottom of the core. Operations verified through alternate indications that two rods had partially dropped and then manually tripped the reactor per plant procedures.

Catawba is designed to isolate feedwater during all reactor scrams and start auxiliary feedwater to provide core cooling until it is determined that main feedwater will be aligned. In the case of the Unit 2 scram on April 23, 2022, it was not desired to restore main feedwater via a normal timeline to preserve rod control failure evidence. There were no complications cooling the core with auxiliary feedwater during this time. When operations restored main feedwater, there was no evidence of any damage or equipment failure that would have prevented aligning main feedwater per normal post-trip response procedures.

CAUSAL FACTORS

Troubleshooting determined the cause of the event was an intermittent high resistance connection in the rod control system moveable regulation circuitry. This high resistance was the result of insulation that was inappropriately inserted into a crimped lug connection lessening the effectiveness of the electrical connection. The bad crimp resulted in a loss of power to the moveable grippers causing them not to engage the control rods. The connection was made during initial plant construction and degraded over time.

NRC FORM 366A (06-2016) Page 3 of 4

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 08/31/2023



LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) CONTINUATION SHEET

(See NUREG-1022, R.3 for instruction and guidance for completing this form https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1022/r3/)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T-6 A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0104), Attn: Desk ail: oira.submission@omb.eop.qov. The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the document requesting or requiring the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET NUMBER	3. LER NUMBER			
Catawba Nuclear Station, Unit 2	05000414	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	
		2022	001	00	

A cause evaluation determined the direct cause of the inadequate connection was inadequate work practices performed by the vendor at the time of construction. During that time, adequate work instructions and standards did not drive excellent craftmanship and instead relied on skill of the craft. Current work practices and qualifications by both the vendor and site personnel follow rigorous procedures and work practices to ensure electrical connections are adequately constructed.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- 1. Replace inadequate wire crimp discovered during initial troubleshooting (complete).
- 2. Ensure adequate standards and work instructions to support flawless execution of crimping connections (complete).
- 3. Update single point vulnerability strategy for affected cards to reflect the crimped connection failure mode and take actions as necessary to ensure the failure mode is effectively managed (planned).
- 4. Revise Long Term Asset Management risk matrix to reflect the risk of operation with single point vulnerability installed (planned).

SAFETY ANALYSIS

The manual Catawba Unit 2 reactor trip described in the event report is considered to be an uncomplicated reactor trip event with no significant impact on public health and safety. A post-trip review found no critical procedure or human performance issues with the operator response to the event. Therefore, it is concluded that the conditional core damage probability for the Unit 2 reactor trip was very low and did not cause a significant increase in risk to the public. The plant was returned to Mode 1 on April 26, 2022. No equipment important to plant safety was out of service at the time of the reactor trip. There was no radioactive release to the atmosphere during this event.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

There have been no previous Licensee Event Reports at Catawba Nuclear Station in the last 3 years with the same causal factor as this issue.