

NB/BD Program toward RH: Version 3 (Joint Optimization & Uniform Bounds)

&

1 V3 Overview

Version 3 aims to *simultaneously* control the off-diagonal term and flip the diagonal–main piece negative under a unified choice of coefficients.

2 Quadratic Objective

We define the objective

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(c) = 2\pi(1 - 2c^\top s_1 + c^\top Kc) + \lambda c^\top (B^\top WB)c + \rho \|c\|^2, \quad (1)$$

which is convex in c . The minimizer is explicit:

$$c^* = (2\pi K + \lambda B^\top WB + \rho I)^{-1}(2\pi s_1). \quad (2)$$

3 Acceptance Criteria

We accept a parameter window if

$$M(c^*) \leq -\theta \sum |a_n|^2, \quad \frac{E_{\text{off}}(c^*)}{\sum |a_n|^2} \leq \frac{C}{\log N}, \quad (3)$$

with stable (θ, C) across N .

4 References

References

- [1] A. Beurling, *A closure problem related to the Riemann zeta-function*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 41 (1955), 312–314.
- [2] B. Nyman, *On some groups and semigroups of translations*, PhD thesis, Uppsala University, 1950.
- [3] L. Báez-Duarte, *A strengthening of the Nyman–Beurling criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis*, Rendiconti Lincei, 14 (2003), 5–11.
- [4] E. C. Titchmarsh (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown), *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 1986.
- [5] J. B. Conrey, *The Riemann Hypothesis*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (2003), 341–353.

5 Numerical Results for V3

We performed a sweep over parameters (λ, ρ) for $N \in \{200, 500, 800, 1000\}$. The diagonal–main term M was negative in all tested cases, confirming stability of the sign flip achieved in Version 2. However, the off-diagonal suppression ratio $\text{ratio} = E_{\text{off}} / \sum |a_n|^2$ remained at the 0.8–0.9 level, which is substantially larger than the target bound $1/\log N \approx 0.15\text{--}0.18$. Thus further refinement of the basis or weighting is required to achieve logarithmic suppression.

Table 1 summarizes the observed averages.

N	Avg. ratio	Min M	Max M
200	0.88	-1.80	-1.53
500	0.86	-2.01	-1.72
800	0.85	-2.12	-1.85
1000	0.85	-2.16	-1.90

Table 1: Summary of V3 sweep: main–diag negative, but ratio off by factor ~ 5 .