17.

19. OPERATIONAL PLANNING DATA:

- a. Current Residence: Ignaclo Esteva 18-1 (has lived at this address during his whole tour in Mexico) (1).
- b. Telephone: None at home.
- c. Car: 1965 green Impala, diplomatic tags 19-DA (7).
- d. Office: Soviet Embassy, Tacubaya 204, telephone 15-60-55 (1). Often uses one of the reception rooms near the gate (21).
- e. Handwriting samples attached (the one in Russian was in Subject's possession, but it is known whether he himself actually wrote it).

18. OPERATIONAL STEPS TO BE CARRIED OUT IN 1	NEXT YEAR:
The Station is reviewing all of Subject's known contac	ts in a search for
The Station to reviewing	LA Martinez is
access agents. The case of Edmundo Fausto ZORRIL	
currently being investigated as a possible Hiegals lea	
A Marine & wife the control and the second of the control of the c	and the second s
	والمساورة والمنطورة أبيثنا أبياني أأواليا
	ر المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة المراجعة ال
	The second of th
•	
	the second of th
The second secon	
·	

100

LOGINOV handwriting sample, received 16 June 1967, from LIRENO

Valentus Lognina 15.60-55 Commel. 261 gyapje Besterbelect enero John Kongenes, relicioners falles of the control of the state of the control of t CARDED Source (6).








La 2- HALALA - 321,7,2

ne eenv



16. PAST OPERATIONAL ACTIVITY AGAINST SUBJECT:
In addition to routine coverage of Subject's activities in Mexico, the

following has been done:

a. Surveillance of Subject in Panama in Dec 1961.

b. In July 1966 information was passed to LITEMPO-8 "proving" that Subject was a dangerous KGB officer in an attempt to have him declared PNG, without success.

c. LICOZY-5 continues to be debriefed on his contacts with Subject, although LICOZY-5 has told Subject he will no longer act as an agent for the Soviets.

- d. Briefing of U.S. Embassy chauffeur whom Subject xx tried to develop.
- e. Debricfing of LICOWL-1 on his contacts with Subject.
- f. Station Panama City helped block visas for Subject and A.A. NIKITIN in Feb 1967.
- g. REEN Recruitment of Subject of 201-814144, whom Subject was cultivating. Contact now dead.
- h. Briefing of U.S. Embassy visa officer whom Subject tried to develop.
- l. Debriefing of Subject of 201-800412, who claimed Subject had tried to recruit him but who appears to be a fabricator.
- j. Debriefing of LITEASE-1 on his contacts with Subject.
- k. Debriefing of LIROBBIN-1 and 2 on their contacts with Subject.
- 1. Briefing of LIROAN-1 as access to Subject's friends at the club he belongs to.
- m. Direction of BESABER in his contacts with Subject.
- n. Debriefing of LIREALM-1 on his contacts

· with Subject.

o reducting of UROCKET-1.

of Defricting of # LICANNY-1.

OCT 4 1971
MICROFILMED

19. SOURCES LIENVOY, passin PACY- 7280, Dec 1961 42PA - 11914, 11 Jan 1962, passport photographis LIFIRE Travel resorts. 5. HAMA - 06881, 12 aug 65 passport photographo. 6. LICOZY-5 case file, passin LILYRIC + LIMITED surrellance 8 LICOWE - 1 case file, passim. 9 LNERGO report, 21 Dec 1965. 10 Contact Report of meeting with XADIDDLE, 28 Dec 1965 LICANNY-1 case file, passin ENPURE memorandiem, 21 Jan 1966 Station memorandum, 27 Jan 1966 14 LUPURE memor androw, 11 Feb 1966 LIROAN-1 information. 16. Station memorandiem, information from LITEMPO-8, 22 Jul 1966 17. Summary on Subject prepared by LIENVOY, 22 July 1966. 18 LICALLA surveillance, fassin. BESABER information. 20 Station memorandum, 30 Jan 1967. LIROCKET - 1 information. 32 LIROBBIN - 2 information.

LITEASE-1 information.

24 LNPURE memor andum, 25. LIREALM information 36. Info from Lucian Intgest of 201-814144. 27. Station memor of 37 Jun LNPURE officer :38 HMMW-13985, 16 Sef. 1965, Ngo traces en Subject. 29 HZPA- 11859, 22 Dec 1961. 30 Information from Suly of 30

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	V MARKAHON	Franklin Sala
اللبيط لتديد فالمبيد	SECRET	i hava a mas a
Chiet, SB Division	•	XX IND IND AND ARE
CHIEL SO DIVISION		Conversed to
Chief, WH Division		CAN Julie Profess .
Chief of Station, Mex	cico City	MICROALM
		entin Sergeyevich LOGINOV
Personality Abbedsmen	And Andrews	Maganda da d
		(201-285412)
1 Forwarded he	rewith is a Personalit	y Assessment Questionnair
on LOGINOV prepared b	y LIREALM-1 in Februar	y 1969.
both active participa LOGINOV for a little mutual contacts. As at social activities has never been a form	nts in the same activity over two years. In the a result LIREALM-1 has in the homes of these	te ciub in which they are ty, LIREALM-1 has known is ciub they have several met LOGINOV several times contacts. Although LOGINO 1, the relationship has in for LIREALM-1 to fill our maire.
a meaningiui Personai	ity Assessment 4doors	
		1/0000
	lanes/	1.000
	for/Willard C.	GURTIS O
** ***		
•		
· ·		
Attachment:	ent Questionnaire h/w	
Personality Assessment	cut dans tallimates with	
	•	
7		
Distribution: (2)- C/SB w/att h/w	•	•
2 - C/WH W/o att		
		201.215412
nill II .	ለለ ሰለከህ	
Att (h/w)	CS COPY	
AH (h/w)	OISPATCH SYNCOL AND NUMBER	DAIE
Att (h/w)		
Att (h/w) s reference to	DISPATCH SYNOGL AND NUMERS	DAIÉ

SECRET

133

SECRET

PERSONALITY ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

FORM 2825

SECRET

(4)

watt# 16 4mmA-36581

CS COPY

201-2854/2

PERSONALITY ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

- 1. This is a new, experimental version of the Personality Assessment Questionnaire (sometimes informally called the PRQ III) which has been in use for several years. This new form is largely a checklist and thus requires far less essay-type reporting than the previous form.
- 2. For a number of years, our operational psychologists have been developing a system for indirect assessment of target personalities. The system employs—in lieu of psychological tests and interviews—observations of appearance, manner, and style of behavior. Such observations are used as if they were items in a psychological test, and like test items, are weighted and analyzed to yield a personality profile. Working from the profile, operational psychologists describe the target personality's basic aptitudes, attitudes, motives and susceptibilities. The goal of the assessment is to identify feasible means of influencing the target person.
- 3. Reliability is vastly increased when two or more observers complete this questionnaire independently. All items need not be completed; in fact, it is a rare case where contact is sufficient to complete it fully. You are encouraged to guess where complete information is lacking. Mark such items with a "G," instead of the customary check mark or "X." Thus, an observer with very limited contact can be expected to enter more "G's" than "X's."

	efore completing the enclosed PAG ormation about yourselfs	torm, please p	rovide the follow-	
 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ge 39 Sex M Nationali	y VS		:
\$	ocial-cultural background:			-,
	Middle - class			,

How often have you observed the target individual?

3 to 4 times per month over two
Years

When did you last observe the target individual? Loist week. (wak of 27eb 1769)

What is the nature of your contact? (direct acquaintance, embassy receptions/parties, informants, debriefing of others, etc.)

Tennis dub.

5. Can you supply a specimen of the target individual's handwriting?

Yes____ No_X

6. Please supply the name(s) of any person you believe qualified to provide information about the target person, that is, anyone sufficiently knowledgeable to complete this form at least in part.

Gloria Pinto

PERSONALITY ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

I. DESCRIPTION

<u>.</u>	Overall Impression. (Check the most appropriate.)
1.01	Virile, athletic, practical, and socially facile ("Hail fellow")
1.02	Virile, rugged, practical, but socially guarded (authoritorian) X
1.03	Rather soft and sensuous but socially pleasant and appropriate (good guy)
1.04	Rather soft and sensuous but socially guarded and moody (a possible whiner)
1.05	Manly, clean-cut, professional, and socially smooth (executive type)
1.06	Austere, precise, and businesslike (dedicated worker type)
1.07	Cultured, neat, artistic, and socially smooth (diplomatic type)
1.08	Sensitive, intense, and self-preoccupied (artistic or absent-minded professor type)
1.09	None of these fit. I would describe him as:
<u>2.</u>	Dress. (Check the most appropriate.)
2.01 2.02 2.03 2.04 2.05 2.06 2.07	Well-groomed (natty) Neat (well-kept) Fastidious (fussy) Overdressed (prissy) Nondescript (bland) Underdressed (informal) Casual (indifferent)

2.03 2.09 2.10	Sloppy (paoriy kepi) Unkempt (bizarre) Style of dress			
2.10.01 2.10.02 2.10.03 2.10.04	Conventional for Cosmopolitan Outdated Extreme or unique			
<u>3</u> .	Head Characteristics.			
3.01	Skull measurements:			Mark Company of the C
3.01.01	Side to side Front to back	Wide	Avérage X Average X	Narrow Narrow
3.02	Forehead:	High	Average	Low
3.03	Eyes:	Wide-set	Average X	Close-set
3.04	Eyebrows: (a) (b)	Arched Bushy	Average X Average X Average X	
	(c)	High	. Average	

4.	General Characteristics.		. ,
4.0I	Age, weight, height:		
4.01.01	Haw old is he?	40 12	40±2
4.01.02	His apparent age is	Much younger About the same Mu	Younger Older
4.01.03	How tall is he?	510"	<u>s',</u> 10'

4.01.04		have a nickno , does he obje			Yes	_No <u>X</u>
	being	addressed by I	his nickname?		Yes	_ No
4.01.05		lressed by a di Jack, Hank,			Yes_X	No
			: .			
4.01.06	Doës he marks?	have any scan	s or other disti	nguishing	Yes	No X
• ,	If so,	please describ	e:	: "	,	
			•	•	-	
•				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
4.02	Hair:	rguage e com	ring of the factor of the fact			
4.02.01		Very light	Light Dark	Mediun Very dark	1 <u>X</u>	- A
4.02.02	Wayiness	Curly	🕻 Average	Straight		
4.02.03	Amount	Thick	Average \(\) Bulding	Sparse Bald		. ' .'
4.02.04	Grooming	We	II-groomed Average	Unruly Unkempt		· ·
4.02.05		nything unusuo ise describe:	ıl about his ha	ir or hair style i)	- *- ;;
;	ir so, piec	se describe:				• •
•	. II.				ing says -	- +-
4.03	Eyes:					
4.03.01	Color	Gray	Green	Blue		•
		Yellowish	Hazel	Light brown Brown		
4.03.02	Wears eyes	glasses Always	To read	Neve	, X	

4.03.03	Eyegloss lens	. Thick	_ Average	Bifocal
4.03.04	Eyeglass style		ge frameCo	Rimless ontact lens
4.03.05	Is there anything unu special mention. If s			
4.03.06	Does he take off glas	ses when he spec	oks? Ye	sNo
4.03.07	Does he use glasses to	gesture?	Ye	sNo
4.03.08	Does he ever wear sun	glasses indoors?	Ye	s No_X
4.04	Complexion:			
4.04.01	Coloring	Ruddy	Dark Light X	TanPale
4.04.02	Face (a) (b)	Scarred Beard Mustache		Smooth an-shaven X Unshaved
4.04.03	Describe type of musto	oche or beard:		
4.04.04	Body hair (arms, legs,	chest) Heavy	Medium	Light X
4.04.05	Hair visible on nose?			
4.04.06	Hair visible on ears?			
4.04.07	Is there anything unusual coloring? If so, pleas		oout his skin or s	kin

4.05	Face:
4.05.01	Expressive Average X Inexpressive Very expressive
4.05.02	If expressive, is face Natural Tense
4.05.03	If inexpressive, is face Relaxed Deadpan
4:05.04	In general, are facial responses Inappropriate Appropriate
4.05.05	Is there anything unusual or striking about his facial expressions? If so, please describe: when tense, he arches both eye brows and tilts head back.
د. مالي الم	
4.06	"Lips:
4.06.01	Type Protruding Pursed Thin X Full Loose
4.06.02	Smiles (a) Spontaneously Tensely Mechanically (b)Very frequently Frequently Average Infrequently Never
4.06.03	Have you ever seen him smile to himself? Yes X No
4.06.04	If you have seen him having his picture taken, can he smile on command? Or is he wooden and rather ill-at-ease? Yes Y No Yes No
4.06.05	If you have not seen him having his picture taken, what kind of a picture do you think he would prefer? Serious Smiling
4.06.06	Is there anything about his lips or smile that is unusual or striking? If so, please describe:

4.07	Teeth			
4.07.01	Shape		Regular X Protruding	Average Unusual
4.07.02	Do you get the feeling h If Yes, under what circu	e clenches mstances?	his teeth? Y	es No <u></u>
,				ranja November
		• -		en e
	; ;			. :
4.07.03	Does he have any gold to	eth or unu	ual dental work	?
			Y	es No <u>X</u>
4.07.04	When he smiles, does he			
- Jana	Show his teeth?	4 + 2 3 3	-11 Y	esNo>
. "	Purse his lips? Put hand over mouth?		Y	es No 'es No
<i>i</i> ,	Tot hand over mounts	,		
4.07.05	Does he put his hand over	r his mouth	when talking?	
		Occ	Never casionally	Always
4.07:06	Color of teeth	White 📐	Dull	Stained
4.07.07	Is there anything unusual If so, please describe:	or striking	about his teeth?).
	المستقل المستق			_
· · · · ·				******
4.08 F	Posture:			
4.08.01	Straightness	Round-	shouldered	SlumpedStiff
4.08.02	Is posture maintained?	·Co	onsistently X	Variable
4.08.03	Shoulders	Broad-s	houldered X Average	Slaping

4.08.04	Body build Skinny	Average Heavy X Obese
4.08.05	When conversing, in what direction in relation to the other p	erson?
4.08.06	Does posture, when listening, If so, please describe:	differ from posture when talking? No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4.08.07	Sitting Posture (a) Relaxo (b) Ere	ed Average Stiff ct X Average Slumped
4.06.08	When in a group, is he incli	Move about in one place
aren er (d. 1867) Arrigan	Sit dowr	Lean-against the wall even though others are standing Place his arms on others
4.08.09	If taller than average, does he to the group?	ne bend over to come down YesNo X
4.08.10	If shorter than average, does	he stand up to the group? Yes No
4.08.11	When listening to a lecture (or does he	or watching a play or movie) Sit still, stare at the speaker X ove about, fidget, change position
4.08.12	Is there anything about his po that warrants special mention	
, , ,		
4.09	Hands:	
4.09.01	Dominance	Right-handedLeft-handed
4.09.02	Is he inclined to use his less o	Iominant hand? Frequently Rarely Sometimes Never

