

Counting sort

The algorithm works only for positive integers.

1. Find the max in array **a** (*call it k*). ($\Theta(n)$)
2. Make a zero array with the size of $k+1$, and call it **hist** (*it shows the histogram of the elements in a*)
3. For each element **e** in **a**, increment $\text{hist}[e]$ by 1. ($\Theta(n)$)
4. Set $\text{hist}[i] = \text{hist}[i] + \text{hist}[i-1]$ for all $1 < i < k+1$ ($\Theta(k)$)
5. Make another array with the size of **a** and call it **sortedA**
6. Take each element **e** in **a**:
 - a. Decrement $\text{hist}[e]$ by 1.
 - b. Put **e** in index $\text{hist}[e]$ of **sortedA** $\rightarrow \text{sortedA}[\text{hist}[e]] = e$. ($\Theta(n)$)

The running time is $\Theta(n+k)$

Radix sort

1. Start from the least significant digit (the right most digit) to the most significant digit (the left most digit), and sort the numbers based on their i^{th} digit.

The running time is $\Theta(kn)$

Insertion sort

1. Start from the first element in the array.
2. Go to the next element (*i*).
3. Start moving the element to the left to its appropriate location (*k*) (*where element i is bigger than the k-1 element and smaller than k+1 element*)
4. Go back to step 2 until $i=n$ ($n=\text{size of the array}$)

The best case running time is $O(n)$ (The input is an array that is already sorted.)

The worst case running time is $O(n^2)$ (The input is an array sorted in reverse order.)

The average running time is $O(n^2)$

Bubble sort

1. Compare each pair of adjacent elements from the beginning of the array and swap them if $a_i > a_{i+1}$.
(*to find the **largest** element*)
2. Go back to step 1 until no swaps are needed (*It means the array is sorted*)

The best case running time is $O(n)$ (The input is an array that is already sorted.)

The worst case running time is $O(n^2)$ (The input is an array sorted in reverse order.)

The average running time is $O(n^2)$

Selection sort

1. Find the **lowest** element in the array (*it requires scanning n elements (n = size of the array)*)
 2. Swap it into the k^{th} position ($k=0,1,2,\dots,n-1$)
- Step k.** Go back to step 1 until the array is sorted.

The running time is $\Theta(n^2)$

Merge sort

Read the divide and conquer algorithm first.

Divide: Divide the array into two halves ($\Theta(1)$)

Conquer: Recursively sort the two sub-problems, each of size $n/2$, (contributes $2T(n/2)$ to the running time.) (Recursively = repeat this step until the size of the sub-arrays are 1)

Combine: Combine the sub-problems by merging the two sub-arrays into a sorted array. ($\Theta(n)$)

Running time of the algorithm: $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$

The best case running time is $O(n \log n)$

The worst case running time is $O(n \log n)$

The average running time is $O(n \log n)$

Quicksort

Read the divide and conquer algorithm first.

Most efficient sorting algorithm for arrays of data stored in local memory

Divide:

1. Find the pivot (use median-of-three algorithm = $\Theta(1)$, or use the fast median search algorithm = $O(n)$)
2. Swap the pivot with the last element of the array ($\Theta(1)$)
3. For the remaining elements of the array $a[0]..a[n-2]$, define 2 markers: Left and Right. Left and Right markers start from the left side ($a[0]$) and the right side ($a[n-2]$) of the array respectively and move toward the center.
4. Marker Left stops if element $a[i] > \text{pivot}$ and Marker Right stops if element $a[i] < \text{pivot}$.
5. When both stop, they swap the elements.
6. The process is done when both cross one another. (Steps 3 to 6 = $\Theta(n)$)

7. Now divide the array into two sub-arrays **a_{left}** (a[0], a[1], . . . , a[k-1]), and **a_{right}** (a[k+1], . . . , a[n-1]) (where $a_j \leq \text{pivot}$ for every $j \leq k - 1$, and $a_j \geq \text{pivot}$ for every $j \geq i$) (**$\Theta(1)$**)
 (Note: now you have a_{left}, pivot, a_{right})

Conquer: Recursively solve two sub-problems (each of size $n/2$ if *pivot=median of the array*) (contributes **$2T(n/2)$** to the running time.) (Recursively = repeat this step until the size of the sub-arrays are 5 or fewer, then sort array using insertion sort)

Combine: Combine the sub-problems by concatenating the a_{left}, pivot, a_{right}. (**$\Theta(1)$**)

Running time of the algorithm: $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$

The best case running time is $O(n \log n)$

The worst case running time is $O(n^2)$ (This rarely happens)

The average running time is $O(n \log n)$

Divide and Conquer algorithms

Many problems can be solved recursively like Binary Search, Mergesort, Quicksort, Maximum Subsequence Sum (finding the maximum sum of any subsequence in a sequence of integers), Order Statistics (finding the k^{th} least or greatest element of an array), Matrix Operations: (matrix inversion, Fast-Fourier Transform, matrix multiplication).

- **Divide.** Divide the original problem into one or more sub-problems that are smaller size.
- **Conquer.** Recursively solve the sub-problems until their sizes are small enough, and then just solve them in a straightforward manner
- **Combine.** Combine the solution to the sub-problems into a final solution for the original problem.

The running time of the divide and conquer algorithms is:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n \leq c, \\ aT(n/b) + D(n) + C(n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

T(n) = the running time on a problem of size n

a = the number of sub-problems

n/b = the size of each sub-problem

D(n) = the running time to divide the problem into sub-problems (the running time= the number of steps)

C(n) = the running time to combine the solutions to the sub-problems into the solution to the original problem

Note: If the size of the problem is c (for some small constant c), it takes a constant time to solve it in a straightforward manner. (**$\Theta(1)$**)

Note: Instead of writing $C(n)+D(n)$, you can write $f(n)$ as the running time to divide and combine the problems