## CSC2516 - Homework II

Sergio E. Betancourt (998548585) 2019-02-11

## Part 2

(a) Goal: Specify hyperparameters  $(\alpha_A, \beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon_A)$  that make Adam equivalent to RMSprop with  $(\alpha_R, \gamma, \epsilon_R)$ .

Note that RMSprop divides the learning rate by a weighted average of the squared gradient plus a dampener. To adapt Adam to perform RMSprop, we simply let the Adam hyperparameters be:

$$\beta_1 = 0$$
,  $\beta_2 = \gamma$ ,  $\alpha_A = \alpha_B$ , and  $\epsilon_A = \epsilon_B$ .

The above means that we eliminate the first time scale and let  $\mathbf{m}_t \leftarrow \mathbf{g}_t$ .

(b) Goal: Specify hyperparameters  $(\alpha_A, \beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon_A)$  that make Adam equivalent to Momentum SGD with  $(\mu, \alpha_S)$ .

Note that momentum SGD does not make use of a second timescale with respect to the squared gradient term  $\mathbf{g}_t^2$ . Therefore, Adam is equivalent to momentum SGD when we take away Adam's  $\mathbf{v_t}$  update rule, leaving it as initialized  $\forall t, \mathbf{v_t} = 0$  and elevate the dampener to 1 to prevent division by 0 and undesired scaling.

Thus, the right parameters are:

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{A}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{S}}, \ \beta_{\mathbf{1}} = \mu, \ \beta_{\mathbf{2}} = 1, \ \text{and} \ \epsilon_{\mathbf{A}} = 1.$$

(c) Goal: Show that  $\epsilon_A = 0 \implies \text{Adam algorithm is invariant to re-scaling.}$ 

First, denote  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\theta_t) = C \cdot \mathcal{J}(\theta_t)$ , assuming C > 0, and let  $\epsilon_A = 0$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_0 = \theta_0$ . Note that  $\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\theta_t) = C \cdot \nabla \mathcal{J}(\theta_t)$ . Then, for t=1,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1 \leftarrow \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\theta_0) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_1 \leftarrow (1 - \beta_1) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1 \ , \ \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 \leftarrow (1 - \beta_2) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1^2 \\ \tilde{\theta}_1 \leftarrow \tilde{\theta}_0 + \alpha_A \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_1 / \sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1} \end{aligned}$$

but  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_1/\sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1} = \frac{(1-\beta_1)\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1}{\sqrt{(1-\beta_2)\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1^2}} = \frac{C}{|C|} \cdot \frac{(1-\beta_1)\mathbf{g}_1}{\sqrt{(1-\beta_2)\mathbf{g}_1^2}} = \mathbf{m}_1/\sqrt{\mathbf{v}_1}$ , given  $\frac{C}{|C|} = 1$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_1 = C\mathbf{m}_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1 = C^2\mathbf{v}_1$ , and thus  $\tilde{\theta}_1 = \theta_1$ .

Now, assume the above holds for t = k for induction. Explicitly, assume

$$\tilde{\theta}_k = \theta_k$$
, given  $\tilde{\theta}_{k-1} = \theta_{k-1}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_k / \sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k} = \mathbf{m}_k / \sqrt{\mathbf{v}_k}$   
where  $\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_k = C\mathbf{m}_k$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k = C^2\mathbf{v}_k$ 

Consider t = k + 1:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} \leftarrow \nabla \tilde{\mathcal{J}}(\theta_k) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{k+1} \leftarrow \beta_1 \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_k + (1-\beta_1) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} \ , \ \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k+1} \leftarrow \beta_2 \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k + (1-\beta_2) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1}^2 \\ \tilde{\theta}_{k+1} \leftarrow \tilde{\theta}_k + \alpha_A \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{k+1} / \sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k+1}} \end{split}$$

We have:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{k+1} \leftarrow \beta_1 \tilde{\mathbf{m}}_k + (1 - \beta_1) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1} \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k+1} \leftarrow \beta_2 \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k + (1 - \beta_2) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{k+1}^2 
= C\beta_1 \mathbf{m}_k + (1 - \beta_1) C \mathbf{g}_{k+1} \qquad = C^2 \beta_2 \mathbf{v}_k + (1 - \beta_2) C^2 \mathbf{g}_{k+1} 
= C(\beta_1 \mathbf{m}_k + (1 - \beta_1) \mathbf{g}_{k+1}) \qquad = C^2 (\beta_2 \mathbf{v}_k + (1 - \beta_2) \mathbf{g}_{k+1}) 
= C \mathbf{m}_{k+1} \qquad = C^2 \mathbf{v}_{k+1}$$

and thus,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{m}}_{k+1}/\sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k+1}} = \mathbf{m}_{k+1}/\sqrt{\mathbf{v}_{k+1}} \implies \tilde{\theta}_{k+1} \leftarrow \theta_k + \alpha_A \mathbf{m}_{k+1}/\sqrt{\mathbf{v}_{k+1}}$$

resulting in  $\tilde{\theta}_{k+1} = \theta_{k+1}$ . Therefore, Adam with  $\epsilon_A = 0$  is invariant to re-scaling.