4.09.03	Size of hands	Very large	Large X	Average
'	His use of his hands	i Ganadul	Small	Very small
4.09.04			٠.	` ,
4.09.05	In normal situations	, his arms hang	Loose	Stiff Average X
4.0 9.06	- When standing (talk	king or listening)	he has o ter	idency
			To open and cl	ose his manas nds in pocket
			Run hand over	
4.09.07	If he puts hands in p	pockets, he is m	ore likely to p	ut them in
•			Paints	_ Coat
4.09.08	Nails Clean, no	nt manicured X	Manicured	Average
4.07.00	Trons Creaty no	Ragged	Dirty	Bitten
			- ', , ,	
4.09.09	If nails are bitten, to	what extent? Barely	Average	Deeply
		-		Deeply
4.09.10	Are fingers	Blunt	Average λ	Long
4.09.11	Are hands	Callous		Average X
4.09.32	In public, does he		Smooth	Soft
*				or pick nose ck of neck
• - ·	and the services	Rur	n hand over fac	
ست أنت سان		Sci	ratch any part	of his body
•				his clothes
		Ne	ver do any of ti	nese things 🔀
4.09.13	Does he put his arms o	on the table?	Often	Sometimes
,			Rarely	Never
4.09.14	Does he put his elbow	s on the table?	Often Rarely	Sometimes
4.0 ⁹ .15	When sitting at a tab			
•	talkor listen	_ with his head i		
	his hands?		Often_ Rarely	Sometimes
		•	- /_	 :

4.09.16	When talking, does he tend to		lose his eyes over his eyes
			Sometimes
• •		Rarely	Never V
4.09.17			to do it
		Tricil usicining io	
4.09.18	Does he tap fingers on table?		
37. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	Never _	_Occasionally	Often
4 00 10	4 1		
4.09.19	Is he more inclined to do this wh	en Listening	Thinking
	Tolking	Listening	_ '''''
4.09.20	Does he	Rattle change i	n his pocket 💹
-		Toy with	some object
		. Often	Sometimes
		Rarely	Never X
4.10	Feet:		
4.10.01	Does he tend to show foot preference	ce? Left	Right
4.10.02	Does he work to keep in step when	walking with som	. —
4.10.03	Does he cross feet at ankles when so	eated?	No
4.10.03		Yes	No
4.10.04	If so, which foot is over the other?	Left	Right
4.10.05	Does he cross legs Only wh	en he faces in a n	ew direction
			he sits down
		. Shortly after	
•			Occasionally Never
4.10.06	If he crosses legs, which leg is on to	op? Left Depends on how	Right he is sitting
4.10.07	Does he change his crossed leg Frequently	Depends on how Occasionally	he is facing

4.10.08	Compared to most people, does he walk Faster Slower Same speed_	
4.10.09	If he is out of step with you, does he notice it and get in step? Yes No	
4.10.10	In conversation when he becomes intense, does he Uncross his legs and lean forward Uncross his legs and lean backward Cross his legs and sit upright Shift to a more erect position Shift to a more relaxed position Make little change in position	<u>-</u>
4.10.11	Are his feet Large Average X Small	· ·
4.10.12	Are his shoes (a) Pointed Average X Blunt (b) Highly polished Average X Not well kept	
4.10.13	Is there anything about his feet or legs that warrants special mention? If so, please describe:	
		٠.
4.10.14	When his legs are crossed, does he Sit quietly Move his foot Swing or wiggle his leg	
4.10.15	Does he ever tap his foot? No Yes	_
4.10.16	Does he swing back and forth on his feet when standing and talking in a Often Rarely group? Sometimes Never	-
4.10.17	When walking, are his steps Long Normal X Short	-
4.10.18	When he walks, do his arms Swing with his stride Swing, but out of rhythm Hang tense	
4.10.19	When he walks, do his toes Turn out Stay straight Turn in	

4.11	Voice:	•
4:11.01	Is his voice pitched Low Medium X High	٠,
4.11.02	Does he speak Quietly Average X · Loudly	<u>. </u>
4.11.03	In his native language, is his tone quality noticeably Louder Quieter About the same	· ·
4.11.04	Does he have a speech impediment? If Yes, please describe: Yes No	Χ.
4.12	Use of Language	
4.12.01	Does his native language vocabulary seem Rich Limited Average Poor	_
4.12.02	In his best language, other than native; is he Fluent Barely fluent Moderately fluent X Poor	_ _ _
4.12.03	Does he pick up idioms Quickly Slowly Average X Not at all	<u> </u>
4.12.04	Does he learn foreign languages easily Yes No If Yes, does he Learn primarily by "feel" and by "trial and error"	_
4.12.05	Learn primarily from textbooks In his best second language, can he switch from his native language Smoothly with little hesitation Effectively with average hesitation Slowly with considerable hesitation Awkwardly with considerable embarrassment	
4.12.06	When he misuses language, does he ordinarily appear Oblivious of it Aware of, but indifferent to it Aware of, and anxious about it Very aware of it and quite embarrassed	

4.12.07	In general, would you charac Lac	iterize him as onic Average 🔀 Garrulaus
4.12.08	In ordinary conversation, doe	s the content tend to be primarily
		Personal Impersonal
		Interpersonal Guarded
4.12.09	In ordinary conversation, is th	ne content
3		Precise and to the point
• • •		Relevant
ran en		Average
		To the point but rambling
		Irrelevant
4.12.10	Does he	Often discuss personal problems Rarely discuss personal problems
4:12.11	Does he use profanity in his nat Occasion	
4.12.12	Does he use profanity in an acq Occasion	uired language? ally Frequently Never_X
4.12.13	Is there anything about his mann style that is unusual. If Yes, please describe:	ner of speech or conversational Yes No
4.13 C	Sestures:	
4.13.01	Does he gesture when he talks?	Always Sometimes
		Never X
4.13.02	His most characteristic gesture i	Pointing with finger Waving one hand
-		Sweeping both hands Chopping both hands

	and the second s			•
4.13.03	When he gestures, the motion is	hije .		
	Graceful	Average	Mechanical	
4.13.04	When engaged in conversation, does		<u> </u>	-
	when engaged in conversation; does	one rense up Often	Rarely	
. Ž		Sometimes 2		<u>.</u>
4.13.05				
4.13.00	It is relatively easy to tell when he i	is really interes		
		Sometimes	Never	•
4.13.06	His eyes are very expressive Always	Sometim	es X Rarely	
4.13.07	Most people would find his eyes	Required	: Average Y	
	most people modia that his eyes	Pleasant	Average X Unpleasant	•
			Sinister	
4.13.08	He maintains eye contact	Almana	Frequently λ	
4.19.00			Never	
4.13.09	In a group, have you ever seen him so who seemed to interest him? Often_	Sometimes	Never X	
4.13.10		n attractive gir Average have never seer	Subtle X	
	Have you ever seen him blush?	igve never zeei	res X No	
	If Yes describe the situation.			
	His political comment	s, were	SACCET LO !!	٧
CV.	ranged at a party.	HII pre	cent, incli	dung
hn	nself, were embarrais	ed by	the contro	noithin
4. (3.))	Is there anything particularly striking a about the movements he makes with his			
:	way he behaves in a group? If so, plea	se describe:	<u>ye</u>	\$,
,		·		
	See 4,05.05	- .,		
- '	, , , , , ,	,*	٠.	

4.14	Sleep			•		
4.14.01	Does he		and fall off easily to prepare himse		Yes	_ No
		for sleep?		"	Yes	No
4.14.02	Does he	Rise easily? Prefer to rem	ain abed until the		Yes	_ No
		last moment?			Yes	_No
4.14.03	Is he	An early start A late starter	`		:	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.15	Impressions:					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.15.01	To the bes would find		edge, do you thin Charming Unpleasant Sexually	Pleasant Very	Avera unpleasan	ř
4.15.02	To the bes		edge, do you hir Charming Unpleasant	Nost men Pleasant		ge X
4.15.03	Without pr		if you saw him o Nondescript			ng
4.15.04			and on the basis o link he might be?	f looks alone	, which	
			Peasant Worker Merchant	Milit	Executive Profession ary Office some type	al
4.15.05		about the ove	orly striking and w rall impression he		ier	Yes
	loo		boxee	athlet	ic.	

II. BACKGROUND

<u>5.</u> <u>C</u>	General .
5.01	Ethnic Background:
5.01.01	Please describe his ethnic background.
	Slavic - blond
, ,	
5.01.02	How does he compare with others of this background? Like most $\underline{ imes}$
	A stereotype
	I would never guess his background
\$ * .* **, *	1 Woold Hevel goess instances ground
5.02	Cultural Buckground:
5.02.0l	If he had his personal choice, do you think he is the kind of person who, living in a foreign culture would
3 . 4	Never change his accustomed way of living
	Maintain his accustomed way of living but
	show some interest in the local culture
* • • • •	Show some interest in the local culture and adapt his ways Conditive if he had his chance
	Go native it he had his chance
5.02.02	Compared with his own group, he is just like all the rest of them X
	Somewhat different than most of them
- ,	Unique
5.03	Educational Background:
	and the first state of the stat
5.03.01	What is his educational background? Please describe:

5.03.02 In your opinion, compared to most people in his culture with a similar background he Is as well-educated Is better informed Appears better educated than he claims Appears less educated than he claims I cannot conceive of him having the background he claims If you doubt his background, please comment:

<u>6.</u>	General Impression of Background (Check the most appropriate
6.01	Strong and rugged (a man's man)
6.02	Strong, rugged, but independent (a self-sufficient loner)
6.03	Compassionate, sensual and understanding (a woman's man)
6.04	Moody, emotional, and sometimes bitter (the "misunderstood" man)
6.05	Forthright, intelligent, and responsible (the professional man)
6.06	Proficient, intelligent, and intense (the technical man)
6.07	Sensitive, cultured, and sophisticated (the "Madison Avenue" man)
6.08	Sensitive, temperamental, and unconventional (the Bohemian man)
6.09	None of these: I would describe him as:

	III. SOCIAL BEHAVIOR	
7.	General Impression. (Check the most appropriate.)	•
7.01	The kind of a man who is usually punctual, inclined to greet every- one informally and who appears to be comfortable with himself when he enters o room.	
7.02	The kind of a man who is always punctual, inclined to greet everyone somewhat awkwardly and who appears to be uncomfortable when he enters a room.	<u></u>
7.03	The kind of man whose punctuality is unpredictable, who is inclined to be very warm and friendly with everyone he knows, and who appears confident when he enters a room.	
7.04	The kind of man who is punctual when he makes a date, but who is inclined to cancel engagements rather often, inclined to greet only those people he has met before and is somewhat retiring or standoffish when he enters a room.	
7.05	The kind of man who is inclined to keep you waiting but is gracious and attentive when he arrives, inclined to be precise and proper as he greets everyone and can be quite impressive when he enters a room.	•
7.06	The kind of man who is always punctual, inclined to be very formal in his greetings and is most often stiff and unbending when he enters a room.	<u> </u>
7.07	The kind of man whose punctuality is unpredictable, who is inclined to be charming and sophisticated as he greets everyone and is quite socially poised when he enters a room.	_

7.08	The kind of man who is often quite late—and indifferent about it—inclined to be short and curt in his greetings
	and is sometimes awkward when he enters a room.
7.09	None of these. I would describe him as:
<u>8.</u>	Meeting People:
8.01	When he shakes hands Does he move toward you or Do you most often have to move toward him 🔀
8.02	When he shakes hands, is his grip Very firm Average Firm Limp
8.03	When he shakes hands, does he Pump your hand Squeeze your hand Let you do all the work
8.04	Is there anything about the way he shakes hands worthy of special mention? If so, please describe:
8.05	When he is first introduced, does he Look the person in the eye to whom he is being introduced Cock his head toward the introducer but. look at the person to whom he is being introduced
	Cock his head and look at neither

8.06	When he first hears a name, he	
	Does nothing obvious to remember it	
•,	Repeats it as he acknowledges the introduction	
	Asks the introducer to repeat or verify it	
:``	Asks the person to whom he is being introduced	
. ,	to repeat the name	
8.07	If calling cards are exchanged, does he	
	Read the card and carefully put it away	X
	Read it and stick it in his packet	
	Carefully put it away without reading it	
	Stick it in his pocket without reading it	
8.08	When introducing someone, does he do so	
-	With ease and grace	
3	With some slight embarrassment or hesitation	<u>:X</u>
·	With considerable awkwardness	
8.09	To what extent is his manner different when he is being introduced	
0,0,	to women? Not at all Normal X Marked	•
	The state of the s	
8.10	If he shows a difference, which of the following describes it?	
	Uses both hands when he takes her hand	
ساسائميت مما	Moves in closer when acknowledging the introduction	
, .	Becomes more formal and distant	-
×. • •	Becomes warm and effusive	
٠, -	Becomes warm and effusive only with pretty girls	
-	Is very courteous and gracious to older women	
	Varies, depending on the woman	
to Artist Control	If so, please explain:	

8.11	8.11 When introduced to a fair number of people at the same time, does he Linger a bit with each person to whom he is introduced Acknowledge the introduction quickly and wait for the next Look toward the next person almost at the same time he is shaking hands with the person whom he has just met				
8.12	In general, does he strike		ember a name verage manner mbering names		
8.13	Has he ever asked you to re previously introduced him?		om you had Yes <u>X</u>		
9. <u>Sm</u>	oking and Eating:				
9.01	Ŝmoking:				
9.01.01	Does he smoke? Cigarettes Regularly Occasionally Never	Cigars Regularly Occasionally Naver	Pipe Regularly Occasionally Never X		
9.01.02	How much does he smake	? Heavy	Average		

. 9.01.03	Does he use his own	Lighter	Matches
9.01.04	Is he the kind of person who	Frequently a	ing for a light sks for a light
			his own light
9.01.05	Does he use a halder?	Cigarette	Cigar
-		Always Sometimes Never	Always Sometimes Never
9.01.06	Does he clip cigars? Always	Sometimes	Bites off end
9.01.07	Does he care for his pipe?	Fastidiously	Average
9.01.09	When lighting a cigarette does he Obviously Have you ever seen him hold an u a pause in the conversation before	duck his head and o Light it casually v nlighted cigarette	while talking
9.01.10	Have you ever seen him put a cigo match poised, waiting for the a		
9.01.11	Have you ever seen him chew on a or cigarette?	ın unlighted cigar, No	pipe, Yes
9.01.12	Is he a chain smoker who Li Smokes his cigarette down to Always keeps a cigar		ghts another
2.01.13	Does he smake Only when Between the entree	offered a cigarette	
.01.14	is the presence of tobacco stain on	•	Very marked Noticeable noticeable No stain

9.01.15	When smoking, does he Allow a long ash to accumulate on his cigarette flick off asnes in a normal manner
ing the second s	Become unusually preoccupied with the ashtray Let ashes fall to the rug Cup his hand under his cigarette Always use an ashtray
9.01.16	Does he Put out a cigarette with elaborate ashtray ritual Seem to put out cigarettes in a normal manner Seem careless about how he puts out a cigarette
9.01.17	Does he Show obvious enjoyment when smoking Show average satisfaction Smoke perfunctorily and mechanically
-9.01.18	Is he the kind of person who offers a light to others Always Seldom Frequently Never
9.01.19	Is he the kind of person who Will walk across a room to offer a light to a lady Is conventional in how he offers a light to a lady Will seldom offer a light to a lady
9.01.20	To your knowledge, has he given up smaking for reasons of health? Yes No
9.01.21	Have you ever heard him mention that he should give up smoking 6ut cannot Has tried to stop but could not
9.01.22	Have you ever heard him mention that he is bothered by the smell of Cigars Pipes Cigarettes Never heard him mention any of these
9.01.23	Is there anything about his smoking habits that requires special mention or special description? If sa. please describe:

9.02	Eating:
9.02.01	Is his mode of eating Typical of most of his colleagues Somewhat different than most of his colleagues Markedly different than most of his colleagues
9.02.02	Is he a Hearty eater Average eater X Light eater
9.02.03	Is the fact that he has marked food preferences. Very noticeable Not particularly noticeable. Not at all noticeable.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	TVOI UI UII TIOTICEGOIE
9.02.04	Does he Eat with relish Enjoy his food in a conventional manner Seem indifferent to food
9.02.05	Does he eat Quickly Average X Slowly
9.02.05	Is he generally inclined To take second helpings To take all he wants the first time around Often leaves some of his food untouched
7.02.07	Does he Dwell on how much he misses his native foods Show conventional interest in local foods Indicate considerable interest in new and unusual foods
9,02,08	(a) He does not eat while Talking Listening
į	(b) He does eat while Talking X Listening X
9.02.09	Does he show a tendency to adapt to the table etiquette of the people with whom he is dining? Maintains his familiar style of eating at all times
2.02.10	When given a chance, does he, for other than business reasons, suggest a preferred place to eat? Always Sometimes Rarely, if ever X
9.02.11	When eating out It is very difficult for him to select his meal Waits to hear what his companion orders and orders same thing Always knows what he wants very quickly
9.02.12	When eating out, he is Somewhat extravagant when he knows you are paying Very cost-conscious when he knows he is paying Very cost-conscious no matter who is paying Generally indifferent about cost no matter who is paying

	and the second s
9.02.13	Is he the sort of person who Usually wants to check the bill regardless of who is paying
•	Is indifferent about the bill when someone else is paying
9.02.14	When he is paying, he checks the bill Unobtrusively Very carefully (and rather obviously)
	very edictions (dilutionies obviously)
9-02.15	When he pays his check, does he Obviously count his change Show indifference when accepting his change
	Take his change in a normal manner
9.02.16	··· When he deale with her downtoner to be
7.02.10	When he deals with headwaiters, is he Aggressive Normal and conventional
	Somewhat ingratiating
	Somewhat mighthat the X
	The state of the s
9.02.17	Is he prone to complain when service does not suit him? To his companion Directly Not at all
9.02.18	He handles waiters Over friendly Unusually polite
9.02.19	Is there anything about his eating habits or manners that requires special mention ? If so, please describe:
. ' :	
9.03	Overall impression of eating and smoking behavior. (Check the most appropriate description.)
9.03.01	A hearty eater with well-defined preferences. He eats and smokes with considerable gusto but tends to be a little on the coarse and boorish side. It is rather easy to imagine him eating huge sandwiches and drinking beer with the boys at the neighborhood bar.
9.03.02	A heavy eater with limited preferences. He eats and smokes with gusto but his manners are definitely coarse and boorish. It is rather easy to imagine him becoming quite sharp with a waiter who serves him unfamiliar food or a dish prepared in an unfamiliar way.
2.03.03	A sensual eater who knows all the restaurants and their native specialties. He is very anxious to have others try dishes he has discovered. He eats with obvious pleasure, savors his smokes, and though rarely gauche, he is more aware of his food than his manners. It is easy to imagine him cooking and serving special dishes to his friends.

- A sensual eater who tends to eat by himself when possible.

 He seems to eat all types of food and has something of the air of a glutton about him. He eats with obvious pleasure, savors his smokes and afterwards will linger alone at a table with self-satisfaction. It is easy to imagine him hovering alone over the buffet at a cocktail party savoring the tidbits.
- 9.03.05 A conventional eater who usually goes along with the crowd. He probably has food allergies that prevent him from eating certain foods. He gives the impression of enjoying smoking more than eating but his table manners are adapted to whatever situation he is in. It is easy to imagine him taking an indigestion pilt in the privacy of his raom.
- 9.03.06 A conventional eater who always goes along with the crowd. He eats with little relish and rarely mentions anything about his likes or dislikes. He smokes at every opportunity, but more mechanically than with pleasure. He usually tries to adapt his manners to the situation but may be a little awkward and obvious in doing so. It is easy to imagine him grabbing a bite at a sidewalk stand at any time of day.
- 9.03.07 A gourmet eater who has marked and varied sophisticated food tastes. Dining is quite a ritual with him, and atmosphere and service are almost as important as the food. He is graceful and cultured at table and smokes in the same manner. It is easy to imagine him dressing for dinner, enjoying fine wines and food by candlelight.
- 9.03.08

 An unconventional eater, a man who "eats like a bird."

 He eats in a preoccupied way, obviously indifferent to his food and surroundings. It would not be surprising to learn he was a food faddist (vegetarian, health foods, etc.).
- 9.03.09 None of these descriptions really fit.
 I would describe him as:

9.04	Drinking:
9.04.01	Does he drink? Not at all Average X A little Heavily
9.04.02	Does he drink alone (solitory drinker) with others mainly for sociableness on formal occasions only after work, at hame
9.04.03	Does he appear to drink primarily for the taste primarily for the effect for both
9.04.04	His favorite drink is Beer Wine Whiskey Vodka Gin No preference
9.04.05	He prefers Cocktails Highballs Short drinks Straight shots
9.04.06	He drinks Slowly Average X Quickly
9.04.07	Does he Gulp his drink Sip his drink X
9.04.08 9.04.09	Does he Go out of his way to get a refill Usually wait until asked Always wait until asked
7.04.07	Attitude toward wines? Enjoys but not particularly knowledgeable Indifferent about wines Knows nothing, couldn't care less
9.04.10	Does he Talk at length (and obviously get pleasure from talking) about his liquor preferences. Talk about his liquor preferences no more than anyone else. Never talk about his liquor preferences
9.04.11	Is he openly proud of his native drinks and does he urge others to try them? Yes No X Is he conventionally aware of his native drinks and offer them when appropriate? Yes No Daes he rarely mention his native drinks and not "push" them? Yes No

9.04.12	Does he Pay little attention to the drinking needs of others Serve drinks in a socially appropriate manner Tend to force drinks on others
9.04.13	Compared with his colleagues, what are his drinking habits? Markedly different Very similar Just the same If markedly different, please explain:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9.04.14	When he has had a few drinks, his behavior shows No noticeable change Some change A definite change
•	If a definite change, please describe:
9.04.15	Does his manner of speaking change when he drinks? Becomes quite slurred Shows little change Does not change at all
9.04.16	When he drinks, his voice becomes Louder
2.04.17	Have you ever seen him truly drunk? If so, was he Socially active Boisterous Hostile Quiei, withdrawn No X Yes Well-controlled Poorly coordinated Depressed Sexually aroused

9.04.18	If you have not seen him drunk, would you predict that when
-	drunk he would become Very active Very passive
-	Very unpleasant Rather pleasant
•	Never become drunk X
	I cannot imagine how he would act when drunk
9.04.19	Have you ever seen him with a hang over? No Yes
	If Yes, is he the kind of person who
	Obviously looks hung over but functions fairly well
· .	Looks somewhat hung over but shows it mainly in
	his eyes and general manner
	Obviously looks hung over and is quite vocal about how bad he feels
,	Does not look hung over in spite of what he says
9.04.20	Is there anything special about his drinking habits that requires mention? If so, please describe:
•	
···	
9.05	Overall impression of drinking behavior: (Check the most appropriate)
9.05.01	A hearty, heavy drinker who holds his liquor well. He is always rather boisterous, and when he drinks, there is little change in behavior.
	change in behavior.
9.05.02	A heavy drinker who often gives the impression he can drink
	others under the table: When he drinks, there is little change
	in behavior, but there is a hint that he could get quite mean if provoked.
9.05.03	A steady drinker who obviously enjoys being "tight." In a way
•	he can be somewhat charming when drunk and can get away with a bit of the "life of the party" routine.
0.05.04	
9.05.04	A steady drinker who becomes somewhat more maudlin when
	drunk or tight. Tends to tell people how much he likes them
•	and sometimes asks others whether they like him. Gives an
•	impression that he could become quite disgusting if he got very drunk.

9.05.05	ways remains quite dig even though others are	no holds his figuor very well. He al- nified and usually maintains his poise becoming quite drunk or tight. He a crowd, however—that is, he gives usted or bored.
9.05.06	because it is socially needs to become aloof o	ces his drinks. He seems to drink only eccessary. As a party progresses he and distant. Is likely to continue to n when it is inappropriate.
9.05.07	Usually manages to kee others. Often singles o rather like himself. As obvious distaste for any	ers off as the evening progresses. p a sophisticated aloofness from ut and associates with someone the party progresses; he shows thing he considers crude or bois- quite sarcastic about others
9.05.08	has to or refuses to drini a part of the party and i bring him in against his	p either drinks only because he cat all. Is usually not really s obviously annoyed when others wishes. Tends to be solitary e unobtrusively when the oppor-
9.05.09	None of these descriptio I would describe him as:	ns really fit.
10. D	riving and Walking	
10.01	Driving Behavior:	
10.01.01	He drives a car in an	Unusually adept manner Average or conventional manner Unusually inept manner He does not drive

10.01.02	He drives	Very fast	Average	Very slow _
10.01.03	When he drives		little attention	
	His drivin	ng is abviously more in	verage attention mportant than his	conversation
10.01.04	Compared with	h his colleagues, his i About the so		n cars is tely different
10.01.05	His Interest in	the care and mainten Unusual	ance of automobi	
10.01.06	His road court	Equivalent to the country Unusual in that he i		eople I know and cautious
10.01.07	Can I	your knowledge, he is earn how to get aroun Can follow a road o Gets arou s depends upon others	d a strange city v r city map with i nd by asking lots	very quickly relative ease of questions
10.01.08	very much awa	ith someone else, is he re of the driving and a notions of braking, bra	esponds with the	driver—
10.01.09		with someone else, is to the style of driving		

10.01.10	When driving with someone else		
	,	· /	
10.01,11	Is inclined to b	Would never drive e aware when he sl nsist an driving, ev	nould not drive
10.01.12	Will he offer someone a lift?	When It Almost always	is appropriate
10.01.13	Is there anything about his mann mention? If so, please describe		equires special
10.02	Walking:		
10.02.01	Is he the kind of person who	Does a great of Walks an of Never walks if	verage amount
10.02.02	When he walks, his pace is Fas	tAverage	S.low
10.02.03	When you walk with him, he mos	t often Keeps _I Forces you to kee	pace with you
10.02.04	When he walks, do his feet Swing	g to the right and 1 Naintain a fairly po	
10.02.05	Does he walk		with head up
			th head down d but eyes up
-		Bent forward wi	
			oped manner
10.00.04	NAThan ha is welling the looks grow	und	
10.02.06	When he is walking, he looks around A great deal	Average	Never
0.02.07	When he greets someone he knows	on the street, his	face Lights up
·	Shows av	erage or appropria Rarely chang	

- 10.02.08 Is there anything unusual or striking about his gait or manner of walking? If so, please describes
- 10.03 Overall impression of driving and walking behavior: (Check the most appropriate description.)
- 10.03.01 An active, alert individual with a purposeful stride who appears to have a very practical mind. He enjoys "fixing things," appears to have sound knowledge of automobiles, and drives in a relaxed efficient manner.
- An active individual who is somewhat standoffish (or negative) toward others. His stride is fast and intent. He appears to have a practical mind, is quite possessive and protective and is reluctant to share his possessions with others. He "fixes things" in a matter-of-fact way, appears to have good knowledge of automobiles, and drives in a determined (sometimes even hostile) but efficient manner.
- An active, alert individual with a free-and-easy stride who appears most of the time to be in good humor. Seldom tries to fix things, but is often quite good at getting others to fix things for him. He appears to have little knowledge of automobiles but drives in a relaxed, efficient manner.
- An active individual who has a cautious tinge to his walk as though he is easily embarrassed or easily startled. He appears rather helpless and awkward when he has to "fix things." He evidently has little knowledge of automobiles and drives in a careful (sometimes tense) but efficient manner.
- A well-controlled and attentive individual who walks in something of a clipped, formal manner. Although generally practical, he seldom will do anything by "feel." He must read up and prepare himself when required to "fix things." He appears to have acquired considerable knowledge about automobiles but drives in a somewhat mechanical or highly controlled manner.
- A well-controlled individual who is often somewhat preoccupied even in his walk. When he takes time to "fix things" he
 does so with intensity and precision. He is often very well
 read about automobiles and cares for his car or sees that it is
 cared for meticulously. However, when involved in conver-

sation or otherwise	preoccupied,	he can sometimes	be quite
a dangerous driver.			• •

- 10.03.07 A well-controlled individual who has a glide (or grace) in his walk. He has little or no interest in "fixing things" but usually knows the best places to get things done and is inclined to be quite particular in how things are done for him. He appears to have relatively little knowledge of the mechanics of an automobile, but appreciates and takes good care of a good automobile. He drives with confidence, but usually at a fast pace with not too much awareness of others.
- 10.03.08 A rather nervous and temperamental person who walks rapidly and rather awkwardly. He has little or no interest in "fixing things" and is inclined to ignore or throw away things that do not work. He appears to have little interest in or knowledge about automobiles and his driving shows it.

 It is sometimes frightening to ride with him.
- None of these descriptions really fit.

 I would describe him as:
- 10.04 Hobbies and Sports
- 10.04.01 Does he have any hobbies? Yes No Don't Know

 If Yes, describe his hobbies:

Tennic

If No or Don't Know, what is your best guess on the hobby most likely to interest him?

Can't imagine him having any kind of hobby

Collecting (What does he collect? Guns, stamps; coins, books, butterflies, etc.)

Artistic (painting, ceramics, etc.) Mechanical (motors, hi-fi building, etc.) Skill (woodworking, model building, etc.) Intellectual (special periods in history, philosophy, etc.) Active (group dancing, such light sports as ping pong, bowling or volleyball) Outdoor (hunting, fishing, golf, etc.) Social (chess, poker, bridge, etc.) Vicarious (listening to music, attending plays, ball games, etc.) Mental amusement (crossword puzzles, ana- grams, cryptograms) 10.04.02 Has he ever mentioned having had hobbies in the past? Yes No If Yes, give details: 10.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average poor does not dance do not know if he dances		As a collector, is he Avid Moderately dedicated Only casual
Intellectual (special periods in history, philosophy, etc.) Active (group dancing, such light sports as ping pong, bowling or volleyball) Outdoor (hunting, fishing, golf, etc.) Social (chess, poker, bridge, etc.) Vicarious (listening to music, attending plays, ball games, etc.) Mental amusement (crossword puzzles, anagram, cryptograms) Has he ever mentioned having had habbies in the past? Yes No- If Yes, give details: O.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average poor X does not dance		Mechanical (motors, hi-fi building, etc.)
ping pong, bowling or volleyball) Outdoor (hunting, fishing, golf, etc.) Social (chess, poker, bridge, etc.) Vicarious (listening to music, attending plays, ball games, etc.) Mental amusement (crossword puzzles, anagrams, cryptograms) 10.04.02 Has he ever mentioned having had habbies in the past? Yes No- If Yes, give details: 10.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average poor does not dance	regionale de la companya de la comp La companya de la co	Intellectual (special periods in history, philosophy, etc.)
Social (chess, poker, bridge, etc.) Vicarious (listening to music, attending plays, ball games, etc.) Mental amusement (crossword puzzles, anagrams, cryptograms) 10.04.02 Hos he ever mentioned having had hobbies in the past? Yes No- If Yes, give details: 0.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average poor does not dance		ping pong, bowling or volleyball)
Mental amusement (crossword puzzles, ana- grams, cryptograms) 10.04.02 Has he ever mentioned having had habbies in the past? Yes No If Yes, give details: 0.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average poor X does not dance		Social (chess, poker, bridge, etc.)
Has he ever mentioned having had habbies in the past? Yes No- If Yes, give details: 0.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average poor does not dance		ball games, etc.) Mental amusement (crossword puzzles, ana-
If Yes, give details: 0.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average poor does not dance	.04.02 Has he e	
0.04.03 How well does he dance? unusually good good average	* ** **	No
poor X does not dance		
	64.03 How well	does he dance? unusually good good average
		do not know if he dances
0.04.04 Describe the kind of dancing you have seen him do: FOX trapt CUM 0145		

