

DIRECT CONSOLIDATION

---

MU	Year 1	Entering conso.	Acquisitions	Disposals	Deprec.	Net variation	Year 2
Tangible assets (acq.val.)		4,000	3,500	(1,500)	(300)	6,000	1
Tangible assets (deprec.)		(2,700)				(3,000)	
Receivables/3rd Parties		2,500				3,000	
Cash		3,200				(1,200)	2,000
	0						8,000

MU	Year 1	Entering conso.	Approp.	Result	Net variation	Year 2
Capital		3,000			3,000	
Retained earnings		1,200	(200)	300	1,000	
Result			200		500	
Payables/3rd Parties		2,800		700	3,500	
	0					8,000

MU		3
Result		300
	Depredations	300
	Provisions	
	Gain/disposals	
	Loss/disposals	
Cash Flow		600
	Net variation of receivables	(500)
	Net variation of payables	700
Cash from operating activities		800
Investments		
	Tangibles assets acquisitions	(3,500)
	Financial assets acquisitions	
Disinvestments		
	Tangibles assets disposals	1,500
	Financial assets disposals	
Cash from Investment activities		(2,000)
Capital increase		0
Dividends paid (M)		0
Dividends paid (other cies)		0
Cash from financial activities		0
Net cash variation		(1,200)
Cash variation from balance		(1,200)

**MU – Statutory cash flow statement 1**

This company enters the consolidation scope on July 1<sup>st</sup>, Year 2. This means 2  
that we don't have the Year 1 closing amounts.

In such situation, the balance sheet accounts existing at that date are booked 3  
on a special flow "Entering conso".

Here are our comments on the cash flow 4

- Retained earnings account is equal to 1200, already including the 2005  
profit of the first half year
- However, the Result in the Year 2 closing amounts is still 500 but the  
flow splits into 200 for 1<sup>st</sup> half year and 300 for 2<sup>nd</sup> half year.
- The flow "Entering conso" has no impact on the cash flow statement  
because it contains information about the period preceding the life  
time of the company in the group.
- Investments transactions reflect transactions with the outside world  
and, in particular, the disposals generate no gain or loss.

We can check again that the 'Net cash variation' deducted from the cash flow 6  
statement is equal to the net cash variation in the balance sheet.

**DIRECT CONSOLIDATION**

---

	ALPHA	BETA	GAMMA	DELTA	MU	TOTAL
<b>Result</b>	800	900	(200)	600	300	2,400
Depreciations	0	600	500	480	300	1,880
Provisions	200	100		360	0	660
Gain/disposals	(500)	0	(300)	0	0	(800)
Loss/disposals	400	0	0	0	0	400
<b>Cash Flow</b>	900	1,600	0	1,440	600	4,540
Net variation of receivables	(1,100)	(2,000)	(1,000)	(3,600)	(500)	(8,200)
Net variation of payables	1,300	3,700	1,200	1,680	700	8,580
<b>Cash from operating activities</b>	1,100	3,300	200	(480)	800	4,920
Investments						
Tangibles acquisitions	0	(3,300)	(2,000)	0	(3,500)	(8,800)
Financial assets acquisitions	(2,400)	(4,000)	0	0	0	(6,400)
Disinvestments						
Tangibles assets disposals	1,500	800	2,300	0	1,500	6,100
Financial assets disposals	800	0	0	0	0	800
<b>Cash from investment activities</b>	(100)	(6,500)	300	0	(2,000)	(8,300)
Capital increase	0	3,000	0	0	0	3,000
Dividends paid (M)	(300)	0	0	0	0	(300)
Dividends paid (other cies)	0	0	0	(280)	0	(280)
<b>Cash from financial activities</b>	(300)	3,000	0	(280)	0	2,420
<b>Net cash variation</b>	700	(200)	500	(760)	(1,200)	(960)

### **Addition of statutory cash flow statements 1**

The next step consists in adding line by line the five statutory cash flow statements previously built. This new cash flow statement is supposed to converge to the consolidated one. 2

Obviously, there are some advantages to proceed like this. 3

- All transactions declared at statutory level are reflected as real cash transactions and are kept unchanged in this "temporary" cash flow 4
- In particular, the cash transactions on consolidated financial investments are also kept and we know that at consolidation level they are eliminated
- Consolidation goodwills, which appear at consolidation level as intangible assets, have no place at statutory level. This is a good point because they cannot appear at consolidation level.
- All flows related to translation adjustments have already been ignored at statutory level.

