

Platform **WebPageTest**


Save Test Results with a **Free Account**

Sign Up & Save Test Result

URL: [https://www.nba.com/watch/league-pass-stream?cid=nba:paid:se
m:sa360:sos:lpsub:dom:t-dtcac...FsSe52ikrnVzhmJ2b6aXFYvilvN89b3JJ7E
HPx5ypOFhFSEQtB1jIGqgflaAnP1EALw_wcB&gclsrc=aw.ds](https://www.nba.com/watch/league-pass-stream?cid=nba:paid:se
m:sa360:sos:lpsub:dom:t-dtcac...FsSe52ikrnVzhmJ2b6aXFYvilvN89b3JJ7E
HPx5ypOFhFSEQtB1jIGqgflaAnP1EALw_wcB&gclsrc=aw.ds)
DATE: 1/21/2024, 8:19:24 PM

Webpage Performance Test Result

SETTINGS: MOTOG4  v120  4G  Virginia USA More Share



View: **Performance Summary**

Tools:

Export

Re-Run Test

Performance Summary

Is it Quick?

Not bad... This site was quick to connect and deliver initial code. It began rendering content with little delay. There were 4 render-blocking requests. The largest content rendered later than ideal.

10

10

Pro

12

Is it Usable?

Needs Improvement. This site had major layout shifts. It took a long time to become interactive. It had 5 accessibility issues, 3 critical. Some HTML was generated after delivery, potentially delaying usability.

4

4

Pro

4

Is it Resilient?

Not bad... This site had no render-blocking 3rd party requests that could be a single point of failure. It had no security issues. Some HTML was generated after delivery, which can cause fragility.

1

1

Pro

3

You have Free Experiments Available!

[Try them now!](#)

Page Performance Metrics

(Based on Median Run by: ▼ [Speed Index](#))

Note: Metric availability will vary.

First View ([Run 3](#))

Time to First Byte

.898s

When did the content start downloading?

Start Render

2.600s

When did pixels first start to appear?

First Contentful Paint

2.614s

How soon did text and images start to appear?

Speed Index

7.028s


How soon did the page look usable?

Largest Content

4.657

When did the large visible content finish loading?

Visual Page Loading Process ([Explore](#))



Compare First Views

Plot Full Results


Real-World Usage Metrics

Compare this WebPageTest run with browser-collected performance data for this site.
(Collected anonymously by Chrome browser from December 23, 2023 to January 19, 2024 | [Full Report](#))

First Contentful Paint (FCP)

2.38s (Fair)


At 75th percentile of visits.



Largest Contentful Paint (LCP)

3.08s (Fair)

At 75th percentile of visits.



Cumulative Layout Shift (CLS)

0.36 (Poor)

At 75th percentile of visits.



First Input Delay (FID)

.03s (Good)

At 75th percentile of visits.



Field metric only, no WPT test run data.

Time to First Byte (TTFB)

1.59s (Fair)

At 75th percentile of visits.

44%

36%

20%

.69s worse than this WPT test run's first view (.90s). [Why?](#)

Interaction to Next Paint (INP)

.26s (Fair)

At 75th percentile of visits.

63%


29%

Field metric only, no WPT test run data.

Note: Why can real browser usage metrics vary from test run metrics?


Individual Runs


Run 1:


	Waterfall	Screenshot
<div>First View (26.262s)</div> <div>Timeline (view) Processing Breakdown</div> <div>Trace (view)</div>		

Waterfall


Screenshot










Run 2:


	Waterfall	Screenshot
<div>First View (23.283s)</div> <div>Timeline (view) Processing Breakdown</div> <div>Trace (view)</div>		










Run 3:

	Waterfall	Screens
<div>First View (24.419s)</div> <div>Timeline (view) Processing Breakdown</div> <div>Trace (view)</div>		<div></div>





[Content Breakdown](#)**Waterfall****Screens****Requests****Byte**

Save Test Results with a **Free Account**

[Sign Up & Save Test Result](#)



Find us on:

[Contact Sales](#)

[Terms of Service](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

© [Catchpoint Systems, Inc.](#) All rights reserved.