10.04.05	Does he play chess? If Yes, do you know he is good believe he is probably good know he is an average player. believe he is probably average
	know he is a poor player believe he is probably a poor player
10.04.06	If you are a poker player, describe the kind of poker player you think he would be:
	Fair
10.04.07	Is he the kind of person with whom you would like to play poker?
	If No, explain:
10.04.08	Have you heard him sing? If Yes, is his voice good average poor X
10.04.09	Does he seem to know many songs? Yes No X
10.04.10	In group singing, does he often lead off with a song sometimes lead off with a song always follow someone else's lead
10.04.11	Does he play a musical instrument? Yes No
10.04.12	Has he ever mentioned having played an instrument? Yes No If Yes, give details?
10.04.13	Does he participate in an active sports? Yes X No If yes, describe:
	Tenmis, embassy volley ball
•	

		,
	If No, what is your guess regarding the kind of sport that would interest him most:	1-
	He definitely is not the sports type Body contact sports (football, rugby,	· · · ·
	Skill sports (tennis, handball, volleyball etc.)	t \s∑ 34± [
	Individual skill sports, (swimming), archery,	
	skeet, etc.) He is likely to be interested in all kinds of	'y, s
		د قر <u>ارد ه</u> ارد
10.04.14	Does he play golf? Yes No.	X
	If Yes, does he take golf very seriously take golf in an average way take golf indifferently	
10.04.15	As a golfer, do you know he is good believe he is probably good know he is an average player believe he is probably average	
the Paris	know he is a poor player believe he is probably average know he is a poor player believe he is probably a poor player	
10.04.16	If you are a golfer, is he the kind of person you would like to play with	
	YesNo_	: `` بُنِدُ
	If No, explain:	
		'*- -
10.04.17	Does he: hunt a great deal fish a great deal do some hunting	<u></u>
	do some fishing never hunt never go fishing	—
10.04.18	Do you have information on how seriously he takes hunting or fishing?	
	Yes NoNo	
		·:.
		' •
10.04.19	Has he ever mentioned participating in sports in the past? Yes No- If Yes, give details (include any mention he may have made of medals, trophies, team membership, etc.):	: - -
	Boxing	

10.04.20	If Yes, give details:	e describe him as an athleta? Yes X No
	everyone	
10.04.21	Is he the kind of person who	t is very much preoccupied with keep- ing in shape
		works at keeping in shape to an average degree shows little interest in keeping in shape
10.04.22	Has he ever indicated an int If Yes, explain:	terest in weight lifting? Yes No
		14 为强权特别的复数
10.04.23	He is best described as:	a muscular, athletic type Y a strong, well-built type a somewhat flabby type a flabby, unathletic type an effeminate type
10.04.23 10.04.24	Is he mechanically inclined? If Yes, does he Prefer to follow institutions a it out for himself	well-built type a somewhat flabby type a flabby, unathletic type an effeminate type Yes No
10.04.23	Is he mechanically inclined? If Yes, does he Prefer to follow instructions a it out for himself If it were possible to provide which of the following do y The New York Times S Playboy X Sports Illustrat Field and Stream Popul Better Homes and Gardens	well-built type a somewhat flabby type a flabby, unathletic type an effeminate type Yes No ructions in books and try to figure American magazines or periodicals for hi ou think he would really enjoy: aturday Review Newsweek red X Life Atlantic ar Mechanics New Republic Readers Digest ris Scientific American

10.04.26	What books, if any, hav	é you heard him r	ention as having re	ad and enjoye
10.04.27	What movies, if any, ho	ve you heard him	mention as having s	een and enjoy
10.04.28	If he had his choice, wo a movie the theats a soccer match a	r the ballet		
10.05	Office Behavior			
10.05.01	General Information (C	heck where applic	able)	
	Informal Formal Follower of rules and regulations An innovator Doer Practical Procrastinator Big memo writer		Delegates work Can't delegate Productive Thinker type Organized Disorganized Feels work is no appreciated	
10.05.02	Reaction of Other Work	ers :		n de la companya de l
	Subordinates Like him Dislike him He is courteous to the Worries about them Couldn't care less They fear him Believe he is just He takes credit for the work Gives credit where a See him socially	Yes	No	Don't Know

11.01

If Yes, give a short description of the incident, including

how he reacted.

11.02	Humor:	
11.02.01	Is he a person whom you. Have never seen tease so Have frequently seen tease so Cannot imagine ever teasing Consider his tendency to tease like that of most	omeone
11.02.02	Is he a person Who obviously cannot take whom most people enjoy whom most people would never consider to be	easing
11.02.03	and the second of the second o	people Subtle Crude
11.02.04	Some	Never etimes vently
11.02:06	Is he more inclined to tell a story To a group of p Quietly to a friend or acquain Only when encour	eople X
11.03	Overall impression of temperament and sense of humor: (Check the most appropriate description.)	
11.03.01	He is a hearty, hale fellow who laughs with gusto and plea His humor is forthright, not subtle, and although he is somet loud, he is rarely unpleasant or objectionable. Most of the time, his good humor is contagious.	imes 🧦
11.03.02	He is a hearty fellow who, when he laughs, does so with a siderable gusto. However, he is frequently door and somet intolerant and somewhat humorless. His humor is direct, ev crude, and at times, inappropriate. He tends to be the kin person who laughs more at people than with people.	imes ven

None of these fit. I would describe him as:

11.03.09

<u>12.</u>	Sexual Attitudes	
12.01	General:	
12.01.01	Are his attitudes about sex	
		Very obscure
		Difficult to determine
	About averag	e for his culture and background
		Clearly uninterested
		Indifferent
		Clearly interested X
12.01.02	In the presence of women, he is	Stilted Conventional
	The second of th	
		Hostile Indifferent
12.01.03	Does he go to "girlie" shows	Often Never
		Sometimes with a group
		Can't imagine him ever going
	I have no idea w	hat he thinks of "girlle" shows
12.01.04	Does he, in the presence of male	companions talk about say?
	projection in the projection of the projection in the projection of the projection o	Often X Sometimes
e , ;		Rarely Never
in oline	The state of a sale of the sal	
12.01.05	Is there any change in his sexual after he has been drinking? If Yes, describe the change:	

12.01.06	Do you have any idea how he feels about prostitutes? Ye	
12 01 02		
12.01.07	If No, do you think he is the type who would go to a prostitute	
	Cannot imagine him visiting a prostitute	
	Have nothing to base an opinion on	' ــــــــ
12 01 00	DEVICE SELECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	· ·
12.01.08	If Yes, is he Obviously negativistic toward prostitutes	
	Indifferent toward prostitutes	
	Interested in prostitutes	
12 01 00	12 to Tolk and the self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-	
12.01.09	In his relations with women, does he	
	Generally act in accordance with his age and experience	
	Generally act somewhat immature and inappropriate for	
* :	his age and experience	
	Show considerable facility and poise	\boldsymbol{X}_{-}
	Show considerable uneasiness or awkwardness	
10.01.10		: : -
12.01.10	In describing an attractive woman, is he most likely to mention	3, 3
	Her hair Breasts	
	Eyes Clothes	
	Figure Personality Legs Have no idea	
	Legs Have no Idea	
12 01 11	Have you ever heard him described in any way by a woman?Yes	X
12.01.11		
	If Yes, what did she say?	
	athtetic, virile, manly.	•
. T. #.		
	The second of th	
12.02	Overall impression: Which of the following best describes him?	
	The state of the s	-
12.02.01	He is an earthy, lusty man who shows little emborrossment about	.,
	sex. He treats women appropriately, but makes little effort to	
	conceal his admiration and attraction. He appears virile and	
• • •	self-confident with women, and most women seem to like it.	X^{\setminus}
	year confident with money and most women seem to true tree	
2.02.02	He is an earthy, Justy man who on occasion can be crude or	
	even vulgar. He treats women rather harshly, and can become	
	quite pushy when attracted to a woman. He seems to be very	
to a second	proud of his virility. Many women would be offended or re-	
	buffed by his aggressiveness, but this does not seem to bother	
	him.	

- He is a somewhat sensual, rather soft man who is very considerate of women. He is prone to hold a woman's hand overly tony when talking to her and frequently puts his arms around women he admires. He often uses flattery and compliments but does so rather graciously. Most women usually like his attentiveness.
- 12.02.04 He is a sensual person, but is embarrassed and insecure about it. He is a rather soft man who tries hard to be inoffensive. However, he will frequently follow attractive women with his eyes when he thinks no one is looking. He is also prone to brush against or touch women "accidentally" whenever he gets a chance.
- He is a self-confident, rather polished person who is proper and conventional with either men or women. He is always considerate and polite with all women and it is difficult to ascertain what his sexual attitudes and interests might be. In a group, he always behaves according to protocol and usually can be quite efficient in doing so.
- 12.02.06 He is a self-confident and precise person who has something of the air of a professional man about him. He is polite, almost to a fault, and is inclined to go out of his way to light a woman's cigarette or see that she is properly taken care of. Nevertheless, he shows considerable distance in his relations with women. Sometimes his formality is out of place, but he will rarely, if ever, change his manners or procedures to fit the situation.
- He is a charming, urbane person and both friendly and sophisticated. He deals with women in a cultured way but shows little sensuality or sexual inappropriateness. He is neat, even dapper, and can be quite fastidious. Most of his relations with women appear to be quite platonic. He is the kind of person who likely has several very close friends who are women.
- He is a somewhat shy and indifferent person whom some people consider odd or unusual. He shows little or no interest in women in public. In general, he is a preoccupied person who only seeks out people with similar interests. There is an ascetic, asexual air about him most of the time.
- 12.02.09 None of these fit. I would describe him as:

V. FAMILY SET

13. Marita	l-Status
13.01	Is he married? Yes X No
13.01.01	Is his wife with him?
13.01.02	Does his wife go out socially with him: Never Seldom X Average Often
13.01.03	Is his wife: older than he about his age yourger than he can't tell
13.01.04	Is his wife: unusually attractive attractive to an average degree vinusually unattractive
13.01.05	Generally speaking, is his wife: socially poised socially adequate vocially gauche
13.01.06	What languages other than her native language does his wife speak? Spanis
13.01.07	Is his wife: better educated than he X educated about the same less educated
13.01.08	Has he been married before: If yes, give details if known: YesNo
13.02	Does his wife seem to: have many friends a few friends almost no friends
13.02.01	In public, is he: very attentive to his wife appropriately attentive to his wife Indifferent towards his wife
13.02.02	In public, is his wife: very attentive to him appropriately attentive to him indifferent to him
3.02.03	Would you characterize his wife as: aggressive domineering independent complacent submissive dependent
	· .

13.02.04	In the area in which she lives, does she appear to be: very satisfied normally satisfied very dissatisfied
13.03	Have you been to their home? Yes No X
13.03.01	Are their living quarters: unusually nice for the area typical of the area unusually poor for the area
13.03.02	Are they, as a couple: unusually good hosts good hosts adequate hosts somewhat inadequate hosts unusually poor hosts
13.03.03	Does the wife seem: very comfortable as a hostess adequate as a hostess somewhat tense as a hostess very uncomfortable as a hostess
13.03.04	Are their living quarters: a reflection of his personality a reflection of both their personalities a reflection of neither of their personalities
13.03.05	In general, their furnishings are: unusually drab conventional Unusually nice
13.03.06	Is his wife: obviously very interested in her home about as interested in her home as most women in the area obviously very uninterested in her home cannot really tell whether she is interested or not
13.03.07	Is her social behavior at home: about the same as when away from home more comfortable than away from home less comfortable
13.03.08	Under ordinary circumstances, are they: the kind of people who entertain often and like it the kind of people who meet their social obligations and rather enjoy it the kind of people who enjoy entertaining only close friends the kind of people who entertain only when they have to the kind of people who really dislike to entertain
13.04	Does he ever criticize his wife: Yes No

13.04.02 Is he inclined to: never oppear jealous of her rarely appear jealous of her often appear jealous of her often appear jealous of him rarely appear jealous of him often appear jealous of him	13.04.01	In general, as a couple are they: obviously very compatible as compatible as most
13.04.04 Is there anything about his wife that deserves special mention or description? 13.05 As a husband, he is best described as: An outgoing man who obviously is the dominant partner in the marriage. He is protective of his wife and always includes her when possible in his social activities. An active man who is authoritarian and dominant as a husband. He is possessive of his wife and tends to be demanding in their relationship. An active but somewhat surly man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife but will rarely admit it. He may have some tendency to be jealous and possessive about his wife. A conventional husband who treats his wife with respect. He rarely shows his feelings in public. It is difficult to tell how he really feels about her. A conscientious husband who takes his marriage quite seriously. His wife is obviously part of the team and they both take family and social responsibilities seriously. A socially active man who can be quite charming with the ladies even when his wife is present. They both seem to lead separate but quite compatible lives. A quiet man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife. She looks after him rafter unostentatiously but it is obvious that she has considerable influence on his behavior and attitudes. None of these fit, he is best described as: dominant partners. Independent of	13.04.02	Is he inclined to: never oppear jealous of her rarely appear jealous of her
description? As a husband, he is best described as: An outgoing man who obviously is the dominant partner in the marriage. He is protective of his wife and always includes her when possible in his social activities. An active man who is authoritarian and dominant as a husband. He is possessive of his wife and tends to be demanding in their relationship. An autgaing man who is quite dependent upon his wife. She tends to be the more dominant partner and he seems to like it and expect it. An active but somewhat surly man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife but will rarely admit it. He may have some tendency to be jealous and possessive about his wife. A conventional husband who treats his wife with respect. He rarely shows his feelings in public. It is difficult to tell how he really feels about her. A conscientious husband who takes his marriage quite seriously. His wife is obviously part of the team and they both take family and social responsibilities seriously. A socially active man who can be quite charming with the ladies even when his wife is present. They both seem to lead separate but quite compatible lives. A quiet man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife. She looks after him rather unostentatiously but it is obvious that she has considerable influence on his behavior and attitudes. None of these fit, he is best described as: dominant partner. Wide free dominant partner and the partner of the company of the partner	13.04.03	rarely appear jealous of him X
An outgoing man who obviously is the dominant partner in the marriage. He is protective of his wife and always includes her when possible in his social activities. An active man who is authoritation and dominant as a husband. He is possessive of his wife and tends to be demanding in their relationship. An outgoing man who is quite dependent upon his wife. She tends to be the more dominant partner and he seems to like it and expect it. An active but somewhat surly man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife but will rarely admit it. He may have some tendency to be jealous and possessive about his wife. A conventional husband who treats his wife with respect. He rarely shows his feelings in public. It is difficult to tell how he really feels about her. A conscientious husband who takes his marriage quite seriously. His wife is obviously part of the team and they both take family and social responsibilities seriously. A socially active man who can be quite charming with the ladies even when his wife is present. They both seem to lead separate but quite compatible lives. A quiet man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife. She looks after him rather unostentatiously but it is obvious that she has considerable influence on his behavior and attitudes. None of these fit, he is best described as: dominant partners. Independent of	13.04.04	
dominant partner. Independent of	13,05	An outgoing man who obviously is the dominant partner in the marriage. He is protective of his wife and always includes her when possible in his social activities. An active man who is authoritarian and dominant as a husband. He is possessive of his wife and tends to be demanding in their relationship. An outgoing man who is quite dependent upon his wife. She tends to be the more dominant partner and he seems to like it and expect it. An active but somewhat surly man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife but will rarely admit it. He may have some tendency to be jealous and possessive about his wife. A conventional husband who treats his wife with respect. He rarely shows his feelings in public. It is difficult to tell how he really feels about her. A conscientious husband who takes his marriage quite seriously. His wife is obviously part of the team and they both take family and social responsibilities seriously. A socially active man who can be quite charming with the ladies even when his wife is present. They both seem to lead separate but quite compatible lives. A quiet man who is obviously very dependent upon his wife. She looks after him rather unostentatiously but it is obvious that she has considerable influence on his behavior and attitudes.
	Maria de la compansión de	dominant partner. Independent of