But there is quite a distance before achieving our work for some of the main 5 following reasons

- The result on the first line is not equal to the consolidated result, because we didn't take care of the consolidation adjustments 6
- Some gains/losses have been adjusted and are presently not in line with the P&L gains/losses
- Intercompany amounts have also been ignored
- The increase in capital in GAMMA should ignore the subscription of ALPHA which is a group flow
- Dividends paid are too high because the amount includes the payment to ALPHA, corresponding to a group transaction

And on top of these few comments, we cannot forget that we added the 7 GAMMA cash flow statement corresponding to an equity method company!

The next pages show how we are going to take care of all these issues. 8

DIRECT CONSOLIDATION

---

ALPHA	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6) <sup>1</sup>
Result	800	(168)	(400)	(100)	(60)	72
Depreciations	0			100	60	160
Provisions	200					200
Exchange gain(unrealized)	0					0
Gain/disposals	(500)		400			(100)
Loss/disposals	400					400
Loss from e thod cies	0					0
Cash Flow	900	(168)	0	0	0	732
Net variation or receivables	(1,100)					(1,100)
Net variation of payables	1,300					1,300
Link flow						
Cash from operating activities	1,100	(168)	0	0	0	932
Investments						
Tangibles assets acquisitions	0					0
Financial assets acquisitions	(2,400)					(2,400)
Disinvestments						
Tangibles assets disposals	1,500					1,500
Financial assets disposals	800					800
Cash from investment activities	(100)	0	0	0	0	(100)
Capital increase	0					0
Subscription by the group						0
Dividends paid (M)	(300)					(300)
Dividends paid (other cies)	0	168				168
Cash from financial activities	(300)	168	0	0	0	(132)
Net cash variation	700	0	0	0	0	700

### **ALPHA – Contribution to consolidated cash flow statement** 1

We start with the statutory cash flow statement that will be adapted 2 considering two aspects

- On one side we must evaluate the impact of each consolidation adjustment on the cash flow statement 3
- On the other side, we must adopt a "helicopter" view on the amounts to estimate their compliance with the financial situation.

#### Column (1) 4

Statutory cash flow statement. 5

#### Column (2) 6

The elimination of the DELTA dividends for an amount of 168 has an impact 7 on the result. Moreover the line "Dividends paid (other cies)", in the added version of the cash flow statement, is presently too high because these 168 remain in the group. We must show only  $(112) = 40\% * (400) * 0.7$  corresponding to the dividends paid to 3<sup>rd</sup> Parties.

#### Column (3) 8

We have booked an adjustment to eliminate the gain on lands disposal to 9 BETA for an amount of 400. The profit must be reduced by this amount with the Gain line as counterpart. Indeed, the ALPHA contribution to the final consolidated P&L is only a gain of 100 for this transaction.

#### Column (4) 10

The goodwill depreciation adjustment for 100 related to BETA participation 11 reduces the group profit. The counterpart is booked on the "Depreciations" line of the cash flow statement.

#### Column (5) 12

When selling the 40% shares in GAMMA, we decided to book the remaining 13 goodwill in the P&L, for an amount of 60. This gives the same reclassification as the one before.

#### Column (6) 14

This column is the addition of columns (1) to (5), giving a contributed cash 15 flow statement with an improved picture with regard to the statutory one in column (1).

