

1. Given two functions below

<p>Table 1: Test1</p> <p>Test1(a) :</p> <pre> 1: n = a.length 2: key = bad_func(n<sup>4</sup>) 3: print(key) 4: binary_search(a, key) </pre>	<p>Table 2: Test2</p> <p>Test2(a) :</p> <pre> 1: for i = 1 :n 2:   if i &lt; n / 10 : 3:     binary_search(a, key) 4:   else : 5:     linear_search(a, a[1]) </pre>
--	---

Table 3: functions

1. What is the growth of the below functions?

Test1 time complexity

$$\underbrace{O(1)}_{\text{line 1}} + \underbrace{O((n^4)!)}_{\text{line 2}} + \underbrace{O(1)}_{\text{line 3}} + \underbrace{O(\log_2(n))}_{\text{line 4}} = O((n^4)!)$$

Test2 time complexity

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{10}-1} O(\log_2(n^3))}_{\text{if statement operations cost}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=\frac{n}{10}}^n O(1)}_{\text{else statement operation costs}} &= \left(\frac{n}{10} - 1\right) \cdot O(3 \cdot \log_2(n)) + \left(n - \frac{n}{10} + 1\right) \cdot O(1) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{10} - 1\right) \cdot O(\log_2(n)) + \left(n - \frac{n}{10} + 1\right) \cdot O(1) \\ &= O(n \cdot \log_2(n)) + O(n) \\ &= O(n \cdot \log_2(n)) \end{aligned}$$

2. Compare the growth of Test1(n) and Test2(n).

$$f(n) = (n^4)! \text{ and } g(n) = n \cdot \log_2(n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \log_2 \left( \frac{(n^4)!}{n \cdot \log_2(n)} \right) \right) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log_2((n^4)!) - \log_2(n \cdot \log_2(n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log_2((n^4)!) - \log_2(n) - \log_2(\log_2(n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(n^4 \cdot \log_2(n^4)) - \log_2(n) - \log_2(\log_2(n)) \\ &= \infty \\ 2^\infty &= \infty \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $f(n) = \omega(g(n))$  this implies  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$ . We can also conclude that  $g(n) = O(f(n))$ .

3. Let's say you can finish running  $\text{Test}(10^6)$  in 1 sec. Could you estimate when you finish running  $\text{Test1}(100)$ ?

Yes, we have  $10^6 \cdot \log_2(10^6) \cdot c = 1$  where  $c$  is the time for one single line for our machine. We solve for  $c$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{1}{10^6 \cdot \log_2(10^6)} \\ &= \frac{10^{-6}}{6 \cdot \log_2(10)} \end{aligned}$$

We use this  $c$  to obtain the running time for  $\text{Test1}(n)$  when  $n = 100$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Test}(100) \cdot c &= ((10^2)^4)! \cdot c \quad (\text{Test}(n) = O((n^4)!)) \\ &= (10^8)! \cdot \frac{10^{-6}}{6 \cdot \log_2(10)}. \end{aligned}$$

2. A sorted array and a random number are given to you. Develop an algorithm to find the total number of repetitions of the given number.

1. How would you find the total number of repetitions for the given number? Explain each solution/algorithm in a few lines.

The brute-force solution is to have a variable that keeps tracks of the amount of times you have seen the number and set it to 0. Iterate through the array and every time you encounter the number increment the value of the counter by 1. This is  $O(n)$ .

The previous solution does not take advantage of the fact that the array is sorted. Specifically we use the divide-and-conquer paradigm to first find the lower bound that is the smallest index  $i$  such that  $a[i] = \text{num}$ . we then find the upper bound, that is the largest index  $j$  such that  $a[j] = \text{num}$ . The count of the number can then be expressed as  $j - i + 1$  aka the interval between the lowest index and upper index. The recurrence will be of the form  $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + O(1)$  which when solved will give  $T(n) = O(\log_2(n))$ .

