|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **REVIEW DOCUMENT** | | | | | | | |
| Start Date: 01.06.2021 | | | |  | | | |
| Project Name: Bus Ticketing System | | | | Number of Pages: | | | |
| Product Name: | | | | Product Definition: | | | |
| Roles: | Review Leader: | | |  | | | |
| Reviewers: | | | *1. Duygu Ersol* | | | *3. Zeynep Özge Tüzer* |
| *2. Müge Koç* | | | *4. Aylin Hasde* |
| Review Materials: | * *Vision (V)* * *Glossary (G)* * *Use Case Model (U)* * *System-Wide Requirements (S)* * *Risk List (R)* * *Project Plan (P)* * *Work Items List (W)* * *Next Iteration’s Plan (N)* * *Test Cases* * *Test Logs* | | | | | | |
| Total Number of Errors: 160 | | | | | | | |
| Assessment Status: | | | ACCEPTED  \_\_\_ as it is  \_\_\_ with rework | | REJECTED  \_\_\_\_ review again | | |
| ERROR TYPES | | | | | | | |
| Error Type Number | | Error Type Definition | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
| 1 | | Spelling (grammar, punctuation, etc) | | | | 8 | |
| 2 | | Inconsistency with the standards | | | | 2 | |
| 3 | | Uncertain | | | | 10 | |
| 4 | | Incorrect | | | | 111 | |
| 5 | | Inapplicable | | | | 0 | |
| 6 | | Internal Inconsistency | | | | 8 | |
| 7 | | External Inconsistency | | | | 0 | |
| 8 | | Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing | | | | 21 | |
| 9 | | Other | | | | 0 | |
|  | | **TOTAL** | | | |  | |
| Importance Types | | Importance Type | | | | Number of Errors Found | |
| L | | Little | | | |  | |
| M | | Medium | | | |  | |
| I | | Important | | | |  | |
|  | | **TOTAL** | | | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No** | **Reviewed**  **Material**  **ID** | **Section** | **Page** | **Line/**  **No** | **Error**  **Type** | **Importance** | **Explanation** | **Correction Status** | **Correction**  **Explanation** |
| **Project Plan** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **P** | 6 | 5 | 1 | 3 | M | Communicating more frequently is not specified. | REJECTED | Review explanation is not clear. we haven’t seen any problem here. |
| **Glossary** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **G** | - | - | - | 8 | M | More terms should be added such as investor company and so on. With the updated document there must be more terms in glossary. | ACCEPTED | explanation is added to the glossary |
| **System-Wide Requirements** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **S** | 2.2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | I | What means necessary? Uncertain conditions should be removed from requirements. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 2 | **S** | 2.3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | I | “Reporting requirements will be implemented in the second release of the system.” is not a requirement, it should be deleted. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 3 | **S** | 3.1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Why age 15 or older? How could you verify this requirement? | REJECTED | As explained in class, age 15 or older is a testable req. |
| 4 | **S** | 3.1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | I | Which fields are “Required fields”? Uncertain conditions should be detailed. | REJECTED | As explained in class, this is a testable req. |
| 5 | **S** | 3.1 | 2 | 5 | 4 | I | How could this requirement be tested? What does this mean clearly? | REJECTED | As explained in class, this is a testable req. |
| 6 | **S** | 3.1 | 2 | 9 | 4 | I | “but future releases will allow internationalization. Additionally, we are using validators for some form inputs and the language of validation messages can change depending on the language setting of the client browser.” part should be deleted, this is not a requirement. It can be changed as below:  The system shall use validators for inputs and language validation. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 7 | **S** | 3.1 | 2 | 11 | 4 | I | “ but this feature will be provided in the next version (future version) of the product in another development cycle.” is not a requirement, this should be deleted. | REJECTED | This is a supporting explanation for the req. |
| 8 | **S** | 3.2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | “We will use cloud vendor infrastructure to achieve the availability ratio. “ is not a requirement, this should be deleted. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 9 | **S** | 3.2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | I | “We will use cloud vendor infrastructure to achieve the target failure rate.“ is not a requirement, this should be deleted. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 10 | **S** | 3.3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | I | “we will use cloud vendor infrastructure to achieve this goal” is not a requirement, this should be deleted. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 11 | **S** | 3.4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | M | “should” should be used instead of “can also”. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 12 | **S** | 4.2.1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | I | “We plan to use Stripe for the first release. Stripe is a SaaS solution for worldwide payment processing. We can interface other payment gateways in the future releases.” is not a requirement, this should be deleted. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 13 | **S** | 8 | 6 | 4 | 1 | L | Typing error (needs should be need) | REJECTED | The plural form of “need” is “needs”. There is no typing error. |
| 14 | **S** | - | - | - | 1 | L | Date should be updated. | REJECTED | We have decided to keep the first date as the document date as a team and in every document. As a rule of thumb we add new revision row each time we update the document with the date information |
| 15 | **S** | - | - | - | 8 | I | Requirement numbers should be defined for traceability. | REJECTED | We already have our requirements as numbered lists and they can be easily traced using their bullet numbers. |
| 16 | **S** | **4.2.3 Communications Interfaces** | 4 | - | 4 | I | Communications interfaces to other systems or devices such as local area networks must be defined. This definition is wrong, we suppose.  Communication interface example: "This application uses Wi-Fi Direct to communicate Android devices" | REJECTED | As stated in class, this sentence correctly describes the system’s communication interfaces. |
| 17 | **S** | **7.3. Applicable standards** | 6 | - | 8 | M | Some standards related to the system may be described. For example articles related to bus ticketing system in literature may be investigated and may be used as a reference. | REJECTED | As stated in class, we do not use any standards related to bus ticketing systems. |
