

## Homework 2

Xuyuan Zhang      zxuyuan@umich.edu

February 16, 2024

An agent is used for decision-making. Let's suppose that this agent makes decisions randomly and with probability  $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  makes the right decision. In order to further examine and improve the performance of this agent, it has to make decisions  $N$  times and take the majority vote. Show that, for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the decision would be correct with probability  $1 - \alpha$  as long as

$$N \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{2\varepsilon^2}$$

(hint: apply hoeffding's inequality)

*Solutions.* The strategy is to implement  $N$  times and use a majority vote to determine the result. Therefore, each  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  would be i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables on a bounded support  $\{0, 1\}$  where 1 denotes success and 0 denotes failure. Therefore, from Hoeffding Inequality, we would have:

$$P(S_n - n\mu \geq \xi) \leq e^{-\frac{2\xi^2}{n(b-a)^2}}$$

$$P(S_n - n\mu \leq -\xi) \leq e^{-\frac{2\xi^2}{n(b-a)^2}}$$

From the upper bound - lower bound, we have:  $b - a = 1$ . The mean would be  $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$  and therefore,  $N\mu = \frac{N}{2} + N\varepsilon$ . We are interested in the probability that the majority of decisions are correct and we look at the deviation  $\xi$  from the expected value  $E[X] = N(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)$  to the point  $N/2$ , which represents the threshold for a majority. Then we can select  $\xi = -N\varepsilon$  and we can simplify the equation as:

$$P(S_n \geq N/2) = P(S_n - N\mu \geq -N\varepsilon) = 1 - P(S_n - N\mu < -N\varepsilon) = 1 - \exp(-\frac{2(-N\varepsilon)^2}{N}) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

and then:  $-2\frac{(N\varepsilon)^2}{N} \leq \ln \alpha \implies N \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{2\varepsilon^2}$  which is what we want to prove.  $\square$

Suppose  $X_i$ 's are i.i.d. with mean 0 and finite variance, and  $N$  is a stopping time with finite mean. Show that:

$$\text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i) = E[N] \cdot \text{Var}(X_1)$$

*Solutions.* Given that  $E[X_i] = 0$  and we start with the definition:

$$\text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i) = E[(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i)^2] - (E[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i])^2$$

From the first Wald's equality, we have  $E[\sum_{i=1}^N X_i] = E[N]\mu = E[N]0 = 0$ . So the second item simplifies to 0. Now, for the first term, we apply a similar method as for the expectation:

$$E[(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i)^2] = E[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_i X_j 1[i \leq N, j \leq N]]$$

This double sum can be split into two parts where  $i = j$  and  $i \neq j$ . For  $i = j$  we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[X_i^2 1\{i \leq N\}] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[1\{i \leq N\}]E[X_i^2] = E[N]E[X_1^2] = E[N]Var(X_1)$  since  $E[X_1] = 0$ . Similarly, for the case where  $i \neq j$ . We can take the condition on

$$\begin{aligned} E[E[X_i X_j 1\{i \leq N\} 1\{j \leq N\} | X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}]] &= E[X_i 1\{i \leq N\} 1\{j \leq N\} E[X_j | X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}]] \\ &= E[X_i 1\{i \leq N\} 1\{j \leq N\}] \cdot E[X_j] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $\varepsilon_j : j = 1, 2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with common distribution:

$$P(\varepsilon_j = 1) = p, P(\varepsilon_j = -1) = q = 1 - p$$

Denote  $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j, n \geq 0$ . Prove that  $M_n = (q/p)^{S_n}$  is a martingale.

*Solutions.* To prove that the sequence is a martingale, we first need to prove that  $E[|M_n|] < \infty$  and then we prove that  $E[M_{n+1} | M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n] = M_n$ .

First, we note that  $M_n = (q/p)^{S_n}$ , and we know that  $S_n$  can take integer value between  $-n$  to  $n$  and  $p > 0, q > 0$  so  $M_n$  is always positive and finite for any finite  $n$ , which implies  $E[|M_n|] < \infty$ .

