

On the feasibility for the system of quadratic equations, explanations

1. Theorem 3.2 (Sufficient condition)

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, s.t. $f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x$, $A_i = A_i^T$. Define $F = f(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then why $A = \inf_{y \in F} (c, y) = \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y) = B$?

1. First, $F \subseteq \text{conv } F$, therefore, $B \leq A$.

2. Secondly, let $y_k \in \text{conv } F$ be a sequence s.t. $g_k = (c, y_k) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} B$. $y_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \alpha_i^k y_i^k$.

$g_k(c, y_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \alpha_i^k (c, y_i^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \alpha_i^k g_i^k$. Define $g_0^k = \min_{i \in \{1, \dots, n_k\}} g_i^k$. Then $B \leq g_0^k \leq g^k$. Therefore, $g_0^k \rightarrow B$ also. This way, we have constructed a sequence $y_0^k \in F$ s.t. $(c, y_0^k) \rightarrow B$, therefore, $A \leq B$.

2. Minimum of $f(x)$

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. $f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x$. $A_i^T = A_i$. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$

We want to find $g(c) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (c, f(x))$.

Define $A_c \equiv c \cdot A = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i A_i$, $b_c = c \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i b_i$.

$(c, f(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i f_i(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i (x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x) = x^T A_c x + 2b_c^T x$.

If $\exists v: -\alpha = v^T A_c v < 0$ then $g(c) = -\infty$: $g(\beta v) = -\beta^2 \alpha + \beta 2b_c^T v \rightarrow -\infty$, $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$.

From this point on, we assume $A_c \geq 0$. Let R_0 be a zero eigenspace (=kernel) of A_c : $R_0 = \{v: A_c v = 0\} = \ker A_c$

If $\exists v \in R_0: v^T b_c \neq 0$ then $g(c) = -\infty$: Consider $f(\beta v) = \beta^2 v^T \overset{0}{\cancel{A_c v}} + 2\beta \underbrace{b_c^T v}_{\neq 0} \rightarrow -\infty$, $\beta \rightarrow \infty$

Then $R_0 \subseteq \{b_c\}^\perp$

Consider $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i s_i s_i^T = S \Lambda S^T$, $S = \|s_1 \dots s_n\|$, $S^T S = E$, $s_i^T s_j = \delta_{ij}$.

f is differentiable, then for finding $g(c)$ the gradiend $\nabla(c, f(x)) = 2A_c x + 2b_c = 0$.

$$S \Lambda S^T x = -b_c \Leftrightarrow \Lambda S^T x = -S^T b_c$$

Let x be $x = x^\parallel + x^\perp$, $x^\parallel \in R_0$, $x^\perp \perp R_0$.

Then neither $f(x)$ nor $\Lambda S^T x$ depend on x^\parallel . This means that the x minimizing $g(c)$ is defined in terms of x^\perp and x^\parallel is arbitrary.

Define $\lambda_i^g = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda_i = 0 \\ 1/\lambda_i, & \lambda_i \neq 0 \end{cases}$. Define $\Lambda^g = \text{diag}(\lambda_1^g, \dots, \lambda_n^g)$. Then $\Lambda \Lambda^g = \delta_{ij} [\lambda_i \neq 0]$. Then $S \Lambda^g \Lambda S^T$ is

a projector on R_0^\perp .

Consider $\Lambda^g S^T (x^\parallel + x^\perp) = -\Lambda^g S^T b_c$. But $\Lambda S^T x^\parallel = 0$, therefore, $S \Lambda^g S^T x^\perp = -S \Lambda^g S^T b_c$. But x^\perp is already in R_0^\perp , therefore, $x^\perp = -\underbrace{S \Lambda^g S^T}_{A^g} b_c$. Here A_c^g is a pseudoinverse of A_c .

Therefore, $\boxed{x = -A_c^g b_c + x^\parallel}$, where $x^\parallel \in R_0$.

Let us notice that since $A_c^{gT} = A_c^g$

Consider $f(x) = f(x^\perp) = b_c^T A_c^g A_c A_c^g b_c - 2b_c^T A_c^g b_c$. Consider $A_c A_c^g b_c = S \Lambda S^T S^{\nearrow E} \Lambda^g S^T b_c$. Because $R_0 \in \{b_c\}^\perp$, $\Lambda \Lambda^g S^T b_c = S^T b_c$. Therefore, $A_c A_c^g b_c = b_c$. Then $f(x) = b_c^T A_c^g b_c - 2b_c^T A_c^g b_c = \boxed{-b_c^T A_c^g b_c}$