| 18 | **S** | **7.3. Applicable standards** | 6 |  | 4 | I | According to “However, the Open Unified Process model and its best practices shall be followed during the development stages.” definition in your document:  Open Up is not a standard. This information is not necessary under this topic. | ACCEPTED | Document updated. |
| 19 | **S** | **8.System Documentation** | 6 |  | 8 | I | Who will be responsible for creating the documents? According to the open Up process it should be identified also. | ACCEPTED | Document updated. |
| **Test Logs** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-01 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Successful message should be seen in that test case. | REJECTED | This is not a use case scenario. It is a test case scenario. If you accept the excepted result is correct then this means test case has passed |
| 2 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-01 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fulfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 3 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-01 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | The user is not specified, the test case should be repeated for each user type. | REJECTED | Actors are already mentioned on the test logs |
| 4 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-01 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | The test case should be mapped to use cases. | ACCEPTED | Test case ID updated |
| 5 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-02 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | The test case should be mapped to use cases. | ACCEPTED | Test case ID updated |
| 6 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-02 | 2 | 5 | 6 | M | This should be the 1st step of the test case. Otherwise logout should be added. | REJECTED | We can not add logout action to all test logs. You start from scratch in a new test case. |
| 7 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-02 | 2 | Expected result | 3 | L | "Passenger, Bus company user, Admin, Staff" should be changed as "User" or the specific user type like "Bus company user". | REJECTED | But we did all of them in single test case |
| 8 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-03 | 2 | Expected result | 3 | L | "Passenger, Bus company user, Admin, Staff" should be changed as "User" or the specific user type like "Bus company user". | REJECTED | But we did all of them in single test case |
| 9 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-03 | 2 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 10 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-04 | 3 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 11 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-05 | 3 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fulfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 12 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-05 | 3 | 4 | 3 | L | An info or error message should be shown to the user in the application. | REJECTED | Works as designed |
| 13 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-06 | 3 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fulfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 14 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-06 | 3 | 1 | 4 | I | Since MYES-TC-04 test data is same, the system gives an error (From city and To city selections cannot be the same) | REJECTED | Test case is not what you mentioned there. Please perform the written test case |
| 15 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-07 | 4 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 16 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-07 | 4 | 4 | 4 | L | In the application, the fields cannot be entered, there is a select-box. | REJECTED | Works as designed |
| 17 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-08 | 4 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail, especially when the test result is fail. | REJECTED | Works as designed |
| 18 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-08 | 4 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 19 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-08 | 4 | 4 | 4 | L | In the application, the fields cannot be entered, there is a select-box. | REJECTED | Works as designed |
| 20 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-09 | 4 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 21 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-10 | 4 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 22 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-10 | 4 | 1 | 4 | I | Button name is “Tamam”, it should be “OK”. | REJECTED | I don’t think it is an IMPORTRANT issue |
| 23 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-10 | 4 | 5 | 3 | M | The test steps should be more detailed. User should select a trip which has no reservation for this script. | REJECTED | If user has no reservation before use rwill not see any detail on the screen. |
| 24 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-11 | 5 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 25 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-11 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Test fail should be solved | REJECTED | Not implemented yet |
| 26 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-11 | 5 | 3 | 3 | M | How could the bus driver user account can understand this? In the application, bus drivers could not see purchased tickets. | REJECTED | Why driver wants to see this information? |
| 27 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-12 | 5 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 28 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-13 | 5 | 1 | 4 | M | Actual result should be written in detail. | REJECTED | As expected means post condition fullfilled. If that wasn’t pass there will be no as expected text on the document |
| 29 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-15 | 6 | - | 4 | H | This test could not be understood. The system does not give an error. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 30 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-17 | 6 | 3 | 4 | M | This should be deleted because it is not possible to click the user. | REJECTED | Delete button mentioned already on the test logs. No need to click the user |
| 31 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-20 | 7 | 4 | 1 | L | "active" as status part can be changed below for better understanding:  Check the status check box | REJECTED | Works as designed |