2. Write the pseduocode for the best algorithm you came up with.

---

**Algorithm 1** count repetitions

---

**procedure**(A,key) :

```
1:  $lo := 0, hi := \text{len}(A) - 1$ 
2:  $\text{lower\_bound} := -1$ 
3: while  $lo \leq hi$  :
4:    $mid := \lfloor \frac{lo+hi}{2} \rfloor$ 
5:   if  $A[mid] < key$  :
6:      $lo := mid + 1$ 
7:   else if  $A[mid] > key$  :
8:      $hi := mid - 1$ 
9:   else :
10:     $\text{lower\_bound} := mid$ 
11:     $hi := mid - 1$ 
12: if ( $\text{lower\_bound} == -1$ ) return 0
13:  $lo := 0, hi := \text{len}(A) - 1$ 
14:  $\text{upper\_bound} := -1$ 
15: while  $lo \leq hi$  :
16:    $mid := \lfloor \frac{lo+hi}{2} \rfloor$ 
17:   if  $A[mid] < key$  :
18:      $lo := mid + 1$ 
19:   else if  $A[mid] > key$  :
20:      $hi := mid - 1$ 
21:   else :
22:     $\text{upper\_bound} := mid$ 
23:     $lo := mid + 1$ 
24: return  $\text{upper\_bound} - \text{lower\_bound} + 1$ 
```

---

3. Implement your answer using any programming language you want to.

---

```
1  #include <iostream>
2  #include <vector>
3
4  using namespace std;
5
6  // T(n) = T(n / 2) + O(1)
7  // T(n) = O(log_2(n))
8  int count_repetitions(const vector<int>& A, int key){
9      int lower_bound = -1; // O(1)
10     int lo = 0, hi = A.size() - 1; // O(1)
11     // O(log_2(n)) in total for the while loop
12     while(lo <= hi){
13         int mid = (lo + hi) / 2; // O(1)
14         if(A[mid] < key) lo = mid + 1; // O(1)
15         else if(A[mid] > key) hi = mid - 1; // O(1)
16         else{
17             lower_bound = mid; // O(1)
18             hi = mid - 1; // O(1)
19         }
20     }
```

```

21     // O(1) for the comparison
22     if(lower_bound == -1) return 0;
23
24     int upper_bound = -1; // O(1)
25     lo = 0, hi = A.size() - 1; // O(1)
26     // O(log2(n)) in total for the while loop
27     while(lo <= hi){
28         int mid = (lo + hi) / 2; // O(1)
29         if(A[mid] < key) lo = mid + 1; // O(1)
30         else if(A[mid] > key) hi = mid - 1; // O(1)
31         else {
32             upper_bound = mid; // O(1)
33             lo = mid + 1; //O(1)
34         }
35     }
36     return upper_bound - lower_bound + 1; // O(1)
37 }

```

---

4. What is the time complexity of your answer?

The most number of operations are done in the while loops so that will be analyzed. The while loops are variations of the binary search algorithm, concretely the only statement that has changed is the case when  $A[mid] == key$ , everything else remains the same. In the case when we are looking for the lowerbound if we find an element that matches the key we pretend we have not found it, store the index and continue to search the left half of the array. Since this is similar to doing a binary search where the key could not be found we claim that order of the while loop is  $O(\log_2(n))$ . A similar analysis is used to show that the order of the while loop to search for the upper bound is  $O(\log_2(n))$ . The total work done is

$$\underbrace{O(1)}_{\text{operations not in while loops}} + \underbrace{O(\log_2(n))}_{\text{finding lower bound}} + \underbrace{O(\log_2(n))}_{\text{finding upper bound}} = O(\log_2(n)).$$

**3.** A random array of size  $n$  is given to you. You know that the elements are nonnegative less than  $n$ . Develop an algorithm to find the mode and the numbers repeated more than once.

1. How would you find the mode and the numbers occuring more than once?
2. Write the pseduocode for the best algorithm you cam up with
3. Implement you answer using any programming language you want to
4. What is the time complexity of your answer?