

IOE 516: ASSIGNMENT 2

Due: 11:59 PM, February 23rd, 2024

1. An agent is used for decision-making. Let's suppose that this agent makes decisions randomly and with probability $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ makes the right decision. In order to further examine and improve the performance of this agent, it has to make decisions N times and take the majority vote. Show that, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the decision would be correct with probability $1 - \alpha$ as long as

$$N \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{2\varepsilon^2}$$

[Hint: Apply Hoeffding's inequality]

Solution: Assume that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent random variables where $X_i \in [r_i, R_i]$, $\forall i$. Then, for any $t > 0$, we would have:

$$P\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i]) \geq t\right\} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - R_i)^2}\right)$$

However, in our case $X_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. Therefore, the above inequality simplifies to:

$$P\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon) \geq t\right\} \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{n}\right)$$

For the majority of answers to be incorrect, we need $\sum X_i > \frac{n}{2}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon) \geq \frac{n}{2} - n(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon) = n\varepsilon$$

Plugging this back in, the bound would become $\exp(-2\varepsilon^2 n)$.

2. Suppose X_i 's are i.i.d. with mean 0 and finite variance, and N is a stopping time with finite mean. Show that

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) = E[N] \cdot \text{Var}(X_1).$$

Solution: By condition, $E[X_1^2] < \infty$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right)^2\right] \\ &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i 1[i \leq N]\right)^2\right] \\ &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2 1[i \leq N] + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} X_i X_j 1[i \leq N] 1[j \leq N]\right] \\ &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2 1[i \leq N]\right] + 2E\left[\sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} X_i X_j 1[i \leq N] 1[j \leq N]\right] \end{aligned}$$

We argue that the expectation and summation can be interchanged. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E\left[\sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} E[X_i X_j 1[i \leq N] 1[j \leq N]]\right] \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} E[X_i X_j 1[i \leq N] 1[j \leq N]] \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} E[E[X_i X_j 1[i \leq N] 1[j \leq N] | F_{j-1}]] \\
 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j < \infty} E[X_i 1[i \leq N] 1[j \leq N] E[X_j | F_{j-1}]] \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from $E[X_j | F_{j-1}] = E[X_j] = 0$ because X_1, X_2, \dots are independent. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i^2 1[i \leq N]\right] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[X_i^2 1[i \leq N]] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[E[X_i^2 1[i \leq N] | F_{i-1}]] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[1[i \leq N] E[X_i^2 | F_{i-1}]] \\
 &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[1[i \leq N] E[X_1^2]]\right] \\
 &= E[X_1^2] \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[1[i \leq N]] \\
 &= E[X_1^2] E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1[i \leq N]\right] \\
 &= E[N] E[X_1^2]
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Let ε_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots$ be i.i.d random variables with common distribution

$$P(\varepsilon_j = +1) = p, \quad P(\varepsilon_j = -1) = q = 1 - p$$

Denote $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j$, $n \geq 0$. Prove that $M_n = (q/p)^{S_n}$ is a martingale.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] &= \mathbb{E}\left[M_n \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n\right] \\
 &= M_n \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} | \mathcal{F}_n\right] \\
 &= M_n \left[p\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) + q\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\right] \\
 &= M_n
 \end{aligned}$$

4. Let X_n be a sequence of independent but not identically distributed r.v.'s. Let $\phi_i(\theta) = E[e^{\theta X_i}]$ be the MGF of X_i (assuming it exists). Show that

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\theta)} \cdot e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$$

with $Y_0 = 1$ is a martingale process.

Solution: It is clear that

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n \cdot \frac{e^{\theta X_{n+1}}}{\phi_{n+1}(\theta)}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_{n+1}|F_n] &= E\left[Y_n \cdot \frac{e^{\theta X_{n+1}}}{\phi_{n+1}(\theta)}\right] \\ &= Y_n \frac{1}{\phi_{n+1}(\theta)} E[e^{\theta X_{n+1}}] \\ &= Y_n \end{aligned}$$

5. For a martingale $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$ with $X_0 = 0$, let $Z_n = X_n - X_{n-1}, n = 1, 2$, be the martingale difference. Thus

$$X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i.$$

Show that

$$\text{Var}(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_i)$$

Solution: First, by definition of martingale, we have $E[Z_n] = 0$ and $E[Z_j|F_{j-1}] = 0$. Thus $\text{Var}(Z_i) = E[Z_i^2]$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\right) &= E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\right)^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n E[Z_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i < j} E[Z_i Z_j] \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows from

$$E[Z_i Z_j] = E[E[Z_i Z_j|F_{j-1}]] = E[Z_i E[Z_j|F_{j-1}]] = 0.$$

6. An urn initially contains one white and one black ball. At each stage, a ball is drawn and is then replaced in the urn along with another ball of the same color. Let X_n denote the fraction of balls in the urn that are white after the n -th replication.