DIRECT CONSOLIDATION

---

ALPHA	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	TOTAL
Reult	72	680				752
Depredations	160					160
Provisions	200					200
Exchange gain(unrealized)	0					0
Gain/disposals	(100)	(280)				(380)
Loss/disposals	400	(400)				0
Loss from equity method cies	0					0
<u>Cash Flow</u>	<u>732</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>732</u>
Net variation of receivables	(1,100)					
Net variation of payables	1,300					
Link flow				1,500	(1,000)	500
<u>Cash from operating activities</u>	<u>932</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>2,432</u>
Investments						
Tangibles assets acquisitions	0					0
Financial assets acquisitions	(2,400)		2,400			0
Disinvestments						
Tangible assets disposals	1,500			(1,500)		0
Financial assets disposals	800					800
<u>Cash from investment activities</u>	<u>(100)</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2,400</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>800</u>
Capital increase	0					0
Subscription by the group	0		(2,400)			(2,400)
Dividends paid (M)	(300)					(300)
Dividends paid (other cies)	168					168
<u>Cash from financial activities</u>	<u>(132)</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>(2,400)</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>(2,532)</u>
<u>Net cash variation</u>	<u>700</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>	<u>700</u>

---

## PART 6 CONSOLIDATION CASE STUDY

### Column (7) 1

This column deals with the adjustment concerning the loss on disposal of the 2  
40% shares owned on GAMMA. The net impact was an improvement of the  
profit for 680 with two counterparts, an elimination of the statutory loss for  
400 and a consolidated gain for 280.

### Column (8) 3

The subscription of ALPHA to the BETA capital increase is 2400. We reclassify 4  
this amount in the "Cash from financial activities" in order to reduce the  
capital increase of 3000. Besides the fact we still show both amounts, the net  
value of 600 is the cash brought by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Parties, which is the only cash in.

### Column (9) 5

The Tangible assets disposal for 1500 corresponds to the lands acquired by 6  
BETA. This group transaction cannot appear in the consolidated cash flow  
statement. Notice the use of a "Link flow" line that must become zero at the  
end.

### Column (10) 7

We know there were intercompany receivables with partner DELTA for an 8  
amount of 1000. Up to now, we ignored this situation by starting with a  
statutory situation. We have now to eliminate the flow via a link flow like for  
intercompany closing amounts.

### Column TOTAL 9

This column becomes the contribution of company ALPHA in the final 10  
consolidated cash flow statement.

DIRECT CONSOLIDATION

---

BETA	(1)	(2)	(3)	TOTAL
Result	900	(100)	(22)	778
Depreciations	600	100	22	722
Provisions	100			100
Exchange gain(unrealized)	0			0
Gain/disposals	0			0
Loss/disposals	0			0
Loss from equity method cies	0			0
Cash flow	1,600	0		1,600
Net variation of receivables	(2,000)			(2,000)
Net variation of payables	3,700			3,700
Link Row			(1,500)	(1,500)
Cash from operating activities	3,300	0	(1,500)	1,800
Investments				
Tangibles assets acquisitions	(3,300)		1,500	(1,800)
Financial assets acquisitions	(4,000)			(4,000)
Disinvestments				
Tangibles assets disposals	800			800
Financial assets disposals	0			0
Cash from investment activities	(6,500)	0	1,500	(5,000)
Capital increase	3,000			3,000
Subscription by the group	0			0
Dividends paid (M)	0			0
Dividends paid (other cies)	0			0
Cash from financial activities	3,000	0	0	3,000
Net cash variation	(200)	0	0	(200)

---

## PART 6 CONSOLIDATION CASE STUDY

### **BETA - Contribution to consolidated cash flow statement** 1

Column (1) 2

Statutory cash flow statement. 3

Column (2) 4

We adjust the profit by the depreciation of the tangible assets revaluation for 5  
100.

Column (3) 6

This adjustment concerns the new goodwill depreciation related to company 7  
MU.

Column TOTAL 8

This column becomes the contribution of company BETA in the final 9  
consolidated cash flow statement.