3. Finding c provided d

Let $H: \mathbb{R}^{n+1, n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a map s.t. $H_i(X) = \text{Tr}(H_i X)$,

$$H_i = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A_i & b_i \\ b_i^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^2$$

Consider a boundary point X , which is a solution of (main article, (4)):

$$\begin{cases} \sup t \\ H(X) = y^0 + td \\ X \geq 0 \\ X_{n+1, n+1} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Define $f(t, X) = t$, $D_0 = \{(t, X) | X \geq 0, X_{n+1, n+1} = 1\}$, $D_1 = \{(t, X) | H(X) = y^0 + td\}$. Then supremum is equivalent to

$$\sup_{(t, X) \in D_0 \cap D_1} f(t, X)$$

Define a Lagrange function $L(c, t, X) = \underbrace{t}_{f(t, X)} + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i(y_i^0 + td_i - H_i(X))$.

Here we divided the constraints into two parts: D_1 goes to the Lagrange function, D_0 goes to the inner supremum. *Stephen Boyd, Lieven Vandenbergh. Convex Optimization. Page ????. Cambridge University Press*

Then the dual function is $g(c) = \sup_{(t, X) \in D_0} L(c, t, X)$.

Because $L = t(1 + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i d_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(y_i^0 - H_i(X))$, $g = +\infty$ when $(c, d) \neq -1$. From this point we assume that $\boxed{(c, d) = -1}$.

Now, $g(c) = \sup_{X_{n+1, n+1}=1, X \geq 0} (c, y^0 - H(X)) = (c, y^0) + \sup_{y \in \text{conv } F} -(c, y) = (c, y^0) - \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y)$.

Then the dual problem is

$$g(c) \rightarrow \inf_{(c, d) = -1}$$

Let us prove that $\inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y) = \inf_{H = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A_c & b_c \\ b_c^T & \gamma \end{bmatrix} \right\| \geq 0} (-\gamma)$

Via Schur complement $H \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} A_c \geq 0 \\ \gamma - b_c^T A_c^g b_c \geq 0 \\ (E - A_c A_c^g) b_c = 0 \end{cases}$.

$A_c \geq 0$ is a necessary condition for $\exists g(c) \in \mathbb{R}$ (see part 2).

$(E - A_c A_c^g) b_c = 0$ is another necessary condition for $\exists g(c) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Statement $\gamma \geq b_c^T A_c b_c$ means $-\gamma \leq -b_c^T A_c b_c = \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y)$, which means that $-\gamma$ is a lower bound

for $\inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y)$.

Then $H \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow -\gamma \leq \inf_{y \in \text{conv } F} (c, y)$.

Then $g(c) = (c, y^0) - \inf_{H \geq 0} -\gamma$.

Then the dual problem is:

$$\inf_{(c, d) = -1} g(c) \Leftrightarrow \inf_{(c, d) = -1} \left[(c, y^0) - \inf_{H \geq 0} (-\gamma) \right] \Leftrightarrow \inf_{(c, d) = -1} \inf_{H \geq 0} (c, y^0) + \gamma = \boxed{\begin{cases} \inf \gamma + (c, y^0) \\ H \geq 0 \\ (c, d) = -1 \end{cases}}$$

This problem is exactly (5) from main article ■.

4. What is z_{\max} ?

Consider $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $f_i(x) = x^T A_i x + 2b_i^T x$, $A_i = A_i^T$.

Let $c_+ \in \mathbb{R}^m$ s.t. $A_+ = \sum c_i A_i > 0$. Then the minimum $\inf_x (c, f(x))$ is obtained at a single point

$$x_0 = -A_+^{-1} b_+, \quad b_+ = \sum c_i b_i.$$

Consider $S_\varepsilon^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x - x_0)^T A_+ (x - x_0) = \varepsilon^2\}$. Then $f(S_\varepsilon^+) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid (c_+, y) = (c_+, f(x_0)) + \varepsilon^2\}$.

Indeed, if $\begin{cases} P = (c_+, f(x) - f(x_0)) \\ Q = (x - x_0)^T A_+ (x - x_0) \end{cases}$ then $P - Q = \underline{x^T A_+ x} - x_0^T A_+ x_0 + 2b_+^T x - 2b_+^T x_0 - \underline{x^T A_+ x} - x_0^T A_+ x_0 + 2x^T A_+ x_0 = /x_0 = -A_+^{-1} b_+ / = 2x_0^T b_+ + 2b_+^T x - 2b_+^T x_0 - 2x^T b_+ = 0$.

Therefore, the image of B_ε^+ is a *convex cut* $\{y \mid (c_+, y) \in (c_+, f(x_0)) + [0, z_{\max}]\}$

5. Finding minimum of $z(c)$ when c is in manifold

TODO