

Econometrics Replication

Xuyuan Zhang^{1†‡}

¹Department of Economics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA.

1. Introduction

1.1. Mechanism of the Effect and Literature Review

Previous literature has consistently shown that adult education programs play a key role in reducing poverty rates in various regions (Oxenham 2002; Ortega & Rodríguez 2008), but previous designs suffer from irrelevance to daily work and high dropout rates. Therefore, it is necessary to think of a way to directly help adults gain the benefits of education. This paper proposes an innovative strategy to empower adults by teaching them to use mobile phones to acquire essential skills. Compared to other educational programs, teaching people how to use cell phones effectively has several advantages.

First of all, cell phone use can help improve learning ability in various fields, although this effect is short-lived (Barrow *et al.* 2009). Second, cell phones is a good access to multiple knowledge online, so people who have access to cell phones can self-study which is far better for students to improve their long-term study score (See-To *et al.* 2012). Moreover, Cell phone use is a good way to get information about employment opportunities and they are more likely to find a job (Grzybowski & Patel 2023), and therefore, people will find the program of great use in daily life. Moreover, for farmers, mobile phones are a good access to get accurate and timely agricultural information to avoid the reduction of grain price dispersion, which has been demonstrated by (Aker 2010). Other influential effect are also discussed by (Gonzalez & Maffioli 2024; Aker & Mbiti 2010; Cheng 2015).

1.2. Experimental design

This paper uses randomized controlled trials (RCTs), which are widely used in economic design and are a common practice to avoid bias or intentional manipulation of results. By selecting 113 eligible villages in Niger, Dosso, and Zinder and randomly assigning cohorts of 58 villages with ABC, this study begins a five-period panel data. The ABC villages follow the same curriculum as the non-ABC villages, but the ABC policy is introduced three months later to help the students learn.

Only membership in a formal or informal producer association at the village level, illiteracy as confirmed by an on-site diagnostic test, and willingness to participate in the program were required to implement the cohort. If there were more than 50 eligible applicants in a village, students were randomly selected from all eligible applicants through a public lottery. To measure student progress, writing and math tests were administered in baseline surveys before the program began, and follow-up surveys were administered twice during the program (June 2009 and June 2010) and seven months after classes ended (January 2010 and January 2011).

2. Main Result

2.1. Baseline Specification

Before we introduce the main result, we first need to check whether the difference-in-difference assumption is valid.

$$Test_{itv} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ABC_{itv} + \mathbf{X}'_{iv} + \tau_{vt} + \varepsilon_{itv}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $Test_{itv}$ is the test score of individual i in village v at time t , ABC_{itv} is a dummy variable indicating whether the individual has a mobile phone, \mathbf{X}'_{iv} is a vector of individual characteristics, τ_{vt} is subdistrict fixed effect and ε_{itv} is the error term. The result is reported in Table 1 and the standard error is clustered at each village level.

The result shows that the test score is not significantly higher in the treatment group than in the control group, which indicates that the RCT assumption is valid. This is because if the random experiment is not valid, the coefficient of β_1 will be statistically significant, which means that selecting which group to be the treatment group in our experiment is not random.

† Email address for correspondence: zxuyuan@umich.edu

‡ Note that all the relevant codes and details of replication this PDF file is available at github. This repository will be modified to delete the instructions and only contain the latex code and stata, python code with the data. Link: <https://github.com/sergiozxy/Replication-Econometrics>.

TABLE 1. Difference in Test Scores between the Treatment and Control Groups

	(1) literacy	(2) literacy	(3) math	(4) math
abc	-0.0231 (0.0400)	-0.0291 (0.0420)	-0.0593 (0.0469)	-0.0671 (0.0495)
female		-0.133*** (0.0348)		-0.218*** (0.0375)
age		-0.00236** (0.00104)		-0.00153 (0.00104)
dosso		0.359*** (0.0843)		0.197** (0.0762)
<i>N</i>	5982	5675	5982	5675
R-squared	0.0224	0.0278	0.0199	0.0339

Standard errors in parentheses

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$

Note: we also include subdistrict fixed effect in each regression model.

TABLE 2. Household and teacher characteristics in the treatment and control regions

Variable	Mean without abc	SD without abc	Mean with abc	SD with abc	Diff	std
Panel A: pre-program household characteristics						
age	37.86	13.10	37.18	11.76	-0.36	(0.93)
Are you the household head?	0.560	0.497	0.547	0.498	-0.01	(0.02)
Respondent is Hausa	0.715	0.452	0.721	0.449	0.01	(0.03)
Number of household members	8.422	4.054	8.328	4.074	0.02	(0.25)
Percentage of children under 15 who have some education	0.279	0.276	0.269	0.270	-0.00	(0.02)
Number of asset categories owned by household	4.990	1.609	4.979	1.575	-0.03	(0.10)
Household experienced drought in past year	0.385	0.487	0.380	0.486	-0.03	(0.03)
Household owns a cell phone (excluding group phone)	0.296	0.457	0.295	0.457	-0.00	(0.03)
Access to household or village-level cell phone	0.763	0.426	0.798	0.402	0.04*	(0.02)
Respondent has used cell phone since last harvest	0.542	0.499	0.573	0.495	0.04	(0.03)
Respondent has made call	0.691	0.463	0.725	0.447	0.03	(0.04)
Respondent has received call	0.858	0.349	0.868	0.339	0.03	(0.03)
Panel B: pre-program Teacher characteristics						
Level of Instruction of Teacher	8.323	2.084	8.572	1.779	0.08	(0.22)
Age of Teacher	33.06	9.158	32.71	8.067	-0.31	(1.18)
Female Teacher	0.317	0.467	0.368	0.484	0.06	(0.04)
Teacher from Same Village	0.757	0.430	0.682	0.467	-0.02	(0.05)
Panel C: pre-program Test-Score characteristics						
Baseline literacy test Z-score	-1.03e-08	1.000	-0.0269	0.886	-0.02	(0.04)
Baseline numeracy test Z-score	-6.69e-09	1.000	-0.0712	0.816	-0.06	(0.05)