We have  $M_{n+1} = (q/p)^{S_{n+1}} = (q/p)^{S_n} \cdot (q/p)^{\varepsilon_{n+1}}$ . Given this, we have:

$$E[M_{n+1} | M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n] = (q/p)^{S_n} E[(q/p)^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} | M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n]$$

Given  $\varepsilon_{n+1}$  is independent of  $M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n$  and it can only take integer value  $-1$  and  $1$  with probability  $p$  and  $q$  Therefore we have:

$$E[(q/p)^{\varepsilon_{n+1}} | M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n] = E[(q/p)^{\varepsilon_{n+1}}] = p \cdot (q/p) + q(q/p)^{-1} = q + p = 1$$

Therefore, we have  $E[M_{n+1} | M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n] = M_n$  and the sequence is a martingale.

□

Let  $X_n$  be a sequence of independent but not identically distributed r.v.'s. Let  $\phi_i(\theta) = E[e^{\theta X_i}]$  be the MGF of  $X_i$  (assuming it exists). Show that:

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\theta)} \cdot e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}$$

with  $Y_0 = 1$  is a martingale process.

*Solutions.* To prove that the process is a martingale process we need to show that  $E[|Y_n|] < \infty$  and  $E[Y_{n+1} | Y_0, \dots, Y_n] = Y_n$ .

Since  $\phi_i(\theta) = E[e^{\theta X_i}]$  exists for all  $i$ , it follows that  $E[|Y_n|]$  is finite, assuming  $X_i$  have finite expected values and their MGFs are finite for all  $\theta$ .

We now prove that  $E[Y_{n+1} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n] = Y_n$ . We have:

$$Y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \phi_i(\theta)} \cdot e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\theta)} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_{n+1}(\theta)} \cdot e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \cdot e^{\theta X_{n+1}}$$

taking the conditional expectation given  $Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  we have:

$$E[Y_{n+1} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n] = \frac{e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\theta)} \cdot E\left[\frac{e^{\theta X_{n+1}}}{\phi_{n+1}(\theta)} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\right]$$

Since  $X_{n+1}$  is independent of  $Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ , and using the definition of MGF  $\phi_{n+1}(\theta) = E[e^{\theta X_{n+1}}]$ , we have:

$$E[Y_{n+1} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n] = \frac{\phi_{n+1}(\theta)}{\phi_{n+1}(\theta)} \cdot \frac{e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i(\theta)} = Y_n$$

This shows that  $Y_n$  satisfies the martingale property.

□

For a martingale  $\{X_n, n \geq 0\}$  with  $X_0 = 0$  and let  $Z_n = X_n - X_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , be the martingale difference sequence. Thus:

$$X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$$

Show that:

$$\text{Var}(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_i)$$

*Solutions.* We already know that  $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$  and then we have  $\text{Var}(X_n) = \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j)$  (expand it). We now justify  $\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Recall that  $\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) = E[Z_i Z_j] - E[Z_i]E[Z_j]$ .  $Z_i = X_i - X_{i-1}$ , we know that since  $i < j$  and the law of total expectation we have  $E[Z_j | X_0, \dots, X_{i-1}] = E[X_j - X_{j-1} | X_0, \dots, X_{i-1}] = E[E[(X_j - X_{j-1}) | X_0, \dots, X_{j-1}] | X_0, \dots, X_{i-1}] = E[0 | X_0, \dots, X_{i-1}] = 0$ . Similarly, we have  $E[Z_i | X_0, \dots, X_{i-1}] = 0$ . Therefore, using law of total expectation we have:

$$E[Z_i] = E[E[Z_i | X_0, \dots, X_{i-1}]] = 0, E[Z_j] = E[E[Z_j | X_0, \dots, X_{j-1}]] = 0$$

Furthermore,  $E[Z_i Z_j] = E[E[Z_i Z_j | X_0, \dots, X_i]] = E[Z_i E[Z_j | X_0, \dots, X_i]] = E[Z_i \cdot 0] = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$  and the result follows.

Combining together we have:  $\text{Var}(X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Z_i)$ . □

An urn initially contains one white and one black ball. At each stage, a ball is drawn and is then replaced in the urn along with another ball of the same color. Let  $X_n$  denote the fraction of balls in the urn that are white after the  $n$ -th replication.

- Show that  $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$  is a martingale.
- Show that the probability that the fraction of white balls in the urn is ever as large as  $3/4$  is at most  $2/3$ .