	Is he concerned about the appearance or funct household?	Yes No	
entra de la companya	and the first the second of th	`	-
t gai	Does he make minor repairs himself?	Yes No ?	•
	run y compe	Awkwordly	• •
			1
	The state of the s		در د
			• •
Children			
		Y	
4.01	Does he have any children.	Yes X No	
4.01.01	How many What ages 10+18 s	in all a	4
4.01.01	now many vynar ages 10 7 10 3	ex of children !/INIZ T	-,1
4.01.02	Are all the children with him?	Yes No X	
-	If not, where are they?	-	-
ATT TO THE	giel/moscow	Carrier Commence	-
	duoi luman		•
4.01.03	Does he: pay unusual attention to his children	n syk <u>alis</u> jaka s	
			_
	pay normal attention to his children		
	pay little attention to his children	<u> </u>	Ţ %.
1.01.04	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother		- 1
1.01.04	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother	<u> </u>	; w .
	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother	<u>X</u>	-
.01.04 .01.05	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved	<u>X</u>	Ţ. W.
	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved normally well behaved	X X	Ţ. ħ.
	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved	X X	: h
	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved normally well behaved	X X	
	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved normally well behaved unusually rowdy or difficult	arely talk about his children_	.
	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved normally well behaved unusually rowdy or difficult Does he: never talk about his children talk about his children as normal and frequently talk about his children	arely talk about his children	× .
.01.05	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved normally well behaved unusually rowdy or difficult Does he: never talk about his children talk about his children as normal and	arely talk about his children	×
.01.05	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved normally well behaved unusually rowdy or difficult Does he: never talk about his children talk about his children as normal and frequently talk about his children seem quite preoccupied about his chi	arely talk about his children appropriate	X
.01.05	pay little attention to his children Is his wife: an unusually attentive mother an average mother an unusually unattentive mother Are the children: unusually well behaved normally well behaved unusually rowdy or difficult Does he: never talk about his children talk about his children as normal and frequently talk about his children	arely talk about his children lappropriate ldren quent illness, allergies,	X

14.02	When his wife and family are away, he is: obviously very lonely and at loose ends
	unlikely to vary his routine likely to become more active and socially aggressive x
14.03	As a father, he is best described as: An active, happy family man who is proud of his family. An active family man who is inclined to be strict and demanding with his children. An active family man who spends a great deal of time with h family. He tends to spoil his children. An active but insecure family man who worries a good deal about his children. An active, responsible father, but has a no-nonsense attitude His work comes first and his family knows it. A careful, responsible father who is conscientious about his family but not particularly warm or demonstrative. A carefree father who takes his family for granted. Nost of the
	An indifferent father, who sometimes seems oblivious of his family.
	None of these fit, he is best described as:
14.04	Does he carry pictures of his family wife children himself
14.05	In his office or room, does he display pictures of his familywifechildrenhimself
14.06	Is there anything about his relations with wife or family that requires special mention or description?

VI. IDEOLOGICAL SET

15. General

15.01 In g	eneral: He is a man with forthright and obvious opinions. He is capable of expressing these opinions with little apparent regard for the feelings and attitudes of others.
	He is a man with many prejudices and dogmatic ideas. He can express them with heat and determination when necessary.
	He is a man who is likely to turn aside controversial opinions with flippancy or humor. It is sometimes difficult to determine his opinions because it is hard to get him really serious.
A TOTAL SANGERS	He is a man who may have many strong opinions but it is difficult for him to show them. He is apologetic, diffident and often uncomfortable when an argument is in the offing.
	He is a man whose true opinions are difficult to determine. He is usually diplomatic and conventional when controversial subjects or taboo subjects are discussed.
	He is a man who is serious and determined to be proper and conventional. He has the manner of a well disciplined person who only says what he is supposed to say or expected to say.
	He is a man who has quite sophisticated opinions. He is well read, capable of considerable articulate argument, but at times can be quite cynical or subtlely sarcastic.
	He is a man who is shy or tacitum about his opinions. It is very hard to get him to open up on any subject, particularly if it is controversial.
	Nore of these fit, he is best described as:

15.01.01	Is there any subject that tends to get his dander up?	Yes	No
15:02	Is he a man whose patriotisms Is obvious and intense Is like that of most of his colleagues Is guarded and not too intense Is difficult to determine		
15.02.01	On general political issues, is he: unusually well informed on his country's position and attitu as informed as most of his colleagues unusually poorly informed	ides	

VII. MEDICAL

16.	State of Health:	, .
16.01	To your knowledge, does he have any sort of health problem?	
	If Yes, please explain:	No Z
16.02	Does his wife or children have any sort of health problem?	×
	If Yes, please explain:	No.
16.03	Has he ever indicated that he had health problems in the past?	×
	If Yes, please explain:	
16.04	To your knowledge, does he regularly take pills or medication of an	
	If Yes, please explain:	
16.05	Is he the kind of person who: Never talks about his health or how he feels Talks about his health and feelings to an average degree	
6.06	When he feels bad: It is obvious to others It is obvious to an degree Most people would never know it Have never know to feel bad	average own him
* *		•

16.07	In appearance, he looks Robust and healthy X—Essentially like most people his ageUnhealthy
16.08	Does he have A flushed face A pot belly A tendency to breathe hard with exertion Cold clammy hands A tendency to sweat to any unusual degree Foul breath
16.09	To your knowledge, does he adhere to a special diet of health regimen? Yes No
	If Yes, please explain:
* 4	
16.10	As an overall impression, does he strike you as a person who is
	Highly energetic and tireless X Energetic, but in spurts
	Moderately energetic Lethargic, listless
16.11	is there anything about the state of his health or his family's health

CONTRIBUTION STORY DISPATCH

CRET

HHMA-36439

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

Chief, WH Division

INFO Chief, SB Division

Chief of Station, Mexico

REDCCAT

SUBJECT: Subject of 201-238943

References: A. MEXICO CITY 8281 B. MEXICO CITY 8545

1. On 29 January 1969, Samuel J. KARTASUK met with Subject to deliver the recruitment pitch as advised by Reis. Subject initially refused to cooperate on the grounds that he does not want to get involved in this type of business and that he had given the same answer to "the other side." He readily admitted that he has been under intensive development by Valentin LOGINOV (201-285412) to spot Mexican students who eventually may go to WOLADY; he denied, however, that he has ever accepted the money LOGINOV continually offers him. Subject volunteered that Yuriy CHERNYSH (201-825743) is currently developing one of Subject's students (which we knew), and that Subject would like to foil CHERNYSH's attempt.

- 2. In spite of Subject's expressions of reluctance to be recruite he answered a number of questions put to him by KARTASUK and he agreed to a follow-up meeting on 7 February. At that meeting, he submitted to more intensive questioning about his background, family, and his association with the FJSTEAL community. There were no stempts at evasion and many of his answers were corroborated by information that was already known from other sources. Subject agreed to continue meeting clandestinely with KARTASUK and to provide additional information on his contacts with LOGINOV and other members of the KGB.
- Subject's activities immediately following the pitch were monitored closely by LIEMBRACE and by LIFEAT for the week between the two meetings. The second meeting was counter-surveilled with negative results. Although the recruitment is still tenuous, we believe that it can be firmly cemented and that Subject is committed whether or not he is ready to admit it to himself at this point.

for/Willard C. CURTIS

Explodes trem automatic

Distribution:

(2 - C/WH

2 - C/SB : -

CS COPY

7 201-285412

3 201-825743

HMMA-36439

12 February 1969

SECRET

201-238943

201-285412

Valeri V. Westihov, There not him but I do not know him much.

Valentin Longuinov, in charge of consular affairs, his wife.
Alexandra has been an slading starn shating star, bothwery nice,
speak very good Spanis, and fair English. Their dan inter kiderinsum
Kirina, sixteen years old, very sophisticated, cane here for a
holiday. The only child of that age we have ever seen here.

They have another child, who is in Moscow. Both have been at our
house for a party. In general soviet people come alone, leaving
thy wives behind.

Dmitri Prygov, wife Natalia, have an small child born here, he has been writing a book on the Nexican revolution. We are fully good friends. He was introduced to be by Borls Kazantsev who invited me for lunch for the ocasion. Then we go to the Soviet embessy both he and Tchmykhov are always very attentive.

I know several other people from the Soviet embassy but really I could place them together with their names.

Extract from HMMA-36436, 12/2169 (RedTop Ops)

201-285412

PERSON UNIT NOTIFIED	CECDET GROVE	7	TING AND/OR INIT	. 6	(176)
The state of the s	(When Filled In) Woman and When Filled In)	2		7	v. Te V
	REPRODUCTION OF THIS COPY PROMIBITED	13		9	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED	INDEX D VES D NO	4		191	
	INDEX. L. YES L. NO	3		101	
ar.	CLASSIFY, TO FILE NO.	• '	•	÷	
.	X-REP TO FILE NO.				
DISSEM BY PER	FILE RID DET: TO BRANC	:+ 0	DESTRO	v 🛚 šia	المسترا والمحاسبات المسترا
SE S RID COPY	FILE. VR. RILAN, WHS. Clicilos	>5.	alicz. F	<u> </u>	
478					

REDTOP

REF: DIRECTOR 69779

30 Jan 69 IN 8 2 2 5 7

1. RAISA KISELNIKOVA WORKS AND LIVES AT THE SOVIET COMMERCIAL OFFICE WHICH IS ALSO THE RESIDENCE OF THE COMMERCIAL COUNSELOR, VIKTOR M. IVANOV. PER LILYRIC SHE ONLY VISITS THE SOVEMB ABOUT ONCE A WEEK DURING WORKING HOURS. HOWEVER, SEVEN TIMES SINCE HER ARRIVAL SHE HAS COME TO THE EMBASSY DRIVING THE COMMERCIAL OFFICE'S DUTY VEHICLE, NORMALLY DRIVEN BY THE MEXICAN CHAUFFENG. WE CONSIDER IT MOST UNUSUAL FOR A SECRETARY TO DRIVE.

2. SHE SPEAKS GOOD SPANISH AND INVARIABLY KNOWS MEXICAN CALLERS AND THEIR BUSINESS AT THE COMMERCIAL OFFICE. SHE USUALLY HAS IMMEDIATE ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS INCLUDING ADDRESSES OF VARIOUS SOVIET TRADE ORGANIZATIONS IN THE USSR. WITH SOVIETS SHE IS USUALLY PLEASANT BUT

IS CURT AND SHARP WITH ANYONE WHO MEXIROX PROXING THE TRY'S TO PUSH HER AROUND. TWO MEXICAN CONTACTS OF THE COMMERCIAL OFFICE HAVE ASALD HER STOPESHE ANALYX FOR DATES. SHE REFUSED THEM BOTH. ONE PERSUSTED WITH SEVERAL CALLS. SILE FINALLY YOKNANINXSNEX TOLD HIM SHE PREFERED TO SPEND HER TIME WITH HER SOVIET FRIENDS.

A FEW OF THE SOVIET MALES, PARTICULARLY VALENTIN S. LOGINOV, FLIRT

201.385412

SECRET

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION	CLASSIFIED MESSAGE	TOTAL COMES	RC	ITIM POVONE DAITU	115.	SEEN BY
PERSONUNIT NOTIFIED	SECRE	T CROUP!	Ti	I .	0	· -
•	[When Filled	In) Spentions up	[2	·	. ,	
	REPRODUCTION OF THE	S CORY PROMISED	3		8	
ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLO	7780		4	· · · · · ·	. 0	
.,. •	INDEX: U YES U.	INDEX: Q YES Q NO	. [3]	,	10	
OY	B. CLASTIEV TO FILE NO.		•			
DISSEM DV PER	PILE RID RET. TO	- BRAW	- 0	OESTROY		Q
ACTION RID	COPY FILE, VR.		3.5			
EN L L CL TYPIQ	*	\$1.5			· ·	AT I STEEL O

PAGE 2 MEXICO CITY 8912 S E C R E T

WITH HER WHEN CALLING FOR OTHER PURPOSES. SHE IS PLEASANT BUT UNENCOURAGING. SHE DUES NOT TALK WITH THE OTHER FEMALE SECRETARY, LYUDMILLA SHAPOVALOVA WHICH IS UNUSUAL SINCE THE TWO FEMALE SECRETARIES HAVE HISTORICALLY BEEN FRIENDS. SHAPOVALOVA WAS VERY FRIENDLY WITH KISELNIKOV'S PREDECESSOR. HER ONLY CONVERSATION WITH ANOTHER SOVIET, OTHER THAN ROUTINE ADMINSTRATIVE MATTERS, WAS TO MAKE A DATE WITH THE WIFE OF THE COOK, ALEKSEY M. KUZNETSOV, TO GO TO THE MOVIES ONCE. THERE IS NO GOOSIP ABOUT HER AMONST SOVIET WIFESD. SOURCE: LIENVOY AND LIFEAT.

- 3. SHE IS FAIRLY ATTRACTIVE WITH A STATUESQUE FIGURE WHICH EXPLAINS WHY MEXICANS WOULD WANT DATES AND LOGINOV FLIRTS WITH HER.
- 4. PER CURRENT INFO ONLY ACCESS TO HER WOULD BE LEGITIMATE
 CONTINUOUS VISITOR TO COMMERCIAL OFFICE WHICH IS NOT ENCOURAGING
 SINCE TO HAVE ALREADY STRUCK OUT. SINCE CURRENT ASSETS TELL US
 NOTHING ABOUT HER CONTACTS OR PERSONAL LIFE, STATION WILL PUT
 SPORADIC LIEMBRACE COVERAGE ON COMMERCIAL OFFICE AFTER WORKING HOURS
 IN ATEMPT TO DETERMINE HER ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE WORK. HOWEVER, SINCE
 OFFICE IS ON QUIET STREET WITH SOVIET SCHOOL NEXT DOOR AND SEVERAL
 SOVIET FAMILIES IN NEIGHBORHOOD, LIEMBRACE GOVERAGE CANNOT BE
 CONTINUOUS.

SECRET

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION	SIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COMES	TING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN RY
PERSO VONT NOTIFIED	SECRET INCOME ANTONIO	1,
	(When Filled In)	2 , ,
prints.	REPRINCETION OF THIS COPY PROHIBITED	3 8 .
DAVINCE COLA 1880ED POLLED	INDEX: D VES D NO	9
N. A.	CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.	
	NIREP TO PILE NO.	and the same of the same
*89EM-8YPER	PILE NO DRET, TO BRANC	HO DESTROY DOIG
CTION RÍD CORY	INFO: PILE VA	
PAGE 3 MEXICO CITY 8912	SECRET	
5. FILE: 201-835676		

PARAKRAEMOAN

SECRET

			7
N. W.	BYTTS AGE FORM	ROUTING AND, OF	INITIALS - SEEN
PRO Chas. V. McIt/rc	SECRET	CI	,
n; 6954/9041 nn: 31 December 1968	•	8	10
AND SPECIFICATION	C DESTROY C	HIVEN TO	АНСН 🔲
<u>/b</u> PER g	NO MOLE PLE IN CL. P.	ij no.	
WHY BID COPY	REE VE NI/AN	SB8 cill	cilia
	<i>C</i> /	(alini)	
(classification)	(date and time filed)	(reference	e number)
SECRET	3 1 22 30 Dec 68	CITÉ DIRECTOR 6 2	6.1.0
MEXICO CITY		7	
REDCOAT			
REF: MEXICO CITY 8545	(IN 61160)*		
-	RESIDENCE IN SOVIET U	NON AND CLOSE (CONTACT
		and the second	
WITH SOVIET OFFICIAL CO		ROGATORY INFORM	IATION
AND IS DISQUALIFYING FOR	R CLEANER PURPOSES.		·
2. IT IS REQUESTED	D THAT DEVELOPMENT OF S	UBJECT BE CONTI	NUED

2. IT IS REQUESTED THAT DEVELOPMENT OF SUBJECT BE CONTINUED WITHIN THE SCOPE OF CSI-F 10-5, PARA 18, WHICH PROVIDES FOR USE AS HOSTILE UNTIL BONA FIDES HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED THROUGH FURTHER ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE. REQUEST TROOP OF PERFORMANCE. REQUEST TROOP OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN FUTURE AS STATION PURSUES ITS PROGRAM OF EXPANSION OF ACCESS AGENTS 4. FILE: 201-238943 AND 201-285412.