Notes: * significant at the 10 percent level; ** significant at the 5 percent level; *** significant at the 1 percent level.

2.2. Contamination Check

Apart from testing the direct effect of ABC_v on the test score, we also need to check whether there is any contamination between the treatment and control groups. The contamination check is to test whether the treatment group and the control group are significantly different in terms of household and teacher characteristics. To check whether it is significantly zero, we also use the Equation (2.1) to check if the policy ABC_v contains the problem.[†] The result is shown at Table 2.

The summary statistics is reported in Table 2. We can see that before the program starts, all of the variables are not significantly different between the control group and the treatment group, indicates that there is no pre-treatment contamination. In addition,

[†] Note that using two-tailed t-test is equivalent for using regression in dummy variable setting and we also correct for individual fixed effect and cluster the standard error at village level, which means that our result is more robust.

the sample means of the variables between groups are close to each other, which also supports the setting of a parallel trend before the assumption of the model because of $E[Y_0|D=1] = E[Y_0|D=0]$.

2.3. Difference-in-Difference Estimation

To carry out our formal analysis, we consider the following setting:

$$Test_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ABC_v + \beta_2 Post_v + \beta_3 (ABC_v \times Post_v) + \mathbf{X}'_{iv} + \delta cohort_v + \theta_R + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (2.2)$$

where $Test_{it}$ is the test score of individual i in village v at time t , ABC_v is a dummy variable indicating whether the village is in the treatment group, $Post_v$ is a dummy variable indicating whether the test is conducted after the program, \mathbf{X}'_{iv} is a vector of individual characteristics, $cohort_v$ is a dummy variable indicating the cohort, θ_R is a randomization error, and ε_{it} is the error term. The $ABC_v \times Post_v$ is our DID estimator, which represents the effect after the policy's implementation.

First of all, our DID estimation satisfies the condition of Irreversibility of Treatment. Moreover, the parallel trend condition also holds based on what we have discussed on 2.1 and 2.2. Therefore, we can conclude that our estimated effect ATT is consistent (Callaway & Sant'Anna 2021). The result is shown at Table ??.

From the table, we can see that

3. Robustness Check

4. Conclusion

REFERENCES

- AKER, JENNY C. 2010 Information from markets near and far: Mobile phones and agricultural markets in niger. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* **2** (3), 46–59.
- AKER, JENNY C. & MBITI, ISAAC M. 2010 Mobile phones and economic development in africa. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **24** (3), 207–32.
- BARROW, LISA, MARKMAN, LISA & ROUSE, CECILIA ELENA 2009 Technology's edge: The educational benefits of computer-aided instruction. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* **1** (1), 52–74.
- CALLAWAY, BRANTLY & SANT'ANNA, PEDRO H.C. 2021 Difference-in-differences with multiple time periods. *Journal of Econometrics* **225** (2), 200–230, themed Issue: Treatment Effect 1.
- CHENG, YUNG-MING 2015 Towards an understanding of the factors affecting m-learning acceptance: Roles of technological characteristics and compatibility. *Asia Pacific Management Review* **20** (3), 109–119.
- GONZALEZ, ROBERT & MAFFIOLI, ELISA M. 2024 Is the phone mightier than the virus? cellphone access and epidemic containment efforts. *Journal of Development Economics* **167**, 103228.
- GRZYBOWSKI, LUKASZ & PATEL, ZUBAIR MAGHMOOD 2023 The impact of mobile phones on change in employment status in south africa. *Review of Network Economics* **22** (2), 85–114.
- ORTEGA, DANIEL & RODRÍGUEZ, FRANCISCO 2008 Freed from illiteracy? a closer look at venezuela's misión robinson literacy campaign. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* **57** (1), 1–30.
- OXENHAM, JOHN 2002 Skills and Literacy Training for Better Livelihoods : A Review of Approaches and Experiences. World Bank Publications - Reports 9767. The World Bank Group.
- SEE-TO, ERIC W.K., PAPAGIANNIDIS, SAVVAS & CHO, VINCENT 2012 User experience on mobile video appreciation: How to engross users and to enhance their enjoyment in watching mobile video clips. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* **79** (8), 1484–1494.

Appendix A.

This appendix contains the code that is used to replicate the main result of the paper. The Appendix [B](#) contains the detailed executed log file in the operation.

Appendix B.