*Solutions.*

(a):

$E[|X_n|]$  is definitely finite because there is just  $n + 2$  balls in total in the urn. Now we prove the result:

$$E[X_{n+1} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = X_n$$

Denote the number of white balls in the urn as  $W_n$  and the number of black balls in the urn as  $B_n$ . We have  $W_n + B_n = n + 2$  and  $X_n = \frac{W_n}{n+2}$ . The probability of drawing a white ball in round  $n$  is:  $\frac{W_n}{n+2}$  and the probability of drawing a black ball is:  $\frac{n+2-W_n}{n+2} = 1 - \frac{W_n}{n+2}$ . Given that  $X_n = \frac{W_n}{n+2}$  We have:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_{n+1} | X_0, \dots, X_n] &= \frac{W_n}{n+2} \cdot \frac{W_n+2}{n+3} + \left(1 - \frac{W_n}{n+2}\right) \frac{W_n}{n+3} = \frac{W_n}{(n+2)(n+3)} + \frac{W_n}{n+3} \\ &= \frac{W_n(n+3)}{(n+2)(n+3)} = \frac{W_n}{n+2} = X_n \end{aligned}$$

(b):

We want to know that  $P(X_i \geq \frac{3}{4}, \text{ for some } i \geq 1) \leq \frac{2}{3}$  which  $P(X_i \geq \frac{3}{4}, 1 \leq i \leq n) = P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \geq \frac{3}{4}) = \frac{E[X_i]}{\frac{3}{4}} = \frac{E[X_0]}{\frac{3}{4}} = \frac{2}{3}$  (from Doob's Kolmogorov's inequality).

**not finished** □

Let  $(W, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}, P)$  be the filtered probability space and  $Y_n, n \geq 0$ , a sequence of absolutely integrable random variables adapted to the filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ . Assume that for some real numbers  $u_n, v_n, n \geq 0$ , it holds that:

$$E[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = u_n Y_n + v_n$$

Find two real sequences  $a_n$  and  $b_n, n \geq 0$ , so that the sequence of random variables  $M_n := a_n Y_n + b_n, n \geq 0$

be martingale with respect to the same filtration.

*Solutions.* To find  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  such that the sequence of random variables  $M_n := a_n Y_n + b_n, n \geq 1$  is a martingale with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ , we need to ensure it satisfies:  $E[M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = M_n$ . We have:

$$E[M_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = a_{n+1} E[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] + b_{n+1} = a_{n+1}(u_n Y_n + v_n) + b_{n+1} = M_n = a_n Y_n + b_n$$

Therefore, we have:  $a_{n+1} u_n = a_n$  and  $a_{n+1} v_n + b_{n+1} = b_n$ . We can solve this system of equations to find  $a_n$  and  $b_n$ . We first recursively solve the equation for  $a_n$ :

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} u_i}, n \geq 1$$

For  $b_n$ , we have  $b_{n+1} = b_n - a_{n+1} v_n$ . Then we have  $b_n = b_0 - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i+1} v_i$ . Therefore, the sequences are established with given initial values.  $\square$

Assume  $Y_i : i = 1, 2, \dots$  be non-negative i.i.d. random variables where  $E[Y_n] = 1$ .

- Show that  $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is a martingale.
- Using Strong law of large numbers, show that:

$$\frac{\log(X_n)}{n} \rightarrow c < 0, a.s.$$

*Solutions.*

(a):

To prove that it is a martingale, we first show that  $[X_n] < \infty$  then we show that  $E[X_{n+1} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n] = X_n$ . We have  $E[X_n] = E[\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i] = \prod_{i=1}^n E[Y_i] = 1 < \infty$ .

$$E[Y_{n+1} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n] = X_n \cdot E[Y_{n+1} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n] = X_n \cdot E[Y_{n+1}] = X_n \cdot 1 = X_n.$$

(b):

Given that  $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$ , we can take natural logarithm (because each  $Y_i > 0$ ) to get:  $\log(X_n) = \log(\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(Y_i)$ .