(i) Show that $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ is a martingale.

(ii) Show that the probability that the fraction of white balls in the urn is ever as large as $3/4$ is at most $2/3$.

Solution:

Part (a) Given the information in the question, after n stages, we would have $n+2$ balls in the urn. Then, considering x as the number of white balls in the urn, the X_n , the fraction of white balls in the urn would be $X_n = \frac{x}{n+2}$. Then we would have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= \frac{x}{n+2} \frac{x+1}{n+3} + \frac{n+2-x}{n+2} \frac{x}{n+3} \\ &= \frac{x}{n+2} \\ &= X_n\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have shown that X_n is a martingale.

Part (b) We can use Doob's maximal inequality to solve this section because $P(X_i \geq \frac{3}{4} \text{ for some } i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq \frac{3}{4})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq \frac{3}{4}) &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i]}{\frac{3}{4}} \\ \implies X_n \text{ is a martingale: } \mathbb{E}[X_n] &= \mathbb{E}[X_0] = \frac{1}{2} \\ \implies P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq \frac{3}{4}) &\leq \frac{2}{3}\end{aligned}$$

7. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}, P)$ be a filtered probability space and Y_n , $n \geq 0$, a sequence of absolutely integrable random variables adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Assume that for some real numbers u_n , v_n , $n \geq 0$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = u_n Y_n + v_n$$

Find two real sequences a_n and b_n , $n \geq 0$, so that the sequence of random variables $M_n := a_n Y_n + b_n$, $n \geq 1$, be martingale with respect to the same filtration.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[M_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= \mathbb{E}[a_{n+1} Y_{n+1} + b_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= a_{n+1} u_n Y_n + a_{n+1} v_n + b_{n+1}\end{aligned}$$

Through this, we get the recursion:

$$a_{n+1} = a_n u_n^{-1}, \quad b_{n+1} = b_n - a_{n+1} v_n$$

And therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}a_0 &= 1, \quad a_n = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i\right)^{-1} \\ b_0 &= 0, \quad b_n = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_{i-1}\end{aligned}$$

8. Assume Y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ be non-negative i.i.d. random variables where $\mathbb{E}[Y_n] = 1$ and $P(Y_n = 1) < 1$.

(a) Show that $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is a martingale.

(b) Using Strong Law of Large Numbers, show that

$$\frac{\log(X_n)}{n} \rightarrow c < 0, \text{ a.s.}$$

Solution:

Part (a)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} Y_i | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}X_n | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1} | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] \\ (\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = 1 \implies) &= \mathbb{E}[X_n | X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] \\ &= X_n \end{aligned}$$

Part (b) Based on SLLN:

$$\frac{\log(X_n)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(Y_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\log(Y_k)]$$

Now since \log is a concave function, using Jensen's inequality and the fact that $\mathbb{E}[Y_k] = 1$, we can show that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\log Y_k] &\leq \log(\mathbb{E}[Y_k]) \\ \implies \mathbb{E}[\log Y_k] &\leq 0 \\ \implies \frac{\log(X_n)}{n} &\rightarrow c < 0 \text{ a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

9. Let X_i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be a sequence of random variables where $\mathbb{E}[|X_i|] < \infty$. Moreover, we have $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = aX_n + bX_{n-1}$, for $n \geq 1$, $0 < a, b < 1$, and $a + b = 1$. Find the value of λ such that $S_n = \lambda X_n + X_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$ would be a martingale.

Solution: Certainly $\mathbb{E}[|S_n|] < \infty, \forall n$. Moreover, for $n \geq 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] &= \lambda \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] + X_n \\ &= (\lambda a + 1)X_n + \lambda b X_{n-1} = S_n \end{aligned}$$

$$S_n = \lambda X_n + X_{n-1} \implies \lambda = (1 - a)^{-1}$$

Therefore, S_n would be a martingale if $\lambda = (1 - a)^{-1}$.

10. Let X_n be the net profit of a gambler when betting a unit stake on the n^{th} play in the casino. X_n can be dependent; however, $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = 0$, $\forall n$ holds, meaning that the game is fair. In the first game, the gambler stakes Z and after that stakes $f_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ on the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ play. Assuming that Z and these functions, f_i , are given, first (a) show that the gamblers profit after n plays would be

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i f_{i-1}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

and then **(b)** prove that the sequence S_i is a martingale, or in other words $\mathbb{E}[S_{n+1}|x_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = S_n$, $n \geq 1$.

Solution: The gambler stakes $Z_i = f_{i-1}(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$ on the i^{th} play, at a return of X_i per unit. Consequently, $S_i = S_{i-1} + X_i Z_i$, for $i \geq 2$ where $S_1 = X_1 Y$. Next, we can show that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[S_{n+1} - S_n | X_1, \dots, X_n] &= Z_{n+1} \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n] \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

In which we have used the fact that Z_{n+1} depends only on X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .