Scott Andrews

Work Based Investigation and Appraisal (BUSM1134/AS/A)
No. of responses = 10

Overall indicators

Global Index



av.=2.1
dev.=0.5

1. Questions



av.=2.1
dev.=0.5

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Questions

1.1) The module outline made it clear what I was expected to do



n=10
av.=2.1
dev.=0.3

1.2) I felt fully engaged with this module



n=10
av.=2.4
dev.=0.5

1.3) The module was well organised



n=10
av.=2.2
dev.=0.4

1.4) The module was relevant to my course of study



n=10
av.=2
dev.=0.5

1.5) I learnt a lot from this module



n=10
av.=2.2
dev.=0.6

1.6) The module challenged me to develop my thinking



n=10
av.=2
dev.=0.7

1.7) Blackboard (or PebblePad, module website or other virtual learning environment) was helpful to my learning



n=8
av.=2.1
dev.=0.6

1.8) I have been able to access the learning resources (library, IT and specialist resources) when needed



n=9
av.=2
dev.=0.5

1.9) The learning resources (e.g. library and IT resources, and specialist facilities) were satisfactory for my needs



n=9
av.=2
dev.=0.5

1.10) I felt able to obtain advice and assistance from the module tutor(s)



n=8
av.=1.9
dev.=0.6

1.11) I was provided with helpful feedback on my progress within the module (feedback may include Q&A sessions, tutorials, e-mail communications, feedback on assessments, etc)



n=9
av.=2.2
dev.=0.4

1.12) The assessment requirements and marking criteria were made clear



n=9
av.=1.9
dev.=0.3

1.13) I found the assessments completed so far stimulated my learning and developed my skills and knowledge



n=10
av.=2.3
dev.=0.5

1.14) The module enabled me to develop skills that will help my employability or career development



n=10
av.=2.2
dev.=0.4

1.15) Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this module



n=10
av.=1.9
dev.=0.3

Profile

Subunit: Worcester Business School
Name of the instructor: Scott Andrews
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Work Based Investigation and Appraisal (BUSM1134/AS/A)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Questions



1.1)	The module outline made it clear what I was expected to do	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.1 md=2.0 dev.=0.3
1.2)	I felt fully engaged with this module	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.4 md=2.0 dev.=0.5
1.3)	The module was well organised	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.2 md=2.0 dev.=0.4
1.4)	The module was relevant to my course of study	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.0 md=2.0 dev.=0.5
1.5)	I learnt a lot from this module	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.2 md=2.0 dev.=0.6
1.6)	The module challenged me to develop my thinking	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.0 md=2.0 dev.=0.7
1.7)	Blackboard (or PebblePad, module website or other virtual learning environment) was helpful to my learning	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=8	av.=2.1 md=2.0 dev.=0.6
1.8)	I have been able to access the learning resources (library, IT and specialist resources) when needed	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=9	av.=2.0 md=2.0 dev.=0.5
1.9)	The learning resources (e.g. library and IT resources, and specialist facilities) were satisfactory for my needs	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=9	av.=2.0 md=2.0 dev.=0.5
1.10)	I felt able to obtain advice and assistance from the module tutor(s)	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=8	av.=1.9 md=2.0 dev.=0.6
1.11)	I was provided with helpful feedback on my progress within the module (feedback may include Q&A sessions, tutorials, e-mail	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=9	av.=2.2 md=2.0 dev.=0.4
1.12)	The assessment requirements and marking criteria were made clear	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=9	av.=1.9 md=2.0 dev.=0.3
1.13)	I found the assessments completed so far stimulated my learning and developed my skills and knowledge	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.3 md=2.0 dev.=0.5
1.14)	The module enabled me to develop skills that will help my employability or career development	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=2.2 md=2.0 dev.=0.4
1.15)	Overall I am satisfied with the quality of this module	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	n=10	av.=1.9 md=2.0 dev.=0.3

Comments Report

2. Comments

2.1) What I liked most about this module was:

- THE PROCESS BEHIND PLANNING A PROJECT
- PID

PESTLE AND LOOKING AT INTERNAL & EXTERNAL FORCEFIELDS

I AM MORE COMFORTABLE WITH PROCESS BASED ASSIGNMENTS AND THIS IS EVIDENT IN MY GRADES. THAT SAID, IT WOULD PROBABLY MORE HELPFUL TO KEEP BRINGING THE GROUP BACK TO U/STANDING WHAT IS REQUIRED WAS A LITTLE CONFUSED ON ASSIGNMENT HANDED IN ON 8.4.16 AS TO HOW MUCH DETAIL WAS REQUIRED

TIME TAKEN TO EXPLORE THE DIFFERENT WAYS OF EVALUATING THE ORGANISATION

IT WAS PROCESS AND LOGICAL I LIKED THAT

ABLE TO LOOK AT A PROJECT THAT I AM INTERESTED IN AND LEARN HOW TO DEVELOP IT FURTHER

IT HAS ENABLED ME TO SEE MY ORGANISATION WITH A DIFFERENT LENSE

2.2) My suggestions for improving the module are:

. CLEARER OUTLINE FOR THE MODULE

The module seemed very text book based perhaps introducing some more external & relevant cases may create more discussion & interaction

USE PLAIN LANGUAGE. ALLOW TIME FOR PRACTICE THIS WAS IMPROVED IN THE LAST ASSIGNMENT.

MORE OPPORTUNITY FOR HAVING INPUT ABOUT WIDER ISSUES FOR THE SERVICE AS DEPENDANT ON EXPERIENCE LIMITED KNOWLEDGE OF EXTERNAL FACTORS AND THIS WOULD HAVE HELPED MOVE THINGS FORWARD

REASON FOR MY LOW MARK ON QUESTION 1-2 IS I WAS UNABLE TO MAKE THE SESSION SO FOUND MODULE HARD TO GET INTO DUE TO THAT FACT.

I WASNT ABLE TO ATTEND ALL SESSIONS SO BETTER RESOURCES TO HELP CATCH UP.

NOT SPACING THE SESSIONS OUT

MAKE ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS CLEAR - IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT COMPLETING A WORKBOOK WOULD BE ENOUGH FOR THE FIRST.