On the feasibility for the system of quadratic equations, explanations

1. Theorem 3.2 (Sufficient condition)

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, s.t. $f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x$, $A_i = A_i^T$. Define $F = f(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then why $A = \inf_{y \in F} (c, y) = \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y) = B$?

- 1. First, $F \subseteq \text{conv } F$, therefore, $B \leqslant A$.
- 2. Secondly, let $y_k \in \text{conv } F$ be a sequence s.t. $g_k = (c, y_k) \underset{k \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} B$. $y_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \alpha_i^k y_i^k$.

 $g_k(c,y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \alpha_i^k(c,y_i^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \alpha_i^k g_i^k. \text{ Define } g_0^k = \min_{i \in \overline{1,n_k}} g_i^k. \text{ Then } B \leqslant g_0^k \leqslant g^k. \text{ Therefore, } g_0^k \to B \text{ also. This way, we have constructed a sequence } y_0^k \in F \text{ s.t. } (c,y_0^k) \to B, \text{ therefore, } A \leqslant B.$

2. Minimum of f(x)

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$. $f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x$. $A_i^T = A_i$. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We want to find $g(c) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (c, f(x))$.

Define
$$A_c \equiv c \cdot A = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i A_i, b_c = c \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i b_i.$$

$$c(c, f(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i f_i(x) = \sum_{i=1$$

$$\begin{split} &(c,f(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i f_i(x) = \sum c_i (x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x) = x^T A_c x + 2b_c^T x. \\ &\text{If } \exists v \colon -\alpha = v^T A_c v < 0 \text{ then } g(c) = -\infty \colon g(\beta v) = -\beta^2 \alpha + \beta 2b_c^T v \to -\infty, \ \beta \to +\infty. \end{split}$$

From this point on, we assume $A_c \geqslant 0$. Let R_0 be a zero eigenspace (=kernel) of A_c : $R_0 = \{v : A_c v = 0\}$ 0} = ker A_c

If
$$\exists v \in R_0 : v^T b_c \neq 0$$
 then $g(c) = -\infty$: Consider $f(\beta v) = \beta^2 v^T (A_c v)^{-0} + 2\beta \underbrace{b_c^T v}_{\neq 0} \to -\infty, \beta \to \infty$

Then
$$R_0 \subseteq \{b_c\}^{\perp}$$

Consider
$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i s_i s_i^T = S\Lambda S^T$$
, $S = ||s_1...s_n||$, $S^T S = E$, $s_i^T s_j = \delta_{ij}$.

f is differentiable, then for finding g(c) the gradiend $\nabla(c, f(x)) = 2A_c x + 2b_c = 0$.

$$S\Lambda S^T x = -b_c \Leftrightarrow \Lambda S^T x = -S^T b_c$$

Let x be $x = x^{\|} + x^{\perp}, x^{\|} \in R_0, x^{\perp} \perp R_0.$

Then neither f(x) nor $\Lambda S^T x$ depend on x^{\parallel} . This means that the x minimizing g(c) is defined in terms of x^{\perp} and x^{\parallel} is arbitrary.

Define
$$\lambda_i^g = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda_i = 0 \\ 1/\lambda_i, & \lambda_i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$
. Define $\Lambda^g = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1^g, ..., \lambda_n^g)$. Then $\Lambda \Lambda^g = \delta_{ij}[\lambda_i \neq 0]$. Then $S\Lambda^g \Lambda S^T$ is projector on $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

a projector on R_0^{\perp} . Consider $\Lambda^g S^T(x^{\parallel} + x^{\perp}) = -\Lambda^g S^T b_c$. But $\Lambda S^T x^{\parallel} = 0$, therefore, $S\Lambda^g S^T x^{\perp} = -S\Lambda^g S^T b_c$. But x^{\perp} is already in R_0^{\perp} , therefore, $x^{\perp} = -\underbrace{S\Lambda^g S^T}_{A^g} b_c$. Here A_c^g is a pseudoinverse of A_c .

Therefore,
$$x = -A_c^g b_c + x^{\parallel}$$
, where $x^{\parallel} \in R_0$.
Let us notice that since $A_c^{gT} = A_c^g$

Consider $f(x) = f(x^{\perp}) = b_c^T A_c^g A_c A_c^g b_c - 2b_c^T A_c^g b_c$. Consider $A_c A_c^g b_c = S \Lambda S^T S^T A_c^T S^T b_c$. Because $R_0 \in \{b_c\}^{\perp}, \Lambda \Lambda^g S^T b_c = S^T b_c.$ Therefore, $A_c A_c^g b_c = b_c.$ Then $f(x) = b_c^T A_c^g b_c - 2b_c^T A_c^g b_c = -b_c^T A_c^g b_c$

3. Finding c provided d

Let $H: \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a map s.t. $H_i(X) = \text{Tr}(H_iX)$,

$$H_i = \left| \begin{array}{cc} A_i & b_i \\ b_i^T & 0 \end{array} \right|^{\square}$$