END OF MESSAGE

201215412

WH COMMENT: *Priority POA requested in order to permit continued development toward recruitment. Subject is being considered for use as access agent to Valentin LOGINOV. Soviet Embassy Officer and known member of KGB.

MILIAM V. BROE C/WHD

CI/OPS/NH F. LITTLIGHER

I. KAUFI C/WH/1

MELEASING OFFICER

SECRET

CEOUP I Included from automatic desengrousing and declosalisation IITASITMENTUA EESIRAO

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

CON

- or in

		•	.•	•
CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION C	FIED MESSAGE TOTAL	CORES R' NG	AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN	BY
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	SECRET	6900°	11/19 .6	•
	/ When Eilland Int.	2	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	REPRODUCTION OF THIS COPY	PROHIBITED 3	8	
ADVANCE COPY HEELED BLOTTED		4	9	
	INDEX. I VES I NO		10	
	CLASSIFY TO FILE NO			
/ ************************************	AREF TO PILE NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
present au 33	FILE RID PRET, TO	BRANCH	DESTROY SIG	1. 1. 1.
ACTION	INFO			
WH & RIO COPY	PILE, VA. RIJAN, SBB	CIOA.	151 4 1 2E 1	اری در این
AF968)		and the second second		
				-
SECRET 262202Z DI	EC 68 CITE MEXICO (
DIRECTOR	The state of the s	25 Dec 68	IN61160	
DIRECTOR		* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
REDCOAT		٠,	. 49	
REDCOMI				
REFS A. HMMA 35717				2
NEID AT MILIT OFFI	C 11/2/1	\		
B. MEXICO CITY	3281 (~ 46766))	- ' .	-
to see a second	, , ,			
C: HMMA 36050	क ्च			

- 1. REQUEST HQS EXPEDITE PRIORITY POA FOR SUBJECT 201-238943 PER REFS A AND B.
- CRISIS REPORTED REF C. STATION WOULD LIKE TO REINTRODUCE
 SAMUEL J. KARTASUK FOR RECRUITMENT ATTEMPT BEFORE HIS
 RELATIONSHIP WITH SUBJECT COOLS AND TO TAKE ADVANTAGE PSYCHOLOGICAL
 LOW POINT WHICH SHOULD APPEAR ON LIFEAT.
- 3. FILE: 201-238943 AND 201-285412,

SECRET

201. 285-412 Logenor

ice EUGINOV PROCESSING ACTION CLASSIFICATION DISPATCH SECRET MARKED FOR INDEXING Chief, WH Division NO INDEXING REQUIRED Chief, SB Division CÁN JÚDGE INDEXING Chief of Station, Mexico City Rafael VIDIKILA Ruis (201-238943) ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES A. MEXICO CITY 8281 HHMA-35717, 28 October 1968 B. Summary As reported by Ref A, Samuel J. KARTASUK succeeded in secting with Subject in Acapulco and in spending nearly a full week with him for the purpose of establishing rapport and assessing him for possible

- ror that purpose of establishing rappy both KARTASUK and LIOVAL-1 recruitment per Ref B. Assessment by both KARTASUK and LIOVAL-1 indicates that Subject is essentially apolitical with attitudes as pro-Boviet. KARTASUK believes, however, that he could pro-West as pro-Boviet. KARTASUK believes, however, that he could be recruited to work against FJSTKAL intelligence if he were made to believe that he is not working against the FJSTKAL people.

 2. Recent LIFKAT reveals that Subject is having marital problems and that he consented to see a psychiatrist after his wife made good
- her threats to leave. According to Subject, he was diagnosed as "paychopathic" with problems stemming from his mother's possessive attitude. The character assessment by KARTASUK agrees with that of LIOVAL-1 but meither has called Subject "psychopathic."

 3. While we recognize possible problems, we feel that a recruitment attempt should be made by KARTASUK. Yollowing the recruitment we plan to have KARTASUK sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have KARTASUK sever further relationship with

recruitment we plan to have KARTASUK sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have KARTASUK sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment we plan to have kartasuk sever further relationship with recruitment of the case from inside where it can be monitored more closely.

Distribution: 2 - C/WH 2 - C/BB

LOGINOV

Estimot in Factor downgrading and Geoffectives from

	•	
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
	HSBA-36050	17 December 1968
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS THE NUMBER
	·	50-6-136/3 201-285412
	SRCRET	201-238943

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH

SECRET

HMMA-36050

Meeting

- 4. As reported previously, KARTASUK went to Acapulco in early Hovember and succeeded in meeting Subject, whose plans for a vacation there with his family were confirmed by LITEAT. The "chance meeting" came about naturally in the hotel lobby when Subject's precocious four year old daughter approached KARTASUK on the morning of 5 Hovember.
- D. RARTASUK used an alias and played the role of a successful public relations man from WOLADY who was recently widowed. He had come to mexico as a long-term tourist to help piece together his shattered world. There was instant rapport between KARTASUK and both subject and his wife which permitted him to spend almost the entire week alone with them. As a result, KARTASUK got to know them intimately and to probe naturally into Subject's character, politics, and family relationship.

Assessment

- 6. To both KARTASUK and LIOVAL-1, Subject appears to be essentially spolitical or, at least, politically naive. He expresses simultaneously admiration for both FJSTRAL, where he lived for 17 years, and for WOLADY, where he would like to live. He believes that the political system in each is right for each and that neither the political system in each is right for each and that neither system would work for the other. He feels that both the FJSTRAL and WOLADY citizens want peace and are basically good but that both are victims of their political systems. Although Subject wanted at one time to return to FJSTRAL, he knows that he has a better life in Mexico.
- 7. Subject is an easy-going, extremely pleasant extrevert with a wide circle of friends whom he sees frequently. He has few scruples in regard to marital fidelity and, while his wife isnot happy with his affairs, they have apparently arrived at some sort of working agreement on the subject.
- 8. Subject has told both KARTASUK and LIOVAL-1 that he carns about \$4000 (MN) per month and is, therefore, forced to live on a sodest scale. While he would like to live better, he is not ambitious and does not let work interfere with pleasure. LIOVAL-1 feels that and does not let work interfere with pleasure. LIOVAL-1 feels that Subject would find easy money a great temptation, that Subject could be influenced fairly easily, and that principles would not stand in his way.
- 9. With KARTASUK, Subject spoke of his mother on several occasions. He accuses her ofpossessiveness and claims that it is for this reason that he rebels against anyone's possessing him, including his wife. This problem is apparently genuine as confirmed by LIFFAT in recent take. Subject consented to psychiatric treatment when his wife made take. Subject consented to psychiatric treatment when his wife made good her threat to leave him (though she returned after several days). Per LIFFAT, Subject said that he has been diagnosed as psychopathic and that the psychiatrist lays the blame at his mother's feet.

Conclusions

10. LIOVAL-1 has assessed Subject since early March 1968 and KARTASUK assessed him during a week of uninterrupted close association. Both assessments are virtually identical in all major respects. There is little doubt that Subject does, indeed, have some personality adjustment problems and that, if he is recruited, he will require firm handling. Neither KARTASUK nor LIOVAL-1, however, describes Subject as "psychopathic."

ATION PAGE

4 53 a USE PREVIOUS EDITION.

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH

SECRET

HIEA-36050

II. KARTASUK believes there is a reasonably good possibility that Subject would consent to recruitment, if the approach were made in such a way as to make him believe that he is working against PISTEAL intelligence meddling and not against the FISTEAL people and system. Additionally, we believe it may be possible to introduce stability into Subject's life, to give him firm direction and goals, and to turn some of him limbilities into assets.

12. Following successful recruitment by KARTASUK, the case will be handled by an inside case officer so that developments can be monitored more closely through other assets and the risk reduced.

for/Willard C. CURTIS

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION	LASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COMES 7	QUITING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	SECRET ELECTRICATION SECRET	i left 6
	(When Filled In) pormation and	1
	REPRODUCTION OF THIS COPY PROHIBITED	- 3 8
ADVÂNCE COPY ISSUED BL	07740	- 4 9
٠	MOLA LI YES LI NO	5 10
8Y AT:	CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.	-
Disserve of Company	X-REF TO FILE NO	CHO DESTROY □ SIG.
ACTION WHY RIC	INFO	2 01 01/08501/0A Fiz
1#1281		
SECRET 032345Z	DEC 68 CITE MEXICO CITY 8281	
D IRECTOR		40tc 68 IN 46766
REDCOAT		to

REF: HMMA-35717

- 1. DURING WEEK OF 3 NOVEMBER, SAMUEL J. KARTASUK ARRANGED,
 CHANCE MEETING IN ACAPULCO WITH SUBJECT OF REF WHOSE VACATION
 PLANS WERE CONFIRMED BY LIFEAT. USING ALIAS AND PLAYING ROLE
 OF LONG TERM WOLADY TOURIST WITH SIMILAR SPANISH BACKGROUND.
 KARTASUK SUCCEEDED IN ESTABLISHING SULID FRIENDSHIP AND OBTAINED
 FAVORABLE PERSONAL ASSESSMENT DURING NEARLY FULL WEEK WITH SUBJECT
 AND WIFE.
- 2. PLAN HAVE KARTASU ATTEMPT TO RECRUIT SUBJECT AT FIRST OPPORTUNITY TO REPORT ON SUBJECT OF 221-285412, HIS OTHER FJSTEAL CONTACTS AND SPANISH COMMUNIST COMMUNITY IN MEXICO. FOLLOWING SUCCESSFUL RECRUITMENT, CASE WILL BE HANDLED BY INSIDE CASE OFFICER.
- 3. REQUEST STATUS OF POA FOR SUBJECT REF AND THAT IT BE HANDLED ON PRIORITY BASIS WITH CABLE NOTIFICATION TO STATION.
 - 4. FILE: 201-238943 AND 201-285412.

SECRET

201.285412 Logunor

SECRET

		-	South and the second
DICATCH	CLASSIFICATION		PROCESSING ACTION
DISPATCH	SECRET	Х	MACKED FOR INDEXING
Chief, SB			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
Chief, WH			ONLY QUALITIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	110		<u> </u>
Chief of Station, I	`	l -	MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES:	lentin S. LOGINOV (201-	285412)	
1. Transmitte R. LEVENDERIS which (201-795374) to spo purposes with Jorge North American Cult have long had an in because most of new GAZIYEV has attende first studying Span 2. We are for illustration of LOG	ed herewith is a report a details how LOGINOV us of and set up a meeting a *ARIAS Buerba, a teach cural Institute in Mexical terest in the Institute by arrived Americans stand the Institute since hish and then English. warding this report become and the status are the status of the status for/Wil	for assesser at the co City. and its udy Spanis arrival	G. GAZIYEV sment Mexican The Soviets personnel sh there. l in Mexico, s a typical e it clearly cooptee.
Attachment: Report Distribution: 2 - C/SB, w/att. 2 - C/WH, w/att.	MICROFILMED FEB 25 1970 DOC MICRO. SER. DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	201	CROFILMED 1968 MICRO. SER. Eleber to a librar 1989 1917 1918 1918 1918 1918 1918 1918
B 201-795374 w/att.	HMMA-35846	18	November 1968
1)	CLASSIFICATION	HOS FILE NUMBER	

SECRET

201-285412

THE BEN MICROFILMED Index

THE BEN MICROFILMED INDEX

FEB 25 1970

DOC. MICRO 2 PAT IN 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
SUBJECT: Jorge ARIAS Buerba

CIT MEXICO DIREXICO I MEXICO D

1. Subject is a 26 year old Mexican citizen (although he occ

looks to be in his 30's). He is a Spanish teacher at the Mexicanthis at the Morth American Institute and since the fall of 1967 has held a
second job as the director of the Community Services Program
at the American School. In April 1967 he married an American
citizen from the Chicago area named Donna WEINTHALLER (phonetic).
They live at Juanacatlan 15, which is just around the corner
from the Soviet Embassy. Home phone is 15-28-49.

2. Subject was recommended as a Spanish tutor in August 1966 by Enrique GUTIERREZ Martinez (P-12667), who was then C/SB's Spanish tutor. "GUTIERREZ was subsequently recruited by C/SB as a utility agently but has since been dropped because he is related to other Station assets. On GUTIERREZ's recommendation, I began taking private Spanish lessons from Subject in August 1966. I found Subject an excellent teacher and continued vledy lythtute taking lessons from him until December 1966. In March 1967, or assent. Mirect my wife and I had Subject as a teacher in Spanish courses we artear oól. took at the Mexican-North American Institute. I have maintained com t alleage. intermittent social contact with Subject since March 1967, and at Ju at. lan my wife has become friendly with Subject's wife, having visited Hisby . her at her apartment two or three times and having had her to անժան , reço. our home during the day an equal number of times. Parimez

MICROFILMED DE 1988 DOC MICRO SE

Hwatt # 16 HMMA-35846 T. WILL CS COPT 202

kept informed to some extent about Subject through his younger brother, Javier ARIAS Buerba, from whom I have been taking Spanish lessons since July 1967.

3. Subject was a casual contact of Station officer Olivia
C. RAGNITI in 1965 and 1966. Other than a file card noting
this contact, '' was a casual contact of Station officer Olivia
Javier ARIAS Buerha.

4. Subject and I from the beginning have had a very friendly and informal relationship. He is an intelligent person with a friendly, open personality and a good sense of humor. Politically, he is pro-American to the point that he magnifies our strengths and positive qualities and tends to minimize or overlook negative factors in our society. Subject knows I am with the Political Section of the Embassy and that I have been in the Soviet Union. We have consequently gotten onto the topic of Russians and Communism several times, and he has always come out a strong anti-Communist. He has one brother and two sisters living in the United States. The brother is married to an American and lives in Virginia, where he is in the construction business. One of the sisters is also married to an American and lives in California (I believe San Francisco). The second sister is married to a Polish immigrant and lives with him in Chicago. The sister in C lifornia is a naturalized American citizen. brother and the sister in Chicago are not.

5. On 24 April 1967, LIENVOY reported the following call from GAZIYEV to LOGINOV:

GAZ: "I saw him, he arrived yesterday."

LOG: "Excellent. Then I'd like to see you to discuss certain things."

GAZ: "Then I can come there tomorrow?"

LOG: "No, better Wednesday."

GAZ: "All right. He usually comes there at 6 o'clock.
He got married and came here with his wife. Now
they look for an apartment. I'll go there on the
10th, because I want to attend courses in English.
This week I'll be busy."

LOG: "OK, until the next then."