| 32 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-20 | 7 | 6 | 1 | I | The bus is created, but there is no warning in the application. | REJECTED | Works as designed |
| 33 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-21 | 8 | 4 | 3 | M | Instead of this, the user shall look at the list, note an existing plate number and write this plate number while creating a new bus. | REJECTED | Works as designed |
| 34 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-24 | 8 | - | 3 | M | The test script could be more detailed like “Select a bus which has no trip”. | ACCEPTED | Updated |
| 35 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-32 | 7 | Post Conditions | 6 | I | In the test cases document, post condition is:  "Zero or more trips are displayed" | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 36 | **Test Logs** | MYES-TC-38 | 14 | 6 | 8 | I | Could not be found in the glossary. | ACCEPTED | Glossary updated |
| 37 | **Test Log** | - | - | - | 2 | I | All expected results should be written from the user's point of view. Not added, updated or deleted, like the trip list is shown and the created can be seen from the list. All of them should be updated. | REJECTED | Expected Result is already linked with post condition |
| **Test Cases** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-01 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Successful message should be seen in that test case. | REJECTED | This is not a use case. It is a test case. If you accept the post condition is done after you test. Your job is done. |
| 2 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-01 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 3 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-02 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 4 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-03 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 5 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-04 | 2 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 6 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-05 | 3 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 7 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-06 | 3 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 8 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-06 | 3 | - | 4 | M | Price should be mandatory. For this release in the application, it is possible to create a trip which has 0 TL price. | ACCEPTED | Will be handled in source code during next iteration |
| 9 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-06 | 3 | - | 4 | I | In the application, it is possible to select the same driver and the same bus for different trips. | REJECTED | If the dates are different it can be |
| 10 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-06 | 3 | 4 | 4 | L | “01/05/2021” date can be changed to "today's date". | ACCEPTED | Date updated in description |
| 11 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-08 | 3 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 12 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-10 | 3 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | REJECTED | IT IS OR CLAUS. It is a naming convention. You should mention as one or more. Because, same test can be applied multiple times so we need to mention more than one time as well as single time |
| 13 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-10 | 3 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | Delete operation doesn’t need a data just select the user checkbox. There will be no place to enter data |
| 14 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-11 | 3 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | REJECTED | Same test can be applied multiple times so we need to mention more than one time as well as single time |
| 15 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-11 | 4 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | Delete operation doesn’t need data just select the user checkbox. There will be no place to enter data |
| 16 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-12 | 4 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 17 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-13 | 4 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 18 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-14 | 4 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 19 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-15 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 20 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-15 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 21 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-16 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | Retrieve operation doesn’t need a data |
| 22 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-17 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | Retrieve operation doesn’t need a data |
| 23 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-18 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | Retrieve operation doesn’t need a data |
| 24 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-19 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 25 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-19 | 5 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | REJECTED | Same test can be applied multiple times so we need to mention more than one time as well as single time |
| 26 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-20 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 27 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-20 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | REJECTED | Same test can be applied multiple times so we need to mention more than one time as well as single time |
| 28 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-21 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 29 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-21 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 30 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-22 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 31 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-22 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 32 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-23 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 33 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-23 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 34 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-24 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Description updated |
| 35 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-24 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | Delete operation doesn’t need a data just select the user checkbox. There will be no place to enter data |
| 36 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-25 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 37 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-25 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | Delete operation doesn’t need a data just select the user checkbox. There will be no place to enter data |
| 38 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-28 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 39 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-28 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | There is a misunderstanding here . You can use what ever you want as data. Because it is sign up. |
| 40 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-28 | 7 | Pre Conditions | 8 | I | MYES-TC-27 should be the pre-condition | ACCEPTED | Pre condition updated |
| 41 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-29 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Post condition is wrong because multiple delete is not possible. | ACCEPTED | Post condition updated |
| 42 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-29 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Required data should be given. | REJECTED | You can use what ever you want as data. |
| 43 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-24 | 6 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 44 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-30 | 76 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 45 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-31 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 46 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-32 | 7 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 47 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-33 | 8 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 48 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-33 | 8 | Pre Conditions | 8 | M | Below pre-conditions should be added:  Trip No 9 should be created.  Seat No 30 should be empty. | ACCEPTED | Pre condition  updated |
| 49 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-34 | 8 | Pre Conditions | 8 | M | Below pre-condition should be added:  Trip No 9 should be created. | ACCEPTED | Pre condition  updated |
| 50 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-35 | 8 | Pre Conditions | 8 | M | Below pre-conditions should be added:  Trip No 9 should be created. | ACCEPTED | Pre condition  updated |
| 51 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-34 | 8 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 52 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-35 | 8 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 53 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-38 | 9 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| 54 | **Test Cases** | MYES-TC-39 | 9 | 1 | 4 | I | Test description seems as unspecified, seems as repeated. | ACCEPTED | Test description updated |
| **Use Case Specification** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-1 | 6 | 4a and 4b | 4 | I | Successful scenario should have a result and extensions should be an alternative to that scenario. | REJECTED | Works as designed. |
| 2 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-2 | 6 | 4a and 4b | 4 | I | There is no success message, the system directs the user to the login page. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 3 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-2 | 6 | 4 | 8 | M | An error scenario should be written as 4a. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 4 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-2 | 6 | 3 | 8 | M | 3c User enters an invalid password scenario should be written. |  |  |
| 5 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-3 | 7 | 3a-1 | 8 | I | User should click "Add New Record" should be written as 1. | REJECTED | In usecases it is wrong to write exact button names. Use cases should be implementation agnostic. |
| 6 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-3 | 7 | 4a-2 | 8 | M | 2a User does not confirm scenario should be written. | REJECTED | When not confirmed nothing happens |
| 7 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-3 | 7 | 4b-1 | 6 | I | In the application, for this step, a past time can be selected for today. | ACCEPTED | Bug in software, we will update next release |
| 8 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-3 | 7 | 4b-1a | 4 | I | In the application, in this screen, an empty page is opened which has only a title as "Update Trip Details" and an "Update" button. Update button does not work. | ACCEPTED | Bug in software, we will update next release |
| 9 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | I | Trip cannot be selected. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 10 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-3 | 7 | 4a-4 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 11 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-3 | 7 | 4b-2 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 12 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-4 | 7 | 3a-2 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 13 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-4 | 7 | 4a-4 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 14 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-4 | 8 | 4b-2 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 15 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-4 | 8 | 3 | 4 | I | Could not be selected in the application. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 16 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-4 | 8 | 3a-1 | 8 | I | "User clicks Add New Record button" should be added as 1. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 17 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-5 | 9 | 3a-2 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 18 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-5 | 9 | 4b-2 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 19 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-5 | 9 | 4 | 4 | I | Could not be selected in the application. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 20 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-5 | 9 | 4a-2a | 8 | M | 2a. User does not confirm scenario should be added. | REJECTED | When not confirmed nothing happens |
| 21 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-5 | 9 | 4a-4 | 6 | I | There is no success message in the application. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 22 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-6 | 9 | 1 | 1 | I | Should be written in fully dressed format. | ACCEPTED | Not implemented yet, will be written in upcoming iteration. |
| 23 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-7 | 10 | 3a-2 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 24 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-7 | 10 | 4a-3 | 4 | I | There is no success message. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 25 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-8 | 11 | 3 | 3 | I | User enters key words. | REJECTED | Works as designed. |
| 26 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-8 | 11 | 4 | 4 | I | There is no filter in the application. | ACCEPTED | Extension part of use case is updated. |
| 27 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-9 | 11 | 3 | 6 | M | It is not possible to select in the application. | ACCEPTED | Use case is updated. |
| 28 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-13 | 11 | 1 | 1 | I | Should be written in fully dressed format. | ACCEPTED | Not implemented yet, will be written in upcoming iteration. |
| 29 | **U (Fully Dressed)** | UC-14 | 11 | 1 | 1 | I | Should be written in fully dressed format. | ACCEPTED | Not implemented yet, will be written in upcoming iteration. |
| 30 | **U (Brief Format)** | UC-3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | I | Trip cannot be selected. | ACCEPTED | Brief description is updated |
| 31 | **U (Brief Format)** | UC-4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | I | Trip cannot be selected. | ACCEPTED | Brief description is updated |
| 32 | **U (Brief Format)** | UC-5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | I | Trip cannot be selected. | ACCEPTED | Brief description is updated |
| **Vision** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **V** | - | - | - | 4 | L | Document date should be updated. | REJECTED | We have decided to keep the first date as the document date as a team and in every document. As a rule of thumb we add new revision row each time we update the document with the date information |
| **Design Document** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **D** | 2 | 3 | Manage Trip | 6 | I | Creation and update status of the trip is not returned to the user in the application. List of trips should be returned due to the application. | ACCEPTED | In class |
| 2 | **D** | Header |  |  |  |  | Date should be updated in headers. | REJECTED | We have decided to keep the first date as the document date as a team and in every document. As a rule of thumb we add new revision row each time we update the document with the date information |
| 3 | **D** | 2 | 4 | - | 8 | I | Other use cases which are implemented in this phase should be added. | REJECTED | In class. We have already explained it in the description. We have selected the most Architecturally important use-cases |
| 4 | **D** | 2 | 4 | Manage Reservations | 6 | I | For the first if of "alt":  Search and list of available trips should be in a loop. | REJECTED | In class. There is already a loop outside |
| 5 | **D** | 2 | 4 | Manage Reservations | 1 | L | For the first if of "alt":  display\_reservation\_form should be changed to make reservation request due to the application for better understanding.  display\_reservation\_form should be changed to make reservation request due to the application. | REJECTED | display\_reservation\_form and make reservation are separate system calls, changing the name would cause a confusion. |
| 6 | **D** | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | L | Sequence diagrams are also interaction diagrams. This heading can be moved under interaction diagrams. | REJECTED | This is not a normal sequence diagram, this is system sequence diagram as we have seen in OO course. For every operation in system seq. diag. we have separate interaction diagrams. |
| 7 | **D** | 3 | 7 | Manage Trip - update\_trip\_details diagram | 3 | I | Why is "create" used in update operation? If the trip is created again, there should also be a delete operation. | REJECTED | In class. It is about GRASP patterns that we saw |
| 8 | **D** | 3 | 5 | - | 8 | I | Other use cases which are implemented in this phase should be added. | REJECTED | In class. This is one of the |
| 9 | **D** | 3 | 8 | Manage Reservations - search diagram | 6 | I | There should be a loop for search operation according to use cases. | REJECTED | In class. Loop is already in the system sequence diagram |
| **Architecture Notebook** | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | **AN** | 1.Purpose | 1 | - | 8 | I | This definition is exactly the same as the Open Up architecture Template. It must be specified according to your project. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 2 | **AN** | 2.Architectural goals and philosophy | 2 | Bullet 6 | 8 | I | What about supportability? I think it must be added as you mentioned in the requirement document. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 3 | **AN** | 3.Assumptions and dependencies | 2 | Bullet 5 | 4 | I | This is a constraint not a dependency or assumption. Dependencies are about the order or sequence of tasks. Whereas constraints are limitations to the project, assumptions are events that are outside of the project manager’s and team’s control. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 4 | **AN** | 3.Assumptions and dependencies | 2 | Bullet 3 | 4 | I | this is not an assumption or a dependency | REJECTED | As stated in class, this is an assumption. |
| 5 | **AN** | 3.Assumptions and dependencies | 3 | Bullet 6 | 4 | I | This is just information not an assumption or dependency. | ACCEPTED | Document updated |
| 6 | **AN** | 3.Assumptions and dependencies | 2 | Bullet 7, bullet 8 | 4 | I | This is a constraint. It is not related to assumptions or dependency. | REJECTED | As stated in class, this is an assumption. |
| 7 | **AN** | 5. Decisions, Constraints and Justifications | 3 | - | 8 | I | We can not see the constraints information in the tables under the section. | REJECTED | As stated in class, technical memos include constraints such as Python being the prog.lang.chosen. |
| 8 | **AN** | 6.Architecture Mechanism | 7 | - | 8 | I | The purpose, attributes, and function of the architectural mechanism must be described. | REJECTED | As stated in class, enough information is provided in this section. |