

H U L L & W H I T E (1990)

Sergey Mazyavkin[†]

This Version: May 15, 2022

1 Introduction

The Hull-White (1990) one-factor model is a no-arbitrage short-rate model that fits exactly today's term structure by inserting a time-dependent drift into an OU short rate:

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - ar_t) dt + \sigma dW_t^{\mathbb{Q}}, \quad a > 0, \sigma > 0. \quad (1)$$

Unlike equilibrium models such as Vasicek, the initial curve is an input. The function θ_t is chosen so that model bond prices match $B_0(t)$ exactly. Forward-rate volatility declines with the forward's time to maturity, a distinctive feature relative to Ho-Lee.

2 Distribution and Intuition

Solving (1) gives

$$r_t = r_s e^{-a(t-s)} + \int_s^t e^{-a(t-u)} \theta_u du + \sigma \int_s^t e^{-a(t-u)} dW_u,$$

so that, conditional on r_s , r_t is Gaussian with

$$\mathbb{E}_s[r_t] = r_s e^{-a(t-s)} + \gamma_t - \gamma_s e^{-a(t-s)}, \quad \text{Var}_s(r_t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2a} (1 - e^{-2a(t-s)}),$$

where $\gamma_t = f_0(t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2a^2} (1 - e^{-at})^2$ and $f_0(t)$ is the instantaneous forward curve implied by $B_0(t)$. The mean reverts at speed a while variance saturates at $\sigma^2/(2a)$ as in Vasicek. Intuitively, the time-dependent shift θ_t is

[†]Accounting and Finance Division, Booth Street West, Manchester M15 6PB, UK, tel.: +44(0)161 306 0322, fax: +44(0)161 306 0322, e-mail: sergey.mazyavkin@manchester.ac.uk. All errors are my own.

exactly what forces the model to pass through today's curve, while (a, σ) govern dynamics around it.

3 No-arbitrage drift and pricing PDE

Matching $B_0(\cdot)$ implies the drift

$$\theta_t = \frac{\partial f_0(t)}{\partial t} + af_0(t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2a}(1 - e^{-2at}). \quad (2)$$

This makes the model arbitrage-free and curve-consistent. For any claim $V(r, t)$ the pricing PDE under \mathbb{Q} is

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + (\theta_t - ar)\frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} - rV = 0.$$

4 Bond pricing

Zero-coupon prices are exponential-affine in r_t :

$$B(t, T) = \alpha(t, T) \exp\{-\beta(t, T)r_t\}, \quad \beta(t, T) = \frac{1 - e^{-a(T-t)}}{a}. \quad (3)$$

The pre-factor is

$$\alpha(t, T) = \frac{B_0(T)}{B_0(t)} \exp\left\{\beta(t, T)f_0(t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{4a^3}(e^{-aT} - e^{-at})^2(e^{2at} - 1)\right\} = \frac{B_0(T)}{B_0(t)} \exp\left\{\beta(t, T)f_0(t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{4a}(1 - e^{-2at})\beta(t, T)^2\right\}. \quad (4)$$

These formulas make it straightforward to boot-strap $B_0(\cdot)$ and then evaluate $B(t, T)$ at any future time given r_t .

5 Bond options

Under the T -forward measure the forward bond $B(T, S)$ is lognormal with the same variance structure as in Vasicek. As a result, the Black-style closed form for a call on a S -maturity ZC bond, expiring at $T < S$, is identical in form to Vasicek:

$$c_t^B = A B(t, T) \Phi(h) - X B(t, T) \Phi(h - \sigma_P), \quad p_t^B = X B(t, T) \Phi(-h + \sigma_P) - A B(t, T) \Phi(-h),$$

with $A = \frac{B(t,S)}{B(t,T)}$, X the strike in forward-bond units, $h = \frac{1}{\sigma_P} \ln \frac{A}{X} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_P^2$, and

$$\sigma_P^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} (e^{-a\delta} - 1)^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2a(T-t)}}{2a}, \quad \delta = S - T. \quad (5)$$

Drift differences between Vasicek and Hull-White do not affect these option formulas because the forward measure removes drift.

6 Caps, floors, caplets, floorlets

A caplet on $[T, T + \delta]$ with strike K is a put on $B(T, T + \delta)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Caplet}(t) &= (1 + K\delta) p_t^B, \\ \text{Floorlet}(t) &= (1 + K\delta) c_t^B, \end{aligned}$$

with the same σ_P as in (5). Equivalently, one can write the Black-like form

$$\text{Caplet}(t) = (1 + K\delta) B_0(T) (K\Phi(-d_2) - B_0(T, T + \delta)\Phi(-d_1)),$$

with

$$d_1 = \frac{\ln \frac{B_0(T, T + \delta)}{K} + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2}{\nu}, \quad d_2 = d_1 - \nu, \quad \nu^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} (e^{-a\delta} - 1)^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2aT}}{2a}.$$

This is the standard calibration formula relating caplet Black volatility to (a, σ) .

7 Swaptions

There is no closed form for swaptions in one-factor Hull-White. Two tractable routes are common.

- Jamshidian's trick: decompose the coupon-bond option into a sum of ZC options using the unique r^* that makes the fixed leg equal to par. This gives an exact price but requires solving for r^* and summing ZC options.

- Couderc's Black-vol approximation: for ATM swaptions,

$$(\sigma_{\text{swaption}}^{\text{HW}}(T, n))^2 \approx \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i(T) (1 - e^{-ai\delta}) + \omega'_n(T) (1 - e^{-an\delta}) \right]^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2aT}}{2aT},$$

with weights $\omega_i(T) = \frac{B_0(T+i\delta)}{\sum_{j=1}^n B_0(T+j\delta)}$ and $\omega'_n(T) = \frac{B_0(T+n\delta)}{B_0(T) - B_0(T+n\delta)}$. This yields a fast least-squares fit of (a, σ) to ATM swaption volatilities.

Closed-form approximations to payer and receiver swaption prices based on forward-measure Gaussians are also available in the Couderc note (including the ATM condition defining the d adjustment).

8 Calibration

Two standard calibration passes are used.

1. Fit the curve exactly via (2) and (3) using $B_0(\cdot)$ from market instruments; extract $f_0(t) = -\partial_t \ln B_0(t)$.
2. Fit (a, σ) to option data. For caplets one can match Black caplet volatilities using

$$(\sigma_{\text{caplet}}^{\text{HW}}(T, \delta))^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{a^2} (e^{-a\delta} - 1)^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2aT}}{2aT}.$$

For ATM swaptions use Couderc's relation above. Calibrating to prices rather than implied volatilities is often more stable.

9 Exposure of an IRS

Given calibrated (a, σ) and θ_t fixed by the curve, simulate r_t on a grid using the exact normal transition

$$r_{t+\Delta} = \mathbb{E}[r_{t+\Delta} \mid r_t] + \sqrt{\text{Var}[r_{t+\Delta} \mid r_t]} Z,$$

with the mean and variance above, then revalue the IRS at each time using closed-form bond prices (3)-(4). From the panel of simulated values compute PFE, EE and EPE across time; this is the procedure in the exercise brief.