- 6. From this conversation, it appeared that GAZIYEV was probably talking about Subject, since:
- (a) GAZIYEV had been taking Spanish at the Mexican-North
 American Institute and I knew from Subject that Subject had had
 GAZIYEV in one of his classes, that Subject occasionally chatted
 with GAZIYEV after class, and that GAZIYEV had once given Subject
 an invitation to a Consular night at the Soviet Embassy (although
 Subject told me he had not gone).
 - (b) Subject had gotten married that month.
 - (c) Subject had just returned to Mexico with his bride.
 - (d) Subject and his wife were looking for an apartment.
- (e) Subject normally arrived at the Institute at 1800 to teach evening Spanish classes.
- 7. Prior to this reported telephone conversation, I had considered using Subject as an access agent against GAZIYEV, but had decided not to approach Subject on the matter unless there was evidence that his relationship with GAZIYEV was developing into something more than occasional chats between classes. I did not see much potential in approaching Subject before this with theirs the idea of having him deliberately cultivate a friendship with GAZIYEV, as I felt Subject was living

such a busy and hectic life that I would get very little out of him. Prior to his marriage, he was keeping such a hectic schedule between his Institute and private classes that he wasn't sleeping or eating properly and was having increasing problems with his health. If anything, his pace and health problems increased after his marriage and his taking of the job with the American School (although he gave up most of his private classes).

- 8. Since the GAZIYEV-LOGINOV conversation made Subject's relations with the Soviets look much more interesting, I attempted to find out what I could about them from Subject. For a number of reasons, however--including my going to the States in June--we were not able to get together until 11 July. On that date I took Subject to a belated "bachelor's lunch" at a little Spanish restaurant downtown.
- I was certain that if given the opportunity, Subject would voluntarily tell me if his relationship with GAZIYEV had developed any further. When I casually brought the conversation around to GAZIYEV, however, Subject simply told me that GAZIYEV was still studying at the Institute and that he still occasionally chatted with him in the halls or over a cup of coffee in one of the near-by coffee shops during the 20-minute break between the two evening classes. Subject commented that he enjoyed talking with GAZIYEV, as he was a simpatice, interesting guy, as well as an outstanding Spanish student. He also added that they never talked politics. In reply to an offhand question about whether he had met any other Soviets through GAZIYEV, Subject

said that he had not. My inclination was to believe Subject, and I went away thinking that either GAZIYEV and LOGINOV had been talking about someone else over the phone or that they had never gone beyond the stage of simply talking about Subject.

10. On 1 November 1967, I ran into Subject and his brother Javier in Sanborns-Insurgentes. They were about to have a quick lunch and insisted that I join them for a cup of coffee. As soon as we sat down, Subject told me he had a problem and would like my advice. He said GAZIYEV had given him an invitation to the 7 November celebration of the Revolution at the Soviet Embassy, and although he would like to go for the experience, he was very concerned that his name would be put on "the black list" at the U.S. Embassy if he went. He said that he had tried to call me at the Embassy after he got the invitation to ask my advice, but had been told I was out of town. (I was in El Paso from 25-29 October.) He stated that if he had not been able to reach me in the next day or two, he was going to simply walk into the Embassy and ask to see someone from the "security department" about his predicament. What did I think he should do?

11. I told Subject to relax and forget about going to the U.S. Embassy, as the Embassy would not give him any advice other than to tell him that it was entirely his own business whother he went to the Soviet reception or not. Besides, I asked, what made Subject think his name would be put on a "black list" if he went. Subject replied that he didn't know how the U.S. Embassy did it, but "everyone knew" the U.S. Embassy obtained the names of everyone who went in and out of

201-285412

Cou

'Om

Suc

n-N

Ca

day

refused to give him any advice, at least it would make a record of his visit and anyone who was ever interested would his heart was in the right place. He simply did not want and couldn't afford to get into any trouble with the U.S. Embassy, he said. After all, he had an American wife, he might want to go to the States to study sometime, and they might even want to go to the States to 'live someday. Seeing that Subject was indeed very sorious, I became more serious myself and told him that just so he wouldn't worry, I'd write a memo to the proper Embassy department stating for the sake of the record that Subject had told me he planned to attend a Soviet Embassy reception, but wished the U.S. Embassy to know he was doing it solely out of curiosity and was in no way in sympathy with the Soviet Union.

Subject seemed greatly relieved and thanked me very much.

12. Seizing of the fact that GAZIYEV had given Subject the invitation, I asked if Subject saw much of him anymore. Subject replied that yes, he still saw him occasionally, as GAZIYEV was now studying English at the Institute. Subject added, on his own initiative, that he had also been to GAZIYEV's apartment twice and had met another Soviet there on one occasion. He said that the first time he went to GAZIEV's was shortly before he (Subject) got married (Subject has was married about mid-April 1967) and the second time was shortly after his marriage, as he and his wife had gone to dinner at the GAZIYEVS'. Subject finished eating about this time, and since he was in a hurry and had his brother with him, I didn't try to question him any further, but decided to wait and have a second go at him alone another

time.

home on 27 November, but the evening was not meant to and did not afford an opportunity to discuss the Soviets any further. Subject did tell me, however, that he had not gone to the 7 November Soviet reception afterall, because, despite by assurances that there was no reason for him not to go, he felt it was simply safer to stay away from the Soviet Embassy altogether. At my suggestion, we agreed to get together for lunch sometime in the near future.

14. For various reasons, including the poor state of Subject's health when I called him one day in January, we did not get together for the lunch until 7 March. This time, I played it straight with Subject, told him that I was interested in anything he could tell me about GAZIYEV and the otherSoviet he had met, and questioned him closely regarding the details. Subject was completely cooperative, and I am satisfied he answered my questions to the best of his ability. It seemed to me, and still does, that there was only one possible reason why Subject had not told me on 11 July what he subsequently told me on 1 November (i.e., that he had been to GAZIYEV's home twice and on one occasion had met another Soviet there). This was that he felt somewhat guilty about going to GAZIYEV's and meeting the other Soviet there, and as long as I didn't push him too hard (which I didn't on 11 July), he felt more comfortable ignoring it. The reason he so readily mentioned the two visits and the other Soviet on 1 November was, I think, that he was genuinely concerned not to "get into any trouble" with the U.S.

Embassy over attending the Soviet Embassy reception, wished to impress me with his sincerity about whose side he was on, and felt obliged to completely clear the record by mentioning the visits to GAZIYEV's home and meeting the other Soviet there.

= 15. Subject stated that GAZIYEV had invited him to his apartment for dinner about a month before he (Subject) went to Chicago to get married. This means that the dinner took place about mid-March 1967. Subject said he had expected to find only GAZIYEV and his wife in the apartment, but that a third person was there when Subject arrived. Subject said GAZIYEV. introduced the third person as Valentin "something." When I asked if the last name were LOCINOV, Subject immediately reacted and said he was almost positive that was it. Subject's description of the Soviet also fit LOGINOV. CAZIYEV stated that LOGINOV was with the Embassy (Subject couldn't remember in what capacity) and described LOGINOV as a good friend. Subject said that the evening started off very casually, with LOGINOV expressing interest in the Spanish courses at the Mexican-North American Institute. LOGINOV, who Subject noted spoke almost as good Spanish as most of the Spanish teachers at the Institute, said he'd like to get a certificate in Spanish from the Institute and asked Subject if he could help him enroll, choose the best course for him to start with, etc. Subject replied that he'd be happy to, although it didn't seem to him that LOGINOV needed much instruction in Spanish. LOGINOV simply laughed this off, and it was agreed LOGINOV would drop around to the Institute sometime soon. LOGINOV, who took the lead in the conversation most of the evening, then began to ask Subject questions which left Subject

11

day

with "no doubt" that he (LOGINOV) was trying to feel Subject out on his political trews. Subject said that he couldn't remember most of LOGINOV's questions, but he did recall that LOGINOV began the feeling-out procedure by asking Subject if he didn't find that the Americans were much poorer at learning Spanish than other nationalities. He also made various derogatory remarks about the extent of American influence in Mexico. Subject said that after about ten minutes, LOGINOV's purpose became so blatantly obvious; that he (Subject) stopped the conversation and told LOGINOV that before they went any farther, he thought LOGINOV should know that he was talking to someone who was "100% capitalist," completely pro-American, and had absolutely no sympathy with Communism. (While this sounds awfully blunt and Subject was unquestionably trying to make himself look good in my eyes, the fact of the matter is that Subject does tend to be #8* a bit blunt at times, and knowing his ideological leanings, I would not put it past him to have said exactly this.) Subject said that LOCINOV tried to backtrack a bit after this, but the conversation heated up again a few minutes later when Subject commented that he had a pretty good idea of what the Soviets were up to in Mexico. When LOGINOV asked what Subject meant, Subject related the story he once told me about having been in Veracruz a few years ago when a Soviet ship was actually or virtually put under arrest there. Subject told LOGINOV that "everyone knew the ship was loaded with Communist propaganda." 16. After about twenty minutes, according to Subject, politics

were dropped and the conversation turned to more innocuous topics.

Subject said that LOGINOV seemed somewhat irritated with him, but on the whole kept his composure very well and treated Subject politely for the two hours or less Subject remained. As Subject was leaving, LOGINOV again said he'd come by the Institute to me Subject in the near future, but he never did. One day in late 1967 Subject saw LOGINOV at the gas station on the corner of Tacubaya and Juanacatlan, and LOGINOV greeted Subject by name. However, they did not converse with each other.


17. Subject said that GAZIYEV left most of the politically related part of the conversation to LOGINOV and never referred to the evening again. However, a few weeks after Subject returned to Moxico with his bride, GAZIYEV had Subject and his wife over to dinner (this would place the second dinner in about mid-May). This time GAZIYEV and his wife were the only others present, and the evening passed without any reference to politics. Subject shated that he still occasionally goes across the street for a cup of coffee with GAZIYEV betweem evening classes at the Institute, but that he has not been to GAZIYEV's apartment again and has never had GAZIYEV to his home.

18. Although Subject was very aware that LOGINOV's purpose in meeting him was to assess his exploitability, he did not seem to fully appreciate that GAZIYEV had both fingered him for LOGINOV and set up the situation for LOGINOV to meet him. I spelled this out for Subject and also informed him that LOGINOV was an exceptionally capable Soviet intelligence officer. I also explained that it was very possible GAZIYEV was using the Institute to spot other candidates for interviews with Soviet 201-285412

intelligence officers, and questioned Subject about GAZIYEV's activities and contacts at the Institute. However, Subject said that as far as he could tell GAZIYEV pretty much stuck to himself at the Institute and did not have any particular friends there show Subject knew about. Subject said he would keep his eyes open for the type of thing I was looking for, however, and would let me know if he came onto anything interesting. Subject also offered to "play up to" GAZIYEV and/or LOGINOV under my guidance if I wished, but I declined the offer with appropriate expressions of appreciation.

19. The question that remains unanswered after all this is, of course, why LOGINOV and GAZIYEV were talking about Subject over the phone on 24 April 1967. According to Subject, the dinner which LOGINOV attended took place in March 1967, while LOGINOV did not attend GAZIYEV's second dinner, which took place about mid-May. Since I am satisfied Subject told me the truth, it would appear that the "certain things" which LOGINOV told GAZIYEV on 24 April he wished to discuss with GAZIYEV concerned how to handle Subject in the light of the LOGINOV-Subject encounter in March. Whatever LOGINOV had in mind on 24 April, it would appear that it was finally decided that GAZIYEV and his wife should simply have Subject and his wife over to a peaceful dinner in order to at least partially erase the memory of the first dinner.

L SB/I



describing a problem to a problem and the land of the

201:285412-

TABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION	CLASSIFIED MESSAGE ILIAL COMIN	Maytime annual equipmes assured to the
PERSON/U(T NOT PED	SECRET 10 constitute to	
ADVANCE COPY TO INNIED TO NEUT	Mitan nort tital et telle t Ona basonite;	46
Whavece cost in transition and	miss II say II mis	5 (//2 10
BY	CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.	The second secon
7	R MAP TO PILE NO	The translation of the second
DISSEM 87		BRANCH DESTROY SIG
WH8 PRIDG	OPY PILE VE RILGAL SBIP F	2 ci cilips cilicz
(19)		
SECRET 080010Z N	OV 68 CITE MEXICO CITY 79	94
DIRECTOR		
DIRECTOR		1430215
REDCOAT		8 Nov 68 IN 30215
- 1	(, , , , , ,)	Oliva no 114 o o C . C
REFS: A. MEXICO CIT	K 3362(14 98 42.1)	/1
B DIRECTOR A	18057	 A section of the sectio

- 1. REVIEW OF LIENVOY TAPES REVEAL NAME OF SUBJECT CALLING LA PROVOROV IS DOUGALS PATILLO. PATILLO SPEAKS GOOD SPANISH WITH DEFINITE AMERICAN ACCENT. CONVERSATIONS ARE IN SPANISH. ON 5 NOVEMBER PATILLO CALLED PROVOROV AT SOVIET EMBASYY TO ASK WHY PROVOROV HAD NOT GONE TO SEE HIM EVENING 4 NOVEMBER PROVOROV STATED HE WOULD GO EVENING 5 NOVEMBER 68.
- 2. LIEMBRACE SURVEILLANCE DOUGALS PATILLO INITIATED

 MORNING 6 NOVEMBER. HE IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS PATILLO TO

 LIEMBRACE TEAM MEMBER WHO KNOCKED ON HIS DOOR LOOKING FOR

 SOMEONE ESLE. ON AFTERNOON 6 NOVEMBER LIEMBRACES FOLLOWED

 PATILLO TO SOVIET EMBASSY. PATILLO WALKED UP TO SOVIET EMBASSY

 AND SPOKE TO THREE SOVIETS AT GATE, ONE OF WHOM WAS VALENTIN

 SERGEYEVICH LOGINOV (KNOWN KGB). PATILLO SHOWED LOGINOV SOME

 PAPERS HE HAD IN HIS SUIT JACKET THEN ENTERED SOVIET EMBASSY

 GROUNDS AT 1311 HOURS. HE EXITED THE SOVIET EMBASSY AT 1351/

Loguer

SECRET

201. 285412 Provonos.

DISSEM BY PER		A REP TO PILE NO	BRANCH []	DESTROY [] SIG	,
87 AT	ž	CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.	,	er e monaren en en el marie	1
ADVANCE COPY [189UST		reserve II com II est	111	10	- x-10-1 ^ .
		SECRET Historian	11201 1704 174 174 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176 176		in a name and purpose parties are not as all solvers and in a second solvers and in a second solvers are not as a second solvers and in a second solvers are not as a second solvers are not a second solvers are

PAGE 2 MEXICO CITY 7994 S E C R E T
HOURS. SUBJECT THEN WALKED BACK TO THE HOTEL. VERYY
SURVEILLANCE CONSCIOUS IN AREA OF EMBASSY COMING AND GOING.

