Enhanced LaTeX Comment System with FontAwesome Icons

ANONYMOUS AUTHOR 1, Anonymous University, Country
ANONYMOUS AUTHOR 2, Anonymous Research Institute, Country

This document demonstrates an enhanced LaTeX comment system that incorporates FontAwesome icons for different types of editorial comments. The system supports multiple comment types including citations, edits, language improvements, warnings, checks, figures, todos, and reviews. Each comment type is visually distinguished by both color coding and distinctive icons, making the review process more efficient and organized.

1 INTRODUCTION

The collaborative writing process in academic publishing requires efficient communication between authors, reviewers, and editors. Traditional comment systems often lack visual distinction between different types of feedback, making it difficult to prioritize and categorize comments during the revision process.

66 [cite] This claim needs empirical support from recent literature

This enhanced comment system addresses these limitations by introducing FontAwesome icons alongside color-coded comments. The system maintains backward compatibility with existing LaTeX workflows while providing enhanced visual clarity for different comment categories.

[John Snow 0]

We should consider expanding this introduction to include more background on collaborative writing challenges in academic publishing.

2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW

2.1 Comment Types and Icons

The enhanced system supports eight distinct comment types, each with a unique icon and color scheme. Table 1 provides a comprehensive overview of available comment types.

Table 1. Comment Types and Their Associated Icons

Type	Icon	Purpose
cite	"	Citation requests and reference suggestions
edit		Text editing and structural improvements
lang	ΑŻ	Language and writing style enhancements
warn	lack	Warnings and critical issues
check	igoredown	Verified content and confirmations
fig		Figure-related suggestions and improvements
todo	∷ ≡	Task items and pending work
review	0	Items requiring peer review

[fig] Consider adding visual examples of each icon in actual use

Authors' addresses: Anonymous Author 1, Anonymous University, Country, anonymous1@example.org; Anonymous Author 2, Anonymous Research Institute, Country, anonymous2@example.org.

Manuscript submitted to ACM 1

2.2 Implementation Features

The system is implemented as a single LaTeX file that can be easily integrated into existing document workflows. Key features include:

- Author Support: Multiple author comment streams with customizable names
- Toggle Control: ☐ [todo] Add example of toggle usage Comments can be easily hidden for camera-ready versions
- Counter System: Automatic numbering and total comment counting

[Pepito Perez 1]

The implementation section could benefit from code examples showing how to customize the system.

3 USAGE EXAMPLES

3.1 Basic Comment Types

The following examples demonstrate the various comment types in context:

Citation Comments: When referencing existing work **66** [cite] Add Smith et al. 2023 reference here, the cite comment type helps identify missing references.

Editorial Suggestions: Content that requires revision *[Parity]* [edit] Rewrite this sentence for clarity can be marked with edit comments.

Language Improvements: Text with complex terminology [lang] Simplify this technical jargon for broader audience benefits from language-specific feedback.

Warnings: Critical issues **A** [warn] This data contradicts findings in Section 2 require immediate attention through warning comments.

[Maria Garcia 2]

Consider reorganizing this section to group similar comment types together for better flow.

3.2 Workflow Integration

The system integrates seamlessly with standard LaTeX compilation workflows. Authors can use the following commands:

- (check) Verified that all compilation commands work correctly
- (1) Compile with pdflatex document.tex
- (2) Process bibliography with bibtex document
- (3) Final compilation with pdflatex document.tex (twice)
- [review] This workflow section needs validation from other team members

4 ADVANCED FEATURES

4.1 Author Comment Streams

The system supports multiple author comment streams with customizable names. In this document, we have configured: Manuscript submitted to ACM

- John Snow (Author 1): Primary content development
- Pepito Perez (Author 2): Technical review and methodology
- Maria Garcia (Author 3): Language and presentation
- Alex Chen (Author 4): General review and coordination

[Alex Chen 3]

The author alias system makes it much easier to track who provided which feedback during collaborative writing.

4.2 Comment Management

The system provides utilities for comment management:

≔ [todo] Document the comment counter reset functionality

- Comment counting: \commentcount displays total comment count
- Counter reset: \resetcomments for chapter-based documents
- Visibility toggle: \showcomments and \hidecomments for easy control
- Natural commands: Use author first names like \pepito{comment} instead of \atwo{comment}

4.3 Advanced Usage Examples

The system now supports natural author name commands and convenient comment type shortcuts:

Natural Author Commands: Instead of using generic aliases like \atwo, you can now use natural names:

- \john{comment} for John Snow's comments
- \pepito{comment} for Pepito Perez's comments
- \maria{comment} for Maria Garcia's comments
- \alex{comment} for Alex Chen's comments

Convenient Comment Types: Use specific comment commands with simple syntax:

- \addnote[cite]{Add Smith reference} **66** [cite] Add Smith reference here
- \addnote[todo]{Fix grammar} **≔** [todo] Fix grammar in this paragraph
- \addnote[warn]{Check data} (warn) Check data consistency

Visibility Control: Toggle all comments with simple commands:

- \showcomments Make all comments visible (default)
- \hidecomments Hide all comments for camera-ready version

5 CONCLUSION

This enhanced comment system significantly improves the collaborative writing experience by providing visual clarity and organization to the review process. The combination of color coding and FontAwesome icons makes it easy to identify and prioritize different types of feedback.

② [review] Final review needed before submitting this work

Future enhancements could include integration with version control systems and automated comment analysis tools [1].

[John Snow 4]

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Great work on this system! It will definitely improve our collaboration workflow.

[Total comments: 5]

REFERENCES

[1] Leslie Lamport. 1994. ETeX: A Document Preparation System (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.