The SLLN states for i.i.d. random variables  $Z_i$  with finite expectation  $E[Z_i]$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$  converges almost surely to  $E[Z_i]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We can apply this to the sequence  $\log(Y_i)$  and we have:

$$\frac{1}{n} \log(Y_i) \xrightarrow{a.s.} E[\log(Y_1)] \text{ (i.i.d.)}$$

The function  $\log(y)$  is strictly increasing for  $y > 0$  and because  $\log(\cdot)$  is a concave function and we can apply Jensen's inequality to show that  $E[\log(Y_1)] < \log(E[Y_1]) = 0$ . Therefore, we have:

$$\frac{1}{n} \log(X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(Y_i) \xrightarrow{a.s.} E[\log(Y_1)] = c < 0$$

$\square$

Let  $X_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of random variables where  $E[X_i] < \infty$ . Moreover, we have:

$$E[X_{n+1} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = aX_n + bX_{n-1}, n \geq 1, 0 < a, b < 1, \text{ and } a + b = 1$$

Find the value of  $\lambda$  such that  $S_n = \lambda X_n + X_{n-1}, n \geq 1$  would be a martingale.

*Solutions.* To find the value of  $\lambda$  such that  $S_n = \lambda X_n + X_{n-1}, n \geq 1$  is a martingale, we need to satisfy:  $E[S_n | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}] = S_{n-1}$ . Given  $S_{n+1} = \lambda X_{n+1} + X_n$  and according to the martingale property, we have:  $E[X_{n+1} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = aX_n + bX_{n-1}$ . We have:

$$E[S_{n+1} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = E[\lambda X_{n+1} + X_n | X_0, \dots, X_n] = (\lambda a + 1)X_n + \lambda b X_{n-1}$$

since we want to have  $E[S_{n+1} | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = S_n = \lambda X_n + X_{n-1}$ , we have:

$$a\lambda + 1 = \lambda \implies \lambda = \frac{1}{1-a}$$

$$b\lambda = 1 \implies \lambda = \frac{1}{b}$$

Since  $a + b = 1$  we know that the number is exactly the same, and therefore,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{1-a}$  would be number we are looking for. Furthermore, we can verify the number would satisfy the finiteness condition, i.e.,  $E[|S_n|] < \infty$ .

$$E[|S_n|] = E[|\lambda X_n + X_{n-1}|] = E[|\lambda X_n|] + E[|X_{n-1}|] = |\lambda|E[|X_n|] + E[|X_{n-1}|] < \infty$$

Since  $\lambda$  is finite, and  $E[X_i] < \infty$  by assumption, we have  $E[|S_n|] < \infty$ . Therefore, it is indeed a martingale.  $\square$

Let  $X_n$  be the net profit of a gambler when betting a unit stake on the  $n$ -th play in the casino.  $X_n$  can be dependent; however,  $E[X_{n+1}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = 0, \forall n$  holds, meaning that the game is fair. In the first game, the gambler stakes  $Z$  and after that stakes  $f_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  on the  $(n+1)$ -th game. Assuming that  $Z$  and these functions,  $f_i$ , are given, first (a) show that the gamblers profit after  $n$  plays would be

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i f_{i-1}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

and then (b) prove that the sequence  $S_i$  is a martingale or in other words  $E[S_{n+1}|X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n] = S_n, n \geq 1$ .

*Solutions.*

(a):

The gambler starts with  $Z$  in the initial play and for each  $i > 1$ , the gambler stakes  $f_{i-1}(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$ , and the net profit from the  $i$ th game is then  $X_i$ . Thus the contribution to the net profit from the  $i$ th game is  $X_i \cdot f_{i-1}(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1})$ . Therefore, the net profit after  $n$  plays would be:

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i f_{i-1}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1})$$

(b):

Since each play only gives finite profit, then we would have  $E[|S_n|] < \infty$ . We now prove that  $S_n$  is a martingale. We have:

$$S_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} X_i f_{i-1}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-1}) = S_n + X_{n+1} f_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

Taking the conditional expectation with respect to  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , we have:

$$E[S_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = S_n + E[X_{n+1} f_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

Given that  $E[X_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$ , and  $f_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is a function of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  (and thus a constant with respect to the conditional expectation of  $X_{n+1}$ ), we have:  $E[X_{n+1} f_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = f_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) E[X_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = 0$ . Therefore, we have  $E[S_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] = S_n$  and the sequence is a martingale.  $\square$