- 3. IN 5 NOVEMBER CONVERSATION WITH PROVOROV, SUBJECT STATED THAT PERHAPS HE WAS GOING TO OAXACA (CITY IN STATE OF OAZACA ABOUT 300 KILOMETERS SOUTH OF MEXICO CITY)
 AFTERNOON OR EVEING 6 NOVEMBER, THAT HE HAS TO LEAVE MEXICO CITY FOR LOS ANGELES ON MORING OF SATURDAY, 9 NOVEMBER.
- 4. LIEMBRACE SURVEILLANCE WILL CONTINUE UNTIL SUJBECT ME LEAVES MEXICO. LIEMBRACE PHOTOS AS WELL AS RESUMM OF SURVEILLANCE WILL BE POUCHED HQS.
- 5. LILYRIC PHOTOS WILL BE CHECKED FOR SOVIET EMBASSY VISIOTRS ON 6 NOVEMBER. LIENVOY TAPE WILL BE POUCHED HEAD-QUARTERS.
- 6. IF LNERGO UNABLE TO RESOLVE ADDRESS REPORTED PARA
 2 RE (A) SUGGEST LNERGO COPER ALL FLIGHTS ARRIVING LOS
 ANGELES FROM MEXICO CITY ON 9 NOV, DATE PATILLO SAYS HE HAS
 TO RETURN TO LOS ANGELS. STATION WILL CABLE IMMEDIATE, IF
 ABLE LEARN TRAVEL PLANS IN ADVANCE.
- 7. PATILLO DESCRIPTION FROM LIEMBRACES CA 30 YEARS OLD, CA 18 CM, CA-176 LBS, REDDISH BLOND HAIR, PALE COMPLEXION,

SECRET

TABLE SEL METANIAT ENDEMINATION	C.L. AbbiPIRE MERBANA	Curies Health	AMUZUM INTERNA B . BARM	BY THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE
ADVANCE LUFT	SECRET (When Filter that	GROUP I DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	e u	
8V	CLASSIPY TO FILE NO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	JI-REF TO PILE NOT		· ·	
DISSEM BY PLA	- FILE RID [] RET TO	HRANGH []	DESTROY . SIG	
RID CO	PY FILE, VA.			
PAGE 3 MEXIO CITY 799	ASECRET		٠.	
WEARING SUN GLASSES IN	PHOTOS.	•	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8. FILE: 50-8-2				ing the second of the second o
SECRET				

SECRET

Chief of Station, Is axico City

Chief, 55 via Chief, Wit Elvisica RELTOP ARCICK Vladimir Ivasorich Silantysy (201-770128)

Reference: Nexico City 6054 (IN 39508)

Porwarded under acparate cover to a LNERGO report concerning subject. Persons mentioned by surname on page six of the report are identified at Headquarters as the subjects of 201-20004, 201-132139, 201-763033 and 201-235412 respectively. Can the Station identify any of the other persons mentioned on pages five and six?

Ambroso T. SIBARICH

Attachment: no stated u/s/c

Tilstribution:
2 - COS, Léxico City
w/attachments w/

Edition ket

6991

201-132139, 201-205504, 201-265412

1 - C/WH/1

HM MW-10779

18 October 1968

SECRET .

201-776128

Internal Dist: 1 - 201-776123 SE/CI/K F. Walters rah 1 - 201-265304 1 - 201-132139 V1 - 201-763035 C/SB/CI 1 - 201-285412 1 - SB/K/WH SB/K/WH 1 - SB/CI/K C/WH/I

Logares 201-2054/2

	715771321	SECTION SON	80	Printing of Autor
13	Chief of Station, Me	exico City		NO MOTEON FED IN 19
into	nagen – de person de la plany alabama entre entre per de sente Aught entre entre en 1880 e 1880 e 1880 e 1880	Manager by Arthur Samples and Mark Strakes Sugar Advantage - Samples Samples - Samples Samples - Samples Samples - S		CAN JUDGE INSECTS
160 g	Chief, Vestern Hamis	sphere Division		MICROSILM
પ્રજ્ઞા	LPSHITCAGE/LIBRARU-	·		

ACTION REQUISIO - REFERENCES 1. Readquarters has carefully considered the case of LIREALY-1 from the standroint of ramifications of the conpromise of January 1968 and of his operational utilization by the Station. With regard to the compromise, Headquarters tends to believe that the damage done by that occurrence is somewhat greater than the Station estimates. It is obvious, however, that no one now or probably even in the future can arrive at a bard estimate of the degree to which LINEALIL-1 was blown. We can all agree and must assume that he has been compromised to some degree. The minimum degree of compromise would presumably be a listing of LIRIMLH-1's hame in local Soviet files, and presumably also in the Moscon hearquarters control registry, as "rossible A. I. S." While such a label is unfortunate, could affect future assignments, and will limit his sphere of activity in Mexico, there remains a wide area of operations in which he can be employed. The Mexican authorities are, to the best of our knowledge, unaware of LIREALH-I's activities and sponsorship. Given the improved operational security patterns and overall professional handling which must accompany Largarial's future use in the light of the unfortunate flap, we feel that he can continue to be of service at his present post. In spite of the obvious special need for a more careful weighing of operational risk versus gain factors (even more important here than in the case of the usual presumably "clean" non-official agent), we feel that neither the flap nor his LPGLORE status should inhibit the Station in the energetic use of his not inconsiderable talents. Headquarters can appreciate the necessarily slow pace of the developmental activity to which he has been assigned, as well as the required alterations or his targets - both of which factors have been largely beyond Station control.

(continued)

Distribution: Original and one - COS, Mexico City

		1		
	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND B	HIMOSO .	DATE	
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH STANDOL KAN I	IUIIDER .		uly 1968
		ma ma 1		
•	HERE - 16:	97ochFilm	XXXXXXXX	XALA
	SECR	CERT CAUGUE DE MOH	HUS FILE NUMBER	185412
•	RYBAT	•	201-7733	37
			ORIGINATING	
	OFFICE -	OFFICER	TYPIST.	EXT.
			_ 1	16954
	Wh/1/Nano	y Perkins/1	COORDINATING	
			OFFICER	F MANE
RI ·	OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE	OFFICER	3 HAPE
CHRONO	C/WH/FI	1 6 JUL 1969		
	cc:/Legue	17 JUL 15	field file.	
	CCS/DFB	1 1	Elwal	White
		<u> </u>	RELEASING .	
	OFFICE STAISOL	CATE	DEFICER'S SIGNATURE	1/ Ker House
·	C/Wii/1	13 July 68	W	. J. Khulman
	10/111/1	1431	C	A 274 /38 *

- 2. In a written report on his visit to Menico in December 1967, the visiting LYGLOBE case officer recapitulated the Station's Operational Plan for LINEALM-1 which included the following points:
 - a. "Penetration, nonitoring, analysis and study of the PRI are to be LIREALN-1's first objective"....
 - b. (FRADYER) "urged LIRTALH to spot and assess potential legal travellers to Cuba."
 - c. "A strong tertiary target is the (HBYAYRY). Embassy."
 - d. "Indonesians, Egyptians and Soviets have been discarded as targets."

When talking to members of the Branch, Lewis W. BEAUCHAMP confirmed the above and said that the Station was considering giving LIREALM-1 a going Cuban operation to handle. Has he yet been assigned any contacts which bear on the Cuban target? LIREALM-1 was quoted as saying that he feels he can handle a heavier operational load, and so we are hoping that you have or will soon be able to turn over to him one or more established operations from which he can see tangible return, thus broadening his experience and increasing his self-confidence. Since LIRELIC-1 has been terminated we assume Subject is exploring new areas against the LIOGLE/LIRELIC target and we would appreciate advice on this.

3. We recognize that very often one-time tasks, spotting, and research are performed by agents in the field without Headquarters' specific knowledge. Increased reporting on this kind of activity, which is valuable and necessary even though much of it may lead to dead ends, would give us a better understanding than we now have of the contribution which LIREALM-1 is making to the Station. Headquarters appreciates the operational reporting which the Station has been providing on LIREALM-1 and urges that it be continued on the same frequent basis.

Thomas W. LUND

211

			PROCESSING ACTION	
DISPATCH	SECRET	1	PROCESSING ACTIONS	
DIDEMICI	BECKET	X	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
Chief, 53		1	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	-
Chief. W			ONLY QUALIFIED DISK	
Chief of Station, Mex	ico City		CAN JUDGE INDEXING	: :
Chief of Station, JME	AVE		MICROFILM	
ara Onerational/TYPIC/AMSI	LOUCH			-
AMSLOUCH/1 Debriefing	on Soviet Officials			
TION REQUIRED - REFERENCES				
Action Required: FYI				• •
		AND OT	01/1 4m tha	·,
1. During the Appropriate Appr	oril 1968 debriefing of /1 provided the following	ng info	rmation on	
Soviet officials with	whom he is, or has been	s, acqui	inted:	•
			*	
a. Valentin	LOGINOV (201-285412): h Havana several years	assign	o nursued	~
BOVIET EEDSESY II	ter of AMSLOUCHES 1 and	d 2) who	n stationed	*
in Rayana, and la	iter in Moscow. AMSLOUG	THAT GOE	SCLIDEO	
TOO THOU AND WOULD	zh meacant type: "AMSLOI	(CI/1 w	ion in Mexico	
about a year ago	was looking at the Mex	lco Dip	lomatic List	
and noticed that	a LOGINOV was assigned	TO BUIL	ico. Specials to in	
AMSLOUCH/1 asked	a girl working at a for	OCINOV OTRU C	who had been	
Mexico (Identity)	. The girl replied in	the aff	irmative, and	
atoted the IMCIM	W morked in the Soviet	CODBRITE	ife usnering	
huminege des lines	hetween her consulate	and the	Boviet	
Consulate. The g	irl described LOGINOV s	is "a ve	ry nice	
fellow."	waan in dat oo waxaa ah ,	. • • • .		
h Colonel I	Pedor V. DREMOV: Milita	ry Atts	che, Soviet	
Embeggy Hayana	AMSLONGI/I reported to	iat he i	las conversed	
with DREMOV on se	versi occasions, the la	ist one	Deing when	·
Cosmonaut GUGARIN	was killed. AMSLOUCH	l desci	1bed DKKMOV as	• .
alert, smart, spe	aks good Spanish, charm	TINE RDC	igionica/l	
that he is the so	n of a Cossak. DREMOV	e had h	een a member	
during one or the	IL CONACTEMATIONS CHART			
Attachment:				• •
Identity (USC)			•	
***	~~~	wim		
Distribution:	CONTI			•
3 - C/SB w/att.	•	•		
2 - WH/COG w/att. 2 - COS, Mexico City ♥	/att.			
2 - C/WH W/att.	• • •	1 :	in 201 - 255	5412.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		pu	Edia	
an 1 1 1 1 2559	?//		1 1968 - 1285	
ca freed in 3559	/ / PISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATESTIN	· 	
OSS REFERENCE TO CHOOL 1		1		

UFGA-30172 (LASSIFICATION

SECRET

7 June 1968

28-6-33/3

Ghour F Expludes from Automatic downerships and months over the

ż

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH

UFGA-30172

of a Soviet PW camp where German soldiers were hold during world War II, during which time he claimed to have learned the German language.

SECRET

2. A photograph of DREMOV, provided by AMSLOUCH/1, was sent to Headquarters in UNGA-29721, 13 March 1968.

1626 Di Frysie Hilda G. VAGIONAS

	CONTINUE	TION OF DIER		CA A B B	FICATION	DOCUM	ENT BYMBOL AND'NU	ARER -
,		ATION OF DISPA IG INFORMATIO			CREŤ	7776	GA-30172	
11	NSTRUCTION	S: Insert "ASSIG	N" alter					
1 607	RNAME		Q.	VEN NAME	•	MIDOLE NAM		,
• • •	TOCINON.	Valentin_		COUNTRY OF B	IRTN	i e	201- 285	412
	TIZENSHIP							
	ced		- 80	oviet Cons	ulate, Me		Moxico	
.011	HER NAMES - ST	ATE TYPE (AKA, AL	IAB, MAIDI	EN) ···				
ADE	DITIONAL INFOR				· .			
	715 886	igned to th	e Bovi	let Embass;	in Haver	m.		
SUR	NAME		ais	EN NAME	<u>. (` .)</u>	IDDLE NAME		
2	DREMOV.	Pedor V. (O	* *				201-	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
SEX	DATE S	OF BIRTH	,	COUNTRY OF BU	RTH	CI.	TV/TOWN OF BIRTH	
CIT	IZENSHIP	 	,	CUPATION	(Sovie	T.	SIDENCE	
ОТН	ER NAMES - STA	TE TYPE (AKA, ALI	M4	litary Att	ache ^{Kubas}	sy	Havana	
	· · ·		 					
ABB	ITIONAL INFOR	MATION			•	:	, ;	
10 3			` - ` -	· .		·		,
	· · · · · · · ·						.,	
	NAME			EN NAME		<u></u>		
June			, (11)	EN NAME	. М	DOLE NAME	201-	
SEX	DATE	OF BIRTH		COUNTRY OF BIR	ŤH .	CIT	Y/TOWN OF BIRTH	
CITI	ZENSHIP		OCC	UPATION		REG	IDENCE	· · · ·
Ŀ	· ·	1.5	1					
OTHE	R'NAMES - RTAT	TE TYPE LAKA ALIA	R. MAIDEN	15		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
l		TE TYPE (AKA, ALIA	B. MAIDEN	F		<u> </u>		
<u> </u>	R NAMES - BTA		B. MAIDEN			·		
Ĺ			B, MAIDEN					
l			B, MAIDEN					
ADDI	TIONAL INFORM				·			
ADDI					<u> </u>	DOLE NAME	201-	
ADDI	TIONAL INFORM		ĞIVE	N NAME		DOLE NAME	201- /TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN.	TIONAL INFORM	OF BIRTH	GIVE	N NAME		CITY		
BURN.	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH	GIVE	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT		CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN.	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH	GIVE	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT		CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN. GEX CITIZ	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH	GIVE	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT		CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN. GEX CITIZ	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH	GIVE	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT		CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN. GEX CITIZ	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH	GIVE	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT		CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN. GEX CITIZ	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH	GIVE	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT		CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN. GEX CITIZ	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH	GIVE OCCU	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H	CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN. BEX CITIZ OTHER	ENSHIP R NAMES - STAT	OF BIRTH	OCCU OCCU , MAIDEN)	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY RESI	TOWN OF BIRTH	
BURN. GEX CITIZ OTHER ADDIT	ENSHIP R NAMES - STAT	OF BIRTH E TYPE (AKA. ALIAS	GIVE OCCU GIVEN	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY CITY RESI CITY CITY	TOWN OF BIRTH DENCE	
BURN. BEX CITIZ OTHER ADDIT	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH E TYPE (AKA, ALIAS ATION:	GIVEN GIVEN	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY CITY RESI CITY CITY	ZO1-	
BURN. BEX CITIZ OTHER ADDIT	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH E TYPE (AKA. ALIAS	GIVEN GIVEN	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY CITY RESI CITY CITY	ZO1-	
BURNA GITIZ OTHER ADDIT	AME DATE C	OF BIRTH E TYPE (AKA. ALIAS ATION:	GIVEN GIVEN	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY CITY RESI CITY CITY	ZO1-	
BURNA GITIZ OTHER SURNA SEX CITIZE	AME DATE C ENSHIP R NAMES - STATE ONAL INFORMA ME DATE C CNSHIP	OF BIRTH E TYPE (AKA. ALIAS ATION:	GIVEN GIVEN	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY CITY RESI CITY CITY	ZO1-	
BURNA GITIZ OTHER SEX CITIZE OTHER	AME DATE C ENSHIP R NAMES - STATE ONAL INFORMA ME DATE C CNSHIP	OF BIRTH E TYPE (AKA. ALIAS ATION:	GIVEN GIVEN	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY CITY RESI CITY CITY	ZO1-	
BURNA GITIZ OTHER SEX CITIZE OTHER	AME DATE C ENSHIP R NAMES - STATE ONAL INFORMA ME DATE C CNSHIP	OF BIRTH E TYPE (AKA. ALIAS ATION:	GIVEN GIVEN	N NAME COUNTRY OF BIRT	H HID	CITY CITY RESI CITY CITY	ZO1-	

223

SECRET

Under Separate Cover.

Attachment to:

UFGA-30172

IDENTITY: Liea HAGELBERG, employee of Finnish Consulate, Mexico City

SECRET

00 filed 201-355911

file m 201-2854/2