Consider a boundary point X, which is a solution of (main article, (4)):

$$\begin{cases} \sup & t \\ H(X) = y^0 + td \\ X \geqslant 0 \\ X_{n+1,n+1} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Define f(t,X) = t, $D_0 = \{(t,X) | X \ge 0, X_{n+1,n+1} = 1\}$, $D_1 = \{(t,X) | H(X) = y^0 + td\}$. Then supremum is equivalent to

$$\sup_{(t,X)\in D_0\cap D_1} f(t,X)$$

Define a Lagrange function $L(c,t,X) = \underbrace{t}_{f(t,X)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i(y_i^0 + td_i - H_i(X)).$

Here we divided the constraints into two parts: D_1 goes to the Lagrange function, D_0 goes to the inner supremum. Stephen Boyd, Lieven Vandenberghe. Convex Optimization. Page ????. Cambridge University Press

Then the dual function is $g(c) = \sup_{(t,X) \in D_0} L(c,t,X)$.

Because $L = t(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i d_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (y_i^0 - H_i(X)), g = +\infty$ when $(c,d) \neq -1$. From this point we assume that (c,d) = -1.

Now, $g(c) = \sup_{X_{n+1,n+1} = 1,X \geqslant 0} (c,y^0 - H(X)) = (c,y^0) + \sup_{y \in \text{conv } F} -(c,y) = (c,y^0) - \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c,y)$.

Then the dual problem is

Now,
$$g(c) = \sup_{X_{p+1,p+1} = 1, X \ge 0} (c, y^0 - H(X)) = (c, y^0) + \sup_{y \in \text{conv } F} -(c, y) = (c, y^0) - \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y)$$

$$g(c) \to \inf_{(c,d)=-1}$$

Let us prove that $\inf_{y \in \text{conv } F}(c, y) = \inf_{H = \left| \left| \begin{array}{cc} A_c & b_c \\ b_c^T & \gamma \end{array} \right| \right| \geqslant 0} (-\gamma)$

Via Schur complement $H \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} A_c \ge 0 \\ \gamma - b_c^T A_c^g b_c \ge 0 \\ (E - A_c A_c^g) b_c = 0 \end{cases}$.

 $A_c \geqslant 0$ is a necessary condition for $\exists g(c) \in \mathbb{R}$ (see part 2).

 $A_c\geqslant 0$ is a necessary condition for $\exists g(c)\in\mathbb{R}$. $(E-A_cA_c^g)b_c=0$ is another necessary condition for $\exists g(c)\in\mathbb{R}$. Statement $\gamma\geqslant b_c^TA_cb_c$ means $-\gamma\leqslant -b_c^TA_cb_c=\inf_{y\in\operatorname{conv} F}(c,y)$, which means that $-\gamma$ is a lower bound

for $\inf_{y \in \text{conv } F}(c, y)$. Then $H \geqslant 0 \Leftrightarrow -\gamma \leqslant \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F}(c, y)$.

Then $g(c) = (c, y^0) - \inf_{H>0} -\gamma$.

Then the dual problem is:

Then the dual problem is:
$$\inf_{(c,d)=-1} g(c) \Leftrightarrow \inf_{(c,d)=-1} \left[(c,y^0) - \inf_{H\geqslant 0} (-\gamma) \right] \Leftrightarrow \inf_{(c,d)=-1} \inf_{H\geqslant 0} (c,y^0) + \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \inf & \gamma + (c,y^0) \\ H\geqslant 0 \\ (c,d)=-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This problem is exactly (5) from main article \blacksquare .

What is z_{max} ?

Consider $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m, \ f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x, \ A_i = A_i^T.$ Let $c_+ \in \mathbb{R}^m$ s.t. $A_+ = \sum c_i A_i > 0$. Then the minimum $\inf_x (c, f(x))$ is obtained at a single point $x_0 = -A_+^{-1} b_+, \ b_+ = \sum c_i b_i.$ Consider $S_\varepsilon^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \big| (x - x_0)^T A_+ (x - x_0) = \varepsilon^2 \}$. Then $f(S_\varepsilon^+) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \big| (c_+, y) = (c_+, f(x_0)) + \varepsilon^2 \}$. Indeed, if $\begin{cases} P = (c_+, f(x) - f(x_0)) \\ Q = (x - x_0)^T A_+ (x - x_0) \end{cases}$ then $P - Q = \underbrace{x^T A_+ x}_{-1} - x_0^T A_+ x_0 + 2b_+^T x - 2b_+^T x_0 - \underbrace{x^T A_+ x}_{-1} - x_0^T A_+ x_0 + 2x^T A_+ x_0 = \Big/ x_0 = -A_+^{-1} b_+ \Big/ = 2x_0^T b_+ + 2b_+^T x - 2b_+^T x_0 - 2x_0^T b_+ = 0.$ Therefore, the image of B_ε^+ is a convex cut $\{y \big| (c_+, y) \in (c_+, f(x_0)) + [0, z_{\max}] \}$

4. Finding minimum of z(c) when c is in manifold TODO